

Title	Noncoprime action and character correspondences
Author(s)	Navarro, Gabriel
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1993, 30(2), p. 159–169
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/10076
rights	
Note	

# Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

Navarro, G. Osaka J. Math. 30 (1993), 159-169

# NONCOPRIME ACTION AND CHARACTER CORRESPONDENCES

# Gabriel NAVARRO

(Received January 22, 1991)

# 1. Introduction

In [7], Nagao extended the Glauberman Correspondence to the non-coprime case by restricting the attention to the S-invariant p-defect zero characters of a finite group G acted by a finite p-group S. Concretely, if G is a complemented normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  and C is a set of representatives of G-conjugacy classes of complements of G in  $\Gamma$ , Nagao showed that there exists a natural bijection from the set of  $\Gamma$ -invariant p-defect zero characters of G onto  $\bigcup_{s \in C} \{p\text{-defect} zero \text{ characters of } C_G(S)\}$ , whenever  $\Gamma/G$  is a p-group.

Now we want to make no assumptions on  $\Gamma/G$  (although we will end up making some assumptions on G) and still show that there exists a natural map from some subset of the  $\Gamma$ -invariant characters of G (those who have *p*-defect zero for the primes dividing  $|\Gamma/G|$ ) into  $\bigcup_{s \in C} \operatorname{Irr}(C_G(S))$ .

As we mention, we pay for this extra generality: we impose some conditions on G (G must be  $\pi$ -separable for the set of primes  $\pi$  dividing  $|\Gamma/G|$ ). Also, although defect zero characters of G will map into defect zero characters of  $C_G(S)$  it will not be true, in general, that our map is onto (think on a  $\pi$ -group acted by another  $\pi$ -group with trivial fixed points subgroup). This will be the case, however, when the Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $\Gamma$  are nilpotent (as it happens in Nagao's case). When  $\Gamma/G$  is a p-group (and G is p-solvable) we will certainly show that our map coincides with Nagao's.

The key point in this note is to consider an interesting subset of the irreducible characters of a finite group G acted by a finite group S whose order is nonnecessarily coprime to |G|. If  $\operatorname{Ind}_{S}(G) = \{X \in \operatorname{Irr}(G) \text{ such that } X = \mu^{G}, \text{ where } \mu \text{ is an } S\text{-invariant character of an } S\text{-invariant subgroup } H \text{ of } G \text{ with order coprime to } S\}$ , then there exists a natural one to one map from  $\operatorname{Ind}_{S}(G)$  into  $\operatorname{Irr}(C_{G}(S))$ . We will show that the image of  $X \in \operatorname{Ind}_{S}(G)$  is  $\mu^{*C_{G}(S)}$ , where  $\mu^{*} \in \operatorname{Irr}(C_{H}(S))$  is the Glauberman-Isaacs correspondent of  $\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(H)$ . Of course, one of the problems in this note will be to show that if  $\mu$  induces irreducibly to G, then  $\mu^{*}$  induces irreducibly to  $C_{G}(S)$  (this was done in [6] when the

Research partially supported by DGICYT. PB90-0414-C03-01

(|G|, |S|)=1. Now, of course, we are not assuming that the orders of G and S are coprime).

This work has been done during a stay of the author at the University of Wisconsin-Madison supported by a Fulbright-Ministerio de Educacion y Ciencia fellowship. I would like to thank the Mathematics Department for its hospitality.

# 2. Preliminaries

While Nagao makes use of general block theory for proving his correspondence, the tools we use here to prove ours are basically our main result in [6] and Isaacs  $\pi$ -theory. Since modular theory for sets of primes is only available for  $\pi$ -separable groups we have to restrict ourselves from the very beginning to this class of groups.

If S acts on G coprimely, let us denote by  $*: \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(G) \to \operatorname{Irr}(C_{G}(S))$  the Glauberman-Isaacs correspondence. Next is our main result in [6].

(2.1) **Theorem.** Suppose that S acts on G coprimely and assume that H is an S-invariant subgroup of G. If  $\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(H)$  induces  $\mu^{c} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$  then  $(\mu^{c})^{*} = \mu^{*c_{G}(S)}$ .

Proof. See Theorem A of [6].

If  $\pi$  is any set of primes, let us say that  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero if  $\chi(1)_{\pi} = |G|_{\pi}$  (i.e.,  $\chi$  has p-defect zero for any prime p in  $\pi$ ).

The following are easy properties of  $\pi$ -defect zero characters.

# (2.2) **Proposition.**

(a) Let H be a subgroup of G and let  $\mu \in Irr(H)$  with  $\mu^{G} = \chi \in Irr(G)$ . Then  $\chi$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero if and only if  $\mu$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero.

(b) If N is a normal subgroup of G and  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero, then every irreducible constituent of  $\chi_N$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero.

Proof. See, for instance, (3.2) of [1].

The next result is less trivial. The referee has found a shorter proof of it by using projective representations.

(2.3) **Theorem.** Suppose that  $\chi$  is a  $\pi$ -defect zero character of a  $\pi$ -separable group G. If  $\chi_{O_{\pi'}(G)}$  is homogeneous, then G is a  $\pi'$ -group.

