



Title	A decomposition of the space $M$ of Riemannian metrics on a manifold
Author(s)	Koiso, Norihito
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1979, 16(2), p. 423-429
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/11044">https://doi.org/10.18910/11044</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## A DECOMPOSITION OF THE SPACE $\mathcal{M}$ OF RIEMANNIAN METRICS ON A MANIFOLD

NORIHITO KOISO

(Received March 6, 1978)

### 0. Introduction

Let  $M$  be a compact  $C^\infty$ -manifold. We denote by  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  the space of all riemannian metrics on  $M$ , the diffeomorphism group of  $M$ , and the space of all positive functions on  $M$ , respectively. Then the group  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}$  by pull back and multiplication, respectively. D. Ebin and N. Koiso establish Slice theorem [4, Theorem 2.2] on the action of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

In this paper, we shall give a decomposition theorem on the action of  $\mathcal{F}$  (Theorem 2.5). That is, there is a local diffeomorphism from  $\mathcal{F} \times \bar{\mathcal{C}}$  into  $\mathcal{M}$  where  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{M}$  of riemannian metrics with volume 1 and of constant scalar curvature  $\tau_g$  such that  $\tau_g=0$  or  $\tau_g/(n-1)$  is not an eigenvalue of  $\Delta_g$ . Combining the above theorems, we get the following decomposition of a deformation (Corollary 2.9). Let  $g \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $g(t)$  be a deformation of  $g$ . Then there are a curve  $f(t)$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , a curve  $\gamma(t)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  and a curve  $\bar{g}(t)$  in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  such that  $\delta g'(0)=0$ , which satisfy the equation  $g(t)=f(t)\gamma(t)^*g(t)$ . (For the operator  $\delta$ , see 1.)

The author wishes to express his thanks to the referee.

### 1. Preliminaries

First, we introduce notation and definitions which will be used throughout this paper. Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional, connected and compact  $C^\infty$ -manifold, and we always assume  $n \geq 2$ . For a vector bundle  $T$  over  $M$ , we denote by  $H^r(T)$  the space of all  $H^r$ -sections, where  $H^r$  means an object which has derivatives defined almost everywhere up to order  $r$  and such that each partial derivative is square integrable. Then  $H^r(T)$  is isomorphic to a Hilbert space and the space  $C^\infty(T)$  of all  $C^\infty$ -sections becomes an inverse limit of  $\{H^r(T)\}_{r=1,2,\dots}$ . Therefore such a space is said to be an *ILH-space*. If a topological space  $\mathcal{X}$  is isomorphic to an ILH-space locally,  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be an *ILH-manifold*. For details, see [5].

Let  $g$  be an  $H^s$ -metric on  $M$ . We consider the riemannian connection and use the following notations:

- $v_g$ ; the volume element with respect to  $g$ ,
- $R$ ; the curvature tensor,
- $\rho$ ; the Ricci tensor,
- (For the standard sphere with orthonormal basis,  $R_{121}^2 = R_{1212} < 0$  and  $\rho_{11} < 0$ .)
- $\tau$ ; the scalar curvature,
- $(\ , \ )$ ; the inner product in fibres of a tensor bundle defined by  $g$ ,
- $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ ; the global inner product for sections of a tensor bundle over  $M$ ,  
i.e.,  $\langle \ , \ \rangle = \int_M (\ , \ ) v_g$ ,
- $S^2$ ; the symmetric covariant 2-tensor bundle over  $M$ ,
- $H'(M)$ ; the Hilbert space of all  $H'$ -functions,
- $H'_g(M)$ ; the Hilbert space of all  $H'$ -functions  $f$  such that  $\int_M f v_g = 0$ ,
- $H'_g(S^2)$ ; the Hilbert space of all symmetric bilinear  $H'$ -forms  $h$  such that  $\langle h, g \rangle = 0$ ,
- $\nabla$ ; the covariant derivation,
- $\delta$ ; the formal adjoint of  $\nabla$  with respect to  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ ,
- $\delta^*$ ; the formal adjoint of  $\delta|_{H'(S^2)}$ ,
- $\Delta = \delta d$ ; the Laplacian operating on the space  $H'(M)$ ,
- $\bar{\Delta} = \delta \nabla$ ; the rough Laplacian operating on the space  $H'(T_q^p)$ ,
- $\text{Hess} = \nabla d$ ; the Hessian on the space  $H'(M)$ ,
- $\mathcal{F}$ ; the ILH-manifold of all positive  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $M$ ,
- $\mathcal{F}'$ ; the Hilbert manifold of all positive  $H'$ -functions on  $M$ ,
- $\mathcal{M}$ ; the ILH-manifold of all  $C^\infty$ -metrics on  $M$ ,
- $\mathcal{M}'$ ; the Hilbert manifold of all  $H'$ -metrics on  $M$ ,
- $\mathcal{M}_1$ ; the ILH-manifold of all  $C^\infty$ -metrics with volume 1,
- $\mathcal{M}'_1$ ; the Hilbert manifold of all  $H'$ -metrics with volume 1.

