

Title	Diffeomorphic extension of biholomorphic mappings with smooth modulus
Author(s)	Abe, Yukitaka
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1990, 27(3), p. 621–627
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/10807
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Abe, Y. Osaka J. Math. 27 (1990), 621-627

# DIFFEOMORPHIC EXTENSION OF BIHOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS WITH SMOOTH MODULUS

# **ЧИКІТАКА АВЕ**

(Received September 18, 1989)

# 1. Introduction

Fefferman proved in [8] that any biholomorphic mapping between two smooth bounded strictly pseudoconvex domains  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  in  $C^n$  extends to a diffeomorphism of  $\overline{D}_1$  onto  $\overline{D}_2$ . Later Fefferman's theorem was extended by Bell and Ligocka [7] and Bell [2].

Let D be a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $L^2(D)$  be the space of square-integrable functions on D. We denote by H(D) the space of square-integrable holomorphic functions on D. The Bergman projection P is the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(D)$  to H(D). The domain D is said to satisfy condition R if P maps  $C^{\infty}(\overline{D})$  continuously into  $C^{\infty}(\overline{D})$ . Bell's result [2] is as follows:

Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If either  $D_1$  or  $D_2$  satisfies condition R, then any biholomorphic mapping between  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  extends to a diffeomorphism of  $\overline{D}_1$  onto  $\overline{D}_2$ .

It is not known that any biholomorphic mapping between smooth bounded weakly pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  can be extended to a diffeomorphism onto the bouundary. Fornaess proved in [9] that any biholomorphic mapping  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  between bounded pseudoconvex domains  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\mathbb{C}^2$ boundary extends to a  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -diffeomorphism of  $\overline{D}_1$  onto  $\overline{D}_2$ , if f has a  $\mathbb{C}^2$ -extension  $f: \overline{D}_1 \rightarrow \overline{D}_2$ . In this paper we shall prove the theorem of this type. Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Using Bell's method we shall prove that any biholomorphic mapping  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  extends to a  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ -diffeomorphism of  $\overline{D}_1$  onto  $\overline{D}_2$ , whenever  $|f|^2$  is  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

Let D be a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domiain in  $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ . We denote by  $W^{s}(D)$  the usual Sobolev space for s>0. A negative Sobolev space  $W^{-s}(D)$  is the dual space of  $W^{s}_{0}(D)$ , where  $W^{s}_{0}(D)$  is the closure of  $C^{\infty}_{0}(D)$  in  $W^{s}(D)$ . We now consider the dual space  $W^{s}(D)^{*}$  of  $W^{s}(D)$  for s>0.

Let  $\langle , \rangle$  be the  $L^2(D)$  inner product. For any  $f \in L^2(D), \langle \cdot, f \rangle$  is a

Ү. Аве

continuous complex linear functional on  $W^{s}(D)$ . We set

$$\|\|f\|\|_{-s} = \sup_{\substack{\psi \in W_s(D) \\ ||\psi||_s = 1}} |\langle f, \psi \rangle|_s$$

Then we regard  $L^2(D)$  as a subspace of  $W^s(D)^*$  via  $\langle , \rangle$ , and denote it by  $L^{-s}(D)$ . The norm of  $W^{-s}(D)$  is denoted by  $|| \cdot ||_{-s}$ . By the same way as in [5], we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** Let D be a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then the norms  $||\cdot||_{-s}$  and  $|||\cdot|||_{-s}$  are equivalent on H(D).

We set

$$|||f|||_s = \sup_{\substack{g \in L^{-s}(D) \\ |||g|||_{-s} = 1}} |\langle f, g \rangle|,$$

for  $f \in L^2(D)$ . If  $|||f|||_s < \infty$ , then we regard f as an element of the dual space  $L^{-s}(D)^*$  of  $L^{-s}(D)$  and we write  $f \in L^{-s}(D)^*$ .  $H^s(D)$  is the subspace of  $W^s(D)$  consisting of holomorphic functions. Note that  $C^{\infty}(\overline{D}) = \bigcap_{s>0} W^s(D)$  and  $H^{\infty}(\overline{D}) = \bigcap_{s>0} H^s(D)$ .

