

Title	Construction of the evolution operator of parabolic type
Author(s)	Tojima, Takio; Muramatu, Tosinobu
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2001, 38(2), p. 419-434
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/10202">https://doi.org/10.18910/10202</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## CONSTRUCTION OF THE EVOLUTION OPERATOR OF PARABOLIC TYPE

TOSINOBU MURAMATU and TAKIO TOJIMA

(Received September 16, 1999)

### 1. Introduction and Main Theorem

In this note we construct the evolution operator of parabolic type, or the fundamental solution of the linear ordinary differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{du(t)}{dt} + A(t)u(t) = f(t), \quad a < t < b,$$

of parabolic type in a Banach space  $X$ . The equation (1.1) is said to be “of parabolic type” if it satisfies the condition:

(A1)  $-A(t)$  is a linear operator with dense domain, and there exist constants  $\kappa > \pi/2$  and  $C_0$  such that the resolvent set of  $-A(t)$  contains the sector  $\Sigma_\kappa := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\arg \lambda| \leq \kappa\}$  for any  $t \in I := [a, b]$  and  $\|\lambda(\lambda + A(t))^{-1}\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq C_0$  holds for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\kappa$  and any  $t \in I$ .

$-A(t)$  generates an analytic semi-group  $\{e^{-\tau A(t)}; \tau \geq 0\}$  on  $X$ .

Our result is stated as follows:

**Main Theorem.** Assume (A1), and the following hypotheses (A2), (A3):

(A2) The domain  $\mathcal{D}(A(t)) = Y$  for any  $t \in I$  and  $A(\cdot) \in C(I; \mathcal{L}(Y, X))$ , where  $Y$  is a Banach space continuously imbedded in  $X$ .

(A3) Defining

$$(1.2) \quad \omega(h) := \sup\{\|A(t+h) - A(t)\|_{Y \rightarrow X}; a \leq t \leq b-h\},$$

$\omega(h)/h$  is integrable on  $(0, \delta)$  for some positive  $\delta$ . Then, there exists the evolution operator to the equation (1.1), i.e., there exists a strongly continuous  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ -valued function  $U(t, s)$ ,  $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$ , having the following properties:

- (a)  $U(t, r)U(r, s) = U(t, s)$  for  $a \leq s \leq r \leq t \leq b$ ,
- (b)  $U(t, t) = I$  for  $a \leq t \leq b$ ,
- (c)  $(\partial/\partial t)U(t, s)x = -A(t)U(t, s)x$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $a \leq s < t < b$ ,
- (d)  $(\partial/\partial s)U(t, s)x = U(t, s)A(s)x$  for any  $x \in Y$  and  $a < s < t \leq b$ .

Moreover, the evolution operator  $U(t, s)$  is uniquely determined by  $\{A(t)\}_{a \leq t \leq b}$ , and satisfies the estimates

$$(1.3) \quad \|A(t)U(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq \frac{M}{t-s}, \quad \|U(t, s)A(s)\|_{Y \rightarrow Y} \leq \frac{M}{t-s}$$

for any  $a \leq s < t \leq b$ , where  $M$  is a constant.

It is well known that any strong solution  $u(t)$  to (1.1) with the initial data  $u(a) = u_0$  must be of the form  $u(t) = U(t, a)u_0 + F(t)$ , where

$$(1.4) \quad F(t) := \int_a^t U(t, s)f(s)ds.$$

It is also known that the condition  $f \in \mathcal{C}(I; X)$  does not guarantee differentiability of  $F(t)$ . Regard to this we have

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume (A1), (A2), (A3),  $f \in L^1(I; X) \cap B_{\infty, 1}^0((a, b); X)_{\text{loc}}$ , and define  $F$  by (1.4). Then  $F \in \mathcal{C}(I; X) \cap \mathcal{C}^1((a, b); X)$ ,  $F(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A(t))$  for any  $t \in (a, b)$ , and  $u(t) = U(t, s)u_0 + F(t)$  is the unique strong solution to (1.1) with the initial condition  $u(a) = u_0$ .*

Study of the evolution operator of parabolic type has a rather long history, but we recall here only a few articles related to our result. Tanabe [7] constructed the evolution operator under the hypotheses (A1) (A2) and

$$(A3') \quad \omega(h) \leq Ch^\theta, \quad 0 < \theta \leq 1.$$

(i.e.,  $A(t)$  is a Hölder continuous  $\mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ -valued function.) It is easy to see that (A3) is a true improvement of (A3'). Kawatsu [2] gave also an improvement of (A3'), i.e., under the assumption that " $\omega(h)|\log h|/h$  is integrable on  $(0, \delta)$ " he proved the existence of the evolution operator. Our assumption is better than that of Kawatsu, and we hope that our theorem will be useful in studying non-linear problems.

Our result was announced in [3]. The proof given by one of the authors eleven years ago is based on the approximation theory of integral equations with operator-valued unknown function and it is rather long. In this note we will give a simple and straightforward proof which contains some new methods to investigate abstract differential equations in a Banach space.

The result corresponding to Theorem 1.1 for the case where  $A(t)$  is independent of  $t$  has been given in [4].

NOTATION.  $\|x\|_X$  denotes the norm of  $x$  in a space  $X$ .  
 $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  denotes the space of bounded linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ , whose norm is denoted by  $\|U\|_{X \rightarrow Y}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(X) := \mathcal{L}(X, X)$ .  
 $\mathcal{C}(\Omega; X)$  denotes the space of  $X$ -valued continuous functions on a domain  $\Omega$ .  
 $L^p(\Omega; X)$  denotes the space of  $X$ -valued strongly measurable functions  $f(t)$  with  $\|f(t)\|_X \in L^p(\Omega)$ .

