



Title	Public Civil Action : Access to Environmental Justice in Brazil
Author(s)	Serra, Tatiana Barreto
Citation	Osaka University Law Review. 2016, 63, p. 89-106
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://hdl.handle.net/11094/54613
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

Public Civil Action: Access to Environmental Justice in Brazil

*Tatiana BARRETO SERRA**

Abstract: The aim of this paper is to trace an overview of the role of the State Public Prosecution Office in the protection of the environment, notably through public civil action. Thus, it is important to note that in alignment with the evolution of the International Environmental Law, Brazil experienced, especially from the 80's, a major boost to environmental legislation. At the same extent, the legislative improvement gave functional and administrative autonomy to the Public Prosecution Office, which became a fundamental entity for environmental protection. In this regard, the 1985 Public Civil Action Law and the 1988 Federal Constitution are key milestones for the preservation of this common heritage of mankind. Accordingly, important instruments for collective environmental protection have been assigned to the *Parquet*, as public civil action I, civil investigation and the Conduct Adjustment Agreement. In addition, the concepts of pollution civil liability were expanded, fixing the accountability objective, *propter rem*, and full compensation of damage. These concepts are analyzed in this paper. Finally, several parts of the text expose the path taken by the Brazilian jurisprudence towards the consolidation of these principles for environmental protection.

1. Initial considerations

The aim of this article is to present a brief analysis of actions of the Brazilian Public Prosecution Office towards environmental issues, notably through environmental public civil action.

The legislative and jurisprudential evolution in Brazil granted both autonomy and independence to the Brazilian Public Prosecution Office, which has ceased to act as an arm of the Government, taking a prominent role in the defense of society and in strengthening environmental legislation, which has gained prominence and the *status* of constitutional provision, preventing attempts at retrogression and

* Public Prosecutor, São Paulo State Public Prosecution Office

safeguarding the environment.¹⁾

From the conjunction of these two scenarios, the attainment of legitimacy by the Public Prosecution Office, allowing the promotion of public civil action on environmental issues, has enhanced environmental protection, particularly if we consider this institution to be responsible for the highest number of public civil actions in Brazilian history when compared with other legitimate authors.

2. Brief account on development of environmental legislation in Brazil

At the end of the 2nd World War, marked by the explosions of atomic weapons dropped on the cities of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, there emerged a need to respond efficiently to attacks on human rights in order to preserve humanity. In this context, at the end of the 60's, international environmental law arose from the concept of a "common heritage of humanity."

As an emblematic image, Odum and Barret describe that the first pictures of Earth from space indicated, for the first time in human history, how lonely and fragile the planet hangs in the universe, fostering a worldwide movement of environmental awareness along the years 1968 to 1970.²⁾

Under the aegis of this international movement, Decree nº 83.540 of June 4th 1979 foresaw the filing of civil liability action by prosecutors for damage caused by oil pollution.³⁾

In 1981, Law 6.938, complementing the old Forest Code of 1961 (Law 4,771/61), established the National Environmental Policy, which "aims at the preservation, enhancement, and restoration of environmental quality conducive to life, to ensure, in the country, conditions for developments in socio-economic areas, in national security, and in the protection of the dignity of human life" (art. 1º).

Article 14, §1º of Law 6.938/81 ensures legitimacy for the Public Prosecution

-
- 1) The principle of prohibition of environmental reverse, inserted in article 225, the Brazilian Federal Constitution, is often ignored by the Brazilian Legislature, following the example of the current Law 12.651/12, which amended the old forest code, reducing their environmental protection, and is the subject of three direct unconstitutional actions made by the Supreme Court of the country.
 - 2) Odum, Eugene P.; Barret, Gary W., *Fundamentals of Ecology*. 5th ed. Translation: Pegasus systems and solutions. São Paulo: Cengage Learning, 2013, p. 3.
 - 3) Mazzilli, Hugo Nigro. *The diffuse interests in mind. Environment, consumer, cultural heritage, public assets and other interests*. 24th ed. Rev., ampl. and current. São Paulo: Saraiva, 2011, p. 155.

