

Title	Analytic semigroups generated by elliptic operators in L <sup>1</sup> and parabolic equations
Author(s)	Di Blasio, Gabriella
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1991, 28(2), p. 367–384
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/10971
rights	
Note	

## Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

### ANALYTIC SEMIGROUPS GENERATED BY ELLIPTIC OPERATORS IN L<sup>1</sup> AND PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

GABRIELLA DI BLASIO(\*)

(Received July 19, 1990)

#### 0. Introduction

Parabolic equations in  $L^p$  spaces have been studied both by potential theory and by abstract methods mainly when p>1. In this paper we want to continue our previous researchs on the  $L^1$  case ([4], [5]) by using a semigroup approach.

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . We denote by E a second order elliptic operator in  $\Omega$  and by  $A_1$  the  $L^1$  realization of E with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then it is known (see Amann [1], Pazy [11] and Tanabe [14]) that  $A_1$  is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup in  $L^1(\Omega)$ . We set  $X=L^1(\Omega)$  and denote by S(t) the semigroup generated by  $A_1$ .

In this paper we establish some new properties for the semigroup S(t). Moreover we give a characterization in term of Besov spaces for the interpolation spaces  $D_{A_1}(\theta, 1)$ , between the domain of  $A_1$  and  $L^1(\Omega)$ , defined as (see Butzer and Berens [2] and Peetre [12])

(0.1) 
$$D_{A_1}(\theta, 1) = \{ u \in X : \int_0^{+\infty} ||A_1 S(t) u||_X t^{-\theta} dt \} < +\infty \}.$$

This characterization allows us to find new regularity results for the solutions of the following Cauchy problem

(0.2) 
$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = A_1 u(t) + f(t) \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

where  $f \in L^1(0, T; X)$  and  $u_0 \in X$ . For the connection between the regularity properties of solutions of (0.2) and the interpolation spaces  $D_{A_1}(\theta, 1)$  we refer to [4].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we prove that the semigroup S(t) satisfies the following estimates, for some M', M'' > 0 and  $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

(0.3) 
$$\sqrt{t} \|D_i S(t)\|_{L(X)} \leq M' \exp(\omega t) \qquad i = 1, \dots, n$$

<sup>(\*)</sup> The work of the author is partially supported by M.P.I. 40% and by G.N.A.F.A.

and

(0.4) 
$$t \|D_{ih} S(t)\|_{L(X)} \leq M'' \exp(\omega t) \quad i, h = 1, \dots, n$$

where we have set  $D_i = \partial/\partial x_i$  and  $D_{ik} = D_i D_k$ . Properties (0.3) and (0.4) give precise information about the behavior at t=0 of the spatial derivatives of semigroup S(t) (and hence about the solutions of (0.2)).

In section 3 we use these estimates and prove, in a very direct way and without using the reiteration property, the following characterization of the interpolation spaces  $D_{A_1}(\theta, 1)$ , for each  $0 < \theta < 1$ 

(0.5) 
$$D_{A_1}(\theta, 1) = \begin{cases} W^{2\theta,1}(\Omega), & \text{if } 0 < \theta < 1/2 \\ u \in B^{1,1}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} (d(x, \partial \Omega))^{-1} |u(x)| \, dx < +\infty, & \text{if } \theta = 1/2. \\ W^{2\theta,1}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,1}(\Omega), & \text{if } 1/2 < \theta < 1 \end{cases}$$

Here  $W^{2\theta,1}(\Omega)$  denotes the Sobolev space of fractional order,  $B^{1,1}(\Omega)$  denotes the Besov space and  $d(x, \partial\Omega)$  the distance from x to  $\partial\Omega$ . This characterization has been given by Grisvard [6] for the case p>1. If the operator E has  $C^{\infty}$ coefficients and  $\theta \neq 1/2$  the characterization (0.5) can be deduced by a result of Guidetti, [8], obtained by complex interpolation methods.

Finally in section 4 we obtain a quite complete description of the regularity of the solutions of the following problem (for which (0.2) is the abstract version)

(0.6) 
$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = Eu(t, x) + f(t, x), t > 0, x \in \Omega \\ u(t, x) = 0, t > 0, x \in \partial \Omega \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

where  $f \in L^1([0, T[\times \Omega)]$  and  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ .

These results for parabolic second order differential equations extend to the case p=1 the classical theory for parabolic equations developed by Ladyzenskaja, Solonnikov and Ura'lceva [10] and others, for the case p>1.

#### 1. The spaces $D_A(\theta, p)$ and $(D(A), X)_{\theta, p}$

In this section we recall some definitions and properties concerning interpolation spaces which are needed in the sequel.

#### a) The spaces $D_A(\theta, p)$

Let X be a Banach space with norm ||.|| and let  $A: D(A) \subseteq X \to X$  be a linear closed operator which generates an analytic semigroup  $\exp(tA)$  in X. By this we mean that there exists  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi \in ]\pi/2$ ,  $\pi[$  and M>0 such that the set  $Z_{\varphi} = \{z: |\arg(z-\omega)| < \varphi\} \cup \{\omega\}$  belongs to the resolvent set of A. Moreover for each  $z \in Z_{\varphi}$  we have

(1.1) 
$$|z-\omega| ||R(z, A) x|| \le M ||x||$$

where  $R(z, A) = (z - A)^{-1}$ . For convenience we assume that A satisfies (1.1) with  $\omega = 0$  (so that  $\exp(tA)$  is a bounded semigroup). This can be always be achieved by replacing A by  $A - \omega I$  and  $\exp(tA)$  by  $\exp(-\omega t) \exp(tA)$ .

In what follows we denote by  $D_A(\theta, p)$  (for  $0 < \theta < 1$  and  $1 \le p < \infty$ ) the space of all elements  $x \in X$  satisfying

$$H_{\theta,p}(x) = (\int_0^{+\infty} (t^{1-\theta} ||A \exp(tA) x||)^p t^{-1} dt)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

It can be seen that  $D_A(\theta, p)$  are Banach spaces under the norm  $|||x|||_{\theta,p} = ||x|| + H_{\theta,p}(x)$ . Moreover

$$D(A) \hookrightarrow D_A(\theta, p) \hookrightarrow X$$
.

