



Title	On P-exchange rings
Author(s)	Kambara, Hikoji; Oshiro, Kiyoichi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1988, 25(4), p. 833-842
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/10913
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

ON P -EXCHANGE RINGS

HIKOJI KAMBARA AND KIYOICHI OSHIRO

(Received July 24, 1987)

There is a problem concerning the exchange property: which ring R satisfies the condition that every projective right R -module satisfies the exchange property. A ring R with the above condition is said to be a right P -exchange ring. P -exchange rings have been studied in [2], [3], [4], [6], [7], [11], [12], and recently in [9]. Among others, it is shown in [9] that semi-regular rings with right T -nilpotent Jacobson radical are right P -exchange rings, and the converse holds for commutative rings but not in general. It is still open to determine the structure of P -exchange rings. Our main object of this paper is to show that a ring is a right P -exchange ring if and only if all Pierce stalks R_x are right P -exchange rings.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all rings R considered are associative and all R -modules are unitary. For an R -module M , $J(M)$ denotes the Jacobson radical of M . For a ring R , $B(R)$ represents the Boolean ring consisting of all central idempotents of R and, as usual, $\text{Spec}(B(R))$ denotes the spectrum of all prime (=maximal) ideals of $B(R)$. For a right R -module M and an element a in M and x in $\text{Spec}(B(R))$ we put $M_x = M/Mx$ and $a_x = a + Mx (\in M_x)$. M_x is called the Pierce stalk of M for x ([8]). Note that $M_x = M \otimes_R R_x$ and R_x is flat as an R -module, hence for a submodule N of M , $N_x \subseteq M_x$. For e in $B(R)$, note that $e_x = 1_x$ if and only if $e \in B(R) - x$. Let A and B be right R -modules and x in $\text{Spec}(B(R))$. Then there exists a canonical homomorphism σ from $\text{Hom}_R(A, B)$ to $\text{Hom}_{R_x}(A_x, B_x)$. We denote $f^x = \sigma(f)$ for f in $\text{Hom}_R(A, B)$. We note that if A is projective, then σ is an epimorphism.

We will use later the following well known facts [8]:

- a) Let M and N be finitely generated right R -modules with $M \subseteq N$. If $x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))$ and $M_x = N_x$ then $Me = Ne$ for suitable e in $B(R) - x$.
- b) For right R -modules M and N with $M \supseteq N$, if $N_x = M_x$ for all x in $\text{Spec}(B(R))$, then $M = N$.
- c) A ring R is a commutative regular ring if and only if all stalks R_x are fields, and similarly, a ring R is a strongly regular ring if and only if all R_x are division rings.

For an R -module M and a cardinal α , αM denotes the direct sum of α -copies of M .

2. P -exchange ring

An R -module M is said to satisfy (or have) the *exchange* property if, for any direct sums

$$X = \sum_I \oplus X_\alpha = M \oplus Y$$

of R -modules, there exist suitable submodules $X'_\alpha \subseteq X_\alpha$ such that

$$X = M \oplus \sum_I \oplus X'_\alpha.$$

Whenever this property hold for any finite set I , M is said to satisfy the *finite exchange* property. Recently, B. Zimmerman and W. Zimmerman pointed out an important fact that, in the definition above, we can assume that each X_α is isomorphic to M . A ring R is said to be an *exchange* ring (or a *suitable* ring) if R satisfies the exchange property as a right, or equivalently left, R -module.

DEFINITION (cf. ([9])). A ring R is a right P -exchange ring (resp. PF -exchange ring) if every projective right R -module satisfies the exchange (resp. finite exchange) property.

For the study of P -exchange (and PF -exchange) rings, we need the following conditions (N_1) and (N_2) for projective right R -modules P :

(N_1) For any finite sum $P = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$, there exist submodules $A_i^* \subseteq A_i$ such that $P = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus A_i^*$.

(N_2) For any sum $P = \sum_I a_\alpha R$, there exist suitable submodules $a_\alpha^* R \subseteq a_\alpha R$ such that $P = \sum_I \oplus a_\alpha^* R$.