**2. Preliminary observation**

We first observe that Main Theorem follows from the following fact:  
*For some small positive number  $\delta$  there exists a strongly continuous  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ -valued function  $U(t, s)$  on the area  $T_\delta := \{(t, s); a \leq s \leq t \leq b, t-s \leq \delta\}$  satisfying the conditions (b), (c), (d) in Main Theorem and the inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad \|U(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \leq \frac{M_1}{t-s} \quad \text{for } a \leq s < t \leq b \quad \text{with } t-s \leq \delta.$$

In fact, when  $(t, s) \in T_\delta$ , the derivative of  $U(t, r)U(r, s)$  with respect  $r$  vanishes in the interval  $(s, t)$ . Therefore,  $U(t, r)U(r, s)$  is independent of  $r \in (s, t)$ . Together with the strong continuity of  $U(t, r)U(r, s)$ , this implies that  $U(t, r)U(r, s) = U(t, s)$  holds when  $(t, s) \in T_\delta$ . (1.3) follows directly from (2.1), since  $\sup_{t \in I} \|A(t)\|_{X \rightarrow Y} < \infty$ .

When  $\delta \leq t-s < 2\delta$ , we define  $U(t, s) := U(t, r)U(r, s)$ , where  $r$  is a point with  $\max\{s, t-\delta\} < r < \min\{s+\delta, t\}$ .  $U(t, s)$  is independent of the choice of  $r$ , since for any  $\max\{s, t-\delta\} < r < r_1 < \min\{s+\delta, t\}$  we have  $U(t, r)U(r, s) = U(t, r_1)U(r_1, r)U(r, s) = U(t, r_1)U(r_1, s)$ . Thus, the evolution operator  $U(t, s)$  can be defined when  $t-s < 2\delta$ . The fact that  $U(t, s)$  has the properties (a), (b), (c) and (d) in Main Theorem is a simple consequence of the definition.

Repeating this argument, we can finally construct the evolution operator for any point  $(t, s)$  with  $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$ , and we see easily that (1.3) holds for any  $a \leq s < t \leq b$ .

Finally, if  $\tilde{U}(t, s)$  is another  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ -valued strongly continuous function satisfying (b) and (c) in Main Theorem, the derivative of  $U(t, r)\tilde{U}(r, s)$  with respect  $r$  vanishes in the interval  $(s, t)$ . So,  $U(t, r)\tilde{U}(r, s)$  is independent of  $r$ , which implies that  $U(t, s) = U(t, r)\tilde{U}(r, s) = \tilde{U}(t, s)$ . This gives the uniqueness of the evolution operator, which completes the proof of Main Theorem.

**3. Lemmas**

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $f \in L^1([\alpha, \beta]; Z)$ , then  $\int_\alpha^\beta f(s)ds \in Z$ . Here  $Z$  is a Banach space.*

Proof. See Yosida [10] p. 133. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $F(\lambda)$  is holomorphic and satisfies  $\|F(\lambda)\|_X \leq C|\lambda|^\alpha$  in  $\Sigma_\kappa \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda| \geq 1\}$ ,  $\|\int_\Gamma e^{t\lambda} F(\lambda) d\lambda\|_X \leq C(\alpha, c_0) C t^{-\alpha-1}$  holds for any  $0 < t \leq c_0 < \infty$ , where  $C(\alpha, c_0)$  is a constant depend only on  $\alpha, c_0$  and  $\kappa$ . Here,  $\Gamma$  denotes a path  $\lambda = \lambda(\sigma)$  ( $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ ) contained in  $\Sigma_\kappa \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda| \geq 1\}$  such that  $|\lambda(\sigma)| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \pm \arg \lambda(\sigma) - \pi/2$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow \pm\infty$ .*

**Lemma 3.3.** *From (A1) and (A2) it follows that*

$$(3.1) \quad \|(\lambda + A(t))^{-1}\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \leq C_1(1 + |\lambda|^{-1}),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \|\lambda(\lambda + A(t))^{-1}\|_{Y \rightarrow Y} \leq C_2$$

hold for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\kappa$  and any  $t \in I := [a, b]$ . Here  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants.

Proof. Assume (A1) and (A2). Since the identity

$$(1 + A(t))^{-1} = (1 + A(t_0))^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{(A(t_0) - A(t))(1 + A(t_0))^{-1}\}^n$$

holds if  $\|A(t) - A(t_0)\|_{Y \rightarrow X} < \|(1 + A(t_0))^{-1}\|_{X \rightarrow Y}^{-1}$ , we see that  $(1 + A(t))^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}(I; \mathcal{L}(X, Y))$ , which implies that  $C' := \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \|(1 + A(t))^{-1}\|_{X \rightarrow Y}$  is finite. Hence, by the identity  $(\lambda + A)^{-1} = \{1 + (1 - \lambda)(\lambda + A)^{-1}\}(\lambda + A)^{-1}$  we have (3.1). Also, by the identity  $(\lambda + A)^{-1} = (1 + A)^{-1}(\lambda + A)^{-1}(1 + A)$  we have (3.2).  $\square$

Lemma 3.2, (3.1), (3.2) and the identities  $e^{-\tau A(t)} = (1/(2\pi i)) \int_\Gamma e^{\lambda\tau} (\lambda + A(t))^{-1} d\lambda$ ,

$$(\lambda + A(t))^{-1} - (\lambda + A(s))^{-1} = (\lambda + A(t))^{-1} \{A(s) - A(t)\} (\lambda + A(s))^{-1}$$

give the following

**Lemma 3.4.** *Assume (A1) and (A2). Then,*

$$(3.3) \quad \|e^{-\tau A(t)}\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq M_0,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \|e^{-\tau A(t)}\|_{Y \rightarrow Y} \leq M_1,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \|e^{-\tau A(t)}\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \leq M\tau^{-1},$$

$$(3.6) \quad \|e^{-\tau A(t)} - e^{-\tau A(s)}\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq P_0 \|A(t) - A(s)\|_{Y \rightarrow X},$$

$$(3.7) \quad \|e^{-\tau A(t)} - e^{-\tau A(s)}\|_{Y \rightarrow Y} \leq P_1 \|A(t) - A(s)\|_{Y \rightarrow X},$$

$$(3.8) \quad \|e^{-\tau A(t)} - e^{-\tau A(s)}\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \leq P\tau^{-1} \|A(t) - A(s)\|_{Y \rightarrow X},$$

$$(3.9) \quad \|e^{-\tau A(t)} - e^{-\tau A(s)}\|_{Y \rightarrow X} \leq P'\tau \|A(t) - A(s)\|_{Y \rightarrow X},$$

hold for any  $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$  and  $0 < \tau \leq c_0$ . Here  $M_0, M_1, M, P_0, P_1, P$  and  $P'$  are constants independent of  $t, s$  and  $\tau$ .

