



Title	The K^* -local type of the orbit manifold $(S^{2m+1} \times S^1)/D_q$ by the dihedral group D_q
Author(s)	Nishimura, Yasuzo
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1996, 33(3), p. 775-791
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/10099
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

THE K_* -LOCAL TYPE OF THE ORBIT MANIFOLD $(S^{2m+1} \times S^l)/D_q$ BY THE DIHEDRAL GROUP D_q

YASUZO NISHIMURA

(Received September 6, 1995)

Introduction

For a given CW -spectrum E there is an associated E -homology theory $E_*X = \pi_*(E \wedge X)$. A CW -spectrum Y is called E_* -local if any E_* -equivalence $A \rightarrow B$ induces an isomorphism $[B, Y]_* \cong [A, Y]_*$. For any CW -spectrum X there exists an E_* -equivalence $\iota_E: X \rightarrow X_E$ such that X_E is E_* -local. X_E is called the E_* -localization of X . Let KO and KU be the real and the complex K -spectrum respectively. There is no difference between the KO_* - and KU_* -localizations, and so we denote by S_K the K_* -localization of the sphere spectrum $S = \Sigma^0$. According to the smashing theorem [2, Corollary 4.7] the smash product $S_K \wedge X$ is actually the K_* -localization of X for any CW -spectrum X .

In this note we shall be interested in the K_* -local type of certain orbit manifolds $D(q)^{m,l}$ introduced as a filtration of a classifying space of the dihedral group D_q in [8]. The manifold $D(q)^{m,l}$ is defined as follows: Let $q \geq 3$ be an odd integer, and D_q the dihedral group generated by two elements a and b with relations $a^q = b^2 = abab = 1$. Consider the unit spheres S^{2m+1} and S^l in the complex $(m+1)$ -space C^{m+1} and the real $(l+1)$ -space R^{l+1} . Then D_q operates freely on the product space $S^{2m+1} \times S^l$ by

$$a \cdot (z, x) = (z \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/q), x), \quad b \cdot (z, x) = (\bar{z}, -x)$$

where \bar{z} is the conjugate of z . The associated topological quotient spaces

$$D(q)^{2m+1,l} = (S^{2m+1} \times S^l)/D_q = (L(q)^{2m+1} \times S^l)/Z_2,$$

$$D(q)^{2m,l} = (L(q)^{2m} \times S^l)/Z_2 \subset D(q)^{2m+1,l}$$

are defined where $L(q)^{2m+1} = L^m(q)$ is the $(2m+1)$ -dimensional lens space mod q and $L(q)^{2m} = L_0^m(q)$ its $2m$ -skeleton.

The group $KU^0 D(q)^{m,l}$ is decomposed to a direct sum of KU^0 -groups of suspensions of stunted lens spaces mod q and mod 2 (cf. [5, Theorem 3.9]). Moreover KO^0 - and J^0 -groups of $D(q)^{m,l}$ have a quite similar direct sum decomposition (cf. [10] or [7]). In section 1 we shall show that $D(q)^{m,l}$ itself has

such a decomposition as K_* -local spectrum. The K_* -local type of the stunted real projective space $RP^m/RP^n = RP_{n+1}^m$ has been determined explicitly by constructing small cell spectra in [13]. In section 2 we shall study the K_* -local type of the stunted lens space $L(p)^m/L(p)^n = L(p)_{n+1}^m$ for an odd prime p . Consequently we can observe the K_* -local type of $D(q)^{m,l}$ more explicitly in the special case that q is an odd prime p .

1. The K_* -local type of $D(q)^{m,l}$

Let \mathcal{A} be the category of abelian groups with stable Adams operations ψ^k ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) (cf. [4, 5.1]). For an arbitrary set P of primes, let $\mathcal{A}_{(P)}$ be the full subcategory of $Z_{(P)}$ -modules of the abelian category \mathcal{A} . Then the inclusion functor $\mathcal{A}_{(P)} \subset \mathcal{A}$ has the obvious left adjoint $(\) \otimes Z_{(P)}$. Assume that P is a finite set of primes. By the Chinese remainder theorem there exists an integer r such that: r generates $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2)^*$ for each odd $p \in P$; $r = \pm 3 \pmod 8$ when $2 \in P$; $|r| \geq 2$ when P is empty. Let $\mathcal{A}_{(P)}^r$ be the category of $Z_{(P)}$ -modules with automorphism ψ^r and involution ψ^{-1} . By [4, 6.4] the forgetful functor $\mathcal{A}_{(P)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{(P)}^r$ is a categorical isomorphism. Moreover if $2 \notin P$ then we don't need the involution ψ^{-1} in the abelian category $\mathcal{A}_{(P)}^r$ (cf. [3, Proposition 5.7]).

For any prime p let us fix an integer r as above. Denote by $Ad_{(p)}$ the fiber of the $\psi_R^r - 1: KO_{(p)} \rightarrow KO_{(p)}$ where ψ_R^k is the stable real Adams operation. Then we have the following cofiber sequences (cf. [2, section 4]):

$$\begin{aligned} Ad_{(p)} &\xrightarrow{\xi} KO_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\psi_R^r - 1} KO_{(p)} \rightarrow \Sigma^1 Ad_{(p)} \\ S_{K(p)} &\xrightarrow{t_A} Ad_{(p)} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1} SQ \rightarrow \Sigma^1 S_{K(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

For an odd prime p the first sequence can be replaced by

$$Ad_{(p)} \rightarrow KU_{(p)} \xrightarrow{\psi_C^r - 1} KU_{(p)} \rightarrow \Sigma^1 Ad_{(p)}$$

because $Ad_{(p)}$ also arises as the fiber of $\psi_C^r - 1: KU_{(p)} \rightarrow KU_{(p)}$. Using this fact we can easily verify the following lemma (cf. [3, Theorem 9.1]).

Lemma 1.1. *Let X and Y be CW-spectra such that $KU_0 X$ and $KU_0 Y$ are odd torsion groups and $KU_1 X = KU_1 Y = 0$. If $KU_0 X$ and $KU_0 Y$ are isomorphic in the abelian category \mathcal{A} then X and Y have the same K_* -local type.*

In order to describe the K_* -local type of $D(q)^{m,l}$ we first consider the lens space $L(q)^m$. Recall that

$$KU^0 L(q)^{2m+1} \cong KU^0 L(q)^{2m} \cong Z[\sigma] / (\sigma^{m+1}, (1+\sigma)^q - 1),$$

$$KU^1 L(q)^{2m+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}, \quad KU^1 L(q)^{2m} = 0$$