When we consider the metric space  $\mathcal{M}^s$ , the covariant derivation, the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor with respect to an element  $g$  of  $\mathcal{M}^s$  will be denoted by  $\nabla_g$ ,  $R_g$  or  $\rho_g$ . By a *deformation* of  $g$  we mean a  $C^\infty$ -curve  $g(t): I \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that  $g(0) = g$ , where  $I$  is an open interval. The differential  $g'(0)$  is called an *infinitesimal deformation*, or simply an *i-deformation*. If there is a 1-parameter family  $\gamma(t)$  of diffeomorphisms such that  $g(t) = \gamma(t)^* g$  then the deformation  $g(t)$  is said to be *trivial*. If there is a 1-form  $\xi$  such that  $h = \delta^* \xi$ , then the i-deformation  $h$  is said to be *trivial*. On the other hand, an i-deformation  $h$  is said to be *essential* if  $\delta h = 0$ .

Now, we give some fundamental propositions.

**Lemma 1.1** [6,11.3]. *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be vector bundles over  $M$  and  $f: E \rightarrow F$  be a fiber preserving  $C^\infty$ -map. If  $s > \frac{n}{2}$ , then the map  $\phi: H^s(E) \rightarrow H^s(F)$  which is defined by  $\phi(\alpha) = f \circ \alpha$  is  $C^\infty$ .*

**Proposition 1.2.** *If  $s > \frac{n}{2}$ , then the map  $D: \mathcal{M}^{s+1} \times H^{s+1}(T_q^p) \rightarrow H^s(T_{q+1}^p)$  which is defined by  $D(g, \xi) = \nabla_g \xi$  is  $C^\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g_0$  be a fixed  $C^\infty$ -metric on  $M$ . We define the tensor field  $T(g)$  by  $T(g)(X, Y) = (\nabla_g)_X Y - (\nabla_{g_0})_X Y$  for an  $H^s$ -metric  $g$  on  $M$ . Then we get

$$(T(g))^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} \{ (\nabla_{g_0})_i g_{lj} + (\nabla_{g_0})_j g_{li} - (\nabla_{g_0})_l g_{ij} \},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and} \quad & (D(g, \xi))^{i_1 \dots i_p}_{j_0 \dots j_q} - (D(g_0, \xi))^{i_1 \dots i_p}_{j_0 \dots j_q} \\ &= - \sum_{a=1}^k (T(g))^l_{j_0 j_a} \xi^{i_1 \dots i_p}_{j_1 \dots j_{a-1} l j_{a+1} \dots j_q} \\ & \quad + \sum_{b=1}^p (T(g))^{i_b}_{j_0 k} \xi^{i_1 \dots i_{b-1} k i_{b+1} \dots i_p}_{j_1 \dots j_q}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the  $H^s$ -topology, we know that the map  $g \rightarrow (\nabla_{g_0})g$  is a  $C^\infty$ -map from  $\mathcal{M}^{s+1}$  to  $H^s(T_3^0)$ . Hence Lemma 1.1 implies that the map  $g \rightarrow T(g)$  is a  $C^\infty$ -map from  $\mathcal{M}^{s+1}$  to  $H^s(T_2^1)$ . Applying Lemma 1.1 to the above formula, we see that the map  $(T(g), \xi) \rightarrow D(g, \xi) - D(g_0, \xi)$  is a  $C^\infty$ -map from  $H^s(T_2^1) \times H^{s+1}(T_q^p)$  to  $H^s(T_{q+1}^p)$ . But the map  $\xi \rightarrow D(g_0, \xi)$  is a continuous linear map from  $H^{s+1}(T_q^p)$  to  $H^s(T_{q+1}^p)$ , hence the map  $(T(g), \xi) \rightarrow D(g, \xi)$  is  $C^\infty$ . Thus we see that the map  $D$  is a composition of  $C^\infty$ -maps, and so is  $C^\infty$ .

