



Title	On finite groups with given conjugate types. II
Author(s)	Itô, Noboru
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1970, 7(1), p. 231-251
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/10804">https://doi.org/10.18910/10804</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## ON FINITE GROUPS WITH GIVEN CONJUGATE TYPES II\*

Dedicated to Professor Keizo Asano on his sixtieth birthday

NOBORU ITO\*\*

(Received December 11, 1969)

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a finite group. Let  $\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$  be the set of integers each of which is the index of the centralizer of some element of  $\mathfrak{G}$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . We may assume that  $n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_r = 1$ . Then the vector  $(n_1, \dots, n_r)$  is called the conjugate type vector of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . A group with the conjugate type vector  $(n_1, \dots, n_r)$  is said to be a group of type  $(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ .

In an earlier paper [5] we have proved that any group of type  $(n_1, 1)$  is nilpotent. In the present paper we want to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem.** *Any group of type  $(n_1, n_2, 1)$  is solvable.\*\*\**

At few critical points the proof requires heavy group-theoretical apparatus.

NOTATION AND DEFINITION. Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a finite group.  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .  $Z_2(\mathfrak{G})$  is the second center of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .  $D(\mathfrak{G})$  is the commutator subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .  $\Phi(\mathfrak{G})$  is the Frattini subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Let  $p$  be a prime.  $O_p(\mathfrak{G})$  is the largest normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .  $F(\mathfrak{G})$  is the Fitting subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  ( $F(\mathfrak{G}) = \prod_p O_p(\mathfrak{G})$ ).

Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a finite set.  $|\mathfrak{X}|$  is the number of elements in  $\mathfrak{X}$ .  $|\mathfrak{X}|_p$  is the highest power of  $p$  dividing  $|\mathfrak{X}|$ .  $\pi(\mathfrak{G})$  is the set of prime divisors of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$ . If  $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$  and is non-empty, then  $C(\mathfrak{X})$  is the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{X}$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . If  $\mathfrak{X} = \{X\}$ ,  $C(\mathfrak{X}) = C(X)$ .  $N(\mathfrak{X})$  is the normalizer of  $\mathfrak{X}$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . If  $G^{-1}\mathfrak{Y}G \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$  ( $G \in \mathfrak{G}$ ) implies that  $G^{-1}\mathfrak{Y}G = \mathfrak{Y}$ , we say that  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is weakly closed in  $\mathfrak{X}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{G}$ .  $\mathfrak{G}$  is called a Frobenius group, if  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a product of a normal subgroup  $\mathfrak{N}$  and a subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that no elements ( $\neq E$ ) of  $\mathfrak{N}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  commute one another. Let  $\Sigma$  be a group of automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . If every element  $\sigma \neq 1$  of  $\Sigma$  leaves no element ( $\neq E$ ) of  $\mathfrak{G}$  fixed,  $\Sigma$  is called regular. If all the Sylow subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  are cyclic, then  $\mathfrak{G}$  is called a Z-group.  $PGL(2, q)$  and  $PSL(2, q)$  denote the projective general and special linear groups of degree 2 over the field of  $q$ -elements.

\* This is a continuation of [5].

\*\* This research is partially supported by N.S.F. Grant GP 9584.

\*\*\* A part of the theorem, namely in the form of Proposition 2.2 was known at the time of [5].

A proper subgroup  $\mathfrak{F}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is called fundamental, if there exists an element  $X$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} = C(X)$ . A fundamental subgroup  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called free, if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not contained in and does not contain any other fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .  $\mathfrak{G}$  is called of type  $F$ , if all the fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  are free.

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a group of type  $(n_1, n_2, 1)$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  are fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \cong \mathfrak{F}_2$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  are called fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  of type 1 and of type 2 respectively.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a group of type  $(n_1, n_2, 1)$  which is a counter-example of the least order against the theorem. Then  $\mathfrak{G}$  is non-solvable.

**Proposition 1.1.** (Burnside).  $|\pi(\mathfrak{G})| \geq 3$ .

Proof. ([3], p. 492)).

**Proposition 1.2.**  $Z(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \Phi(\mathfrak{G})$ .

Proof. Otherwise, there exists a proper subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\mathfrak{G} = Z(\mathfrak{G})\mathfrak{H}$ . Let  $X$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Since  $C(X) \cong Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , we have that  $\mathfrak{G} : C(X) = \mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{H} \cap C(X)$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a group of type  $(n_1, n_2, 1)$ . By the choice of  $\mathfrak{G}$   $\mathfrak{H}$  is solvable. Then  $\mathfrak{G}$  is solvable against the assumption.

**Proposition 1.3.** For every prime divisor  $p$  of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  there exists a  $p$ -element  $X$  such that  $C(X) \neq \mathfrak{G}$ .

Proof. Otherwise, a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is contained in  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . By a theorem of Zassenhaus ([3], p. 126) there exists a Sylow  $p$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{P} \not\subseteq \Phi(\mathfrak{G})$ . This contradicts Proposition 1.2.

**Proposition 1.4.** (Cf. [5], Proposition 1.1). Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a free fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is either (i) abelian, or (ii) a non-abelian  $p$ -subgroup for some prime  $p$ , or (iii) a direct product of a non-abelian  $p$ -subgroup and the Sylow  $p$ -complement  $\mathfrak{C}_p \neq \mathfrak{C}$  of  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ .

## 2. Case where $\mathfrak{G}$ is of type $F$

In this section we assume that  $\mathfrak{G}$  is of type  $F$

**Proposition 2.1.**  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains no fundamental subgroup of prime power order.

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a fundamental  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Let  $q (\neq p)$  be a prime divisor of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  (Cf. Proposition 1.1) and let  $X (\neq E)$  be an element of the center of a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{Q}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Then  $C(X)$  contains  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Since  $Z(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ ,

$Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is a  $p$ -group. Now let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| \neq |\mathfrak{F}|$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  contains a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for every  $q (\neq p)$ . By Propositions 1.1 and 1.4  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is abelian. Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{F}_1 \mathfrak{P}$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{G}$  is solvable (For instance, [4]). This is a contradiction.

**Proposition 2.2.**  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains a fundamental subgroup which is of the form (iii) in Proposition 1.4.

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. Then by Propositions 1.4 and 2.1 all the fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  are abelian. The intersection of any two distinct fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is equal to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  admits an abelian normal partition whose components are factor groups of fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  by  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Then by a theorem of Suzuki ([6], Theorems 2 and 3)  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  has the following structures: If  $C(XZ(\mathfrak{G}))$  is nilpotent for every involution  $XZ(\mathfrak{G})$  of  $G/Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , then  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is isomorphic to  $PSL(2, q)$ . If  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  contains an involution  $XZ(\mathfrak{G})$  with non-nilpotent  $C(XZ(\mathfrak{G}))$ , then  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is isomorphic to  $PGL(2, q)$ .

First assume that  $q$  is even. Then  $PSL(2, q) (=PGL(2, q))$  contains an involution whose centralizer is a 2-group. Hence  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains a 2-element  $X$  such that  $C(X)/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is a 2-group. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}| \neq |C(X)|$ . By Proposition 1.3  $|\mathfrak{F}/Z(\mathfrak{G})|$  must be divisible by every odd prime divisor of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$ . But  $PSL(2, q)$  contains no elements of order  $ab$ , where  $a (\neq 1)$  and  $b (\neq 1)$  are divisors of  $q+1$  and  $q-1$  respectively. This is a contradiction. So  $q=p^m$  is odd.  $PSL(2, q)$  and  $PGL(2, q)$  contain  $p$ -elements whose centralizers are  $p$ -groups. Hence  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains a  $p$ -element  $X$  such that  $C(X)/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is a  $p$ -group. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}| \neq |C(X)|$ . By Proposition 1.3  $|\mathfrak{F}/Z(\mathfrak{G})|$  must be divisible by every prime divisor of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  other than  $p$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  be odd prime divisors of  $q+1$  and  $q-1$  respectively. Then  $PSL(2, q)$  and  $PGL(2, q)$  contains no element of order  $ab$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $q+1$  or  $q-1$  is a power of 2. But then  $PSL(2, q)$  and  $PGL(2, q)$  contain 2-elements whose centralizers are 2-groups. Hence  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains a 2-element  $Y$  such that  $C(Y)/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is a 2-group. Since  $|C(Y)| = |\mathfrak{F}|$ , this is a contradiction.

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_0 = \mathfrak{P}_0 \times \mathfrak{C}_p$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which is of the form (iii) in Proposition 1.4; namely,  $\mathfrak{P}_0$  is the non-abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_p \neq \mathfrak{G}$  is the Sylow  $p$ -complement of  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . So in this section  $p$  is fixed henceforth.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| \neq |\mathfrak{F}_0|$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is abelian.

*Proof.* By Propositions 2.1 and 1.3, otherwise,  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is a direct product of

a non-abelian  $q$ -subgroup and the Sylow  $q$ -complement  $\mathfrak{C}_q \neq \mathfrak{C}$  of  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . By Propositions 1.1 and 1.3 there exist a prime divisor  $r$  of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  distinct from  $p$  and  $q$  and an  $r$ -element  $X$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $C(X) \neq \mathfrak{G}$ . Then we obtain that  $|C(X)|_r > |\mathfrak{G}_0|_r$  and  $|C(X)|_r > |\mathfrak{F}_1|_r$ . This is a contradiction.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| \neq |\mathfrak{G}_0|$ . Let  $q$  be a prime divisor of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  distinct from  $p$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  be the Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}$  a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  containing  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$ . If  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is abelian, then  $\mathfrak{Q} = \mathfrak{Q}_1$ . If  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is non-abelian, then  $\mathfrak{Q} : \mathfrak{Q}_1 = q$ . Hence  $q^2$  does not divide  $|N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1|$  and  $\mathfrak{G} : N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  is a power of  $p$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is abelian, then by Proposition 1.3  $\mathfrak{Q} = \mathfrak{Q}_1$ . So let us assume that  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is non-abelian. Then by Proposition 2.3  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 \leq \mathfrak{Q}$ , and hence  $Z(\mathfrak{Q}) = \mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Let  $X$  be an element of  $Z_2(\mathfrak{Q})$  such that  $X \notin Z(\mathfrak{Q})$  and  $X^q \in Z(\mathfrak{Q})$ . Then  $C(X) \supseteq D(\mathfrak{Q})$ . Take any element  $Y$  of  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Then  $Y^{-1}XY = XZ$  with  $Z \in Z(\mathfrak{Q})$ . Therefore  $C(X) = C(XZ) = C(Y^{-1}XY) = Y^{-1}C(X)Y$  and  $Y^q$  belongs to  $C(X)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Q}_2$  be the Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $C(X)$ . Then  $N(C(X))$  contains  $\mathfrak{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_2$  is an elementary abelian  $q$ -group.