(cf. [6] or [11]) where $\sigma = [\gamma] - 1$ for the canonical line bundle γ over $L(q)^{2m+1}$ (which is induced by the natural surjection $\pi: L(q)^{2m+1} \rightarrow CP^m$) or its restriction over $L(q)^{2m}$. Therefore the stable Adams operation ψ_C^k operates on $KU^0 L(q)^{2m}$ as

$$\psi_C^k \sigma = (1 + \sigma)^k - 1.$$

Since $KU^0 L(q)^{2m}$ is an odd torsion group, there exist subgroups A^m and B^m on which the conjugation ψ_C^{-1} acts as 1 and -1 respectively (cf. [4, Proposition 3.8]) and a direct sum decomposition $KU^0 L(q)^{2m} \cong A^m \oplus B^m$ in \mathcal{A} . (In this case A^m and B^m are generated by the elements $\sigma + \psi_C^{-1} \sigma$ and $(\sigma - \psi_C^{-1} \sigma)(\sigma + \psi_C^{-1} \sigma)^{i-1}$ ($i \geq 1$) respectively (cf. [5, Lemma 3.3]).) From [4, Theorem 10.1] (or [3, Proposition 8.7]) and [4, Theorem 11.1] there exist certain finite spectra SA^m and SB^m such that $KU^0 SA^m \cong A^m$, $KU^0 SB^m \cong B^m$ and $KU^1 SA^m = KU^1 SB^m = 0$ in \mathcal{A} . Then the lens space $L(q)^{2m}$ has the same K_* -local type as $SA^m \vee SB^m$ by Lemma 1.1. We obtain the KO_* -groups by the Bott and Anderson cofiber sequences as follows:

$$KO_i SA^m \cong \begin{cases} A^m & \text{for } i \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad KO_i SB^m \cong \begin{cases} B^m & \text{for } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Let $\tilde{f}: \Sigma^{2m} \rightarrow L(q)^{2m+1}$ be the attaching map of the top cell in $L(q)^{2m+1}$. Consider the associated map $f = (f_A, f_B): \Sigma^{2m} \rightarrow SA^m \vee SB^m$ such that $l_K \wedge \tilde{f} = \varphi f$ where $\varphi: SA^m \vee SB^m \rightarrow S_K \wedge L(q)^{2m}$ is a K_* -equivalence. Since $KO_i SA^m = 0$ for $i \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $f_A \in [\Sigma^{2m}, S_K \wedge SA^m] = 0$ when m is even. Similarly $f_B \in [\Sigma^{2m}, S_K \wedge SB^m] = 0$ when m is odd. Therefore $L(q)^{2m+1}$ has the same K_* -local type as the cofiber $C(f) = C(f_A) \vee SB^m$ when m is odd or $C(f) = SA^m \vee C(f_B)$ when m is even. We shall often denote SA^m and SB^m by SA and SB respectively for simplicity.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\iota_K: S_K \rightarrow KO$ denote the K_* -localized map of the unit $\iota: S \rightarrow KO$.*

i) *If $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then $[\Sigma^l SA, S_K \wedge SA] = 0 = [\Sigma^l SB, S_K \wedge SB]$, and if $l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $\iota_{K_*}: [\Sigma^l SA, S_K \wedge SA] \rightarrow [\Sigma^l SA, KO \wedge SA]$ and $\iota_{K_*}: [\Sigma^l SB, S_K \wedge SB] \rightarrow [\Sigma^l SB, KO \wedge SB]$ are monomorphisms.*

ii) *If $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $[\Sigma^l SA, S_K \wedge SB] = 0 = [\Sigma^l SB, S_K \wedge SA]$, and if $l \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ then $\iota_{K_*}: [\Sigma^l SA, S_K \wedge SB] \rightarrow [\Sigma^l SA, KO \wedge SB]$ and $\iota_{K_*}: [\Sigma^l SB, S_K \wedge SA] \rightarrow [\Sigma^l SB, KO \wedge SA]$ are monomorphisms.*

Proof. i) There is an exact sequence

$$[\Sigma^l SA, \Sigma^{-1} KO_{(p)} \wedge SA] \rightarrow [\Sigma^l SA, S_{K(p)} \wedge SA] \xrightarrow{\iota_{K_*}} [\Sigma^l SA, KO_{(p)} \wedge SA].$$

It is easily verified that $[\Sigma^l SA, KO \wedge SA] = 0$ when $l \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$ because $KO_i SA = 0$ for $i \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Now our result is immediate.

ii) is shown similarly.

Consider the $Z/2$ -action on $L(q)^{2m}$ induced by the complex conjugation

$$t: L(q)^{2m} \rightarrow L(q)^{2m}, \quad [z] \mapsto [\bar{z}].$$

By definition $t^*\sigma = \psi_C^{-1}\sigma$ and ψ_C^{-1} operates on SA^m and SB^m as 1 and -1 respectively. Therefore we obtain the following commutative diagram after replacing the K_* -equivalence $\varphi: SA^m \vee SB^m \rightarrow S_K \wedge L(q)^{2m}$ suitably necessary:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_K \wedge L(q)^{2m} & \xrightarrow{t} & S_K \wedge L(q)^{2m} \\ \uparrow \varphi & & \uparrow \varphi \\ SA^m \vee SB^m & \xrightarrow{1 \vee (-1)} & SA^m \vee SB^m. \end{array}$$

This can be also proved by induction on m using Lemma 1.2.

For the orbit manifold $D(q)^{m,l} = (L(q)^m \times S^l) / Z_2$ there is a fibering

$$L(q)^m \xrightarrow{k} D(q)^{m,l} \xrightarrow{p} RP^l.$$

Since the projection p has a right inverse $RP^l = D(q)^{0,l} \subset D(q)^{m,l}$ (cf. [5, Lemma 1.7]) we observe that

$$D(q)^{m,l} = RP^l \vee D(q)_{1,0}^{m,l}$$

where $D(q)_{1,0}^{m,l} = D(q)^{m,l} / RP^l$.

In order to determine the K_* -local type of $D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$ by induction on l we need the following cofiber sequence (cf. [10]):

$$\Sigma^{l-1} L(q)^{2m} \xrightarrow{\pi_{l-1}} D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l-1} \xrightarrow{k_l} D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l} \xrightarrow{q_l} \Sigma^l L(q)^{2m}.$$

Note that $q_l \pi_l = \nabla \lambda_l \rho: \Sigma^l L(q)^{2m} \rightarrow \Sigma^l L(q)^{2m}$ where $\lambda_l = \text{id} \vee (\tau \wedge t): \Sigma^l L(q)^{2m} \vee \Sigma^l L(q)^{2m} \rightarrow \Sigma^l L(q)^{2m} \vee \Sigma^l L(q)^{2m}$ for the antipodal map τ of Σ^l , ρ is the comultiplication of $\Sigma^l L(q)^{2m}$ and ∇ is the folding map (cf. [5, Lemma 1.11]). Therefore we may regard that $q_l \pi_l: \Sigma^l SA^m \vee \Sigma^l SB^m \rightarrow \Sigma^l SA^m \vee \Sigma^l SB^m$ is expressed as

$$q_l \pi_l = \begin{cases} 0 \vee 2 & \text{if } l \text{ is even} \\ 2 \vee 0 & \text{if } l \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The KU -cohomology of $D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$ is given as follows (cf. [5, Theorem 3.9]):

l	even	odd
$KU^0 D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$	$A^m \oplus (B^m \otimes KU^0 \Sigma^l)$	A^m
$KU^1 D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$	0	$A^m \otimes KU^1 \Sigma^l$

The components A^m and $C^m \otimes KU^* \Sigma^l$ (where $C=A$ if l is odd and $C=B$ if l is even) are given via the canonical inclusion $k: L(q)^{2m} = D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,0} \subset D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$ and the natural projection $q_l: D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l} \rightarrow \Sigma^l L(q)^{2m}$ respectively.

Proposition 1.3. $D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$ has the same K_* -local type as $SA^m \vee \Sigma^l SB^m$ if l is even and $SA^m \vee \Sigma^l SA^m$ if l is odd.

