



Title	On the hypoellipticity and the global analytic-hypoellipticity of pseudo-differential operators
Author(s)	Taniguchi, Kazuo
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1974, 11(2), p. 221-238
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/10315">https://doi.org/10.18910/10315</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

# ON THE HYPOELLIPTICITY AND THE GLOBAL ANALYTIC-HYPOELLIPTICITY OF PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

KAZUO TANIGUCHI

(Received September 14, 1973)

## Introduction

In the recent paper [13] Kumano-go and Taniguchi have studied by using oscillatory integrals when pseudo-differential operators in  $R^n$  are Fredholm type and examined whether or not the operators  $L_k(x, D_x, D_y) = D_x + ix^k D_y$  in Mizohata [15] and  $L_{\pm}(x, D_x, D_y) = D_x \pm ix D_y^2$  in Kannai [6] are hypoelliptic by a unified method. In the present paper we shall give the detailed description for results obtained in [13] and study the hypoellipticity for the operator of the form  $L = \sum_{|\alpha: m| + |\alpha': m'| \leq 1} a_{\alpha\alpha'\gamma\gamma'} x^\gamma \bar{y}^{\gamma'} D_x^\alpha D_y^{\alpha'}$  with semi-homogeneity in  $(x, \bar{y}, D_x, D_y)$  by deriving the similar inequality to that of Grushin [4] for the elliptic case. Then we can treat the semi-elliptic case as well as the elliptic case. We shall also give a theorem on the global analytic-hypoellipticity of a non-elliptic operator, and applying it give a necessary and sufficient condition for the operator  $L(x, D_x, D_y)$  to be hypoelliptic, when the coefficients of  $L$  are independent of  $\bar{y}^{\gamma'}$  (see Theorem 3.1).

In Section 1 we shall describe pseudo-differential operators of class  $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$  which is defined by using a basic weight function  $\lambda = \lambda(x, \xi)$  varying in  $x$  and  $\xi$  (cf. [13] and also [1]). In Section 2 we shall study the global analytic-hypoellipticity of a non-elliptic pseudo-differential operator and give an example which indicates that the condition (2.3) is necessary in general. In Section 3 we shall consider the local hypoellipticity for the operator  $L$  and give some examples.

The author wishes to thank Prof. H. Kumano-go for suggesting this problem and his helpful advice.

## 1. Algebras and $L^2$ -boundedness

DEFINITION 1.1. For  $-\infty < m < \infty$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$  and a sequence  $\tilde{\tau}$ ;  $0 \leq \tau_0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \dots$  we define a Fréchet space  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tilde{\tau}}^m$  by the set of  $C^\infty$ -functions  $p(\xi, x)$  in  $R_{\xi, x}^{2n}$  for which each semi-norm

$$|p|_{\alpha, \beta}^{(m)} = \sup_{x, \xi} \{ |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(\xi, x)| \langle x \rangle^{-\tau|\beta|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-m-\delta|\beta|} \}$$

is finite, where  $p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)} = \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} p$ ,  $D_{x_j} = -i\partial/\partial x_j$ ,  $\partial_{\xi_j} = \partial/\partial \xi_j$ ,  $j=1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1+|x|^2}, \quad \langle \xi \rangle = \sqrt{1+|\xi|^2}.$$

We define the oscillatory integral  $O_s[p]$  for  $p(\xi, x) \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tau}^m$  by

$$\begin{aligned} O_s[p] &\equiv O_s - \iint e^{-ix \cdot \xi} p(\xi, x) dx d\xi \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \chi_{\varepsilon}(\xi, x) p(\xi, x) dx d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} d\xi$ ,  $x \cdot \xi = x_1 \xi_1 + \dots + x_n \xi_n$  and  $\chi_{\varepsilon}(\xi, x) = \chi(\varepsilon \xi, \varepsilon x)$  ( $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ ) for a  $\chi(\xi, x) \in \mathcal{S}$  (the class of rapidly decreasing functions of Schwartz) in  $R_{\xi, x}^{2n}$  such that  $\chi(0, 0) = 1$  (cf. ([11], [13])).

REMARK. We can easily obtain the following statements (cf. [11]).

1°) For  $p \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tau}^m$  we have

$$O_s[p] = \iint e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \langle x \rangle^{-2l'} \langle D_{\xi} \rangle^{2l'} \{ \langle \xi \rangle^{-2l} \langle D_x \rangle^{2l} p(\xi, x) \} dx d\xi$$

by taking integers  $l, l'$  such that  $-2l(1-\delta) + m < -n$  and  $-2l' + \tau_{2l} < -n$ .

2°) Let  $\{p_{\varepsilon}\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1}$  be a bounded set in  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tau}^m$  and converges to a  $p_0(\xi, x) \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tau}^m$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on any compact set of  $R_{\xi, x}^{2n}$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} O_s[p_{\varepsilon}] = O_s[p_0].$$

3°) For  $p \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tau}^m$  we have

$$O_s[x^{\alpha} p] = O_s[D_{\xi}^{\alpha} p] \quad \text{and} \quad O_s[\xi^{\alpha} p] = O_s[D_x^{\alpha} p].$$

DEFINITION 1.2. We say that a  $C^{\infty}$ -function  $\lambda(x, \xi)$  in  $R_{x, \xi}^{2n}$  is a basic weight function when  $\lambda(x, \xi)$  satisfies conditions:

$$(1.1) \quad A_0^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^a \leq \lambda(x, \xi) \leq A_0(1 + |x|^{\tau_0} + |\xi|) \quad (\tau_0 \geq 0, a > 0),$$

$$(1.2) \quad |\lambda_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq A_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{1-|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|} \quad (0 \leq \delta < 1),$$

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda(x+y, \xi) \leq A_1 \langle y \rangle^{\tau_1} \lambda(x, \xi) \quad (\tau_1 \geq 0)$$

for positive constants  $A_0, A_{\alpha\beta}, A_1$ .<sup>1)</sup>

DEFINITION 1.3. We say that a  $C^{\infty}$ -function  $p(x, \xi)$  in  $R_{x, \xi}^{2n}$  belongs to  $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ ,  $-\infty < m < \infty$ ,  $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$ ,  $\delta < 1$ , when for any multi-index  $\alpha, \beta$

1) For a basic weight function  $\lambda(x, \xi)$  satisfying (1.1)–(1.3) we can always find an equivalent basic weight function  $\lambda'(x, \xi)$  with  $\delta=0$  in (1.2) to  $\lambda(x, \xi)$ , i.e.,  $C^{-1}\lambda(x, \xi) \leq \lambda'(x, \xi) \leq C\lambda(x, \xi)$ .

$$(1.4) \quad |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}.$$

For  $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$  we define pseudo-differential operator  $P = p(X, D_x)$  with the symbol  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi) = p(x, \xi)$  by

$$(1.5) \quad Pu(x) = \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S},$$

where  $\hat{u}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx$  is the Fourier transform of  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ .

For a  $p \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$  we define semi-norms  $|p|_{l_1, l_2}^{(m)}$ ,  $l_1, l_2 = 0, 1, \dots$  by

$$|p|_{l_1, l_2}^{(m)} = \text{Max}_{|\alpha| \leq l_1, |\beta| \leq l_2} \left\{ \sup_{x, \xi} |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \lambda(x, \xi)^{-m+\rho|\alpha|-\delta|\beta|} \right\}.$$

Then  $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$  makes a Fréchet space.