Proof. Let  $(U, \theta)$  be a maximal  $\pi$ -factorable subnormal pair of G below  $\chi(\text{see}(3.1) \text{ and } (3.2) \text{ of } [4])$ . Now, since U is subnormal in G and  $\chi$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero, by (2.2.b) it follows that  $\theta$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero. Because  $\theta$  is  $\pi$ -factorable, by definition, we can write  $\theta = \alpha \beta$ , where  $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(U)$  is  $\pi$ -special and  $\beta \in \text{Irr}(U)$ 

160

is  $\pi'$ -special. Let H be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of U. Then  $|H| = \theta(1)_{\pi} = \alpha(1)$ . Now, since  $\alpha$  is  $\pi$ -special, by Proposition (6.1) of [2],  $\alpha_H$  is irreducible. By degrees, necessarily H=1 and thus  $U \subseteq O_{\pi'}(G)$ . Since the irreducible characters of  $O_{\pi'}(G)$  are obviously  $\pi$ -factorable, by maximality  $U=O_{\pi'}(G)$ . (This shows that the maximal  $\pi$ -factorable subnormal pairs below a  $\pi$ -defect zero character are of the form  $(O_{\pi'}(G), \theta)$ . By (4.5) of [4],  $\theta$  is G-invariant if and only if G is a  $\pi'$ -group. This proves the theorem.

In [4], for  $\pi$ -separable groups, G, Isaacs constructed a canonical set of irreducible complex characters,  $B_{\pi}(G)$ , whose restrictions to the classes of the  $\pi$ -elements of G behave like the irreducible Brauer characters (this set of "irreducible" restrictions is denoted by  $I_{\pi}(G)$  ([5]) and, of course, when  $\pi = p'$ ,  $I_{\pi}(G) = IBr(G)$ .

The way of defining  $B_{\pi}(G)$  is complicated. Basically, for each  $\chi \in Irr(G)$ (where G is a  $\pi$ -separable group), Isaacs associates to  $\chi$ , in a canonical way, a pair  $(W, \gamma)$ , where  $W \subseteq G$ ,  $\gamma \in Irr(W)$  is  $\pi$ -factorable and  $\gamma^{G} = \chi$  (see (4.6) of [4]). The pair  $(W, \gamma)$  is uniquely determined up to G-conjugacy and the pairs  $(W, \gamma)$  in the G-class are called the nuclei for  $\chi$ .  $B_{\pi}(G)$  are those  $\chi \in Irr(G)$ such that  $\gamma$  is  $\pi$ -special.

It is well known that *p*-defect zero characters restricted to the *p*-regular classes are irreducible Brauer characters. The same happens for  $\pi$ -defect zero characters.

(2.4) **Theorem.** If  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero, where G is a  $\pi$ -separable group, then  $\chi \in B_{\pi'}(G)$ .

Proof. Let  $(W, \gamma)$  be a nucleus for  $\chi$ . Since  $\gamma^{c} = \chi$ , by (2.2a),  $\gamma$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero. Since  $\gamma$  is  $\pi$ -factorable, the same argument used in (2.3) tells us that W is a  $\pi'$ -group. Therefore  $\gamma$  is  $\pi'$ -special and thus  $\chi \in B_{\pi'}(G)$ .

#### 3. The set $Ind_{S}(G)$

For convenience let us write our hypothesis.

(3.1) **Hypothesis.** Suppose that S acts on G and let  $\Gamma = GS$  be the semidirect product. If  $\pi$  is the set of primes dividing |S|, we will assume that G, and therefore  $\Gamma$ , is  $\pi$ -separable.

We will denote by  $\operatorname{Ind}_{S}(G) = \{ \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G) \text{ such that } \chi = \mu^{G}, \text{ where } \mu \text{ is an } S \text{-invariant character of an } S \text{-invariant subgroup } H \text{ of } G \text{ with } (|H|, |S|) = 1 \}.$ 

If  $\chi \in \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{S}}(G)$ , then  $\chi(1)_{\pi} = |G|_{\pi}$  and thus  $\chi$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero. Therefore, by (2.4),  $\chi \in B_{\pi'}(G)$ . Since  $\Gamma/G$  is a  $\pi$ -group and  $\chi$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, by (6.3) of [4],  $\chi$  has a unique extension  $\hat{\chi} \in B_{\pi'}(\Gamma)$ .

Our first (easy) objective is to show that if  $\chi \in Ind_s(G)$  then  $\chi$  has some

S-invariant constituent upon restriction to a normal subgroup. The following will be widely generalized in Section 5.

(3.2) **Theorem.** If  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_s(G)$  and Y is a normal S-invariant  $\pi'$ -subgroup of G, then  $\chi_Y$  has some S-invariant irreducible constituent.

Proof. Write  $\chi = \mu^{c}$ , where  $\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(H)$ , *H* is *S*-invariant and (|H|, |S|) = 1. Then *HY* is also *S*-invariant and has order coprime with |S|. Now  $\mu^{HY} \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(HY)$  and by (13.27) of [3],  $(\mu^{HY})_{Y}$ , and hence  $\chi_{Y}$ , has an *S*-invariant irreducible constituent.

Now we want to distinguish some of the S-invariant irreducible constituents of  $\chi_Y$ , where  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_s(G)$  and Y is as in (3.2). We will say that  $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_s(Y)$ is good for  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_s(G)$  if there exists an S-invariant  $\pi'$ -subgroup H of G containing Y with some  $\mu \in \text{Irr}_s(H | \alpha)$  such that  $\mu^c = \chi$ . Observe that in Theorem (3.2) it is shown that there exists a good constituent for any  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_s(G)$ .

We need an immediate fact about good constituents.

(3.3) **Proposition.** Let  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_s(G)$ , let Y be a normal S-invariant  $\pi'$ -subgroup of G and let  $\alpha \in \text{Irr}_s(Y)$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_Y$ . Then  $\alpha$  is good for  $\chi$  if and only if the Clifford correspondent of  $\chi$  over  $\alpha$  lies in  $\text{Ind}_s(T)$  where  $T = I_G(\alpha)$  is the stabilizer of  $\alpha$  in G.