The spaces  $D_A(\theta, p)$  were introduced by Butzer and Berens [2] and by Peetre [12]. We refer to [2 Chapter 3.2] for a more detailed description of the properties of these spaces.

#### b) The spaces $(X, D(A))_{\theta, p}$

For our pourposes it is convenient to incorporate the spaces  $D_A(\theta, p)$  in the theory of intermediate spaces. Let  $X, X_1$  and  $X_2$  be Banach spaces such that  $X_1 \hookrightarrow X$ , i=1, 2. We denote the elements of X and  $X_i$  by x and  $x_i$  and their norm by ||.|| and  $||x_i||_i$ , respectively.

In what follows we set for t > 0 and  $x \in X_1 + X_2$ 

(1.2) 
$$K(t, x) = \inf_{x=x_1+x_2} (||x_1||_1 + t ||x_2||_2).$$

Moreover we denote, for  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ 

(1.3) 
$$(X_1, X_2)_{\theta, p} = \{x = x_1 + x_2 : ||x||_{\theta, p} < +\infty \}$$

where

(1.4) 
$$||x||_{\theta,p} = (\int_0^{+\infty} (t^{-\theta} K(t,x))^p t^{-1} dt)^{1/p}$$

It can be seen that  $(X_1, X_2)_{\theta, p}$  are Banach spaces under the norm  $||x||_{\theta, p}$ ; moreover we have

$$X_1 \cap X_2 \hookrightarrow (X_1, X_2)_{\theta, p} \hookrightarrow X_1 + X_2.$$

The spaces  $(X_1, X_2)_{\theta,p}$  where introduced by Peetre in [12] and are extensively studied. We refer to [2, Chapter 3.2] for a detailed description of the properties of these spaces. Here we are interested in the case where  $X_1=X$  and  $X_2=D(A)$  where D(A) is the domain of a linear closed operator which generates an analytic semigroup in X. In this case the following results can

be proved.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $A: D(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  be the infinitesimal generator of a bounded analytic semigroup on X. Then we have

$$D_A(\theta, p) \simeq (X, D(A))_{\theta, p}$$

Proof. For a proof see e.g. [2, Theorems 3.4.2 and 3.5.3].

The following result turns to be useful in many applications.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let A and B generate bounded analytic segmigroups in X. If  $D(A) \simeq D(B)$  then we have

$$D_A(\theta, p) \simeq D_B(\theta, p)$$
.

Proof. The result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 and of the definitions (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4).

#### 2. Analytic semigroups generated by elliptic operators in $\Omega$

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded set of class  $C^2$  and let E be the second order elliptic operator given by

(2.1) 
$$Eu = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} D_{j}(a_{ij}(x) D_{i}u) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(x) D_{i}u + c(x) u$$

Here we have set  $D_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ ; moreover  $a_{ij}$ ,  $b_i$  and c are given functions satisfying

$$a_{ij} \in C_1(\overline{\Omega}); \quad b_i, c \in C(\overline{\Omega}).$$

Moreover let  $A: D(A) \subseteq L^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{1}(\Omega)$  be the operator defined by

(2.2) 
$$\begin{cases} D(A) = \{u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega}) : u(x) = 0, x \in \partial \Omega\} \\ Au = Eu . \end{cases}$$

We denote by  $A_1$  the closure of A in  $L^1(\Omega)$ 

$$(2.3) A_1 = \bar{A} \, .$$

In what follows we set  $X = L^{1}(\Omega)$  and denote by  $||\cdot||_{1}$  the norm in X. Then we have (see [1], [11])

**Theorem 2.1.** There exist  $\omega'$ ,  $M' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi' \in ]\pi/2, \pi[$  such that setting

$$Z_{arphi'} = \{z \colon |rg(z {-} \omega')| {<} arphi'\} \cup \{\omega'\}$$

we have that  $Z_{\varphi'}$  belongs to the resolvent set of  $A_1$ . Moreover for each  $z \in Z_{\varphi'}$  we have

(2.4) 
$$|z-\omega'| ||R(z, A_1)||_{L(X)} \leq M'$$

where  $R(z, A_1) = (z - A_1)^{-1}$ .

The following theorem establishes further properties of the resolvent operator.

**Theorem 2.2.** There exist  $\omega \ge \omega'$ ,  $M \ge M'$  and  $\varphi \in ]\pi/2, \varphi']$  such that for each z verifying  $|\arg(z-\omega)| < \varphi$  we have

(2.5) 
$$|z-\omega|^{1/2} ||D_iR(z, A_1)||_{L(X)} \leq M$$
.

Proof. Assertion (2.5) can be proved using the results of [13] and an argument similar to the one used in [3, Lemma 4.3].  $\blacksquare$ 

In what follows we assume that  $A_1$  satisfies (2.5) with  $\omega = 0$  (if this is not the case then  $A_1$  is replaced by  $A_1 - \omega I$ ). As a consequence of (2.4) (with  $\omega = 0$ ) we have that  $A_1$  generates a bounded analytic semigroup S(t). Then there exist  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  such that

$$||S(t)||_{L(X)} \leq M_0,$$

(2.7) 
$$t ||A^{1}S(t)||_{L(X)} \leq M_{1}.$$

Moreover from (2.5) we can establish further properties for the semigroup S(t). We have

**Theoerm 2.3.** There exists M<sub>2</sub> verifying

(2.8) 
$$t^{1/2} ||D_i S(t)||_{L(X)} \le M_2.$$

Proof. Let  $\varphi$  be given by Theorem 2.2 and set  $\Gamma = \Gamma^- \cup \Gamma^0 \cup \Gamma^+$ , where

$$\Gamma^{\pm} = \{z = \pm r \exp(i\varphi), r \ge 1\}$$

oriented so that Im z increases, and

$$\Gamma^{0}=\{z=\exp(i\psi),\,-arphi{\leq}\psi{\leq}arphi\}$$

oriented so that  $\psi$  increases. We have for  $t \ge 0$ 

$$S(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{+\Gamma} \exp(zt) R(z, A_1) dz$$

Setting z' = zt we get

$$S(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{+\Gamma} \exp(z') R(z'/t, A_1) t^{-1} dz'$$

Therefore from (2.5) (with  $\omega = 0$ ) we get

$$||D_i S(t)||_{L(X)} \le \text{const} \int_{\Gamma} \exp(\operatorname{Re} z') |tz|^{-1/2} d|z'| \le \text{const} t^{-1/2}$$

and the result is proved.