By (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and the strong continuity of semi-group  $e^{-\tau A(s)}$  we see that  $e^{-\tau A(t)} - e^{-\sigma A(s)} = \{e^{-\tau A(t)} - e^{-\tau A(s)}\} + \{e^{-\tau A(s)} - e^{-\sigma A(t)}\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $(\tau, t) \rightarrow (\sigma, s)$ . Hence, we have

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $0 < c < \infty$ , and assume (A1) and (A2). Then,  $e^{-\tau A(t)}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ -valued (and  $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ -valued) strongly continuous function of  $(\tau, t) \in [0, c] \times [a, b]$ .  $e^{-\tau A(t)}$  is also an  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ -valued strongly continuous function of  $(\tau, t) \in (0, c] \times [a, b]$ .*

**4. The series giving the evolution operator**

According to Tanabe [7], to construct the evolution operator  $U(t, s)$  we make use of the series

$$(4.1) \quad U(t, s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n(t, s) := W_0(t, s) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_s^t W_0(t, r) R_n(r, s) dr$$

where  $W_0(t, s) := e^{-(t-s)A(s)}$ ,  $R_1(t, s) := -\{A(t) - A(s)\}e^{-(t-s)A(s)}$  and

$$(4.2) \quad R_{n+1}(t, s) = \int_s^t R_1(t, r) R_n(r, s) dr \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots .$$

To prove the convergence of the series (4.1) we start with

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\omega(t)$  be a non-negative bounded measurable function of  $t \in (0, \delta_0)$  such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \gamma(t) := \int_0^t \omega(s) \frac{ds}{s} < \infty$$

for  $0 < t \leq \delta_0$ . Then, putting  $\omega_1 = \omega$ ,

$$(4.4) \quad \omega_{n+1}(t) := t \int_0^t \frac{\omega(t-s)}{t-s} \frac{\omega_n(s)}{s} ds \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots ,$$

can be defined inductively, and

$$(4.5) \quad \int_0^t \frac{\omega_n(s)}{s} ds \leq \gamma(t)^n,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \omega_n(t) \leq n^2 M^! \gamma(t)^{n-1},$$

hold for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $0 < t \leq \delta_0$ , where  $M^! := \sup_{0 < t \leq \delta_0} \omega(t)$ .

Proof. Clearly (4.5) and (4.6) hold for  $n = 1$ . Assume that (4.5) and (4.6) hold for  $n$ . Then, noting that  $\gamma$  is a increasing function, by Fubini's theorem we have

$$\int_0^t \frac{\omega_{n+1}(s)}{s} ds = \int_0^t \left\{ \int_r^t \frac{\omega(s-r)}{s-r} ds \right\} \frac{\omega_n(r)}{r} dr \leq \gamma(t)^{n+1}.$$

Also, taking  $r = nt/(n+1)$ , we have

$$\omega_{n+1}(t) \leq t \int_0^r \frac{M'}{t-r} \frac{\omega_n(s)}{s} ds + t \int_r^t \frac{\omega(t-s)}{t-s} \frac{M'n^2\gamma(t)^{n-1}}{r} ds \leq M'\gamma(t)^n(n+1)^2.$$

This gives (4.6) for  $n + 1$ . □

In the following of this note we always assume that (A1), (A2) and (A3) hold, and by  $\omega$  we denote the function defined by (1.2).

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $a \leq s < t \leq b$ . Then,*

$$(4.7) \quad \|R_n(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq M^n \frac{\omega_n(t-s)}{t-s}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \|W_n(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq M_0(M\gamma(t-s))^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Proof. As  $\|A(t) - A(s)\|_{Y \rightarrow X} \leq \omega_1(t-s)$ , (3.5) implies (4.7) for  $n = 1$ . Assume that (4.7) holds for  $n$ . Then, by (4.2) we have

$$\|R_{n+1}(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq M^{n+1} \int_s^t \frac{\omega(t-r)}{t-r} \frac{\omega_n(r-s)}{r-s} dr = M^{n+1} \frac{\omega_{n+1}(t-s)}{t-s}.$$

Clearly (4.8) holds for  $n = 0$ . (4.1), (4.5) and (4.7) imply (4.8) for  $n \geq 1$ . □

**5. Norm of  $W_n(t, s)$**

We make use of the symbols:  $Z_0(t, s) := e^{-(t-s)A(t)}$ ,  $Q_1(t, s) := Z_0(t, s)\{A(t) - A(s)\}$ ,  $H(t, \sigma, s) := \{e^{-(t-\sigma)A(t)} - e^{-(t-\sigma)A(s)}\}e^{-(\sigma-s)A(s)}$ ,  $G(t, s) := H(t, s, s)$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $a \leq s \leq \sigma \leq t \leq b$ . Then*

$$(5.1) \quad W_1(t, s) = \int_\sigma^t \{Q_1(t, r)W_0(r, s) - G(t, r)R_1(r, s)\}dr + H(t, \sigma, s) + \int_s^\sigma W_0(t, r)R_1(r, s)dr,$$

$$(5.2) \quad W_{n+1}(t, s) = \int_\sigma^t [Q_1(t, r)W_n(r, s) + (G(t, r)\{R_n(r, s) - R_{n+1}(r, s)\})]dr + \int_s^\sigma [W_0(t, r)R_{n+1}(r, s) + H(t, \sigma, r)R_n(r, s)]dr \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Proof. By the formula

$$Z_0(t, r)R_1(r, s) = Q_1(t, r)W_0(r, s) - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left\{ e^{-(t-r)A(t)} e^{-(r-s)A(s)} \right\}$$

we have

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\sigma}^t Z_0(t, r)R_1(r, s)dr = \int_{\sigma}^t Q_1(t, r)W_0(r, s)dr + H(t, \sigma, s),$$

which implies (5.1), for  $W_0(t, s) = Z_0(t, s) - G(t, s)$ . By (5.3) we have

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\sigma}^t Z_0(t, r)R_{n+1}(r, s)dr \\ &= \int_{\sigma}^t \left[ \int_{\tau}^t Z_0(t, r)R_1(r, \tau)dr \right] R_n(\tau, s)d\tau \\ & \quad + \int_s^{\sigma} \left[ \int_{\sigma}^t Z_0(t, r)R_1(r, \tau)dr \right] R_n(\tau, s)d\tau \\ &= \int_{\sigma}^t \left[ \int_{\tau}^t Q_1(t, r)W_0(r, \tau)dr + G(t, \tau) \right] R_n(\tau, s)d\tau \\ & \quad + \int_s^{\sigma} \left[ \int_{\sigma}^t Q_1(t, r)W_0(r, \tau)dr + H(t, \sigma, \tau) \right] R_n(\tau, s)d\tau \\ &= \int_{\sigma}^t \{ Q_1(t, r)W_n(r, s) + G(t, r)R_n(r, s) \} dr + \int_s^{\sigma} H(t, \sigma, \tau)R_n(\tau, s)d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (5.2). □