Proof. Let  $\eta \in \operatorname{Irr}(T | \alpha)$  be the Clifford correspondent of  $\mathfrak{X}$  over  $\alpha$  (i.e.,  $\eta^c = \mathfrak{X}$ ). If  $\alpha$  is good for  $\mathfrak{X}$  we may choose an S-invariant  $\pi'$ -subgroup H of G with  $\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}_{\mathcal{S}}(H)$  over  $\alpha$  and with  $\mu^c = \mathfrak{X}$ . Since  $T \cap H$  is the inertia subgroup of  $\alpha$  in H, we pick  $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr}_{\mathcal{S}}(T \cap H | \alpha)$  with  $\tau^H = \mu$ . Then  $\tau^c = \mathfrak{X}$  and by the uniqueness of the Clifford correspondent,  $\tau^T = \eta$ . This shows that  $\eta \in \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{S}}(T)$ . On the other hand, if  $\eta = \delta^T$ , where  $\delta \in \operatorname{Irr}_{\mathcal{S}}(J)$  and J is a  $\pi'$ -subgroup of T, then  $(\delta^{JY})^c = \mathfrak{X}$  and since  $\delta^{JY}$  lies over  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  is good for  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

A key result in this paper will be to show that good constituents for  $\chi \in$ Ind<sub>s</sub>(G) are  $C_c(S)$ -conjugate. This is something which requires, we believe, a nontrivial amount of  $\pi$ -theory.

First of all we need the following application of Glauberman's Lemma (13.8 and 13.9 of [3]).

(3.4) **Lemma.** Suppose that S acts on G coprimely. Let  $N \subseteq M \subseteq G$  be normal S-invariant subgroups of G, and let  $\chi \in Irr_s(G)$  lying over  $\theta \in Irr_s(N)$ . Then there exists  $\eta \in Irr_s(M)$  lying under  $\chi$  and over  $\theta$ .

Proof. See Lemma (2.3) of [8].

(3.5) **Theorem.** Assume (3.1). Suppose that  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_s(G)$  and let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}_s(Y)$  be a good constituent for  $\chi$ , where Y is a normal S-invariant  $\pi'$ -subgroup

162

of G. Then there exists a nucleus  $(V, \gamma)$  of  $\hat{X}$  with  $YS \subseteq V$  and with  $\gamma_Y$  containing  $\theta$ . Also,  $(V \cap G, \gamma_{V \cap G})$  is a nucleus for X and  $V \cap G$  is a  $\pi'$ -group.

Proof. We argue by induction on |G|. First of all we claim that there exists an S-invariant pair  $(U, \alpha)$ , where  $U=O_{\pi'}(G)$ , with  $(Y, \theta) \leq (U, \alpha) \leq (G, \chi)$  and with  $\alpha$  good for  $\chi$ . To prove the claim, suppose that  $\chi=\mu^{G}$ , where  $\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}_{s}(H)$ , H is an S-invariant  $\pi'$ -subgroup of G and  $\mu_{Y}$  contains  $\theta$ . Now consider  $\mu^{HU} \in \operatorname{Irr}_{s}(HU)$ . By the previous Lemma we may choose  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irr}_{s}(U)$  over  $\theta$  and under  $\mu^{HU}$ . Certainly  $\alpha$  is good for  $\chi$  and this proves the claim.

Now  $(U, \alpha)$  is a  $\pi$ -factorable subnormal pair of  $\Gamma$  below  $\hat{\chi} \in B_{\pi'}(\Gamma)$ . By (3.2) of [4], we may choose  $(X, \eta)$  a maximal  $\pi$ -factorable subnormal pair of  $\Gamma$ such that  $(U, \alpha) \leq (X, \eta) \leq (\Gamma, \hat{\chi})$ . By (5.2) of [4], observe that  $\eta$  is  $\pi'$ -special. Since  $|X: X \cap G|$  is a  $\pi$ -number and  $\eta$  has  $\pi'$ -degree, we have that  $\eta_{X \cap G}$  is irreducible. Since  $X \cap G \triangleleft X$ , by (4.1) of [2],  $\eta_{X \cap G}$  is also  $\pi'$ -special and, in particular,  $\pi$ -factorable. As it was said in the proof of (2.3), since  $\chi$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero, we know that  $(U, \alpha)$  is a maximal  $\pi$ -factorable subnormal pair below  $\chi$ . Therefore  $U=X \cap G$  and hence X/U is a  $\pi$ -group. By Lemma (6.1) of [4], Sfixes X. Since  $\eta_{X \cap G} = \alpha$  and X/U is a  $\pi$ -group,  $\eta$  is the unique  $\pi'$ -special character of X over  $\alpha$  ((6.1) of [2]). Therefore  $\eta$  is S-invariant and by the same reasons,  $T \cap G = I_G(\alpha)$ , where  $T = I_{\Gamma}(X, \eta)$  (see (4.4) of [4]). Observe that  $S \subseteq T$ .

Now, by (4.4) of [4], we can find  $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(T|\eta)$  such that  $\psi^{\Gamma} = \hat{\chi}$  and notice that  $(\psi_{T \cap G})^{G} = \chi$  and that  $\psi_{T \cap G}$  is the Clifford correspondent of  $\chi$  over  $\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  is good for  $\chi$ , by (3.3), then  $\psi_{T \cap G} \in \operatorname{Ind}_{S}(T \cap G)$ .