In what follows we shall only treat the case:  $\delta = \rho = 0$  or  $0 = \delta < \rho = 1$  since it simplifies the statements below and is sufficient for our aim.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $P_j = p_j(X, D_x) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Then  $P = P_1 P_2$  belongs to  $S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m_1+m_2}$  and we have for any integer  $N > 0$*

$$(1.6) \quad \sigma(P)(x, \xi) \quad (\text{denoted also by } p_1 \circ p_2(x, \xi)) \\ = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p_\alpha(x, \xi) + N \sum_{|\gamma| = N} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\theta)^{N-1}}{\gamma!} r_{\gamma, \theta}(x, \xi) d\theta$$

where

$$\begin{cases} p_\alpha(x, \xi) = p_1^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) p_{2(\alpha)}(x, \xi) & (\in S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m_1+m_2-\rho|\alpha|}), \\ r_{\gamma, \theta}(x, \xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) p_{2(\gamma)}(x+y, \xi) dy d\eta. \end{cases}$$

The set  $\{r_{\gamma, \theta}(x, \xi)\}_{|\theta| \leq 1}$  is bounded in  $S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m_1+m_2-\rho|\gamma|}$ .

**Proof.** By the same method of the Theorem 2.5 and 2.6 in [11] we can prove the formula (1.6) if we have only to prove  $\{r_{\gamma, \theta}\}$  is a bounded set in  $S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m_1+m_2-\rho|\gamma|}$ . Since  $\partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta r_{\gamma, \theta}$  is represented as the linear combination of

$$(1.7) \quad \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_{1(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_1+\gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) p_{2(\beta_2+\gamma)}^{(\alpha_2)}(x+y, \xi) dy d\eta, \\ (\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2)$$

we have only to prove that each term of the form (1.7) is estimated by  $C\lambda(x, \xi)^{m_1+m_2-\rho|\gamma|-\rho|\alpha|}$ . Here and in what follows we omit the notation  $O_s$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_{1(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_1+\gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) p_{2(\beta_2+\gamma)}^{(\alpha_2)}(x+y, \xi) dy d\eta \right| \\ &= \left| \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2l_1} p_{1(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_1+\gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) p_{2(\beta_2+\gamma)}^{(\alpha_2)}(x+y, \xi) dy d\eta \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left| \int_{|\eta| \leq C_0 \lambda} \langle \eta \rangle^{-n_0} d\eta \int e^{-i\gamma \cdot \eta} \langle D_\gamma \rangle^{n_0} \{ \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2l_1} p_{1(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_1 + \gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot p_{2(\beta_2 + \gamma)}^{(\alpha_2)}(x + y, \xi) \} dy \right| \\
&+ \left| \int_{|\eta| \geq C_0 \lambda} |\eta|^{-2l_2} d\eta \int e^{-i\gamma \cdot \eta} (-\Delta_\gamma)^{l_2} \{ \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2l_1} p_{1(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_1 + \gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot p_{2(\beta_2 + \gamma)}^{(\alpha_2)}(x + y, \xi) \} dy \right| \\
&\leq C \left\{ \int_{|\eta| \leq C_0 \lambda} \langle \eta \rangle^{-n_0} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \lambda(x, \xi + \theta\eta)^{m_1 - \rho|\gamma| - \rho|\alpha_1|} \lambda(x + y, \xi)^{m_2 - \rho|\alpha_2|} dy \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{|\eta| \geq C_0 \lambda} |\eta|^{-2l_2} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \lambda(x, \xi + \theta\eta)^{m_1 - \rho|\gamma| - \rho|\alpha_1|} \lambda(x + y, \xi)^{m_2 - \rho|\alpha_2|} dy \right\} \\
&\leq C \left\{ \lambda(x, \xi)^{m_1 + m_2 - \rho|\gamma| - \rho|\alpha|} \int \langle \eta \rangle^{-n_0} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1 + \tau_1 |m_2 - \rho|\alpha_2|} dy \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \lambda(x, \xi)^{m_2 - \rho|\alpha_2|} \int_{|\eta| \geq C_0 \lambda} |\eta|^{-2l_2 + m_1} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1 + \tau_1 |m_2 - \rho|\alpha_2|} dy \right\} \\
&\leq C \lambda(x, \xi)^{m_1 + m_2 - \rho|\gamma| - \rho|\alpha|},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $n_0 = 2([n/2] + 1)$ ,  $m_{1+} = \text{Max}(m_1, 0)$ ,  $l_1, l_2$  are integers such that

$$-2l_1 + \tau_1 |m_2 - \rho|\alpha_2| < -n, \quad -2l_2 + m_{1+} + n + 1 \leq \text{Min}(0, m_1 - \rho|\gamma| - \rho|\alpha_1|),$$

and  $C_0$  is a constant such that

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} \lambda(x, \xi) \leq \lambda(x, \xi + \eta) \leq \frac{3}{2} \lambda(x, \xi) \quad \text{if } |\eta| \leq C_0 \lambda(x, \xi).$$

We can prove the following two theorems by the same method.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m, m'}$  denote a set of double symbols  $p(\xi, x', \xi')$ , which satisfy

$$|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha, \alpha')}(\xi, x', \xi')| \leq C_{\alpha\alpha\beta} \lambda(x', \xi)^{m - \rho|\alpha|} \lambda(x', \xi')^{m' - \rho|\alpha'|},$$

and define operators  $P = p(D_x, X', D_{x'})$  by

$$\widehat{Pu}(\xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-ix' \cdot (\xi - \xi')} p(\xi, x', \xi') \hat{u}(\xi') d\xi' dx' \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Then  $P$  belongs to  $S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m + m', m'}$  and we can write  $\sigma(P)(x, \xi)$  in the form (1.6) for any  $N > 0$ , where

$$\begin{cases} p_\alpha(x, \xi) = p_{(\alpha)}^{(\alpha, 0)}(\xi, x, \xi) & (\in S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m + m' - \rho|\alpha|}) \\ r_{\gamma, \theta}(x, \xi) = O_s - \iint e^{-i\gamma \cdot \eta} p_{(\gamma)}^{(\gamma, 0)}(\xi + \theta\eta, x + y, \xi) dy d\eta. \end{cases}$$

The set  $\{r_{\gamma, \theta}(x, \xi)\}_{|\theta| \leq 1}$  is bounded in  $S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m + m' - \rho|\gamma|}$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** For  $P=p(X, D_x) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^m$ , the operator  $P^{(*)}$  defined by

$$(Pu, v) = (u, P^{(*)}v) \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{S}$$

belongs to  $S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^m$  and we have for any  $N > 0$

$$\sigma(P^{(*)})(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p_{\alpha}^{(*)}(x, \xi) + N \sum_{|\gamma| = N} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\theta)^{N-1}}{\gamma!} r_{\gamma, \theta}^{(*)}(x, \xi) d\theta,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} p_{\alpha}^{(*)}(x, \xi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \overline{p_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}}(x, \xi) & (\in S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m-\rho|\alpha|}) \\ r_{\gamma, \theta}^{(*)}(x, \xi) = O_s \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} (-1)^{|\gamma|} \overline{p_{\gamma}^{(\gamma)}}(x+y, \xi+\theta\eta) dy d\eta. \end{cases}$$

The set  $\{r_{\gamma, \theta}^{(*)}(x, \xi)\}_{|\theta| \leq 1}$  is bounded in  $S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m-\rho|\gamma|}$ .

REMARK. The maps

$$S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m_1} \times S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m_2} \ni (p_1, p_2) \rightarrow p_1 \circ p_2 \in S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^{m_1+m_2}$$

and

$$S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^m \ni p \rightarrow p^{(*)} \in S_{\lambda, \rho, 0}^m$$

are continuous.