The estimate  $\|W_0(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \leq M/(t - s)$  follows from (3.5). For the case  $n \geq 1$  we have

**Lemma 5.2.**  $W_n(t, s) \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  when  $a \leq s < t \leq b$ ,  $A(t)W_n(t, s)$  is continuous with respect to  $(t, s) \in \{(t, s); a \leq s < t \leq b\}$ , and the inequality

$$(5.5) \quad \|W_n(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \leq \frac{Kn^3(M\gamma(t - s))^{n-1}}{t - s}$$

holds for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Here  $\gamma(t)$  is the function given by (4.3).

Proof. Case where  $n = 1$ . Since it follows from (3.8) that

$$(5.6) \quad \|G(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \leq \frac{P\omega(t - s)}{t - s} \leq \frac{PM'}{t - s},$$

and  $\|H(t, \sigma, s)\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \leq PM'M_0(t - \sigma)^{-1}$ , by (5.1) with  $\sigma = (t + s)/2$ , with the aid of (3.3), (3.5), and (4.7), we obtain



$$\begin{aligned}
\|W_1(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow Y} &\leq \int_{\sigma}^t \|Q_1(t, r)W_0(r, s) - G(t, r)R_1(r, s)\|_{X \rightarrow Y} dr \\
&\quad + \|H(t, \sigma, s)\|_{X \rightarrow Y} + \int_s^{\sigma} \|W_0(t, r)R_1(r, s)\|_{X \rightarrow Y} dr \\
&\leq M \int_{\sigma}^t \left\{ \frac{M\omega(t-r)}{(t-r)(r-s)} + \frac{P\omega(t-r)\omega(r-s)}{(t-r)(r-s)} \right\} dr \\
&\quad + \frac{2M'PM_0}{t-s} + \int_s^{\sigma} \frac{M^2\omega(r-s)}{(t-r)(r-s)} dr \\
&\leq \frac{2M+2PM'}{t-s} M\gamma(b-a) + \frac{2M'PM_0}{t-s} + \frac{2M^2\gamma(b-a)}{t-s} \leq \frac{K}{t-s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we take  $K$  so that  $K \geq 4M^2\gamma(b-a) + 2PM'(M\gamma(b-a) + M_0)$ .

Since  $A(t)$  is closed, we also see that

$$\begin{aligned}
A(t)W_1(t, s) &= \int_{\sigma}^t A(t)\{Q_1(t, r)W_0(r, s) - G(t, r)R_1(r, s)\} dr \\
&\quad + A(t)H(t, \sigma, s) + \int_s^{\sigma} A(t)W_0(t, r)R_1(r, s) dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A(t)W_1(t, s)$  is continuous. In view of Lemma 3.1, we see that the conclusion of the lemma holds for  $n = 1$ .

Assume that (5.5) holds for  $n$ . Hence, taking  $\sigma = (nt + s)/(n + 1)$ , by (5.2), (5.6), (4.7) and (4.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|W_{n+1}(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \\
&\leq \int_{\sigma}^t \frac{\omega(t-r)}{t-r} \left[ \frac{KM^n\gamma^{n-1}n^3}{r-s} + P \left\{ \frac{M^n\omega_n(r-s)}{r-s} + \frac{M^{n+1}\omega_{n+1}(r-s)}{r-s} \right\} \right] dr \\
&\quad + \int_s^{\sigma} \left[ \frac{M}{t-r} \frac{M^{n+1}\omega_{n+1}(r-s)}{r-s} + \frac{P\omega(t-r)}{t-\sigma} M_0 \frac{M^n\omega_n(r-s)}{r-s} \right] dr \\
&\leq \frac{KM^n\gamma^n n^3 + PM'M^n\gamma^n \{n^2 + (n+1)^2 M\gamma\}}{\sigma-s} + \frac{M(M\gamma)^{n+1} + PM_0M'M^n\gamma^n}{t-\sigma} \\
&\leq \frac{(n+1)M^n\gamma^n}{t-s} \{Kn^2 + PM'(2M\gamma+1)n + PM'(3M\gamma+M_0) + M^2\gamma\} \\
&\leq \frac{(n+1)^3 KM^n\gamma^n}{t-s}. \quad (\text{Here } \gamma = \gamma(t-s).)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we take  $K := 4M^2\gamma(b-a) + PM'(3M\gamma(b-a) + 2M_0 + 1)$ . This estimate gives that  $W_{n+1}(t, s) \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . The same argument as for  $W_1$  gives that  $A(t)W_n(t, s)$  is continuous in  $(t, s)$  when  $a \leq s < t \leq b$ .  $\square$

**Construction of  $U(t, s)$  when  $t-s$  is small.** Take  $\delta$  small enough so that  $\gamma(\delta) < 1/M$ , where  $\gamma(\delta)$  is given by (4.3). Then, with help of the estimate (4.8) and (4.5), we

can define  $U(t, s)$  by (4.1) when  $t - s \leq \delta$ . Since  $W_n(t, s)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  are strongly continuous function and the series (4.1) converges uniformly, we see that  $U(t, s)$  is strongly continuous.

By (5.5) we see that the series (4.1) converges in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  when  $0 < t - s \leq \delta$ , since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (M\gamma(\delta))^{n-1} n^3 < \infty$ . Hence,  $U(t, s)$  is a strongly continuous  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ -valued function of  $(t, s) \in \{(t, s); a \leq s < t \leq b, t - s \leq \delta\}$ , and satisfies (2.1).

