



Title	Z/kZ-finiteness for certain S^1 -spaces
Author(s)	Sumi, Toshio
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1992, 29(3), p. 607-615
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/10890
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

Sumi, T.
 Osaka J. Math.
29 (1992), 607–615

Z/kZ-FINITENESS FOR CERTAIN S¹-SPACES

TOSHIO SUMI

(Received July 25, 1991)
 (Revised September 6, 1991)

Introduction

Let $G\text{-}\mathcal{FD}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{W}$ denote the category of G -spaces having the G -homotopy type of a finitely dominated G -CW complex for a compact Lie group G . Lück [8] has introduced a functor Wa^G from $G\text{-}\mathcal{FD}\mathcal{C}\mathcal{W}$ into the category of abelian groups and has realized the equivariant finiteness obstruction as the element $w^G(X)$ in $Wa^G(X)$. That is, a finitely dominated G -CW complex X is G -homotopy equivalent to a finite G -CW complex if and only if $w^G(X)=0$. When G is the trivial group, there is an isomorphism from $Wa^G(X)$ to the reduced projective group $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$ which sends the element $w^G(X)$ to the Wall's finiteness obstruction ([14]).

Anderson [1] and Ehrlich [4] have studied a sufficient condition for $w^{(1)}(E)=0$ for some fibration $E \rightarrow B$ with fiber S^1 . Munkholm, Pedersen [11], Lück [6, 7, 9] and others have studied the transfer map $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}[\pi_1(B)]) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_0(\mathbf{Z}[\pi_1(E)])$. The purpose of this paper is to get a sufficient condition for $w^L(X)=0$ for a S^1 -space X and a finite cyclic group L .

We call G -maps $f_0: Y_0 \rightarrow X$ and $f_0: Y_4 \rightarrow X$ equivalent if there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & Y_0 & \hookrightarrow & Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{G}} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{G}} & Y_3 & \leftarrow & Y_4 \\
 & & \searrow f_0 & & \searrow f_1 & & \searrow f_2 & & \searrow f_3 & & \swarrow f_4 \\
 & & & & X & & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

such that (Y_1, Y_0) and (Y_3, Y_4) are relatively finite G -CW complexes, and $Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ and $Y_3 \rightarrow Y_4$ are G -homotopy equivalences. The group $Wa^G(X)$ consists of equivalence classes $[f: Y \rightarrow X]$ of the set of G -maps $f: Y \rightarrow X$ with Y finitely dominated and $w^G(X)$ is the equivalence class containing the identity 1_X of X . The additive structure on $Wa^G(X)$ is given by a disjoint sum:

$$[f: Y \rightarrow X] + [g: Z \rightarrow X] = [f \amalg g: Y \amalg Z \rightarrow X]$$

Let K be a closed subgroup of G . For a K -space X , we define $\text{ind}_K^G X$ as the orbit space $G \times_K X$ of the product space $G \times X$ with respect to the K -action $k \cdot (g, x) = (gk^{-1}, kx)$. For a K -map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we have an induced map $1 \times_{Kf}: G \times_K X \rightarrow G \times_K Y$, denoted by $\text{ind}_K^G f$. The induction functor ind_K^G induces a transformation $\text{Ind}_K^G: (Wa^K, w^K) \rightarrow (Wa^G, w^G)$. To consider G -maps as K -maps implies a transformation $\text{Res}_K^G: (Wa^G, w^G) \rightarrow (Wa^K, w^K)$.

Throughout this paper we denote by ν the restriction of the G -action of X to $G \times \{x_0\}$. If $G = S^1$ and X is connected, the order of the image of $H_1(\nu; \mathbf{Z})$ is independent of taking a point x_0 of X .