To study the spaces  $D_{A_1}(\theta, 1)$  we use a further property of the semgiroup S(t) which is established by the following lemma. Using Theorem 1.2 we assume for simplicity that the operator E takes the form

$$(2.9) Eu = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} D_{ij} u + \gamma u$$

with  $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$  (here  $D_{ij} = D_i D_j$ ).

**Theorem 2.4.** For each T>0 there exists  $M_3=M_3(T)$  such that for  $t \in [0, T]$  we have

$$t ||D_{ij} S(t)||_{L(X)} \leq M_3$$
.

**Proof.** Since  $\partial \Omega$  is of class  $C^2$  for each  $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$  there exists an open ball  $V_0$  centereed in  $x_0$  such that  $V_0 \cap \partial \Omega$  can be represented in the form

$$x_{l} = g_{0}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{l-1}, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{n}).$$

Now cover  $\partial\Omega$  by a finite number of balls  $V_k(h=1, \dots, m-1)$  and add an open set  $V_m$  such that  $\overline{V}_m \subseteq \Omega$  so as to obtain a covering of  $\Omega$ . Moreover denote by  $\{\varphi_k\}$  a partition of unity subordinate to this covering. Furthermore fix  $\sigma > 0$ and denote by *u* the solution of the problem

(2.10) 
$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = A_1 u(t) \\ u(0) = S(\sigma) u_0 \end{cases}$$

Setting  $u_h = \varphi_h u$  we see that  $u_h$  satisfies the problem

(2.11) 
$$\begin{cases} u'_{k}(t) = \varphi_{k} A_{1} u(t) = A_{1} u_{k}(t) + B_{k} u(t) \\ u_{k}(0) = u_{0,k} \end{cases}$$

where

$$u_{0,h} = \varphi_h S(\sigma) u_0$$

and

(2.12) 
$$B_{h} u = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \left[ D_{i}(u D_{j} \varphi_{h}) + D_{i} \varphi_{h} D_{j} u \right].$$

Now let h=m; since  $V_m \subseteq \Omega$  and  $u_m=0$  on  $\Omega \setminus V_m$  we have

$$D_k u_m(t) = S(t) D_k u_{0,m} + \int_0^t S(t-s) B_{k,m} u(s) ds$$

where

(2.13) 
$$B_{k,m} u = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (D_k a_{ij}) D_{ij} u_m + D_k B_m u.$$

Therefore using (2.8) and interpolatory estimates for  $||D_iu||_1$  we get

$$||D_{ik} u_m(t)||_1 \leq \frac{\text{const}}{\sqrt{t}} ||D_k u_{0,m}||_1 + \int_0^t \frac{\text{const}}{\sqrt{t-s}} \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n ||D_{ij} u(s)||_1 + ||u(s)||_1\right] ds.$$

Now we have from (2.6) and (2.8)

$$||D_k u_{0,m}||_1 \leq c (||u_0||_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}} ||u_0||_1)$$

and

$$||u(s)||_1 \leq M_0 ||u_0||_1$$

so that

$$||D_{ik} u_m(t)||_1 \leq \frac{c(T)}{\sqrt{t\sigma}} ||u_0||_1 + \int_0^t \frac{\text{const}}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n ||D_{ij} u(s)||_1 ds$$

and hence

(2.14) 
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ||D_{ij} u_m(t)||_1 \le c(T) \left[ \frac{||u_0||_1}{\sqrt{t\sigma}} + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ||D_{ij} u(s)||_1 ds \right].$$

Further fix  $h \in [0, m-1]$ . Using local transformation of variables we may assume that  $V_k \cap \partial \Omega$  can be represented by  $x_n = 0$  (and that for  $x \in V_k \cap \Omega$  we have  $x_n > 0$ ). Therefore for  $k \neq n$  we have that the function  $w_k = D_k u_k$  satisfies

$$w_k(t) = S(t) D_k u_{0,k} + \int_0^t S(t-s) B_{k,k} u(s) ds$$

where  $B_{k,h}$  is given by (2.13) with *m* replaced by *h*. Hence by a computation similar to the one used above we find for  $(l, k) \neq (n, n)$ 

(2.15) 
$$||D_{ik} u_{k}(t)||_{1} \leq c(T) \left[ \frac{||u_{0}||_{1}}{\sqrt{t\sigma}} + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ||D_{ij} u(s)||_{1} ds \right].$$

Moreover for (l, k) = (n, n) we have from (2.11)

(2.16) 
$$||D_{nn} u_{h}(t)||_{1} = ||\frac{1}{a_{nn}(\cdot)} [A_{1} u_{h}(t) - \sum_{(i,j) \neq (n,n)} a_{ij}(\cdot) D_{ij} u_{h}(t)]||_{1} = \\ ||\frac{1}{a_{nn}(\cdot)} [\varphi_{h} A_{1} u(t) - B_{h} u(t) - \sum_{(i,j) \neq (n,n)} a_{ij}(\cdot) D_{ij} u_{h}(t)]||_{1}.$$

Hence from (2.15) and (2.16) we find that there exists a constant (again denoted by c(T)) verifying

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ||D_{ij} u_{k}(t)||_{1} \leq c(T) \left\{ \frac{||u_{0}||}{\sqrt{t\sigma}} + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ||D_{ij} u(s)||_{1} + ||A_{1} u(t)||_{1} \right] ds \right\}$$

so that from (2.14) we get

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ||D_{ij} u(t)||_{1} \leq c(T) \left\{ \frac{||u_{0}||_{1}}{\sqrt{t\sigma}} + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ||D_{ij} u(s)||_{1} + ||A_{1} u(t)||_{1} \right] ds \right\}.$$

Now we have from (2.7) and (2.10)

$$||A_1 u(t)||_1 \le M_1 ||u_0||_1 \frac{1}{t+\sigma} \le M_1 ||u_0||_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t\sigma}}$$

and finally from (2.17) we find that there exists a constant (again denoted by c(T)) such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ||D_{ij} u(t)||_{1} \leq c(T) \left\{ \frac{||u_{0}||_{1}}{\sqrt{t\sigma}} + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ||D_{ij} u(s)||_{1} ds \right\}$$

Hence using Gronwall's generalized inequality (see e.g. [9, Chapter 7.1]) we get (for some constant depending on T)

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} ||D_{ij} u(t)||_{1} \le c(T) \frac{||u_{0}||_{1}}{\sqrt{t\sigma}}$$

...

so that the result follows by taking  $\sigma = t$ .