The following is due to Nicholson ([6]).

Proposition 1. a) The following are equivalent for a ring R :

- 1) R is right PF -exchange.
 - 2) $J(R)$ is right T -nilpotent (equivalently, $J(\mathfrak{K}_0 R)$ is small in $\mathfrak{K}_0 R$) and $R/J(R)$ is right PF -exchange.
 - 3) (N_1) holds for any projective right R -module P .
- b) If R is right PF -exchange, then so is every factor ring of R .

Similar results on P -exchange ring also hold:

Proposition 2 (Stock [9]). a) The following are equivalent for a ring R :

- 1) R is right P -exchange.
- 2) $J(R)$ is right T -nilpotent and $R/J(R)$ is right P -exchange.
- 3) (N_2) holds for any projective right R -module P .

b) If R is right P -exchange, then so is every factor ring of R .

Lemma 1. If $\aleph_0 R$ satisfies the condition (N_2) for any countable set I , then so does every free (hence every projective) right R -module.

Proof. Let $F = \sum_{\Lambda} \oplus R_{\lambda}$ be a free right R -module with $R_{\lambda} \simeq R$. Consider a sum $F = \sum_{\Gamma} a_{\sigma} R$. For subsets $I \subseteq \Lambda$ and $J \subseteq \Gamma$, put $F(I) = \sum_I \oplus R_{\sigma}$ and $A(J) = \sum_J a_{\sigma} R$. First we take a finite subset $I_1 \subseteq \Lambda$. Starting from I_1 , we can proceed to take $J_1 \subseteq \Gamma$, $I_2 \subseteq \Lambda$, $J_2 \subseteq \Gamma$, $I_3 \subseteq \Lambda$, \dots such that

- 1) each I_i and J_i are finite sets,
- 2) $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots$, $J_1 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq \dots$,
- 3) $F(I_1) \subseteq A(J_1) \subseteq F(I_2) \subseteq A(J_2) \subseteq \dots$.

Putting $\Lambda_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ and $\Gamma_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i$, we see that

- 4) $|\Lambda_1| \leq \aleph_0$, $|\Gamma_1| \leq \aleph_0$,
- 5) $F(\Lambda_1) = A(\Gamma_1)$.

Next, we take a finite subset $K_1 \subseteq \Lambda - \Lambda_1$. And again starting from K_1 , we take subsets $L_1 \subseteq \Gamma - \Gamma_1$, $K_2 \subseteq \Lambda - \Lambda_1$, $K_3 \subseteq \Lambda - \Lambda_1$, \dots such that

- 1) each K_i and L_i are finite sets,
- 2) $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$, $L_1 \subseteq L_2 \subseteq \dots$,
- 3) $F(\Lambda_1) \oplus F(K_1) \subseteq A(\Gamma_1) + A(L_1) \subseteq F(\Lambda_1) \oplus F(K_2) \subseteq A(\Gamma_1) + A(L_2) \subseteq \dots$.

Putting $\Lambda_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$ and $\Gamma_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i$, we see that

- 4) $|\Lambda_2| \leq \aleph_0$, $|\Gamma_2| \leq \aleph_0$,
- 5) $F(\Lambda_1) \oplus F(\Lambda_2) = A(\Gamma_1) + A(\Gamma_2)$.

Proceeding this argument transfinite-inductively, we can get a well ordered set Ω and subfamilies $\{\Lambda_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Omega} \subseteq 2^{\Lambda}$ and $\{\Gamma_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Omega} \subseteq 2^{\Gamma}$ such that

- a) for each $\alpha \in \Omega$, $|\Lambda_{\alpha}| \leq \aleph_0$ and $|\Gamma_{\alpha}| \leq \aleph_0$,
- b) for each $\alpha \in \Omega$, $\sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \oplus F(\Lambda_{\beta}) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} A(\Gamma_{\beta})$,
- c) $F = \sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} \oplus F(\Lambda_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} A(\Gamma_{\alpha})$.

