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## 1. Introduction.

In this paper we shall study the homotopy type of closed connected oriented topological 4-manifolds $M^{4}$ with fundamental group isomorphic to $\Pi_{1}(Q)$, where $Q$ is a fixed closed oriented aspherical 4-manifold. A standard example of such a manifold is the connected sum $M=Q \# M^{\prime}$, where $M^{\prime}$ is an arbitrary simply-connected closed 4-manifold. In general, we shall always assume that $M$ and $Q$ are provided with CWstructures (up to homotopy) such that $M^{(3)}=M \backslash D^{4}$ and $Q^{(3)}=Q \backslash D^{4}$ (see for example [16], Lemma 2.9). Here the symbol $X^{(q)}$ denotes the $q$-skeleton of a CW-complex $X$ as usual.

There are long outstanding conjectures concerning the topological structure of aspherical 4-manifolds (see for example [5]). One of these states that the Whitehead group of $\Pi_{1}(Q)$ is zero. So we can not assume in our case that homotopy equivalences are automatically simple.

Let $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}\left[\Pi_{1}(Q)\right]$ be the integral group ring of $\Pi_{1}(Q)$ and $\operatorname{Out}\left(\Pi_{1}(Q)\right)$ the outer automorphism group of $\Pi_{1}(Q)$, i.e., automorphisms modulo inner automorphisms.

Let $f: M \rightarrow Q$ be the classifying map of the universal covering. For this we shall prove the following result (see Section 3).

Theorem 1.1. If $f$ is of degree 1, then there is a homotopy equivalence of $M^{(3)}$ with $\left(Q \# M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}$ for some simply-connected closed topological 4-manifold $M^{\prime}$.

As a consequence, $H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ is $\Lambda$-free. In Section 2 we show that the classifying map $f: M \rightarrow Q$ is of degree 1 if and only if the $k$-invariant $k_{M}^{3} \in H^{3}\left(B \Pi_{1} ; \Pi_{2}(M)\right)$

[^0]of $M$ vanishes. Observe that $B \Pi_{1}=Q$.
For degree one maps we have split exact sequences
$$
0 \longrightarrow K_{2}(f ; \Lambda) \longrightarrow H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \xrightarrow{f_{*}} H_{2}(Q ; \Lambda) \longrightarrow 0
$$
and
$$
0 \longrightarrow K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{f_{*}} H_{2}(Q ; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Note that $H_{2}(Q ; \Lambda) \cong 0$ in our case. The splittings preserve the intersection forms. By the result of Freedman (see [6] and [7]) there is a simply-connected closed topological 4-manifold $M^{\prime}$ which realizes the intersection form on $K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z})$.

Using a result of [1] we are going to prove the main theorem of the present paper.
Theorem 1.2. Let $M^{4}$ be a closed connected oriented topological 4-manifold with $\Pi_{1}(M) \cong \Pi_{1}(Q)$, where $Q$ is a fixed closed connected oriented aspherical 4manifold. Assume that $k_{M}^{3}=0$. Then $M^{(3)}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\left(Q \# M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}$. If the $\Lambda$-intersection form $\mu_{M}^{\Lambda}: H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \times H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda$ is extended from the $\mathbb{Z}$ intersection form $\mu_{M}^{\mathbb{Z}}: H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \times H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, then $M$ is homotopy equivalent to $Q \# M^{\prime}$. Moreover, there is an obstruction

$$
\tau(M) \in \operatorname{Wh}\left(\Pi_{1}(Q)\right) / \operatorname{Out}\left(\Pi_{1}(Q)\right)
$$

for $M$ being simple homotopy equivalent to $Q \# M^{\prime}$.
Examples for the case when the Whitehead group vanishes are given by flat Riemannian (resp. hyperbolic) manifolds and manifolds $M$ with $\Pi_{1}(M) \cong \Pi_{1}(Q)$ poly- $\mathbb{Z}$ group, i.e., a group having finite composition series whose factors are all infinite cyclic (see [2], [3], [4] and [5]).

The fact about the torsion invariant $\tau(M)$ follows from standard arguments of simple homotopy theory (see for example [15]).
2. The classifying map $f: M \rightarrow Q$.