**Corollary 1.3.** *If  $s > \frac{n}{2}$ , then the map  $(g, f) \rightarrow \nabla_g f$  is a  $C^\infty$ -map from  $\mathcal{M}^{s+1} \times H^{s+2}(M)$  to  $H^s(M)$ .*

*Proof.* We apply Proposition 1.2 to the formula  $\Delta_g f = -g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j f$ .

**Corollary 1.4.** *If  $s > \frac{n}{2}$ , then the maps  $g \rightarrow R, \rho, \tau$  are  $C^\infty$ -maps from  $\mathcal{M}^{s+2}$  to  $H^s(T_3^1), H^s(S^2)$  and  $H^s(M)$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* The smoothness of the map  $g \rightarrow R$  completes the proof. By easy computation, we get the next formula :

$$\begin{aligned} R(g)_{ijk}{}^l - R(g_0)_{ijk}{}^l &= (\nabla_{g_0})_i (T(g))^l_{jk} - (\nabla_{g_0})_j (T(g))^l_{ik} \\ & \quad + (T(g))^l_{im} (T(g))^m_{jk} - (T(g))^l_{jm} (T(g))^m_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying Proposition 1.2, we see that the map  $g \rightarrow R$  is  $C^\infty$ .

**Lemma 1.5** [9, (19.5); 1, (2.11) (2.12)]. *Let  $g(t)$  be a deformation of  $g$ . If we set  $h = g'(0)$ , then we have the following formulae;*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \big|_0 \tau_{g(t)} = \Delta \text{tr } h + \delta \delta h - (h, \rho), \quad (1.5.1)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \big|_0 \rho_{g(t)} = \frac{1}{2} \{ \Delta h + 2Qh + 2Lh - 2\delta^* \delta h - \text{Hess tr } h \}, \quad (1.5.2)$$

where  $2(Qh)_{ij} = \rho_i{}^k h_{kj} + \rho_j{}^k h_{ik}$  and  $(Lh)_{ij} = R_{ikj} h^{kl}$ .

## 2. A decomposition of the space $\mathcal{M}$

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}^r$  the space of all  $H^r$ -metrics with constant scalar curvature and with volume 1. Fix a  $C^\infty$ -metric  $g_0 \in \mathcal{M}_1$ . For an integer  $r > \frac{n}{2} + 4$  and  $g \in \mathcal{M}_1^r$ , we define a  $C^\infty$ -map

$$\sigma'_g : H_{g_0}^r(M) \rightarrow H_{g_0}^{r-4}(M)$$

by  $\sigma'_g(f) = (n-1)(\Delta_g)^2 f - \tau_g \Delta_g f - \int \{(n-1)(\Delta_g)^2 f - \tau_g \Delta_g f\} v_{g_0}$ .

In fact the map:  $(g, f) \rightarrow \sigma'_g(f)$  is a  $C^\infty$ -map from  $\mathcal{M}_1^r \times H_{g_0}^r(M)$  to  $H_{g_0}^{r-4}(M)$  owing to Corollary 1.3 and Corollary 1.4. First we show some lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If we denote by  $K^r$  the subset of  $\mathcal{M}_1^r$  of all metrics  $g \in \mathcal{M}_1^r$  such that  $\sigma'_g$  is an isomorphism, then  $K^r$  is open in  $\mathcal{M}_1^r$ .*

