

| | |
|--------------|--|
| Title | On holomorphic sections with slow growth of Hermitian line bundles on certain Kähler manifolds with a pole |
| Author(s) | Kasue, Atushi; Ochiai, Takushiro |
| Citation | Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1980, 17(3), p. 677-690 |
| Version Type | VoR |
| URL | https://doi.org/10.18910/10058 |
| rights | |
| Note | |

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

ON HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONS WITH SLOW GROWTH OF HERMITIAN LINE BUNDLES ON CERTAIN KÄHLER MANIFOLDS WITH A POLE

ATUSHI KASUE AND TAKUSHIRO OCHIAI

(Received June 29, 1979)

1. Introduction. We call (M, o) a *Riemannian manifold with a pole* iff M is a Riemannian manifold and $\exp_o: M_o \rightarrow M$ is a global diffeomorphism. We write $r(x)$ for the distance function from o . Suppose now our (M, o) satisfies the following condition:

(1-1) There exist C^∞ functions $k, K: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

(i) $-k(r(x)) \leq$ all the radial curvature at $x \leq K(r(x))$,

(ii) $\int_0^\infty tk(t)dt < \infty$,

(iii) $\int_0^\infty tK(t)dt \leq 1$.

In (i) above, a *radial curvature* at an $x \in M$ denotes the sectional curvature of a 2-dimensional plane in M_x which is tangent to the unique geodesic joining the pole o of M to x (if $x=o$, then simply define a radial curvature to be a sectional curvature at o). R. Greene and H. Wu have studied general properties of Riemannian manifolds with a pole in [1]. Among other things, they have shown that Riemannian manifolds with a pole satisfying condition (1-1) give rise to a very interesting class of Riemannian manifolds. Making use of their results, we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let (M, o) be an m -dimensional Kähler manifold with a pole satisfying condition (1-1) above ($m \geq 2$). Let $L \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic line bundle over M with a hermitian fibre metric h . Suppose the Chern form $\omega = -(i/2\pi)\partial\bar{\partial} \log h$ of the hermitian line bundle $\{L, h\}$ satisfies one of the following conditions:*

(1-2) ω is non positive,

(1-3) $\|\omega(x)\| \leq v(r(x)) \quad (x \in M),$

where $v(t)$ is a nonnegative function on $[0, \infty)$ which satisfies

(1-4) $\int_0^\infty tv(t)dt < \infty.$

Then there exists a positive number ν_0 such that if s is a non-zero holomorphic section of L over M which satisfies

$$(1-5) \quad \|s(x)\| \leq C(1+r(x))^\nu$$

on M for some constant $C > 0$ and some $\nu < \nu_0$, then s is nowhere zero on M .

When (M, o) has negative curvatures everywhere, above Theorem 1 has been proved by Greene and Wu (cf. Step III in the proof of Theorem J in [1]). Before them, Siu and Yau have proved above Theorem 1 when M is negatively curved and $k(t) = At^{-2-\varepsilon}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) (cf. Proposition 2-4 in [5]). Our proof of Theorem 1 will be given by generalizing the arguments in the proofs of Step III in [1] and Proposition 2-4 in [5] cited above.

It has been conjectured that an m -dimensional Kähler manifold (M, o) with a pole satisfying condition (1-1) should be biholomorphic to C^m . In fact this is true in the case where (M, o) is negatively curved and $k(t) = At^{-2-\varepsilon}$ ([5]). More generally Greene and Wu have verified this conjecture in the case where (M, o) is negatively curved and $k(t)$ is nondecreasing on $[\theta, \infty)$ for some $\theta > 0$ (cf. Theorem J in [1]). In the proofs of these results, one of the crucial steps was to prove above Theorem 1 in case (M, o) is negatively curved (Step III, [1], p. 188). Therefore our Theorem 1 will be of some use to study the conjecture mentioned above. In fact an application of our Theorem 1 to the case where (M, o) is positively curved will be published elsewhere.

2. Preliminaries. Let (M, o) be an m -dimensional Kähler manifold with a pole which satisfies condition (1-1). We recall several facts from Theorem C in [1] and Theorem in [4] as follows.