We begin by choosing an isomorphism $\Pi_{1}(M) \xrightarrow{\rightrightarrows} \Pi_{1}(Q)$. The homotopy equivalence to be constructed depends on this isomorphism. According to it there is a classifying map $f: M \rightarrow Q$. We observe that $Q$ is homotopy equivalent (not simple homotopy equivalent) to a space $K$ obtained from $M$ by adjoining cells of dimension $q \geq 3$. Then we have $\Pi_{q}(Q, M) \cong \Pi_{q}(K, M) \cong 0$ for $q \leq 2$ and $H_{q}(Q, M ; \mathcal{B}) \cong 0$ for $q \leq 2$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is an arbitrary local coefficient system.

Lemma 2.1. If $k_{M}^{3}=0$, then there is a map $j: Q^{(3)} \rightarrow M$ such that the composition

$$
Q^{(3)} \xrightarrow{j} M \xrightarrow{f} Q
$$

is homotopic to the inclusion $Q^{(3)} \subset Q$.
Proof. Let $D \rightarrow B \Pi_{1}=Q$ be the 2 -stage Postnikov system classified by $k_{M}^{3}$. Then there is a 3-equivalence $\gamma: M \rightarrow D$ such that the composite map

$$
M \xrightarrow{\gamma} D \longrightarrow Q
$$

is homotopic to $f$. There exists a map $j^{\prime}: Q^{(2)} \rightarrow M$ such that $f \circ j^{\prime}: Q^{(2)} \rightarrow$ $Q$ is homotopic to the inclusion. Hence we can consider $s=\gamma \circ j^{\prime}$ as a section in $D \rightarrow Q$ over $Q^{(2)}$. Since $k_{M}^{3}=0$, the map $s$ extends over $Q^{(3)}$ (in fact, over $Q$ since $\Pi_{3}(Q) \cong 0$ ). Because $\gamma$ is a 3-equivalence, this gives us a map $j$ with the desired property (since $\Pi_{q}(Q) \cong 0$ for any $q>1, f \circ j$ is homotopic to the inclusion $Q^{(3)} \subset$ $Q)$.

Lemma 2.2. The map $f: M \rightarrow Q$ is of degree 1 by choosing appropriated orientations of $M$ and $Q$.

Proof. First we note that $\Pi_{1}(Q)$ is necessarily an infinite group (see [5]), hence $H_{4}(Q ; \Lambda) \cong H_{4}(M ; \Lambda) \cong 0$. Of course, $H_{q}(Q ; \Lambda) \cong H_{q}(\widetilde{Q} ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0$ for any $q>0$. Moreover, by Poincaré duality it follows that

$$
H_{3}(M ; \Lambda) \cong H^{1}(M ; \Lambda) \cong H^{1}(Q ; \Lambda) \cong H_{3}(Q ; \Lambda) \cong 0,
$$

hence we have isomorphisms

$$
\Lambda \cong H_{4}\left(M, M \backslash \dot{D}^{4} ; \Lambda\right) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\cong} H_{3}\left(M \backslash \dot{D}^{4} ; \Lambda\right)
$$

and

$$
\Lambda \cong H_{4}\left(Q, Q \backslash \dot{D}^{4} ; \Lambda\right) \underset{\cong}{\cong} H_{3}\left(Q \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{D}^{4} ; \Lambda\right) .
$$

Let $f^{(3)}: M \backslash D^{4} \rightarrow Q \backslash D^{4}$ denote a cellular approximation of $f: M \rightarrow Q$ restricted to the 3 -skeletons. By Lemma 2.1, the composition map $f \circ j$ is homotopic to the inclusion $Q^{(3)} \subset Q$, hence

$$
f_{*}^{(3)}: H_{3}\left(M \backslash \circ^{4} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{3}\left(Q \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{D}^{4} ; \Lambda\right)
$$

is surjective. It follows that

$$
f_{*}^{\Lambda}: H_{4}\left(M, M \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{D}^{4} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{4}\left(Q, Q \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{D}^{4} ; \Lambda\right)
$$