Proof. i) The " $l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ " case: Since the conjugation acts on $KU^0 D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$ as $\psi_C^{-1} = 1$ on A^m and $\psi_C^{-1} = -1$ on $B^m \otimes KU^0 \Sigma^l$, $KU^0 D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$ is decomposed to A^m and $B^m \otimes KU^0 \Sigma^l$ in the abelian category \mathcal{A} . From Lemma 1.1, $D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$ has the same K_* -local type as $SA^m \vee \Sigma^l SB^m$.

ii) The " $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ " case: We consider the following cofiber sequence

$$\Sigma^{l-1} L(q)^{2m} \xrightarrow{\pi_{l-1}} D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l-1} \xrightarrow{k_l} D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l} \xrightarrow{q_l} \Sigma^l L(q)^{2m}.$$

Here we can replace $\Sigma^{l-1} L(q)^{2m}$ and $D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l-1}$ by $\Sigma^{l-1} SA \vee \Sigma^{l-1} SB$ and $SA \vee \Sigma^{l-1} SB$ respectively from i). We set:

$$\pi_{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ y & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q_{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} u & w \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where all of x, \dots, v and w become trivial if they are carried from $[X, S_K \wedge Y]$ into $[X, KO \wedge Y]$ via the map $\iota_K: S_K \rightarrow KO$. From Lemma 1.2 x and u must be trivial. Since $q_{l-1} \pi_{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, y and w are also trivial. Thus we can express as

$$\pi_{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q_{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\Sigma^{l-1}SA & \xrightarrow{0} & SA & \rightarrow & SA \vee \Sigma^l SA & \rightarrow & \Sigma^l SA \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma^{l-1}SA \vee \Sigma^{l-1}SB & \xrightarrow{\pi_{l-1}} & SA \vee \Sigma^{l-1}SB & \xrightarrow{k_l} & S_K \wedge D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l} & \xrightarrow{q_l} & \Sigma^l SA \vee \Sigma^l SB \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
\Sigma^{l-1}SB & \stackrel{2}{\cong} & \Sigma^{l-1}SB & & & &
\end{array}$$

Now we can determine the K_* -local type of $D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$ as desired and we can take

$$k_l = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q_l = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

iii) The “ $l \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ” case: As is shown in ii) we can express as $q_{l+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Our result is proved similarly to the case ii).

iv) The “ $l \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ” case: From Lemma 1.2 we can set $\pi_{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 2 & y \end{pmatrix}$.

Since $q_{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $q_{l-1}\pi_{l-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, y is trivial. For the canonical inclusion $k: L(q)^m \rightarrow D(q)_{1,0}^{m,l+1}$ we notice that $k|_{SA} = (1, *): SA \rightarrow SA \vee \Sigma^{l+1}SA$. Then x must be trivial because $k_{l+1}k_l\pi_{l-1} = 0$. Now our result is immediate.

REMARK. For the case iv) the subgroup $A^m \subset KU^0 D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$ is the image of representation ring of D_q (cf. [5, Section 2]). Therefore $KU^0 D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$ is also decomposed to A^m and $B^m \otimes KU^0 \Sigma^l$ in \mathcal{A} . Then we can prove the case iv) in a similar way to the case i).

Let $RP_{m+1}^{m+l+1} = RP^{m+l+1} / RP^m$ be the stunted real projective space. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& \Sigma^{m+l+1} & = & \Sigma^{m+l+1} & \\
& \downarrow \gamma_0 & & \downarrow \gamma & \\
\Sigma^{m+1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} & \Sigma^1 RP_m^{m+l} & \rightarrow & \Sigma^1 RP_{m+1}^{m+l} \\
\parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma^{m+1} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \Sigma^1 RP_m^{m+l+1} & \rightarrow & \Sigma^1 RP_{m+1}^{m+l+1}
\end{array}$$

where β 's are the bottom cell inclusions and γ 's are the top cell attaching maps. Recall that K_* -local type of $\Sigma^1 RP_{2s+1}^{2s+2n}$ has the same K_* -local type as a

certain small cell spectrum $\nabla SZ/2^n$ such that $KU_0 \nabla SZ/2^n \cong Z/2^n$ on which $\psi_c^{-1} = 1$ and $KU_1 \nabla SZ/2^n = 0$ (see [13, Theorem 2.7] for details). Then $\Sigma^1 RP_{2s+1}^{2s+2n+1}$, $\Sigma^1 RP_{2s+2}^{2s+2n}$ and $\Sigma^1 RP_{2s+2}^{2s+2n+1}$ have the same K_* -local types as the cofibers of the associated maps $\gamma: \Sigma^{2s+2n+1} \rightarrow \nabla SZ/2^n$, $\beta: \Sigma^{2s+2} \rightarrow \nabla SZ/2^n$ and $\beta_0 \vee \gamma_0: \Sigma^{2s+2} \vee \Sigma^{2s+2n+1} \rightarrow \nabla SZ/2^n$ respectively, which are given explicitly in [13, Theorems 2.7, 2.9, 3.8]. Using these associated maps we can give the K_* -local type of $D(q)_{1,0}^{2m+1,l}$, as follows.

Theorem 1.4. $D(q)_{1,0}^{2m+1,l}$ has the same K_* -local type as the spectra tabled below:

m	l	$D(q)_{1,0}^{2m+1,l}$
even	odd	$SA^m \vee \Sigma^l SA^m \vee \Sigma^m RP_{m+1}^{m+l+1}$
even	even	$SA^m \vee C(\Sigma^l f_B, \Sigma^{m-1} \gamma)$
odd	even	$\Sigma^l SB^m \vee C(f_A, \Sigma^{m-1} \beta)$
odd	odd	$C \begin{pmatrix} f_A & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma^l f_A \\ \Sigma^{m-1} \beta_0 & \Sigma^{m-1} \gamma_0 \end{pmatrix}$

Proof. We have the following cofiber sequence (cf. [5, Lemma 1.12]):

$$\Sigma^{m-1} RP_{m+1}^{m+l+1} \xrightarrow{F} D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l} \rightarrow D(q)_{1,0}^{2m+1,l}.$$

Here we may use $SA^m \vee \Sigma^l SC^m$ instead of $D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l}$ by virtue of Proposition 1.3. When m is odd we consider the $KZ[1/2]_*$ -localization of the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma^{2m} & & \xrightarrow{f} & L(q)^{2m} & \rightarrow & L(q)^{2m+1} \\ & \downarrow^{k_0} & & \downarrow^k & & \downarrow^k \\ \Sigma^{m-1} RP_{m+1}^{m+l+1} & \xrightarrow{F} & D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l} & \rightarrow & D(q)_{1,0}^{2m+1,l} \end{array}$$

where k and k_0 are the canonical inclusions. Then we may regard as $k_0 = (1, 0): \Sigma^{2m} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2m} \vee \Sigma^{m-1} RP_{m+1}^{m+l+1}$, $f = (f_A, 0): \Sigma^{2m} \rightarrow SA^m \vee SB^m$ and $k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}: SA^m \vee SB^m \rightarrow SA^m \vee \Sigma^l SC^m$. Therefore $F|_{\Sigma^{2m}}$ is expressed as $(f_A, 0): \Sigma^{2m} \rightarrow SA^m \vee \Sigma^l SC^m$.