By Propositions 1.1 and 1.3 there exist a prime divisor  $r$  of  $|C(X)|$  and the Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{R}_2$  of  $C(X)$  such that  $\mathfrak{R}_2 \cong Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{R}_2$ . We show that  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_2$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{R}_2/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{R}_2$ . In fact, let us assume that there exist  $W \in \mathfrak{Q}$  and  $V \in \mathfrak{R}_2$  such that  $W \notin \mathfrak{Q}_2$ ,  $V \notin Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{R}_2$  and  $W^{-1}VW = VU$  with  $U \in Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{R}_2$ . Then  $[V, W]^q = [V, W^q] = E = U^q$ , which implies that  $U = E$ . Therefore  $W$  belongs to  $C(V) = C(X)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_2$  is cyclic ([3], p. 499), and  $\mathfrak{Q} : \mathfrak{Q}_2 = q$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{Q} : \mathfrak{Q}_1 = q$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Finally we show that  $\mathfrak{Q} \subseteq N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ . Assume the contrary. Then there exist an element  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{Q}$  and a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  such that  $\mathfrak{R}_1 \neq A^{-1}\mathfrak{R}_1 A$ . Then an abelian group  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(\mathfrak{Q}_1)$  contains  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  and  $A^{-1}\mathfrak{R}_1 A$  as its Sylow  $r$ -subgroups. Hence  $\mathfrak{R}_1 = A^{-1}\mathfrak{R}_1 A$ , which is a contradiction.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| \neq |\mathfrak{G}_0|$ . Then  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$  is not a  $p$ -group and has a square-free order.*

*Proof.* In order to prove that  $|N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1|$  is square-free, it suffices to show that  $p^2$  does not divide  $|N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1|$  (Proposition 2.4). Let  $\mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}_3$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  be Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  such that  $\mathfrak{P} \supseteq \mathfrak{P}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{P}_3 \supseteq \mathfrak{S}_p$ .

If  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$  is a  $p$ -group, then by Proposition 2.4 we have that  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is abelian (Proposition 2.3),  $\mathfrak{G}$  is solvable (For instance, [4]). This is a contradiction.

Now assume that  $\mathfrak{P}_1 : \mathfrak{P}_3 \geq p^2$ . If  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cong \mathfrak{S}_p$ , then  $C(\mathfrak{P}_1) = \mathfrak{F}_1$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  is non-abelian. Then  $Z(\mathfrak{P}_1) = Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{P}_1$ , and  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  contains an element  $X$  such that  $X \in Z_2(\mathfrak{P}_1)$ ,  $X \notin Z(\mathfrak{P}_1)$  and  $X^p \in Z(\mathfrak{P}_1)$ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.4 we

obtain that  $\mathfrak{P}_1/\mathfrak{P}_1$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group. By Propositions 1.1 and 1.3 there exist a prime divisor  $q$  of  $|\mathfrak{F}_1|$  distinct from  $p$  and the Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  such that  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 \neq Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1$ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.4 we can show that  $\mathfrak{P}_1/\mathfrak{P}_1$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{Q}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{P}_1/\mathfrak{P}_1$  is cyclic and  $\mathfrak{P}_1:\mathfrak{P}_1=p$ , which is against the assumption. So we can assume that  $\mathfrak{P}_1=\mathfrak{S}_p$ . As above  $\mathfrak{P}_1/\mathfrak{P}_1$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{Q}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1$ . Hence if  $p$  is odd, then  $\mathfrak{P}_1/\mathfrak{P}_1$  is cyclic. So  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$  is a  $Z$ -group. We already know that there exists a prime divisor  $r$  of  $|N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1|$  distinct from  $p$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a Hall  $\{p, r\}$ -subgroup of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$ . By Propositions 1.1 and 1.3 there exist a prime divisor  $q$  of  $|\mathfrak{F}_1|$  distinct from  $p$  and  $r$  and a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  such that  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 \neq Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{F}_1$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{Q}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1$ . So  $\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{F}_1$  contains an element  $Y\mathfrak{F}_1$  of order  $pr$  ([3], p. 499). Then  $|C(Y)|_p > |\mathfrak{F}_1|_p$  and  $|C(Y)|_r > |\mathfrak{F}_0|_r$ . This is a contradiction. If  $p=2$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_1/\mathfrak{P}_1$  is cyclic, we get a contradiction as above. Thus we may assume that  $\mathfrak{P}_1/\mathfrak{P}_1$  is a (generalized) quaternion group. Let  $I\mathfrak{F}_1$  be an involution of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then for every element  $K$  of  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  we get that  $IKI \equiv K^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})}$ . Let  $W\mathfrak{F}_1$  be an element of order  $r$  of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then we obtain that  $IW^{-1}KW I \equiv W^{-1}K^{-1}W \equiv W^{-1}IKIW \pmod{\mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})}$ . Put  $\mathfrak{Y}/\mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap Z(\mathfrak{G}) = C(\mathfrak{Q}_1/\mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap Z(\mathfrak{G}))$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Y}:\mathfrak{F}_1$  equals a power of  $q$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is normal in  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ . Since  $|WI\mathfrak{Y}|=2r$ ,  $|C(WI)/Z(\mathfrak{G})| \equiv 0 \pmod{2r}$ . Then  $|C(WI)|_2 > |\mathfrak{F}_1|_2$  and  $|C(WI)|_r > |\mathfrak{F}_0|_r$ . This is a contradiction.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| \neq |\mathfrak{F}_0|$ . Let  $q$  be a prime divisor of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  distinct from  $p$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  be the Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}$  a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  containing  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$ . If  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  is not weakly closed in  $\mathfrak{Q}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{G}$ , then  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G}^2)$  is an elementary abelian  $q$ -group of order  $q^2$ .*

*Proof.* This is obvious by Proposition 2.4.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| \neq |\mathfrak{F}_0|$ . Let  $q$  be a prime divisor of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  distinct from  $p$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Q}$ ,  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_q$  be Sylow  $q$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  such that  $\mathfrak{Q} \supseteq \mathfrak{Q}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{Q}_q$ . Now if  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains a normal subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  of index  $q$ , then  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $q^2$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $|\mathfrak{F}_0|_q = |\mathfrak{Q}_q|$ ,  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{Q}_q$  by Proposition 1.3. Let  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_0| = |\mathfrak{F}_1|$ . By Proposition 1.2  $\mathfrak{H}$  contains  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{H}$  contains  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ . So if for every pair of fundamental subgroups  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| = |\mathfrak{F}_1| \neq |\mathfrak{F}_0|$  we have that  $\mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{F}_1 = \mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{F}_1$ , then  $\mathfrak{H}$  is of type  $(n'_1, n'_2, 1)$ . By the minimality of  $\mathfrak{G}$   $\mathfrak{H}$  is solvable, and hence  $\mathfrak{G}$  is solvable against the assumption. So there exists a pair of funda-

mental subgroups  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| = |\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1| \neq |\mathfrak{F}_0|$  and  $\mathfrak{H} \supseteq \mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 : \mathfrak{H} \cap \hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1 = q$ . This implies, in particular, that  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is non-abelian. Let  $\hat{\mathfrak{Q}}_1$  be the Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}_1$ . We may assume that  $\hat{\mathfrak{Q}}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 \neq \hat{\mathfrak{Q}}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap \hat{\mathfrak{Q}}_1 \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{Q}) = \mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $q^2$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| \neq |\mathfrak{F}_0|$ . Then  $|N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1|$  cannot be divisible by three distinct prime numbers  $q, r$  and  $s$  which are distinct from 2 and  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $q > r > s$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a Hall  $\{r, s\}$ -subgroup of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$  (cf. Proposition 2.5). Let  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 \neq \mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  (cf. Proposition 1.3). Now  $\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{F}_1$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{Q}_1/\mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  (cf. Proof of Proposition 2.4). So  $\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{F}_1$  is cyclic ([3], p. 499). The same argument holds for any Hall  $\{r, t\}$ - or  $\{s, t\}$ -subgroup of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$ , where  $t$  is a prime divisor of  $|N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1|$  distinct from  $r$  and  $s$ . Thus  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$  is cyclic. Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  be Sylow  $s$ -subgroups of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  respectively. Then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a Sylow  $s$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . If  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  is weakly closed in  $\mathfrak{S}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{G}$ , then since  $s$  is odd and  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  is abelian,  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains a normal subgroup of index  $s$  ([2], p. 212). So by Propositions 2.6 and 2.7 we have that  $\mathfrak{S}_1 : Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{S}_1 = s$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Q}$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_1$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{S}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{S}_1$ . Since  $q > s$ , this is a contradiction.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| \neq |\mathfrak{F}_0|$ . If  $|N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1|$  is even, then  $|N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1|$  cannot be divisible by two distinct prime numbers  $q, r$  which are distinct from 2 and  $p$ .*

*Proof.* This is obvious by the proof of Proposition 2.8.