We want now to apply an inductive hypothesis, so we must check that  $\theta$  is good for  $\psi_{T\cap G}$ . But this is easy: since by (3.3)  $\alpha$  is good for  $\psi_{T\cap G}$  and  $\theta$  lies under  $\alpha$ , certainly  $\theta$  is good for  $\psi_{T\cap G}$ . Now, since  $\psi \in B_{\pi'}(T)$  (because, by definition, the nuclei for  $\psi$  are nuclei for  $\hat{\chi}$ ), if follows that  $\psi_{T\cap G} = \psi$ . If T < G, the theorem follows by induction.

If  $\alpha$  is G-invariant, by (2.3), G is a  $\pi'$ -group,  $\hat{\chi}$  is  $\pi'$ -special (because  $\hat{\chi}$  has  $\pi'$ -degree and lies in  $B_{\pi'}(\Gamma)$ , (5.4) of [4]), and hence  $\hat{\chi}$  is  $\pi$ -factorable. Then,  $V=\Gamma$  and this proves the theorem.

We will give a more general result of the following in Section 5. Now we prove what we really need to show the existence of our correspondence.

(3.6) Corollary. Assume (3.1). Let  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_{S}(G)$  and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in \text{Irr}_{S}(O_{\pi'}(G))$  be good for  $\chi$ . Then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are conjugate in  $C_{G}(S)$ .

Proof. By Theorem (3.5), there exist nuclei  $(V, \gamma)$  and  $(W, \eta)$  for  $\hat{\chi}$  such that  $S \subseteq V \cap W$  and with  $\gamma_{o_{\pi'}(G)}$  and  $\eta_{o_{\pi'}(G)}$  containing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. Since  $(O_{\pi'}(G), \alpha)$  and  $(O_{\pi'}(G), \beta)$  are maximal  $\pi$ -factorable pairs below  $\chi$ , and

G. NAVARRO

 $(V \cap G, \gamma_{V \cap G})$  and  $(W \cap G, \eta_{W \cap G})$  are nuclei for  $\mathcal{X}$ , it follows that  $\gamma_{O_{\pi'}(G)}$  and  $\eta_{O_{\pi'}(G)}$  are multiples of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. Now by (3.2) of [4],  $(V, \gamma)^g = (W, \eta)$ , for some  $g \in G$ . Since  $S^g$  and S are Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $W = (W \cap G)S$ , it follows that  $S^{gw} = S$ , for some  $w \in W \cap G$ . Then  $gw \in C_G(S)$  and  $\gamma^{gw} = \eta^w = \eta$ . Therefore,  $\alpha^{gw} = \beta$ , as wanted.

# 4. A correspondence of characters

We need an easy Lemma.

(4.1) **Lemma.** Suppose that S acts on G and let Y be a normal S-invariant subgroup of G with (|Y|, |S|)=1. If  $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(Y)$  then  $I_{G}(\theta) \cap C_{G}(S)=I_{C_{G}(S)}(\theta^{*})$ .

Proof. By naturality, if x is any automorphism of YS fixing S, we have that  $(\theta^x)^* = (\theta^*)^x$ .

(4.2) **Theorem.** Assume (3.1) and suppose that H is an S-invariant subgroup of G with (|H|, |S|)=1. Let  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(H)$  with  $\alpha^{G} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ . Then  $(\alpha^{*})^{C_{G}(S)} \in \operatorname{Irr}(C_{G}(S))$ . Also, if J is another S-invariant subgroup of G with (|J|, |S|)=1and  $\beta \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(J)$  is such that  $\beta^{G} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ , then  $\alpha^{G}=\beta^{G}$  if and only if  $(\alpha^{*})^{C_{G}(S)}=(\beta^{*})^{C_{G}(S)}$ .

Proof. We argue by induction on |G|. Let  $U=O_{\pi'}(G)$ , K=HU and  $\mu=\alpha^{\kappa}\in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(K)$ . By Theorem A of [6], we have that  $\mu^{\ast}=\alpha^{\ast c_{\kappa}(S)}\in \operatorname{Irr}(C_{\kappa}(S))$ .

Now let  $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(U)$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\mu_{U}$ . Since  $\alpha^{G}$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero and  $\theta$  is a constituent of  $(\alpha^{G})_{U}$ , by (2.3), it follows that  $T = I_{G}(\theta) < G$  or G is a  $\pi'$ -group. In the latter case, K = G and  $\alpha^{*c_{G}(S)} = \alpha^{*c_{\pi}(S)}$  is irreducible. So we may assume that T < G.

Since  $T \cap K = I_{\mathbb{K}}(\theta)$ , let  $\delta \in \operatorname{Irr}(T \cap K | \theta)$  with  $\delta^{\mathbb{K}} = \mu$ . By uniqueness, notice that  $\delta$  is S-invariant. Again, by Theorem A of [6],  $\delta^{*c_{\mathbb{K}}(S)} = \mu^*$  is irreducible. Now,  $\delta^T \in \operatorname{Irr}(T)$ ,  $T \cap K$  is an S-invariant subgroup of T with  $(|T \cap K|, |S|) = 1$  and by induction,  $\delta^{*c_T(S)} = (\delta^T)^*$  is irreducible. Since  $\delta$  lies over  $\theta$ , by (5.3) of [9],  $\delta^*$  lies over  $\theta^*$ . By (4.1),  $C_T(S) = I_{c_G(S)}(\theta^*)$  and hence  $\delta^{*c_G(S)} \in \operatorname{Irr}(C_G(S))$ . Now,  $\alpha^{*c_G(S)} = \mu^{*c_G(S)} = \delta^{*c_G(S)}$  is irreducible.