Bell constructed a bounded linear operator  $\Phi^s: H^s(D) \to W^s_0(D)$  such that  $P\Phi^s h = h$  for all  $h \in H^s(D)$  (see [1], [2] and [4]). This operator was extended to a bounded linear operator  $\tilde{\Phi}^s: W^s(D) \to W^s_0(D)$  with  $P\tilde{\Phi}^s = P$  ([11]). For t > 0, we denote by  $L^2_t(D)$  the weighted Hilbert space of complex valued functions on D with inner product given by

$$\langle g,h\rangle_t = \int_D g(z)\overline{h(z)}e^{-t|z|^2}d\mu(z).$$

The weighted Bergamn projection  $P_t$  is the orthogonal projection of  $L_i^2(D)$  onto H(D) with respect to the inner product  $\langle , \rangle_t$ . By a Kohn's result [10] it holds that for a positive integer s there exists a positive number  $t_0$  such that  $P_t$  maps  $W^s(D)$  into  $W^s(D)$  continuously, if  $t > t_0$ . There exists a bounded linear operator  $\Phi_t^s \colon W^s(D) \to W_0^s(D)$  such that  $P_t \Phi_t^s = P_t$  (cf. [5]).

#### 3. Holomorphic Functions with duality condition

Throughout this section we assume that D is a smooth bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $C^{n}$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let s be a positive integer and let  $f \in L^2(D)$ . If  $f \in L^{-s}(D)^*$ , then there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|||hf|||_{s} \leq C |||f|||_{s} ||h||_{s}$$

for all  $h \in W^{s}(D)$ .

622

Proof. For any  $\psi \in L^{-s}(D)$  we have

$$|\langle hf, \psi \rangle| \leq |||f|||_{s}|||\bar{h}\psi|||_{-s}$$
.

There exists a positive constant C such that

$$||h\varphi||_s \leq C ||h||_s ||\varphi||_s$$

for all  $h, \varphi \in W^{s}(D)$ . Then it follows that

$$\begin{split} |||\bar{h}\psi|||_{-s} &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in W^s(D) \\ ||\varphi||_s = 1}} |\langle \bar{h}\psi, \varphi \rangle| \\ &\leq \sup |||\psi|||_{-s} ||h\varphi||_s \\ &\leq C |||\psi|||_{-s} ||h||_s \,. \end{split}$$

Hence we have

$$egin{aligned} |||hf|||_s &= \sup_{\substack{\psi \in L^{-s}(D) \ |||\psi|||_{-s} = 1}} |\langle hf, \psi 
angle| \ &\leq C |||f|||_s ||h||_s \,. \end{aligned}$$

Let t > 0. For  $h \in H(D)$ , we define

$$|||h|||_{s,t} = \sup_{\substack{g \in H(D)\\||g||_{-s} = 1}} |\langle h, g \rangle_t|.$$

This norm was defined in [2].

**Proposition 3.** Let  $f \in L^2(D)$ . If  $f \in L^{-s}(D)^*$ , then  $|||P_t(hfe^{t|z|^2})|||_{s,t} \leq C|||f|||_s||h||_s$ 

for all  $h \in W^{s}(D)$  and all t > 0, where C is a constant.

Proof. By the definition we have

$$|||P_{t}(hfe^{t|z|^{2}})|||_{s,t} = \sup_{\substack{g \in H(D) \\ ||g||_{-s} = 1}} |\langle P_{t}(hfe^{t|z|^{2}}), g \rangle_{t}|$$
  
= sup |\langle hf, g \rangle |  
\lesup ||\hf |||\_{s} ||g||||\_{-s}.

Then the conclusion follows from Propositions 1 and 2.

**Proposition 4.** Suppose  $f \in H(D)$  is contained in  $L^{-s}(D)^*$ . Then  $f \in H^{s}(D)$ .

Proof. By Lemma 3 in [2], it suffices to show that  $|||f|||_{s,t} < \infty$  for some t > 0. We prove it according to an idea of Bell [2].

Ү. Аве

First, we expand  $e^{-t|z|^2}$  in a power series

$$e^{-t|z|^2} = \sum c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \overline{z}^{\alpha}$$
.

Let  $R>\sup\{|z|; z\in D\}$ . Then we have  $||z^{\sigma}||_{\sigma} \leq c_{\sigma}R^{|\sigma|}$ , where  $c_{\sigma}$  is a constant depending only on the integer  $\sigma$ . For  $g\in H(D)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, g \rangle_t| &= |\int_D f \bar{g} e^{-t|z|^2}| \\ &\leq \sum |c_{\alpha}| |\langle z^{\alpha} f, z^{\alpha} g \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