When $m+l$ is even we consider the $KZ[1/2]_*$ -localization of the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^{2m+l} & & \xrightarrow{f} & \Sigma^l L(q)^{2m} & \rightarrow & \Sigma^l L(q)^{2m+1} \\
\downarrow \gamma & & & \downarrow \pi_l & & \downarrow \pi_l \\
\Sigma^{m-1} RP_{m+1}^{m+l+1} & \xrightarrow{F} & D(q)_{1,0}^{2m,l} & \rightarrow & D(q)_{1,0}^{2m+1,l}
\end{array}$$

where γ is the top cell attaching map and π_l is the natural projection. Then we may regard as $\gamma=(0,1):\Sigma^{2m+l}\rightarrow\Sigma^{m-1}RP_{m+1}^{m+l}\vee\Sigma^{2m+l}$, $f=(f_C,0):\Sigma^{2m+l}\rightarrow\Sigma^l SC^m\vee\Sigma^l SC'^m$ where $C'=B$ if l is odd and $C'=A$ if l is even, and $\pi_l=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ 2 & * \end{pmatrix}:\Sigma^l SC^m\vee\Sigma^l SC'^m\rightarrow SA^m\vee\Sigma^l SC^m$. Therefore $F|\Sigma^{2m+l}$ is expressed as $(0,2f_C):\Sigma^{2m+l}\rightarrow SA^m\vee\Sigma^l SC^m$. Consequently $D(q)_{1,0}^{2m+1,l}$ has the same $KZ[1/2]_*$ -local type as $SA^m\vee\Sigma^l SA^m$, $SA^m\vee\Sigma^l C(f_B)$, $C(f_A)\vee\Sigma^l SB^m$ and $C(f_A)\vee\Sigma^l C(f_A)$ according as $(m,l)\equiv(0,1),(0,0),(1,0)$ and $(1,1)\pmod{2}$ respectively. From the previous observation we can determine the K_* -local type of $D(q)_{1,0}^{2m+1,l}$ as desired.

Let n and k be integers such that $0\leq n\leq m$ and $0\leq k\leq l$. We set:

$$D(q)_{n,k}^{m,l}=D(q)^{m,l}/(D(q)^{m,k-1}\cup D(q)^{n-1,l}).$$

This space is the Thom complex of a canonical bundle over $D(q)^{m-n,l-k}$ when n is even. We shall extend Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 to the case of $D(q)_{n,k}^{m,l}$. In order to state the extended theorem we express the K_* -local type of the stunted lens space $L(q)_{n+1}^m=L(q)^m/L(q)^n$ as follows: $L(q)_{2n+1}^{2m}$ has the same K_* -local type as $SA_n^m\vee SB_n^m$ where the conjugation acts as $\psi_C^{-1}=1$ on $KU^0 SA_n^m\cong A_n^m$ and $\psi_C^{-1}=-1$ on $KU^0 SB_n^m\cong B_n^m$. $L(q)_{2n+1}^{2m+1}$, $L(q)_{2n+2}^{2m}$ and $L(q)_{2n+2}^{2m+1}$ have the same K_* -local types as the cofibers of the following maps respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
f &= (f_A, f_B): \Sigma^{2m} \rightarrow SA_n^m \vee SB_n^m; \\
g &= (g_A, g_B): \Sigma^{2n+1} \rightarrow SA_n^m \vee SB_n^m; \\
f \vee g &: \Sigma^{2m} \vee \Sigma^{2n+1} \rightarrow SA_n^m \vee SB_n^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $f_A=0$ if m is even and $f_B=0$ if m is odd, and $g_A=0$ if n is even and $g_B=0$ if n is odd.

Let $\langle \Sigma^k \rangle$ be Σ^k if k is odd and $*$ if k is even. Then we can choose the map $\beta \vee \gamma: \Sigma^1 \langle \Sigma^k \rangle \vee \langle \Sigma^l \rangle \rightarrow \nabla SZ/2^i$ so that its cofiber $C(\beta \vee \gamma)$ has the same K_* -local type as $\Sigma^1 RP_{k+1}^l$ where i depends on k and l .

Theorem 1.5. i) $D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l}$ has the same K_* -local type as $\Sigma^k SE_n^m \vee \Sigma^l SC_n^m$ where $C=A$ if l is odd and $C=B$ if l is even, and $E=A$ if k is even and $E=B$ if k is odd.

ii) $D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m+1,l}$, $D(q)_{2n+2,k}^{2m,l}$ and $D(q)_{2n+2,k}^{2m+1,l}$ have the same K_* -local types as the

cofibers of the following maps respectively:

$$\tilde{F}: X = \Sigma^m \langle \Sigma^{m+k} \rangle \vee \Sigma^{m-1} \langle \Sigma^{m+l+1} \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^k SE_n^m \vee \Sigma^l SC_n^m \vee \Sigma^{m-1} \nabla SZ / 2^i,$$

$$\tilde{G}: Y = \Sigma^{n+1} \langle \Sigma^{n+k} \rangle \vee \Sigma^n \langle \Sigma^{n+l+1} \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^k SE_n^m \vee \Sigma^l SC_n^m \vee \Sigma^n \nabla' SZ / 2^j,$$

$$\tilde{H}: X \vee Y \rightarrow \Sigma^k SE_n^m \vee \Sigma^l SC_n^m \vee \Sigma^{m-1} \nabla SZ / 2^i \vee \Sigma^{n-1} \nabla' SZ / 2^j$$

which are expressed as the following matrices:

$$\tilde{F} = \begin{pmatrix} f_E & 0 \\ 0 & f_C \\ \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{G} = \begin{pmatrix} g_E & 0 \\ 0 & g_C \\ \beta' & \gamma' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} f_E & 0 & g_E & 0 \\ 0 & f_C & 0 & g_C \\ \beta & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta' & \gamma' \end{pmatrix}$$

where the maps $\beta \vee \gamma$ and $\beta' \vee \gamma'$ are taken such that the cofibers $C(\beta \vee \gamma)$ and $C(\beta' \vee \gamma')$ have the same K_* -local types as $\Sigma^m RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1}$ and $\Sigma^{n+1} RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l+1}$ respectively.

Proof. The case i) is proved similarly to the proof of Proposition 1.3. Consider the following cofiber sequences (cf. [7, Lemma 3.11]):

$$\Sigma^{m-1} RP_{m+k+1}^{m+l+1} \xrightarrow{F} D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l} \rightarrow D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m+1,l}$$

$$\Sigma^n RP_{n+k+1}^{n+l+1} \xrightarrow{G} D(q)_{2n+1,k}^{2m,l} \rightarrow D(q)_{2n+2,k}^{2m,l}.$$

By a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 1.4 we can show that the cofibers $C(F)$ and $C(G)$ have the same K_* -local types as the cofibers $C(\tilde{F})$ and $C(\tilde{G})$ respectively. Moreover the cofiber $C(\tilde{H})$ has the same K_* -local type as $C(F \vee G) = D(q)_{2n+2,k}^{2m+1,l}$.

REMARK. S. Kôno has independently studied the KO^* - and J^* -groups of $D(q)_{n,k}^{m,l}$ in [7]. According to his computations the KO^* - and J^* -groups of $D(q)_{n,k}^{m,l}$ are also decomposed to the KO^* - and J^* -groups of the stunted lens spaces mod q and mod 2 when n is odd; but there is a case the J^* -group doesn't necessarily have such a decomposition when n is even.

