



Title	Murakami Haruki and the Making of Japanese Cool Literature
Author(s)	Mokhtar, Moin
Citation	Exploring Global Collaborative Learning. 2026, p. 54-64
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/106361
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

Murakami Haruki and the Making of Japanese Cool Literature

MOKHTAR, Moin

Abstract: This paper examines Murakami Haruki's fiction through the theoretical framework of "literary cool," drawing upon Pountain and Robins' concept of cool as emotional detachment, affective restraint, and quiet rebellion. It argues that Murakami develops a distinctive cool aesthetic that blends Japanese cultural sensibilities with a cosmopolitan openness, creating a narrative world that is both locally grounded and globally legible. Through analysis of his narrative voice, character detachment, recurring motifs of ambiguity, and hybridized cultural references, the study shows how Murakami constructs a form of cool that resonates across diverse readerships. Additionally, the paper demonstrates how this aesthetic contributes to his enduring international popularity by offering readers a mode of emotional navigation suited to contemporary experiences of uncertainty, mobility, and identity negotiation.

Introduction

For much of the twentieth century, the global imagination of Japanese literature—often categorized domestically as *junbungaku* or "pure literature"—was inextricably bound to an exotic image of Japan". Literary giants like Kawabata Yasunari and Tanizaki Junichiro has also reinforced a cultural brand defined by hyper-traditional symbols: the stoic samurai, the delicate geisha, and the melancholic beauty of the cherry blossom. This "high" literature operated within strictures that prioritized a uniquely Japanese sensibility, often positioning the subject as culturally impenetrable to the outside world (Suter, 2008). However, the late 1970s marked a seismic disruption in this artistic lineage with the arrival of Murakami Haruki, a writer who conspicuously rejected the "wet", emotional density of the Japanese "I-novel" in favor of a "cool", detached "cosmopolitanism".

Murakami's emergence was not merely a stylistic evolution but a direct response to a profound socio-political shift: the collapse of the *Zenkyōtō* (All-Campus Joint Struggle Committee) student movement in the late 1960s. As the radical political idealism of the 1960s dissolved into the apolitical, advanced consumer capitalism of the 1970s and 80s, the Japanese subject "turned inward," replacing collective action with individual isolation. Into this void stepped Murakami's *boku* (detached first-person narrator), an isolated urban protagonist who navigated a world not of traditional duty, but of American jazz, Western literature, and existential ennui. This was a literature of the "cool"—emotionally guarded, stylishly weary, and stripped of the heavy historical baggage that defined his predecessors.

This paper argues that Murakami Haruki's work established a distinct literary category that can be termed "Cool literature." This becomes important because most scholarship on "cool" in Japan has focused on the state-sponsored cultural export project known as Cool Japan, which frames cool as a soft-power commodity. By contrast, Critics like Kawamoto Saburō (2002) and Jay Rubin (2005) point out elements of "cool" in Murakami's work, but they do not explore the idea in detail. There is thus a gap in the literature: while Murakami's work is often described as

“cool,” few studies have systematically analyzed what constitutes this coolness as a literary category.

To address this gap, this study applies the framework proposed by Dick Pountain and David Robins in *Cool Rules: Anatomy of an Attitude* (2000), which defines cool as a historically contingent attitude rooted in emotional detachment, ironic self-presentation, and resistance to authority. By situating Murakami’s boku within this genealogy of cool, the paper demonstrates how his fiction articulates a specifically Japanese yet globally resonant form of literary cool—one that emerges from the ruins of political radicalism and reconfigures identity through style, irony, and cosmopolitan hybridity.

The Concept of Cool

Over the past 20–30 years, cool has become one of the most frequently used terms in youth vernacular, particularly within popular culture (Pountain & Robins, 2000). Dictionaries broadly define it as calmness, restraint, or stylishness: Merriam-Webster emphasizes “steady dispassionate calmness and self-control” (Merriam-Webster, n.d.), while the Cambridge Dictionary highlights “slightly cold,” “calm and not worried,” and “stylish or fashionable” (Cambridge Dictionary, n.d.). In Japanese, クール (kūru) similarly denotes “涼しい” (cool in temperature), “冷静な” (calm), and colloquially “かっこいい” (stylish, attractive) (Kotobank, n.d.).

