



Title	RECONSTRUCTIBLE GRAPHS, SIMPLICIAL FLAG COMPLEXES OF HOMOLOGY MANIFOLDS AND ASSOCIATED RIGHT-ANGLED COXETER GROUPS
Author(s)	Hosaka, Tetsuya
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2015, 52(4), p. 1173-1180
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/57691
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

RECONSTRUCTIBLE GRAPHS, SIMPLICIAL FLAG COMPLEXES OF HOMOLOGY MANIFOLDS AND ASSOCIATED RIGHT-ANGLED COXETER GROUPS

TETSUYA HOSAKA

(Received April 4, 2014, revised October 2, 2014)

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate a relation between finite graphs, simplicial flag complexes and right-angled Coxeter groups, and we provide a class of reconstructible finite graphs. We show that if Γ is a finite graph which is the 1-skeleton of some simplicial flag complex L which is a homology manifold of dimension $n \geq 1$, then the graph Γ is reconstructible.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate a relation between finite graphs, simplicial flag complexes and right-angled Coxeter groups, and we provide a class of reconstructible finite graphs. This paper treats only “simplicial” graphs. We show that if Γ is a finite graph which is the 1-skeleton of some simplicial flag complex L which is a homology manifold of dimension $n \geq 1$, then the graph Γ is reconstructible.

A graph Γ is said to be *reconstructible*, if any graph Γ' with the following property (*) is isomorphic to Γ .

(*) Let S and S' be the vertex sets of Γ and Γ' respectively. Then there exists a bijection $f: S \rightarrow S'$ such that the subgraphs $\Gamma_{S-\{s\}}$ and $\Gamma'_{S'-\{f(s)\}}$ are isomorphic for any $s \in S$, where $\Gamma_{S-\{s\}}$ and $\Gamma'_{S'-\{f(s)\}}$ are the full subgraphs of Γ and Γ' whose vertex sets are $S - \{s\}$ and $S' - \{f(s)\}$ respectively.

The following open problem is well-known as the reconstruction conjecture.

PROBLEM (Reconstruction conjecture). Every finite graph with at least three vertices will be reconstructible?

Some classes of reconstructible graphs are known (cf. [3], [20], [21], [22], [23], [26]) as follows: Let Γ be a finite graph with at least three vertices.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57M15, 05C10, 20F55.

Partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. (No. 25800039).

- (i) If Γ is a regular graph, then it is reconstructible.
- (ii) If Γ is a tree, then it is reconstructible.
- (iii) If Γ is not connected, then it is reconstructible.
- (iv) If Γ has at most 11 vertices, then it is reconstructible.

Our motivation to consider graphs of the 1-skeletons of some simplicial flag complexes comes from the following idea on right-angled Coxeter groups and their nerves.

Details of Coxeter groups and Coxeter systems are found in [4], [6] and [19], and details of flag complexes, nerves, Davis complexes and their boundaries are found in [8], [9] and [24].

Let Γ be a finite graph and let S be the vertex set of Γ . Then the graph Γ uniquely determines a finite simplicial flag complex L whose 1-skeleton $L^{(1)}$ coincide with Γ . Here a simplicial complex L is a *flag complex*, if the following condition holds:

(**) For any vertex set $\{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$ of L , if $\{s_i, s_j\}$ spans 1-simplex in L for any $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$ then the vertex set $\{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$ spans n -simplex in L .

Also every finite simplicial flag complex L uniquely determines a right-angled Coxeter system (W, S) whose nerve $L(W, S)$ coincide with L (cf. [1], [8], [9], [10], [12]). Here for any subset T of S , T spans a simplex of L if and only if the parabolic subgroup W_T generated by T is finite (such a subset T is called a *spherical subset* of S).

Moreover it is known that every right-angled Coxeter group W uniquely determines its right-angled Coxeter system (W, S) up to isomorphisms ([28], [18]).

By this corresponding, we can identify a finite graph Γ , a finite simplicial flag complex L , a right-angled Coxeter system (W, S) and a right-angled Coxeter group W .