Let  $q(\sigma)$  be a  $C^\infty$ - and even-function such that  $q(\sigma) \geq 0$ ,  $\int q(\sigma)^2 d\sigma = 1$  and  $\text{supp } q \subset \{\sigma \in R^n; |\sigma| \leq 1\}$ , and set

$$F(x, \xi; \zeta) = \lambda(x, \xi)^{-n/4} q((\zeta - \xi)/\lambda(x, \xi)^{1/2}).$$

**Theorem 1.7.** For  $P=p(X, D_x) \in S_{\lambda, 1, 0}^m$ , we define the Friedrichs part  $P_F = p_F(D_x, X', D_{x'})$  by

$$p_F(\xi, x', \xi') = \int F(x', \xi; \zeta) p(x', \zeta) F(x', \xi'; \zeta) d\zeta.$$

Then we have

- (i)  $p_F(\xi, x', \xi')$  belongs to  $S_{\sqrt{\lambda}, 1, 0}^{2m, 0}$ ,
- (ii) The operator  $P_F$  belongs to  $S_{\lambda, 1, 0}^m$  and  $P - P_F \in S_{\lambda, 1, 0}^{m-1}$ , and  $\sigma(P_F)$  has the form

$$\sigma(P_F)(x, \xi) \sim p(x, \xi) + \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| + |\gamma| \geq 2} \psi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x, \xi) p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$$

where  $\psi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in S_{\lambda, 1, 0}^{(-|\alpha| - |\beta| - |\gamma|)/2}$ ,

- (iii) If  $p(x, \xi)$  is real-valued and non-negative, we have

$$(p_F(D_x, X', D_{x'})u, v) = (u, p_F(D_x, X', D_{x'})v) \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{S},$$

$$(p_F(D_x, X', D_{x'})u, u) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Proof is carried out by the similar way to that in [9].

**Theorem 1.8.** *We can extend  $P=p(X, D_x) \in S_{\lambda,0,0}^0$  to a bounded operator on  $L^2$  and we get*

$$(1.9) \quad \|Pu\|_{L^2} \leq C \|p\|_{i_{0,t_0}^{(0)}} \|u\|_{L^2},$$

where  $C$  and  $l_0$  are independent of  $P$  and  $u$ .

Since  $S_{\lambda,0,0}^0 \subset S_{<\xi>,0,0}^0$ , this theorem is a corollary of Calderón-Vaillancourt's theorem in [2].

## 2. Global analytic-hypoellipticity

**DEFINITION 2.1.** We say that  $L \in S_{\lambda,1,0}^m$  is globally analytic-hypoelliptic if the following statement holds for  $L$ :

If  $u \in L^2(R^n)$  is a solution of the equation

$$L(X, D_x)u = f \quad \text{for } f \in C^\infty(R^n)$$

and  $f$  satisfies for some  $M > 0$

$$(2.1) \quad \|D_x^\alpha f\|_{L^2} \leq M^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha!,$$

then  $u$  is analytic and we have

$$(2.2) \quad \|D_x^\alpha u\|_{L^2} \leq M_1^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha!$$

for another constant  $M_1 > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $L \in S_{\lambda,1,0}^m$  ( $m > 0$ ) satisfy the following conditions:*

$$(2.3) \quad |L(x, \xi)| \geq C \lambda(x, \xi)^m \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq R$$

for some  $C > 0$  and  $R \geq 0$ , and for any multi-index  $\alpha$  there exists  $M_\alpha$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad |L_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq M_\alpha^{1+|\beta|} \beta! \lambda(x, \xi)^{m-|\alpha|}.$$

Then the operator  $L(X, D_x)$  is globally analytic-hypoelliptic.

**EXAMPLE 2.3.** Let  $L(x_1, x_2, D_{x_1}, D_{x_2}) = D_{x_1}^2 + D_{x_2}^6 + x_1^2 + x_2^6 - 15x_2^4 + 45x_2^2 - 16$ . Then we can prove that  $L$  satisfies the conditions (2.3) and (2.4) by taking  $\lambda(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) = (1 + |L(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2)|^2)^{1/2}$  as a basic weight function. The equation  $L(X_1, X_2, D_{x_1}, D_{x_2})u = 0$  has a non-trivial solution  $e^{-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2}$ .

As a generalization of the above example we have

**EXAMPLE 2.4** (cf. [5]). Let  $L(x, D_x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m_1} a_\alpha(x) D_x^\alpha$  be a hypoelliptic differential operator of order  $m_1$  with analytic coefficients. Suppose that  $L$  satisfies following conditions for constants  $\tau_0 \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ ,  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 > 0$ ,  $M > 0$ ,

- (0)  $|\partial_x^\beta a_\omega(x)| \leq M^{1+|\beta|} \beta!$  if  $|\beta| \geq m_1 \tau_0$  and  $|\alpha| \leq m_1$ ,  
 (i)  $C_1^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{\rho m_1} \leq |L(0, \xi)| \leq C_1 |L(x, \xi)|$  for large  $|\xi|$ ,  
 (ii)  $|L_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)/L(x, \xi)| \leq M^{1+|\beta|} \beta! (|\xi| + |x|^{\tau_0})^{-\rho|\alpha|}$  for large  $|\xi| + |x|^{\tau_0}$ ,  
 (iii)  $|L_{(\beta)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_2(1 + |L(0, \xi)|)$  if  $|\beta| \geq m_1 \tau_0$ .

Then we can see that  $L$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2 by taking  $\lambda(x, \xi) = (1 + |L(x, \xi)|^2)^{1/2m}$  for a large  $m$  as a basic weight function.

Proof. From (0) we can choose a positive constant  $m'$  such that

$$|L(x, \xi)| \leq C(|\xi| + |x|^{\tau_0})^{m'} \quad \text{for } |\xi| + |x|^{\tau_0} \geq 1.$$

We put  $m = m'/\rho$  and  $\lambda(x, \xi) = (1 + |L(x, \xi)|^2)^{1/2m}$ . Then we have (2.4) from (0) and (ii). By usual calculus we have (1.2) for  $\delta = 0$ . From (i) we have (1.1) for  $a = \rho m_1/m$  and (2.3). Finally we can get (1.3) by (i) and (iii).

EXAMPLE 2.5. Let  $L(x_1, x_2, D_{x_1}, D_{x_2}) = iD_{x_1} + D_{x_2}^2 - 2ix_2^3 D_{x_2} + x_1 - x_2^6 - 3x_2^2$ . Then  $L$  is a semi-elliptic operator and  $Lu = 0$  has a non-analytic solution  $u = e^{-(x_1^{2/2} + x_2^{4/4})} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(m)}(x_1)}{(2m)!} x_2^{2m} (\in \mathcal{S})$  where  $f(x_1) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$  and belongs to the Gevrey class  $\rho(< 3/2)$ . This fact means the conditions are necessary in general. In fact let  $L$  satisfy (2.3) and (2.4). Then we have the following contrary:

$$1 = |\partial_{x_1} L(-t^2, 0, 0, t)| \leq C \lambda(-t^2, 0, 0, t)^m \leq |L(-t^2, 0, 0, t)| = 0$$

for large  $t$ .

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Define  $\{E_j(x, \xi)\}_{j=0,1,\dots}$  for  $|\xi| \geq R$  inductively by

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} E_0(x, \xi) &= L(x, \xi)^{-1}, \\ E_j(x, \xi) &= - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \sum_{|\gamma|=j-i} \frac{1}{\gamma!} E_i^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) L_{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) E_0(x, \xi) \quad (j \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

then we have  $|E_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}| \leq C_{j\alpha\beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-m-j-|\alpha|}$  if  $|\xi| \geq R$ . Taking  $\varphi_R(\xi) \in C^\infty$  such that  $\varphi_R = 1$  if  $|\xi| \geq 2R$  and  $\varphi_R = 0$  if  $|\xi| \leq R$ , and an integer  $N$  such that  $aN \geq 1$ , we define

$$(2.6) \quad E(x, \xi) = \varphi_R(\xi) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} E_j(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda,0,0}^{-m}.$$