Office in proposing lawsuits for civil liability for environmental damage done to oblige the polluter to indemnify or repair the damage done to the environment and to others affected by their activity, regardless of the existence of guilt.⁴⁾

Therefore, under this legal provision, in 1984, prosecutors proposed the first legal action that culminated in a polluter's conviction for environmental damage and resulted in an agreement between the Public Prosecution Office and the convicted party during the execution phase, setting a payment of multiple installments, with statutory interest and monetary correction.⁵⁾ This case was internationally known as "Passarinhada of Embu," when the Mayor of the city of Embu, in the state of São Paulo, promoted a social event for political purposes, where invitees were invited to a barbecue prepared with thousands of local wild birds.⁶⁾

This was the first successful story ever known - even before the Public Civil Action Law enacted only in 1985 - as a civil lawsuit filed by the Brazilian Public Prosecution Office for Environmental Protection in favor of the collective interest. Passos De Freitas recalls:

"The action in question, which was called an 'action of civil liability for damage caused to the environment' was, in fact, a kind of *public civil action* under Federal Supplementary Law n° 40 of 14.12.1981, the old Organic Law of the National Prosecution Service, that presented, among institutional functions of the *Parquet*, the promotion of "*public civil action*.""⁷⁾

Shortly after, in 1985, Law 7.347 expressly assigned the Brazilian Public

4) Law No. 6.938/61, art. 14, §1-Without preventing the application of the penalties foreseen in this article, it is the obligation of the polluter, regardless of the existence of fault, to compensate or repair the damage done to the environment and to others affected by their activities. The Public Ministry of the Union and the States will have legitimacy to propose action for civil and criminal liability for damages caused to the environment.

5) Freitas, Vladimir Steps (Coord.). *Historical Judgments of environmental law*. Campinas: ed. Millennium, 2010, p. 44.

6) Menu of the judgment: "compensation. Third-party liability – damage caused to the environment by reason of the extermination of small birds used in a barbecue promoted in the municipality of Embu – effective ecological damage. Proof – joint and several instances of the liability of the Mayor and of the codefendant recognized – Indemnification due-provided resource." (Court of Justice of São Paulo, civil appeal 70.393-1, Itapecerica da Serra, Rapporteur Márcio Bonilha j. 25.6.1986. In Revista de jurisprudence of the Court of Justice of São Paulo (RJTJESP), São Paulo: LEX, 21 year, March and April 1987, volume 105, pp. 134-137).

7) Idem.

Prosecution Office, which had emerged in the Criminal Procedures Code of 1832 with attributions restricted to criminal actions, to promote civil inquiry and public civil legal actions with the purpose of holding accountable the agents of environmental damages, the consumers, and the assets and rights of artistic, aesthetic, historical, and scenic values, goals that were later expanded through legislative modifications.

In this context, in 1988, the Brazilian constitutional system incorporated the concept of environment as an asset of common use and as essential to a healthy quality of life, imposing on public administration and on society the obligation to protect and preserve the environment for present and future generations (art. 225). In addition, among the institutional functions of the Public Prosecution Office, the constitution highlights the function of promoting civil inquiry and public civil action for the protection of the public and social heritage, the environment, and other diffuse and collective interests (art. 129, III). This is the first time that a Constitution is concerned with the environment, dedicating a Chapter for its protection in specific and global ways.⁸⁾

It is because of the modern text of the 1988 Federal Constitution that the Public Prosecution Office is elevated to the status of a permanent and independent institution, essential to the jurisdictional function of the State, with the task of protecting the legal order, the democratic regime, and the unavailable social and individual interests (art. 127).

In 1990, the Consumer Protection Code (Law 8.078/90) along with Law 7.347/85 and 1973 Civil Procedures Code brought together in a whole, the protection system of collective rights, forming a true micro-system of the collective protection of collective interests. It is important to note that Law 8.078/90 not only established standards for consumer protection, it also introduced systematic procedures for the collective judicial guardianship of any kind of collective right, which includes the environment.

3. Constitutional basis of environmental protection: some concepts

In an innovative way, the Brazilian Constitutional Law, Chapter VI of the Federal Constitution, details provisions on the protection of the environment in article 225, *in verbis*:

8) Brazil, 1988 Federal Constitution, chapter VI, "the environment". DF, 2015. Available at http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/constituicao/constituicaocompilado.htm. Accessed on 1/18/2015.

“Art. 225. Everyone is entitled to an ecologically balanced environment, a good for common use by the people and essential to a healthy quality of life, imposing to the Government and to the collectivity the obligation to defend and preserve it for present and future generations.