Let Γ and Γ' be finite graphs, let L and L' be the corresponding flag complexes, let (W, S) and (W', S') be the corresponding right-angled Coxeter systems, and let W and W' be the corresponding right-angled Coxeter groups, respectively. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) Γ and Γ' are isomorphic as graphs;
- (2) L and L' are isomorphic as simplicial complexes;
- (3) (W, S) and (W', S') are isomorphic as Coxeter systems;
- (4) W and W' are isomorphic as groups.

Also, for any subset T of the vertex set S of the graph Γ , the full subgraph Γ_T of Γ with vertex set T corresponds the full subcomplex L_T of L with vertex set T , the parabolic Coxeter system (W_T, T) generated by T , and the parabolic subgroup W_T of W generated by T .

Hence we can consider the reconstruction problem as the problem on simplicial flag complexes and also as the problem on right-angled Coxeter groups.

Moreover, the right-angled Coxeter system (W, S) associated by the graph Γ defines the Davis complex Σ which is a CAT(0) space and we can consider the ideal boundary $\partial\Sigma$ of the CAT(0) space Σ (cf. [1], [2], [5], [8], [9], [10], [12], [15], [16], [24]). Then the topology of the boundary $\partial\Sigma$ is determined by the graph Γ , and the

topology of $\partial\Sigma$ is also a graph invariant.

Based on the observations above, we can obtain the following lemma from results of F.T. Farrell [13, Theorem 3], M.W. Davis [10, Theorem 5.5] and [17, Corollary 4.2] (we introduce details of this argument in Section 3).

Lemma 1.1. *Let (W, S) be an irreducible Coxeter system where W is infinite and let $L = L(W, S)$ be the nerve of (W, S) . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *W is a virtual Poincaré duality group.*
- (2) *L is a generalized homology sphere.*
- (3) *$\tilde{H}^i(L_{S-T}) = 0$ for any i and any non-empty spherical subset T of S .*

Here a generalized homology n -sphere is a polyhedral homology n -manifold with the same homology as an n -sphere \mathbb{S}^n (cf. [10, Section 5], [11], [25, p. 374], [27]). Also detail of (virtual) Poincaré duality groups is found in [7], [10], [11], [13].

In Lemma 1.1, we particularly note that the statement (3) is a local condition of L which determines a global structure of L as the statement (2). From this observation, it seems that the following theorem holds. (However the proof is not so obvious.)

Theorem 1.2. *Let Γ be a finite graph with at least 3 vertices and let (W, S) be the right-angled Coxeter system associated by Γ (i.e. the 1-skeleton of the nerve $L(W, S)$ of (W, S) is Γ). If the Coxeter group W is an irreducible virtual Poincaré duality group, then the graph Γ is reconstructible. Hence,*

- (i) *if Γ is the 1-skeleton of some simplicial flag complex L which is a generalized homology sphere, then the graph Γ is reconstructible, and*
- (ii) *in particular, if Γ is the 1-skeleton of some flag triangulation L of some n -sphere \mathbb{S}^n ($n \geq 1$), then the graph Γ is reconstructible.*

Here, based on this motivation, we investigate a finite graph which is the 1-skeleton of some simplicial flag complex which is a *homology manifold* as an extension of a generalized homology sphere, and we prove the following theorem. (Hence as a corollary, we also obtain Theorem 1.2.)

Theorem 1.3. *Let Γ be a finite graph with at least 3 vertices.*

- (i) *If Γ is the 1-skeleton of some simplicial flag complex L which is a homology n -manifold ($n \geq 1$), then the graph Γ is reconstructible.*
- (ii) *In particular, if Γ is the 1-skeleton of some flag triangulation L of some n -manifold ($n \geq 1$), then the graph Γ is reconstructible.*

Here detail of homology manifolds is found in [10, Section 5], [11], [25, p. 374], [27].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let Γ be a finite graph with at least 3 vertices which is the 1-skeleton of some simplicial flag complex L which is a homology manifold of dimension $n \geq 1$. Then we show that the graph Γ is reconstructible.