**6. Proof of differentiability with respect to  $t$**

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $g \in \mathcal{C}([c, b]; X)$ ,  $a \leq c < b$ , define  $G(t) := \int_c^t W_0(t, r)g(r)dr$ , and assume that  $G \in \mathcal{C}((c, b); Y)$ . Then,  $G \in \mathcal{C}^1((c, b); X)$ , and*

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{dG}{dt}(t) = g(t) - \int_c^t R_1(t, r)g(r)dr - A(t)G(t).$$

*Proof.* Let  $c < t < b$ ,  $0 < h < b - t$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h}\{G(t+h) - G(t)\} &= \frac{e^{-hA(t)} - 1}{h}G(t) + \int_0^1 e^{-(h-h\sigma)A(t+h\sigma)}g(t+h\sigma)d\sigma \\ &\quad + \int_s^t \frac{1}{h}\{e^{-hA(r)} - e^{-hA(t)}\}e^{-(t-r)A(r)}g(r)dr. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the fact that  $G(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A(t))$ , the first term in the right-hand side converges to  $-A(t)G(t)$  as  $h \rightarrow +0$ . Since  $e^{-\tau A(r)}$  is a strongly continuous function of  $(\tau, r) \in [0, \tau_0] \times [a, b]$  (see Lemma 3.5), it follows that  $e^{-\tau A(r)}g(t)$  is a uniformly continuous function of  $(\tau, r, t) \in [0, \tau_0] \times [a, b] \times [c, b]$ . Hence,  $e^{-(h-h\sigma)A(t+h\sigma)}g(t+h\sigma) \rightarrow g(t)$  as  $h \rightarrow +0$  uniformly with respect to  $\sigma \in [0, 1]$ , which implies that the second term in the right-hand side converges to  $g(t)$ . Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies that the third term in the right-hand side converges to the second term of the formula (14) as  $h \rightarrow +0$ , since by the estimate (3.9) we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{h}\{e^{-hA(r)} - e^{-hA(t)}\}e^{-(t-r)A(r)}g(r) \right\|_X \leq C \frac{\omega(t-r)}{t-r} \|g(r)\|_X \in L^1,$$

and since

$$\frac{1}{h}\{e^{-hA(r)} - e^{-hA(t)}\}e^{-(t-r)A(r)}g(r) \rightarrow -R_1(t, r)g(r) \text{ as } h \rightarrow +0$$

for any  $r \in [c, t]$ . Thus we can conclude that  $G(t)$  is right-differentiable, and its right-derivative is strongly continuous. From a well-known lemma (see Yosida [10], p. 239) it follows that  $G(t)$  is differentiable and (14) holds, which completes the proof of Lemma 6.1. □

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $a \leq s < b$ . Then,  $U(t, s)x \in \mathcal{D}(A(t))$  is strongly differentiable in  $t \in (s, \min\{s + \delta, b\})$  and its derivative is  $-A(t)U(t, s)x$  for any  $x \in X$ .*

Proof. Assume that  $a \leq s < t \leq \min\{s + \delta, b\}$ . Then, by (5.5) we see that  $\sum_{n=0}^m W_n(t, s)$  converges to  $U(t, s)$ , and  $\sum_{n=0}^m A(t)W_n(t, s)$  converges as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . As  $A(t)$  is closed, it follows that  $U(t, s)x \in \mathcal{D}(A(t))$  and  $A(t)U(t, s)x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A(t)W_n(t, s)x$  for any  $x \in X$ . Moreover, the estimate (5.5) implies that the above series converges uniformly with respect to  $t \in [s + \varepsilon, \min\{s + \delta, b\}]$ . Hence  $A(t)U(t, s)x$  is continuous. On the other hand it follows from the above lemma that  $W_n(t, s)x$  is differentiable in  $t \in (s, b)$  and its derivative with respect  $t$  is equal to  $R_n(t, s)x - R_{n+1}(t, s)x - A(t)W_n(t, s)x$  for any  $x \in X$ . Thus, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{n=0}^m W_n(t, s)x = -R_{n+1}(s, t)x - \sum_{n=0}^m A(t)W_n(t, s)x \rightarrow -A(t)U(t, s)x$$

uniformly with respect to  $t \in [s + \varepsilon, \min\{s + \delta, b\})$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , which completes the proof of the lemma. □

**7. Proof of differentiability with respect to  $s$**

To prove differentiability of  $U(t, s)$  in  $s$  we make use of another series which expresses  $U(t, s)$ . (See Tanabe [8].)

$$(7.1) \quad V(t, s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n(t, s) := e^{-(t-s)A(t)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_s^t Q_n(t, r)W_0(r, s)dr,$$

where  $Q_1(t, s) := e^{-(t-s)A(t)}\{A(t) - A(s)\}$  and

$$(7.2) \quad Q_{n+1}(t, s) := \int_s^t Q_n(t, r)Q_1(r, s)dr, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

**Lemma 7.1.** *If  $a \leq s < t \leq b$ ,*

$$(7.3) \quad \|Q_n(t, s)\|_{Y \rightarrow Y} \leq \frac{M^n \omega_n(t-s)}{t-s} \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(7.4) \quad \|Q_n(t, s)\|_{Y \rightarrow X} \leq M_0 M' (M\gamma(t-s))^{n-1} \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(7.5) \quad \|Z_n(t, s)\|_{Y \rightarrow Y} \leq M_1 M^n \gamma(t-s)^n, \text{ for } n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$(7.6) \quad \|Z_n(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq Kn(M\gamma(t-s))^{n-1} \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Proof. By (3.5) and  $\|A(t) - A(s)\|_{Y \rightarrow X} \leq \omega(t-s)$  we have (7.3) for  $n = 1$ . The inequality (7.3) can be proved in the same way as (4.7). It is clear that  $\|Q_1(t, s)\|_{Y \rightarrow X} \leq M_0 \omega(t-s) \leq M_0 M'$ . Assume that (7.4) holds for  $n$ . Then, by (7.2) and (7.3) we have

$$\|Q_{n+1}(t, s)\|_{Y \rightarrow X} \leq \int_s^t M_0 M' M^n \gamma(t-s)^{n-1} \frac{\omega(r-s)}{r-s} dr \leq M_0 M' (M\gamma(t-s))^n.$$

Also, (7.3) and  $\|Z_0(t, s)\|_{Y \rightarrow Y} \leq M_1$  implies (7.5).