Our main results are as follows:

Theorem A. *Let X be a connected S^1 -CW complex which has finitely many orbit types. Suppose that the S^1 -map $\nu: S^1 \rightarrow X$ defined as above induces a monomorphism $H_1(\nu; \mathbf{Z})$ between 1-dimensional homology groups. Then there exist a proper subgroup K of S^1 and a K -CW complex Y such that $S^1 \times_K Y$ is S^1 -homotopy equivalent to X .*

Theorem B. *Let $G = S^1$ and let X be a connected G -space. If the above defined G -map $\nu: G \rightarrow X$ induces an injective homomorphism $H_1(\nu; \mathbf{Z})$, then the restriction homomorphism $\text{Res}_H^G(X): Wa^G(X) \rightarrow Wa^H(X)$ is trivial for any proper subgroup H of G .*

This paper is organized as follows. Let $F \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ be a G -fibration with fibre F ([15]). In [13], we have constructed a transfer $p^!: Wa^G(B) \rightarrow Wa^G(E)$. But this homomorphism does not always send $w^G(B)$ to $w^G(E)$. It is originated from that (Wa^G, w^G) is not a functorial additive invariant for $G\text{-}\mathcal{FD}\mathcal{CW}$. In section 1 we study a K -CW structure on $p^{-1}(1K)$ for a G -CW complex E which has a G -map $E \rightarrow G/K$. In section 2 we show that if $G \times_K X$ has the G -homotopy type of a finite G -CW complex then X has the K -homotopy type of a finite K -CW complex. In section 3, we prove Theorem A in the case where X is free. We use the fact that $\pi_1(X/K)$ has the subgroup $\pi_1(S^1/K)$ as a direct summand for some closed subgroup K of G . The last section consists of the proof of the main theorems. The proof of Theorem A is obtained from applying the free case.

1. K -CW structure on X of a G -CW complex $G \times_K X$

Let G be a compact Lie group. We study a space $p^{-1}(\{pt\})$ for a G -map p from a G -space onto an orbit space G/K of G . We note that it has a canonical K -action.

Proposition 1.1. (cf.[12]) *A G -map $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a G -fibration if and only if $p^K: E^K \rightarrow B^K$ is a fibration for any closed subgroup K of G .*

Proof. This follows essentially from Theorem 4.1 in [2].

Since $Y \rightarrow G \times_K Y \rightarrow G/K$ is a G -fibration ([15, 13]), we have that $Y^L \rightarrow (G \times_K Y)^L \rightarrow (G/K)^L$ is a fibration for any closed subgroup L of G .

We symbolize 1 as the identity element of G . For any G -map $p: X \rightarrow G/K$ it is a G -fibration with fibre $p^{-1}(1K)$. The following lemma is a key to show the main theorems. It implies that a G -map $p: X \rightarrow G/K$ with X a G -CW complex is G -homotopy equivalent to a G -fibration whose fibre is a K -CW complex.

Lemma 1.2. *Let X be a G -CW complex which has a G -map $p: X \rightarrow G/K$. A K -CW complex can be constructed from the G -CW structure of X such that the K -space $V = p^{-1}(1K)$ is homotopy equivalent to it. In particular it is a finite K -CW complex if X is a finite G -CW complex.*

Proof. Clearly we have $G \times_K V$ and X are G -homeomorphic. Then we construct a K -CW complex W and a K -homotopy equivalence $W \rightarrow V$ by induction on the dimension of cells of X . By the existence of the G -map p , we obtain that L is subconjugate to K for any isotropy subgroup L of G in X . We can regard a 0-cell $G/L \times e^0$ of X as $G \times_K K/aLa^{-1} \times e^0$ for $a \in G$ with $aLa^{-1} \leq K$. Suppose that $X = G \times_K Y \cup_{\phi} G/L \times e^n$ for some K -CW complex Y . Let C be a connected component of $(G/K)^L$ which contains $p^L \circ \phi(1L \times e^n)$. Take $aK \in C$ and let $\psi: G/aLa^{-1} \rightarrow G/L$ be the canonical G -map. Then the pushout of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/aLa^{-1} \times e^n & \hookrightarrow & G/aLa^{-1} \times e^n \\ & \downarrow \phi \circ (\psi \times 1) & \\ G \times_K Y & & \end{array}$$

is G -homotopy equivalent to X . Then we can assume that $L \leq K$ and $p^L \circ \phi(1L \times e^n)$ is contained in the connected component of $1K$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1L \times e^n & \hookrightarrow & 1L \times e^n \\ & \downarrow \phi|_{1L \times e^n} & \\ Y^L & \rightarrow & (G \times_K Y)^L \xrightarrow{p^L} (G/K)^L \end{array}$$