Yet Pountain & Robins (2000) argue that these surface meanings obscure the essence of cool. They define cool as an oppositional attitude adopted by individuals or small groups to express defiance toward authority—whether parental, institutional, or societal. In their words, cool is “a permanent state of private rebellion.” Historically, cool emerged in African American jazz culture of the 1940s, characterized by emotional restraint, aesthetic detachment, and subtle resistance to authority. Joel Dinerstein (2017) situates cool within the postwar cultural zeitgeist, describing it as the aestheticization of detachment—a quiet rebellion marked by authenticity, consistency, and resistance to commodification.

Today, cool operates at the intersection of psychology, aesthetics, and social behavior. It functions both as a personal strategy of self-expression and as a linguistic marker of youth identity, reflecting generational values of individuality, resistance, and style.

Application to Murakami Haruki

This theoretical foundation provides the basis for analyzing Murakami Haruki’s fiction. By applying Pountain & Robins’ framework alongside Dinerstein’s refinement, this study demonstrates how Murakami’s works embody a distinctly literary form of cool—one that emerges from Japan’s post-1960s cultural shifts and resonates globally through style, irony, and hybridity.

Murakami Haruki and the Metroethnic Cool

Murakami Haruki has long faced criticism from the Japanese literary establishment (Bundan) for being “un-Japanese” (Suter, 2008). This charge stems from his distinctive literary style, saturated with references to Western popular culture: his

characters listen to jazz and rock music (often The Beatles or American musicians), drink whiskey, wear Levi's jeans, and read Western authors such as F. Scott Fitzgerald and Raymond Carver. Because of this cosmopolitan orientation, critics frequently dismissed his work as mere "imitation," employing the pejorative term *bata-kusai* (literally, "reeking of butter") to describe writing perceived as excessively Westernized (Ichikawa, 2010). This suspicion of Murakami's literary authenticity persisted despite his considerable success in Japan, where he won numerous prestigious awards, including the Gunzō Prize (1979), the Noma Prize (1982), the Tanizaki Prize (1985), and the Yomiuri Prize (1995). Notably, however, he was not awarded the Akutagawa Prize—widely regarded as the marker of a "serious" writer—underscoring the establishment's ambivalence toward his hybrid, globally oriented style.

The treatment of Murakami is fundamentally a reaction rooted in the discourse of *Nihonjinron* ("Theories of the Japanese"). *Nihonjinron* is a popular, quasi-academic discourse that has long promoted the idea of Japanese cultural and ethnic uniqueness (Sugimoto, 1999). Scholars critique this discourse because it functions as an "imaginary construct" by relying on the N=E=C equation (Nationality = Ethnicity = Culture), which assumes that the Japanese nation, its ethnic makeup, and its culture are inherently synonymous and singular (Sugimoto, 1999). This creates the myth of a *tanitsu minzoku* (single nation, single ethnicity). Much of the discourse has historically been reactionary and West-conscious, defining Japaneseness only in opposition to "the West as the Other" (Aoki, 1999). By promoting a narrow, homogeneous, and conformist ideal of identity, this ideology effectively excludes those who do not comply or those who embrace global/Western elements, branding them as "un-Japanese" (Sugimoto, 1999). The very existence of a phenomenon like Haruki Murakami—a global literary icon who is undeniably Japanese yet whose work challenges the *Nihonjinron*-defined cultural boundary—raises question on the fictional nature of this singular "Japaneseness".