is onto, and hence

$$
\mathbb{Z} \cong H_{4}\left(M, M \backslash \dot{D}^{4} ; \Lambda\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_{4}\left(Q, Q \backslash \dot{D}^{4} ; \Lambda\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}
$$

is onto too, i.e., an isomorphism. But we have an isomorphism

$$
H_{4}\left(M, M \backslash D^{4} ; \Lambda\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \cong H_{4}\left(M, M \backslash \stackrel{\circ}{D}^{4} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

in a natural way. Hence the map $f: M \rightarrow Q$ induces an isomorphism

$$
H_{4}\left(M, M \backslash D^{4} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \underset{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} H_{4}\left(Q, Q \backslash D^{+} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) .
$$

Now the lemma follows from the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
H_{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{4}\left(M, M \backslash D^{4} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
f_{*} \downarrow & \\
H_{4}(Q ; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{4}\left(Q, Q \backslash D^{4} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

The horizontal isomorphisms are given by the local orientations.
If, conversely, $f: M \rightarrow Q$ is of degree 1 , then we have

$$
H^{3}\left(B \Pi_{1} ; \Pi_{2}(M)\right) \cong H^{3}\left(Q ; \Pi_{2}(M)\right) \cong H_{1}\left(Q ; \Pi_{2}(M)\right) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{\Lambda}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \Pi_{2}(M)\right) \cong 0
$$

as $\Pi_{2}(M)$ is stably $\Lambda$-free. In particular, it follows that $k_{M}^{3}=0$.
Summarizing, we have proved the following
Proposition 2.3. Let $M^{4}$ be a closed orientable 4-manifold with fundamental group isomorphic to that of an orientable aspherical 4-manifold $Q$. Then the classifying map $f: M \rightarrow Q$ is of degree 1 if and only if $k_{M}^{3}=0$.

Let us denote

$$
K_{q}(f ; \mathcal{B})=\operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{*}: H_{q}(M ; \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H_{q}(Q ; \mathcal{B})\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is an arbitrary local coefficient system.

It follows that the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow K_{q}(f ; \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow H_{q}(M ; \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow H_{q}(Q ; \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow 0
$$

splits. Moreover, the restrictions of the intersection forms

$$
\mu_{M}^{\Lambda}: H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \times H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda
$$

and

$$
\mu_{M}^{\mathbb{Z}}: H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \times H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

to $K_{2}(f ; \Lambda)$ and $K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z})$, respectively, are non-degenerate (see for instance [16]).
In particular, we obtain the following consequence.
Corollary 2.4. $\left.\quad \mu_{M}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mu_{Q}^{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus \mu_{M}^{\mathbb{Z}}\right|_{K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z})}$
Lemma 2.5. $\quad K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. First we note that $K_{q}(f ; \mathcal{B})$ can be identified with $H_{q+1}(Q, M ; \mathcal{B})$ for any local coefficient system $\mathcal{B}$. Then we consider the universal coefficient spectral sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{p}^{\Lambda}\left(H_{q+1}(Q, M ; \Lambda), \mathbb{Z}\right) \Longrightarrow H_{p+q+1}(Q, M ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

Since $H_{q}(Q ; \Lambda) \cong 0$ for $q \neq 0$ and $H_{q}(M ; \Lambda) \cong 0$ for $q \neq 0,2$, we have

$$
H_{q+1}(Q, M ; \Lambda) \cong\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & q \neq 2 \\
H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) & \text { if } & q=2
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{3}(Q, M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong & \operatorname{Tor}_{0}^{\Lambda}\left(H_{3}(Q, M ; \Lambda), \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
& \cong H_{3}(Q, M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \cong H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
Lemma 2.6. The $\Lambda$-module $H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \cong K_{2}(f ; \Lambda)$ is stably $\Lambda$-free. Moreover, $H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ has a preferred s-base.