Let Γ' be a finite graph and let L' be the finite simplicial flag complex associated by Γ' . Also let S and S' be the vertex sets of the graphs Γ and Γ' respectively.

Now we suppose that the condition $(*)$ holds:

$(*)$ There exists a bijection $f: S \rightarrow S'$ such that the subgraphs $\Gamma_{S-\{s\}}$ and $\Gamma'_{S'-\{f(s)\}}$ are isomorphic for any $s \in S$.

To show that the graph Γ is reconstructible, we prove that the two graphs Γ and Γ' are isomorphic, i.e., the two simplicial flag complexes L and L' associated by Γ and Γ' respectively are isomorphic.

Let $v_0 \in S$ and let $v'_0 = f(v_0)$. Then the two subgraphs $\Gamma_{S-\{v_0\}}$ and $\Gamma'_{S'-\{v'_0\}}$ are isomorphic by the assumption $(*)$, and the two subcomplexes $L_{S-\{v_0\}}$ and $L'_{S'-\{v'_0\}}$ are isomorphic. Let ϕ be an isomorphism from $L_{S-\{v_0\}}$ to $L'_{S'-\{v'_0\}}$.

If for any $a \in \text{Lk}(v_0, L)^{(0)}$, $\phi(a) \in \text{Lk}(v'_0, L')^{(0)}$ then we obtain an isomorphism $\bar{\phi}: L \rightarrow L'$ from $\bar{\phi}|_{L_{S-\{v_0\}}} = \phi$ and $\bar{\phi}(v_0) = v'_0$ (since $\deg v_0 = \deg v'_0$), hence L and L' are isomorphic.

Now we suppose that there exists $a_0 \in S - \{v_0\}$ such that $a_0 \notin \text{Lk}(v_0, L)^{(0)}$ and $a'_0 := \phi(a_0) \in \text{Lk}(v'_0, L')^{(0)}$.

Here if there does not exist $u'_0 \in S' - \text{St}(a'_0, L')^{(0)}$, then $\text{St}(a'_0, L')^{(0)} = S'$, where $\text{St}(a'_0, L')$ means the closed star of a'_0 in L' . Hence $[a'_0, b'] \in L'^{(1)}$ for any $b' \in S' - \{a'_0\}$. Since $\deg a_0 = \deg a'_0$ and $|S| = |S'|$, $[a_0, b] \in L^{(1)}$ for any $b \in S - \{a_0\}$. This particularly implies $[a_0, v_0] \in L^{(1)}$. This is a contradiction because it means $a_0 \in \text{Lk}(v_0, L)^{(0)}$.

Thus we suppose that there exists $u'_0 \in S' - \text{St}(a'_0, L')^{(0)}$.

Let $u_0 := f^{-1}(u'_0)$. Then by the assumption $(*)$, the two subcomplexes $L_{S-\{u_0\}}$ and $L'_{S'-\{u'_0\}}$ are isomorphic and let ψ be an isomorphism from $L_{S-\{u_0\}}$ to $L'_{S'-\{u'_0\}}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lk}(\psi^{-1}(a'_0), L_{S-\{u_0\}}) &\cong \text{Lk}(a'_0, L'_{S'-\{u'_0\}}) \\ &\cong \text{Lk}(a'_0, L'), \end{aligned}$$

since ψ is an isomorphism and $u'_0 \notin \text{St}(a'_0, L')$. Also we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{St}(\psi^{-1}(a'_0), L_{S-\{u_0\}}) &\cong \text{St}(a'_0, L'_{S'-\{u'_0\}}) \\ &\cong \text{St}(a'_0, L'). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\text{St}(a'_0, L'_{S'-\{u'_0\}}) \subsetneq \text{St}(a'_0, L') \cong \text{St}(\psi^{-1}(a'_0), L_{S-\{u_0\}}).$$