Murakami's work subverts this established narrative by refusing to depict the exotic, traditional Japan preferred by both external Orientalist expectations and internal *Nihonjinron*-driven national pride (Rebecca Suter, 2008). His central technique is not a denial of Japanese culture, but rather a realistic depiction of modern Japanese life as a hybrid reality. His Japan is a product of the post-war era, profoundly shaped by Americanization and global consumerism (Strecher, 2002). His characters listen to jazz not because they are rejecting Japan, but because jazz, rock, and Western literature are integral parts of the cultural environment for post-war, postmodern Japanese society. Rather than focusing on a parochial, isolated identity, Murakami presents a version of Japan that is a gateway to a cosmopolitan self, where individuals hold "multiple allegiances" and meaningful attachments that lie beyond national borders (Wakatsuki, 2018). By normalizing the presence of Western elements—showing them as mundane, everyday objects of consumption rather than foreign imports—Murakami reverses the exotic image and forces the reader (both Japanese and international) to confront the modern, Western-influenced self that actually exists in Japan. In doing so, Murakami creates a cosmopolitan cool that destabilizes essentialist notions of identity.

Murakami Haruki's literary practice can be read as a paradigmatic enactment of metroethnic cool, a concept developed by John C. Maher to describe the hybridized "street ethnicity" of contemporary Japan (Maher, 2005). Metroethnicity rejects heroic ethnicity and the logocentric duty to preserve ethnic language or culture, instead treating ethnicity as a flexible, aesthetic resource to be deployed selectively and playfully. Murakami's fiction embodies this ethos by dismantling the Nihonjinron equation of nationality, ethnicity, and culture. Similarly, Murakami's characters navigate cosmopolitan landscapes marked by cultural hybridity and disaffiliation, embracing "lightly worn" identities that prioritize personal agency.

In this way Murakami represents a paradigm shift in Japanese literature, breaking away from the exoticized framework of his predecessors. Writers like Jun'ichirō Tanizaki, Yasunari Kawabata, and Yukio Mishima were often celebrated internationally for their portrayals of quintessentially "Japanese" themes—geisha, samurai, and the mysteries surrounding Japan's traditions and psyche (Suter, 2008). These works, while impactful, positioned Japanese literature as something exotic and inaccessible to a broader, global audience. Murakami disrupts this model by presenting narratives that are simultaneously rooted in Japan and yet universal in their appeal. His novels eschew the overtly "Japanese" tropes that once defined the nation's literary export. Instead of immersing his readers in the insular aestheticism of Nihonjinron ("theory of Japaneseness"), Murakami embraces cultural fluidity. His characters navigate worlds that are neither distinctly Japanese nor exclusively Western, allowing readers from diverse cultural backgrounds to engage with his narratives on their own terms.

Murakami's cosmopolitan approach has broken down cultural walls by making Japanese literature accessible to a global audience. His plain, straightforward prose—a stark contrast to the ornate style of earlier Japanese authors—allows his works to be effectively translated into multiple languages without losing their essence (Wakatsuki, 2018). This accessibility, coupled with universal themes of love, loss, identity, and existential search, cements Murakami's place as a global literary figure. Murakami's global success also highlights the evolving nature of Japanese identity. This shift reflects broader societal changes, where young Japanese increasingly embrace multiculturalism and cosmopolitanism in their everyday lives (Wakatsuki, 2018). Murakami's literature mirrors and amplifies this transition, offering a vision of Japan that is both deeply rooted and globally engaged. Ultimately, his works exemplify a literary cool that is cosmopolitan, hybrid, and resistant to essentialist notions of identity.

History and Romantic Irony

Murakami Haruki's engagement with Japanese history has been a persistent point of contention among critics. Katō Norihiro, while sympathetic to Murakami's literary project, observes that his accessible prose and surreal humor resonate strongly with younger readers but risk estranging his fiction from the aesthetic sensibilities of the established literary world by weakening its historical grounding (Katō 2020). In a similar vein, Kuroko Kazuo critiques Murakami's treatment of the 1960s student movement and the Zenkyōtō era, arguing that his early novels tend to seal these

events within a self-contained narrative of “youth.” By presenting the protests as a closed generational episode, Murakami detaches them from their broader social and political legacies, thereby reducing their historical continuity (Kuroko 2007). Building on these critiques, Karatani Kōjin frames Murakami’s abstraction of history as emblematic of a shift from modernist engagement to postmodern estrangement. For Karatani, this abstraction is not merely stylistic but reflects a deeper cultural logic: Murakami’s narratives embody Romantic irony, simultaneously acknowledging historical trauma while distancing themselves from its ideological weight (Karatani, 2012).