**REMARK.** By Propositions 2.5, 2.8 and 2.9 we have that  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1) : \mathfrak{F}_1 = q$  or  $pq$  or  $qr$  or  $pqr$ , where  $q \neq r$  and  $q \neq p \neq r$ .

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| \neq |\mathfrak{F}_0|$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  be Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  respectively, such that  $\mathfrak{P} \supseteq \mathfrak{P}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{S}_p$ . Then we have that  $\mathfrak{P}_1 = \mathfrak{S}_p$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \neq \mathfrak{S}_p$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{P}$  is not abelian (Proposition 2.2),  $C(\mathfrak{P}_1) = \mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1) = N(\mathfrak{P}_1) \supseteq Z_2(\mathfrak{P})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the largest normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  contained in  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{P}N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  (Proposition 2.4),  $\mathfrak{R}$  contains  $Z_2(\mathfrak{P})$ . Let  $X$  be an element of  $Z_2(\mathfrak{P})$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . If  $X$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(X)$  contains  $D(\mathfrak{P})$ , and  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1) = N(\mathfrak{P}_1)$  contains  $\mathfrak{P}$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $X$  does not belong to  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . So  $XZ(\mathfrak{G})$  induces a regular automorphism on  $\mathfrak{Q}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1$ .

Hence  $\mathfrak{R}$  contains  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathfrak{F}_1$  is a power of  $p$ , and  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  is the Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of the Fitting subgroup of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Since  $N(\mathfrak{Q}_1) = N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ , this is a contradiction.

**Proposition 2.11.**  $p$  is odd.

**Proof.** Assume that  $p=2$ . Let  $IZ(\mathfrak{G})$  be an involution of  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  and put  $C(IZ(\mathfrak{G})) = \frac{\mathfrak{K}}{Z(\mathfrak{G})}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{K}:C(I)$  is a power of 2. Since  $|C(I)| = |\mathfrak{F}_0|$  (Proposition 2.10),  $C(I)/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is a 2-group. So  $\frac{\mathfrak{K}}{Z(\mathfrak{G})}$  is a 2-group. Therefore by a theorem of Suzuki ([9], Theorem 2)  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  possesses one of the following properties: (a)  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  contains a normal Sylow 2-subgroup. (b)  $\mathfrak{P}Z(\mathfrak{G})/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is cyclic or (generalized) quaternion and if  $X^{-1}\mathfrak{P}Z(\mathfrak{G})X \neq \mathfrak{P}Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , then  $X^{-1}\mathfrak{P}Z(\mathfrak{G})X \cap \mathfrak{P}Z(\mathfrak{G}) = Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , where  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . (c)  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  contains two normal subgroups  $\mathfrak{G}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_2/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  ( $\mathfrak{G}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{G}_2$ ) such that (i) a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}_2/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is normal, (ii)  $\mathfrak{G}:\mathfrak{G}_1$  is odd, and (iii)  $\mathfrak{G}_1/\mathfrak{G}_2$  is isomorphic to  $PSL(2, q)$  ( $q$  is a Fermat or a Mersenne prime) or  $PSL(2, 3^2)$  or  $PSL(2, 2^m)$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) or  $S(q)$  or  $PSU(3, q)$  ( $q > 2$ ) or  $PSL(3, q)$  ( $q > 2$ ) or  $M_q$ ; where  $S(q)$ ,  $PSU(3, q)$ ,  $PSL(3, q)$  and  $M_q$  denote the Suzuki group, the 3-dimensional projective special unitary group, the 3-dimensional special linear group and the linear fractional group over the non-commutative nearfield of 9 elements respectively.

If  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  has Property (a), then  $\mathfrak{P}$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{P}N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{P} \cong N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{P} \cap N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ . So  $\mathfrak{G}$  is solvable against the choice of  $\mathfrak{G}$  (Proposition 2.5). Suppose that  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  has Property (b). Since  $\mathfrak{P}_0$  is non-abelian (Proposition 2.2),  $\mathfrak{P}Z(\mathfrak{G})/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is (generalized) quaternion. So  $\mathfrak{P}$  contains two elements  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A^{2^m} \equiv E$ ,  $BA^{-1}B \equiv A^{-1}$ ,  $B^2 \equiv A^{2^{m-1}} \pmod{Z(\mathfrak{P})}$ . Put  $BA^{-1}B = A^{-1}Z$ ,  $Z \in Z(\mathfrak{P})$ . Then since  $C(B^2)$  contains  $A$ , we get that  $C(B^2) \supseteq C(B)$ . Since  $B^2 \notin Z(\mathfrak{G})$  and  $\mathfrak{G}$  is of type  $F$ , this is a contradiction. So  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  has Property (c).

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{G}_2 \neq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  be the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  and let  $\mathfrak{Q}$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  not contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  (Proposition 2.5). If  $\mathfrak{P}_2 \not\subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , then  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{P}_2/\mathfrak{P}_2 \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  (Proposition 2.10). So  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is cyclic ([3], p. 499), and  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is abelian. Then  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  (Proposition 1.3), which is a contradiction. Thus  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  is contained in  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_2/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  has an odd order. But then  $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{P} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{G}_2/Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . So  $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{P} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is cyclic or (generalized) quaternion. This leads to a contradiction, as above. Thus we get that  $\mathfrak{G}_2 = Z(\mathfrak{G})$ .

It can be easily checked that  $PSU(3, q)$  and  $PSL(3, q)$  ( $q > 2$ ) contain involutions whose centralizers are not 2-groups. Thus  $\mathfrak{G}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is not isomorphic to  $PSU(3, q)$  nor  $PSL(3, q)$  ( $q > 2$ ). Now assume that  $\mathfrak{G} \neq \mathfrak{G}_1$ . Then it can



be easily checked that  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  contains an element of even order, which is not a power of 2. Thus we get that  $\mathfrak{G}=\mathfrak{G}_1$ . By the proof of Proposition 2.2 we can assume that  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is isomorphic to  $S(q)$  or  $M_9$ .  $S(q)$  contains no element of order  $ab$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are prime divisors of  $q^2+1$  and  $q-1$  respectively (cf. [7]).  $M_9$  contains no element of order 15. This contradicts Proposition 1.3.

**Proposition 2.12.** *A Sylow 2-subgroup  $\mathfrak{Q}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is not abelian.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is abelian, then we may assume that  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . By a theorem of Feit-Thompson [1]  $\mathfrak{Q} \neq \mathfrak{G}$ . If  $X^{-1}Z(\mathfrak{G})\mathfrak{Q}X/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})\mathfrak{Q}/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \neq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , then choose an element  $Y$  of  $XZ^{-1}(\mathfrak{G})\mathfrak{Q}X \cap \mathfrak{Q}$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ .  $C(Y)$  contains  $X^{-1}\mathfrak{Q}X$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Since  $C(Y)$  is abelian (Proposition 2.3), we get that  $X^{-1}\mathfrak{Q}X = \mathfrak{Q}$ . So by a theorem of Suzuki ([8], Theorem 2)  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  possesses one of the following properties: (a)  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  contains a normal Sylow 2-subgroup. (b)  $\mathfrak{Q}Z(\mathfrak{G})/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is cyclic or (generalized) quaternion. (c)  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  contains two normal subgroups  $\mathfrak{G}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_2/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  such that (i)  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{G}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_2/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  have odd orders and (ii)  $\mathfrak{G}_1/\mathfrak{G}_2$  is isomorphic to  $PSL(2, q)$  ( $q > 3$ ),  $PSU(3, q)$  ( $q > 2$ ) or  $S(q)$ .

If  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  has Property (a), then  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Then  $N(\mathfrak{Q})=N(\mathfrak{F}_1)=\mathfrak{G}$ , which implies the solvability of  $\mathfrak{G}$  (Proposition 2.5). This is a contradiction. If  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  has Property (b), then, since  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is abelian,  $\mathfrak{Q}Z(\mathfrak{G})/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is cyclic. Take a prime divisor  $r$  of  $|N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1|$  and an  $r$ -element  $R$  of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  not belonging to  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then  $R\mathfrak{F}_1$  induces a regular automorphism of  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , which is a contradiction. So  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  has Property (c).

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{G}_2 \neq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}_2$ . If  $\mathfrak{P}_2 \neq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , then we may assume that  $N(\mathfrak{P}_2)$  contains  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  can be considered as a regular automorphism of  $\mathfrak{P}_2/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{P}_2$ . So  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is cyclic, which leads to a contradiction as above. Thus  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  is contained in  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  is solvable. If  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_1=C(\mathfrak{G}_2)$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{G}$ , which implies the solvability of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . This is a contradiction. So  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  is not contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Take an element  $X$  of  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  not belonging to  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then  $X\mathfrak{F}_1$  induces a regular automorphism of  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  contains  $\mathfrak{Q}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we get that  $\mathfrak{G}_2=Z(\mathfrak{G})$ .

It can be easily checked that Sylow 2-subgroups of  $PSU(3, q)$ , ( $q > 2$ ) and  $S(q)$  are non-abelian. Thus  $\mathfrak{G}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is isomorphic to  $PSL(2, q)$ . Now if  $q$  is odd, then a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $PSL(2, q)$  is dihedral and contains its own centralizer (in  $PSL(2, q)$ ). Since  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is abelian, we get that  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is elementary abelian of order 4. If  $q$  is even, then a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $PSL(2, q)$  is an elementary abelian 2-group of order  $q$  and coincides with its own centralizer (in  $PSL(2, q)$ ). Since  $\mathfrak{F}_1=C(\mathfrak{Q})$ , we get that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \cap \mathfrak{G}_1=\mathfrak{Q}Z(\mathfrak{G})$ .