*Proof.* The map  $g \rightarrow \sigma'_g$  is a  $C^\infty$ -map from  $\mathcal{M}_1^r$  to the space  $L(H_{g_0}^r(M), H_{g_0}^{r-4}(M))$  of all continuous linear maps from  $H_{g_0}^r(M)$  to  $H_{g_0}^{r-4}(M)$ . On the other hand the set of all isomorphisms is open in  $L(H_{g_0}^r(M), H_{g_0}^{r-4}(M))$ , hence  $K^r$  is open  $\mathcal{M}_1^r$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  of all metrics  $g$  with constant scalar curvature  $\tau_g$  such that  $\tau_g = 0$  or  $\tau_g/(n-1)$  is not an eigenvalue of  $\Delta_g$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}^r \cap K^r \cap \mathcal{M} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ . Then  $g \in \mathcal{C}^r \cap \mathcal{M}$ , and so it is sufficient to prove that  $g \in K^r$ . If  $f \in \text{Ker } \sigma'_g$  then  $(n-1)(\Delta_g)^2 f - \tau_g \Delta_g f$  is a constant. By integration we see

$$(n-1)(\Delta_g)^2 f - \tau_g \Delta_g f = 0.$$

But here  $\tau_g = 0$  or  $\tau_g$  is not an eigenvalue of  $\Delta_g$ . Hence  $\Delta_g f$  is a constant, and so the assumption that  $f \in H_{g_0}^r(M)$  implies  $f = 0$ . Thus we see  $\sigma'_g$  is injective. On the other hand  $\text{Im } \{(n-1)(\Delta_g)^2 - \tau_g \Delta_g\} = H_{g_0}^{r-4}(M)$  implies  $\sigma'_g$  is surjective. Therefore  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{C}^r \cap K^r \cap \mathcal{M}$ , and by the definition of  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $K^r$  we see  $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \supset \mathcal{C}^r \cap K^r \cap \mathcal{M}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.**<sup>(1)</sup>  *$\mathcal{C}^r \cap K^r$  is an submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}_1^r$ .*

*Proof.* We define a  $C^\infty$ -map  $\widetilde{\Delta\tau} : \mathcal{M}_1^r \rightarrow H_{g_0}^{r-4}(M)$  by

$$\widetilde{\Delta\tau}(g) = \Delta_g \tau_g - \int \Delta_g \tau_g v_{g_0}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}^r = (\widetilde{\Delta\tau})^{-1}(0)$ . By differentiation we get

(1) A.E. Fischer and J.E. Marsden [8, Theorem 3] show that the space  $\mathbf{R} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{C}}$  becomes a submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

$$T_g(\widetilde{\Delta\tau})(h) = \Delta'_{(g,h)}\tau_g + \Delta_g\tau'_{(g,h)} - \int \{(\Delta'_{(g,h)} + \Delta_g\tau'_{(g,h)})\}v_{g_0}.$$

Let  $g \in \mathcal{C}'$ . Then we get

$$\Delta'_{(g,h)}\tau_g = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_0 \Delta_{g+th}\tau_g = 0.$$

If  $h$  is conformal, i.e., there is  $f \in H'_g(M)$  such that  $h = fg$ , by substituting to the formula (1.5.1) we get

$$\tau'_{(g,fg)} = (n-1)\Delta_g f - \tau_g f.$$

Thus we get  $T_g(\widetilde{\Delta\tau})(fg) = \sigma'_g(f)$ , and  $T_g(\widetilde{\Delta\tau})$  is surjective. This implies, by implicit function theorem,  $\mathcal{C}' \cap K'$  is a submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}'$ , and so of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Define a  $C^\infty$ -map  $\mathcal{X}' : \mathcal{F} \times (\mathcal{C}' \cap K') \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$  by  $\mathcal{X}'(f, g) = fg$ . If  $g \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}$  then  $T_{(f,g)}\mathcal{X}'$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Injectivity. We see

$$(T_{(f,g)}\mathcal{X}')(\phi, h) = fh + \phi g.$$

If  $fh + \phi g = 0$ , then  $\tilde{\phi}g \in \text{Ker } T_g(\widetilde{\Delta\tau})$ , where  $\tilde{\phi} = -\phi/f$ . Hence

$$\Delta_g \text{tr}_g(\tilde{\phi}g) + \delta_g \delta_g(\tilde{\phi}g) - (\tilde{\phi}g, \rho_g)_g = 0,$$

therefore  $(n-1)\Delta_g \tilde{\phi} - \tau_g \tilde{\phi} = 0$ .

But here  $g \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ , which implies  $\tilde{\phi} = 0$ , and so  $h = 0$ ,  $\phi = 0$ .