Next, it follows that  $\|Z_0(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq M_0$ , and it follows from the identity

$$Z_1(t, s) = \int_s^t \{Q_1(t, r)G(r, s) + Z_0(t, r)R_1(r, s)\}dr - G(t, s)$$

and the estimate

$$\|Q_1(t, r)G(r, s) + Z_0(t, r)R_1(r, s)\|_{X \rightarrow X} \leq M_0\{M'P + M\} \frac{\omega(r - s)}{r - s}$$

that  $Z_1(t, s) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  and (7.6) for  $n = 1$  holds. Assuming that  $Z_n(t, s) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  and (7.6) holds for  $n$ , by the identity

$$Z_{n+1}(t, s) = \int_s^t [\{Q_{n+1}(t, r) - Q_n(t, r)\}G(r, s) + Z_n(t, r)R_1(r, s)]dr$$

we see that  $\|Z_{n+1}(t, s)\|_{X \rightarrow X}$  is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_s^t \{M_0M'(M\gamma(t - s))^{n-1}(M\gamma(t - s) + 1)P + KnM^n\gamma(t - s)^{n-1}\} \frac{\omega(r - s)}{r - s} dr \\ & \leq M_0M'PM^{n-1}\gamma(t - s)^n(M\gamma(t - s) + 1) + KnM^n\gamma(t - s)^n \\ & \leq K(n + 1)(M\gamma(t - s))^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $Z_{n+1}(t, s) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  and (7.5) holds for  $n+1$ . Thus the lemma has been completely proved. □

**Lemma 7.2.**  $Z_n(t, s)y \in C^1((a, t); X)$  for any  $a < t \leq b$  and any  $y \in Y$ , and its derivative with respect to  $s$  is equal to  $-Q_n(t, s)y + Q_{n+1}y + Z_n(t, s)A(s)y$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Q_n(t, s - h)y - Q_n(t, s)y}{-h} &= - \int_0^1 Q_n(t, s - h\sigma)e^{-h(1-\sigma)A(s-h\sigma)}y d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int_s^t Q_n(t, r)e^{-(r-s)A(r)} \frac{e^{-hA(r)} - e^{-hA(s)}}{h} y dr \\ &\quad - Z_n(t, s) \frac{e^{-hA(s)} - 1}{h} y \end{aligned}$$

and the argument which led to Lemma 6.1. □

In similar way as Lemma 6.2, from Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2 we obtain

**Lemma 7.3.** Take  $\delta$  so that  $M\gamma(\delta) < 1$  holds. Then, the series (7.1) converges when  $a \leq s \leq t \leq b$ ,  $t - s \leq \delta$ ,  $V(t, s)y$  is differentiable with respect to  $s$  in the interval  $(\max\{t - \delta, a\}, t)$  and its derivative is  $V(t, s)A(s)y$  if  $a < t \leq b$  and if  $y \in Y$ .

Now, the fact that the derivative of  $V(t, r)U(r, s)$  with respect to  $r$  vanishes implies that  $V(t, r)U(r, s)$  is independent of  $r \in (s, t)$ . Since  $U(t, s)$  and  $V(t, s)$  are strongly continuous, this gives that  $V(t, s) = V(t, r)U(r, s) = U(t, s)$ . Thus, by Lemma 7.3 we know that  $U(t, s)y$  is differentiable with respect to  $s$  in the interval  $(\max\{t - \delta, a\}, t)$  for any  $t \in (a, b]$  and for any  $y \in Y$ .

Thus, the facts stated at the beginning of §2 have been completely proved.

**8. Proof of Theorem 1.1**

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $f \in B_{\infty,1}^0((a, b); X)$ , and define  $F_0(t) := \int_a^t W_0(t, s)f(s)ds$ . Then  $F_0 \in \mathcal{C}(I; Y) \cap \mathcal{C}^1((a, b); X)$ ,  $A(\cdot)F_0(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}(I; X)$ , and the inequalities*

$$(8.1) \quad \|A(t)F_0(t)\|_X \leq C\|f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0((a,b);X)}, \quad \|F_0(t)\|_Y \leq \tilde{C}\|f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0((a,b);X)}$$

hold for any  $t \in I$ , where  $C$  and  $\tilde{C}$  are constants independent of  $f$ .

*Proof.* We first prove that  $E(t) := \int_a^t Z_0(t, s)f(s)ds \in \mathcal{D}(A(t))$  for any  $t \in I$ ,  $\|A(t)E(t)\|_X \leq C'\|f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0((a,b);X)}$  for any  $t \in I$ , and  $A(\cdot)E(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}(I; X)$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(I; X)$ , we have that

$$(8.2) \quad A(t) \int_a^t Z_0(t, s)f(s)ds = f(t) - Z_0(t, a)f(a) - \int_a^t Z_0(t, s)f'(s)ds$$

holds for any  $t \in I$ , where  $f'(s) = df(s)/ds$  (see Proof of Lemma 5 in [4]). Hence, according to the theory of Besov spaces (see [4] §3), it suffices to consider the case where

$$f(t) = \int_0^c \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int \frac{1}{\tau} \varphi \left( t, \frac{t-s}{\tau} \right) u(\tau, s)ds, \quad u \in L^1([0, c]; L^\infty(I; X)).$$

Here,  $\varphi(t, s) = (\partial\psi/\partial s)(t, s)$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $\psi(t, s) = 0$  if  $s - (2t - a - b)/(b - a) \geq 1$ . Let  $\eta$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -function such that

$$\eta(t) = 0 \text{ when } t \leq 1, \eta(t) = 1 \text{ when } t \geq 2 \text{ and } 0 \leq \eta(t) \leq 1.$$

Then, by Fubini's theorem we have

$$(8.3) \quad \begin{aligned} E(t) &= \int_0^c \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int \{\Phi_1(\tau, t, r) + \Phi_2(\tau, t, r)\}u(\tau, r)dr, \\ \Phi_1(\tau, t, r) &:= \int_a^t \left\{ 1 - \eta\left(\frac{t-s}{\tau}\right) \right\} Z_0(t, s) \frac{1}{\tau} \varphi \left( s, \frac{s-r}{\tau} \right) ds, \\ \Phi_2(\tau, t, r) &:= \int_a^t \eta\left(\frac{t-s}{\tau}\right) Z_0(t, s) \frac{1}{\tau} \varphi \left( s, \frac{s-r}{\tau} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