Proof. This is the assertion of Lemma 2.3 (c) in [16]. Indeed, we have $K_{q}(f ; \Lambda)$ $\cong 0$ for $q \neq 2$, i.e. $H_{q}(f ; \Lambda) \cong H_{q}(Q, M ; \Lambda) \cong 0$ for $q \neq 3$. Moreover, we have

$$
H^{4}(f ; \mathcal{B}) \cong K^{3}(f ; \mathcal{B}) \cong K_{1}(f ; \mathcal{B}) \cong 0
$$

for any local coefficient system $\mathcal{B}$. Therefore the hypothesis of Lemma 2.3 (c) in [16] are verified. This completes the proof.

Remark. The $s$-base of the $\Lambda$-module $K_{2}(f ; \Lambda) \cong H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ is determined by the CW-structure considered in $M$.

Since

$$
H_{q}(Q, M ; \Lambda) \cong\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & q \neq 3 \\
H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) & \text { if } & q=3
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ is stably $\Lambda$-free, we obtain $H_{3}(Q, M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \cong H_{3}(Q, M ; \mathbb{Z})$ as proved in Lemma 2.5. In other words,

$$
K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \cong \Pi_{2}(M) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}
$$

is $\mathbb{Z}$-free, of rank $r$ say, i.e., the restriction $\left.\mu_{M}^{\mathbb{Z}}\right|_{K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z})}$ is an unimodular symmetric non-degenerate form. By the fundamental result of Freedman (see [6] and [7]) there is a simply-connected closed topological 4-manifold $M^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\mu_{M^{\prime}}^{\mathbb{Z}}=\left.\mu_{M}^{\mathbb{Z}}\right|_{K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z})} .
$$

Lemma 2.7. There exists a map

$$
\psi:\left(Q \# M^{\prime}\right) \backslash D^{4} \rightarrow M
$$

which induces isomorphisms on $\Pi_{1}$ and on $H_{2}(\cdot ; \mathbb{Z})$.
Proof. First we observe that $\left(Q \# M^{\prime}\right) \backslash D^{4}$ is homotopy equivalent to the wedge $\left(Q \backslash D^{4}\right) \vee\left(M^{\prime} \backslash D^{4}\right)$. Now $M^{\prime} \backslash D^{4}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\vee_{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}$ and by the above isomorphism

$$
K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \Pi_{2}(M) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}
$$

we can represent a basis of $H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ by a map $\varphi: \vee_{r} \mathbb{S}^{2} \rightarrow M$.
Let us define

$$
\psi=j \vee \varphi:\left(Q \# M^{\prime}\right) \backslash D^{4} \rightarrow M
$$

Obviously, the induced homomorphism

$$
\psi_{*}: \Pi_{1}\left(\left(Q \# M^{\prime}\right) \backslash D^{4}\right) \rightarrow \Pi_{1}(M)
$$

is bijective.

Let us consider the following diagram
where $c:\left(Q \backslash D^{4}\right) \vee\left(\vee_{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}\right) \rightarrow Q \backslash D^{4}$ is the projection map. By construction we have $\operatorname{Ker} c_{*} \cong \operatorname{Ker} f_{*}$, hence $\operatorname{Ker}\left(j_{*} \vee \varphi_{*}\right) \cong 0$. Moreover,

$$
\varphi_{*}: H_{2}\left(M^{\prime} \backslash D^{4} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z}),
$$

hence $\varphi_{*}$ is surjective. This completes the proof of the lemma.
Let us denote $M_{1}=Q \# M^{\prime}$. In Section 3 we will show that the map $\psi$ is a homotopy equivalence from $M_{1}^{(3)}$ to $M^{(3)}$. So it induces an isomorphism

$$
\psi_{*}: \Pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right) \cong H_{2}\left(M_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow \Pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \cong H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)
$$