Since the map $p^L \circ \phi|_{1L \times e^n}$ is homotopic to a constant map, there is a map $\sigma: 1L \times e^n \rightarrow (G/K)^L$ such that σ coincides with $p^L \circ \phi$ over $1L \times e^n$ and $\sigma(0) = 1K$. We define a map $\tau: 1L \times e^n \times I \rightarrow (G/K)^L$ as $\tau(s, t) = \sigma((1-t)s)$. Since $(G \times_K Y)^L \rightarrow (G/K)^L$ is a fibration with fibre Y^L , there exists a homotopy $F: 1L \times e^n \times I \rightarrow (G \times_K Y)^L$ such that $F_0 = \phi|_{1L \times e^n}$ and $F_1(1L \times e^n) \subseteq Y^L$. This map can be canonically extended to a G -map Φ from $G/L \times e^n \times I$ to $G \times_K Y$. Let W be a K -CW complex obtained from the following pushout.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K/L \times e^* & \hookrightarrow & K/L \times e^* \\
 \downarrow \Phi_1 & & \\
 Y & &
 \end{array}$$

By the property of pushout, we get a K -map $k: W \rightarrow V$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W \hookrightarrow G \times_K W & \longrightarrow & G/K \\
 \downarrow k & \downarrow \text{ind}_K^G k & \parallel \\
 V \hookrightarrow X = G \times_K V & \xrightarrow{p} & G/K
 \end{array}$$

Since the G -map $\text{ind}_K^G k$ is a G -homotopy equivalence, we have the K -map k is a K -homotopy equivalence.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a K -map between K -CW complexes and $(V, G \times_K Y)$ be a G -CW pair. If there is a G -map $g: V \rightarrow G \times_K X$ which is an extension of the G -map $1 \times_K f$, then there exists a K -map $k: W \rightarrow X$ unique up to K -homotopy equivalence which fulfills the following conditions.*

- (1) *(W, Y) is a K -CW pair.*
- (2) *There is a G -homotopy equivalence $h: (G \times_K W, G \times_K Y) \rightarrow (V, G \times_K Y)$ such that $\text{ind}_K^G k$ and $g \circ h$ are G -homotopic.*
- (3) *The number of the relative cells of (W, Y) equals that of $(V, G \times_K Y)$.*

2. Induction homomorphism

Let $D^G(X)$ be the set of equivalence classes of the set of G -maps $f: Y \rightarrow X$ where Y has the G -homotopy type of a G -CW complex. Here the equivalence relation is defined as in introduction. For a G -map $f: Y \rightarrow X$, we denote by $[f: Y \rightarrow X]$ its represented element of $D^G(X)$. The additive structure on $D^G(X)$ is given as the one of $Wa^G(X)$. A G -map from a finite G -CW complex to X represents the zero element of $D^G(X)$. Then $D^G(X)$ is a semigroup and we obtain a map $Wa^G(X) \rightarrow D^G(X)$ which preserves the abelian structures.

Lemma 2.1. *The element of $D^G(X)$ represented by the identity map of X is invertible if and only if X is finitely dominated.*

Proof. The “if” part is trivial and then we show the “only if” part. There is the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & i & & h \\
 & X \amalg Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y_2 & \xleftarrow{\quad} Y_3 \\
 & \searrow & & \downarrow & \swarrow \\
 & & & X &
 \end{array}$$

such that $(Y_2, X \coprod Y_1)$ is a relatively finite G -CW complex, Y_3 is a finite G -CW complex, and h is a G -homotopy equivalence. Let $h^{-1}: Y_2 \rightarrow Y_3$ be the G -homotopy inverse of h . Then r is a domination with section $h^{-1} \circ i$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let K be a closed subgroup of G . A K -space X is a finitely dominated K -space if and only if $G \times_K X$ is a finitely dominated G -space.*