Fact 2-1. Define C^∞ functions $p(t)$ and $q(t)$ by

$$(2-1) \quad p'' - kp = 0, \quad p(0) = 0 \text{ and } p'(0) = 1,$$

$$(2-1) \quad q'' + Kq = 0, \quad q(0) = 0 \text{ and } q'(0) = 1.$$

Then the following inequalities hold on $[0, \infty)$:

$$(2-3) \quad 1 \leq p'(t) \leq \eta \text{ and } t \leq p(t) \leq \eta t,$$

$$(2-4) \quad 1 \geq q'(t) \geq \mu \text{ and } t \geq q(t) \geq \mu t,$$

where the constants η and μ are positive and satisfy

$$(2-5) \quad 1 \leq \eta \leq \exp \left\{ \int_0^\infty tk(t)dt \right\},$$

$$(2-6) \quad 1 \geq \mu \geq 1 - \int_0^\infty tK(t)dt.$$

Fact 2-2. Let $p(t)$ and $q(t)$ be as in Fact 2-1. Set $\eta^*(t) = tp'(t)/p(t)$ and $\mu^*(t) = tq'(t)/q(t)$. Then for any $t \geq 0$, we have

$$(2-7) \quad 1 \leq \eta^*(t) \leq \eta,$$

$$(2-8) \quad 1 \geq \mu^*(t) \geq \mu.$$

If D^2r (resp. D^2r^2) denotes the Hessian of the function r (resp. the function r^2), then the following inequalities hold on $M - \{o\}$:

$$(2-9) \quad \frac{\mu^*(r)}{r} (g - dr \otimes dr) \leq D^2r \leq \frac{\eta^*(r)}{r} (g - dr \otimes dr),$$

$$(2-10) \quad 2\mu g \leq D^2r^2 \leq 2\eta g,$$

where $g = 2\sum g_{j\bar{k}} dz^j d\bar{z}^k$ is the Kähler metric of (M, o) .

As usual the associated Kähler form Ω of the Kähler metric $g = 2\sum g_{j\bar{k}} dz^j d\bar{z}^k$ is defined by $\Omega = i\sum g_{j\bar{k}} dz^j \wedge d\bar{z}^k$.

Lemma 2-1. The following inequalities hold in $M - \{o\}$:

$$(2-11) \quad \frac{\eta^*(r)}{r} (\Omega - i\partial\bar{\partial}r \wedge \bar{\partial}r) \geq i\partial\bar{\partial}r \geq \frac{\mu^*(r)}{r} (\Omega - i\partial\bar{\partial}r \wedge \bar{\partial}r),$$

$$(2-12) \quad 2\eta\Omega \geq i\partial\bar{\partial}r^2 \geq 2\mu\Omega,$$

$$(2-13) \quad \Omega \geq 2i\partial\bar{\partial}r \wedge \bar{\partial}r.$$

Proof. Let J be the natural almost complex structure on M . Define J -invariant symmetric covariant two tensors h_1 and h_2 by

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(X, Y) &= (dr \otimes dr)(X, Y) + (dr \otimes dr)(JX, JY), \\ h_2(X, Y) &= D^2r(X, Y) + D^2r(JX, JY). \end{aligned}$$

Then $h_1(JX, Y) = 2i\partial\bar{\partial}r \wedge \bar{\partial}r(X, Y)$. Since $h_1(X, X) \leq g(X, X)$, we have (2-13). On the other hand, since g is a Kähler metric, we have $h_2(x, x) = (2i\partial\bar{\partial}r)(X, JX)$. Then (2-9) and (2-13) imply (2-11). Finally (2-10) implies (2-12). q.e.d.

We need a few more facts from [1]. A Riemannian manifold with a pole (N, e) is called a *model* iff the linear isotropy group of isometries at the pole e is the full orthogonal group. Then for a point $x \in N$, all the radial curvature at x are the same. Hence there exists a C^∞ function $K_N: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that for a point x , any radial curvature at x is equal to $k_N(r_N(x))$, where $r_N: N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is the distance function from e . The k_N is called the *radial curvature function* of the model (N, e) . Moreover the metric g_N of N relative to geodesic polar coordinate centered at e assumes the form

$$(2-14) \quad g_N = dt^2 + f(t)^2 d\theta^2,$$

where f is a C^∞ function on $[0, \infty)$ which satisfies $f > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$(2-15) \quad f'' + k_N f = 0 \text{ with } f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f'(0) = 1.$$

Conversely for any C^∞ function $f(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $f > 0$ on $(0, \infty)$, $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$, there exists uniquely (up to isometry) a model (N, e) such that (2-14) holds. Then the radial curvature function k_N is equal to $-f''/f$. Therefore by (2-3) we have the following fact (cf. p. 60 of [1]).