If  $r$  is an odd prime divisor of  $(q+1)(q-1)$  distinct from  $p$ , then let  $R$  be an  $r$ -element of  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . We may assume that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(R)$  and that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{Q}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is abelian, this is a contradiction. So we must have that  $(q+1)(q-1) = 2^\alpha p^\beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ . Since  $q > 3$ , if we put  $q = l^m$ , then  $l \neq 2$  and  $l \neq p$ . Let  $L$  be an  $l$ -element of  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . We may assume that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(L)$  and that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{Q}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is abelian, this is a contradiction.

REMARK. By the remark after Proposition 2.9 and by Proposition 2.12 we have that  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1): \mathfrak{F}_1 = 2$  or  $2p$  or  $2q$  or  $2pq$ , where  $q$  is an odd prime distinct from  $p$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** *We have that  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1): \mathfrak{F}_1 = 2$  or  $2q$ .*

Proof. If  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1): \mathfrak{F}_1 = 2p$ , then  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{Q}_1/\mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , where  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 (\neq \mathfrak{G})$  is a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  (By Proposition 1.1 there exists such a prime  $q$ ). Thus  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$  is cyclic and there exists an element of order  $2p$  of  $\mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . This is a contradiction (Proposition 2.10). The case  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1): \mathfrak{F}_1 = 2pq$  can be treated in the same way.

**Proposition 2.14.** *For any subgroup  $\mathfrak{X}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  put  $\bar{\mathfrak{X}} = \mathfrak{X}Z(\mathfrak{G})/Z(\mathfrak{G})$ .  $N(\bar{\mathfrak{P}})$  is a Frobenius group with  $\bar{\mathfrak{P}}$  as its kernel, where  $\bar{\mathfrak{P}}$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .*

Proof.  $\mathfrak{G}$  is not  $p$ -nilpotent. In fact, if so,  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{G}$  (Proposition 2.13), which implies the solvability of  $\mathfrak{G}$  against the choice of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{G}$  also is not  $p$ -nilpotent. Thus by a theorem of Frobenius ([3], p. 436) there exists a non-trivial subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  of  $\bar{\mathfrak{P}}$  such that  $N(\mathfrak{H})/C(\mathfrak{H})$  is not a  $p$ -group. We choose  $\mathfrak{H}$  so that  $|\mathfrak{H}|$  is as big as possible. We show that  $\mathfrak{H} = \bar{\mathfrak{P}}$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{H} \subsetneq \bar{\mathfrak{P}}$ . First we notice that  $C(\mathfrak{H})$  is a  $p$ -group. In fact, otherwise, there exist a  $p$ -element  $X$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  and an element  $Y$  which does not belong to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  and has order prime to  $p$ , such that  $XY = YX$ . This contradicts Proposition 2.10. Then we get that  $C(\mathfrak{H}) \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ . Otherwise, notice that  $N(C(\mathfrak{H})\mathfrak{H}) \supseteq N(\mathfrak{H})$  and  $C(C(\mathfrak{H})\mathfrak{H}) \subseteq C(\mathfrak{H})$ , which contradicts the choice of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Let  $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $N(\mathfrak{H})$ , where  $q \neq p$ , and consider  $\mathfrak{H}\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}$ . Then the above argument shows that  $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Hence  $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}$  is cyclic or (generalized) quaternion ([3], p. 499). Suppose that  $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}$  is (generalized) quaternion. Then  $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}$  contains two elements  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $|AZ(\mathfrak{G})| = 4$  and  $B^2 = A^2 Z_1$ ,  $BA^{-1}B = A^{-1}Z_2$  with  $Z_1, Z_2 \in Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{G} \supseteq C(B^2) \supseteq C(B)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{G}$  is of type  $F$ , this is impossible. So  $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}$  is cyclic. By a theorem of Feit-Thompson [1]  $N(\mathfrak{H})$  is solvable. So let  $\mathfrak{H}^*$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{K}}$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and a Sylow  $p$ -complement of  $N(\mathfrak{H})$  respectively. By assumption on  $\mathfrak{H}$  we have that  $\mathfrak{H} = 0_p(N(\mathfrak{H}))$  and  $\mathfrak{H}^* \supseteq \mathfrak{H}$ . There exists a non-trivial cyclic subgroup  $\bar{\mathfrak{J}}$  of  $\bar{\mathfrak{K}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{H}\bar{\mathfrak{J}}$  is normal

in  $N(\mathfrak{F})$ . By a theorem of Sylow we obtain that  $N(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{F} \cdot N(\mathfrak{Y}) \cap N(\mathfrak{F})$ . Therefore there exist an abelian subgroup  $\mathfrak{Y}$  which is not contained in  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  and has order prime to  $p$  and a  $p$ -element  $Z$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  such that  $Z$  normalizes  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Let  $Y$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Then  $C(Y)$  and  $C(ZYZ^{-1}) = Z^{-1}C(Y)Z$  contains  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . Thus we get that  $C(Y) = Z^{-1}C(Y)Z$  (Proposition 2.3). This contradicts Proposition 2.13. So we must have that  $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{F}$ .

Let  $\bar{\mathfrak{X}} = \mathfrak{X}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  be a Sylow  $p$ -complement of  $N(\mathfrak{P})$ . Then, as above,  $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{P}$ . Thus  $N(\mathfrak{P})$  is a Frobenius group with  $\mathfrak{P}$  as its kernel.

**Proposition 2.15.** *Let  $\bar{X}$  be an element of  $\bar{\mathfrak{G}} = \mathfrak{G}/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  whose order is divisible by  $p$ . Then  $\bar{X}$  is a  $p$ -element.*

Proof. Otherwise, put  $\bar{X} = \bar{Y}\bar{Z} = \bar{Z}\bar{Y}$ , where  $\bar{Y}$  is a  $p$ -element and  $\bar{Z}$  is an element whose order is prime to  $p$ . We may assume that  $\bar{Y}$  belongs to  $\bar{\mathfrak{P}}$  (in Proposition 2.14). Then  $\bar{Z}^{-1}\bar{\mathfrak{P}}\bar{Z} \neq \bar{\mathfrak{P}}$  (Proposition 2.14) and  $\bar{Z}^{-1}\bar{\mathfrak{P}}\bar{Z} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{P}} \supseteq \bar{Y} \neq \bar{E}$ . Let  $\bar{\mathfrak{D}} = \bar{\mathfrak{P}} \cap \bar{W}^{-1}\bar{\mathfrak{P}}\bar{W}$  be a maximal intersection of  $\bar{\mathfrak{P}}$  with other Sylow  $p$ -subgroups. Then  $\bar{\mathfrak{D}} \neq \bar{\mathfrak{E}}$  and a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N(\bar{\mathfrak{D}})$  is not normal in  $N(\bar{\mathfrak{D}})$  ([10] p. 138). This leads to a contradiction as in the proof of Proposition 2.14.

**Proposition 2.16.** *Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}$  are independent, namely if  $\bar{X}^{-1}\bar{\mathfrak{P}}\bar{X} \neq \bar{\mathfrak{P}}$ , then  $\bar{X}^{-1}\bar{\mathfrak{P}}\bar{X} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{P}} = \bar{\mathfrak{E}}$ .*

Proof. This is obvious from the proof of Proposition 2.15.

**Proposition 2.17.** *Let  $X$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{G}$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  whose order is prime to  $p$ . Then  $C(X)$  is conjugate with  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .*

Proof. If there exists a prime divisor  $r$  of  $|\mathfrak{F}_1|$  distinct from 2 and  $q$ , then let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then  $C(\mathfrak{R}) = \mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  (Proposition 2.13).  $C(X)$  is abelian and contains  $Y^{-1}\mathfrak{R}Y$  for some  $Y \in \mathfrak{G}$ . Thus  $C(X) = C(Y^{-1}\mathfrak{R}Y) = Y^{-1}C(\mathfrak{R})Y = Y^{-1}\mathfrak{F}_1Y$ . The same argument holds if  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  contains a Sylow subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Therefore by Proposition 2.13 we may assume that  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is a  $\{2, q\}$ -group and that  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1) : \mathfrak{F}_1 = 2q$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_X$  be Sylow 2-subgroups of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1), \mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $C(X)$  respectively. We may assume that  $\mathfrak{S} \supseteq \mathfrak{S}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{S} \supseteq \mathfrak{S}_X$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_1 \neq \mathfrak{S}_X$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S}_1 \cap \mathfrak{S}_X \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , we obtain that  $\mathfrak{S}_1 : Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{S}_1 = 2$ . Now let  $\mathfrak{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  be Sylow  $q$ -subgroups of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  respectively. Then  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_1$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{S}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{S}_1$ . This is a contradiction.

Now we count the number of elements in  $\bar{\mathfrak{G}}$ . Put  $|\bar{\mathfrak{P}}| = p^a$ ,  $|N(\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_1)| = x$ ,  $|\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_1| = y$ , and  $|N(\mathfrak{P})| = p^a z$ . By Propositions 2.15 and 2.16 there exist  $\frac{x}{z}(p^a - 1)$

elements ( $\neq \bar{E}$ ) of  $\mathfrak{G}$  whose orders are prime to  $p$ . Thus we obtain that

$$(*) \quad p^a = x \frac{x}{z} (p^a - 1) + p^a (y - 1) + 1.$$

From (\*) we obtain that

$$x < \frac{x}{z} + y.$$

Since  $y$  and  $z$  are divisors of  $x$ , it is only possible when either  $z=1$  or  $y=x$ . By Proposition 2.13 we have that  $y \neq x$ . By Proposition 2.14 we have that  $z \neq 1$ .

Thus  $\mathfrak{G}$  cannot be of type  $F$ .

### 3. Case where $G$ is not of type $F$

In this section  $\mathfrak{G}$  is not of type  $F$  (See § 2). Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  be fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \cong \mathfrak{F}_2$ .

**Proposition 3.1.**  *$|\mathfrak{F}_1|$  is divisible by every prime divisor  $p$  of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$ .*

Proof. This is obvious by Proposition 1.3.