# 3. Characterization of interpolation spaces between $D(A_1)$ and $L_1(\Omega)$

Let  $A_1$  be given by (2.1)-(2.3). Then we have the following result.

**Theoerm 3.1.** For each  $\theta \in [0,1[$  and  $1 \le p < \infty$  we have

$$(L^1, D(A_1))_{\theta, p} \simeq (L^1, W^{2,1} \cap W^{1,1}_0)_{\theta, p}$$

where  $L^1 = L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $W^{2,1} = W^{2,1}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{1,1}_0 = W^{1,1}_0(\Omega)$ .

**Proof.** From Theorem 1.2 it suffices to prove the theorem in the case where  $A_1$  is given by (2.2)-(2.3) where E is given by (2.9) and satisfies (2.5) with  $\omega=0$ . Now we have

$$W^{2,1}\cap W^{1,1}_{0} \hookrightarrow D(A_1)$$
,

therefore using (1.2)-(1.4) we obtain

(3.1) 
$$(L^1, W^{2,1} \cap W^{1,1}_0)_{\theta,\phi} \hookrightarrow (L^1, D(A_1))_{\theta,\phi} .$$

Conversely let  $u \in (L^1, D(A_1))_{\theta, p}$  and set for  $t \in [0, 1]$ 

(3.2) 
$$u = u - S(t) u + S(t) u = \int_0^t A_1 S(s) u ds + S(t) u = v_1 + v_2.$$

We have

$$||v_1||_1 \leq \int_0^t ||A_1 S(s) u||_1 ds$$
,

moreover  $v_2 \in W^{2,1} \cap W_0^{1,1}$  and

$$\begin{split} ||v_2||_{W^{2,1}} &= ||S(t) u||_1 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n ||D_{ij} [S(t) u - S(1) u + S(1) u]||_1 \\ &\leq M_0 ||u||_1 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n ||D_{ij} \int_t^1 S(s/2) A_1 S(s/2) u ds||_1 + M_3 ||u||_1 \\ &\leq \text{const} [||u||_1 + \int_t^1 s^{-1} ||A_1 S(s/2) u||_1 ds] \end{split}$$

where we used (2.6) and Theorem 2.4. Therefore we obtain for  $t \in [0, 1]$ 

$$\begin{split} K(t, u) &= \inf_{u=u_1+u_2} (||u_1||_1 + t ||u_2||_{W^{2,1}}) \\ &\leq ||v_1||_1 + t ||v_2||_{W^{2,1}} \\ &\leq \text{const} \left[t ||u||_1 + \int_0^t ||A_1S(s) u||_1 \, ds + t \int_t^1 s^{-1} ||A_1S(s/2) u||_1 \, ds \right]. \end{split}$$

Now we have  $K(t, u) \leq ||u||_1$  (choosing  $u_1 = u$  and  $u_2 = 0$ ) and hence

$$K(t, u) \leq \text{const} \left[\min(1, t) ||u||_1 + \int_0^t ||A_1S(s) u||_1 \, ds + t \int_t^1 s^{-1} ||A_1S(s/2) u||_1 \, ds \right].$$

Therefore for each  $\theta \in ]0, 1[$  and  $1 \le p < \infty$  we get

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} (t^{-\theta} K(t, u))^{p} t^{-1} dt \leq \operatorname{const} \left[ \int_{0}^{+\infty} (t^{-\theta} \min(1, t))^{p} t^{-1} dt ||u||_{1}^{p} + \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{-1} dt (t^{-\theta} \int_{0}^{t} ||A_{1} S(s) u||_{1} ds)^{p} + \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{-1} dt (t^{1-\theta} \int_{t}^{+\infty} s^{-1} ||A_{1} S(s) u||_{1} ds)^{p} \right],$$

so that using Hardy inequality (see e.g. [2. Lemma 3.4.7])

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (t^{-\theta} K(t, u))^p t^{-1} dt \leq \text{const} [||u||_1^p + \int_0^{+\infty} (s^{1-\theta} ||A_1S(s) u||_1)^p s^{-1} ds],$$

and hence from Theorem 1.1

(3.3) 
$$(L^1, D(A_1))_{\theta, p} \hookrightarrow (L^1, W^{2,1} \cap W^{1,1}_0)_{\theta, p}$$

Hence the desired result follows combining (3.1) and (3.3).

**Corollary 3.1.** For each  $\theta \in [0, 1[$  and  $1 \le p < \infty$  we have

$$D_{A_1}(\theta, p) \simeq (L^1, W^{2,1} \cap W^{1,1}_0)_{\theta,p}$$

Proof. The result follows from Theorems 1.1 and 3.1.

In view of the study of parabolic equations in  $L^1(\Omega)$  (see sect. 4 below) it is convenient to consider the case p=1.

**Theorem 3.2.** For each  $\theta \in [0, 1[$  we have  $D_{A_1}(\theta, 1) \simeq \mathring{B}^{2\theta, 1}(\Omega)$ , where

$$\overset{\circ}{B}{}^{ heta,1}(\Omega) = egin{cases} W^{2 heta,1}(\Omega)\,, & ext{if} \quad 0 < heta < 1/2 \ u \in B^{1,1}(\Omega)\colon \int_{\Omega} \left(d(x,\,\partial\Omega))^{-1} |\,u(x)|\,dx < +\,\infty\,, & ext{if} \quad heta = 1/2 \ W^{2 heta,1}(\Omega)\cap W^{1,1}_0(\Omega)\,, & ext{if} \quad 1/2 < heta < 1\,. \end{cases}$$

Here  $W^{2\theta,1}(\Omega)$  denotes the Sobolev space of fractional order,  $B^{1,1}(\Omega)$  denotes the Besov space and  $d(x, \partial \Omega)$  the distance from x to  $\partial \Omega$ .