Romantic irony plays a pivotal role in the genealogy of Cool. Pountain and Robins (2000) describe it as a profound skepticism that questions the validity of everything, a mode of detachment that destabilizes sincerity and transforms irony into a protective persona. They emphasize that this ironic stance functions both as aggression and defence, allowing meaning to be acknowledged while simultaneously undermined. Murakami’s treatment of Japanese history resonates strongly with this framework, as his narratives consistently balance recognition of historical trauma with a refusal to grant it ideological solemnity.

A striking example appears in *A Wild Sheep Chase*, where Murakami alludes to Yukio Mishima’s suicide but refuses to give it the expected weight:

We walked through the woods to the ICU campus, sat down in the student lounge, and munched on hot dogs. It was two in the afternoon, and Yukio Mishima's picture kept flashing on the lounge TV. The volume control was broken so we could hardly make out what was being said, but it didn't matter to us one way or the other. A student got up on a chair and tried fooling with the volume, but eventually he gave up and wandered off. "I want you," I said. "Okay," she said. So we thrust our hands back into our coat pockets and slowly walked back to the apartment (Murakami, 1989, p.9-10).

Mishima’s death, a sensational and ideologically charged event, appears here as flickering images on a broken television while the narrator and his companion casually eat hot dogs and turn to intimacy. The gravity of national trauma is acknowledged but immediately muted, transformed into background noise. This juxtaposition dramatizes Romantic irony: history is present yet estranged, serious yet trivialized, destabilized by its placement alongside everyday banality. In reframing Mishima’s act as spectacle rather than solemnity, Murakami resists ideological weight and subtly challenges state-sponsored narratives. It is precisely this detachment—this refusal to anchor his fiction in heavy political or cultural baggage—that defines Murakami’s cool, sustaining a tension between acknowledgment and denial that gives his work its distinctive cultural logic.

Boku (I) the Cool Narrator

Detachment, both stylistic and thematic, is central to Haruki Murakami’s construction of “cool.” At the heart of this detachment lies *boku*, a narrator whose casual, unpretentious voice shapes the tone of much of Murakami’s fiction. As a first person pronoun, *boku* functions as a bridge between characters and readers, embodying a calm, democratic perspective that resists the weight of social

hierarchies.

Jay Rubin (2002) observes that Murakami's choice of *boku* marks a significant departure from the conventions of Japanese "I novels," which traditionally employ the more formal *watakushi* or *watashi*. Unlike these hierarchical pronouns, *boku* is casual and intimate, closer to the neutral English "I." In his early career, Murakami expressed discomfort with adopting the role of an all-knowing, god-like narrator who assigns names and directs characters from a detached third-person perspective. Instead, he instinctively chose the first-person voice of *boku*, a stance that avoids any suggestion of narrative authority. Through *boku*, unusual events are conveyed in a tone that feels conversational and familiar—almost as if a friend were recounting personal experiences—while still maintaining a subtle distance from the story itself. Commenting on this aspect of the narrator, Ming Chu Lai (2015) remarks that what first drew her to Murakami's writing was the solitary yet sincere quality of his narrators, sentiments that seemed to emerge directly from the heart and carried the intimacy of "letters from a close friend". This approachable, intimate use of *boku* became a defining feature of Murakami's narrative style (Rubin, 2005).

The paradox of *boku* lies in its balance of intimacy and detachment. Murakami's narrators are ordinary in their interests—baseball, jazz, beer—yet extraordinary in their perceptiveness. Their passivity and lack of overt ambition make them ideal listeners, figures who absorb the stories of others while remaining fundamentally unattached. This measured distance shifts the focus from plot to perception, where the intrigue lies less in events than in the narrator's reflections.