For each $\alpha \in \Omega$, let $\psi_{\alpha}: F = \sum_{\Omega} \oplus F(\Lambda_{\sigma}) \rightarrow F(\Lambda_{\alpha})$ be the projection. By b) we see that

$$F(\Lambda_{\alpha}) = \psi_{\alpha}(A(\Gamma_{\alpha})).$$

and

$$F = \sum_{\Omega} \oplus F(\Lambda_{\sigma}) = \sum_{\Omega} \psi_{\sigma}(A(\Gamma_{\sigma})).$$

Since $F(\Lambda_{\sigma}) = \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda_{\sigma}} \oplus R_{\tau} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Gamma_{\sigma}} \psi_{\sigma}(a_{\lambda} R) = \psi_{\sigma}(A(\Gamma_{\sigma}))$, we can take $a_{\lambda}^{\sigma} \in a_{\lambda} R$ for all $\lambda \in \Gamma_{\sigma}$ such that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Gamma_{\sigma}} \oplus \psi_{\sigma}(a_{\lambda}^{\sigma} R) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda_{\sigma}} \oplus R_{\sigma}$$

Since $\psi_\omega(a_\lambda^\alpha R)$ is projective, we can take $a_\lambda^\alpha \in a_\lambda R$ such that the restriction map $\psi_\omega|_{a_\lambda^\alpha R}$ is an isomorphism for each $\lambda \in \Gamma_\omega$ and $\alpha \in \Omega$. Then we see that

$$F = \sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} \oplus (\sum_{\lambda \in \Gamma_\omega} \oplus a_\lambda^\alpha R))$$

as desired.

Lemma 2. *If $\aleph_0 R$ satisfies the exchange property, then $\aleph_0 R$ satisfies the condition (N_2) .*

Proof. Let $F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus m_i R$ be a free right R -module $R \simeq m_i R$ by $r \mapsto m_i r$. Consider a sum $F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i R$, and let $\psi: \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus m_i R \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i R$ be the canonical epimorphism from Lemma 1. Since $F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i R$ is projective, $\text{Ker } \psi \triangleleft \oplus F$; say $F = B \oplus \text{Ker } \psi$. Let $\pi: F = B \oplus \text{Ker } \psi \rightarrow B$ be the projection and put $b_i = \pi(m_i)$ for all i . Then $\psi(b_i) = a_i$ for all i . By assumption, there exist a decomposition $m_i R = n_i R \oplus t_i R$ for each i such that

$$\begin{aligned} F &= (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i R) \oplus \text{Ker } \psi \\ &= (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus n_i R) \oplus \text{Ker } \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi(n_i R) \subseteq b_i R$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus \pi(n_i R) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i R$, we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus \psi \pi(n_i R) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i R$ and $\psi \pi(n_i R) \subseteq a_i R$ for each i . Thus F satisfies the condition (N_2) .

Theorem 1. *The following conditions are equivalent for a given ring R :*

- 1) R is a right P -exchange ring.
- 2) Every projective right R -module satisfies the condition (N_2) .
- 3) $\aleph_0 R$ has the exchange property.
- 4) $\aleph_0 R$ satisfies the condition (N_2) .

Proof. The implications $1) \Rightarrow 3)$ and $2) \Rightarrow 4)$ are trivial. $1) \Leftrightarrow 2)$ is Proposition 2. The implication $4) \Rightarrow 2)$ is Lemma 1 and $3) \Rightarrow 4)$ is Lemma 2.

3. Commutative P -exchange ring

In this section, we study the rings whose Pierce stalks are local right perfect rings. Such rings are right P -exchange rings and for commutative rings the converse also holds (Theorem 2 and Corollary 1)

Lemma 3. *If R is a ring such that all R_x are local right perfect rings, then so is every factor ring of R .*

Proof. Let I be an ideal of R , and put $\bar{R} = R/I$. Let y be in $\text{Spec}(B(\bar{R}))$ and put $x = \{e \in B(R) \mid e + I \in y\}$. Then $x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))$ and there is a ring

epimorphism from R_x to R_y , as a result, R_y is also a local right perfect ring.