As  $A(t)Z_0(t, s) = (\partial/\partial s)Z_0(t, s)$ , an integration by parts shows that

$$\begin{aligned} A(t)\Phi_1(\tau, t, r) &= \frac{1}{\tau}\varphi\left(t, \frac{t-r}{\tau}\right) - \left\{1 - \eta\left(\frac{t-a}{\tau}\right)\right\}Z_0(t, a)\frac{1}{\tau}\varphi\left(a, \frac{a-r}{\tau}\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1,2} \int_a^t \left\{1 - \eta\left(\frac{t-s}{\tau}\right)\right\}Z_0(t, s)\frac{1}{\tau^j}\varphi_j\left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau}\right) ds \\ &\quad - \int_a^t \eta'\left(\frac{t-s}{\tau}\right)Z_0(t, s)\frac{1}{\tau^2}\varphi\left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau}\right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi_1(t, s) = (\partial/\partial t)\varphi(t, s)$ ,  $\varphi_2(t, s) = (\partial/\partial s)\varphi(t, s)$ . Hence we have

$$\int \|A(t)\Phi_1(\tau, t, r)\|_{X \rightarrow X} dr \leq C_0 + \sum_{j=1,2} C_j \int_{t-2\tau}^t ds \tau^{1-j} + C_3 \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t-2\tau}^{t-\tau} ds \leq C_4.$$

Since

$$\varphi\left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau}\right) = \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left\{ \psi\left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau}\right) \right\} - \tau \psi_1\left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau}\right),$$

where  $\psi_1(t, s) := (\partial\psi/\partial t)(t, s)$ , we also have

$$\begin{aligned} A(t)\Phi_2(\tau, t, r) &= -\eta\left(\frac{t-a}{\tau}\right)A(t)Z_0(t, a)\psi\left(a, \frac{a-r}{\tau}\right) \\ &\quad - \int_a^t \eta\left(\frac{t-s}{\tau}\right)A(t)^2Z_0(t, s)\psi\left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau}\right) ds \\ &\quad + \int_a^t \frac{1}{\tau}\eta'\left(\frac{t-s}{\tau}\right)A(t)Z_0(t, s)\psi\left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau}\right) ds \\ &\quad - \int_a^t \eta\left(\frac{t-s}{\tau}\right)A(t)Z_0(t, s)\psi_1\left(s, \frac{s-r}{\tau}\right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which implies, together with the fact that  $\eta(t)/t \leq 1$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|A(t)\Phi_2(\tau, t, r)\|_{X \rightarrow X} dr &\leq C_5\eta\left(\frac{t-a}{\tau}\right)\frac{\tau}{t-a} + C_6\tau \int_a^{t-\tau} (t-s)^{-2} ds \\ &\quad + C_7 \int_{t-2\tau}^{t-\tau} \frac{ds}{t-s} + C_8 \int_a^t \eta\left(\frac{t-s}{\tau}\right)\frac{\tau}{t-s} ds \\ &\leq C_9. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\|u(\tau, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(I; X)} \in L^1((0, c))$  and  $A(t)$  is closed, these results and (8.3) give that

$$E(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A(t)), \quad A(t)E(t) = \int_0^c \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int A(t)\{\Phi_1(\tau, t, r) + \Phi_2(\tau, t, r)\}u(\tau, r)dr$$

and  $\|A(t)E(t)\|_X \leq C'\|f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0((a,b); X)}$ . Since this integral converges uniformly with respect to  $t \in I$ , we also see that  $A(t)E(t)$  is continuous.

The results proved above imply, with the aid of the following lemma and the identity  $F_0(t) = \int_a^t Z_0(t, s)f(s)ds - \int_a^t G(t, s)f(s)ds$ , that  $F_0(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A(t))$  for any  $t \in I$ , the first inequality in (8.1) and  $A(\cdot)F_0(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}(I; X)$ . The second inequality in (8.1) and  $F_0 \in \mathcal{C}(I; Y)$  follow from these facts together with the identity  $F_0(t) = (I + A(t))^{-1}(I + A(t))F_0(t)$ .

Finally, these facts and Lemma 6.1 imply that  $F_0 \in \mathcal{C}^1((a, b); X)$ . □

**Lemma 8.2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}(I; X)$  and put  $G(t) := \int_a^t G(t, s)f(s)ds$ . Then  $G \in \mathcal{C}(I; Y)$  and  $\|G(t)\|_Y \leq P\gamma(t - a)\|f\|_{L^\infty((a, t); X)}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the inequality (5.6). □

Now, let us proceed to prove Theorem 1.1.

STEP 1. Consider the case where  $f \in B_{\infty, 1}^0((a, b); X)$  and  $M\gamma(b - a) < 1$ , where  $\gamma$  is the function defined by (4.3). The estimate (4.8) implies that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty W_n(t, s)f(s)$  converges to  $U(t, s)f(s)$  in  $X$  uniformly in  $(t, s) \in T := \{(t, s); a \leq s \leq t \leq b\}$ . Hence we have

$$(8.4) \quad F(t) = \int_a^t U(t, s)f(s)ds = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_a^t W_n(t, s)f(s)ds = \sum_{n=0}^\infty F_n(t).$$

Using Fubini's theorem, by (4.1) we have

$$(8.5) \quad F_n(t) := \int_a^t W_n(t, s)f(s)ds = \int_a^t W_0(t, s)H_n(s)ds$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , where  $H_0(t) := f(t)$  and

$$(8.6) \quad H_n(t) := \int_a^t R_n(t, s)f(s)ds \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

By Lemma 8.1 we have  $F_0 \in \mathcal{C}(I; Y)$  and  $\|F_0(t)\|_Y \leq \tilde{C}\|f\|_{B_{\infty, 1}^0(I; X)}$ . Assume that

$$(8.7) \quad F_n \in \mathcal{C}(I; Y) \text{ and } \|F_n(t)\|_Y \leq K(n + 1)M^n\gamma(t - a)^n\|f\|_{B_{\infty, 1}^0(I; X)}.$$