Proof. Suppose $G \times_K X$ is dominated by a finite G -CW complex Y_3 . There is a commutative diagram such that f_3 is a domination with section $h^{-1}ij$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G \times_K X & \xhookrightarrow{j} & (G \times_K X) \coprod Y_1 & \xhookrightarrow{i} & Y_2 \xleftarrow{h} Y_3 \\ & & id \coprod f_1 \searrow & \downarrow f_2 & \swarrow f_3 \\ & & & G \times_K X & \end{array}$$

We let $Z_1 = (pf_1)^{-1}(1K)$, $Z_2 = (pf_2)^{-1}(1K)$, and $Z_3 = (pf_3)^{-1}(1K)$ for short, where $p: G \times_K X \rightarrow X$ is the canonical projection. We have G -homeomorphisms h_l ($l=1, \dots, 3$) such that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \coprod Z_1 & \xhookrightarrow{i} & Z_2 & \xleftarrow{\bar{h}} & Z_3 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (G \times_K X) \coprod (G \times_K Z_1) & \hookrightarrow & G \times_K Z_2 & \leftarrow & G \times_K Z_3 \\ \downarrow h_1 & & \downarrow h_2 & & \downarrow h_3 \\ (G \times_K X) \coprod Y_1 & \xhookrightarrow{i} & Y_2 & \xleftarrow{h} & Y_3 \end{array}$$

By taking the Z_l 's, the G -maps f_l induce K -maps $\bar{f}_l: Z_l \rightarrow X$ ($l=1, \dots, 3$). Since \bar{h} is a K -homotopy equivalence and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \coprod Z_1 & \xhookrightarrow{i} & Z_2 & \xleftarrow{\bar{h}} & Z_3 \\ id \coprod \bar{f}_1 \searrow & & \downarrow \bar{f}_2 & \swarrow \bar{f}_3 & \\ & & X & & \end{array}$$

commutes, Z_3 dominates X . By Lemma 1.2, Z_3 has the K -homotopy type of a finite K -CW complex. This completes the proof.

Let $\Phi: D^G(G \times_K X) \rightarrow D^K(X)$ be a homomorphism induced by a mapping assigning $k: W \rightarrow X$, described as in Theorem 1.3, to any G -map $g: V \rightarrow G \times_K X$. It is an inverse isomorphism of a homomorphism $D^K(X) \rightarrow D^G(G \times_K X)$ induced by ind_K^G . Since $G \times_K W \xrightarrow{g} V$, it follows from Proposition 2.2 that $\Phi(Wa^G(G \times_K X)) \subset Wa^K(X)$. Then we have:

Theorem 2.3. *Let K be any closed subgroup of G and let X be a K -space. The induction homomorphism $\text{Ind}_K^G(X): Wa^K(X) \rightarrow Wa^G(G \times_K X)$ is an isomorphism. In particular $G \times_K X$ has the G -homotopy type of a finite G -CW complex if and only if X has the K -homotopy type of a finite K -CW complex.*

3. Free S^1 -spaces

In this section we study Theorem A for free S^1 -spaces. We denote a group S^1 by G and let X be a connected free G -CW complex such that $H_1(v; \mathbf{Z})$ is injective. If the projection $X \rightarrow X/G$ is a principal G -bundle and the fundamental group of X is abelian, Anderson [1] has shown that the universal cover of X is $\pi_1(X/G)$ -homeomorphic to the product of the universal cover of X/G and the real space \mathbf{R} with some $\pi_1(X/G)$ -action on \mathbf{R} . We show that for some $K \leq G$ and some CW complex V , the G -space X/K is G -homotopy equivalent to $G/K \times_{(1_K)} V = G/K \times V$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a connected free G -space such that the G -map $v: G \rightarrow X$ induces a monomorphism $H_1(v; \mathbf{Z})$. There is a finite subgroup K of G such that $\pi_1(X/K)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(G/K) \oplus \pi_1(X/G)$.*

Proof. For any $K \leq G$, we have a short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(G/K) \rightarrow \pi_1(X/K) \rightarrow \pi_1(X/G) \rightarrow 1$$

We construct a splitting $\pi_1(X/K) \rightarrow \pi_1(G/K)$ for some $K < G$. By the assumption, there is an epimorphism $\mu: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(G) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \pi_1(X) \\ n \searrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ & & \mathbf{Z} \end{array}$$