2. The K_* -local type of $L(p)_n^m$

In this section p denotes an odd prime. Recall that the groups $\pi_i S_{K(p)} \cong \pi_i S_K \otimes Z_{(p)}$ are isomorphic to the following: $Z_{(p)}$ for $i=0$; $Q/Z_{(p)} = Z/p^\infty$ for $i \equiv -2$; Z/p^r for $i \equiv -1 \pmod{2(p-1)}$ with $i \neq -1$ where $r = v_p(i+1)+1$; and 0 otherwise (cf. [2]). For $t > 0$ with $v_p(t) \geq r-1$ there exists an element $\alpha_{t,r}: \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} \rightarrow \Sigma^0$ of order p^r in the image of J -homomorphism $J: \pi_* SO \rightarrow \pi_* \Sigma^0$. Let SZ/p^r be the Moore spectrum

of type Z/p^r , and $i_r: \Sigma^0 \rightarrow SZ/p^r$ and $j_r: SZ/p^r \rightarrow \Sigma^1$ denote the bottom cell inclusion and the top cell projection. Then there exists an Adams' K_* -equivalence

$$A_{t,r}: \Sigma^{2t(p-1)}SZ/p^r \rightarrow SZ/p^r$$

such that $j_r A_{t,r} i_r = \alpha_{t,r}$ (see [1, Section 12]). For simplicity we shall often omit the subscript r such as $i = i_r$, $j = j_r$ and $\alpha_t = \alpha_{t,r}$ when $r = v_p(t) + 1$.

Let X be a CW -spectrum such that $KU_0 X \cong Z/p^r$ and $KU_1 X = 0$. We fix an integer k such that it generates $(Z/p^2)^*$. Then the Adams operation ψ_C^k on $KU_0 X$ is expressed as $\psi_C^k = k^{-t}$ for some integer t because k also generates $(Z/p^r)^*$. This implies that X has the same K_* -local type as $\Sigma^{2t}SZ/p^r$ for some t ($0 \leq t < p^{r-1}(p-1)$) (cf. [4, Proposition 10.5]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let m and n be integers such that $m - n = r(p-1) + s$ ($0 \leq s < p-1$, $r \geq 0$). The function $e(k, j)$ is defined by $e(k, j) = 2kp^j - 1$ when $j \geq 0$ and $e(k, -1) = 2k - 1$. Then $L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m}$ has the same K_* -local type as*

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{p-1} \Sigma^{e(n+i, r(i))}SZ/p^{r(i)+1}$$

where $r(i) = r$ if $i \leq s$ and $r(i) = r-1$ if $i > s$.

Proof. If $m = n+1$ then $L(p)_{2n+1}^{2n+2}$ is actually $\Sigma^{2n+1}SZ/p$. Assume that $L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m}$ has the same K_* -local type as the desired wedge sum of Moore spectra. Consider the following cofiber sequence

$$\Sigma^{2m}SZ/p \xrightarrow{g} L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m} \rightarrow L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m+2}.$$

It is easily verified that $[\Sigma^{2m}SZ/p, S_K \wedge \Sigma^{e(n+i, r(i))}SZ/p^{r(i)+1}] = 0$ for $i \neq s+1$. Therefore the K_* -localized map g may be expressed as $g = (0, \dots, 0, g_{s+1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ where $g_{s+1}: \Sigma^{2m}SZ/p \rightarrow S_K \wedge \Sigma^{e(n+s+1, r-1)}SZ/p^r$. Recall that

$$KU_{-1}L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m+2} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s+1} Z/p^{r+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=s+2}^{p-1} Z/p^r$$

(cf. [6] or [11]). Hence $KU_{-1}C(g_{s+1})$ must be Z/p^{r+1} on which $\psi_C^k \equiv 1/k^{n+s+1} \pmod{p}$ and $\psi_C^{k+p} = \psi_C^k$. This implies that $C(g_{s+1})$ has the same K_* -local type as $\Sigma^{e(n+s+1, r)}SZ/p^{r+1}$.

REMARK. Recall that each $M \in \mathcal{A}_{(p)}$ is a direct sum of its subobject $M^{[i]} \in T^i \mathcal{B}_{(p)}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-2$ (see [3, Proposition 3.7]). We can assert that $KU_{-1}L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} Z/p^{r(i)+1}$ as an abelian group gives rise to a decomposition in \mathcal{A} because

$\Sigma^1 L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m}$ is mod p decomposable (see [10, Proposition 9.6]) and its Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence collapses. Using this result we may also obtain the above theorem immediately.

In order to investigate the K_* -local type of $L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m+1}$ we shall describe generators of the group $[\Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} SZ/p, S_K \wedge SZ/p^r]$. We first assume that $t > 0$ and put $q = v_p(t) + 1$. For the map $\alpha_t = \alpha_{t,q} : \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} \rightarrow \Sigma^0$ of order p^q its coextension $\tilde{\alpha}_t = \tilde{\alpha}_{t,q} : \Sigma^{2t(p-1)} \rightarrow SZ/p^q$ is given by $A_{t,q} i_q$. Using the obvious map $\pi = \pi_{q,r} : SZ/p^q \rightarrow SZ/p^r$ we obtain a generator $\pi \tilde{\alpha}_t$ (denoted simply by $\tilde{\alpha}_{t,r}$) in the group $[\Sigma^{2t(p-1)}, S_K \wedge SZ/p^r] \cong Z/p^{\min(r,q)}$ such that $j_r \tilde{\alpha}_{t,r} = \alpha_{t,r}$ if $q \leq r$ and $j_r \tilde{\alpha}_{t,r} = p^{q-r} \alpha_{t,r}$ if $q > r$. The map $i_r \alpha_t$ generates the group $[\Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1}, S_K \wedge SZ/p^r] \cong Z/p^{\min(r,q)}$. We may assume that $\alpha_{t,1} = p^{q-1} \alpha_t : \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} \rightarrow \Sigma^0$. Then its extension $\tilde{\alpha}_{t,1} : \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} SZ/p \rightarrow \Sigma^0$ is given by $j_q A_{t,q} \pi_{1,q}$. Note that $p^{r-1} i_r \alpha_t = (\alpha_t \wedge \pi_{1,r}) i_1 : \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} \rightarrow SZ/p^r$. Now we can give two generators of the group

$$[\Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} SZ/p, S_K \wedge SZ/p^r] \cong Z/p \oplus Z/p$$

for $t > 0$ as follows (cf. [1, Theorem 12.11]): the first component is generated by $\tilde{\alpha}_{t,j_1}$; the second component is generated by $i_r \tilde{\alpha}_{t,1}$ and $\alpha_t \wedge \pi$ according as $r \geq q$ and $r \leq q$ respectively. Moreover it is easily verified that these generators have the following relations: $i_r \tilde{\alpha}_{t,1} = \tilde{\alpha}_{t,j_1}$ for $r < q$; $i_r \tilde{\alpha}_{t,1} = \tilde{\alpha}_{t,j_1} + \alpha_t \wedge \pi$ for $r = q$; and $\tilde{\alpha}_{t,j_1} = \alpha_t \wedge \pi$ for $r > q$.