We can complete the proof of the first statement in Theorem 1.2 under this hypothesis by using a method described in [1]. By construction,

$$
\psi_{*}: H_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong H_{2}\left(M_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(M^{(3)} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

is an isomorphism of the $\mathbb{Z}$-intersection forms. Obviously, the $\Lambda$-intersection form

$$
\mu_{M_{1}}^{\Lambda}: H_{2}\left(M_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \times H_{2}\left(M_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow \Lambda
$$

is extended from $\mu_{M_{1}}^{\mathbb{Z}}: H_{2}\left(M_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \times H_{2}\left(M_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. By hypothesis, this holds also in $M$. Therefore, $\psi_{*}: H_{2}\left(M_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ is an isomorphism of the $\Lambda$-intersection forms. We can now apply the following result proved in [1] only for free fundamental groups (further information on closed 4-manifolds with free fundamental group or with infinite cyclic first homology can be found in [9], [11-13], [14] and [17]). Let $X$ and $Y$ be closed connected oriented 4-dimensional Poincaré spaces (in particular, 4manifolds) with $\Pi_{1}(X) \cong \Pi_{1}(Y) \cong *_{p} \mathbb{Z}$ (free product of $p$ factors $\mathbb{Z}, p \geq 1$ ). Then $X$ is homotopy equivalent to $Y$ if and only if the intersection pairings $\left(H_{2}(X ; \Lambda), \mu_{X}^{\Lambda}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}(Y ; \Lambda), \mu_{Y}^{\Lambda}\right)$ are isomorphic, where $\Lambda$ denotes here the group ring of $*_{p} \mathbb{Z}$. But one can verify that the proof of this result is based on the following facts: $\Pi_{1}$ is a finitely presentable torsion free infinite group, $\Pi_{2}$ is $\Lambda$-free (and whence on the use of the special Künneth formula), and the first $k$-invariant vanishes. These are all verified in our case. Observe that the fundamental group of an aspherical manifold is in fact torsion free since a $K\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}, 1\right)$ can not be finite dimensional when $n>1$ (see for example
[5]). Thus the result on the homotopy type holds also for fundamental groups $\Pi_{1}(Q)$ since $B \Pi_{1}=Q$ and, in particular, $H_{q}\left(B \Pi_{1}\right) \cong 0$ for any $q \geq 5$. Moreover, $\Pi_{1}(Q)$ is a finitely presentable $\mathrm{PD}_{4}$-group of type FF , i.e., the augmentation $\Lambda$-module $\mathbb{Z}$ has a finite resolution consisting of finitely generated free $\Lambda$-modules, where $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}\left[\Pi_{1}(Q)\right]$ (see [10], Theorem 5). Thus the proof of the first statement in Theorem 1.2 has been completed.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

We assume in this section that $k_{M}^{3}=0$. Let $j: Q^{(3)} \rightarrow M$ be as in Section 2. In that section we have chosen a basis in $K_{2}(f ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$ which defines a map

$$
\varphi: \vee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2} \rightarrow M
$$

Let $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right)$ be this basis, and let $\left(e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{r}^{*}\right)$ be its dual in $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}\right)$. We can represent each element $e_{i}^{*}$ by a map

$$
e_{i}^{*}: M \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)=\mathbb{C} P^{\infty},
$$

so we obtain a map

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{r} e_{i}^{*}: M \rightarrow \prod_{1}^{r} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}
$$

which induces a map on the 2 -skeleton

$$
g: M^{(2)} \rightarrow\left(\prod_{1}^{r} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)^{(2)}=\vee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}
$$

By construction, the composite map

$$
\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}=\vee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2} \xrightarrow{\varphi} M^{(2)} \xrightarrow{g} \vee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}=\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}
$$

is homotopic to the identity.
Remark. It is not difficult to show that $g$ extends to a degree 1 map from $M$ to $M^{\prime}$.

Let us consider the map

$$
h=\left.(f \times g)\right|_{M^{(2)}}: M^{(2)} \rightarrow\left(Q \times M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}=Q^{(2)} \vee\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)} .
$$

Lemma 3.1. The map $h$ extends to a map $M^{(3)} \rightarrow M_{1}^{(3)}$, again denoted by $h$. Moreover, the induced homomorphism $h_{*}: \Pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \Pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)$ is bijective (here $M_{1}=Q \# M^{\prime}$ as usual).