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a free fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  with  $|\mathfrak{F}| = |\mathfrak{F}_1|$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is abelian.*

Proof. If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is of type (iii) in Proposition 1.4, then  $|\mathfrak{F}_1|_q = |\mathfrak{S}_q|$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_q$  is the Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  with  $q \neq p$ . This contradicts Proposition 1.3.

**Proposition 3.3.**  *$|\mathfrak{F}_2|$  is divisible by every prime divisor  $p$  of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$ .*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a prime divisor  $p$  of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  which does not divide  $|\mathfrak{F}_2|$ . Since  $Z(\mathfrak{G}) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_2$ ,  $|Z(\mathfrak{G})| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Let  $X \neq E$  be an element of  $Z(\mathfrak{P})$ , where  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Then we have that  $|C(X)| = |\mathfrak{F}_1|$ . If  $C(X)$  is of type 1, then  $X$  belongs to a fundamental subgroup of type 2 contained in  $C(X)$ . Then  $|\mathfrak{F}_2| \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  against the assumption. So  $C(X)$  is free, and by Proposition 3.2  $C(X)$  is abelian. Since  $|C(X)| = |\mathfrak{F}_1|$  and  $C(X) \cong \mathfrak{P}$ , we may assume that  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_1$ . But then  $C(X) \cong Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  and  $C(X) = \mathfrak{F}_1$ . This is a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.4.** *We may choose  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  so that there exist (at most) two primes  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is a direct product of a  $\{p, q\}$ -Hall subgroup and an abelian  $\{p, q\}$ -Hall complement.*

Proof. We can find a  $p$ -element  $X$  with  $C(X) = \mathfrak{F}_1$  for some prime  $p$ . Assume that for any other prime  $q$  and for any  $q$ -element  $Y$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  we have that

$C(Y) \cong \mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is a direct product of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and an abelian Sylow  $p$ -complement. Hence the same is true for  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . So we may assume that there exists a prime  $q (\neq p_1)$  and a  $q$ -element  $Y$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  such that  $C(Y) \cong \mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then we can choose  $C(XY)$  as  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  with the claimed property.

**Proposition 3.5.**  $|\mathfrak{F}_2/Z(\mathfrak{G})|$  is divisible by every prime divisor  $p$  of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  is contained in  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  containing  $\mathfrak{P}_2$ . Then by Proposition 1.3 we have that  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cong \mathfrak{P}_2$ . Let  $Y$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  not belonging to  $\mathfrak{P}_2$ . Then  $|C(Y)| = |\mathfrak{F}_1|$  and, since  $\mathfrak{F}_2 \cong Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ ,  $C(Y)$  must be free. By Proposition 3.2  $C(Y)$  is abelian. Since  $C(Y) \cong Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(Y)$ . This is a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.6.** Every fundamental subgroup  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  of type 2 is nilpotent.

Proof. If there exists a  $p$ -element  $X$  with  $C(X) = \mathfrak{F}_2$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is a direct product of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and an abelian Sylow  $p$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  (cf. the proof of Proposition 3.4). So we may assume that there exists no element  $X$  of a prime power order such that  $C(X) = \mathfrak{F}_2$ .

Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -element of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  with  $C(X) = \mathfrak{F}_1$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \cong \mathfrak{F}_2$ . Let  $Y$  be an element of the least order of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  such that  $C(Y) = \mathfrak{F}_2$ . Put  $\pi(|Y|) = \{q, r, \dots\}$ . Then  $|\pi(|Y|)| \geq 2$ . Put  $Y = Y_q Y_r \dots$ , where  $Y_q \neq E$ ,  $Y_r \neq E \dots$  are  $q$ -,  $r$ -,  $\dots$  elements which are commutative with each other. Then by assumption  $C(Y_q) \cong \mathfrak{F}_2$  for each  $q$  in  $\pi(|Y|)$ . If  $C(Y_q) = \mathfrak{G}$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_2 = C(Y) = C(r \prod_{r \neq q} Y_q)$ , which contradicts the choice of  $Y$ . So we get that  $|C(Y_q)| = |\mathfrak{F}_1|$ . Assume that  $q \neq p$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \cong C(XY_q) \cong \mathfrak{F}_2$ . If for every  $q \neq p$  we have that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(XY_q) = C(Y_q)$ , and if  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(Y_p Y_q)$  provided that  $p$  belongs to  $\pi(|Y|)$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \mathfrak{F}_2$ , which is a contradiction. So we may assume that either for some  $q$   $C(XY_q) = \mathfrak{F}_2$  or  $\mathfrak{F}_2 = C(Y_p Y_q)$ . Thus, in any case,  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is a direct product of a Hall  $\{p, q\}$ -subgroup and an abelian Hall  $\{p, q\}$ -complement (Proposition 3.4).

Let  $r \neq p, q$  and let  $Z$  be an  $r$ -element of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  with  $C(Z) \neq \mathfrak{G}$  (Proposition 3.5). Then we may assume that  $C(Z) = \mathfrak{F}_1$ . In fact, otherwise,  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \cong C(XZ) \cong \mathfrak{F}_2$  and hence,  $C(XZ) = \mathfrak{F}_2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is a direct product of a Hall  $\{p, r\}$ -subgroup and an abelian Hall  $\{p, r\}$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  (Proposition 3.4). Since  $q \neq r$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is then nilpotent. So  $C(Z) = \mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then the above argument shows that there exists a prime  $s \neq r$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is a direct product of a Hall  $\{r, s\}$ -subgroup and an abelian Hall  $\{r, s\}$ -complement. Since  $\{p, q\} \neq \{r, s\}$ , this implies that  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is nilpotent.

**Proposition 3.7.** No Sylow subgroup ( $\neq \mathfrak{G}$ ) of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup ( $\neq \mathfrak{G}$ ) of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{P}$  is

contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . Then every element of  $\mathfrak{G}$  belongs to some conjugate subgroup of  $C(\mathfrak{P})$  (Proposition 3.6). This implies that  $\mathfrak{G} = C(\mathfrak{P})$  and  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$  contradicting Proposition 1.3.

REMARK. For every prime divisor  $p$  of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  we have that  $p^2$  divides  $|\mathfrak{G}|$ . This is obvious by Propositions 3.5 and 3.7.

DEFINITION 3.8. Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(X)$  with a  $p$ -element  $X$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is called  $p$ -singular if  $Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)/Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is a  $p$ -group.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a finite group and  $\mathfrak{S}$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $\mathfrak{Y} \cong D(\mathfrak{S})$ . Then there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{T}$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $\mathfrak{T} \cong \mathfrak{Y} \cong D(\mathfrak{T})$ .*

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{T}$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $\mathfrak{Y} \cong D(\mathfrak{T})$  and  $\mathfrak{Y} : \mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T}$  is the least. We show that  $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T}$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{Y} : \mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T} \neq 1$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T} \cong D(\mathfrak{T})$ ,  $N(\mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T})$  contains  $\mathfrak{T}$ . Put  $\mathfrak{Z} = \mathfrak{Y} \cap N(\mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Z} \cong \mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T}$ . If  $N(\mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T}) = \mathfrak{X}$ , then  $\mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T} \cong G^{-1}D(\mathfrak{T})G$  for all  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ . This contradicts the assumption  $\mathfrak{Y} : \mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T} \neq 1$ . So we must have that  $N(\mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T}) \neq \mathfrak{X}$ . Then by an induction argument with respect to  $|\mathfrak{X}|$  we may assume that there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{U}$  of  $N(\mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T})$  such that  $\mathfrak{U} \cong \mathfrak{Z} \cong D(\mathfrak{U})$ . But  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{U} \cong \mathfrak{Z} \cong \mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathfrak{T}$ . This is a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a fundamental subgroup of type 1. Let  $q$  be a prime divisor of  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{F}_1$ . If there exists no  $q$ -singular fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , then  $q^2$  does not divide  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{F}_1$ .*

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  be Sylow  $q$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  such that  $\mathfrak{Q} \cong \mathfrak{Q}_1$ . Then  $Z(\mathfrak{Q}) \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is not abelian by Proposition 1.2. Let  $X$  be an element of  $Z_2(\mathfrak{Q})$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{Q})$  and  $X^q \in Z(\mathfrak{Q})$ . Let  $Y$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Then  $Y^{-1}XY = XZ$  with  $Z \in Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Thus  $C(Y^{-1}XY) = Y^{-1}C(X)Y = C(X)$  and  $Y^{-q}X^{-1}Y^qX = Y^{-1}X^{-q}YX^q = E$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is contained in  $N(C(X))$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_X$  is an elementary abelian  $q$ -group, where  $\mathfrak{Q}_X = \mathfrak{Q} \cap C(X)$  is a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $C(X)$ . If  $|C(X)| = |\mathfrak{F}_2|$ , then by Proposition 3.6 or Proposition 1.4  $C(X)$  is nilpotent. If  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_X$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{R}_X/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{R}_X$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}_X$  is a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $C(X)$  and  $r \neq q$ , then  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_X$  is cyclic ([3], p. 499) and  $\mathfrak{Q} : \mathfrak{Q}_X = q$  (Cf. Proposition 3.5). If  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_X$  is not regular as an automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{R}_X/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{R}_X$ , there exists an  $r$ -element  $Y$  in  $\mathfrak{R}_X$  not belonging to  $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{G})$  such that a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{Q}_Y$  of  $C(Y)$  contains  $\mathfrak{Q}_X$  properly. By Proposition 3.9 we may assume that  $\mathfrak{Q} \cong \mathfrak{Q}_Y \cong D(\mathfrak{Q})$ . Let  $Z$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Then  $Z^{-1}\mathfrak{Q}Z = \mathfrak{Q}_Y$ . Now put  $\mathfrak{R}^* = \langle Z^{-1}YZ, Z \in \mathfrak{Q} \rangle$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}^*$  is a  $\mathfrak{Q}$ -invariant subgroup of  $\mathfrak{R}_X$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_Y = \mathfrak{Q} \cap C(\mathfrak{R}^*)$ . Since a Sylow  $q$ -complement of  $C(X)$  is abelian (cf. Proposition 3.4),  $C(Y)$  is a fundamental subgroup of type 1.