Fact 2-3. *Consider the function $p(t)$ defined in Fact 2-1. Then there exists a $2m$ dimensional model (N, e) whose metric relative to geodesic polar coordinates centered at e is given by*

$$g_N = dt^2 + p(t)^2 d\theta^2,$$

and the radial curvature function k_N is exactly $-k$.

Now by Proposition 2.15 (Laplacian Comparison Theorem) of [1], we have the following fact.

Fact 2-4. *Let (M, o) be a Kähler manifold with a pole satisfying condition (1-1) and (N, r) the model constructed in Fact 2-3. Let $f(t)$ be a nondecreasing C^∞ function on $(0, \infty)$. Then for every $x \in M - \{o\}$ and $y \in N - \{e\}$ such that $r(x) = r_N(y)$, we have*

$$\Delta f(r)(x) \leq \Delta f(r_N)(y).$$

Lemma 2-2. *Let (M, o) be a Kähler manifold with a pole satisfying condition (1-1). Let $p(x)$ be the C^∞ function defined in Fact 2-1. For a positive number $R > 0$, define a C^∞ function f_R on $(0, \infty)$ by*

$$f_R(t) = \int_t^R \frac{ds}{p(s)^{2m-1}}.$$

Then we have $\Delta f_R(r) \geq 0$ on $M - \{o\}$.

Proof. Let (N, e) be the model constructed in Fact 2-3. Let $\{x^1, \dots, x^{2m}\}$ be the geodesic polar coordinate system of N centered at e such that $x^1 = r_N$. Then on $N - \{e\}$ we see

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f_R(r_N) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \sum_{A,B} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A} \left(\sqrt{G} g_N^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^B} f_R(r_N) \right) \\ &= (p(t)^{2(2m-1)})^{-1/2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ (p(t)^{2(2m-1)})^{1/2} \frac{d}{dt} f_R(t) \right\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $-f_R(t)$ is a nondecreasing C^∞ function on $(0, \infty)$, Fact 2-4 implies $\Delta(-f_R(r))$

$(\leq \Delta(-f_R(r_N))=0$ on $M-\{o\}$. q.e.d.

Lemma 2-3. *Let (M, o) be a Kähler manifold with a pole satisfying condition (1-1). Let $q(t)$ be the C^∞ function defined in Fact 2-1. Set $F(t) = \exp\left(2\int_1^t \frac{dt}{q}\right)$. Then we have the following:*

- (i) $F(r)$ is an C^∞ function on M ,
- (ii) $F(r)/q(r)^2$ is a positive monotone increasing C^∞ function on $[0, \infty)$
- (iii) $\frac{2\mu F(r)}{q(r)^2} \Omega \leq i\partial\bar{\partial}F(r) \leq \frac{2(1+\eta)F}{q(r)^2}$,
- (iv) $i\partial\bar{\partial} \log F(r) \geq 0$ on $M-\{o\}$.

Proof. As we obtain Fact 2-3, there exists a Riemannian metric g on R^2 which can be written as

$$g = dt^2 + q(t)^2 d\theta^2$$

on $R^2-\{o\}$, where (t, θ) is the usual polar coordinates. We put a complex structure on R^2 so that g becomes a Kähler metric. Define a map $I: R^2-\{o\} \rightarrow R^2-\{o\}$ by

$$I(t, \theta) = \left(\exp \int_1^t \frac{dt}{q}, \theta \right),$$

where (t, θ) is the polar coordinates on $R^2-\{o\}$. Then I is a diffeomorphism. Since we have

$$(2-16) \quad I^*(dt^2 + t^2 d\theta^2) = \left\{ \frac{\exp\left(\int_1^t \frac{dt}{q}\right)}{q} \right\}^2 (dt^2 + q^2 d\theta^2),$$

I is a conformal map. Hence, if we consider I to be a C -valued function, I is a holomorphic function on $R^2-\{o\}$. Since I is bounded on a neighbourhood of o , I can be extended holomorphically to o and we have $I(o)=o$. Set $\tilde{F}(s) = |I((s, o))|^2$ for any $s \in R$. Then \tilde{F} is an even C^∞ function on R and $F(t) = \tilde{F}(t)$ for any $t > 0$. Since r^2 is a C^∞ function on M , we know that $F(r)$ is a C^∞ function on M . Since I is holomorphic at o , I is a biholomorphic map. Consequently, (2-16) implies that $F(t)/q(t)^2$ is an even positive C^∞ function. Hence $F(r)/q(r)^2$ a positive C^∞ function on M . On the other hand, we have