Now, suppose that J is another S-invariant subgroup of G with (|J|, |S|) = 1 and that  $\beta \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(J)$  is such that  $\beta^{c} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ . Let L = JU and let  $\eta = \beta^{L} \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(L)$ . Let  $\nu \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(U)$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\eta_{U}$  and let  $I = I_{G}(\nu)$ . Since  $I \cap L = I_{L}(\nu)$ , we may choose  $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr}(I \cap L | \nu)$  with  $\tau^{L} = \eta$ . By Theorem A of [6], we have that  $\beta^{*c_{L}(S)} = \eta^{*} = \tau^{*c_{L}(S)}$ .

Suppose first that  $\alpha^c = \beta^c = \chi$ . We want to show that  $\alpha^{*c_{G}(S)} = \beta^{*c_{G}(S)}$ , and certainly, we may replace  $(L, \eta)$  and  $(K, \mu)$  by  $C_{G}(S)$ -conjugates. Now  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_{S}(G)$  and  $\nu$  and  $\theta$  are good constituents for  $\chi$ . By (3.6), we know that  $\nu$  and  $\theta$  are  $C_{G}(S)$ -conjugate. So we may assume in fact that  $\nu = \theta$  and hence I = T.

Also,  $\delta^T = \tau^T$ , because both are the Clifford correspondents of  $\chi$  over  $\theta = \nu$ .

If T=G, then G is a  $\pi'$ -group, and then  $\alpha^{*c_{\mathcal{G}}(S)}=\chi^*=\beta^{*c_{\mathcal{G}}(S)}$ , by Theorem A of [6]. If T<G, by induction,  $\delta^{*c_{\mathcal{I}}(S)}=\tau^{*c_{\mathcal{I}}(S)}$ , and then  $\alpha^{*c_{\mathcal{G}}(S)}=\delta^{*c_{\mathcal{G}}(S)}=\tau^{*c_{\mathcal{G}}(S)}$ .

Suppose now that  $\alpha^{*c_{\sigma}(S)} = \beta^{*c_{\sigma}(S)} = \varepsilon$ . Since both  $\theta^*$  and  $\nu^*$  lie under  $\varepsilon$ , it follows that  $\theta^{*c} = \nu^*$  for some  $c \in C_G(S)$ . Then  $\theta^c = \nu$  and certainly we may assume that  $\theta = \nu$ . In this case,  $\delta^{*c_T(S)} = \tau^{*c_T(S)}$ , because  $C_T(S) = I_{c_G(S)}(\theta^*)$  and both are the Clifford correspondents of  $\varepsilon$  over  $\theta^*$ . If G is a  $\pi'$ -group, by Theorem A of [6], we have that  $(\alpha^G)^* = (\beta^G)^*$  and then  $\alpha^G = \beta^G$ . Otherwise, T < G and by induction,  $\delta^T = \tau^T$  and hence  $\alpha^G = \delta^G = \tau^G = \beta^G$ .

By Theorem (4.2), we have defined an injective map (which we will continue denoting by \*) from  $\operatorname{Ind}_{S}(G)$  into  $\operatorname{Irr}(C_{G}(S))$ . The image of this map is in the set of  $\pi$ -defect zero characters of  $C_{G}(S)$ , but we do not know exactly what it is in general. We will have control on it, however, when the Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $\Gamma$  are nilpotent. Another observation is that we have assumed  $\pi$ -separability on G. Is this really necessary? Since the relationship between Glauberman-Isaacs correspondents is so tight, perhaps Theorem (4.2) is true with complete generality.

# 5. Clifford theory and the correspondence

Suppose that  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_s(G)$  and let N be a normal S-invariant subgroup of G. When N is a  $\pi'$ -group, we distinguished in  $\text{Irr}_s(N)$  the good constituents of  $\chi_N$ . Now, in more genrality, we say that  $\theta \in \text{Irr}_s(N)$  is good for  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_s(G)$  if  $\theta$  lies under  $\chi$  and the Clifford correspondent of  $\chi$  over  $\theta$  lies in  $\text{Ind}_s(I_G(\theta))$ . By (3.3), observe that when N is a  $\pi'$ -group the new definition agrees with that in Section 3.

Now we give a Clifford type theorem for  $Ind_s$ -characters. It also extends Corollary (3.6).

(5.1) **Theorem.** Assume (3.1). Let  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_s(G)$  and let N be a normal S-invariant subgroup of G. Then there exists a good  $\theta \in \text{Irr}_s(N)$  for  $\chi$  and all of them are conjugate in  $C_{\mathfrak{c}}(S)$ . Also, good constituents are  $\text{Ind}_s$ -characters.

Proof. We argue by induction on |G|. Let  $Y=O_{\pi'}(N)$  and let  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(Y)$  be good for  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $\mu \in \operatorname{Ind}_{S}(T)$  be the Clifford correspondent of  $\mathfrak{X}$  over  $\alpha$  and observe that if  $\delta$  is any irreducible constituent of  $\mu_{T\cap N}$ , then  $\delta^{N} \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$  and  $I_{G}(\delta^{N}) \cap T = I_{T}(\delta)$ , by Clifford theory.

Suppose first that N=Y. By (3.2) and (3.3), in this case we only have to prove that if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two good irreducible constituents of  $\chi_N$ , then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are  $C_G(S)$ -conjugate. By (3.5), we know that there exists S-invariant nuclei  $(V, \gamma)$  and  $(W, \eta)$  for  $\chi$ , where V and W are  $\pi'$ -groups, such that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are

#### G. NAVARRO

irreducible constituents of  $\gamma_N$  and  $\eta_N$ , respectively. Now the same argument given in (3.6) shows us that  $(V, \gamma)^c = (W, \eta)$ , for some  $c \in C_G(S)$ . Therefore,  $\alpha^c$  and  $\beta$  are two S-invariant irreducible constituents of  $\eta_N$ . By Glauberman's Lemma (13.9) of [3], in the action in (13.27) of [3],  $\alpha^c$  and  $\beta$  are  $C_W(S)$ -conjugate and hence  $C_G(S)$ -conjugate.