Surjectivity. The equation  $\text{Im } T_{(f,g)}\mathcal{X}' = fT_g(\mathcal{C}') + H'(M)g$  shows that  $\text{Im } T_{(f,g)}\mathcal{X}'$  is closed in  $H'(S^2)$ . Hence, if  $T_{(f,g)}\mathcal{X}'$  is not surjective then there exists a non-zero element  $\bar{h}$  in  $H'(S^2)$  orthogonal to  $fT_g(\mathcal{C}')$  and  $H'(M)g$ . We set

$$K_g(h) = \Delta_g(\Delta_g \text{tr}_g h + \delta_g \delta_g h - (h, \rho_g)_g).$$

Then we get  $T_g(\mathcal{C}') = \text{Ker } T_g(\widetilde{\Delta\tau}) = \text{Ker } T_g(\Delta\tau) = \text{Ker } K_g$ . On the other hand  $K_g$  has surjective symbol. Hence [2, Corollary 6.9] implies that  $H'(S^2)$  has the decomposition

$$H'(S^2) = \mathbf{R}g \oplus T_g(\mathcal{C}') \oplus \text{Im } K_g^*,$$

where  $K_g^*$  is the formal adjoint of  $K_g$ .  $f\bar{h}$  is orthogonal to  $T_g(\mathcal{C}')$  and  $H'(M)g$ , hence  $f\bar{h} \in \text{Im } K_g^*$ . If we set  $f\bar{h} = K_g^*(\psi)$ , then we see

$$f\bar{h} = (\Delta_g)^2\psi + \nabla_g \nabla_g \Delta_g \psi - \Delta_g \psi \rho_g.$$

Since  $f\bar{h}$  is orthogonal to  $H'(M)g$ , we see

$$0 = \text{tr}_g(f\bar{h}) = (n-1)(\Delta_g)^2\psi - \tau_g\Delta_g\psi.$$

By the assumption that  $g \in \bar{C}$ , we see  $\Delta_g\psi = 0$  and so  $f\bar{h} = 0$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $\bar{h} \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.5.**<sup>(2)</sup> *The space  $\bar{C}$  is an ILH-submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}$  and the map  $\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{F} \times \bar{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a local ILH-diffeomorphism into  $\mathcal{M}$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is defined by  $\mathcal{X}(f, g) = fg$ .*

(For the notation ILH, see [5, pp. 168–169].)

**REMARK 2.6.** J.L. Kazdan and F.W. Warner [3, Theorem 1.1] show that  $\bar{C}$  is not empty.

**REMARK 2.7.** When  $n=2$ , this result is classical. That is, any metric  $g$  is conformal to some metric with constant scalar curvature.

**Proof.** We fix a sufficiently large integer  $r$ . By Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.4 and the inverse function theorem there is an open neighbourhood  $W'$  of  $\mathcal{F} \times \bar{C}$  in  $\mathcal{F}' \times (C^r \cap K')$  such that  $\mathcal{X}'|W'$  is a local diffeomorphism. We denote by  $\bar{C}^r$  the set of all metrics  $g \in C^r \cap K'$  such that there is an  $H'$ -function  $f$  such that  $(f, g) \in W'$ . For an integer  $s \geq r$  we set  $\bar{C}^s = \bar{C}^r \cap \bigcap_{i=r}^s (C^i \cap K^i)$ . We easily see that  $\bar{C}^s \supset \bar{C}^{s+1}$  and, by Lemma 2.1, that  $\bar{C}^s$  is open in  $C^s \cap K^s$ . Moreover we see  $\bigcap_{s=r}^{\infty} \bar{C}^s = \bar{C}$  by Lemma 2.2, and thus we can define an ILH-structure on  $\bar{C}$  as  $\bar{C} = \varprojlim \bar{C}^s$ .