Here  $K$  is a constant which will be chosen later on. The identity

$$(8.8) \quad F_{n+1}(t) = \int_a^t [Q_1(t, s)F_n(s) + G(t, s)\{H_n(s) - H_{n+1}(s)\}]ds$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , which is a consequence of (5.1) and (5.2) with  $\sigma = s$ , together with (7.3), Lemma 8.2 and the inequality

$$(8.9) \quad \|H_n(t)\|_X \leq M^n\gamma(t - a)^n\|f\|_{L^\infty(I; X)}$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , which follows from (4.7), implies that

$$\|F_{n+1}(t)\|_Y \leq \{ K(n+1)(M\gamma(t-a))^{n+1} + 2PM^n\gamma(t-a)^{n+1} \} \|f\|_{B_{\infty,1}^0(I;X)}.$$

Taking  $K = \max\{\tilde{C}, 2P/M\}$ , this gives (8.7) for  $n + 1$ . By (8.7) we see that  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty F_n$  converges in  $\mathcal{C}(I; Y)$ , so that  $F \in \mathcal{C}(I; Y)$ .

Furthermore, by (8.5) and Lemma 6.1 we see that  $F_n \in \mathcal{C}^1(I; X)$  and its derivative is  $F'_n(t) = H_n(t) - H_{n+1}(t) - A(t)F_n(t)$ . Since the right-hand side of the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{j=0}^n F_j(t) = f(t) - H_{n+1}(t) - A(t) \sum_{j=0}^n F_j(t)$$

converges to  $f(t) - A(t)F(t)$  uniformly in  $t$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we can conclude that  $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(I; X)$  and  $F'(t) = f(t) - A(t)F(t)$ .

STEP 2. Consider now the general case. Let  $f \in L^1(I; X) \cap B_{\infty,1}^0((a, b); X)_{loc}$ , and let  $a < t < b$ . Take  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  so that  $a < \alpha < t < \beta < b$  and  $M\gamma(\beta - \alpha) < 1$ , and put

$$(8.10) \quad F(t) = \int_a^\alpha U(t, s)f(s)ds + \int_\alpha^t U(t, s)f(s)ds = F_1(t) + F_2(t).$$

Since  $f \in B_{\infty,1}^0((\alpha, \beta); X)$ , by the results in Step 1 we see that  $F_2(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A(t))$ ,  $A(\cdot)F_2(\cdot)$  is continuous,  $F_2$  is differentiable, and  $F'_2(t) = f(t) - A(t)F_2(t)$ . Since  $U(t, \alpha)$  is differentiable and  $\{\partial/\partial t\}U(t, \alpha) = -A(t)U(t, \alpha)$ , it follows that  $F_1(t) = U(t, \alpha)F(\alpha)$  is differentiable,  $F_1(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A(t))$  and  $A(t)F_1(t)$  is continuous. Thus,  $F(t)$  is differentiable and  $F'(t) = -A(t)F_1(t) + f(t) - A(t)F_2(t) = f(t) - A(t)F(t)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REMARK. The condition  $f \in B_{\infty,1}^0((a, b); X)$  follows from  $f \in \mathcal{C}(I; X)$  and

$$(8.11) \quad \rho(h; f) := \sup_{a \leq s < s+h \leq b} \|f(s+h) - f(s)\| \in L^1\left((0, \delta), \frac{dh}{h}\right)$$

for some  $\delta$ . In fact, let  $\varphi(t, s)$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function such that  $\int \varphi(t, s)ds = 0$  and  $\varphi(t, s) = 0$  when  $|s - (2t - a - b)/(b - a)| \geq 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^c \left\| \int \frac{1}{\tau} \varphi\left(t, \frac{t-s}{\tau}\right) f(s)ds \right\|_{L^\infty(I;X)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \\ &= \int_0^c \left\| \int \frac{1}{\tau} \varphi\left(t, \frac{h}{\tau}\right) \{f(t-h) - f(t)\} dh \right\|_{L^\infty(I;X)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \\ &\leq C_0 \int_0^c \frac{d\tau}{\tau^2} \int_{|h| \leq \ell\tau, b-a} \rho(|h|; f) dh \leq 2C_0\ell \int_0^{b-a} \rho(h; f) \frac{dh}{h} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$



Thus we have  $f \in B_{\infty,1}^0((a,b); X)$  by Theorem 1 in [4].

From this fact and Theorem 1.1 we see that  $F(t)$  is differentiable if  $f \in L^1((a,b); X)$  and the condition (8.11) is satisfied locally. This result has been directly proved by Tojima [9] (The case where  $A(t)$  is independent of  $t$  has been discussed by Crandal-Pazy [1]).

---

### References

- [1] M. C. Crandall and A. Pazy: *On the differentiability of weak solutions of a differential equation in Banach space*, J. Math. Mech. **18** (1969), 1007–1016.
- [2] S. Kawatsu: *Cauchy problem for abstract evolution equations of parabolic type*, J. Math. Kyoto, **30** (1990), 59–91.
- [3] T. Muramatu: *An application of Besov spaces to the evolution equation*, Kokyuroku, RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **647** (1989), 99–124 (in Japanese).
- [4] T. Muramatu: *Besov spaces and analytic semi-groups of linear operators*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **42** (1990), 134–146.
- [5] A. Pazy: *Semi-groups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heideberg, New York, 1983.
- [6] H. Tanabe: *A class of the equations of evolution in a Banach Space*, Osaka J. Math. **11** (1959), 121–145.
- [7] H. Tanabe: *On the equations of evolution in a Banach Space*, Osaka J. Math. **12** (1960), 363–376.
- [8] H. Tanabe: *Equations of Evolution*, Pitman, London, 1979.
- [9] T. Tojima: *Solvability of Cauchy problems for the parabolic evolution equation in a Banach space*, Bull. Fac. Sci. & Eng. Chuo Univ. **42** (1999), 1–9.
- [10] K. Yosida: *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heideberg-New York, 1966.

Department of Mathematics  
 Chuo University  
 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku  
 Tokyo, 112-8551, Japan  
 E-mail: muramatu@math.chuo-u.ac.jp