Now suppose that Y < N and hence T < G (if  $\alpha$  is G-invariant, since every irreducible constituent of  $\mathcal{X}_N$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero, by (2.3), Y = N). Then, by induction,  $\mu_{T \cap N}$  has some good irreducible constituent, all of them are  $C_T(S)$ conjugate and lie in  $\mathrm{Ind}_S(T \cap N)$ . If  $\delta$  is any one of them, notice that  $\delta^N \in$  $\mathrm{Ind}_S(N)$ . Let  $I = I_G(\delta^N)$  and let  $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Irr}(I \cap T | \delta)$  be with  $\varepsilon^T = \mu$ . Since  $\delta$ is good for  $\mu$ , it follows that  $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Ind}_S(I \cap T)$ . Now,  $\varepsilon^G = \chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ ,  $\varepsilon^I \in$  $\mathrm{Irr}(I | \delta^N)$  is the Clifford correspondent of  $\chi$  over  $\delta^N$  and also  $\varepsilon^I \in \mathrm{Ind}_S(I \cap T)$ . Therefore,  $\delta^N$  is good for  $\chi$  and lies in  $\mathrm{Ind}_S(N)$ .

Now suppose that  $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(N)$  is also good for  $\mathcal{X}$  and let  $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(I_{G}(\tau))$  the Clifford correspondent of  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $\tau$ . Let  $\alpha_{o} \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(Y)$  be a good consitutent for  $\psi$  and observe that  $\alpha_{o}$  is good for  $\mathcal{X}$  and that  $\alpha_{o}$  lies under  $\tau$ . By the first part of the proof,  $\alpha_{o}$  is  $C_{G}(S)$ -conjugate to  $\alpha$  and hence it is no loss of generality to assume that  $\alpha_{o} = \alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  is good for  $\psi$ , let  $\xi \in \operatorname{Ind}_{S}(I_{G}(\tau) \cap T)$  over  $\alpha$  be such that  $\xi^{I_{G}(\tau)} = \psi$ . Then  $\xi^{T} = \mu$ , by the uniqueness of the Clifford correspondents and, since  $(\xi_{T\cap N})^{N}$  is a multiple of  $\tau$ , again we have that  $\xi_{T\cap N}$  is a multiple of some  $\phi \in \operatorname{Irr}(T \cap N)$  with  $\phi^{N} = \tau$ . Now, since  $I_{G}(\tau) \cap T = I_{T}(\phi)$ , it follows that  $\phi$  is good for  $\mu$ . By induction,  $\phi = \delta^{c}$  for some  $c \in C_{G}(S)$ . Then  $(\delta^{N})^{c} = (\delta^{c})^{N}$  $= \phi^{N} = \tau$  and the theorem is proved.

Now we want to relate normal subgroups and the correspondence.

(5.2) **Theorem.** Assume (3.1). Let N be a normal S-invariant subgroup of G and let  $\theta \in \text{Ind}_{S}(N)$  be invariant in G. If  $\chi \in \text{Ind}_{S}(G)$ , then  $[\chi_{N}, \theta] \neq 0$ if and only if  $[\chi_{C_{N}(S)}^{*}, \theta^{*}] \neq 0$ .

Proof. We argue by induction on |G|. Suppose first that N is a  $\pi'$ -group. Since  $\chi \in \operatorname{Ind}_{S}(G)$ , by the very definition, we may find an S-invariant pair  $(W, \gamma)$  with  $N \subseteq W$ , with W a  $\pi'$ -group and with  $\gamma^{c} = \chi$ . Then  $\chi^{*} = \gamma^{*c_{\mathcal{G}}(S)}$ . Notice that  $[\chi_{N}, \theta] \neq 0$  if and only if  $[\gamma_{N}, \theta] \neq 0$ . By (5.3) of [9],  $[\gamma_{N}, \theta] \neq 0$  if and only if  $[\gamma^{*}_{C_{\mathcal{N}}(S)}, \theta^{*}] \neq 0$ . Since  $\theta^{*}$  is  $C_{G}(S)$ -invariant (because  $((\theta^{*})^{*} = (\theta^{*})^{*}$  for any automorphism x of NS fixing S),  $[\gamma^{*}_{C_{\mathcal{N}}(S)}, \theta^{*}] \neq 0$  if and only if  $[\chi^{*}_{C_{\mathcal{N}}(S)}, \theta^{*}] \neq 0$ , as wanted.

Suppose now that  $Y=O_{\pi'}(N) < N$  and let  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(Y)$  be good for  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $T=I_{G}(\alpha)$  and, by (3.3), let  $\mu \in \operatorname{Ind}_{S}(T)$  the Clifford correspondent of  $\mathfrak{X}$  over  $\alpha$ . Observe, again, that if  $\delta$  is any irreducible constituent of  $\mu_{T\cap N}$ , then  $\delta^{N} \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$  and  $I_{G}(\delta^{N}) \cap T=I_{T}(\delta)$ , by Clifford theory. By the definition of the map we have that  $\mathfrak{X}^{*}=(\mu^{*})^{c_{G}(S)}$  and  $(\delta^{N})^{*}=(\delta^{*})^{c_{\mathcal{N}}(S)}$ . Also T < G. Suppose first that  $\theta$  lies under  $\chi$ . Since  $(\mu^{TN})_N$  is a multiple of  $\theta$ ,  $\mu_{T\cap N}$  is a multiple of some  $\delta \in \operatorname{Irr}(T \cap N)$ , where  $\delta$  is the Clifford correspondent of  $\theta$  over  $\alpha$ . By (5.1), observe that  $\delta \in \operatorname{Ind}_{S}(T \cap N)$ . By induction, we have that  $\mu^{*}$  lies over  $\delta^{*}$ . Since  $\mu^{*c_{G}(S)} = \chi^{*}$  and  $\delta^{*c_{G}(S)} = \theta^{*}$ ,  $\chi^{*}$  lies over  $\theta^{*}$ , as wanted.