$$\left(\frac{F(t)}{q(t)^2} \right)' = \frac{2F(t)}{q(t)^3} (1 - q'(t)).$$

By (2-4), we see $F(t)/q(t)^2$ is an increasing function. Since we have

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}F(r) = F'(r)i\partial\bar{\partial}r + F''(r)i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r$$

$$= \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)} i\partial\bar{\partial}r + \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)^2} (2-q'(r)) i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r,$$

by (2-11) and (2-4), we see

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial\bar{\partial}F(r) &\geq \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)} \frac{\mu^*(r)}{r} (\Omega - i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r) + \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)^2} (2-q'(r)) i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r \\ &= \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)} \{q'\Omega + 2(1-q')i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r\} \geq \frac{2\mu F(r)}{q(r)^2} \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.11) and (2.3), we see

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial\bar{\partial}F(r) &\leq \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)} \frac{\eta^*(r)}{r} (\Omega - i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r) + \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)^2} (2-q'(r)) i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r \\ &= \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)} \frac{p'(r)}{p(r)} (\Omega - i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r) + \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)^2} (2-q'(r)) i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r \\ &\leq \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)} \frac{p'(r)}{p(r)} \Omega + \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)^2} \cdot 2i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r \\ &\leq \frac{2\eta F(r)}{q(r)^2} \Omega + \frac{2F(r)}{q(r)^2} \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Finally by (2-11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial\bar{\partial} \log F(r) &= \frac{2}{q(r)} i\partial\bar{\partial}r - \frac{2q'(r)}{q(r)^2} i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r \\ &\geq \frac{2}{q(r)} \frac{\mu^*(r)}{r} (\Omega - i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r) - \frac{2q'(r)}{q(r)^2} i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r \\ &= \frac{2q'(r)}{q(r)^2} \Omega - \frac{4q'(r)}{q(r)^2} i\partial r \wedge \bar{\partial}r \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

3. A volume estimate for analytic subsets. Let V be a closed analytic subset in M of pure dimension n . For a positive number t , we set $B(t) = \{x \in M, r(x) < t\}$ and $\partial B(t) = \{x \in M, r(x) = t\}$. Then $\overline{B(t)}$ is compact and $\partial B(t)$ is a hypersurface in M . We write $\text{Vol}(V \cap B(t))$ for the volume of $V \cap B(t)$. Then we have

$$\text{Vol}(V \cap B(t)) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \int_{V \cap B(t)} \Omega^n.$$

As usual we set $d^c = i(\bar{\partial} - \partial)/2$ so that $dd^c = i\partial\bar{\partial}$. In this section we shall prove

Proposition 3-1. *Let (M, o) be as in Theorem 1 in section 1. Then there exists a positive constant A depending only on (M, o) such that for any closed an-*

alytic subset V in M of pure dimension n , we have $\text{Vol}(V \cap B(t)) \geq Al(V)t^{2n}$ for $t \geq 0$, where $l(V)$ is the multiplicity of V at o .

Proof. Set $B(t, s) = B(s) - \overline{B(t)}$ for $0 < t < s$. Using Stokes Theorem for analytic subsets (cf. [3] or Theorem 1.28 in [2]), for $0 < t < s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{F(s)^n} \int_{V \cap B(s)} (dd^c F(r))^n - \frac{1}{F(t)^n} \int_{V \cap B(t)} (dd^c F(r))^n \\ &= \frac{1}{F(s)^n} \int_{V \cap \partial B(s)} d^c F(r) \wedge (dd^c F(r))^{n-1} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{F(t)^n} \int_{V \cap \partial B(t)} d^c F(r) \wedge (dd^c F(r))^{n-1} \\ &= \int_{V \cap \partial B(t)} \frac{d^c F(r)}{F(s)} \wedge \left(\frac{dd^c F(r)}{F(s)} - \frac{dF(r) \wedge d^c F(r)}{F(s)^2} \right)^{n-1} \\ & \quad - \int_{V \cap \partial B(t)} \frac{d^c F(r)}{F(t)} \wedge \left(\frac{dd^c F(r)}{F(t)} - \frac{dF(r) \wedge d^c F(r)}{F(t)^2} \right)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