Consider the group $\pi_{-2t(p-1)-1} S_{K(p)}$ for $t > 0$. Since $\tilde{\alpha}_t = A_{t,q} i_q : \Sigma^{2t(p-1)} \rightarrow SZ/p^q$ we obtain a K_* -equivalence $e_t : \Sigma^{2t(p-1)+1} \rightarrow C(\tilde{\alpha}_t)$ such that $e_t j_q = i_C A_{t,q}$ and $j_C e_t = p^q$ for the canonical inclusion $i_C : SZ/p^q \rightarrow C(\tilde{\alpha}_t)$ and the canonical projection $j_C : C(\tilde{\alpha}_t) \rightarrow \Sigma^{2t(p-1)+1}$. Moreover there exists a K_* -equivalence $A_{-t,q} : SZ/p^q \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1} C(\tilde{\alpha}_t) \wedge SZ/p^q$ such that $(1 \wedge j_q) A_{-t,q} = i_C$. Set $\alpha_{-t} = i_C i_q : \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} \rightarrow \Delta_{-t} \Sigma^0 = \Sigma^{-2t(p-1)-1} C(\tilde{\alpha}_t)$ which may be regarded as a generator of the group $\pi_{-2t(p-1)-1} S_{K(p)}$. By using α_{-t} instead of α_t in the previous discussion we can give two generators of the group $[\Sigma^{-2t(p-1)-1} SZ/p, S_K \wedge SZ/p^r] \cong Z/p \oplus Z/p$ for $t > 0$ when SZ/p^r is replaced by $\Delta_{-t} SZ/p^r = \Sigma^{-2t(p-1)-1} C(\tilde{\alpha}_t) \wedge SZ/p^r$.

Denote by $L_{r,1}^t$ ($t \neq 0$) the spectrum constructed as the cofiber of the map $\alpha_t \wedge \pi : \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} SZ/p \rightarrow \Delta_t SZ/p^r$ where $\Delta_t SZ/p^r = SZ/p^r$ for $t > 0$. Recall that $KU_0 C(\alpha_t) \cong Z \oplus Z$ and $KU_0 C(i_r \alpha_t) \cong Z \oplus Z/p^r$ on which the Adams operations ψ_C^k act as

$$\psi_C^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1/k^{t(p-1)} & 0 \\ (1-k^{t(p-1)})/p^q k^{t(p-1)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $q = v_p(t) + 1$ and $KU_1 C(\alpha_t) = KU_1(i_r \alpha_t) = 0$ (cf. [1]). Then the KU_* -group of $L_{r,1}^t$ is given as follows:

$$KU_0 L_{r,1}^t \cong Z/p \oplus Z/p^r; \quad \psi_C^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1/k^{t(p-1)} & 0 \\ p^{r-1}(1-k^{t(p-1)})/p^q k^{t(p-1)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$KU_1 L_{r,1}^t = 0.$$

For a given spectrum X , we shall denote by ΔX a CW -spectrum having the same K_* -local type as X .

Proposition 2.2. Assume that $t \neq 0$ and put $q = v_p(t) + 1$ and $t = xp^{q-1}$. Let $\iota: S \rightarrow S_K$ be the unit of S_K . For each map $g: \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} \Delta SZ/p \rightarrow \Delta SZ/p^r$ its cofiber $C(g)$ has the same K_* -local type as the following spectrum:

- i) The “ $q \geq r$ ” case: $SZ/p^r \vee \Sigma^{2t(p-1)} SZ/p$ when $\iota \wedge g = 0$; $\Sigma^{2t(p-1)} SZ/p^{r+1}$ when $\iota \wedge g = \tilde{\alpha}_{t,j}$; $L_{r,1}^t$ when $\iota \wedge g = \alpha_t \wedge \pi$; and $\Sigma^{2(p-1)w} SZ/p^{r+1}$ when $\iota \wedge g = \alpha_t \wedge \pi + u\tilde{\alpha}_{t,j}$ for a unit u of Z/p where $w = -u^{-1}xp^{r-1}$ if $q > r$ and $w = (1-u^{-1})xp^{r-1}$ if $q = r$,
- ii) The “ $q < r$ ” case: $SZ/p^r \vee \Sigma^{2t(p-1)} SZ/p$ when $\iota \wedge g = 0$; SZ/p^{r+1} when $\iota \wedge g = i\tilde{\alpha}_{t,1}$; $L_{r,1}^t$ when $\iota \wedge g = \tilde{\alpha}_{t,j}$; and $\Sigma^{2(p-1)w} SZ/p^{r+1}$ when $\iota \wedge g = i\tilde{\alpha}_{t,1} + u\tilde{\alpha}_{t,j}$ for a unit u of Z/p where $w = up^{r-1}$.

Proof. Use the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \Sigma^{2t(p-1)} & = & \Sigma^{2t(p-1)} & \\ & & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \rho & \\ \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} & \xrightarrow{gi} & \Delta SZ/p^r & \rightarrow & C(gi) & \rightarrow & \Sigma^{2t(p-1)} \\ & \downarrow i & & \parallel & \downarrow h & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} SZ/p & \xrightarrow{g} & \Delta SZ/p^r & \rightarrow & C(g) & \rightarrow & \Sigma^{2t(p-1)} SZ/p. \end{array}$$

i) It is sufficient to show the case $g = \alpha_t \wedge \pi + u\tilde{\alpha}_{t,j}$. Note that $gi = p^{r-1}i\alpha_t$ and $\varphi_*: KU_0 \Sigma^{2t(p-1)} \rightarrow KU_0 C(p^{r-1}i_r \alpha_t)$ is expressed as $\begin{pmatrix} p \\ u \end{pmatrix}: Z \rightarrow Z \oplus Z/p^r$. Hence we obtain that

$$KU_0 C(g) \cong Z/p^{r+1}; \quad h_* = (1, -pu^{-1}): Z \oplus Z/p^r \rightarrow Z/p^{r+1},$$

and that ψ_C^k on $KU_0 C(g)$ behaves as $\psi_C^k = 1/k^{t(p-1)} - p^r(1-k^{t(p-1)})/p^q u k^{t(p-1)}$. Put $k^{p-1} = 1 + yp$ and $t = xp^{q-1}$. Then $\psi_C^k = 1 - xyp^q + u^{-1}xyp^r = 1 - zyp^r = 1/k^{w(p-1)}$ where $z = -u^{-1}x$ if $q > r$ and $z = (1-u^{-1})x$ if $q = r$.

ii) From the relation $\tilde{\alpha}_{t,j} = \alpha_t \wedge \pi$ it follows that $C(\tilde{\alpha}_{t,j}) = L_{r,1}^t$. Since $C(i\tilde{\alpha}_{t,1})$ has the same K_* -local type as SZ/p^{r+1} we can take $\varphi_* = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}: Z \rightarrow Z \oplus Z/p^r \cong KU_0 C(i\alpha_{t,1})$ when $u = 0$, and generally $\varphi_* = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1 + p^{r-q}u \end{pmatrix}: Z \rightarrow Z \oplus Z/p^r$. The rest of

proof is similar to i).

We shall next describe generators of the group

$$[\Sigma^{-1}SZ/p, S_K \wedge SZ/p^r] \cong Z/p \oplus Z/p^r.$$

Set $\beta_r = (\tilde{\alpha}_{1,r} \wedge 1)i_c: \Sigma^{-1}SZ/p \rightarrow \Delta_0 SZ/p^r = \Sigma^{-2p+1}SZ/p^r \wedge C(\tilde{\alpha}_1)$ where $i_c: SZ/p \rightarrow C(\tilde{\alpha}_1)$ is the canonical inclusion. Using the relations $i_c i_1 = \alpha_{-1}$ and $(\alpha_1 \wedge 1)\alpha_{-1} = (j_r \wedge 1)\beta_r i_1$ we obtain that

$$KU_0 C(\beta_r i_1) \cong Z \oplus Z/p^r; \quad \psi_c^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p^{r-2}(k^{p-1} - 1)/k^{p-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore β_r is a generator of the group $[\Sigma^{-1}SZ/p, S_K \wedge SZ/p^r]$ and another generator is clearly $i_1 j_1$. Note that $\iota_K \wedge \beta_r$ is identified with the element $p^{r-1} i_1 j_1$ of the group $[\Sigma^{-1}SZ/p, KO \wedge SZ/p^r]$ where $\iota_K: S_K \rightarrow KO$ is the K_* -localized map of the unit of KO .