Suppose now that  $\chi^*$  lies over  $\theta^*$ . We know that  $\theta^*$  is  $C_G(S)$ -invariant, and thus  $\chi^*_{C_N(S)}$  is a multiple of  $\theta^*$ . By (5.1), let  $\eta \in \operatorname{Ind}_S(N)$  be under  $\chi$ . By the first part of the proof,  $\eta^*$  lies under  $\chi^*$ . Therefore,  $\eta^* = \theta^*$  and hence  $\eta = \theta$ , as wanted.

With the help of Theorem (5.2), we can now show that if the Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $\Gamma$  are nilpotent, then  $\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{S}}(G)^*$  is exactly the set of  $\pi$ -defect zero characters in  $\operatorname{Irr}(C_{\mathcal{G}}(S))$ .

Firxt, we need an easy fact about  $B_{\pi}$ -characters.

(5.3) **Lemma.** Let G be a  $\pi$ -separable group and let  $\chi \in B_{\pi}(G)$ . Suppose that  $1=G_o \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_s = G$  is a normal series of G where every  $G_i/G_{i+1}$  is a  $\pi$ -group or a  $\pi'$ -group. If  $\chi_{G_i}$  is homogeneous for every i, then  $\chi$  has  $\pi$ -degree.

Proof. We argue by induction on |G|. Write  $\chi_{G_1} = e\theta$ , where  $\theta \in B_{\pi}(G_1)$ (by (7.5) of [4]) and  $\theta$  has  $\pi$ -degree by induction. If  $G/G_1$  is a  $\pi$ -group, then e is a  $\pi$ -number and so is  $\chi(1)$ . If  $G/G_1$  is a  $\pi'$ -group, by (6.5) of [4], e=1 and the result follows.

(5.4) **Theorem.** Assume (3.1). Let  $\alpha \in Irr(C_G(S))$  be a  $\pi$ -defect zero character. If the Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $\Gamma$  are nilpotent, there exists  $\chi \in Ind_s(G)$  with  $\chi^* = \alpha$ .

Proof. Let N be a normal S-invariant subgroup of G and suppose that  $\alpha_{C_{\mathcal{X}}(S)}$  is not homogeneous. Let  $\nu \in \operatorname{Irr}(C_N(S))$  be a constituent of  $\alpha_{C_{\mathcal{X}}(S)}$  and let  $\tau \in \operatorname{Irr}(I | \nu)$  be such that  $\tau^{C_{\mathcal{G}}(S)} = \alpha$ , where  $I = I_{C_{\mathcal{G}}(S)}(\nu)$ . By (2.2),  $\nu$  and  $\tau$  have  $\pi$ -defect zero. By induction, let  $\theta \in \operatorname{Ind}_S(N)$  be such that  $\theta^* = \nu$  and write  $T = I_G(\theta)$ . Since  $T \cap C_G(S) = I < C_G(S)$ , it follows that T < G. By induction, let  $\psi \in \operatorname{Ind}_S(T)$  be such that  $\psi^* = \tau$ . By (5.2),  $\psi$  lies over  $\theta$  and hence  $\psi^G \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ . By the definition of  $\operatorname{Ind}_S(G)$  and the map,  $\psi^G \in \operatorname{Ind}_S(G)$  and  $(\psi^G)^* = (\psi^*)^{C_{\mathcal{G}}(S)} = \tau^{C_{\mathcal{G}}(S)} = \alpha$ . So we may assume that for any normal S-invariant subgroup N of G,  $\alpha_{C_{\mathcal{K}}(S)}$  is homogeneous.

Since  $\Gamma$  is  $\pi$ -separable, we may produce a normal series in  $C_G(S)$  with  $\pi$  or  $\pi'$ -factors by intersecting with  $C_G(S)$  a chief series of  $\Gamma$ . Thus, by (2.4) and (5.3),  $\alpha$  has  $\pi'$ -degree. Since  $\alpha$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero, it follows that  $C_G(S)$  is a  $\pi'$ -group. If G itself is a  $\pi'$ -group the Theorem is true by the Glauberman-Isaacs Correspondence. Otherwise, if H>1 is an S-invariant Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of G, since HS is nilpotent, we have  $C_H(S)>1$ , which is a contradiction.

Finally, we point out that when S is a p-group (and G is p-solvable) our

G. NAVARRO

map coincides with Nagao's. If we assume (3.1) and C is a complete set of representatives of G-conjugacy classes of complements of G in  $\Gamma$ , first we show that the set of  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $\pi$ -defect zero characters of G is exactly the disjoint union  $\bigcup_{Q \in C} \operatorname{Ind}_Q(G)$ . Secondly, we will show that if  $P, Q \in C$ , and  $C_G(P) = C_G(Q)$  has a p-defect zero character then P=Q. Nagao's map will be the "disjoint union" of our maps.