Then we have

$$(2.7) \quad EL = I - K, \quad K \in S_{<\xi>,0,0}^{-1}.$$

In fact by the same method of Theorem 1.4 we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sigma(EL)(x, \xi) - 1 \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{|\gamma| < N-j} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \varphi_R(\xi) E_j^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) L_{(\gamma)}(x, \xi) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{|\gamma_1+\gamma_2| \leq N-j, \gamma_1 \neq 0} \frac{1}{\gamma_1! \gamma_2!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma_1} \varphi_R(\xi) E_j^{(\gamma_2)}(x, \xi) L_{(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}(x, \xi) \\
& + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{|\gamma_1+\gamma_2|=N-j} (N-j) \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\theta)^{N-j-1}}{\gamma_1! \gamma_2!} r_{j\gamma_1\gamma_2\theta}(x, \xi) d\theta \\
& \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$r_{j\gamma_1\gamma_2\theta}(x, \xi) = \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma_1} \varphi_R(\xi + \theta\eta) E_j^{(\gamma_2)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) L_{(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}(x+y, \xi) dy d\eta.$$

From (2.5) we have

$$(2.9) \quad I_1 = \varphi_R(\xi) - 1 \in S_{<\xi>, 0, 0}^{-1}.$$

From the fact that  $\partial_{\xi}^{\gamma_1} \varphi_R(\xi)$  has compact support if  $\gamma_1 \neq 0$ , we get

$$(2.10) \quad I_2 \in S_{<\xi>, 0, 0}^{-1}.$$

Next we prove that  $\{r_{j\gamma_1\gamma_2\theta}\}_{|\theta| \leq 1}$  is bounded in  $S_{<\xi>, 0, 0}^{-1}$ . Since  $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha}^{\beta} r_{j\gamma_1\gamma_2\theta}$  is a linear combination of

$$r'_{\theta}(x, \xi) = \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_1+\gamma_1} \varphi_R(\xi + \theta\eta) E_{j(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_2+\gamma_2)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) L_{(\beta_2+\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}^{(\alpha_3)}(x+y, \xi) dy d\eta$$

such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = \alpha$ ,  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$ . Hence we have only to prove for a constant  $C$

$$|r'_{\theta}| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}.$$

We take a constant  $C_0$  such that (1.8) is satisfied and integers  $l_1, l_2, l_3$  such that  $-2l_1 + m\tau_1 < -n$ ,  $-2l_2 + 1 < -n$ ,  $-2l_3 + n + 1 \leq -m - 1/a$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |r'_{\theta}(x, \xi)| \\
& = \left| \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \langle D_{\eta} \rangle^{2l_1} \{ \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_1+\gamma_1} \varphi_R(\xi + \theta\eta) E_{j(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_2+\gamma_2)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot L_{(\beta_2+\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}^{(\alpha_3)}(x+y, \xi) \} dy d\eta \right| \\
& \leq \int_{|\eta| \leq C_0 \lambda} \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l_2} d\eta \int |\langle D_y \rangle^{2l_2} [\langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \langle D_{\eta} \rangle^{2l_1} \{ \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_1+\gamma_1} \varphi_R(\xi + \theta\eta) \\
& \quad \cdot E_{j(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_2+\gamma_2)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) L_{(\beta_2+\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}^{(\alpha_3)}(x+y, \xi) \} ] dy \\
& \quad + \int_{|\eta| \geq C_0 \lambda} |\eta|^{-2l_3} d\eta \int |(-\Delta_y)^{l_3} [\langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \langle D_{\eta} \rangle^{2l_1} \{ \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_1+\gamma_1} \varphi_R(\xi + \theta\eta) \\
& \quad \cdot E_{j(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_2+\gamma_2)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) L_{(\beta_2+\gamma_1+\gamma_2)}^{(\alpha_3)}(x+y, \xi) \} ] dy \\
& \equiv J_1 + J_2.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $J_1$  we devide into two cases.

(i) When  $\alpha_1 + \gamma_1 = 0$  we have, noting that  $|\gamma_2| = N - j$

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq C \int_{|\eta| \leq C_0 \lambda} \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l_2} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \lambda(x, \xi + \theta\eta)^{-m-N} \lambda(x+y, \xi)^m dy \\ &\leq C \lambda(x, \xi)^{-N} \int \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l_2} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1+m\tau_1} dy \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) When  $\alpha_1 + \gamma_1 \neq 0$  we have, noting that  $\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_1+\gamma_1} \varphi_R$  has compact support

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq C \int_{|\eta| \leq C_0 \lambda} \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l_2} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \langle \xi + \theta\eta \rangle^{-1} \lambda(x, \xi + \theta\eta)^{-m} \lambda(x+y, \xi)^m dy \\ &\leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-1} \int \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l_2+1} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1+m\tau_1} dy \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next for  $J_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq C \int_{|\eta| \geq C_0 \lambda} |\eta|^{-2l_3} d\eta \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1} \lambda(x+y, \xi)^m dy \\ &\leq C \lambda(x, \xi)^{-2l_3+m+n} \int \langle y \rangle^{-2l_1+m\tau_1} dy \leq C \lambda(x, \xi)^{-1/a} \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get  $I_3 \in S_{<\xi>,0,0}^{-1}$  and combining (2.8)–(2.10) we get (2.7). From (2.4) and (2.6) we see also that there exists  $M_2$  independent of  $\gamma$  such that

$$(2.11) \quad |\sigma(EL_{(\gamma)})|_{i_0, i_0}^{(0)} \leq M_2^{1+|\gamma|} \gamma! \quad \text{for } l_0 \text{ in Theorem 1.8.}$$

Moreover from (2.7) there exists constant  $C_1$  such that

$$(2.12) \quad |K(x, \xi) \xi_j|_{i_0, i_0}^{(0)} \leq C_1 \quad \text{for any } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Suppose that for  $u \in L^2$   $Lu = f$  satisfies (2.1). We have  $u = ELu + Ku = Ef + Ku$  from (2.7) and so it is clear that  $u$  is a  $C^\infty$ -function. Therefore we have only to prove that  $u$  satisfies (2.2), since (2.2) implies the analyticity of  $u$  by Sobolev's lemma. Take  $M_1$  sufficiently large such that

$$(2.13) \quad 3C_2 C_1 \leq M_1,$$

$$(2.14) \quad 3C_2 M |E|_{i_0, i_0}^{(0)} \leq M_1, \quad M \leq M_1,$$

$$(2.15) \quad 3 \cdot 2^n C_2 M_2^2 \leq M_1, \quad 2M_2 \leq M_1,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \|u\|_{L^2} \leq M_1,$$

where  $C_2$  is a constant satisfying (1.9).

From (2.16), (2.2) is trivial when  $\alpha = 0$ , so we show (2.2) by induction on  $|\alpha|$ . From (2.7),  $D_x^\alpha u = ELD_x^\alpha u + KD_x^\alpha u$  ( $\alpha \neq 0$ ). Then we have

$$(2.17) \quad \|D_x^\alpha u\| \leq \|ELD_x^\alpha u\| + \|KD_x^\alpha u\|.$$

Since  $\alpha \neq 0$  there exists multi-index  $\alpha_2$  such that  $|\alpha_2| = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ . By (2.12), (2.13) and Theorem 1.8 we get

$$(2.18) \quad \|KD_x^\alpha u\| = \|(KD_x^{\alpha_2})D_x^{\alpha_1}u\| \leq C_2 C_1 \|D_x^{\alpha_1}u\| \leq C_2 C_1 M_1^{1+|\alpha_1|} \alpha_1! \leq M_1^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha! / 3.$$

By Leibniz' formula, we have

$$LD_x^\alpha = D_x^\alpha L - \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_1! (\alpha - \alpha_1)!} L_{\langle \alpha - \alpha_1 \rangle} D_x^{\alpha_1}.$$

Then

$$(2.19) \quad \|ELD_x^\alpha u\| \leq \|ED_x^\alpha f\| + \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_1! (\alpha - \alpha_1)!} \|EL_{\langle \alpha - \alpha_1 \rangle} D_x^{\alpha_1} u\|.$$

From (2.1), (2.6) and (2.14) we have

$$(2.20) \quad \|ED_x^\alpha f\| \leq C_2 |E|_{i_0, i_0}^{(0)} \|D_x^\alpha f\| \leq C_2 |E|_{i_0, i_0}^{(0)} M_1^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha! \leq M_1^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha! / 3.$$

Finally we have from (2.11), (2.15) and the assumption of induction

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_1! (\alpha - \alpha_1)!} \|EL_{\langle \alpha - \alpha_1 \rangle} D_x^{\alpha_1} u\| \\ & \leq \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha} C_2 \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_1! (\alpha - \alpha_1)!} M_2^{1+|\alpha - \alpha_1|} (\alpha - \alpha_1)! M_1^{1+|\alpha_1|} \alpha_1! \\ & = M_1^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha! (C_2 M_2^2 / M_1) \sum_{\alpha_1 < \alpha} (M_2 / M_1)^{|\alpha - \alpha_1| - 1} \leq M_1^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha! / 3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (2.17)–(2.21) we get (2.2).