($dF(r)$ being zero on $B(t)$ and $B(s)$)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{V \cap \partial B(t)} d^c \log F(r) \wedge (dd^c \log F(r))^{n-1} \\ & \quad - \int_{V \cap \partial B(t)} d^c \log F(r) \wedge (dd^c \log F(r))^{n-1} \\ &= \int_{V \cap B(s)} (dd^c \log F(r))^n - \int_{V \cap B(t)} (dd^c \log F(r))^n \\ &= \int_{V \cap B(t, s)} (dd^c \log F(r))^n \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

(cf. (ii) of Lemma 2-3). Therefore we know

$$\frac{1}{F(t)^n} \int_{V \cap B(t)} (dd^c F(r))^n$$

is a non-negative increasing function for $t > 0$. In particular there exists

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{F(t)^n} \int_{V \cap B(t)} (dd^c F(r))^n$$

which is denoted by $n^*(V, o)$. Now by (iii) and (ii) of Lemma 2-3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3-1) \quad \frac{\text{Vol}(V \cap B(t))}{t^{2n}} &= \frac{1}{2^n n! t^{2n}} \int_{V \cap B(t)} \Omega^n \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^{2n} n! (1+\eta)^n t^{2n}} \cdot \int_{V \cap B(t)} \left(\frac{q(r)^2}{F(r)} \right)^n (dd^c F(r))^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{1}{2^{2n}n!(1+\eta)^nt^{2n}} \cdot \frac{q(t)^{2n}}{F(t)^n} \int_{V \cap B(t)} (dd^c F(r))^n \\ &\geq \frac{\mu^{2n}}{2^{2n}n!(1+\eta)^n} \frac{1}{F(t)^n} \int_{V \cap B(t)} (dd^c F(r))^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(3-2) \quad \text{Vol}(V \cap B(t)) \geq \frac{\mu^{2n}}{2^{2n}n!(1+\eta)^n} n^*(V, o) t^{2n}$$

for $t \geq 0$. By (2-4), we see

$$(3-3) \quad \frac{1}{t^2} \leq \frac{1}{F(t)} \leq \frac{1}{t^{2\mu}}$$

for $0 < t \leq 1$. By (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2-3, there exists a positive constant B_1 such that

$$(3-4) \quad i\partial\bar{\partial}F(r) \geq B_1\Omega$$

on $B(1)$. Then (3-3) and (3-4) imply

$$(3-5) \quad \frac{1}{F(t)^n} \int_{V \cap B(t)} (dd^c F(r))^n \geq \frac{B_1^n}{t^{2n}} \int_{V \cap B(t)} \Omega^n$$

for $0 < t \leq 1$. Now take sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $B(\varepsilon)$ is a holomorphic local coordinate neighbourhood with a holomorphic local coordinate system $\{z^1, \dots, z^m\}$ ($z^i(o) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq m$). Let $g_0 = \sum dz^j d\bar{z}^j$ be the usual flat Kähler metric on $B(\varepsilon)$. Then $\alpha_0 = \{i\partial\bar{\partial}(\sum |z^j|^2)\}/2$ is the associated Kähler form of g_0 . By using $i\partial\bar{\partial} \log(\sum |z^j|^2) \geq 0$ on $B(\varepsilon) - \{o\}$, the same argument to have obtained $n^*(V, o)$ implies that if we set

$$n(t, o) = \frac{1}{t^{2n}} \int_{V \cap \{\sum |z^j|^2 < t^2\}} \alpha_0^n,$$

then $n(t, o)$ is an increasing function of t and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} n(t, o) = B_2 l(V)$ where B_2 is a universal constant (cf. Corollary 1.29 in [2]). Since there exists a positive constant B_3 such that

$$\frac{1}{B_3} \alpha_0 \leq \Omega \leq B_3 \alpha_0$$

on $B(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$, we know there exists a positive constant B_4 such that

$$(3-6) \quad \frac{1}{t^{2n}} \int_{V \cap B(t)} \Omega^n \geq B_4 l(V)$$

for sufficiently small t . By (3-5) and (3-6) we have

$$(3-7) \quad n^*(V, o) \geq B_1^n B_4 l(V).$$

Then (3-7) and (3-2) imply Proposition 3-1. q.e.d.