§1° - To ensure the effectiveness of this law, it is for the public authorities to:

I - preserve and restore essential ecological processes and provide ecological management of species and ecosystems;

II - preserve the diversity and integrity of the genetic patrimony of the country and inspect entities dedicated to research and manipulation of genetic material;

III - set, in all units of the Federation, territorial spaces and its components to be especially protected, being amendment and deletion only allowed by law, prohibited any use that compromises the integrity of the attributes which justify their protection;

IV - demand, in the form of the law, for the installation of facilities and activities potentially causing a significant degradation of the environment, a preliminary study of environmental impact, which will be largely communicated;

V - control production, commercialization, and use of techniques, methods, and substances entailing risk to life, quality of life, and the environment;

VI - promote environmental education at all levels of education and public awareness for the preservation of the environment;

VII - protect the flora and fauna, prohibiting, in the form of the law, practices that endanger their ecological function, causing the extinction of species or subjecting animals to cruelty.

§2° - The one who explores mineral resources is required to recover the degraded environment, according to the technical solution required by the competent public entity in the form of law.

§3° - The procedures and activities detrimental to the environment subject the violators, individuals, or legal entities to administrative and criminal penalties, regardless of the obligation to repair the damage done.

§4° - The Brazilian Amazon rainforest, the Atlantic forest, the Serra do Mar, the Pantanal, and the Coastal Zone are national patrimonies, and their use shall be in the form of the law, in conditions that ensure the preservation of the environment, including the use of natural resources.

§5° - Unavailable vacant lands and those collected by the States, for discriminatory actions, are necessary for the protection of natural

ecosystems.

§6º - Power plants operating nuclear reactors must have their locations set in federal law, without which they cannot be installed.”

As it turns out, the Federal Constitution foresaw from the broad concept of environment, as a common heritage of the people, to minutiae such as environmental education, licensing, and activities potentially responsible for significant environmental degradation.

Additionally, supporting and reinforcing the rule carved in the Law of National Environmental Policy (Law 6.938/81, art. 14, § 1), the Federal Constitution reinforced, in paragraph 3 of the aforementioned article, the concept of strict liability of a polluter in repairing environmental damage.

Therefore, it is enough that a simple confirmation of a harmful event and evidence that the actions of the accused are connected directly or indirectly to the event to entail an obligation to repair the damage caused to the environment as well as the responsibility to indemnify proven irreparable unlawful acts.⁹⁾ As a result, the responsibility of repairing the environmental degradation caused does not fall upon the subjective element of the agent because proof of a causal link between the damage and the actions or omission of who is being held accountable for the repairs is enough. Likewise, for accountability, the legal regularity of the activity or the existence of exclusive causes of guilt, such as unpredictable circumstances, force majeure, or third party actions, are irrelevant. This is the so-called objective responsibility founded on the theories of *activity risk* and *full risk*.

With regard to causation, in 1984 the scholar Nery Junior wrote: “*to fill this requirement, it is sufficient that the damage results from the activity of a polluter, regardless of fault or intent to cause prejudice to the environment. It is dispensed, here, the lawfulness of the activity.*”¹⁰⁾

With this focus, the bright Leme Machado, asserted that:

“the objective environmental responsibility means that the one who damages the environment has the legal duty to fix it, due to the presence of binomial damage/repair. One does not ask the reason of the degradation, so there is duty to indemnify or repair. Responsibility without fault has incidence on

9) Fink, Daniel Roberto. *Environmental liability*. In practical handbook of the District Attorney’s Office of the environment. São Paulo: Press Officer of the State of São Paulo, Ministério Público do Estado de São Paulo, 2005, v. 1, p. 206/207.

10) Nery JR, Nelson. *Civil liability for ecological damage and public civil action*. In *Justitia*, Sao Paulo, 40 year, vol. 126, Jul.-set. 1984, p. 174.

damages or in repairing the damage caused to the environment and to the third parties affected by their activity.”¹¹⁾

Under these perspectives, the author concluded that:

“(...) the Environmental Law encompasses both roles of objective liability: the preventive function – search by effective means the avoidance of damage – and the restorative function – try to rebuild and/or indemnify the losses incurred. It’s not social and ecologically suitable to cease the appreciation of preventive accountability even because there are irreversible environmental damages.”¹²⁾

As a result, still, of the strict liability, the Environmental Law recognizes the responsibility *propter rem* of the owner or possessor of the property, that, simply as a result of this condition, shall be responsible for repairing the environmental damage on their property, regardless of having directly caused the degradation.