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $L$  satisfy the same conditions as Theorem 2.2. If a bounded and continuous function  $u$  is a solution of  $Lu = f$  and  $f \in C^\infty(R^n)$  satisfies for some  $M_3$*

$$(2.22) \quad |D_x^\alpha f| \leq M_3^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha!,$$

*then we have for another constant  $M_4$*

$$(2.23) \quad |D_x^\alpha u| \leq M_4^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha! \langle x \rangle^{n_0} \quad \text{for an even number } n_0 > n.$$

*Proof.* We write  $Lu = f$  in the form

$$\langle X \rangle^{-n_0} L(X, D_x) \langle X \rangle^{n_0} u_1 = f_1,$$

where  $u_1(x) = \langle x \rangle^{-n_0} u(x)$ ,  $f_1(x) = \langle x \rangle^{-n_0} f(x)$ .

We write simplified symbol of  $\langle X \rangle^{-n_0} L(X, D_x) \langle X \rangle^{n_0}$  by  $L_1(X, D_x)$ . Then the pair  $(L_1, u_1, f_1)$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem and we get  $\|D_x^\alpha u_1\| \leq M_5^{1+|\alpha|} \alpha!$  for some  $M_5 > 0$ . Hence from Sobolev's lemma we can get (2.23).

**REMARK.** In Theorem 2.2 we may assume (2.4) only for  $|\alpha| \leq l_0$  with  $l_0$  in Theorem 1.8, and in Corollary 2.6 for  $|\alpha| \leq 2l_0$ .

### 3. Local hypoellipticity

In this section we shall study a differential operator  $L(x, \mathfrak{y}, D_x, D_y)$  in  $R_x^n \times R_y^k$  with polynomial coefficients of the form

$$(3.1) \quad L(x, \mathfrak{y}, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{|\alpha: m| + |\alpha': m'| \leq 1} a_{\alpha\alpha'\gamma\gamma'} x^\gamma \mathfrak{y}^{\gamma'} \xi^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'},$$

where  $y = (\mathfrak{y}, \tilde{\mathfrak{y}})$ ,  $\mathfrak{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_s)$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{y}} = (y_{s+1}, \dots, y_k)$  for  $s \leq k$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\alpha' = (\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_k)$ ,  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ ,  $\gamma' = (\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_s, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $|\alpha: m| = \alpha_1/m_1 + \dots + \alpha_n/m_n$ ,  $|\alpha': m'| = \alpha'_1/m'_1 + \dots + \alpha'_k/m'_k$  for multi-indices  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ ,  $m' = (m'_1, \dots, m'_k)$  of positive integers  $m_j$  and  $m'_j$ . We say that  $L$  is hypoelliptic if  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(R_{x,y}^{n+k})$  belongs to  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  when  $Lu$  belongs to  $C^\infty(\Omega)$  for any open set  $\Omega$  of  $R_{x,y}^{n+k}$ . Now setting  $m = \text{Max} \{m_j, m'_j\}$ , we assume that there exist four real vectors  $\rho, \rho', \sigma, \sigma'$  of the form  $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$ ,  $\rho' = (\rho'_1, \dots, \rho'_k)$ ,  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ ,  $\sigma' = (\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_s, 0, \dots, 0)$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \rho_j = \sigma_j = m/m_j & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n \\ \text{(ii)} & \rho'_j > \sigma'_j \geq 0, \quad m'_j \rho'_j \geq m & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad L(t^{-\sigma} x, t^{-\sigma'} \mathfrak{y}, t^\rho \xi, t^{\rho'} \eta) = t^m L(x, \mathfrak{y}, \xi, \eta) \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

where  $t^{-\sigma} x = (t^{-\sigma_1} x_1, \dots, t^{-\sigma_n} x_n)$ ,  $t^{-\sigma'} \mathfrak{y} = (t^{-\sigma'_1} y_1, \dots, t^{-\sigma'_s} y_s)$ ,

$$t^\rho \xi = (t^{\rho_1} \xi_1, \dots, t^{\rho_n} \xi_n), \quad t^{\rho'} \eta = (t^{\rho'_1} \eta_1, \dots, t^{\rho'_k} \eta_k).$$

Condition 1. If we put

$$(3.4) \quad L_0(x, \mathfrak{y}, \xi, \eta) = \sum_{|\alpha: m| + |\alpha': m'| = 1} a_{\alpha\alpha'\gamma\gamma'} x^\gamma \mathfrak{y}^{\gamma'} \xi^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'},$$

then we have

$$(3.5) \quad L_0(x, \mathfrak{y}, \xi, \eta) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } |x| + |\mathfrak{y}| \neq 0 \text{ and } (\xi, \eta) \neq 0,$$

which means that  $L(x, \mathfrak{y}, \xi, \eta)$  is semi-elliptic for  $|x| + |\mathfrak{y}| \neq 0$ .

Condition 2. The equation  $L(X, \mathfrak{y}, D_x, \eta)v(x) = 0$  in  $R_x^n$  has no non-trivial solution in  $\mathcal{S}(R_x^n)$  for  $|\eta| = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *We consider the operator  $L(x, \mathfrak{y}, D_x, D_y)$  under Condition 1 and the assumption*

$$\text{Max}_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{\sigma'_j\} < \text{Min}_{1 \leq j, l \leq k} \{m'_j \rho'_j / m'_l\}.$$

Then we have

(S) *If Condition 2 holds, then  $L(x, \mathfrak{y}, D_x, D_y)$  is hypoelliptic.*

(N) *If the coefficients of  $L$  are independent of  $\mathfrak{y}$ , i.e.,  $s=0$ , then Condition 2 is necessary for the hypoellipticity of the operator  $L$ .*

## EXAMPLES 3.2.

i)  $L = (-\Delta_x)^l + |x|^{2\nu}(-\Delta_y)^{l'}$  in  $R_x^n \times R_y^k$  (cf. [3], [7], [14]).

We set  $\rho_1 = \dots = \rho_n = \sigma_1 = \dots = \sigma_n = l_0/l$ ,  $\rho'_1 = \dots = \rho'_k = (v/l+1)l_0/l'$ ,  $\sigma'_1 = \dots = \sigma'_k = 0$ , where  $l_0 = \text{Max}(l, l')$ . Then we can see that  $L$  is always hypoelliptic.

ii)  $L_\pm(x, D_x, D_y) = D_x \pm ix^l D_y^m$  in  $R_x^1 \times R_y^l$  (cf. [6], [8], [15]).