Proof. The result follows from Theorems 1.1 and 3.1 and from the characterization of the spaces  $(L^1, W^{2,1} \cap W^{1,1}_0)_{\theta,1}$  (see Proposition 1 of the Appendix).

REMARK. In the case  $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n$  the results of Theorem 3.2 where presented in [5].

#### 4. Parabolic second order equations in $L^1$

Let E be the operator given by (2.1) and consider the problem

(4.1) 
$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = Eu(t, x) + f(t, x), \ t > 0, \ x \in \Omega \\ u(t, x) = 0, \ t > 0, \ x \in \partial \Omega \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \ x \in \Omega . \end{cases}$$

Regularity results for parabolic equations with f in  $L^p(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$  and  $u_0$  in  $L^q(\Omega)$  are well known in the literature if  $1 < p, q < \infty$ . In this section we study in a quite complete way also the case p=q=1 by using the abstract results of [4, sect. 8] and Theorem 3.2.

To state our results it is convenient to introduce some notation and definitions. Let Y be a Banach space and let a < b be real numbers. We shall be concerned with the following spaces of Y-valued functions defined on [a, b]

 $L^{1}(a, b; Y)$  is the space of measurable functions u such that  $||u(\cdot)||_{Y}$  is integrable in ]a, b[,

C(a, b; Y) is the space of continuous functions on [a, b],

 $W^{1,1}(a, b; Y)$  is the space of functions u of  $L^1(a, b; Y)$  having distributional derivative in  $L^1(a, b; Y)$ ,

$$L^{1}_{+}(a, b; Y) = \{u \in L^{1}(\mathcal{E}, b; Y), \text{ for each } a < \mathcal{E} < b\},$$
$$W^{1,1}_{+}(a, b; Y) = \{u \in W^{1,1}(\mathcal{E}, b; Y), \text{ for each } a < \mathcal{E} < b\},$$

 $W^{\theta,1}(a, b; Y), 0 < \theta < 1$ , is the Sobolev space of functions u of  $L^1(a, b; Y)$ 

such that

$$\int_0^T dt \int_0^T ds ||u(t)-u(s)||_Y |t-s|^{-1-\theta} < +\infty.$$

Finally  $\mathring{B}^{2\theta,1}(\Omega)$  is the Besov space introduced in Theorem 3.2 and  $D(A_1)$  is the domain of the operator  $A_1$  given by (2.2)–(2.3), i.e.

$$D(A_1) = \{ u \in L^1(\Omega) \colon Eu \in L^1(\Omega) \}$$

where Eu is understood in the sense of distributions.

The following theorems describe the regularity of the solutions of (4.1) when the regularity of f and  $u_0$  increases.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $f \in L^1(]0, T[\times \Omega)$  and  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then (4.1) admits a unique generalized solution u and we have

(i) 
$$u(t, \cdot) \in C(0, T; L^{1}(\Omega)) \cap L^{1}(0, T; \dot{B}^{2\beta,1}(\Omega)) \cap W^{\beta,1}(0, T; L^{1}(\Omega)),$$
  
for each  $0 < \beta < 1,$   
 $u(t, \cdot) \in W^{\beta-\alpha,1}(0, T; \dot{B}^{2\alpha,1}(\Omega)),$  for each  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1.$ 

Proof. The result follows from [4, Th. 28] and Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $f(t, \cdot) \in L^1(0, T; \mathring{B}^{2\theta,1}(\Omega))$ , for some  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Then for each  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  (4.1) admits a unique solution u and we have

- i)  $u(t, \cdot) \in C(0, T; L^{1}(\Omega)) \cap L^{1}_{+}(0, T; D(A_{1})) \cap W^{1,1}_{+}(0, T; L^{1}(\Omega)),$
- ii)  $u(t, \cdot) \in L^{1}(0, T; \mathring{B}^{2\beta,1}(\Omega)) \cap W^{\beta,1}(0, T; L^{1}(\Omega)) \cap W^{\beta-\alpha,1}(0, T; \mathring{B}^{2\alpha,1}(\Omega)),$ for each  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ .

If in addition  $u_0 \in \mathring{B}^{2\gamma,1}(\Omega)$ , for some  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , then we have for  $\delta = \min(\theta, \gamma)$ 

- iii)  $u(t, \cdot) \in C(0, T; \dot{B}^{2\delta,1}(\Omega)) \cap W^{\alpha,1}(0, T; \dot{B}^{2\beta,1}(\Omega)), \text{ for each } 0 < \alpha, \beta < 1, \alpha + \beta = 1 + \delta$ ,
- iv)  $Eu(t, \cdot) \in L^1(0, T; \overset{\circ}{B^{2\delta,1}}(\Omega)) \cap W^{\delta,1}(0, T; L^1(\Omega)) \cap W^{\delta-\alpha,1}(0, T; \overset{\circ}{B^{2\alpha,1}}(\Omega)),$ for each  $0 < \alpha < \delta < 1$ ,
- v)  $u_t(t, \cdot) \in L^1(0, T; \overset{\circ}{B^{2\delta,1}}(\Omega))$ .

Proof. The assertions follow from [4, Th. 29] and Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $f(t, \cdot) \in W^{\theta,1}(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$ , for some  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Then for each  $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$  there exists a unique solution u of (4.1) and we have

i)  $u(t, \cdot) \in C(0, T; L^{1}(\Omega)) \cap L^{1}_{+}(0, T; D(A_{1})) \cap W^{1,1}_{+}(0, T; L^{1}(\Omega))$ ,

ii) 
$$u(t, \cdot) \in L^{1}(0, T; \mathring{B}^{2\beta,1}(\Omega)) \cap W^{\beta,1}(0, T; L^{1}(\Omega)) \cap W^{\beta-\sigma,1}(0, T; \check{B}^{2\sigma,1}(\Omega))$$
,  
for each  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ .

If in addition  $u_0 \in \mathring{B}^{2\gamma,1}(\Omega)$ , for some  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , then we have, for  $\delta = \min(\theta, \gamma)$ 

- iii)  $u(t, \cdot) \in C(0, T; \mathring{B}^{2\delta,1}(\Omega)) \cap W^{\sigma,1}(0, T; \mathring{B}^{2\beta,1}(\Omega)),$ for each  $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1, \alpha + \beta = 1 + \delta$ ,
- iv)  $u_t(t, \cdot) \in L^1(0, T; \mathring{B}^{2\delta,1}(\Omega)) \cap W^{\delta,1}(0, T; L^1(\Omega)) \cap W^{\delta-\alpha,1}(0, T; \mathring{B}^{2\alpha,1}(\Omega))$ , for each  $0 < \alpha < \delta < 1$ ,

v) 
$$Eu(t, \cdot) \in W^{\circ,1}(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$$

Proof. The assertions follow from [4, Th. 30] and Theorem 3.2.

#### Appendix

We want to give here the proof concerning the characterization of the intermediate spaces  $(L^1(\Omega), W^{2,1}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,1}_0(\Omega))_{\theta,1}$ , for  $0 < \theta < 1$ , which has been used in section 3. If  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^2$  using local change of coordinates it suffices to consider the case  $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^n_+$  where

$$\mathbf{R}_{+}^{n} = \{x = (x', x_{n}): x' \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}, x_{n} > 0\}$$

If  $\theta \neq 1/2$  this characterization can be deduced from kown results (see e.g. [2, Th. 4.3.6]) but we give here a direct proof for all  $0 < \theta < 1$  in order to make the paper self-contained.

In what follows we denote by  $B^{r,1}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ , for  $0 < r \le 1$ , the Besov spaces defined as

$$B^{r,1}(\mathbf{R}^n_+) = \{ u \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n_+) \colon H_r(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n_+} dy \int_{\mathbf{R}^n_+} dx \ | u(x) + u(y) - 2u\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) | \\ |x-y|^{-n-r} < +\infty \}$$

endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{B^{r,1}} = ||u||_1^+ + H_r(u)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_1^+$  denotes the norm in  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n_+)$ , whereas for 1 < r < 2 we define

$$B^{r,1}(\mathbf{R}^{n}_{+}) = \{ u \in W^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^{n}_{+}) \colon D_{j} u \in B^{r-1}(\mathbf{R}^{n}_{+}) \}$$

with the norm

$$||u||_{B^{r,1}} = ||u||_1^+ + \sum_{i=1}^n H_{r-1}(D_i u).$$

It is known that if  $r \neq 1$  we have  $B^{r,1}(\mathbf{R}^{n}_{+}) = W^{r,1}(\mathbf{R}^{n}_{+})$ , the usual Sobolev spaces of fractional order.

**Proposition 1.** We have  $(L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}), W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}) \cap W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}))_{\theta,1} = \overset{\circ}{B^{2\theta,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+})},$ where

$$\overset{o}{B^{2\theta,1}(R^n_+)} = \begin{cases} W^{2\theta,1}(R^n_+), & \text{if } 0 < \theta < 1/2 \\ u \in B^{1,1}(R^n_+): \int_{R^n_+} (x_n)^{-1} |u(x)| \, dx < +\infty, & \text{if } \theta = 1/2 \\ W^{2\theta,1}(R^n_+) \cap W^{1,1}_{0,1}(R^n_+), & \text{if } 1/2 < \theta < 1. \end{cases}$$

In proving Proposition 1 we need some preliminary result. Set

$$N_{+}(t, u) = \sup_{0 < |y| < t, y_n > 0} ||u(\cdot) + u(\cdot + 2y) - 2u(\cdot + y)||_{1}^{4}$$

and

$$|||u|||_{\theta,1}^{+} = \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{-1-2\theta} N_{+}(t, u) dt + ||u||_{1}^{+} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}} (x_{n})^{-2\theta} |u(x)| dx.$$

Then for each  $\theta \in [0, 1/2]$  it is easily checked that

(1) 
$$\int_{R_{+}^{n}} dy \int_{R_{+}^{n}} dx | u(x) + u(y) - 2u \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) | |x-y|^{-n-2\theta} \leq \text{const} \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{-1-2\theta} N_{+}(t, u) dt.$$

Moreover we have the following result.

**Lemma 1.** Let us denote by  $X_{\theta,1}$  the Banach space corresponding to the norm  $\||\cdot\||_{\theta,1}^{+}$ . Then

$$X_{\theta,1} = \overset{\circ}{B}^{2^{\theta,1}}(R^n_+)$$
.

Proof. Given  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ , let us introduce the function  $U \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined as

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & \text{if } x_n > 0\\ -u(x', -x), & \text{if } x_n \le 0 \end{cases}$$

Furthermore set, for  $\theta \in [0, 1[$ 

$$|||U|||_{\theta,1} = ||U||_1 + \int_0^{+\infty} t^{-1-2\theta} N(t, U) dt$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_1$  denotes the norm in  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and

$$N(t, U) = \sup_{0 < |y| < t} ||U(\cdot) + U(\cdot + 2y) - 2U(\cdot + y)||_{1}.$$

Then (see [2, Prop. 4.3.5])

(2) 
$$|||\cdot|||_{\theta,1} \simeq ||\cdot||_{B^{2\theta,1}}$$

where  $B^{2\theta,1} = B^{2\theta,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Moreover one easily obtains, for each  $\theta \in [0, 1[$  (here by

 $c, c', c'', c_i$ , we denote various constants)

(3) 
$$|||U|||_{\theta,1} \le c |||u|||_{0,1}^+ \le c' [|||U|||_{\theta,1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} (x_n)^{-2\theta} |u(x)| dx]$$

and

(4) 
$$||U||_{B^{2\theta,1}} \leq c'' [||u||_{B^{2\theta,1}_{+}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}} (x_{n})^{-2\theta} |u(x)| dx]$$

where  $B_{+}^{2\theta,1} = B^{2\theta,1}(\mathbf{R}_{+}^{n})$ . Now let  $\theta < 1/2$ ; we have (see [7, Th. 1.4.4.4])

(5) 
$$\int_{R_{+}^{n}} (x_{n})^{-2\theta} |u(x)| dx \leq \text{const} ||u||_{W_{+}^{2\theta,1}}$$

Therefore from (1), (2), (3) and (4) we get, for  $\theta \leq 1/2$ 

$$|||u|||_{\theta,1}^{+} \leq c_1 [||U||_{B^{2\theta,1}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} (x_n)^{-2\theta} |u(x)| dx] \leq c_2 [||u||_{B^{2\theta,1}_+} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} (x_n)^{-2\theta} |u(x)| dx]$$
  
$$\leq c_3 |||u|||_{\theta,1}^{+}$$

which, together with (5), proves the assertion if  $\theta \leq 1/2$ .