Therefore  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_Y$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{R}^*/\mathfrak{R}^* \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_Y$  is cyclic ([3], p. 499) and  $\mathfrak{Q}:\mathfrak{Q}_Y=q$ .

If  $|C(X)|=|\mathfrak{F}_1|$  and if  $C(X)$  is free, then  $C(X)$  is abelian by Proposition 3.2.  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_X$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{R}_X/\mathfrak{R}_X \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}_X$  is a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $C(X)$  and  $r \neq q$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_X$  is cyclic and  $\mathfrak{Q}:\mathfrak{Q}_X=q$ . So we may assume that  $C(X)$  is of type 1. By the assumption there exists an  $r$ -element  $Y$  such that  $C(X)=C(Y)$ , where  $q \neq r$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_X$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{R}_X \cap Z(C(X))/\mathfrak{R}_X \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}_X$  is a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $C(X)$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_X$  is cyclic and  $\mathfrak{Q}:\mathfrak{Q}_X=q$ .

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1=C(X)$  be  $p$ -singular, where  $X$  is  $p$ -element. Let  $Y$  be a  $q$ -element of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  (Cf. Proposition 3.5). Let  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_Y$  be Sylow  $r$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $C(XY)$  such that  $\mathfrak{R}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{R}_Y$ . If  $r \neq p$ , then  $\mathfrak{R}_1:\mathfrak{R}_Y \leq r$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption  $Y$  does not belong to  $Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ , and thus  $C(XY)$  is of type 2. Assume that  $\mathfrak{R}_1 \supsetneq \mathfrak{R}_Y$ . Let  $Z$  be an element of  $Z(\mathfrak{R}_1)$ . Then  $|C(XZ)|_r > |C(XY)|_r$  and  $C(X) \supsetneq C(XZ)$ . Hence by assumption  $C(X)=C(XZ)$  and  $Z$  belongs to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . So  $Z(\mathfrak{R}_1) \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  is not abelian. Let  $W$  be an element of  $Z_2(\mathfrak{R}_1)$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  and such that  $W^r \in Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Then  $C(XW)$  is of type 2. Let  $\mathfrak{R}_W$  be a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $C(XW)$ . Then as in the beginning of the proof of Proposition 3.10 we have that  $\mathfrak{R}_1 \subseteq N(C(XW))$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_1/\mathfrak{R}_W$  is an elementary abelian  $r$ -group. By Proposition 3.6  $C(XW)$  is nilpotent. Let  $\mathfrak{S}_W$  be a Sylow  $s$ -subgroup of  $C(XW)$  with  $s \neq r$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}_1/\mathfrak{R}_W$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{S}_W/\mathfrak{S}_W \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  (Proposition 3.5). Thus  $\mathfrak{R}_1/\mathfrak{R}_W$  is cyclic ([3], p. 499) and  $\mathfrak{R}_1:\mathfrak{R}_W=\mathfrak{R}_1:\mathfrak{R}_Y=r$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be  $p$ -singular and  $q \neq p$ . Then  $q^2$  does not divide  $\mathfrak{F}_1:\mathfrak{F}_2$ .*

*Proof.* This is obvious by Proposition 3.11.

**Proposition 3.13.** *Assume that there exist no  $p$ -singular fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for every  $p$ . If a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{Q}_2$  of a fundamental subgroup  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  of type 2 is not abelian, then for every prime divisor  $r$  of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  distinct from  $q$  there exists a  $\{q, r\}$ -element  $X$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_2=C(X)$ . In particular, a Sylow  $q$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is abelian.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.6  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is nilpotent. Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1=C(Y)$  is a fundamental subgroup of type 1 containing  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . By assumption we may assume that  $Y$  is a  $p$ -element with  $p \neq q$ . If a Hall  $\{p, q\}$ -complement  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  contains an element  $Z$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ , then  $C(YZ)$  is of type 2 and contains  $\mathfrak{Q}_2$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{Q}_2$  is abelian against the assumption (cf. the proof of Proposition 3.4). So we must have that  $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ . Then for every  $r \neq p, q$  there

exists an  $r$ -element  $W$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(W)$  (Proposition 3.5). The above argument shows that a Hall  $\{r, q\}$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is contained in  $Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ . By Propositions 1.1 and 3.5 a Sylow  $q$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is contained in  $Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ .

Put  $\mathfrak{F}_2 = C(V)$  and  $V = V_p V_q \cdots$ , where  $V_p, V_q \neq E, \dots$  are  $p$ -,  $q$ -,  $\dots$  elements which are commutative with each other. Let  $U$  be an  $r$ -element such that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(U)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \cong C(UV_q) \cong \mathfrak{F}_2$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(UV_q)$ , then  $V_q$  belongs to  $Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \mathfrak{F}_2$  which is a contradiction. So  $\mathfrak{F}_2 = C(UV_q)$  as claimed.

**Proposition 3.14.** *Assume that there exist no  $p$ -singular fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for every prime  $p$ . Then every fundamental subgroup  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  of type 1 is nilpotent and  $n_1/n_2$  is a prime power. Hence all the fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  are nilpotent.*

*Proof.* We show that for every element  $X$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ :  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \cap C(X) = 1$  or  $n_1/n_2$ . If  $C(X) = \mathfrak{G}$ , this is obvious. If  $C(X)$  is free, then  $C(X)$  is abelian (Propositions 3.5 and 1.4) and  $C(X)$  contains  $Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  contains  $C(X)$ , which is a contradiction. If  $C(X)$  is of type 1, then we may assume that  $X$  is a  $p$ -element. By the assumption we can find a  $q$ -element  $Y$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(Y)$  and  $p \neq q$ . Then  $C(XY) = C(X) \cap \mathfrak{F}_1$ , which implies that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 : \mathfrak{F}_1 \cap C(X) = 1$  or  $n_1/n_2$ . So we may assume that  $C(X)$  is of type 2. If  $C(X)$  is abelian, then  $C(X)$  contains  $Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  and  $C(X)$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Hence we may assume that a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $C(X)$  is not abelian for some  $p$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(Y)$ , where  $Y$  is a  $q$ -element. By Proposition 3.13 there exists a  $\{p, r\}$ -element  $\bar{X}$  such that  $C(X) = C(\bar{X})$  and  $q \neq p, r$ . Since  $Y$  belongs to  $C(X)$  and  $C(X)$  is nilpotent (Proposition 3.6),  $Y\bar{X} = \bar{X}Y$ . Thus by Proposition 3.13 we get that  $C(\bar{X}Y) = C(\bar{X})$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ .

Hence by Theorem 1 of [5]  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is nilpotent and  $n_1/n_2$  is a prime power.

**Proposition 3.15.** *There exists a  $p$ -singular fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for some  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. Then by Proposition 3.10  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{F}_1$  is square-free, and by Proposition 3.14  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is nilpotent. We show that  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{G}$ , whence  $\mathfrak{G}$  is solvable against the assumption. Now let  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{P}$  be Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ . We show that  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ . We may assume that  $\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P}_1 = p$ . Put  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \mathfrak{P}_1 \times \bar{\mathfrak{P}}_1$ , where  $\bar{\mathfrak{P}}_1$  is a Sylow  $p$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Let  $X$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{P}$  not belonging to  $\mathfrak{P}_1$ . Then  $X^{-1}\mathfrak{F}_1X = \mathfrak{P}_1 \times X^{-1}\bar{\mathfrak{P}}_1X$ . Let  $Y$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Then  $C(Y)$  is nilpotent (Proposition 3.14) and contains  $\bar{\mathfrak{P}}_1$  and  $X^{-1}\bar{\mathfrak{P}}_1X$  as Sylow  $p$ -complements. Hence  $\bar{\mathfrak{P}}_1 = X^{-1}\bar{\mathfrak{P}}_1X$ , and  $X$  belongs to  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ .

**Proposition 3.16.** *Assume that there exists a  $p$ -singular fundamental subgroup and that there exist no  $q$ -singular fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for every*



prime  $q$  distinct from  $p$ . If a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of a fundamental subgroup  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  of type 2 is not abelian, then for every prime divisor  $s$  of  $|\mathfrak{G}|$  distinct from  $r$  there exists a  $\{r, s\}$ -element  $X$  with  $\mathfrak{F}_2 = C(X)$ . In particular, a Sylow  $r$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is abelian.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.6  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is nilpotent. Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1 = C(Y)$  is a fundamental subgroup of type 1 containing  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . The proof of Proposition 3.13 shows that the assertion is true if we can choose  $Y$  as an  $s$ -element with  $s \neq r$ . Then such a choice is possible, unless  $r = p$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is  $p$ -singular. So assume that  $r = p$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is  $p$ -singular. Let  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . Let  $Z \neq E$  be a  $q$ -element of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  with  $q \neq p$ . Then  $C(Z)$  contains  $\mathfrak{P}_2$ . If  $C(Z)$  is free or of type 2, then  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  is abelian against the assumption. Thus  $C(Z)$  is of type 1 and we may assume that  $C(Z) \neq \mathfrak{F}_2$ . So  $C(YZ)$  is of type 2 and contains a Hall  $\{p, q\}$ -complement  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ .  $\mathfrak{A}$  is abelian (Proposition 3.4). Let  $W \neq E$  be an  $s$ -element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  (By Proposition 3.5 such an element always exists).  $C(W)$  cannot be free nor of type 2 as above. So  $C(W)$  is of type 1 and contains  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . So we can apply the proof of Proposition 3.13.

**Proposition 3.17.** Assume that there exists a  $p$ -singular fundamental subgroup and that there exist no  $q$ -singular fundamental subgroups for every  $q$  distinct from  $p$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is not  $(p-)$  singular and of type 1, then  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is nilpotent and  $n_1/n_2$  is a prime power.