In fact, the owner or possessor of an immovable property appropriates part of the wealth of the State, involving the territory and natural resources and, therefore, pursuant to article 225 of the Federal Constitution, takes the responsibility of preserving this area before society – present and future generations.¹³⁾

According to Lemos, the owner or possessor of a property must exercise his or her right of obedience to the social function of property and to the principle contained in the constitutional text, which reflects the need for maintenance of a healthy and ecologically balanced environment for this and future generations. We must therefore respect the social and environmental functions of property. If the owner has privileges arising from the individual content of the right to property, he /she also has duties arising from the social content of the holders of diffuse interest concerning the environmental preservation; this interest cannot be individually appropriated and disposed of by the owner or possessor of the property.¹⁴⁾

Individual ownership of social and environmental assets generates therefore the obligation to preserve the environment for present and future generations. It is due to the owner or possessor to adopt behaviors in order to preserve social and

11) Machado, Paulo Affonso Helm. *Brazilian Environmental Law*. São Paulo: Editora Malheiros, 19th ed., 2011, p. 369.

12) *Ibid.*, p. 374.

13) Lemos, Patricia Faga Iglecias. *Environment and civil liability of the owner. Analysis of the causal relationship*. São Paulo: Revista dos tribunais, 1st ed., 2008, p. 99.

14) *Ibid.*, p. 100.

environmental assets, which transcends the individual right to use and enjoy private property.¹⁵⁾ In this way, the individual ownership of the property does not matter; in the case of environmental resources, with diffuse ownership, the legal regime of protection affects those who are in possession/ownership of the property, applying the rule of article 225 of the Federal Constitution.

In this respect, the case law of the Superior Court of Justice states:

“ENVIRONMENTAL. CIVIL PROCEDURE. OMISSION DOES NOT EXIST. INSTITUTION OF LEGAL RESERVE AREA. OBLIGATION *PROPTER REM* AND *EX LEGE*. SCORESHEET 83/STJ. (...)”

2. The jurisprudence of this Court has established that the duties associated with the Permanent Preservation Areas (APPs) and the Legal Reserve have the compelling nature *propter rem*, i.e., to join the domain title or possession, regardless of whether or not the owner is the causer of environmental degradation. In the case hereabout there are no discussion of guilt or causal link as determinants of the obligation to recover a permanent preservation area.

3. This Court has understood that the obligation to demarcate, register, and restore legal reserve areas in rural properties configures legal duty (duty *ex lege*), which is automatically transferred with a change of domain and can therefore be immediately payable by the current owner.”¹⁶⁾

In that decision, the Minister Eliana Calmon presented the following vote:

“It is possible to impose on the owner or possessor the obligation to recompose the forest cover in the area of legal reserve of their property regardless of having been the causer of the environmental degradation. That is because the obligations associated with the areas of Permanent Preservation and Legal Reserves have the character *propter rem* and, although the characteristic of absolute right cannot be attributed to the fundamental right to the environment, it falls between the vested rights and accentuates the un-prescribe of repair and its inalienability since it comes from the right of common use of the people. Thus, there is no acquired right vis-à-vis the obligation to recompose the degradation of the environment, being not possible for the current owner to continue to practice acts

15) Ibid., p. 100.

16) Superior Court of Justice (STJ), AgRg in REsp 1367968/SP, 2nd Class, Rapporteur Minister Humberto Martins, v.u., DJe: 3/12/2014. Available at https://ww2.stj.jus.br/processo/revista/inteiroteor/?num_registro=201200049293&dt_publicacao=12/03/2014. Accessed on 1/26/2015.

prohibited or use the property in discordance with the law”.

It is thus important to state that strict liability for compensating for environmental damage is also solidary, so that a public civil action can be filed against the direct, indirect, or both agents of damage.¹⁷⁾

These are the principles that, applied through the important tool of public civil action, allow the Prosecution Office to act to protect the environment, safeguarding the interests of the whole society.

4. Civil inquiry

Law 7.347/85, reaffirmed in the Federal Constitution of 1988, not only instituted public civil action for the collective protection of collective interests but also guaranteed the Prosecutors a powerful tool, pre-trial and with investigative character, named “civil inquiry.”¹⁸⁾

This is a tool available to the members of the Public Prosecution Office, which allows administrative investigation prior to the occurrence of damage, similar to a police investigation in the criminal sphere. In this regard, this tool is aimed at gathering conviction elements to enable the proposition of a public civil action, through the promotion of diligences, interviews with causers of damage or witnesses, requisitions of documents, expert examinations or inspections, etc. Alternatively, it also serves to support the signing of a Conduct Adjustment Agreement to fully compensate for environmental damage, substantiate the expression of recommendations, and hold public hearings for the protection of safeguarded collective rights. In the case that the absence of environmental damage after several demarches is proven, it serves to justify its own archiving.