Proof. The obstruction for extending $h$ over $M^{(3)}$ belongs to

$$
H^{3}\left(M ; \Pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)\right) \cong H_{1}\left(M ; \Pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)\right) \cong 0
$$

since $\Pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right) \cong \Pi_{2}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$ is $\Lambda$-free.
The composition

$$
M_{1}^{(3)} \xrightarrow{j \vee \varphi} M^{(3)} \xrightarrow{h} M_{1}^{(3)}
$$

induces an isomorphism on $\Pi_{2}$, hence the homomorphism

$$
h_{*}: \Pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \Pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)
$$

is surjective. Because $\Pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)$ is $\Lambda$-free, we have

$$
\Pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \cong \Pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Ker} h_{*} .
$$

Observe that $\operatorname{Ker} h_{*}$ is stably $\Lambda$-free (since the $\Lambda$-module $\Pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \cong K_{2}(f ; \Lambda)$ is stably $\Lambda$-free). But tensoring with $\otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$ gives isomorphisms

$$
\Pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \cong \oplus_{1}^{r} \mathbb{Z} \cong \Pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}
$$

hence $\operatorname{Ker} h_{*} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \cong 0$. By Kaplansky's lemma (see for example [8] and [10]) we get $\operatorname{Ker} h_{*} \cong 0$.

Corollary 3.2. The map $\psi=j \vee \varphi: M_{1}^{(3)} \rightarrow M^{(3)}$ is a homotopy equivalence.
Proof. It suffices to show that the induced homomorphism

$$
\psi_{*}: \Pi_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \Pi_{3}\left(M^{(3)}\right)
$$

is bijective. For this, it is convenient to recall the Whitehead certain exact sequence [18] for a CW-complex $X$ :

$$
H_{4}(X ; \Lambda) \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(\Pi_{2}(X)\right) \longrightarrow \Pi_{3}(X) \longrightarrow H_{3}(X ; \Lambda) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Here $\Gamma(A)$ is the quadratic functor on the category of abelian groups, and $\Pi_{3}(X) \rightarrow H_{3}(X ; \Lambda)$ is the Hurewicz homomorphism. This sequence is natural, hence the map $\psi$ induces a diagram


It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\Gamma\left(\psi_{*}\right)$ is an isomorphism. The claim is proved once we have $\psi_{*}: H_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) \underset{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} H_{3}\left(M^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right)$. If we denote by

$$
c: M_{1}^{(3)} \simeq Q^{(3)} \vee\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)} \rightarrow Q^{(3)}
$$

the projection map, then we can immediately see that $f \circ \psi \simeq c$. Observe that $c$ is the restriction of the collapsing map $c: M_{1} \rightarrow Q$. Now the result follows from the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda \cong H_{4}\left(M, M^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) & \cong & H_{3}\left(M^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) \\
f_{*} \downarrow \cong & \left.\cong\right|_{*} \\
\Lambda \cong H_{4}\left(Q, Q^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) & & \cong \\
c_{*} \uparrow \cong & H_{3}\left(Q^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) \\
\Lambda \cong H_{4}\left(M_{1}, M_{1}^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) & & \cong \gamma_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right)
\end{array}
$$

## 4. The torsion invariant.

Let us fix the manifolds $M^{4}$ and $Q^{4}$ with $\Pi_{1}(M) \cong \Pi_{1}(Q)$, where $Q$ is aspherical and $H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ is $\Lambda$-flat. Recall that $M$ and $Q$ are provided with CW-structures such that $M^{(3)}=M \backslash D^{4}$ and $Q^{(3)}=Q \backslash D^{4}$. Let $f: M \rightarrow Q$ be a classifying map.

We have proved in Section 2 that $H_{3}(f ; \Lambda)$ is $\Lambda$-free. Moreover, $H_{q}(f ; \Lambda) \cong 0$ for any $q \neq 3$. Under these conditions one can define the torsion

$$
\tau(f) \in \mathrm{Wh}\left(\Pi_{1}(Q)\right)
$$

of the map $f$. Namely, it is the torsion of the cellular complex of the pair $\left((M \times I) \cup_{f} Q, M \times 0\right)$, where $(M \times I) \cup_{f} Q$ denotes the mapping cylinder of $f$, that is the quotient space

$$
(M \times I) \cup_{f} Q=\frac{(M \times I) \cup Q}{\{(x, 1) \equiv f(x)\}}
$$

$I=[0,1]$. The torsion $\tau(f)$ is defined upon the choice of a $\Lambda$-basis of

$$
H_{3}(f ; \Lambda) \cong H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)
$$

Hence we shall denote it by $\tau_{e}(f)$, where $e=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{r}\right)$ indicates a $\Lambda$-basis of $H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ (see [15]).