**Proof.** It is not difficult to check that the proof of Proposition 3.14 can be applied here.

**Proposition 3.18.** Assume that there exists a  $p$ -singular fundamental subgroup and that there exist no  $q$ -singular fundamental subgroups for every  $q$  distinct from  $p$ . Then exists no non-singular fundamental subgroup of type 1.

**Proof.** Assume the contrary and let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be a non-singular fundamental subgroup of type 1. By Proposition 3.10 the prime to  $p$  part of  $\mathfrak{G}$ :  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is square-free. By Proposition 3.17  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is nilpotent. By the proof of Proposition 3.15  $\mathfrak{G} : N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  is a power of  $p$ .

First assume that  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  is solvable, and let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a Sylow  $p$ -complement of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ .  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a Sylow  $p$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Put  $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  is a Sylow  $p$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{P}_1\mathfrak{H}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  containing  $\mathfrak{P}_1$ . Since  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{H}$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}$  contains a normal subgroup  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  containing  $\mathfrak{P}_1$ .  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  is a Sylow  $p$ -complement of  $C(\mathfrak{P}_1)$ . Hence if  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \neq \mathfrak{P}_1$ , then  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap N(\mathfrak{H}_1) \neq \mathfrak{P}_1$ . But for  $X \in \mathfrak{P}_1 \cap N(\mathfrak{H}_1)$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ , we have that  $X^{-1}Y^{-1}XY \in \mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{E}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is non-singular, we have that  $C(\mathfrak{H}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap N(\mathfrak{H}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \cap N(\mathfrak{H}_1)$

$\subseteq \mathfrak{P}_1$ , which is a contradiction. Hence we get that  $\mathfrak{P}_1 = \overline{\mathfrak{P}}_1$ . If  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{F}_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $\mathfrak{G} = N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ , and  $\mathfrak{G}$  is solvable against the assumption. So  $\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{F}_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and thus  $\mathfrak{P}$  is non-abelian and  $Z(\mathfrak{P}) \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Now  $\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_1$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{P}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_1$  has a square-free order,  $\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}_1$  is cyclic ([3], p. 499). Then  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{P}_1 C(\mathfrak{P}_1)$  is a product of a cyclic group and a  $p$ -group, and hence is solvable (For instance, [4]). On the other hand,  $C(\mathfrak{P}_1) = (\mathfrak{P} \cap C(\mathfrak{P}_1))\mathfrak{H}_1$  is solvable by a theorem of Wielandt ([3], p. 680). Thus  $\mathfrak{G}$  is solvable against the assumption.

Now assume that  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  is non-solvable. Let  $\mathfrak{P}_1^*$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ . Then, since  $C(\mathfrak{P}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}_1^*/\mathfrak{P}_1$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{H}_1/\mathfrak{H}_1 \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  is a Sylow  $p$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{P}_1^*/\mathfrak{P}_1$  is cyclic or (generalized) quaternion. If  $\mathfrak{P}_1^*/\mathfrak{P}_1$  is cyclic, then  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)/\mathfrak{F}_1$  is a  $Z$ -group, which implies the solvability of  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  against the assumption. So  $\mathfrak{P}_1^*/\mathfrak{P}_1$  must be (generalized) quaternion, and, in particular,  $p=2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  containing  $\mathfrak{P}_1^*$ . Then  $\mathfrak{P}$  is non-abelian and  $Z(\mathfrak{P}) \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Let  $X$  be an element of  $Z_2(\mathfrak{P})$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  such that  $X^2 \in Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Then  $C(X) \cong D(\mathfrak{P})$ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.4  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq N(C(X))$  and  $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{P}_X$  is an elementary abelian 2-group, where  $\mathfrak{P}_X$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C(X)$  such that  $\mathfrak{P} \supseteq \mathfrak{P}_X \supseteq D(\mathfrak{P})$ . If  $C(X)$  is not 2-singular, then by Propositions 1.4, 3.6 and 3.17  $C(X)$  is nilpotent. Let  $\mathfrak{Q}_X$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $C(X)$  with  $q \neq p$ . If  $C(X)$  is not of type 2,  $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{P}_X$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{Q}_X/\mathfrak{Q}_X \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . So  $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{P}_X$  is cyclic and  $\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P}_X = 2$  ([3], p. 499). Then  $|\mathfrak{P}_1^*/\mathfrak{P}_1| \leq 2$ , which is a contradiction. Suppose that  $C(X)$  is of type 2 and that  $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{P}_X$  is not regular as an automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{Q}_X/\mathfrak{Q}_X \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Then there exist an element  $Y$  of  $\mathfrak{P}$  not belonging to  $\mathfrak{P}_X$  and an element  $Z$  of  $\mathfrak{Q}_X$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  such that  $YZ = ZY$  (cf. the proof of Proposition 2.4). Then  $C(Z)$  contains  $\langle \mathfrak{P}_X, Y \rangle$ , and is free or of type 1 and is not 2-singular. So by Propositions 3.2 and 3.17  $C(Z)$  is nilpotent. Let  $\mathfrak{P}_Z$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C(Z)$ . Then by Proposition 3.9 we may assume that  $\mathfrak{P} \supseteq \mathfrak{P}_Z \supseteq D(\mathfrak{P})$ . Then  $W^{-1}\mathfrak{P}_Z W = \mathfrak{P}_Z$  for every  $W \in \mathfrak{P}$ . Put  $\mathfrak{Q}^* = \langle W^{-1}ZW, W \in \mathfrak{P} \rangle$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Q}^*$  is a  $\mathfrak{P}$ -invariant subgroup of  $\mathfrak{Q}_X$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_Z = \mathfrak{P} \cap C(\mathfrak{Q}^*)$ . Now  $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{P}_Z$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{Q}^*/\mathfrak{Q}^* \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . So  $\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{P}_Z$  is cyclic and  $\mathfrak{P} : \mathfrak{P}_Z = 2$ . Then  $|\mathfrak{P}_1^*\mathfrak{P}_1| \leq 2$ , which is a contradiction. Hence we may assume that  $C(X)$  is 2-singular.

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  be a fundamental subgroup of type 2 contained in  $C(X)$ . By Proposition 3.17  $C(X) : \mathfrak{F}_2$  is a power of a prime. If  $C(X) : \mathfrak{F}_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , then by Proposition 3.12  $C(X) : \mathfrak{F}_2 = q$  is a prime. By Proposition 3.6  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is nilpotent.  $C(X)$  is a product of a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $C(X)$  and a Sylow  $q$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . Hence by a theorem of Wielandt ([3], p. 680)  $C(X)$  is solvable. Let  $F(C(X)) = \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{B}$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are Sylow 2-subgroup and Sylow 2-com-

plement of  $F(C(X))$  respectively. If  $\mathfrak{B} \not\subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , then, since  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}/\mathfrak{B}_X$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{B}/\mathfrak{B} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . So we get a contradiction as before. But if  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , then by a theorem of Fitting ([3], p. 277)  $F(C(X)) \cong C(F(C(X))) \cong \mathfrak{R}_X$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}_X$  is a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  with  $r \neq q, 2$ . By Propositions 1.1 and 3.5 we have that  $\mathfrak{R}_X \not\subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . This is a contradiction. Hence we may assume that  $C(X):\mathfrak{F}_2 =$  is a power of 2.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Sylow 2-complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . Suppose that a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{Q}_2$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is non-abelian. Then by Proposition 3.15 a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{R}_2$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is abelian. Choose an element  $Y$  of  $\mathfrak{R}_2$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Then  $C(XY) \cong \mathfrak{Q}_2$  and  $C(XY)$  is of type 2. Then  $\mathfrak{Q}_2$  is abelian against the assumption. Hence  $\mathfrak{A}$  is abelian (cf. Propositions 1.1 and 3.5). Hence, in particular,  $C(X)$  is solvable (cf. [4]). If  $C(X)$  is nilpotent, then  $\mathfrak{B}/\mathfrak{B}_X$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{A} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , and we get a contradiction as before. Hence  $C(X)$  is not nilpotent.

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  be a fundamental subgroup of type 2 contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}_1:\mathfrak{F}_2$  is a power of 2, every Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  for  $q \neq 2$ . We show that  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  is abelian. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  is not abelian. Let  $Y$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{Q}_1)$ . Then  $C(Y)$  is of type 1 and contains the Sylow  $q$ -complement of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . In particular,  $C(Y)$  contains  $\mathfrak{R}_1$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  is the Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  (Proposition 1.1). Let  $Z$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$  (Proposition 3.5). Then  $C(Z)$  contains  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  and the Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $C(Y)$ . This is a contradiction. So the Sylow 2-complement  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is abelian.

Now we show that we may assume that  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Q}$ ,  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_X$  be Sylow  $q$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $C(X)$ , where  $q \neq 2$ . We may assume that  $\mathfrak{Q} \supseteq \mathfrak{Q}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{Q} \supseteq \mathfrak{Q}_X$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_X$  are abelian, we have that  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is elementary abelian of order  $q^2$  or  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 = \mathfrak{Q}_X$ . If  $\mathfrak{Q}_1 = \mathfrak{Q}_X$ , then  $C(\mathfrak{Q}_1)$  is nilpotent and contains  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  as its Sylow 2-complement. So we get that  $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{A}$ . Otherwise, let  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_X$  be Sylow  $r$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $C(X)$ , where  $r \neq q, r \neq 2$ . By Propositions 1.1 and 3.5 there exists such a prime. Since we have assumed that  $\mathfrak{A}_1 \neq \mathfrak{A}$ , we get that  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is elementary abelian of order  $r^2$ . We may assume that  $r > q$ . Since  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R}_1$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{Q}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1$ , this is a contradiction. Hence we (may) assume that  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_1$ .