It is important to state that, although it is a fundamental document for data collection, civil investigation is not mandatory for filing a public civil action. That is, if a Prosecutor has sufficient evidence for filing a demand, they can do so regardless of the institution that went through the pre-trial discovery procedure.

Noting this, in the case of the investigative administrative procedure, the absence of an adversarial part in the civil inquiry will not invalidate a public civil

17) In this sense: Superior Court of Justice (STJ), 2nd Class, Special Feature (REsp) 37,354 -9/ SP, Rapporteur Minister Antonio de Padua Ribeiro, v.u., Journal of environmental law, v. 15, p. 246.

18) Mazzilli, Hugo Nigro. *The diffuse interests in mind. Environment, consumer, cultural heritage, public assets and other interests*. 24th ed. Rev., ampl. and current. São Paulo: Saraiva, 2011, p. 156.

action subsequently initiated.

Mazzilli is emphatic: “in principle, nullities or vices of a civilian inquiry will have no reflection in a lawsuit. Such irregularities do not go as far as overshadowing the inquiry’s own value, that is, the principle of *indemnity of separable*.” However, there is one caveat: “However, the acts that effectively are dependent on illicit evidence, even judicial acts, will be contaminated by those illicit evidence (this is the theory of the *fruits of the poisonous tree*)”.¹⁹⁾

In any case, at the end of investigation and without the basis for filing the public civil action, it is necessary to archive the civil inquiry, which necessarily will be the subject of review by the Council of the Public Prosecution Office²⁰⁾ in the case of States (subnational level); in the Federal Public Prosecution Office, this review is an attribution of the Board of Coordination and Review.²¹⁾

Here is content of Summary n°12 of the Superior Council of the Public Prosecution Office of the State of São Paulo:

“Summary No. 12. Subjected to the approval of the Board of Governors is any promotion to archive a civil inquiry or pieces of information as well as the refusal of representation, which contains pieces of information alluding to the defense of diffuse interests, collective or individual homogeneous.

Background: federal law No. 7,347/85 establishes that the Board of Governors of the Public Prosecution Office, CSMP²²⁾ will review any archiving procedure of civil proceedings or of pieces of information to prevent the filing of public civil action by the organ of the prosecution service (art. 9 and § 1 of law No. 7,347/85).

If the respective collegial body decides not to archive the case, it may determine a new diligence or, when considered to have sufficient evidence,

19) Ibidem, p. 476.

20) The Board of Governors of the Public Ministry is the implementing body and the upper management of the Public Ministry which has, among its duties, approval to promote a civil investigations archive. See Law No. 7.347/85, art. 9º, §§ 1st and 3rd: “Art. 9º. If the governing body of the Public Ministry has exhausted all the steps, you can tell yourself that there is no basis for the filing of civil action, promote the archiving of the civil inquiry on a solid basis. §1º The autos of the civil inquiry archived will be sent, under penalty of incurring serious misconduct, within 3 (three) days to the Superior Council of the Public Ministry.(...) § 3º The promotion of archiving will be subject to examination and deliberation of the Superior Council of the Public Ministry , according to its regiment.”

21) complementary law No. 75/93, arts. 62, IV, and 171, IV.

22) The Superior Council of the Public Ministry.

designate another Member of the *Parquet* who can file a public civil action.²³⁾

It is important to note that the archiving of a civil investigation will not compromise criminal investigations since the principles of criminal and civil liabilities are different.²⁴⁾ Eventually, however, a civil investigation might serve as an element of conviction for offering a criminal complaint since a police investigation is dispensable when filing a criminal action.²⁵⁾

In summary, a civil investigation established to determine the occurrence of environmental damage may result in three possible solutions: the filing of a public civil action for holding the polluter accountable, the signature of a Conduct Adjustment Agreement for full environmental damage compensation, or the archiving of a procedure due to the absence of environmental degradation to be repaired.

5. Some peculiarities of Public Environmental Civil Action and role of Public Prosecution Office in Brazil

The Public Prosecution Office, along with the Public Defender's Office, the federate entities, local authorities, public corporations, foundations and institutions of a mixed economy, and civil associations,²⁶⁾ is the legitimized institution for filing public civil actions related to environmental issues (*legitimatío ad cause*). In addition, if it is not the author of the demand, the *Parquet* must obligatorily intervene in the process as *custos legis* (law n° 7,347/85, art. 5, § 1).