This usage becomes especially explicit in *Hard Boiled Wonderland* and *the End of the World* (1985), where Murakami dramatizes the split between two narrative selves through pronoun choice. In the *Hard Boiled Wonderland* chapters, the protagonist speaks as *watashi*, a formal and bureaucratic "I" that reflects his role as a Calcutec operating within a rigid system of control. By contrast, the *End of the World* chapters are narrated by *boku*, the shadow of the same protagonist, whose voice is intimate, neutral, and stripped of the social hierarchies that bind his other self. For Japanese readers, this linguistic distinction immediately signals the tension between rational and authentic selfhood—a nuance flattened in English translation, where both narrators appear simply as "I." By juxtaposing *watashi* and *boku*, Murakami makes visible the very detachment that defines his cool: a refusal to anchor identity in a single authoritative voice, instead sustaining a dynamic tension between bureaucratic formality and personal intimacy.

Magical Realism

Magical realism is a genre where fantastical elements intrude upon realistic settings, seamlessly integrated into everyday life and accepted as ordinary (Strecher, 1999). Unlike pure fantasy, it remains grounded in reality, using the interplay of the mundane and the extraordinary to illuminate deeper truths.

Kato(2020) likens Murakami Haruki's surreal techniques to Picasso's distortions and Kafka's allegories, arguing that they expose the dissonances of contemporary life. For Kato, Murakami's magical realism highlights alienation and unreality,

though he critiques it for obscuring deeper historical and political engagement.

From the perspective of cool, however, this detachment is precisely Murakami's aim—especially in early works like *A Wild Sheep Chase*. His magical realism diverges from its Latin American roots: rather than resisting colonialism or foregrounding cultural traditions, Murakami uses it to interrogate individuality within systems that suppress personal identity (Strecher, 1999).

In *A Wild Sheep Chase* (1982) the sheep becomes a symbol of collective identity and societal control, critiquing the pressures of conformity in contemporary Japan. Murakami's use of this symbol is deliberately indirect, reflecting his preference for subtle rebellion over overt confrontation. By avoiding explicit political references, he ensures that his works resonate across diverse contexts: the sheep may represent conformity in Japan, but its implications extend to any society where individuality is threatened.

By avoiding direct references to specific political or cultural struggles, Murakami ensures that his works resonate with readers across diverse contexts. The sheep in *A Wild Sheep Chase*, for instance, may symbolize societal control in Japan, but its implications extend to any context where individuality is threatened by collective conformity. Murakami's magical realism is deeply intertwined with the concept of cool. The surreal elements in his works do not overwhelm the narrative but are integrated in a way that mirrors the detached yet engaged stance of cool. These elements allow Murakami's characters—and his readers—to confront life's absurdities without succumbing to despair.

The Beat Generation and Murakami

Pountain and Robins identify authors of the Beat Generation such as Jack Kerouac, Allen Ginsberg, and William S. Burroughs as central literary embodiments of “cool” (Pountain & Robins, 2000). For the Beats, coolness was inseparable from rebellion. Their lives and works were steeped in resistance to conformity, expressed through drugs, alcohol, and sexual freedom. These practices were not merely indulgences but deliberate strategies to distance themselves from the chaos of modern society (Gair, 2008). In Kerouac's *On the Road*, the bohemian lifestyle is depicted through scenes of intoxication and restless wandering, while Burroughs's *Junky* foregrounds narcotics as a recurring motif. Ginsberg's *Howl* goes further, opening with the famous lament of “the best minds of my generation destroyed by madness...looking for an angry fix,” a confessional account of instability and the turn to drugs, jazz, alcohol, and sex as alternatives to the oppressive structures of academia and the workplace (Ginsberg, 1956). For the Beats, self-destruction and excess became paradoxical routes to liberation, a way of confronting existential disorder head-on and transcending the ordinary (Gair, 2008).