Propositton 3. *Let R be a ring whose Pierce stalks are local right perfect rings. Then*

- 1) $J(R)$ is right T -nilpotent,
- 2) $J(R)$ coincides with the set of all nilpotent elements of R .

Proof. 1) Let $\{a_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$ be a subset of $J(R)$ and let $x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))$. Since $J(R)_x \subseteq J(R_x)$, $\{(a_i)_x | i=1, 2, \dots\} \subseteq J(R_x)$. Hence there exists n such that $(a_n)_x(a_{n-1})_x \cdots (a_1)_x = 0$. So there exists a neighborhood $N(x)$ of x such that $(a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1)_z = 0_z$ for all z in $N(x)$. Hence by the partition property of $\text{Spec}(B(R))$, we can have neighborhoods N_1, \dots, N_k and n_1, \dots, n_k such that $\text{Spec}(B(R)) = N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_k$ and $(a_{n_i} a_{n_i-1} \cdots a_1)_x = 0_x$ for all x in N_i for $i=1, \dots, k$. Hence if we put $m = \max\{n_i\}$, then $(a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1)_x = 0_x$ for all x in $\text{Spec}(B(R))$, hence $a_m a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 = 0$.

2) By 1) $J(R)$ is nil. For x in $\text{Spec}(B(R))$, we denote by $M(x)$ the unique maximal (right) ideal of R containing R_x . Then we see that $\{M(x) | x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))\}$ is just the family of all maximal right ideals of R . For, if M is a maximal right ideal of R , then $\{e \in B(R) | e \in M\} \in \text{Spec}(B(R))$. As a result, we have $J(R) = \bigcap \{M(x) | x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))\}$. Now, let a be a nilpotent element of R . Since $M(x)/R_x = J(R_x)$, we see that $a \in M(x)$. (Note that R_x is local). Hence $a \in \bigcap \{M(x) | x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))\} = J(R)$. Accordingly $J(R)$ coincides with the set of all nilpotent elements of R .

Lemma 4. *Let R be a ring such that $J(R) = 0$ and all stalks R_x are local right perfect rings. Then R is a strongly regular ring.*

Proof. We may show that all stalks are division rings. Let $x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))$. Let a be in R such that $a_x \in J(R_x)$. Then there exists n such that $(a_x)^n = (a^n)_x = 0$, so $a^n e = 0$ for a suitable e in $B(R) - x$. Since $(ae)^n = a^n e = 0$, Proposition 4 shows that $ae \in J(R) = 0$, so $a_x = 0_x$. Thus $J(R_x) = 0$. Since R_x is a right perfect ring, it follows that R_x is a division ring.

NOTATION. For a ring R , we denote by $I(R)$ the set of all idempotents of R . Of course $B(R) \subseteq I(R)$.

Lemma 5. *For a ring R , the following are equivalent :*

- 1) $I(R) = B(R)$.
- 2) $I(R_x) = \{1_x, 0_x\}$ for all x in $\text{Spec}(B(R))$.

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2): Let $a \in R$ such that $a_x \in I(R_x)$ (where $x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))$). Since $(a^2)_x = a_x$, $a^2 e = ae$ for some e in $B(R) - x$. Then $ae \in I(R) = B(R)$, we see that $a_x (= (ae)_x)$ is either 1_x or 0_x . 2) \Rightarrow 1): Let $a \in I(R)$ and $x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))$. Then $a_x = 1_x$ or $a_x = 0_x$ since $a_x \in I(R_x)$. Here using the partition property of

$\text{Spec}(B(R))$, we can take a suitable e in $B(R)$ such that $ae=e$ and $a(1-e)=0$, whence $a=e \in B(R)$. Thus $I(R)=B(R)$.

We are now ready to show the following.