Here we note that $\text{St}(\psi^{-1}(a'_0), L_{S-\{u_0\}})$ is either

(a) the closed star $\text{St}(\psi^{-1}(a'_0), L)$ of the vertex $\psi^{-1}(a'_0)$ in the homology n -manifold L , or

(b) $\text{St}(\psi^{-1}(a'_0), L) - u_0$ where $u_0 \in \text{Lk}(\psi^{-1}(a'_0), L)$,

and also note that $\text{St}(a'_0, L'_{S'-\{v'_0\}}) = \text{St}(a'_0, L') - v'_0$. Hence we obtain that

(I) $\text{St}(a'_0, L'_{S'-\{v'_0\}})$ is isomorphic to some closed star deleted one or two vertices from its link in the homology n -manifold L .

On the other hand,

$$\text{St}(a'_0, L'_{S'-\{v'_0\}}) \cong \text{St}(a_0, L_{S-\{v_0\}}) \cong \text{St}(a_0, L),$$

since ϕ is an isomorphism and $a_0 \notin \text{St}(v_0, L)$. Here we note that $\text{St}(a_0, L)$ is the closed star in the homology n -manifold L . Hence we obtain that

(II) $\text{St}(a'_0, L'_{S'-\{v'_0\}})$ is isomorphic to some closed star in the homology n -manifold L .

Then (I) and (II) imply the contradiction. Indeed the following claim holds.

Claim. *Let $A = \text{St}(a)$ be a closed star of a vertex a in a homology n -manifold and let $B = \text{St}(b) - \{c_1, c_2\}$ be a closed star of a vertex b deleted one or two vertices $\{c_1, c_2\} \subset \text{Lk}(b)$ in a homology n -manifold. Then the simplicial complexes A and B are not isomorphic.*

We first note that every triangulated homology n -manifold is a union of n -simplexes ([25, Corollary 63.3 (a)]). Hence $A = \text{St}(a)$ and $\text{St}(b)$ are unions of n -simplexes containing a and b respectively. Then there exists an n -simplex σ_0 such that $c_1 \in \sigma_0 \subset \text{St}(b)$.

Here if $c_1 \neq c_2$ then we can take σ_0 as $c_2 \notin \sigma_0$. Indeed if $c_1 \neq c_2$ and $c_2 \in \sigma_0$ then $[c_1, c_2] \subset \sigma_0$ and we can consider $(n-1)$ -simplex τ as $\tau^{(0)} = \sigma_0^{(0)} - \{c_2\}$. Then by [25, Corollary 63.3 (b)], there exist precisely two n -simplexes containing τ as a face. Hence we can take an n -simplex σ'_0 containing τ as a face and $\sigma'_0 \neq \sigma_0$. Then $c_1 \in \sigma'_0 \subset \text{St}(b)$ and $c_2 \notin \sigma'_0$. Hence in this case we retake σ_0 as σ'_0 .

Now σ_0 is an n -simplex such that $c_1 \in \sigma_0 \subset \text{St}(b)$ and if $c_1 \neq c_2$ then $c_2 \notin \sigma_0$. Let τ_0 be the $(n-1)$ -simplex as $\tau_0^{(0)} = \sigma_0^{(0)} - \{c_1\}$. Then we note that $\tau_0 \subset \text{St}(b) - \{c_1, c_2\} = B$.

Now we suppose that A and B are isomorphic and there exists an isomorphism $g: B \rightarrow A$. Then $g(\tau_0)$ is an $(n-1)$ -simplex in A . By [25, Corollary 63.3 (b)], there exist precisely two n -simplexes $\bar{\sigma}_1$ and $\bar{\sigma}_2$ containing $g(\tau_0)$ as a face in A . Then $g^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_1)$ and $g^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_2)$ are n -simplexes containing τ_0 as a face in B , since $g: B \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism. Here $g^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_1)$, $g^{-1}(\bar{\sigma}_2)$ and σ_0 are distinct n -simplexes containing τ_0 as a face in $\text{St}(b)$. This contradicts to [25, Corollary 63.3 (b)].

Thus the simplicial complexes A and B are not isomorphic.