Murakami Haruki's version of “cool,” however, operates through an entirely different set of strategies. His protagonists also seek detachment from external pressures, but they achieve it through meticulous self-management and immersion in everyday routines. Instead of turning to intoxication or excess, they find solace in ordinary acts—cooking, cleaning, ironing—that are rendered with ritualistic precision. These seemingly mundane tasks become stabilizing practices, offering

order amid unpredictability and cultivating a quiet resilience(Shukla, 2024).

In *A Wild Sheep Chase*, for instance, the protagonist responds to personal loss not by escaping into drugs or hedonism but by adhering to a steady rhythm of daily life. His routines—waking early, preparing meals, maintaining the household—are depicted as a form of meditative acts that anchors him against emotional turbulence (Murakami, 1982).

The contrast between the Beats and Murakami lies in method rather than purpose. Both aim to distance themselves from societal constraints and existential confusion. Yet while the Beats embrace destruction and excess—drugs, alcohol, sexual transgression—as a path to transcendence, Murakami’s characters cultivate stability and inner peace through attentiveness to the ordinary. The Beats’ coolness is outwardly rebellious, marked by confrontation, risk, and self-destructive intensity, whereas Murakami’s coolness is inwardly focused, marked by restraint, ritual, and quiet endurance.

Moreover, Murakami’s cool carries a subtle critique of Japanese gender norms. In a society where domestic tasks have traditionally been coded as feminine, his male protagonists embrace cooking, cleaning, and ironing not as household duties but as acts of self-sufficiency and self-care. This reconfiguration of domestic labor becomes a quiet rejection of conventional masculinity (Nihei, 2013). By reframing these tasks as sources of dignity and autonomy, Murakami’s characters enact a form of resistance that is understated yet profound. Their coolness lies not in flamboyant rebellion or intoxicated excess but in the discovery of individuality and purpose through the beauty of everyday life.

Conclusion

This study argued that Murakami Haruki’s fiction embodies a distinctive form of literary cool, grounded in emotional detachment, ironic distance, and a refusal to anchor identity in any fixed cultural or ideological framework. Drawing on Pountain and Robins’ definition of cool as a sustained stance of private rebellion, this paper has shown that Murakami’s protagonists, narrative voice, and cosmopolitan sensibility articulate an aesthetic that responds to the cultural disillusionment of modern Japan while resonating with global readers navigating their own uncertainties.

A central reason for Murakami’s enduring popularity, as Wakatsuki (2018) notes, is the continued relevance of the themes that underpin his fiction—identity, dislocation, and belonging—in an era marked by increased mobility and the blurring of national boundaries. Murakami himself observes that readership in various regions expanded during moments of social upheaval, suggesting that audiences turn to his fiction when established systems of meaning falter. His protagonists—calm, introspective, and persistently detached—mirror the emotional landscapes of readers confronting similar conditions. In this way, Murakami’s cool offers not merely a stylistic effect but an effective strategy for contemporary life.

His global appeal is strengthened by what critics identify as a de-Orientalized narrative world. As Zielinska-Elliott argues, Murakami’s fiction does not ask readers to decode a culturally “exotic” Japan; instead, it presents a hybrid modernity

of jazz bars, small apartments, Western literature, and quiet routines. Yet this does not mean the work lacks Japanese sensibility. As Lai (2016) notes, Murakami's style embodies a unique cultural synthesis—a “Japanese spirit in Western clothing”—allowing everyday Japanese experiences to circulate globally in an accessible form.

For this reason, Murakami Haruki has become both a national and transnational figure. His literature avoids essentialist markers of Japaneseness, yet it has become one of the principal windows through which millions of readers encounter contemporary Japan. In doing so, his global reception operates as a form of cultural soft power, shaping international perceptions of Japan through literature rather than state-driven cultural diplomacy. Through this combination of cultural specificity, cosmopolitan clarity, and emotional restraint, Murakami's fiction sustains its broad appeal. His cool—composed, resistant to ideological weight, and attuned to global cultural flows—remains central to why his work continues to resonate in Japan and far beyond it.