So we replace the generator β_1 by $\beta_1 - i_1 j_1$ when $r=1$. Denote by $L_{r,1}^0$ the spectrum constructed as the cofiber of the map β_r . The KU_* -group of $L_{r,1}^0$ is given as follows:

$$KU_0 L_{r,1}^0 \cong Z/p \oplus Z/p^r; \quad \psi_c^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p^{r-2}(k^{p-1} - 1)/k^{p-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$KU_1 L_{r,1}^0 = 0.$$

Similarly to Proposition 2.2 we can show the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\iota: S \rightarrow S_K$ be the unit of S_K . For each map $g: \Sigma^{-1} \Delta SZ/p \rightarrow \Delta SZ/p^r$ its cofiber $C(g)$ has the same K_* -local type as the following spectrum $SZ/p^r \vee SZ/p$ when $\iota \wedge g = 0$; SZ/p^{r+1} when $\iota \wedge g = ij$; $L_{r,1}^0$ when $\iota \wedge g = \beta_r$; and $\Sigma^{2(p-1)w} SZ/p^{r+1}$ when $\iota \wedge g = \beta_r + uij$ for a unit u of Z/p where $w = u^{-1}p^{r-1}$ if $r > 1$ and $w = -u^{-1}$ if $r = 1$.*

Set $q = v_p(t) + 1$ and $a = \min(r, v_p(t) + 1)$ for $t \neq 0$. Denote by M_r^t , N_r^t and P_r^t ($t \neq 0$) the spectra constructed as the cofibers of the maps $p^{a-1} i_* \alpha_i: \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} \rightarrow \Delta_t SZ/p^r$, $p^{a-1} \alpha_{j_r}: \Sigma^{2t(p-1)-2} SZ/p^r \rightarrow \Delta_t \Sigma^0$ and $(1 \wedge \pi_{1,r+1}) \tilde{\alpha}_{t,1}: \Sigma^{2t(p-1)} \rightarrow \Delta_t SZ/p^{r+1}$ respectively. Evidently $N_r^t = \Sigma^{2t(p-1)} DM_r^t$ where DX denotes the Spanier-Whitehead dual of X . For $t > 0$ we consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^{-1}SZ/p^r & = & \Sigma^{-1}SZ/p^r & & \\
\downarrow ij & & \downarrow \varphi & & \\
\Sigma^{2t(p-1)} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_{t,1}} SZ/p & \rightarrow & C(\tilde{\alpha}_{t,1}) & & \\
\parallel & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma^{2t(p-1)} \rightarrow SZ/p^{r+1} & \rightarrow & P_r^t & &
\end{array}$$

The map φ may be regarded as $\alpha_{-t,1}j_r: \Sigma^{-1}SZ/p^r \rightarrow C(\tilde{\alpha}_{t,1})$. Therefore P_r^t has the same K_* -local type as $\Sigma^{2t(p-1)+1}N_r^{-t}$ when $q \leq r$ and $\Sigma^{2t(p-1)+1} \vee SZ/p^r$ when $q > r$. This relation still holds in the case of $t < 0$ similarly. In the $t=0$ case $M_0^0 = \Sigma^0$ and M_r^0 is defined as the cofiber of the map $\beta_{r,1}: \Sigma^{-1} \rightarrow \Delta_0 SZ/p^r$ when $r \geq 1$. We may also define N_r^0 and P_r^0 by the equalities: $N_r^0 = \Sigma^{-1}P_r^0 = DM_r^0$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let n and m be integers such that $m-n=r(p-1)+s$ ($0 \leq s < p-1$, $r \geq 0$). Put $t=r-(n+s+1)(p^{r-2}+p^{r-3}+\cdots+1)$ and $l=n(p^{r-2}+p^{r-3}+\cdots+1)$ where we understand $p^{r-2}+p^{r-3}+\cdots+1=0$ when $r \leq 1$. The function $e(k,j)$ is defined by $e(k,j)=2kp^j-1$ when $j \geq 0$ and $e(k,-1)=2k-1$. Then*

i) $L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m+1}$ has the same K_* -local type as the following spectrum:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq p-1, i \neq s+1} \Sigma^{e(n+i, r(i))} SZ/p^{r(i)+1} \right) \vee \Sigma^{e(n+s+1, r-1)} M_r^t \quad \text{when } m+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}, \\
& L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m} \vee \Sigma^{2m+1} \quad \text{when } m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}.
\end{aligned}$$

ii) $L(p)_{2n}^{2m}$ has the same K_* -local type as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{p-2} \Sigma^{e(n+i, r(i))} SZ/p^{r(i)+1} \right) \vee \Sigma^{2n} N_r^l \quad \text{when } n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}, \\
& L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m} \vee \Sigma^{2n} \quad \text{when } n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. i) Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^{2m} & \xrightarrow{f} & L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m} & \rightarrow & L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m+1} \\
\downarrow i & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma^{2m} SZ/p & \xrightarrow{g} & L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m} & \rightarrow & L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m+2}
\end{array}$$

As is shown in the proof of Theorem 2.1 the bottom cofiber sequence is essentially given by the following cofiber sequence:

$$\Sigma^{2t(p-1)-1} SZ/p \xrightarrow{g_{s+1}} \Delta_r SZ/p^r \rightarrow \Sigma^{2(p-1)w} \Delta SZ/p^{r+1}$$

where $w = (n+s+1)p^{r-1}$. In the $t \neq 0$ case we set $q = v_p(t) + 1$. Note that $m+1 \equiv w \pmod{p^r}$ when $q > r$, $m+1 \equiv w-t \pmod{p^r}$ when $q = r$, and $m+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$ when $q < r$ because $m+1 = t(p-1) + w$. On the other hand, it is immediate that $r=2$, $n+s=1$ and hence $m+1 = w = 2p$ in the $t=0$ case. Since the cofiber $C(g_{s+1})$ has the same K_* -local type as $\Sigma^{2(p-1)w}SZ/p^{r+1}$, we can determine the form of g_{s+1} uniquely up to K_* -equivalence, by means of Propositions 2.2 and 2.3. In fact the map g_{s+1} is chosen as follows: $\tilde{\alpha}_{t,j}$ if " $q > r$ and $w \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$ " or " $q = r$ and $w \equiv t \pmod{p^r}$ "; $\alpha_t \wedge \pi + u\tilde{\alpha}_{t,j}$ if " $q > r$ and $w \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$ " or " $q = r$ and $w \not\equiv t \pmod{p^r}$ "; $i\tilde{\alpha}_1$ if " $q < r$ and $w \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$ "; $i\tilde{\alpha}_1 + u\tilde{\alpha}_{t,j}$ if " $q < r$ and $w \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$ "; and $\beta_2 + uij$ if " $t=0$ " where $u \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ is a suitable unit. Therefore the cofiber $C(g_{s+1})$ has the same K_* -local type as M_r^t when $m+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$, but it has the same K_* -local type as the wedge sum $SZ/p^r \vee \Sigma^{2t(p-1)}$ when $m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$.

ii) Consider the following cofiber sequence

$$L(p)_{2n}^{2m} \rightarrow L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m} \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma^{2n+1}.$$

The dual map Dh has already been given in i), so our result is immediate.