Corollary. *For a positive number R , there exists a positive constant $B^*(R)$ such that for $t \geq R$ we have*

$$\text{Vol}(V \cap B(t)) \geq B^*(R) V^*(R) t^{2n},$$

$$\text{where } V^*(R) = \int_{V \cap B(R)} (dd^c F(r))^n.$$

Proof. By (3-1) we see

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(V \cap B(t))}{t^{2n}} \geq \frac{2^{2n} n! (1+\eta)^n}{2n} \frac{1}{F(R)^n} V^*(R). \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1. We keep the notation of the previous sections. Let $p(t)$ be the function defined in Fact 2-1. For any positive number R , define a C^∞ function F_R on $M - \{o\}$ by $F_R(x) = f_R(r(x))$ where f_R is the function defined in Lemma 2-2.

Let s be any nonzero holomorphic section of L such that

$$(4-1) \quad \{x \in M; s(x) = 0\} \text{ is not empty,}$$

$$(4-2) \quad \|s(x)\| \leq C(1+r(x))^\nu$$

for some positive constants C and ν . Let V be the divisor defined by the zeros of s . For $R > 1$, we set $B(R, 1) = B(R) - B(1)$. Then Green's formula implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(R,1)} \Delta F_R \cdot \log \|s\|^2 - \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \cdot \Delta \log \|s\|^2 \\ &= \int_{\partial B(R)} \log \|s\|^2 * dF_R - \int_{\partial B(1)} \log \|s\|^2 * dF_R \\ & - \int_{\partial B(r)} F_R * d \log \|s\|^2 + \int_{\partial B(1)} F_R * d \log \|s\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (2-3) we have

$$\frac{1}{\eta^{2m-1}} \int_t^R \frac{1}{t^{2m-1}} \leq f_R(t) \leq \int_t^R \frac{dt}{t^{2m-1}}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(4-1) \quad \frac{1}{(2m-1)\eta^{2m-1}} \left(\frac{1}{t^{2m-2}} - \frac{1}{R^{2m-2}} \right) \leq f_R(t) \leq \frac{1}{2m-2} \left(\frac{1}{t^{2m-2}} - \frac{1}{R^{2m-2}} \right).$$

Therefore we have an estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| -\int_{\partial B(1)} \log \|s\|^2 * dF_R + \int_{\partial B(1)} F_R * d \log \|s\|^2 \right| \\
 &= \left| -f'_R(1) \int_{\partial B(1)} \log \|s\|^2 * dr + f_R(1) \int_{\partial B(1)} * d \log \|s\|^2 \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{p(1)^{2m-1}} \left| \int_{\partial B(1)} \log \|s\|^2 * dr \right| + \frac{1}{2m-2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{R^{2m-2}} \right) \left| \int_{\partial B(1)} * d \log \|s\|^2 \right| \\
 &= O(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $O(1)$ stands for a bounded term as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$F_R \equiv 0$ on $\partial B(R)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4-2) \quad & \int_{B(R,1)} \Delta F_R \cdot \log \|s\|^2 - \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \cdot \Delta \log \|s\|^2 \\
 &= \int_{\partial B(R)} \log \|s\|^2 f'_R(R) * dr + O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Poincaré-Lelong's formula implies

$$(4-3) \quad \frac{2^m m!}{2\pi} \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \Delta \log \|s\|^2 = \int_{B(R,1) \cap V} F_R \Omega^{m-1} - \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \omega \wedge \Omega^{m-1}$$

(cf. [3] or Theorem 1.11 in [2]). Since $\Delta F_R \geq 0$ by Lemma 2-2, we see by (4-2)

$$\int_{B(R,1)} \Delta F_R \cdot \log \|s\|^2 \leq \int_{B(R,1)} \Delta F_R \cdot \log \{C(1+r)^\nu\}.$$

Now by Green's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B(R,1)} \Delta F_R \cdot \log \{C(1+r)^\nu\} \\
 &= \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \cdot \Delta \log \{C(1+r)^\nu\} + \int_{\partial B(R)} \log \{C(1+r)^\nu\} * dF_R \\
 &\quad - \int_{\partial B(1)} \log \{C(1+r)^\nu\} * dF_R - \int_{\partial B(R)} F_R * d \log \{C(1+r)^\nu\} \\
 &\quad + \int_{\partial B(1)} F_R * d \log \{C(1+r)^\nu\} \\
 &= \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \cdot \Delta \log C(1+r)^\nu + \int_{\partial B(R)} \log \{C(1+r)^\nu\} * dF_R + O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(4-4) \quad \int_{B(R,1)} \Delta F_R \cdot \log \|s\|^2 \leq \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \cdot \Delta \log \{C(1+r)^\nu\}$$