Put  $F(C(X)) = \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{D}$ , where  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$  are the Sylow 2-subgroup and Sylow 2-complement of  $F(C(X))$ . If  $\mathfrak{D} \not\subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , then  $C(X) \cap C(\mathfrak{D})$  is nilpotent and contains  $\mathfrak{A}$  and is normal in  $C(X)$ . So  $\mathfrak{A}$  is normal in  $C(X)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq N(C(X)) \subseteq N(\mathfrak{A})$ . Since  $C(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{F}_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}_1$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{B}$  is contained in  $N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{G} = N(\mathfrak{F}_1)$ , which implies the solvability of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . This is a contradiction. So we must have that  $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{C} \cong \mathfrak{B}_2$ , where  $\mathfrak{B}_2$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{A} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  can be considered as a

regular automorphism of  $\mathfrak{P}_X/\mathfrak{P}_2$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{X} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is cyclic. Then assume as above that  $r > q$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{Q}_1/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1$  is cyclic, we get a contradiction as above.

**Proposition 3.19.** *Assume that there exists a  $p$ -singular fundamental subgroup and that there exist no  $q$ -singular fundamental subgroups for every  $q$  distinct from  $p$ . Then there exists no free fundamental subgroup of index  $n_1$ .*

*Proof.* This is obvious by the proof of Proposition 3.18.

**Proposition 3.20.** *For at least two distinct primes  $p$  there exist  $p$ -singular fundamental subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.15 for some prime  $p$  there exists a  $p$ -singular fundamental subgroup  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Suppose that there exists no  $q$ -singular fundamental subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for every prime  $q$  distinct from  $p$ .

By Propositions 3.18 and 3.19 if  $X$  is a  $q$ -element of  $\mathfrak{G}$  not belonging to  $Z(\mathfrak{G})$ , then  $\mathfrak{G}:C(X) = n_2$ . By Propositions 3.6 and 1.4  $C(X)$  is nilpotent. Furthermore by Propositions 3.18, 3.19, 3.5 and 1.1  $C(X)$  is abelian.

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  be a fundamental subgroup of type 2 contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Q}$ ,  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_2$  be Sylow  $q$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  such that  $\mathfrak{Q} \supseteq \mathfrak{Q}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{Q}_2$  ( $q \neq p$ ). By Propositions 3.10 and 3.11 we have that  $\mathfrak{Q}:\mathfrak{Q}_1 \leq q$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_1:\mathfrak{Q}_2 \leq q$ . Now we show that  $\mathfrak{Q}_2$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Assume the contrary. Then we must have that  $\mathfrak{Q}:\mathfrak{Q}_1 = q$ ,  $\mathfrak{Q}_1:\mathfrak{Q}_2 = q$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}:\mathfrak{Q}_2 = q^2$ . Furthermore there exists an element  $Y$  in  $\mathfrak{Q}$  such that  $Y^{-1}\mathfrak{Q}_2Y \neq \mathfrak{Q}_2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{Q}_2$  is abelian,  $Y^{-1}\mathfrak{Q}_2Y \cap \mathfrak{Q}_2 = \mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . So  $\mathfrak{Q}_1/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$  is elementary abelian of order  $q^2$ . Let  $Z$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{Q}_1$  such that  $Z(\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G}))$  is an element of  $Z(\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G}))$  of order  $q$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Q}_Z$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $C(Z)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Q}_Z = (\mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G}))\langle Z \rangle$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{R}_Z$  be a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $C(Z)$  with  $r \neq p, q$  (Proposition 3.5). Then  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_Z$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{R}_Z/\mathfrak{R}_Z \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_Z$  is cyclic ([3], p. 499). This is a contradiction. So  $\mathfrak{Q}_2$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{R}_2$  be a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  with  $r \neq p, q$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_2$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{R}_2/\mathfrak{R}_2 \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_2$  is cyclic. Since  $C(\mathfrak{Q}_2) = \mathfrak{F}_2$ , we get that  $\mathfrak{Q} \subseteq N(\mathfrak{F}_2)$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{G}:N(\mathfrak{F}_2)$  is a power of  $p$ .

Since  $N(\mathfrak{F}_2) \subseteq N(\mathfrak{P}_2)$ ,  $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{P}N(\mathfrak{P}_2)$ , where  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  containing  $\mathfrak{P}_2$ . Hence we get that  $O_p(\mathfrak{G}) \supseteq \mathfrak{P}_2$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  be a free fundamental subgroup of index  $n_2$  (cf. Proposition 3.19) and  $\mathfrak{Q}^*$  a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}^*$ . We may assume that  $\mathfrak{Q}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}$ . We show that  $\mathfrak{Q}^*$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{Q}$ . Assume the contrary. Then we must have that  $\mathfrak{Q}:\mathfrak{Q}^* = q^2$ . Since  $C(\mathfrak{Q}^* \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1)$  contains  $Z(\mathfrak{F}_1)$  and since  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is free and abelian, we get that  $\mathfrak{Q}^* \cap \mathfrak{Q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G})$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{Q}^*: \mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G}) = \mathfrak{Q}_2: \mathfrak{Q} \cap Z(\mathfrak{G}) = q$ . We know already that  $\mathfrak{Q}/\mathfrak{Q}_2$  is cyclic (of order  $q^2$ ). Let  $W \in \mathfrak{Q}_2$ ,  $W \in \mathfrak{Q}$

be a generator of  $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{D}_2$ . Then  $C(W)$  has the index  $n_2$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $W^q \notin Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{D}$ . This is a contradiction. Now  $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{D}^*$  is cyclic; in fact,  $\mathfrak{D}/\mathfrak{D}^*$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{P}^*/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{P}^*$ , where  $\mathfrak{P}^*$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  (cf. Proposition 3.7). Furthermore, the above argument shows that  $\mathfrak{D}:\mathfrak{D}^*=q$  and that  $\mathfrak{D}^*:Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{D}^*=q$ . Then take a prime divisor  $r$  of  $|\mathfrak{F}^*|$  distinct from  $p$  and  $q$ . Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{R}^*$  be Sylow  $r$ -subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  such that  $\mathfrak{R} \supseteq \mathfrak{R}^*$ . Then as above we obtain that  $\mathfrak{R}:\mathfrak{R}^*=\mathfrak{R}^*:Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{R}^*=r$ . We may assume that  $r>q$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{R}^*$  can be considered as a regular automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{D}^*/Z(\mathfrak{G}) \cap \mathfrak{D}^*$ , this is a contradiction. Hence there exists no free fundamental subgroup (of index  $n_2$ ).

Now every  $p$ -element is contained in some fundamental subgroup of type 2. Hence we get that  $O_p(\mathfrak{G})=\mathfrak{P}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{H}$  is solvable,  $\mathfrak{G}$  is solvable against the assumption.

**Proposition 3.21.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  be fundamental subgroups of type 1 and 2 such that  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \supset \mathfrak{F}_2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_1:\mathfrak{F}_2$  is square-free.*

*Proof.* This is obvious by Propositions 3.12 and 3.20.

Now let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  be  $p$ -singular and  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  be  $q$ -singular, where  $p \neq q$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  be a fundamental subgroup of type 2 contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . By Proposition 3.6  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is nilpotent. Since  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is  $p$ -singular,  $\mathfrak{F}_1:N(\mathfrak{F}_2) \cap \mathfrak{F}_1=p$  or 1. Next let  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  be a fundamental subgroup of type 2 contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . By Proposition 3.6  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is nilpotent. Since  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is  $q$ -singular,  $\mathfrak{F}_1:N(\mathfrak{F}_2) \cap \mathfrak{F}_1=q$  or 1. Assume that  $p>q$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . Since  $N(\mathfrak{P}_2) \cap \mathfrak{F}_1=N(\mathfrak{F}_2) \cap \mathfrak{F}_1$ , we see that  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Let  $X$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  not belonging to  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  such that  $|X|$  is prime to  $q$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{F}_1=C(Y)$ , where  $Y$  is a  $q$ -element. Then  $C(XY)$  is a fundamental subgroup of type 2 contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . The above argument shows that  $C(XY)$  is a nilpotent normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_2 C(XY)$  is nilpotent. This is a contradiction. This implies that  $\mathfrak{F}_1:\mathfrak{F}_2=q$ . But then  $\mathfrak{F}_1:\mathfrak{F}_2=q$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  is normal in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . There exists an element  $Z$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  not belonging to  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  such that  $|Z|$  is prime to  $p$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{F}_1=C(W)$ , where  $W$  is a  $p$ -element. Then  $C(ZW)$  is a fundamental subgroup of type 2 contained in  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . The above argument shows that  $C(ZW)$  is a nilpotent normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_2 C(ZW)$  is nilpotent. This is a contradiction (cf. Proposition 1.1).

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

### Bibliography

- [1] W. Feit and J.G. Thompson: *Solvability of groups of odd order*. Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963), 755–1029.

- [2] M. Hall: *The Theory of Groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959.
- [3] B. Huppert: *Endliche Gruppen, I*. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1967.
- [4] N. Ito: *Remarks on factorizable groups*, Acta. Sci. Math. Szeged **14** (1951), 83–84.
- [5] N. Ito: *On finite groups with given conjugate types I*, Nagoya Math. J. **6** (1953), 17–28.
- [6] M. Suzuki: *On a finite group with a partition*, Arch. Math. **12** (1961), 241–254.
- [7] M. Suzuki: *On a class of doubly transitive groups*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 105–145.
- [8] M. Suzuki: *Finite groups of even order in which Sylow 2-subgroups are independent*, Ann. of Math. **80** (1964), 58–77.
- [9] M. Suzuki: *Finite groups in which the centralizer of any element of order 2 is 2-closed*, Ann. of Math. **82** (1965), 191–212.
- [10] H. Zassenhaus: *The Theory of Groups*, Chelsea, New York, 1958.