Here n is multiplication by $n \geq 0$. Let K be a subgroup of G with order n .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_1(G) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \pi_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathbf{Z} \\ \downarrow n & & \downarrow p & & \dashrightarrow \bar{\mu} \\ \pi_1(G/K) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\nu}} & \pi_1(X/K) & & \\ \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow \partial & & \\ K & \xlongequal{\quad} & K & & \end{array}$$

By a chasing method, the equation $\bar{\nu}(m)p(y) = \bar{\nu}(m')p(y')$ implies that there is

$z \in \pi_1(G)$ satisfying $m = m' + nz$ and $y = \nu(z^{-1})y'$. Then we have

$$m + \mu(y) = m' + nz + \mu(\nu(z^{-1})) + \mu(y') = m' + \mu(y').$$

For any $x = \bar{\nu}(m)p(y) \in \pi_1(X/K)$ we define as $\bar{\mu}(x) = m + \mu(y)$. Then the map $\bar{\mu}: \pi_1(X/K) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is a homomorphism with $\bar{\mu} \circ p = \mu$, since the image of $\bar{\nu}$ is a subgroup of the center of $\pi_1(X/K)$. Since both $\mu \circ \nu$ and n are multiplication by n , we have $\bar{\mu} \circ \bar{\nu} = 1$ and $\bar{\mu}$ is the required splitting.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be as in Theorem A. If X is free, then there are a proper subgroup K of G and a CW complex V such that $G/K \times V$ and X/K are G -homotopy equivalent.*

Proof. Let K be a subgroup of G such that $\pi_1(X/K) \cong \pi_1(G/K) \oplus \pi_1(X/G)$. We denote by $p: V \rightarrow X/K$ the covering space corresponding to $\pi_1(X/G) \leq \pi_1(X/K)$. The G -map $G/K \times V \rightarrow X/K$, sending (gK, v) to $g \cdot p(v)$, induces an isomorphism of homotopy groups. By a Whitehead theorem of the equivariant version [10], it is a G -homotopy equivalence.

By Lemma 1.2 there is a K -CW complex Y such that $G \times_K Y$ and X is G -homotopy equivalent.

REMARK. Let Y be a K -space obtained from the G -homotopy pullback of the G -map p through the covering map $V \rightarrow X/K$. Then the G -map $G \times_K Y \rightarrow X$ induced by the given K -map $Y \rightarrow X$ is a G -homotopy equivalence.

4. Proof of Theorems A and B

In this section, we also denote S^1 by G .

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be as in Theorem A and let H be a finite subgroup of G . Then $H_1(p \circ \nu; \mathbf{Z})$ is monic for the projection $p: X \rightarrow X/H$.*

Proof. As the H -action on X comes from a G -action by restriction, H acts trivially on $H_1(X; \mathbf{Z})$. Applying Theorem 2.4 [3, p. 120], we obtain that the projection induces an isomorphism $H_1(p; \mathbf{Q}): H_1(X; \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow H_1(X/H; \mathbf{Q})$. Then $H_1(p; \mathbf{Z})$ is injective on any free abelian subgroup of rank one in $H_1(X; \mathbf{Z})$.

We note that $\pi_1(\nu)$ is monic does not imply that $\pi_1(p \circ \nu)$ is injective.

Proof of Theorem A. Let H be a cyclic subgroup of which order is a common multiple of order of all isotropy subgroups in X . Clearly X/H is a connected free G/H -CW complex. By the argument in the previous section, there are a free K/H -CW complex V and a G/H -homotopy equivalence $h: G/H \times_{K/H} V \rightarrow X/H$. We see canonically V as a K -CW complex. Then h induces a G -homotopy equivalence $h': G \times_K V \rightarrow X/H$. The G -space W obtained from a

G -homotopy pullback of h' through the projection $X \rightarrow X/H$ is G -homotopy equivalent to X .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times_K V & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X/H \end{array}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1.2, there is a K -CW complex Y such that $G \times_K Y$ and W are G -homotopy equivalent. This completes the proof.