Let us consider the particular case of the collapsing map $g: Q \# M^{\prime} \rightarrow Q$, where $M^{\prime}$ is simply-connected. Then we have the following (non surprising) result.

Lemma 4.1. The torsion $\tau_{e}(g)$ vanishes for any $\Lambda$-basis $e$ of $H_{3}(g ; \Lambda)$.

Proof. Let us consider the following diagram of inclusions:

where $g_{1}=\left.g\right|_{Q \backslash D^{4}}$. Note that the torsions of each pair are defined because

$$
H_{2}\left(X_{2}, X_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \cong \Lambda \quad \text { and } \quad H_{2}\left(X_{3}, X_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \cong H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) .
$$

Moreover, $\tau_{e}\left(X, X_{3}\right)=\tau_{e}(g)$ by definition and formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(X, X_{2}\right)+\tau\left(X_{2}, X_{1}\right)=\tau_{e}(g)+\tau\left(X_{3}, X_{1}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Since $g_{1}: Q \backslash D^{\circ} \rightarrow Q$ is the inclusion, it follows that $\tau\left(X_{2}, X_{1}\right)=0$ for any choice of a generator in $H_{2}\left(X_{2}, X_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \cong \Lambda$. Because $X \backslash X_{2}=\left(M^{\prime} \backslash D^{4}\right) \times[0,1[$ and $X_{3} \backslash X_{1} \simeq M^{\prime}$ are simply-connected, Lemma 7.3 of [15] implies that $\tau\left(X, X_{2}\right)$ and $\tau\left(X_{3}, X_{1}\right)$ are both zero for any basis of $H_{2}\left(X, X_{2} ; \Lambda\right)$ and $H_{2}\left(X_{3}, X_{1} ; \Lambda\right)$, respectively. Note that the other condition in Lemma 7.3 of [15], concerning the universal covering space, is also satisfied. The result $\tau_{e}(g)=0$ then follows from formula ( $*$ ).

Let now $\psi: Q \# M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ be the homotopy equivalence constructed above. In particular, the composition map

$$
Q \# M^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\psi} M \xrightarrow{f} Q
$$

is homotopic to $g$. Hence, by applying the standard formulae:

$$
0=\tau_{e}(g)=\tau(\psi)+\tau_{e}(f),
$$

we obtain $\tau(\psi)=-\tau_{e}(f)$ for any basis $e$ of $H_{3}(f ; \Lambda) \cong H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$. Any other classifying map $f^{\prime}: M \rightarrow Q$ can be written as $\alpha \circ f \circ \beta$, up to homotopy, where $\alpha: Q \rightarrow Q$ and $\beta: M \rightarrow M$ are homotopy equivalences. Let $\psi^{\prime}: Q \# M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ be the resulting homotopy equivalence. Moreover, we can assume that

$$
\beta_{*}=\mathrm{id}: \Pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \Pi_{1}(M)
$$

because the effect on $\Pi_{1}$ can be transmitted to $\alpha$. Now we observe that the effect of $\beta$ on $\tau\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ is restricted to $\beta_{*}: H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\geqq} H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$, i.e., to a change of basis in
$H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$. But this has no influence on $\tau(\psi)$. On the other hand, any $\alpha$ changes $\tau(\psi)$. In fact, we have

$$
\tau\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)=\tau(\psi)+\tau(\alpha)
$$

Now we note that the group of homotopy classes of (orientation-preserving) homotopy self-equivalences of $Q$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Out}\left(\Pi_{1}(Q)\right)$. Hence we can define

$$
\tau(M)=[\tau(\psi)] \in \operatorname{Wh}\left(\Pi_{1}(Q)\right) / \operatorname{Out}\left(\Pi_{1}(Q)\right)
$$

The following completes our main result.
Theorem 4.2. The map $\psi: Q \# M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ is a simple homotopy equivalence if and only if $\tau(M)=0$.
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