Theorem 2. *The following conditions are equivalent for a given ring R :*

- 1) *R is a right P -exchange ring and $I(R)=B(R)$.*
- 2) *$R/J(R)$ is a strongly regular ring, $J(R)$ is right T -nilpotent and $I(R)=B(R)$.*
- 3) *All stalks are local right perfect rings.*

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 3): By Proposition 2 (b) and Lemmas 3 and 5, each R_x is a P -exchange ring with $I(R_x)=B(R_x)$, whence R_x is a right perfect ring by [11, Theorem 8]. The implication 2) \Rightarrow 1) follows from Proposition 2. The implication 3) \Rightarrow 2) follows from Proposition 3 and Lemmas 3 and 4.

Corollary 1. *The following conditions are equivalent for a commutative ring R .*

- 1) *R is P -exchange ring.*
- 2*) *$R/J(R)$ is a regular ring and $J(R)$ is T -nilpotent.*
- 3) *All stalks are local perfect rings.*

REMARK 3. The equivalence of 1) and 2) in Theorem 2 above is shown in [9]. It should be noted that an exchange ring with T -nilpotent Jacobson radical need not be a P -exchange ring, because there exist a non-regular commutative exchange ring R with $J(R)=0$ ([5]).

4. Main Theorem

As we see later, or by [9] the equivalence of 1) and 2) in Corollary 1 does not hold in general. However we show that 1) and 3) are equivalent, that is, the following holds:

Theorem 3. *A ring R is a right P -exchange ring if and only if all Pierce stalks R_x are P -exchange rings.*

Lemma 6. *Let P be a projective right R -module and let $x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))$.*

- 1) *If A is a finitely generated submodule with $A_x \subset \bigoplus P_x$, then $Ae \subset \bigoplus P$ for a suitable e in $B(R)-x$.*
- 2) *If P is finitely generated and A_1 and A_2 are finitely generated submodules of P with $P_x = (A_1)_x \oplus (A_2)_x$, then $Pe = A_1e \oplus A_2e$ for a suitable e in $B(R)-x$.*

Proof. As 1) follows from 2), we may only show 2). Let τ_i be the

*) Prof. Y. Kurata informed the authors that commutative rings R which satisfy the condition 2) in Corollary 2 are studied in [1].

inclusion mapping: $A_i \rightarrow P$ for $i=1, 2$. Since P is projective, there exist $\pi_1: P \rightarrow A_1$ and $\pi_2: P \rightarrow A_2$ such that $(\pi_i)^*$ is the projection: $P_x = (A_1)_x \oplus (A_2)_x$ for $i=1, 2$. Noting that P, A_1 and A_2 are finitely generated, we can take a suitable e in $B(R)-x$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - (\tau_1\pi_1 + \tau_2\pi_2))(Pe) &= 0, \quad ((\tau_i\pi_i - (\tau_i\pi_i)^2)(Pe) = 0, \\ (\tau_i\pi_i\tau_j\pi_j)(Pe) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that $Pe = A_1e \oplus A_2e$.

Lemma 7. *Let P be a projective right R -module with a sum $P = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i R$, and let $x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))$. If $P_x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i R)_x$, then there exists $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B(R)-x$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i R = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i R \subsetneq P$ for all n .*

Proof. Since $(a_1 R)_x \subsetneq P_x$, there exists $e_1 \in B(R)-x$ such that $a_1 e_1 R \subsetneq P$ by Lemma 6. Since $(a_1 R \oplus a_2 R)_x \subsetneq P_x$, there exists $e'_2 \in B(R)-x$ such that $a_1 e'_2 R \oplus a_2 e'_2 R \subsetneq P$. Put $e_2 = e_1 e'_2$. Then we see that

$$a_1 e_1 R + a_2 e_2 R = a_1 e_1 R \oplus a_2 e_2 R \subsetneq P.$$

By similar argument, we can take $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B(R)-x$ such that $e_n e_{n+1} = e_{n+1}$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$ and

$$a_1 e_1 R + \dots + a_n e_n R = a_1 e_1 R \oplus \dots \oplus a_n e_n R \subsetneq P$$

for $n=1, 2, \dots$.