Finally let  $\theta > 1/2$ . If  $u \in W^{2\theta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n_+) \cap W^{1,1}_0(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$  then  $U \in W^{2\theta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and (5) holds (see [7, Th. 1.4.4.4]). Therefore from (2), (3), (4) and (5)

$$|||u|||_{\theta,1}^{+} \leq c_1 [|||U|||_{W^{2\theta,1}} + \int_{R^{\theta}_{+}} (x_n)^{-2\theta} |u(x)| dx] \leq c_4 ||u||_{W^{2\theta,1}_{+}}.$$

Conversely let  $u \in X_{\theta,1}$ ; from (2) and (3) we get

$$||U||_{W^{2\theta,1}} \le c_5 |||U|||_{\theta,1} \le c_6 |||u|||_{\theta,1}^+$$

so that  $u \in W^{2\theta,1}(\mathbf{R}^n_+)$  and

$$||u||_{W^{2\theta,1}} \leq ||U||_{W^{2\theta,1}} \leq c_6 |||u|||_{\theta,1}^+$$

Finally the assertion  $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$  follows from the fact that  $u \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$  and

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}^n_+} (x_n)^{-2\theta} |u(x)| \, dx < +\infty$$

implies that u(x', 0)=0.

Proof of Proposition 1. For simplicity in notation we restrict ourseleves to the case n=2. The method of the proof will lead the way for all  $n \ge 1$ .

In what follows we denote by  $Q_t$ , for t>0, the subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2_+$  defined as

$$Q_i = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2_+ : 0 \le x_i \le \frac{t}{4\sqrt{2}}, i = 1, \dots, 2\}$$

moreover we set  $c = (4\sqrt{2})^4$ . Furthermore, given  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ , we denote by  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  the functions defined as

$$v_{1} = \int_{Q_{t}} dy \int_{Q_{t}} u(x+2(y+z)) dz = \frac{1}{16} \prod_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i}+t/2\sqrt{2}} dy_{i} \int_{y_{i}}^{y_{i}+t/2\sqrt{2}} u(z) dz_{i}$$

and

$$v_2 = \int_{Q_i} dy \int_{Q_i} 2u(x+y+z) dz = 2\prod_i \int_{x_i}^{x_i+t/4\sqrt{2}} dy_i \int_{y_i}^{y_i+t/4\sqrt{2}} u(z) dz_i.$$

Moreover set  $w_1 = ct^{-4}(v_1 - v_2)$ ,  $w_2 = ct(t + x_2)^{-5}(v_1 - v_1)$  and  $u_1 = u + w_1 - w_2$ ,  $u_2 = -w_1 + w_2$ . Then we have that  $u = u_1 + u_2$  with  $u_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$  and  $u_2 \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \cap W_0^{-1,1}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ . Furthermore, using the fact that  $y_2 + z_2 \le t(2\sqrt{2})^{-1}$ , we get

(6) 
$$||u+w_1||_1^+ \leq \frac{c}{t^4} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} dx \int_{Q_t} dy \int_{Q_t} |u(x)+u(x+2(y+z))-2u(x+y+z)| dz$$
  
  $\leq N_+(t,u)$ 

and

$$\begin{aligned} ||w_{2}||_{1}^{+} &\leq c't \int_{Q_{t}} dy \int_{Q_{t}} dz \int_{R} dx_{1} \{\int_{y_{2}+z_{2}}^{+\infty} \frac{|u(x)|}{|t+x_{2}-(y_{2}+z_{2})|^{5}} dx_{2} + \\ &\int_{2(y+z_{2})}^{+\infty} \frac{|u(x)|}{|t+x_{2}-2(y_{2}+z_{2})|^{5}} dx_{2} \} \\ &\leq c't \int_{Q_{t}} dy \int_{Q_{t}} dz \int_{R} dx_{1} \left[\int_{y_{2}+z_{2}}^{t} \frac{|u(x)|}{t^{5}} dx_{2} + \int_{t}^{+\infty} \frac{|u(x)|}{x_{2}^{5}} dx_{2}\right] \end{aligned}$$

where c' denotes a constant. Therefore setting

$$L(t, u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_1 \left[ \int_0^t |u(x)| \ dx_2 + t^5 \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{|u(x)|}{x_2^5} \ dx_2 \right]$$

we obtain

(7) 
$$||w_2||_1^+ \leq c' L(t, u)$$

Concerning  $u_2$  we have

$$(8) ||u_2||_1^+ \le c' ||u||_1^+$$

Moreover, to estimate  $||D_{k,k} u_2||_1^+$ , let us note that

$$D_{h,h} v_1 = \int_{x_i}^{x_i+t/2\sqrt{2}} dy_i \int_{y_i}^{y_i+t/2\sqrt{2}} [u(x_i, x_h+t/\sqrt{2})-2u(x_i, x_h+t/2\sqrt{2})-u(x_i, x_h)] dz_i$$

where  $i \neq h$ . Moreover

$$D_{1,2} v_1 = \int_{x_1}^{x_1 + t/2\sqrt{2}} dz_1 \int_{x_2}^{x_3 + t/2\sqrt{2}} dz_2 \left[ u(z_1, z_2 + t/2\sqrt{2}) - 2u(z_1 - t/4\sqrt{2}, z_2 + t/4\sqrt{2}) + u(z_1 - t/2\sqrt{2}, z_2) - u(z_1 - t/2\sqrt{2}, z_2 + t/2\sqrt{2}) + 2u(z_1 - t/4\sqrt{2}, z_2 + t/4\sqrt{2}) - u(z) \right].$$