To prove Theorem B we may show the following:

Proposition 4.3. *Let X be a connected finitely dominated G -space which fulfills that $H_1(\nu; \mathbf{Z})$ is injective. Then the K -space X has the K -homotopy type of a finite K -CW complex for any finite subgroup K of G .*

Proof. X has the G -homotopy type of a G -CW complex with finitely many orbit types [5, Theorem 1.4]. By Theorem A and Proposition 2.2, there is a finitely dominated L -space Y such that $X \xrightarrow{G} G \times_L Y$. Since $G \times_L Y \rightarrow G/L$ is a K -fibration, we have the result. (See Theorem 3.6 [13].)

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be any compact Lie group and let K be a subgroup of G . Let X be a finitely dominated G -space with X/G connected. If the rank of the image of $H_1(W_G K \rightarrow X/K; \mathbf{Z})$ is not zero, then $\text{Res}_K^G(X): Wa^G(X) \rightarrow Wa^K(X)$ is a zero map.*

Proof. Let T be a maximal torus of $W_G K$. Since $H_1(T \rightarrow W_G K; \mathbf{Z})$ is epic, there is a proper subgroup \bar{C} of $W_G K$ such that \bar{C} is isomorphic to S^1 and $H_1(\bar{C} \rightarrow X/K; \mathbf{Z})$ is injective. Then there is a \bar{C} -map $f: X/K \rightarrow \bar{C}/L$ for some finite subgroup L of \bar{C} . Let C (resp. L) be the preimage of \bar{C} (resp. L) under the projection $N_G K \rightarrow W_G K$. Clearly $h: \bar{C}/L \cong C/L$. Since K is a normal subgroup of C , the projection $p: X \rightarrow X/K$ is a C -map. Then $h \circ f \circ p: X \rightarrow C/L$ is an equivariant C -fibration. The K -space C/L has a trivial K -action and its Euler characteristic is zero. If we apply Theorem 2.6 [13] to the equivariant K -fibration $h \circ f \circ p$, we conclude the proof.

References

- [1] D.R. Anderson: *The Wall invariant of certain S^1 -bundles*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1972), 529–535.
- [2] G.E. Bredon: Equivariant cohomology theories, Lecture Notes in Math. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1967,
- [3] G.E. Bredon: Introduction to compact transformation groups, Academic Press,

New York, 1972.

- [4] K. Ehrlich: *Fibrations and a transfer map in algebraic K-theory*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **14**(1979), 131–136.
- [5] K. Iizuka: *Finiteness condition for G-CW complexes*, Jap. J. Math. **10**(1984), 55–69.
- [6] W. Lück: *The transfer maps induced in the algebraic K_0 -and K_1 -groups by a fibration I*, Math. Scand. **59**(1986), 93–121.
- [7] W. Lück: *The transfer maps induced in the algebraic K_0 -and K_1 -groups by a fibration II*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **45**(1987), 143–169.
- [8] W. Lück: *The geometric finiteness obstruction*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **54**(1987), 367–384.
- [9] W. Lück: Transformation groups and algebraic K-theory, Lecture Notes in Math. 1408, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [10] T. Matumoto: *On G-CW complexes and a theorem of J.H.C. Whitehead*, J. Fac. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I A Math. **18**(1971), 363–374.
- [11] H.J. Munkholm and E.K. Pedersen: *On the Wall finiteness obstruction for the total space of certain fibrations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **261**(1980), 529–545.
- [12] R.L. Rubinsztein: *On the equivariant parallelizable manifolds*, Math. Scand. **62**(1988), 217–245.
- [13] T. Sumi: *Finiteness obstructions of equivariant fibrations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci, **27** (1991), 627–637
- [14] C.T.C. Wall: *Finiteness conditions for CW complexes*, Ann. of Math. **81**(1965), 56–69.
- [15] S. Waner: *Equivariant fibrations and transfer*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **258** (1980), 369–384.

Department of Mathematics
 Kyushu University 33
 Fukuoka 812, Japan
 E-mail: d75190a@kyu-cc.cc.
 kyushu-u.ac.jp,
 sumi@math sci. kyushu-u.
 ac.jp