REMARK. In the case ii) we may assert that $L(p)_{2n}^{2m}$ has the same K_* -local type as the wedge sum $\Sigma^{e(n,r-1)}P_r^{-l} \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^{p-2} \Sigma^{e(n+i,r(i))}SZ/p^{r(i)+1}$ in any cases.

Theorem 2.5. Let $r, s, t, l, e(k, j)$ and $r(i)$ be the integers given in Theorem 2.4 which depend on m and n , and put $\tau = r+1 - n(p^{r-1} + \cdots + 1)$, $\lambda = n(p^{r-1} + \cdots + 1)$. Then $L(p)_{2n}^{2m+1}$ has the same K_* -local type as the following spectrum X :

- i) When $m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$, $X = L(p)_{2n}^{2m} \vee \Sigma^{2m+1}$.
- ii) When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$, $X = L(p)_{2n+1}^{2m+1} \vee \Sigma^{2n}$.
- iii) When $m+1, n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$ and $m-n+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$,

$$X = \left(\bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq p-1, i \neq s+1} \Sigma^{e(n+i,r(i))}SZ/p^{r(i)+1} \right) \vee \Sigma^{e(n+s+1,r-1)}M_r^t \vee \Sigma^{2n}N_r^l.$$

- iv) When $m+1, n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$ and $m-n+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$,

$$\left(\bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq p-2} \Sigma^{e(n+i,r(i))}SZ/p^{r(i)+1} \right) \vee \Sigma^{e(n,r)}C(p^{a-1}i_{r+1}\alpha_\tau \vee u\tilde{\alpha}_{-\lambda,1}).$$

where $a = \min(v_p(\tau) + 1, r+1)$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ is a suitable unit.

Proof. The cases i), ii) and iii) are immediately shown by use of Theorem 2.4. To show the case iv) we consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^{2m} & = & \Sigma^{2m} \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma^{2n-1} \xrightarrow{g} L(p)_{2n-1}^{2m} & \xrightarrow{i_g} & L(p)_{2n}^{2m} \\
\parallel & \downarrow i_f & \downarrow \\
\Sigma^{2n-1} \rightarrow L(p)_{2n-1}^{2m+1} & \rightarrow & L(p)_{2n}^{2m+1}.
\end{array}$$

By Theorem 2.1 we may decompose $L(p)_{2n-1}^{2m}$ as the wedge sum $\bigvee_{i=1}^{p-2} \Sigma^{e(n+i,r)} SZ / p^{r+1} \vee \Sigma^{e(n,r)} SZ / p^{r+1}$. From Theorem 2.4 i) we can take map $f_{p-1} = p^{a-1} i\alpha_\tau : \Sigma^{2m} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2w-1} \Delta_\tau SZ / p^{r+1}$ with $2w-1 = e(n,r) = 2np^r - 1$ because $\tau \neq 0$ in the case iv). Since $\Sigma^{2n} N_r^\lambda$ has the same K_* -local type as $\Sigma^{2w-1} P_r^{-\lambda}$ we may take $g_{p-1} = u(1 \wedge \pi) \tilde{\alpha}_{-\lambda,1} : \Sigma^{2n-1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2w-1} \Delta_{-\lambda} SZ / p^r$ for some unit $u \in Z/p$. Then the $(p-1)$ -th component of $L(p)_{2n}^{2m+1}$ has the same K_* -local type as the cofiber of the map

$$p^{a-1} i\alpha_\tau \vee u(1 \wedge \pi) \tilde{\alpha}_{-\lambda,1} : \Sigma^{2m} \vee \Sigma^{2n-1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2w-1} \Delta_v SZ / p^{r+1}$$

after compositing suitable K_* -equivalences $\Delta_\tau \Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Delta_v \Sigma^0$ and $\Delta_{-\lambda} \Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Delta_v \Sigma^0$ for some integer v if necessary (cf. [14]).

REMARK. Recall that the J -group is given as the cokernel of $\psi^k - 1$. Note that

$$J^{2t(p-1)} N_r^l \otimes_{Z(p)} \cong \begin{cases} Z/p^q \oplus Z/p^{\min(q,r)} & \text{for } q < s \\ Z/p^{q-s+1+\min(r,v)} \oplus Z/p^{s-1} & \text{for } q \geq s \end{cases}$$

where $q = v_p(t) + 1$, $s = v_p(l) + 1$ and $v = v_p(l-t) + 1$ ($=s$ when $q > s$) and $J^i N_r^l \otimes_{Z(p)} = 0$ for $i \neq 0 \bmod 2(p-1)$. Applying Theorems 2.1 and 2.4 ii) we can compute $J^* L(p)_n^{2m}$ and hence $J^* L(p)_n^{2m+1}$ immediately although they have already been calculated in [9]. Note that the K_* -local type of $L(p)_n^{2m}$ is classified by the J -group $J^* L(p)_n^{2m}$ (cf. [3, Lemma 6.7]).

References

- [1] J.F. Adams: *On the group $J(X)$ -IV*, *Topology* **5** (1966) 21–71.
- [2] A.K. Bousfield: *The localization of spectra with respect to homology*, *Topology* **18** (1979) 257–281.
- [3] A.K. Bousfield: *On the homotopy theory of K -local spectra at an odd prime*, *Amer. J. Math.* **107** (1985) 895–932.
- [4] A.K. Bousfield: *A Classification of K -local spectra*, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* **66** (1990) 121–163.
- [5] M. Imaoka, M. Sugawara: *On the K -Ring of the Orbit Manifold $(S^{2m+1} \times S^1)/D_n$ by the dihedral group D_n* , *Hiroshima Math J.* **4** (1974) 53–70.
- [6] T. Kambe: *The structure of K_A -rings of the lens space and their applications*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **18** (1966) 135–146.
- [7] S. Kôno: *Stable homotopy type of Thom spaces of canonical bundles over Orbit Manifolds*

- $(S^{2m+1} \times S^l)/D_p$ by the dihedral group D_p , preprint.
- [8] M. Kamata, H. Minami: *Bordism groups of dihidral groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **25** (1973) 334–341.
 - [9] S. Kôno, A. Tamamura: *J-groups of the suspensions of the stunted lens spaces mod p* , Osaka J. Math **24** (1987) 481–498.
 - [10] S. Kôno, A. Tamamura, M. Fujii: *J-group of the Orbit Manifolds $(S^{2m+1} \times S^l)/D_n$ by the dihedral group D_n* , Math J. Okayama Univ. **22** (1980) 205–221.
 - [11] N. Mahammed: *A propos de la K-théorie des espaces lenticulaires*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris **271** (1970) 639–642.
 - [12] M. Mimura, G. Nishida, H. Toda: *Localization of CW-complexes and its applications*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **23** (1971) 593–624,
 - [13] Z. Yosimura: *The K_* -localizations of the stunted real projective spaces*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **33** (1993) 523–541.
 - [14] Z. Yosimura: *K_* -localizations of spectra with simple K-homology, II*, preprint.

Department of Mathematics
 Osaka City University
 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku
 Osaka 558, Japan