Next we shall prove that the map  $\mathcal{X}'| \mathcal{F}^s \times \bar{C}^s : \mathcal{F}^s \times \bar{C}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^s$  is a local diffeomorphism. Lemma 1.1 implies the smoothness of this map. To prove the smoothness of the inverse map, we choose an open covering  $\{W'_\alpha\}$  of  $W'$  such that  $\mathcal{X}'|W'_\alpha$  is a diffeomorphism. We apply the following lemma to  $(\mathcal{X}'|W')^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 2.8** [4, Lemma 2.8]. *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be vector bundles over  $M$  associated to the frame bundle of  $M$ . Then there exists a canonical linear map  $\eta^* : H^0(E) \rightarrow H^0(E)$  for a diffeomorphism  $\eta$  of  $M$ . Let  $A$  be an open set of  $H'(E)$  and  $\phi : A \rightarrow H'(F)$  be a  $C^\infty$ -map which commutes with any  $\eta^*$ . If we set  $A^s = A \cap H^s(E)$  for  $s \geq r$ , then  $\phi(A^s) \subset H^s(F)$  and the map  $\phi|A^s : A^s \rightarrow H^s(F)$  is  $C^\infty$ .*

If we set  $\text{Im}(\mathcal{X}'|W'_\alpha) = A$  and  $(\mathcal{X}'|W'_\alpha)^{-1} = \phi$ , then  $\phi$  is a  $C^\infty$ -map from  $A$  into  $H'(M) \times H'(S^2)$  which commutes with the action of the diffeomorphism group  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $M$ . Hence Lemma 2.8 implies that the map

(2) J.P. Bourguignon [7, VIII. 8. Proposition] shows that  $\tau : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is a submersion around a metric  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\tau_g$  is not non-negative constant.

$$(\mathcal{X}^r | W'_a)^{-1} | A^s : A^s \rightarrow H^s(M) \times H^s(S^2)$$

is  $C^\infty$ . But here  $\mathcal{F}^s \times \bar{\mathcal{C}}^s$  is a submanifold of  $H^s(M) \times H^s(S^2)$ , hence the map  $(\mathcal{X}^r | W^r)^{-1} | A^s : A^s \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^s \times \bar{\mathcal{C}}^s$  is  $C^\infty$ . Thus  $\mathcal{X}^s$  is a local diffeomorphism and  $\mathcal{X} = \varprojlim \mathcal{X}^s$  is an ILH-diffeomorphism, which implies that  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  is an ILH-submanifold of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $g = fg$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\bar{g} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ . If  $g(t)$  is a deformation of  $g$  with sufficiently small domain of  $t$ , then there exist a 1-parameter family of positive functions  $f(t)$  on  $M$ , a 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms  $\gamma(t)$  of  $M$  and a deformation  $\bar{g}(t)$  in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$  such that  $f(0) = f$ ,  $\delta \bar{g}'(0) = 0$  and  $g(t) = f(t)\gamma(t)^*\bar{g}(t)$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.5,  $g(t)$  is decomposed into  $f(t)\bar{g}(t)$ , where  $\bar{g}(t)$  is a deformation in  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ . Applying Slice theorem [4, Theorem 2.2] to  $\bar{g}(t)$ , we get  $\bar{g}(t) = \gamma(t)^*\bar{g}(t)$ , where  $\bar{g}(t)$  is a deformation such that  $\delta \bar{g}'(0) = 0$ . Also we easily see that  $\bar{g}(t) \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}$  for each  $t$ .

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor J.P. Bourguignon for his kind informations concerning with Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.5.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

### References

- [1] M. Berger: *Quelques formules de variation pour une structure riemannienne*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 4<sup>e</sup> serie **3** (1970), 285–294.
- [2] D.G. Ebin: *The manifold of riemannian metrics*, Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.) **15** (1968), 11–40.
- [3] J.L. Kazdan and F.W. Warner: *Scalar curvature and conformal deformation of riemannian structures*, J. Differential Geometry **10** (1975), 113–134.
- [4] N. Koiso: *Non-deformability of Einstein metrics*, Osaka J. Math. **15** (1978), 419–433.
- [5] H. Omori: *On the group of diffeomorphisms of a compact manifold*, Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.) **15** (1968), 167–183.
- [6] R.S. Palais: *Foundations of non-linear functional analysis*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [7] J.P. Bourguignon: *Une stratification de l'espace des structures riemanniennes*, Compositio Math. **30** (1975), 1–41.
- [8] A.E. Fischer and J.E. Marsden: *Manifolds of riemannian metrics with prescribed scalar curvature*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 479–484.
- [9] A. Lichnerowicz: *Propagateurs et commutateurs en relativité générale*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **10** (1961), 293–344.