References

- Aoki, T. (1999). *Nihonbunka-ron no henyō: Sengo Nihon no bunka to identity* [The transformation of discourse on Japanese culture: Culture and identity of post-war Japan]. Tokyo: Chuokoronshinsha.
- Cambridge Dictionary. (n.d.). Cool. In Cambridge English Dictionary. Retrieved December 4, 2025, from <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/cool>
- Caponi, G. D. (Ed.). (1999). *Slam-dunking*. University of Massachusetts Press.
- Cihan University–Erbil Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences. (2023). Ernest Hemingway's iceberg narrative method in *The Old Man and the Sea*.
- Connor, M. K. (1995). *What is cool? Understanding Black manhood in America*. Crown Publishers.
- Dinerstein, J. (2008). “Emergent noir”: Film noir and the Great Depression in *High Sierra* (1941) and *This Gun for Hire* (1942). *Journal of American Studies*, 42(3), 415–448.
- Dinerstein, J. (2017). *The origins of cool in postwar America*. University of Chicago Press.
- Erbe, G. (2009). Der moderne Dandy: Zur Herkunft einer dekadenten Figur. In A. Tacke & B. Weyand (Eds.), *Depressive Dandys: Spielformen der Dekadenz in der Pop-Moderne* (pp. 17–38). Böhlau Verlag.
- Gair, C. (2008). *The Beat Generation: A beginner's guide*. Oneworld Publications.
- Gerstle, C. A. (2000). *18th century Japan: Culture and society*. Curzon.
- Giannoulis, E. (2013). Iki: A Japanese concept of coolness? In U. Haselstein, I. Hijiya-Kirschner, C. Gersdorf, & E. Giannoulis (Eds.), *The cultural career of coolness* (pp. 215–236). Lexington Books.
- Gitlin, T. (1987). *The sixties: Years of hope, days of rage*. Bantam.
- Gray, J. (2020). *John Lennon and Yoko Ono: Year of peace*. James Lorimer & Company.
- Groemer, G., & Nishiyama, M. (Eds.). (1997). *Edo culture: Daily life and diversions in urban Japan, 1600–1868*. University of Hawai'i Press.