Mirra summarizes, with accuracy, the role of the Public Prosecution Office in environmental public civil actions:

23) Law n° 7.347/85, Art. 9°, § 4°: "Leaving the Superior Council of the Public Ministry to approve the promotion of archiving it will be appointed from the outset another prosecutor for the filing of the action."

24) In regards to this, check out the summary of paragraph 5 of the High Council of the Public Ministry of the State of São Paulo: "DOCKET No. 5. If environmental damage repaired and there is no basis for the proposition of public civil action, a civil investigation should be filed, without prejudice to any criminal proceedings for the current case. Bedding: If the environmental damage has been repaired and, simultaneously, there is no basis for the proposition of any public civil action, the case involves filing a civil inquiry or parts, except information required for any criminal aspects."

25) Mazzilli, Hugo Nigro. OB. cit., p. 480.

26) Law n° 7,347/85, Art. #5: Have legitimacy to propose the main action and the precautionary action: (...) V-the Association that, concomitantly: a) is constituted at least 1 (one) year under the civil law, b) includes, among its institutional purposes, protection (...) to the environment (...) or the artistic heritage, aesthetic, historical, tourist and scenic."

“The representativeness of the Public Prosecution Office, as ‘guardian’ of the collective interests in the legal defense of the environment, stems from the Federal Constitution (art. 127) and the organic laws of the Public Prosecution Offices of the Union and States that erected it as a permanent institution essential to the jurisdictional function of the State and to which was attributed the defense of the legal order and of the democratic regime and of the vested rights of the individuals and of the collectivity, ensuring the independence of its members in the performance of their duties. Aside from that, by its performance over the years in defense of collective and diffuse interests overall, the Public Prosecution Office has been noticed as a true defender of the people, as per the line maintained with social demands in this respect, legitimized in practice too, in political terms, as a representative institution of society”.²⁷⁾

Pursuant to article nº 3 of Law 7347/85, a public civil action may result in the decision to set a conviction to be financial payment or to be the fulfillment of the obligation do-or-not-to-do something. These are cumulative or alternative applications, not exclusive. In the event of monetary conviction, “compensation for the damage caused will revert to a fund managed by a Federal Council or by State Councils in which will participate necessarily prosecutors and representatives of the community, and these will be the resources used for the reconstruction of damaged assets” (art. 13, law No. 7,347/85).

Leite classifies the environmental damage, as to its extent, in *equity damage* and *environmental morality damage* (sensation of pain or injury not supported by collective heritage due to injury to environmental property).²⁸⁾

The concept of environmental damage includes damage to natural, artificial and cultural elements, defined as properties of common use by legally protected people, as well as the violation of the right of the whole society to an ecologically balanced environment, a fundamental human right, of diffuse nature.²⁹⁾

With such premises defined, it is clear that, in accordance with the Federal Constitution of 1988 (art. 225, § 1), repairing the environmental damage must be aimed at repairing the damage itself and all other damages associated with it, such

27) Myrrhm, Álvaro Luiz Valery. *Public civil action and the repair of damage to the environment*. São Paulo: Juarez de Oliveira, 2nd ed. current., 2004, p. 197.

28) Milk, José Rubens Morato. *Environmental damage: the individual to the collective off-balance sheet item*. 2nd ed. São Paulo: Revista dos Tribunals 2003, p. 97.

29) Myrrhm, Álvaro Luiz Valery. Op. cit., p. 85.

as current, emerging, intercurrent, and moral damages as well. That is, the repair of damage to the environment must be integral.

It is recognition by the national order, of the principle of *integral environmental damage reparability*, which, according to Minister Antonio Herman de Vasconcelos e Benjamin, prevents all the “forms and formulas, statutory or constitutional, of exclusion, modification, or limitation of environmental repair, which must always be integral, ensuring effective protection to the ecologically balanced environment.”³⁰⁾

According to Mirra, “one does not admit any limitation to the complete reparability of the damage, the characteristics of the medium, or the environmental property. In view of the unavailability of a protected right, no law, no agreement between litigants, and no court decision tending to limit the extent of the repair of environmental damage can be considered legitimate.”³¹⁾

The jurisprudence of the Superior Court of Justice walks in direction of the consolidation of the *principle of integral environmental damage reparability* and, within the framework of a lawsuit, the consolidation of the possibility of overlapping, in the midst of the public environmental civil proceedings, the demands for repairing *in natura* and compensation payment. The admission of this possibility of accumulating demands is aimed at the effective application of that principle. See, by the way:

“ADMINISTRATIVE. ENVIRONMENTAL. PUBLIC CIVIL ACTION. DEFORESTATION OF NATIVE VEGETATION (CERRADO) WITHOUT THE PERMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITY. DAMAGE TO BIOTA. INTERPRETATION OF ARTS. 4º, VII, and 14, §1º, OF LAW 6.938/1981, AND OF ART. 3º OF LAW 7.347/85. PRINCIPLES OF FULL COMPENSATION, POLLUTER-PAYS AND USER-PAYS PRINCIPLE. POSSIBILITY OF CUMULATION OF OBLIGATION TO DO (REPAIR THE DEGRADED AREA) AND TO PAY A CERTAIN SUM (COMPENSATION). *REDUCTION AD PRISTINUM STATUM*. DAMAGE INTERMEDIATE ENVIRONMENTAL, AND RESIDUAL COLLECTIVE MORALITY. ART. 5º OF THE CIVIL CODE INTRODUCTORY LAW.

30) Benjamin, Antonio Herman de Vasconcelos e. *civil liability for environmental damage*, environmental law Magazine, São Paulo, year 3, no. 9, Jan./mar. 1998, p. 5-52.

31) Myrrh, Álvaro Luiz Valery. *Civil liability for environmental damage and the principle of full compensation of the damage*. In Journal of environmental law. São Paulo: Revista dos tribunals, nº 32, Oct./dez. 2003, p. 81-82.

INTERPRETATION *IN DUBIO PRO NATURA* ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARD. (...)

3. By declaring the environment offender civilly responsible, one must not confuse the environmental priority of recovery for *in natura* degraded properties, with the impossibility of simultaneous accumulation of duties that revert the environment to its original status (obligation to-do), environmental compensation and indemnity in money (obligation to-give), and abstention from use and new lesion (obligation not-to-do). (...)

5. The environmental demands, by virtue of the principles *polluter-pays* and *compensation in integrum*, admit the conviction of the defendant, simultaneously and in aggregate, in obligation to do, do not, and indemnify. That is the typical cumulative or conjunctive obligation. Thus, in the interpretation of arts. 4º, VII, and 14, §1º of the law of National Environmental Policy (Law 6.938/81), and art. 3º of Law 7.347/85, the conjunction “or” has additive value and does not introduce an alternative exclusion. This judicial position takes into account that environmental damage is spacious (ethical, temporal, and ecological, and patrimonially speaking, still sensitive to the diversity of the vast universe of victims, ranging from isolated individuals to society, future generations, and the ecological processes themselves).

6. If the damaged property is completely and immediately restored to the *status quo ante* (*reductio ad pristinum statum*, i.e., restoration to the original condition), there is no discussion, ordinarily, on compensation. However, the technical possibility, in the future (= provision by prospective Court), of restoration *in natura* is not always enough to revert or recover fully, in the field of civil liability, the various dimensions of the environmental damage caused, that is, the reason it does not invalidate the duties associated with the principles of *polluter-pays* and *compensation in integrum*.

7. Refusal of application or partial application of the principles of *polluter-pays* and *repair in integrum* overhang the harmful impression that, moral and socially, the environment delict is really worth. Hence, the administrative and judicial responses are only acceptable and manageable, that is, the risk or cost of business, leading to the weakening of the dissuasive character of legal protection, real stimulus for others, inspired by the example of impunity as a matter of fact, even if not supported in law, of the offender rewarded, if they imitate or repeat their deleterious behavior.

8. Environmental liability must be understood as widely as possible so that

the condemnation of restoring a damaged area does not exclude the obligation to indemnify – retrospective and prospective judgments.

9. *The bis in idem* accumulation of obligation to-do, don't-do, and pay is not configured since the compensation, instead of considering the specific damage already ecologically restored or to be restored, is focused on the portion of the damage that, although caused by the same agent, still represents deleterious effects hereafter, irreparable or intangible.

10. This transitional degradation, remnant or reflex of the environment, encompasses a) the ecological damage that mediates, temporally, the instant of action or harmful omission and the complete restoration or recovery of the biota, that is to say, the hiatus of total or partial deterioration, in the empowerment of the right to use by the common people (= interim or intermediate damage), something always present in the hypothesis, e.g., in which the judicial command, restrictively, is satisfied with the single natural regeneration and lost sighting of flora illegally suppressed, b) the environmental ruin that still exists or lasts, despite all the efforts of restoration (= residual or permanent damage), and c) the collective moral damage. Also to be reimbursed to the public heritage and society is the economic advantage of the agent with the activity or degrader venture, the ecological added-value that was received illegally, e.g., timber or ore removed irregularly from a degraded area or the benefits resulting from its spurious use in activities like agricultural, cattle breeding tourism, and commercial activities). (...)