Next we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle z^{\alpha}f, z^{\alpha}g \rangle| &= |\langle z^{\alpha}fe^{t|z|^2}, z^{\alpha}g \rangle_t| \\ &= |\langle P_t(z^{\alpha}fe^{t|z|^2}), z^{\alpha}g \rangle_t| \\ &\leq |||P_t(z^{\alpha}fe^{t|z|^2})|||_{s,t}||z^{\alpha}g||_{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 3 that

$$|||P_t(z^{\alpha}fe^{t|z|^2})|||_{s,t} \le C_1|||f|||_s||z^{\alpha}||_s.$$

And also we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||z^{\alpha}g||_{-s} &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D) \\ ||\varphi||_s = 1}} |\langle z^{\alpha}g, \varphi \rangle| \\ &\leq \sup ||g||_{-s} ||\overline{z}^{\alpha}\varphi||_s \\ &\leq C_2 ||g||_{-s} ||z^{\alpha}||_s . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $||z^{\alpha}||_{s} \leq c_{s} R^{|\alpha|}$ , we finally obtain

$$|||f|||_{s,t} = \sup_{\substack{g \in H(D) \\ ||g||_{-s} = 1}} |\langle f, g \rangle_t|$$
  
$$\leq C_3 \sum |c_{\alpha}| |||f|||_s ||z^{\alpha}||_s^2$$
  
$$\leq C_4 |||f|||_s \sum |c_{\alpha}| R^{2|\alpha|}$$
  
$$\leq C_4 |||f|||_s e^{tnR^2}.$$

Hence the proof finishes.

# 4. Theorem

**Theorem.** Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and let  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  be a biholomorphic mapping. If  $|f|^2$  is  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ , then f extends to a diffeomorphism of  $\overline{D}_1$  onto  $\overline{D}_2$ .

6**24** 

Proof. First we show that  $U \cdot h \circ F \in L^{-s}(D_2)^*$  for all s > 0 and all  $h \in H^{\infty}(\overline{D}_1)$ , where  $F = f^{-1}$  and U = Det[F'].

Let s>0 and let  $h \in H^{\infty}(\overline{D}_1)$ . Take a t>0 such that  $P_t: W^s(D_2) \to H^s(D_2)$  is bounded. There exists an integer M such that the operator  $\varphi \mapsto U \cdot (\varphi \circ F)$  is bounded from  $W_0^{s+M}(D_1)$  to  $W_0^s(D_2)$  (Lemma 4 in [2].). For  $\psi \in L^{-s}(D_2)$  we have

$$|\langle U \cdot h \circ F, \psi \rangle| = |\langle U \cdot h \circ F, P_t(\psi e^{t|w|^2}) \rangle_t|.$$

Letting  $g = P_t(\psi e^{t|w|^2})$ , we obtain

$$|\langle U \cdot h \circ F, g \rangle_t| = |\langle h, u \cdot g \circ f e^{-t|f|^2} \rangle|,$$

where u = Det[f']. Using a bounded operator  $\Phi^{s+M}: W^{s+M}(D_1) \to W_0^{s+M}(D_1)$ with  $P\Phi^{s+M} = P$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h, u \cdot g \circ f e^{-t|f|^2} \rangle| &= |\langle \Phi^{s+M}(h e^{-t|f|^2}), u \cdot g \circ f \rangle| \\ &\leq C_1 ||h||_{s+M} ||u \cdot g \circ f||_{-s-M} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the norm  $||u \cdot g \circ f||_{-s-M}$ . By the definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||u \cdot g \circ f||_{-s-M} &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1}} |\langle u \cdot g \circ f, \varphi \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1} \\ &= \sup_{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D$$

Since  $||| \cdot |||_s \leq || \cdot ||_s$ , we obtain

$$||u \cdot g \circ f||_{-s-M} \leq C_2 |||\psi|||_{-s}.$$

Thereofre we get

$$\begin{aligned} |||U \cdot h \circ F|||_s &= \sup_{\substack{\psi \in L^{-s}(D_2) \\ |||\psi|||_{-s} = 1}} |\langle U \cdot h \circ F, \psi \rangle| \\ &\leq C_2 ||h||_{s+M} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from Proposition 4 that  $U \cdot h \circ F \in H^{\infty}(\overline{D}_2)$  for all  $h \in H^{\infty}(\overline{D}_1)$ . Putting h=1 and  $h=z^{\infty}$ , we obtain  $U \in H^{\infty}(\overline{D}_2)$  and  $U \cdot F^{\infty} \in H^{\infty}(\overline{D}_2)$ . Then F extends smoothly to the boundary (see the proof of Theorem 1 in [6]). Of course it implies  $|F|^2 \in C^{\infty}(\overline{D}_2)$ . Similarly, we obtain that  $u \cdot H \circ f \in L^{-s}(D_1)^*$  for all s>0 and all  $H \in H^{\infty}(\overline{D}_2)$ . By the same reason we obtain that f extends smoothly to the boundary. Since U=1/u, f is a diffeomorphism of  $\overline{D}_1$  onto  $\overline{D}_2$ . Ү. Аве

# 5. Remarks

By the proof of Theorem we obtain that a biholomorphic mapping  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  between smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  extends to a diffeomorphism of  $\overline{D}_1$  onto  $\overline{D}_2$ , if f has the following property

$$u \cdot H \circ f \in L^{-s}(D_1)^*$$

for all s>0 and all  $H \in H^{\infty}(\overline{D}_2)$ .