- Haselstein, U. (2013). The cultural career of coolness. In U. Haselstein, I. Hijiya-Kirschnereit, C. Gersdorf, & E. Giannoulis (Eds.), *The cultural career of coolness* (pp. 61–80). Lexington Books.
- Hasegawa, Y. (2010). What's Japanese cool? In H. Katō (Ed.), *Trans-cool Tokyo: Contemporary Japanese art from MOT collection* (pp. 49–56). Museum of Contemporary Art.
- Heise, J. (Ed.). (1990). *Die kühle Seele: Selbstinterpretationen der japanischen Kultur*. Fischer Taschenbuch Verlag.
- Hijiya-Kirschnereit, I. (2013). Is Japan cool? In U. Haselstein, I. Hijiya-Kirschnereit, C. Gersdorf, & E. Giannoulis (Eds.), *The cultural career of coolness* (pp. 155–181). Lexington Books.
- Illouz, E. (2007). *Cold intimacies: The making of emotional capitalism*. Polity Press.
- Karatani, K. (1999). Edo exegesis and the present. In M. F. Marra (Ed.), *Modern Japanese aesthetics: A reader* (pp. 270–299). University of Hawai'i Press.
- Karatani, K. (2012). *History and repetition*. Columbia University Press.
- Kelts, R. (2007). *Japanamerica: How Japanese pop culture has invaded the U.S.* Palgrave
- Kotobank. (n.d.). クール [Cool]. In Kotobank: The Dictionary. Retrieved December 4, 2025, from <https://kotobank.jp/word/%E3%81%8F%E3%83%BC%E3%82%8B-1528945#w-1942305>
- Kuroko, K. (1989). *Murakami Haruki: Za rosuto warudo*. Rikkō Shuppan.
- Lai, M. C. (2015). Translating Cunshang Chunshu: Murakami Haruki in Chinese. *Japanese Language and Literature*, 49(1), 143–161.
- Lai, M. C. (2016). How is Murakami Haruki being read in Taiwan? 60th International Conference of Japanese Studies.
- Lethen, H. (2002). *Cool conduct: The culture of distance in Weimar Germany* (D. Jenckes, Trans.). University of California Press.
- Maher, J. C. (2005). Metroethnicity, language, and the principle of cool. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language*, 175–176, 83–102.
- Merriam-Webster. (n.d.). Cool. In Merriam-Webster.com dictionary. Retrieved December 4, 2025, from <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/cool>
- Murakami, H. (1979). *Kaze no uta o kike*. Kodansha.
- Murakami, H. (1982). *Hitsuji o meguru bōken*. Kodansha.
- Murakami, H. (1987). *Noruwei no mori*. Kodansha.
- Murakami, H. (1988). *Dance Dance Dance*. Kodansha.
- Murakami, H. (1992). *Kokkyō no minami, taiyō no nishi*. Kodansha.
- Murakami, H. (1994–1995). *Nejimaki-dori kuronikuru*. Shinchosha.
- Murakami, H. (1999). *Supūtoniku no koibito*. Bungeishunjū.
- Murakami, H. (2002). *Umibe no Kafuka*. Shinchosha.
- Murakami, H. (2004). *After Dark*. Kodansha.
- Murakami, H. (2009–2010). *1Q84*. Shinchosha.
- Murakami, H. (2013). *Shikisai o motanai Tazaki Tsukuru to kare no junrei no toshi*. Bungeishunjū.
- Murakami, H. (2017). *Kishidanchō-goroshi*. Shinchosha.

- Murakami, H. (2023). *Machi to sono fukashina kabe*. Shinchosha.
- Nara, H. (Ed.). (2004). *The structure of detachment: The aesthetic vision of Kuki Shūzō*. University of Hawai'i Press.
- Nihei, C. (2013). Resistance and negotiation: "Herbivorous men" and Murakami Haruki's gender and political ambiguity. *Asian Studies Review*, 37(1), 62–79.
- Nitobe, I. (1905). *Bushido: The soul of Japan*. G. P. Putnam's Sons.
- Odin, S. (2001). *Artistic detachment in Japan and the West: Psychic distance in comparative aesthetics*. University of Hawai'i Press.
- Ōe, K., & Ishiguro, K. (1993). The novelist in today's world: A conversation. In M. Miyoshi & H. D. Harootunian (Eds.), *Japan in the world* (pp. 163–176). Duke University Press.
- Pountain, D., & Robins, D. (2000). *Cool rules: Anatomy of an attitude*. Reaktion Books.
- Rubin, J. (2005). *Haruki Murakami and the music of words*. Harvill Press.
- Strecher, M. C. (1999). Magical realism and the search for identity in the fiction of Murakami Haruki. *Journal of Japanese Studies*, 25(2), 263–298.
- Strecher, M. C. (2002). *Dances with sheep: The quest for identity in the fiction of Murakami Haruki*. University of Michigan, Center for Japanese Studies.
- Strecher, M. C. (2011). At the critical stage: A report on the state of Murakami Haruki studies. *Literature Compass*, 8(11), 856–869.
- Susman, W. (1973). *Culture as history*. Pantheon.
- Thomson, D. (1996). *Rosebud: The story of Orson Welles*. Vintage.
- Tsurumi, K. (1970). Some comments on the Japanese student movement in the sixties. *Journal of Contemporary History*, 5(1), 104–112.
- Wakatsuki, T. (2018). Haruki Murakami as a cosmopolitan phenomenon: From "ordinary" to "celebrity." *Celebrity Studies*, 9(1), 84–98.
- Zielinska-Elliott, A. (2015). Murakami international: The translation of a literary phenomenon. *Japanese Language and Literature*, 49(1), 93–107.