Hence, there does not exist $a_0 \in S - \{v_0\}$ such that $a_0 \notin \text{Lk}(v_0, L)^{(0)}$ and $\phi(a_0) \in \text{Lk}(v'_0, L')^{(0)}$, that is, for $a \in S - \{v_0\}$, $a \in \text{Lk}(v_0, L)^{(0)}$ if and only if $\phi(a) \in \text{Lk}(v'_0, L')^{(0)}$,

since $\deg v_0 = \deg v'_0$. Hence the map $\tilde{\phi}: S \rightarrow S'$ defined by $\tilde{\phi}|_{S-\{v_0\}} = \phi$ and $\tilde{\phi}(v_0) = v'_0$ induces an isomorphism of the two graphs Γ and Γ' .

Therefore the graph Γ is reconstructible. \square

3. Virtual Poincaré duality Coxeter groups and reconstructible graphs

We introduce a relation of virtual Poincaré duality Coxeter groups and reconstructible graphs, which is our motivation of this paper.

DEFINITION 3.1 (cf. [7], [10], [11], [13]). A torsion-free group G is called an *n-dimensional Poincaré duality group*, if G is of type FP and if

$$H^i(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & (i \neq n), \\ \mathbb{Z} & (i = n). \end{cases}$$

Also a group G is called a *virtual Poincaré duality group*, if G contains a torsion-free subgroup of finite-index which is a Poincaré duality group.

On Coxeter groups and (virtual) Poincaré duality groups, the following results are known.

Theorem 3.2 (Farrell [13, Theorem 3]). *Suppose that G is a finitely presented group of type FP, and let n be the smallest integer such that $H^n(G; \mathbb{Z}G) \neq 0$. If $H^n(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is a finitely generated abelian group, then G is an n -dimensional Poincaré duality group.*

REMARK. It is known that every infinite Coxeter group W contains some torsion-free subgroup G of finite-index in W which is a finitely presented group of type FP and $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}G)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}W)$. Hence if n is the smallest integer such that $H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}W) \neq 0$ and if $H^n(W; \mathbb{Z}W)$ is finitely generated (as an abelian group), then W is a virtual Poincaré duality group of dimension n .

Theorem 3.3 (Davis [10, Theorem 5.5]). *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *W is a virtual Poincaré duality group of dimension n .*
- (2) *W decomposes as a direct product $W = W_{T_0} \times W_{T_1}$ such that T_1 is a spherical subset of S and the simplicial complex $L_{T_0} = L(W_{T_0}, T_0)$ associated by (W_{T_0}, T_0) is a generalized homology $(n-1)$ -sphere.*

Theorem 3.4 ([17, Corollary 4.2]). *Let (W, S) be an infinite irreducible Coxeter system, let $L = L(W, S)$ and let $0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *$H^i(W; \mathbb{Z}W)$ is finitely generated.*

- (2) $H^i(W; \mathbb{Z}W)$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{H}^{i-1}(L)$.
 (3) $\tilde{H}^{i-1}(L_{S-T}) = 0$ for any non-empty spherical subset T of S .
 Here $L_{S-T} = L(W_{S-T}, S - T)$.

We obtain the following lemma from results above.

Lemma 3.5. *Let (W, S) be an irreducible Coxeter system where W is infinite and let $L = L(W, S)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) W is a virtual Poincaré duality group.
 (2) L is a generalized homology sphere.
 (3) $\tilde{H}^i(L_{S-T}) = 0$ for any i and any non-empty spherical subset T of S .

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): We obtain the equivalence of (1) and (2) from Theorem 3.3, since (W, S) is irreducible.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): We obtain this implication from Theorem 3.4, because if W is a virtual Poincaré duality group then $H^i(W; \mathbb{Z}W)$ is finitely generated for any i .