We set  $\rho_1 = \sigma_1 = m$ ,  $\rho'_1 = l+1$ ,  $\sigma'_1 = 0$ . Then we see the following three cases:

- a) If  $l$  is even,  $L_+(X, D_x, \pm 1)v = 0$  and  $L_-(X, D_x, \pm 1)v = 0$  have no non-trivial solution in  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- b) If  $l$  is odd and  $m$  is even,  $L_+(X, D_x, \pm 1)v = 0$  has no non-trivial solution in  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $L_-(X, D_x, \pm 1)v = 0$  has non-trivial solution  $e^{-x^{l+1}/(l+1)} \in \mathcal{S}$ .
- c) If  $l$  and  $m$  are odd,  $L_+(X, D_x, -1)v = 0$  has non-trivial solution  $e^{-x^{l+1}/(l+1)} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $L_-(X, D_x, 1)v = 0$  has non-trivial solution  $e^{-x^{l+1}/(l+1)} \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Consequently we see from (N) and (S) that  $L_+$  is hypoelliptic if and only if “ $l$  is even”, or “ $l$  is odd and  $m$  is even”, and  $L_-$  is hypoelliptic if and only if “ $l$  is even”.

iii)  $L = D_{x_1}^2 + D_{x_2}^6 + (x_1^2 + x_2^6)D_y^6 - 15x_2^4 D_y^5 + 45x_2^2 D_y^4 - 16D_y^3$  in  $R_x^2 \times R_y^1$ .

We set  $\rho_1 = \sigma_1 = 3$ ,  $\rho_2 = \sigma_2 = 1$ ,  $\rho'_1 = 2$ ,  $\sigma'_1 = 0$ . We can see that  $L$  does not satisfy Condition 2. In fact for  $\eta = 1$   $L(X_1, X_2, D_{x_1}, D_{x_2}, 1)v(x_1, x_2) = 0$  is an equation given in Example 2.3 and has non-trivial solution  $v = e^{(-x_1^2 + x_2^2)/2}$ . Therefore applying (N) we can see that  $L$  is not hypoelliptic.

For the proof of the theorem we need several lemmas. We introduce notations:  $|x, \mathfrak{Y}|_{(\sigma, \sigma')} = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^{1/\sigma_j} + \sum_{j=1}^s |y_j|^{1/\sigma'_j}$ ,

$$|\eta|_{\rho'} = \sum_{j=1}^k |\eta_j|^{1/\rho'_j}, \quad \mu(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \eta) = \sum_{j=1}^k |x, \mathfrak{Y}|_{(\sigma, \sigma')}^{(m'_j \rho'_j - m)} |\eta_j|^{m'_j}.$$

First we estimate the monomials of the form  $x^\gamma \mathfrak{Y}^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha'}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\alpha, \alpha', \gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be multi-indices of dimension  $n, k, n, k$ , respectively, such that  $|\alpha: m| + |\alpha': m'| \leq 1$  and  $\gamma'_j = 0$  for  $j \geq s+1$ . We put*

$$(3.6) \quad \theta = (\sigma, \gamma) + (\sigma', \gamma') + m - (\rho, \alpha) - (\rho', \alpha').$$

*If we denote  $\rho'_0 = \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} (m'_j \rho'_j / m)$ , then we have*

(i) *If there exists  $\theta' \geq 0$  such that  $m(|\alpha: m| + |\alpha': m'|) + (\theta + \theta')/\rho'_0 \leq m$ , we have*

$$(3.7) \quad |x, \mathfrak{Y}|_{(\sigma, \sigma')}^{\theta'} |x^\gamma \mathfrak{Y}^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha'}| |\eta|_{\rho'}^{\theta + \theta'} \leq C(|\eta|_{\rho'}^m + \mu(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \eta))^{1 - |\alpha: m|}.$$

(ii) *If  $m(|\alpha: m| + |\alpha': m'|) + \theta/\rho'_0 > m$ , we have*

$$(3.8) \quad |x^\gamma \mathfrak{Y}^{\gamma'} \eta^{\alpha'}| |\eta|_{\rho'}^{(1 - |\alpha: m| - |\alpha': m'|)m\rho'_0} \leq C(|\eta|_{\rho'}^m + \mu(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \eta))^{1 - |\alpha: m|}$$

for  $|x| \leq \delta$ ,  $|\mathfrak{Y}| \leq \delta$  and  $|\eta| \geq 1$ , where  $\delta$  is some positive constant.

We can prove this by the same method as Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 in [4].

**Lemma 3.4.** *Under condition 1 we have for a constant  $C > 0$*

$$(3.9) \quad C^{-1} |L_0(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \xi, \eta)| \leq \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{m_j} + \mu(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \eta) \right\} \leq C |L_0(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \xi, \eta)|.$$

*Proof.* In case  $|x| + |\mathfrak{Y}| \neq 0$ , it is sufficient for the sake of semi-homogeneity to prove when  $|x| + |\mathfrak{Y}| = 1$ , and this is true because of Condition 1. In case  $|x| + |\mathfrak{Y}| = 0$ , (3.9) is clear by letting  $|x| + |\mathfrak{Y}| \rightarrow 0$ .

Define  $\lambda_h(x, \xi)$  with parameter  $h = (\mathfrak{Y}, \eta)$  ( $|\eta| = 1$ ) by  $\lambda_h(x, \xi) = \{1 + |L(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \xi, \eta)|^2\}^{1/2m}$  and set  $p_h(x, \xi) = L(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \xi, \eta)$ . Then we have

**Proposition 3.5.**

- (i)  $\lambda_h(x, \xi)$  satisfies (1.1)–(1.3).
- (ii)  $\{p_h(x, \xi)\}$  is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_{h,1,0}}^m\}$  in the sense that for any  $\alpha, \beta$  there exists a bounded function  $C_{\alpha\beta}(x, \mathfrak{Y})$  which is independent of  $\eta$  ( $|\eta| = 1$ ) and tends to zero as  $|x| + |\mathfrak{Y}| \rightarrow \infty$  when  $\beta \neq 0$ , such that

$$|p_{h(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta}(x, \mathfrak{Y}) \lambda_h(x, \xi)^{m-|\alpha|}.$$

- (iii) There exists a constant  $C$  independent of  $h$  such that

$$(3.10) \quad |p_h(x, \xi)| \geq C \lambda_h(x, \xi)^m \quad \text{for large } |x| + |\mathfrak{Y}| + |\xi|.$$

*Proof.* Set  $\lambda'_h(x, \xi) = \{1 + \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{m_j} + \mu(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \eta)\}^{1/m}$ . Then from Lemma 3.3 (i) and Lemma 3.4 we can prove

$$(3.11) \quad |L(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \xi, \eta)| \geq C \lambda'_h(x, \xi)^m \quad \text{for large } |x| + |\mathfrak{Y}| + |\xi|,$$

which induces

$$(3.12) \quad C^{-1} \lambda'_h(x, \xi) \leq \lambda_h(x, \xi) \leq C \lambda'_h(x, \xi).$$

For each term  $a_{\alpha\alpha'\gamma\gamma'} x^\gamma \mathfrak{Y}^{\gamma'} \xi^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'}$  in  $L$ , we have from Lemma 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} & |\partial_{\sigma}^{\beta_1} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha_1} (a_{\alpha\alpha'\gamma\gamma'} x^\gamma \mathfrak{Y}^{\gamma'} \xi^\alpha \eta^{\alpha'})| \\ & \leq C \text{Min}(1, |x, \mathfrak{Y}|_{(\sigma, \sigma')}^{-(\sigma, \beta_1)}) (1 + \mu(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \eta))^{1-|\alpha:m|} (1 + \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^{m_j})^{|\alpha:m| - |\alpha_1:m|} \\ & \leq C \text{Min}(1, |x, \mathfrak{Y}|_{(\sigma, \sigma')}^{-(\sigma, \beta_1)}) \lambda'_h(x, \xi)^{m-|\alpha_1|} \quad (\alpha_1 \leq \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that  $|\eta| = 1$ . Therefore we have

$$(3.13) \quad |p_{h(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \leq C \text{Min}(1, |x, \mathfrak{Y}|_{(\sigma, \sigma')}^{-(\sigma, \beta)}) \lambda'_h(x, \xi)^{m-|\alpha|}.$$

First we check (i). From (3.12)  $\lambda_h$  satisfies (1.1) for  $a = \text{Min}_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{m_j/m\}$ . By usual

calculus (1.2) follows by (3.13). Since  $p_h$  is a polynomial in  $x$ , we have using Taylor series

$$|p_h(x+z, \xi)| \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} |z^\alpha p_{h(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| / \alpha! \leq C \langle z \rangle^{m\tau_1} \lambda'_h(x, \xi)^m \leq C \langle z \rangle^{m\tau_1} \lambda_h(x, \xi)^m$$

for some  $\tau_1$ . So (1.3) holds for  $\lambda_h$ . Consequently we get (i). (ii) and (iii) follow at once by (3.11)–(3.13).