The relation between the above property and condition R is as follows.

**Proposition 5.** Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Suppose that  $D_1$  satisfies condition R. Then any proper holomorphic mapping  $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$  has the property

$$u \cdot H \circ f \in L^{-s}(D_1)^*$$

for all s > 0 and all  $H \in H^{\infty}(\overline{D}_2)$ .

Proof. Let  $P_i$  be the Bergman projection associated to  $D_i$ . Bell [3] proved a transformation formula

$$P_1(u \cdot \varphi \circ f) = u \cdot (P_2 \varphi) \circ f$$

for  $\varphi \in L^2(D_2)$ . For a given s > 0, there exists an integer M such that  $P_1: W^{s+M}(D_1) \to H^s(D_1)$  is bounded. We have an integer N depending on s and M such that the operator  $\varphi \mapsto u \cdot \varphi \circ f$  is bounded from  $W_0^N(D_2)$  to  $W_0^{s+M}(D_1)$ . There exists a bounded operator  $\Phi^N: W^N(D_2) \to W_0^N(D_1)$  such that  $P_2 \Phi^N = P_2$ . For  $\psi \in L^{-s}(D_1)$  it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u \cdot H \circ f, \psi \rangle| &= |\langle u \cdot (\Phi^N H) \circ f, P_1 \psi \rangle| \\ &\leq ||u \cdot (\Phi^N H) \circ f||_{s+M} ||P_1 \psi||_{-s-M} \,. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that

$$||u \cdot (\Phi^N H) \circ f||_{s+M} \leq C_1 ||H||_N$$
.

By the boundedness of  $P_1$  we have

$$\begin{split} ||P_1\psi||_{-s-M} &= \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(D_1) \\ ||\varphi||_{s+M} = 1}} |\langle P_1\psi, \varphi| \rangle \\ &= \sup_{\substack{|\varphi||_{s+M} = 1}} |\langle \psi_1, P_1\varphi \rangle| \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{||\psi|||_{-s} \\ \leq C_2|||\psi|||_{-s}}} . \end{split}$$

Therefore we obtain

626

$$|||u \cdot H \circ f|||_s = \sup_{\substack{\psi \in L^{-s}(D_1) \\ |||\psi|||_{-s} = 1}} |\langle u \cdot H \circ f, \psi \rangle|$$
  
$$\leq C_3 ||H||_N.$$

This completes the proof.

#### References

- S. Bell: Non-vanishing of the Bergman kernel function at boundary points of certain domains in C<sup>n</sup>, Math. Ann. 244 (1979), 69-74.
- [2] S. Bell: Biholomorphic mappings and the  $\bar{\partial}$ -problem, Ann. of Math. 114 (1981), 103-113.
- [3] S. Bell: Proper holomorphic mappings and the Bergman projection, Duke Math. J. 48 (1981), 167-175.
- [4] S. Bell: A representation theorem in strictly pseudoconvex domains, Illinois J. of Math. 26 (1982), 19-26.
- [5] S. Bell and H. Boas: Regularity of the Bergman projection in weakly pseudoconvex domains, Math. Ann. 257 (1981), 23-30.
- [6] S. Bell and D. Catlin: Boundary regularity of proper holomorphic mappings, Duke Math. J. 49 (1982), 385-396.
- [7] S. Bell and E. Ligocka: A simplification and extension of Fefferman's theorem on biholomorphic mappings, Invent. Math. 57 (1980), 283-289.
- [8] C. Fefferman: The Bergman kernel and biholomorphic mappings of pseudoconvex domains, Invent. Math. 26 (1974), 1–65.
- [9] J. Fornaess: Biholomorphic mappings between weakly pseudoconvex domains, Pacific J. Math. 74 (1978), 63-65.
- [10] J.J. Kohn: Global regularity for ∂ on weakly pseudo-convex manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 181 (1973), 273–292.
- [11] E. Straube: Harmonic and analytic functions admitting a distribution boundary value, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 11 (1984), 559-591.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Toyama University Toyama 930 Japan