$$+\int_{\partial B(R)} \log \{C(1+r)^v\} f'_R(R) * dr + O(1).$$

From (4-4), (4-2) and (4-3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \cdot \Delta \log \{C(1+r)^v\} + \int_{\partial B(R)} \log \{C(1+r)^v\} f'_R(R) * dr + O(1) \\ & \geq \int_{B(R,1)} \Delta F_R \cdot \log \|s\|^2 \\ & = \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \cdot \Delta \log \|s\|^2 + \int_{\partial B(R)} \log \|s\|^2 f'_R(R) * dr + O(1) \\ & = \frac{2\pi}{2^m m!} \int_{B(R,1) \cap V} F_R \Omega^{m-1} - \frac{2\pi}{2^m m!} \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \omega \wedge \Omega^{m-1} \\ & \quad + \int_{\partial B(R)} \log \|s\|^2 f'_R(R) * dr + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'_R(R) < 0$, we see

$$\int_{\partial B(R)} \log \{C(1+r)^v\} f'_R(R) * dr \leq \int_{\partial B(R)} \log \|s\|^2 f'_R(R) * dr.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4-5) \quad & \frac{2^m m!}{2\pi} \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \cdot \Delta \log \{C(1+r)^v\} \\ & \geq \int_{B(R,1) \cap V} F_R \Omega^{m-1} - \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \omega \wedge \Omega^{m-1} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now by (2-9) and (2-7), we have

$$(4-6) \quad \Delta r \leq \frac{(2m-1)\eta}{r}.$$

Then (4-6) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (4-7) \quad \Delta \log C(1+r) &= \frac{\Delta r}{1-r} - \frac{1}{(1+r)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(2m-1)\eta}{(1+r)r}. \end{aligned}$$

Now (4-7) and (4-1) imply

$$\begin{aligned} (4-8) \quad \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \Delta \log \{C(1+r)^v\} &\leq \nu \int_{B(R,1)} \frac{1}{(2m-2)r^{2m-2}} \cdot \frac{(2m-1)\eta}{(1+r)r} \\ &\leq \frac{(2m-1)S(2m-1)\eta^{2m}\nu}{2m-2} \log(1+R), \end{aligned}$$

where $S(2m-1)$ denotes the Euclidian volume of $(2m-1)$ dimensional unit sphere. By the same way we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B(R,1) \cap V} F_R \Omega^{m-1} &= \int_1^R dt \int_{\partial B(t) \cap V} F_R \iota \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \Omega^{m-1} \\
 &= \int_1^R f_R(t) dt \int_{\partial B(t) \cap V} \iota \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \Omega^{m-1} \\
 &= \int_1^R \left[\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ f_R(t) \int_1^t dt \int_{\partial B(t) \cap V} \iota \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \Omega^{m-1} \right\} \right] dt \\
 &\quad - \int_1^R \left\{ f_R'(t) \int_1^t dt \int_{\partial B(t) \cap V} \iota \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \Omega^{m-1} \right\} dt \\
 &= 2^{m-1}(m-1)! [f_R(t) \text{Vol}(B(t) \cap V)]_1^R \\
 &\quad + 2^{m-1}(m-1)! \int_1^R \frac{\text{Vol}(B(t,1) \cap V)}{t^{2m-1}} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by (2-3) and $f_R(R)=0$, we have

$$(4-9) \quad \int_{B(R,1) \cap V} F_R \Omega^{m-1} \geq \frac{2^{m-1}(m-1)!}{\eta^{2m-1}} \int_1^R \frac{\text{Vol}(B(t) \cap V)}{t^{2m-1}} dt + O(1).$$

From (4-5), (4-8) and (4-9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4-10) \quad E_1 \eta \nu \log(1+R) &\geq \frac{2^{m-1}(m-1)!}{\eta^{2m-1}} \int_1^R \frac{\text{Vol}(B(t) \cap V)}{t^{2m-1}} dt \\
 &\quad - \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \omega \wedge \Omega^{m-1} + O(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where E_1 is a positive constant depending only on m .