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let a basic weight function  $\lambda(x, \xi)$  satisfy*

$$(3.14) \quad A_0^{-1}(1+|x|+|\xi|)^{a'} \leq \lambda(x, \xi) \leq A_0(1+|x|^{\tau_0}+|\xi|) \\ (a' > 0, A_0 > 0, \tau_0 > 0)$$

*instead of (1.1). Suppose that  $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, 1, 0}^m$  ( $m > 0$ ) satisfies*

$$|p(x, \xi)| \geq C \lambda(x, \xi)^m \quad \text{for large } |x| + |\xi|.$$

*Then for any  $u \in L^2(R_x^n)$ ,  $Pu = p(X, D_x)u(x) = 0$  implies  $u \in \mathcal{S}(R_x^n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q \in S_{\lambda, 1, 0}^{-m}$  be a parametrix such that  $QP = I - K$ ,  $K \in S_{\lambda, 1, 0}^{-\infty}$  ( $= \bigcap_{-\infty < m < \infty} S_{\lambda, 1, 0}^m$ ). Then we have  $u = Ku$ . For any positive number  $r$  and  $t$ ,  $\langle X \rangle^r \langle D_x \rangle^t K(X, D_x)$  belongs to  $S_{\lambda, 1, 0}^{-\infty}$  and we get  $\langle X \rangle^r \langle D_x \rangle^t u \in L^2$ . Therefore we get  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *If Condition 1 and 2 hold, then for any  $v \in C_0^\infty(R_x^n)$  we have*

$$(3.15) \quad \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \int |p_h(X, D_x)v(x)|^2 dx,$$

*where  $C$  is independent of  $v$  and  $h$  with  $|\eta| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* From (3.10) there exists a parametrix  $\{Q_h\}$  which is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_h, 1, 0}^{-m}\}$  such that

$$(3.16) \quad Q_h P_h = I - K_h,$$

where  $\{K_h\}$  is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_h, 1, 0}^{-m}\}$ ,  $\lim_{|x|+|\mathcal{Y}| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\xi \in R^{2n}, |\eta|=1} |K_h(x, \xi)| = 0$  and for any multi-index  $\alpha, \beta$

$$(3.17) \quad \sup_{x, \xi} |K_{h(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) - K_{h_0(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow h_0.$$

Therefore we have

$$\|v\| \leq \|Q_h P_h v\| + \|K_h v\| \leq C \|P_h v\| + \|K_h v\|.$$

Since  $\{K_h\}$  is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_h, 1, 0}^{-m}\}$  and  $\lim_{|\mathcal{Y}| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(x, \xi) \in R^{2n}, |\eta|=1} |K_h(x, \xi)| = 0$ , we have for a constant  $l_0$  in Theorem 1.8

$$|K_h|_{l_0, l_0}^{(0)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |\mathcal{Y}| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then for a sufficiently large constant  $M > 0$

$$\|K_h v\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v\| \quad \text{for } |\mathfrak{Y}| \geq M,$$

and we get (3.15) for  $|\mathfrak{Y}| \geq M$ .

Now assume that for  $|\mathfrak{Y}| \leq M$  (3.15) does not hold. Then we can choose sequences  $\{h_\nu\}$ ,  $\{v_\nu\}$  such that

$$(3.18) \quad \|v_\nu\| = 1,$$

$$(3.19) \quad \|P_{h_\nu} v_\nu\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \nu \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(3.20) \quad h_\nu = (\mathfrak{Y}^\nu, \eta^\nu), \quad \text{where } |\mathfrak{Y}^\nu| \leq M, \quad |\eta^\nu| = 1.$$

From (3.20) we may assume that

$$(3.21) \quad h_\nu \rightarrow h_0$$

for some  $h_0 = (\mathfrak{Y}^0, \eta^0)$ . Applying  $v_\nu$  to (3.16) we get

$$(3.22) \quad Q_{h_\nu} P_{h_\nu} v_\nu = v_\nu - K_{h_\nu} v_\nu.$$

From (3.19) and (3.21) we have  $Q_{h_\nu} P_{h_\nu} v_\nu \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ , and from the fact that  $\{K_h\}$  is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda,1,0}^{-m}\}$ ,  $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\xi} |K_{h_0}(x, \xi)| = 0$  and (3.17) we get  $K_h$  is uniformly continuous and  $K_{h_0}$  is a compact operator in  $L^2$  (cf. [10], [12]). So writing  $K_{h_\nu} v_\nu = (K_{h_\nu} - K_{h_0})v_\nu + K_{h_0} v_\nu$  we can choose a convergent subsequence  $\{K_{h_{\nu'}} v_{\nu'}\}$  in account of (3.18). Therefore from (3.22) we can choose an element  $v_0 \in L^2$  such that

$$(3.23) \quad v_{\nu'} \rightarrow v_0 \quad \text{in } L^2.$$

Then from (3.19) and (3.21)  $P_{h_0} v_0 = 0$ . When  $\eta_j^0 = 0$  for all  $j$  such that  $m'_j \rho'_j \neq m$ , we have  $v_0 = 0$  since  $p_{h_0}(x, \xi) = \sum a_{\alpha\alpha''00}(\eta^0)^{\alpha''} \xi^\alpha$ . Otherwise (3.12) implies (3.14) and we get  $v_0 = 0$  from Lemma 3.6 and Condition 2. This is the contrary to (3.18) and (3.23). Then Proposition 3.7 is proved.

**Theorem 3.8.** *If Condition 1 and 2 hold, we can get the following formulas for  $|\mathfrak{Y}| < \delta$ ,  $|\eta| \geq 1$  and  $v \in C_0^\infty(\{x; |x| < \delta\})$ , where  $\delta$  is a number which was taken in Lemma 3.3.*

$$(3.24) \quad \sum_{|\alpha: \mathfrak{m}| \leq 1} \int |(\mu(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \eta) + |\eta|_{\rho'}^{\frac{m}{\rho'}})^{1-|\alpha: \mathfrak{m}|} D_x^\alpha v(x)|^2 dx \\ \leq C \int |L(X, \mathfrak{Y}, D_x, \eta)v(x)|^2 dx.$$

For any  $k$ -dimensional multi-index  $\alpha_1, \beta_1$  we have

$$(3.25) \quad \|\partial_{\eta}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{\mathfrak{Y}}^{\beta_1} L(X, \mathfrak{Y}, D_x, \eta)v\|_{L^2} \leq C |\eta|_{\rho}^{-\rho_0|\alpha_1| + \sigma_0|\beta_1|} \|L(X, \mathfrak{Y}, D_x, \eta)v\|_{L^2}$$

where  $\rho_0 = \min_{1 \leq j, l \leq k} (m'_j \rho'_j / m'_l)$ ,  $\sigma_0 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} (\sigma'_j)$ .