If  $\chi$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant  $\pi$ -defect zero character of G, we know that  $\chi \in B_{\pi'}(G)$ and that there is a unique  $\hat{\chi} \in B_{\pi'}(\Gamma)$  extending  $\chi$ . If  $(V, \gamma)$  is a nucleus for,  $\hat{\chi}$ then by (6.2) of [4],  $(V \cap G, \gamma_{V \cap G})$  is a nucleus for  $\chi$ , where  $V \cap G$  is a  $\pi'$ -group (because  $\chi$  has  $\pi$ -defect zero). Now, if Q is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of V, then V = $(V \cap G)Q$  with  $Q \cap G = 1$  and hence Q is a complement of G in  $\Gamma$  (because  $(\gamma^{\Gamma})_G$ is irreducible). By conjugating by an appropriate element we may assume that  $Q \in C$  and therefore, that  $\chi \in \operatorname{Ind}_Q(G)$ . Also, if  $\chi \in \operatorname{Ind}_P(G) \cap \operatorname{Ind}_Q(G)$ , where  $P, Q \in C$ , by (3.5), we know that P and Q are Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups of two nucleus of  $\hat{\chi}$ . By (3.5), the nuclei of  $\hat{\chi}$  are  $\Gamma$ -conjugate. Since  $GP = GQ = \Gamma$ , it follows that Q and P are G-conjugate, as wanted.

For the second part, since groups with a *p*-defect zero character have no nontrivial normal *p*-subgroups, it suffices to show the following.

(5.5) **Lemma.** Suppose that G is a normal complemented subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma/G$  is a p-group. Let P and Q be complements of G in  $\Gamma$  and assume that  $C_{\mathbf{G}}(P) = C_{\mathbf{G}}(Q) = D$ . If  $O_{\mathbf{p}}(D) = 1$ , then P and Q are G-conjugate.

Proof. Let  $M=C_{r}(D)$ . Since M contains both P and Q,  $M=P(M \cap G)=Q(M \cap G)$ . Now,  $C_{M \cap G}(Q)=D \cap M \cap G=C_{D}(D)=Z(D)$  is a p'-group. Now we claim that  $|M \cap G|$  is not divisible by p, and observe that if the claim is proved, by the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem, the lemma follows. Let T be a Sylow p-subgroup of M containing Q. Then  $T \cap M \cap G$  is a Q-invariant Sylow p-subgroup of  $M \cap G$ . If  $M \cap G$  is divisible by p, then  $C_{T \cap M \cap G}(Q)$  is nontrivial and this is a contradiction with the fact that  $C_{M \cap G}(Q)$  is a p'-group.

To end, by (12.1) of [7], it suffices to porve the following. (Recall that in the Glauberman correspondence, when the group acting is a *p*-group, the correspondent of  $\chi$  is the unique irreducible constituent  $\chi^*$  of  $\chi_{c_{\mathcal{G}}(s)}$  with multiplicity not divisible by *p*. Also  $[\chi_{c_{\mathcal{G}}(s)}, \chi^*] \equiv 1 \mod p$  ((13.14) and (13.21) of [3])).

(5.6) **Theorem.** Assume (3.1) with S a p-group. Let  $\chi \in Irr_s(G)$  and let  $\eta \in Ind_s(G)$ . Then  $[\chi_{c_a(s)}, \eta^*] \equiv [\chi, \eta] \mod p$ .

Proof. Write  $\eta = \delta^{G}$ , where  $\delta \in \operatorname{Irr}_{S}(J)$  and J is an S-invariant  $\pi'$ -subgroup of G. Since  $\chi_{J}$  is S-invariant, we certainly may write

$$\chi_J = \Delta + \sum_{\Delta \in \Delta} a_{\Delta} (\sum_{\mu \in \Delta} \mu),$$

168

where every irreducible constituent of  $\Delta$  is S-invariant, and  $\Lambda$  is the set of the nontrivial S-orbits of irreducible constituents of  $\chi_J$ . If we pick  $\mu_{\Lambda} \in \Lambda$  for every  $\Lambda \in \Lambda$ , we may write

$$\chi_{c_{J}(S)} = \Delta_{c_{J}(S)} + \sum_{\Lambda \in \Lambda} a_{\Lambda} |\Lambda| (\mu_{\Lambda})_{c_{J}(S)}.$$

Now  $[\chi_{c_{\mathcal{G}}(S)}, \eta^*] = [\chi_{c_{\mathcal{G}}(S)}, (\delta^*)^{c_{\mathcal{G}}(S)}] = [\chi_{c_J(S)}, \delta^*] \equiv [\Delta_{c_J(S)}, \delta^*] \equiv [\Delta, \delta] \mod p$ , where the last congruence follows by (13.14) and (13.21) of [3].

Since  $\delta$  is S-invariant,  $[\Delta, \delta] \equiv [\chi_J, \delta] = [\chi, \eta] \mod p$ , as wanted.

#### References

- [1] A. Espuelas, G. Navarro: Blocks of small defect, Proc. Amer. Math Soc. 114 (1992), 881-885.
- [2] D. Gajengragadkar: A characteristic class of finite  $\pi$ -separable groups, J. Algebra 59 (1979), 237-259.
- [3] I.M. Isaacs: Character Theory of Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [4] I.M. Isaacs: Characters of  $\pi$ -separable groups, J. Algebra, 86 (1984), 98-128.
- [5] I.M. Isaacs: Fong characters in  $\pi$ -separable groups, J. Algebra 99 (1986), 89–107.
- [6] I.M. Isaacs, G. Navarro: Character correspondences and irreducible induction and restriction, J. Algebra 140 (1991), 131–140.
- [7] H. Nagao, Tsushima Y.: Representations of Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1990.
- [8] G. Navarro: Action and Invariant character degrees, To appear in J. Algebra.
- [9] T.R. Wolf: Character correspondences in solvable groups, Illinois J. Math. 22 (1978), 327-340.

Facultat de Matematiques, University of Valencia, Burjassot, Valencia 46100, Spain