14. Special recourse partially provided to recognize the possibility, in theory, of overlapping pecuniary indemnity with obligations to-do and to-don't related to recomposing *in natura* the aggrieved property, returning the case back to the Court of origin to verify if, in the hypothesis, there is damage compensation and to secure possible *quantum debeatur*.”³²⁾

6. Conduct adjustment agreement

In those terms, §6° of article 113 of the Consumer Protection Code, whose procedural discipline applies to the collective protection of any collectivity rights,

32) Superior Court of Justice (STJ), REsp 1198727/MG, 2nd Class, Rapporteur Minister Benjamin Herman, v.u., DJe: 5/9/2013, RIP vol. 79 p. 279. Available at https://ww2.stj.jus.br/processo/revista/inteiroteor/?num_registro=201001113499&dt_publicacao=09/05/2013. Accessed on 1/26/2015.

provides that “the legitimate public agencies may take commitment from the stakeholder of the conduct adjustment to the legal requirements, upon agreement with the defaulter, with the efficacy of extrajudicial enforcement order.”

Therefore, ended the investigations in the civil inquiry with evidence of environmental damage, it is possible for the Prosecutor to seek out-of-court composition of a dispute by signing with a polluter a Conduct Adjustment Agreement,³³⁾ that is, an extrajudicial enforcement in favor of the collectivity.³⁴⁾

This agreement will have as its object the whole compensation of the environmental degradation, and may foresee, alternative or cumulatively, obligations to-do, not-to-do, compensate, or indemnify the environmental damage. In the case of convictions that result in the payment of cash in public civil actions, the compensation for the damage caused will revert to a fund managed by a Federal Council or by State Councils, and its resources will be used for the restoration of damaged assets (art. 13, law No. 7,347/85).

It is extremely important to estimate, in the agreement, that the breach of obligations will subject the infringer to the payment of daily fines until the effective fulfillment of their obligations. The mentioned fine (*astreinte*) will have comminatory character, not compensatory, since what matters most for environmental protection is the commitment of the polluter to comply with obligations to-do or not-to-do, rather than the corresponding funds. The possible payment value, corresponding to the daily fine, will compose as well the Diffuse Rights Protection Fund and will revert to the reconstitution of damaged assets.

It is important to point out that, given the diffuse nature of the interests involved in environmental protection - a right that belongs to the entire community, current and future generations - a member of the State Public Prosecution Office is not allowed to deploy its content when signing an adjustment with a degrader. Therefore, negotiation intrinsic to an agreement can never neglect

33) Organic law of the Public Ministry of the State of São Paulo, complementary law No. 734 of November 26, 1993, “Article 112. The organ of the prosecution, civil investigations that have been introduced and since the fact are properly clarified, you can formalize upon term in autos commitment of responsibility as to the fulfilment of the obligations necessary for full compensation for the damage. Sole paragraph. The effectiveness of the undertaking will be subject to the approval of the promotion of archiving a civil inquiry by the Board of Governors of the public prosecution service.” Available at <http://www.al.sp.gov.br/repositorio/legislacao/lei.complementar/1993/compilacao-lei.complementar-734-26.11.1993.html> . Accessed on 1/15/2015.

34) Mazzilli, Hugo Nigro. OB. cit., p. 432.

the right protected; any provisions may occur only with respect to the deadline for compliance.

After signing the Conduct Adjustment Agreement, this must be submitted to the internal control by the Council of the Public Prosecution Office, in the case of States, or by one of the Chambers of Coordination and Review of the Federal Public Prosecution Office, in the case of the Union. These internal organs may disagree and determine new arrangements or the filing of a public civil action in the case that there are misconceptions in the agreements. When agreement is reached, the monitoring of the agreement shall be determined by the respective institution.

It is, in short, an important tool placed at the disposal of the public prosecutor for effective environmental protection.

7. Conclusion

In consensus with the developments of the International Environmental Law, Brazil experienced, especially from the early 80's, a major boost to its environmental legislation. Indeed, the 1985 Public Civil Action Law and the 1988 Federal Constitution gave to the Public Prosecution Office, raised to the status of independent body, important instruments for environmental protection. In the exercise of this, public civil action, civil investigation, and the Conduct Adjustment Agreement consolidate the work of the *Parquet*, an active representative of society in Brazilian domestic law, aiming not only to maintain but also to improve a healthy and ecologically balanced environment for present and future generations.