Proof. Let  $r(x, \mathfrak{Y})$  be a positive root of the equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j^2}{r^{2\sigma_j}} + \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{y_j^2}{r^{2\sigma'_j}} = 1.$$

Then  $r(x, \mathfrak{Y})$  is a  $C^\infty$ -function in  $R_x^n \times R_{\mathfrak{Y}}^s \setminus \{0, 0\}$  and

$$(3.26) \quad r(x, \mathfrak{Y}) \sim |x, \mathfrak{Y}|_{(\sigma, \sigma')}.$$

Let  $\chi(x, \mathfrak{Y})$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function such that  $\chi=1$  if  $|x|+|\mathfrak{Y}| \geq 1$  and  $\chi=0$  if  $|x|+|\mathfrak{Y}| \leq (1/2)$ . For any multi-index  $\alpha$  ( $|\alpha|: m \leq 1$ ) and  $h=(\mathfrak{Y}, \eta)$  ( $|\eta|=1$ ) we define  $R_{\alpha h}$  by

$$R_{\alpha h}(x, \xi) = \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \chi(x, \mathfrak{Y}) r(x, \mathfrak{Y})^{m'_{j'\rho'_j - m}} |\eta_j|^{m'_{j'} + 1} \right)^{1-|\alpha|: m} \xi^\alpha.$$

Then  $\{R_{\alpha h}\}$  is bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_{h,1,0}}^m\}$ . From (3.16) we can write for any  $v \in C_0^\infty(R_x^n)$

$$R_{\alpha h}(X, D_x) Q_h(X', D_{x'}) p_h(X'', D_{x''}) v = R_{\alpha h}(X, D_x) v - R_{\alpha h}(X, D_x) K_h(X', D_{x'}) v$$

Noting that  $\{R_{\alpha h}(X, D_x) Q_h(X', D_{x'})\}$ ,  $\{R_{\alpha h}(X, D_x) K_h(X', D_{x'})\}$  are bounded in  $\{S_{\lambda_{h,1,0}}^0\}$ , we get from Proposition 3.7

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \chi(x, \mathfrak{Y}) r(x, \mathfrak{Y})^{m'_{j'\rho'_j - m}} |\eta_j|^{m'_{j'} + 1} \right)^{1-|\alpha|: m} D_x^\alpha v \| = \| R_{\alpha h}(X, D_x) v \| \\ & \leq \| R_{\alpha h} Q_h p_h v \| + \| R_{\alpha h} K_h v \| \leq C (\| p_h v \| + \| v \|) \leq C \| p_h v \|. \end{aligned}$$

Considering (3.26) we have for  $|\eta|=1$

$$\sum_{|\alpha|: m \leq 1} \int |(\mu(x, \mathfrak{Y}, \eta) + |\eta|_{\rho}^m)^{1-|\alpha|: m} D_x^\alpha v|^2 dx \leq C \int |L(X, \mathfrak{Y}, D_x, \eta)v|^2 dx.$$

From the semi-homogeneity we get (3.24). Using Lemma 3.3 and (3.24) we can get (3.25) by the same method as Lemma 3.6 in [4].

Proof of (S) in Theorem 3.1. By the same method as [4] we can prove (S) by using Theorem 3.8.

Proof of (N) of Theorem 3.1 (cf. [3]). Let there exist non-trivial solution  $v(x) \in \mathcal{S}$  of  $p_h(X, D_x)v(x) = L(X, D_x, \eta)v(x) = 0$  for some  $h=\eta$  with  $|\eta|=1$ . From Proposition 3.5 we can apply Theorem 2.2 and we get that  $v(x)$  is analytic, and therefore there exists multi-index  $\alpha_0$  such that

$$(3.27) \quad \partial_x^{\alpha_0} v(0) \neq 0.$$

We may assume  $\eta_1 \neq 0$ . We set  $m_0 = \max(m, |\alpha_0|)$  and take even number  $l_1$  and

positive number  $b$  such that  $\{(\rho, \alpha_0) - (\rho'_1 - 1) + b\} / \rho'_1$  is an even number (we denote it by  $l_2$ ) and  $2l_1 \rho'_1 \geq m_0 \cdot \text{Max}(\rho_j, \rho'_j) + 2 + b$ . We define

$$u(x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{iy \cdot t^{\rho'_1} \eta} \frac{v(t^{\rho_1} x_1, \dots, t^{\rho_n} x_n) t^b}{(1 + t^{2\rho_1})^{l_1}} dt.$$

Then  $u \in C^{m_0}$  and  $L(X, D_x, D_y)u = 0$ . But  $u \notin C^\infty$ . In fact operating  $\partial_x^{\alpha_0}$  and substituting  $x=0, y_2=\dots=y_k=0$ , we get

$$\partial_x^{\alpha_0} u(0, y_1, 0, \dots, 0) = \int_0^\infty e^{iy_1 t^{\rho'_1} \eta_1} \frac{\partial_x^{\alpha_0} v(0) t^{(\rho, \alpha_0) + b}}{(1 + t^{2\rho_1})^{l_1}} dt.$$

By changing the variable  $t$  by  $\theta = t^{\rho'_1}$ , we get

$$\partial_x^{\alpha_0} u(0, y_1, 0, \dots, 0) = \frac{\partial_x^{\alpha_0} v(0)}{\rho'_1} \int_0^\infty e^{iy_1 \theta \eta_1} \frac{\theta^{l_2}}{(1 + \theta^2)^{l_1}} d\theta.$$

Noting  $l_2$  is an even number we can write

$$\text{Re} \int_0^\infty e^{iy_1 \theta \eta_1} \frac{\theta^{l_2}}{(1 + \theta^2)^{l_1}} d\theta = P(|y_1|) e^{-|y_1| |\eta_1|}$$

for some polynomial  $P$  of order  $l_1 - 1$ . Therefore we get from (3.27)  $\partial_x^{\alpha_0} u(0, y_1, 0, \dots, 0) \notin C^\infty$ . Consequently (N) holds.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

### References

- [1] R. Beals and C. Fefferman: *Spatially inhomogeneous pseudodifferential operators*, I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **27** (1974), 1–24.
- [2] A.P. Calderón and R. Vaillancourt: *A class of bounded pseudo-differential operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **69** (1972), 1185–1187.
- [3] V.V. Grushin: *On a class of hypoelliptic operators*, Math. USSR Sb. **12** (1970), 458–476.
- [4] ———: *Hypoelliptic differential equations and pseudodifferential operators with operator-valued symbols*, Math. USSR Sb. **17** (1972), 497–514.
- [5] L. Hörmander: *Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations*, Proc. Symposium on Singular Integrals, Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1967), 138–183.
- [6] Y. Kannai: *An unsolvable hypoelliptic differential operator*, Israel J. Math. **9** (1971), 306–315.
- [7] Y. Kato: *On a class of hypoelliptic differential operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **46** (1970), 33–37.
- [8] ———: *Remarks on hypoellipticity of degenerate parabolic differential operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **47** (1971), 380–384.
- [9] H. Kumano-go: *Algebras of pseudo-differential operators*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **17** (1970), 31–50.

- [10] H. Kumano-go: *On the index of hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operators on  $R^n$* , Proc. Japan Acad. **48** (1972), 402–407.
- [11] ———: *Oscillatory integrals of symbols of pseudo-differential operators and the local solvability theorem of Nirenberg and Treves*, Katata Symposium on Partial Differential Equation, pp. 166–191 (1972).
- [12] H. Kumano-go and C. Tsutsumi: *Complex powers of hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operators with applications*, Osaka J. Math. **10** (1973), 147–174.
- [13] H. Kumano-go and K. Taniguchi: *Oscillatory integrals of symbols of pseudo-differential operators on  $R^n$  and operators of Fredholm type*, Proc. Japan Acad. **49** (1973), 397–402.
- [14] T. Matsuzawa: *Sur les équations  $u_{tt} + t^\alpha u_{xx} = f$  ( $\alpha \geq 0$ )*, Nagoya Math. J. **42** (1971), 43–55.
- [15] S. Mizohata: *Solutions nulles et solutions non analytiques*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **1** (1962), 271–302.