Lemma 8. *Let P be a finitely generated projective right R -module such that all stalks P_x have the exchange property. Then P has the exchange property.*

Proof. Since P is finitely generated, we may show that P satisfies the condition (N_1) (Proposition 1). So, let $P = A + B$, where A and B are finitely generated submodules. Let $x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))$. Since P_x satisfies (N_1) , we can take finitely generated submodules $A^x \subseteq A$ and $B^x \subseteq B$ such that $P_x = (A^x)_x \oplus (B^x)_x$. Then, by Lemma 6, $Pe = A^x e \oplus B^x e$ for a suitable e in $B(R)-x$. Using the partition property of $\text{Spec}(B(R))$, we can take orthogonal idempotents e_1, \dots, e_n in $B(R)$ and finitely generated submodules A^{x_1}, \dots, A^{x_n} of A and B^{x_1}, \dots, B^{x_n} of B such that

$$P = A^{x_1} e_1 \oplus B^{x_1} e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A^{x_n} e_n \oplus B^{x_n} e_n.$$

Hence putting $A^* = A^{x_1} e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A^{x_n} e_n$ and $B^* = B^{x_1} e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B^{x_n} e_n$, we have that $P = A^* \oplus B^*$.

Proof of Theorem 3. If R is a right P -exchange ring, then all R_x are right P -exchange rings by Proposition 2. Conversely, assume that all R_x are right P -exchange rings. We may show that $\aleph_0 R$ satisfies the condition (N_2) . Let

$F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus R_i$ be a free right R -module with $R_i \simeq R$ for all i , so $F = \aleph_0 R$. We put $F(s) = R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_s$ for $s=1, 2, \dots$. Now, consider a sum $F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i R$. For any x in $\text{Spec}(B(R))$, as F_x satisfies (N_2) , we can take by Lemma 7 $\{b_i^x \in a_i R \mid i=1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $F_x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus (b_i^x R)_x$ and

$$F \oplus b_1^x R + \cdots + b_n^x R = b_1^x R \oplus \cdots \oplus b_n^x R$$

for all n .

Let $x \in \text{Spec}(B(R))$ and take any $s_1 \geq 1$. Then there exists $n(x)$ such that

$$F(s_1)_x \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{n(x)} \oplus (b_i^x R)_x$$

and so there exists $e(x)$ in $B(R) - x$ such that

$$F(s_1)e(x) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{n(x)} \oplus b_i^x e(x) R \subset \oplus F$$

Using the partition property, we have x_1, \dots, x_n in $\text{Spec}(B(R))$, orthogonal idempotents $\{e(x_1), \dots, e(x_n)\} \subseteq B(R)$ and m_1 such that $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n e(x_i)$ and

$$F(s_1) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} \oplus b_i^{x_1} e(x_1) R \oplus \cdots \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} \oplus b_i^{x_n} e(x_n) R \subset \oplus F$$

Put $b_i^1 = \sum_{j=1}^n b_i^{x_j} e(x_j)$ for $i=1, \dots, m_1$. Then $b_i^1 \in a_i R$ and

$$F(s_1) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} \oplus b_i^1 R \subset \oplus F.$$

Put $G_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} \oplus b_i^1 R$. Then $G_1 \subseteq F(s_2)$ for a suitable $s_2 > s_1$. By the same argument as above, we can take m_2 and $b_i^2 \in a_i R$ for $i=1, \dots, m_2$ such that

$$F(s_2) \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^{m_2} \oplus b_i^2 R.$$

Put $G_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m_2} \oplus b_i^2 R$. Then $G_2 \subseteq F(s_3)$ for some $s_3 > s_2 > s_1$. Continuing this argument, we can take $s_1 < s_2 < s_3 < \dots$ and $G_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} \oplus b_i^1 R$, $G_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m_2} \oplus b_i^2 R$, \dots such that $b_i^k \in a_i R$ for all i, k , each G_i is a direct summand of F and

$$F(s_1) \subseteq G_1 \subseteq F(s_2) \subseteq G_2 \subseteq F(s_3) \subseteq \dots.$$

Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F(s_i)$, we see $F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$. Since G_{n-1} has the exchange property by Lemma 8, there exists $\{c_i^n \in b_i^n R \mid i=1, \dots, m_n\}$ such that

$$G_n = G_{n-1} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} \oplus c_i^n R.$$

In particular, put $c_i^1 = b_i^1$ for $i=1, \dots, m_1$. Then we see that

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} \oplus c_i^1 R \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{m_2} \oplus c_i^2 R \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{m_2} \oplus c_i^3 R \oplus \dots.$$

We put

$$A_k = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus c_k^i R \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then $A_i \subseteq a_i R$ for all i and $F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus A_i$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2. *If R is a ring such that all Pierce stalks are right perfect rings, then R is a right P -exchange ring.*

By making use of the corollary, we shall give a right P -exchange ring.

EXAMPLE. Let P be an indecomposable right perfect ring and Q an indecomposable right perfect subring of P with the same identity. Consider the rings $W = \prod_I P_{\alpha}$ and $V = \prod_I Q_{\alpha}$, where $Q_{\alpha} \simeq Q$ and $P_{\alpha} \simeq P$ for all $\alpha \in I$. Then the ring W is an extension ring of V and becomes a right V -module. Put $R = \sum_I \oplus P_{\alpha} + 1Q$, where 1 is the identity of W . Then R is a ring such that $B(R) = \sum_I \oplus B(P_{\alpha})^* + 1B(Q)$. We can easily see that $\text{Spec}(B(R)) = \{x_0\} \cup \{x_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in I\}$, where $x_0 = \sum_I \oplus B(P_{\alpha})$ and $x_{\alpha} = \sum_{I - \{\alpha\}} \oplus B(P_{\beta}) + 1B(Q)$. Further we see that $R_{x_0} \simeq Q$ and $R_{x_{\alpha}} \simeq P$ for all $\alpha \in I$. Hence Corollary 3 says that R is a right P -exchange ring. In particular, if we take $\begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ F & F \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$ as P and Q , respectively, where F is a division ring, then R is a non-singular, right P -exchange ring with $J(R) = 0$.

References

- [1] E.L. Gorbachuk and N. Ya. Komarnitskii: *I-radical and their properties*, Ukrainian Math. J. **30** (1978), 161–165.
- [2] M. Harada and T. Ishii: *On perfect rings and the exchange property*, Osaka J. Math. **12** (1975), 483–491.
- [3] J. Kado: *Note on exchange property*, Math. J. Okayama Univ., **18** (1976), 153–157.
- [4] M. Kutami and K. Oshiro: *An example of a ring whose projective modules have the exchange property*, Osaka J. Math. **17** (1980), 415–420.
- [5] G.S. Monk: *A characterization of exchange rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1972), 349–353.
- [6] W.K. Nicholson: *Lifting idempotents and exchange rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **229** (1977), 269–278.
- [7] K. Oshiro: *Projective modules over von Neumann regular rings have the finite exchange property*, Osaka J. Math. **20** (1983), 695–699.
- [8] R.S. Pierce: *Modules over commutative regular rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 70 (1967).

*) Note that $B(P) = B(Q) = GF(2)$

- [9] J. Stock: *On rings whose projectives have the exchange property*, J. Algebra, **103** (1986), 437–453.
- [10] R.B. Warfield, Jr.: *A Krull-Schmidt theorem for infinite sums of modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), 460–465.
- [11] K. Yamagata: *On projective modules with exchange property*, Sci. Rep Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sec. A **12** (1974), 149–158.
- [12] B. Zimmermann-Huisgen and W. Zimmermann: *Classes of modules with exchange property*, J. Algebra **88** (1984), 416–434.

Hikoji KAMBARA
Department of Mathematics
Osaka City University
Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558
Japan

Kiyoichi OSHIRO
Department of Mathematics
Yamaguchi University
Yamaguchi 753
Japan