Thereofre for each h, k we get

(9) 
$$||D_{h,k} w_1||_1^+ \leq c' t^{-2} N_+(t, u).$$

Now we have  $||D_{1,1}w_2||_1^+ \le ||D_{1,1}w_1||_1^+$  so that (9) holds for h=k=1 with  $w_1$  replaced by  $w_2$ . Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_{2,2} w_2\|_1^+ &\leq ct \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^2} \left[\frac{1}{(t+x_2)^5} |D_{2,2}(v_1-v_2) (x)| + \frac{1}{(t+x_2)^6} |D_2(v_1-v_2) (x)| \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{(t+x_2)^7} |(v_1-v_2) (x)| dx = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Now we get

$$I_1 + I_3 \leq ||D_{2,2} w_1||_1^+ + c't^{-2} ||u||_1^+ \leq c't^{-2} [N_+(t, u) + ||u||_1^+]$$

where we used (9). Furthermore, proceeding as in (7), we obtain

$$I_2 \leq c't^{-2} L(t, u) .$$

Therefore

(10) 
$$||D_{2,2} w_2||_1^+ \leq c' t^{-2} \{ ||u||_1^+ + N_+(t, u) + L(t, u) \}$$

Finally in a similar way we get

(11) 
$$||D_{1,2} w_2||_1^+ \leq c' t^{-2} \{N_+(t, u) + L(t, u)\}.$$

Summarizing using (6)-(11) we obtain that given  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ , we can write  $u = u_1 + u_2$  with  $u_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$  and  $u_2 \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \cap W^{1,1}_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$  and

$$||u_1||_1^+ \le N_+(t, u) + c'L(t, u)$$

and

$$||u_2||_2^+ \le c't^{-2} [(1+t^2) ||u||_1 + N_+(t, u) + L(t, u)]$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{2}^{+}$  denotes the norm in  $W^{2,1}(\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2})$ . Therefore (see (1.2)) there exists  $c_{1}$  such that

(12) 
$$K(t^2, u) \leq c_1 \left[ N_+(t, u) + \min(1, t^2) ||u||_1^+ + L(t, u) \right].$$

Conversely let  $u=u_1+u_2$  with  $u_1\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$  and  $u_2\in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2_+)\cap W^{1,1}_0(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ . Then we have

(13) 
$$\min(1, t^2) ||u||_1^+ \leq K(t^2, u)$$

and

(14) 
$$N_{+}(t, u) \leq N_{+}(t, u_{1}) + N_{+}(t, u_{2}) \leq 4 ||u_{1}||_{1}^{+} + t^{2} ||u_{2}||_{2}^{+} \leq 4 K(t^{2}, u)$$

the third estimate following by

$$u(x)-2u(x+y)+u(x+2y)=2\int_0^{|y|}ds\int_0^sd\sigma\,\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\,u(x+(s+\sigma))\frac{y}{|y|}\,.$$

Furthermore

(15) 
$$L(t, u) \leq ||u_{1}||_{1}^{t} + \int_{R} dx_{1} \left[ \int_{0}^{t} dx_{2} \int_{0}^{x_{2}} dy_{2} \int_{y_{2}}^{+\infty} d\xi_{2} |D_{22} u_{2}(x_{1}, \xi_{2})| + t^{5} \int_{t}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x_{2}^{5}} dx_{2} \int_{0}^{x_{2}} dy_{2} \int_{z_{2}}^{+\infty} d\xi_{2} |D_{22} u_{2}(x_{1}, \xi_{2})| \right] \\ \leq ||u_{1}||_{1}^{t} + ct^{2} ||D_{22} u_{2}||_{1}^{t}$$

so that

$$L(t, u) \leq c K(t^2, u)$$
.

Finally from (12)–(15) we obtain that there exists  $c_2$  such that

$$K(t^2, u) \leq c_1 [N_+(t, u) + \min(1, t^2) ||u||_1^+ + L(t, u)] \leq c_2 K(t^2, u)$$

Therefore

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{-1-\theta} K(t, u) dt = 2 \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{-1-2\theta} K(t^{2}, u) dt \le c_{1}' \left[ \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{-1-2\theta} N_{+}(t, u) dt + ||u||_{1}^{+} + \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{-1-2\theta} L(t, u) dt \right] \le c_{2}' \int_{0}^{+\infty} t^{-1-\theta} K(t, u) dt .$$

Now

$$\int_0^{+\infty} t^{-1-2\theta} L(t, u) dt = \text{const} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2_+} (x_2)^{-2\theta} |u(x)| dx,$$

therefore the desired result follows from Lemma 1.

AKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author thanks the referee for helpful comments.

#### References

- [1] H. Amann: Dual semigroups and second order linear elliptic boundary value problem, Israel J. Math., 45 (1983), 225-254.
- [2] P.L. Butzer, H. Berens: Semigroups of operators and approximation, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [3] P. Cannarsa, V. Vespri: Generation of analytic semigroups in the  $L^p$  topology by elliptic operators in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Israel J. Math., 61 (1988), 235–255.
- [4] G. Di. Blasio: Linear parabolic evolution equations in L<sup>p</sup>-spaces, Ann. Mat. Pura e Appl., IV (1984), 55-104.
- [5] G. Di Blasio: Characterization of interpolation spaces and regularity properties for holomorphic semigroups, Semigroup Forum 38 (1989), 179–187.
- [6] P. Grisvard: Equations différentielles abstraites, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., 2 (1969), 311-395.

- [7] P. Grisvard: Elliptic problems in non smooth domains. Monographs ans Studies in Math., 24, Pitman, London, 1985.
- [8] Guidetti: On interpolation with boundary conditions (Preprint).
- [9] D. Henry: Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations, Lect. Notes in Math. 840, 1981.
- [10] O.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Uralceva: Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1968.
- [11] A. Pazy: Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations. Springer Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [12] J. Peetre: On an equivalence theorem of Taibleson. (unpublihsed manuscript), Lund, 1964.
- [13] B. Stewart: Generation of analytic semigroups by strongly elliptic operators, Trans. Am. Mat. Soc. 199, 1974, 141–162.
- [14] H. Tanabe: On semilinear equations of elliptic and parabolic type, in Functional Analysis and Numerical Analysis, Japan-France Seminar, Tokyo and Kyoto, 1976, (H. Fujita, ed.), Japan Society for the Promotion of Sciences, 1978, 455–463.

Dipartimento di Matematica Università di Roma P. le A Moro 5 00185 Roma Italy