If the Chern form ω satisfies (1-3), we have

$$(4-11) \quad - \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \omega \wedge \Omega^{m-1} \geq 0.$$

If ω satisfies (1-4), we see by (4-1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4-12) \quad \left| \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \omega \wedge \Omega^{m-1} \right| &\leq D \int_{B(R,1)} F_R \|\omega\| \|\Omega^m\| \\
 &\leq D' S(2m-1) \int_1^R f_R(t) v(t) t^{2m-1} dt \\
 &\leq D'' \int_1^R t v(t) dt = O(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where D, D', D'' are constants independent of s . Therefore by (4-10), (4-11) and (3-12), we have

$$(4-13) \quad E_1 \eta^\nu \log(1+R) \geq \frac{2^{m-1}(m-1)!}{\eta^{2m-1}} \int_1^R \frac{\text{Vol}(B(t) \cap V)}{t^{2m-1}} dt + O(1).$$

Therefore from Proposition 3-1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 \nu \log(1+R) &\geq \frac{2^{m-1}(m-1)!}{\eta^{2m}} \int_1^R \frac{Al(V)t^{2m-2}}{t^{2m-1}} dt + O(1) \\ &\geq E_2 l(V) \log R + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where E_2 is a positive constant depending only on (M, o) . Hence, taking the limit, we have

$$(4-14) \quad \nu \geq \frac{E_2}{E_1} l(V),$$

where E_1 and E_2 are positive constants depending only on (M, o) .

Lemma 4-1. *Let (M, o) and $\{L, h\}$ be as in Theorem 1. For a positive number ν , denote by $\Gamma(M, L; \nu)$ the complex vector space of holomorphic sections s over M which satisfy*

$$(4-15) \quad \|s(x)\| \leq C(1+r(x))^\nu$$

for some positive C . Then there exists a positive number ν^ depending only on (M, o) such that the dimension of $\Gamma(M, L; \nu^*)$ is at most one.*

Proof. Take $E_2/2E_1$ as ν^* , where E_1, E_2 are as in (4-14). Take any holomorphic section s in $\Gamma(M, L; \nu^*)$. Then by (4-15), we see $l(V)=0$, i.e., $s(o) \neq 0$. Suppose there were two elements s_1 and s_2 in $\Gamma(M, L; \nu^*)$ which are linearly independent. Since $s_1(o) \neq 0$ and $s_2(o) \neq 0$, there would exist a number a such that $(as_1 + s_2)(o) = 0$. Then $as_1 + s_2$ should be zero. This is a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let ν^* be as in Lemma 4-1. It is enough to check the case when $\Gamma(M, L; \nu^*)$ contains an element s_0 such that $\{x \in M; s_0(x)=0\}$ is non empty. Fix a sufficiently large number R_0 so that

$$(4-16) \quad B(R) \cap \{x \in M; s_0(x) = 0\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then by (4-13) and Corollary to Proposition 3-1, for $R > R_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 \nu \log(1+R) &\geq \frac{2^{m-1}(m-1)!}{\eta^{2m}} \int_{R_0}^R \frac{\text{Vol}(B(t) \cap V)}{t^{2m-1}} dt + O(1) \\ &\geq \frac{2^{m-1}(m-1)! B^*(R_0) V^*(R_0)}{\eta^{2m}} \log R + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking the limit, we see

$$(4-17) \quad \nu \geq \frac{E_3}{E_1} B^*(R_0) V^*(R_0),$$

where E_1, E_3 are positive constants depending only on (M, o) , and $B^*(R_0)$ is positive. By (4-16), we see $V^*(R_0)$ is positive. Now set

$$\nu_0 = \min \left\{ \nu^*, \frac{E_3 B^*(R_0) V^*(R_0)}{2E_1} \right\}.$$

Then we have $\Gamma(M, L; \nu_0) = 0$. In fact take any element s in $\Gamma(M, L; \nu_0)$. Then by Lemma 4-1, there exists a real number a such that $s = as_0$. Suppose $a \neq 0$. Then since $\{x \in M; s(x) = 0\} = \{x \in M; s_0(x) = 0\}$, (4-17) implies $\nu_0 \geq E_3 B^*(R_0) V^*(R_0)/E_1$. This is a contradiction. q.e.d.

References

- [1] R.E. Greene and H. Wu: Function theory on manifolds which possess a pole, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 699, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [2] R. Harvey: *Holomorphic chains and their boundaries*, Proc. of Sym. in Pure Math., Vol. XXX (1977), 302-382.
- [3] P. Lelong: *Fonctions plurisousharmoniques et formes différentielles positives*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [4] Y.T. Siu and S.T. Yau: *Complete Kähler manifolds with nonpositive curvature of faster than quadratic decay*, Ann. of Math. **105** (1977), 225-264.
- [5] H. Wu: *On a problem concerning the intrinsic characterization of C^n* , (to appear)

Department of Mathematics
University of Tokyo
Hongo, Tokyo 113
Japan