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose that $\tilde{H}^i(L_{S-T}) = 0$ for any i and any non-empty spherical subset T of S . Then by Theorem 3.4, $H^{i+1}(W; \mathbb{Z}W)$ is finitely generated for any i . Since W is infinite, $H^{i_0}(W; \mathbb{Z}W)$ is non-trivial for some i_0 (cf. [7], [14]). Hence by Theorem 3.2, W is a virtual Poincaré duality group. \square

We obtain Theorem 1.2 from Theorem 1.3. In particular, we obtain the following.

Theorem 3.6. *Let Γ be a finite graph with at least 3 vertices and let (W, S) be the right-angled Coxeter system associated by Γ . If the Coxeter group W is an irreducible virtual Poincaré duality group, then the graph Γ is reconstructible.*

References

- [1] M. Bestvina: *The virtual cohomological dimension of Coxeter groups*; in Geometric Group Theory, 1 (Sussex, 1991), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **181**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, 19–23.
- [2] M. Bestvina: *Local homology properties of boundaries of groups*, Michigan Math. J. **43** (1996), 123–139.
- [3] J.A. Bondy and R.L. Hemminger: *Graph reconstruction—a survey*, J. Graph Theory **1** (1977), 227–268.
- [4] N. Bourbaki: *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Masson, Paris, 1981.
- [5] M.R. Bridson and A. Haefliger: *Metric Spaces of non-Positive Curvature*, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [6] K.S. Brown: *Buildings*, Springer, New York, 1989.
- [7] K.S. Brown: *Cohomology of Groups*, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [8] M.W. Davis: *Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space*, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), 293–324.

- [9] M.W. Davis: *Nonpositive curvature and reflection groups*; in Handbook of geometric topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, 373–422.
- [10] M.W. Davis: *The cohomology of a Coxeter group with group ring coefficients*, Duke Math. J. **91** (1998), 297–314.
- [11] M.W. Davis: *Poincaré duality groups*; in Surveys on Surgery Theory, 1, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999, 167–193.
- [12] A.N. Dranishnikov: *On the virtual cohomological dimensions of Coxeter groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 1885–1891.
- [13] F.T. Farrell: *Poincaré duality and groups of type (FP)*, Comment. Math. Helv. **50** (1975), 187–195.
- [14] R. Geoghegan and P. Ontaneda: *Boundaries of cocompact proper CAT(0) spaces*, Topology **46** (2007), 129–137.
- [15] M. Gromov: *Hyperbolic groups*; in Essays in Group Theory, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **8**, Springer, New York, 1987, 75–263.
- [16] M. Gromov: *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*; in Geometric Group Theory, 2 (Sussex, 1991), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **182**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, 1–295.
- [17] T. Hosaka: *On the cohomology of Coxeter groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **162** (2001), 291–301.
- [18] T. Hosaka: *Determination up to isomorphism of right-angled Coxeter systems*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **79** (2003), 33–35.
- [19] J.E. Humphreys: *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [20] P.J. Kelly: *A congruence theorem for trees*, Pacific J. Math. **7** (1957), 961–968.
- [21] B. Manvel: *On reconstruction of graphs*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Michigan (1988).
- [22] B.D. McKay: *Computer reconstruction of small graphs*, J. Graph Theory **1** (1977), 281–283.
- [23] B.D. McKay: *Small graphs are reconstructible*, Australas. J. Combin. **15** (1997), 123–126.
- [24] G. Moussong: *Hyperbolic Coxeter groups*, Ph.D. thesis, Ohio State Univ. (1988).
- [25] J.R. Munkres: *Elements of Algebraic Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- [26] A. Nijenhuis: *Note on the unique determination of graphs by proper subgraphs*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1977), A-290.
- [27] F. Quinn: *Problems on homology manifolds*; in Exotic Homology Manifolds—Oberwolfach 2003, Geom. Topol. Monogr. **9**, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2006, 87–103.
- [28] D. Radcliffe: *Unique presentation of Coxeter groups and related groups*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Wisconsin–Milwaukee (2001).

Department of Mathematics
 Shizuoka University
 Suruga-ku, Shizuoka 422-8529
 Japan
 e-mail: hosaka.tetsuya@shizuoka.ac.jp