



Title	Structure of a class of polynomial maps with invariant factors
Author(s)	Wen, Zhi-Xiong; Wen, Zhi-Ying
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1997, 34(3), p. 673-679
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/11085">https://doi.org/10.18910/11085</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## STRUCTURE OF A CLASS OF POLYNOMIAL MAPS WITH INVARIANT FACTORS

ZHI-XIONG WEN and ZHI-YING WEN

(Received May 23, 1996)

Let  $\mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a ring of polynomials of  $n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) indeterminates with coefficients in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

Let  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \in (\mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^n$  be a polynomial map from  $\mathbf{R}^n$  to  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and define

$$\lambda_{n,a}(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - a \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \in \mathbf{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n].$$

where  $a (\neq 0) \in \mathbf{R}$ . We will write  $\lambda$  in stead of  $\lambda_{n,a}$  if no confusion happens.  $\lambda_{n,a}$  is called an invariant factor of  $\phi$  if

$$(1) \quad \lambda_{n,a} \circ \phi = \lambda_{n,a}.$$

Now let

$$G_{n,a} = \{\phi; \phi \in (\mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^n, \lambda_{n,a} \circ \phi = \lambda_{n,a}\},$$

that is,  $G_{n,a}$  is the set of polynomial maps of which invariant factor is  $\lambda_{n,a}$ . The main aim of this note is to determine the structure of  $G_{n,a}$ .

Let  $\Omega_{n,a} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}; \lambda_{n,a}(x) = 0\}$ . Then by the equality (1), for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\phi^n(\Omega_{n,a}) \subset \Omega_{n,a},$$

that is,  $\Omega_{n,a}$  is an invariant variety of  $\phi^n$ , where  $\phi^n$  denotes  $n$ -th iteration of  $\phi$  (see [3]). By using this property, we may investigate the asymptotic dynamical behaviours of iterations of  $\phi$  ([1, 2, 3]). We are led naturally to study the structure of  $G_{n,a}$ . In fact, we will prove first that  $G_{n,a}$  is a group, then we will determine the generators of the group.

In the case  $n = 3$ , we have showed the following:

**Theorem 1** ([2]). *With the notations above,  $G_{3,1} = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4 \rangle$  is a group generated by  $\tau_1(x, y, z) = (y, x, z)$ ,  $\tau_2(x, y, z) = (z, y, x)$ ,  $\tau_3(x, y, z) = (-x, -y, z)$ ,  $\tau_4(x, y, z) = (x, y, xy - z)$ .*

The proof of Theorem 1 depends strongly on the reducibility of polynomial  $u^2 + v^2 - auv$ , but when  $n \geq 4$ , the corresponding polynomial that we have to treat is irreducible, thus the method for  $n = 3$  is failed.

Let  $p \in \mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $\phi \in (\mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n])^n$ , we denote by  $\deg p$  the degree of the polynomial  $p$ , and define the degree of  $\phi$  as  $\deg \phi = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg \phi_i$ .

Let  $S_n$  be the symmetric group on  $n$  letters, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_n := \{(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}); \pi \in S_n\} \simeq S_n.$$

So we can denote by  $\pi$  the permutation  $(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $n \geq 3$ ,  $a \neq 0$ ,  $c$  is a constant, then  $\lambda_{n,a} + c$  is irreducible.*

**Proof.** If the conclusion of the lemma is not true, then  $\lambda_{n,a} + c$  is reducible, i.e. we have the non-trivial factorization of  $\lambda_{n,a} + c$

$$(*) \quad \lambda_{n,a} + c = p_1 p_2.$$

Thus if we consider  $\lambda_{n,a} + c$  as a polynomial of  $x_n$  with degree 2, then we have either

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})x_n + g_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \\ p_2 &= f_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})x_n + g_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})x_n^2 + g_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})x_n + h_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \\ p_2 &= f_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

From the hypothesis  $n \geq 3$  and by comparing the degree of two sides of the equality (\*), it is easy to see that the factorizations above are impossible for both cases. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

Now define  $\psi = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, a \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i - x_n)$ , which will play an important role in the studies of this note. By a direct calculation, we see immediately  $\psi \in G_{n,a}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\phi \in G_{n,a}$ . If  $\deg \phi > n$ , then there exists  $\pi \in S_n$ , such that  $\deg(\psi \circ \pi \circ \phi) < \deg \phi$ .*

**Proof.** Because we can find a permutation  $\pi$ , such that

$$\deg \phi_{\pi(1)} \leq \deg \phi_{\pi(2)} \leq \dots \leq \deg \phi_{\pi(n)}.$$

we can assume that

$$(2) \quad \deg \phi_n \geq \deg \phi_{n-1} \geq \cdots \geq \deg \phi_1.$$

Since  $\deg \phi > n$ , we have  $\deg \phi_n \geq 2$ . From the equality (1),

$$(3) \quad \left( \phi_n - a \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i \right) \phi_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - a \prod_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

□

1. If  $\deg \phi_n \neq \deg \left( a \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i \right)$ , then

$$\deg \left( \phi_n - a \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i \right) = \sup \left\{ \deg \phi_n, \deg \left( a \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i \right) \right\}.$$

Thus by (2) and (3), we have

$$\deg \lambda_{n,a} = n = \sup \left\{ \deg \phi_n, \deg \left( a \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i \right) \right\} + \deg \phi_n \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \deg \phi_i = \deg \phi > n,$$

This contradiction follows that

$$(4) \quad \deg \phi_n = \deg(\phi_1 \cdots \phi_{n-1}).$$

2. If  $\deg \phi_n = \deg \phi_{n-1}$ , then by (4), we have  $\deg \phi_i = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ . Thus from the equality (3), there exists constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , such that

$$\phi_n^2 + \phi_{n-1}^2 - ac_1 \phi_n \phi_{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - a \prod_{i=1}^n x_i + c_2.$$

Notice that the left member of the equality above is reducible, but by Lemma 1, the right member of the equality above is irreducible, this contraction yields that

$$(5) \quad \deg \phi_n > \deg \phi_{n-1}.$$

3. If  $\deg \left( a \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i - \phi_n \right) = \deg \phi_n$ , then

$$(6) \quad \deg \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i \right) \leq \deg \phi_n.$$

Using (5), (6) and using the analyses similar to the case 1, we have

$$n = \deg \lambda = \deg \lambda \circ \phi = 2 \deg \phi_n \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \deg \phi_i = \deg \phi > n.$$

This contradiction implies that  $\deg \left( a \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i - \phi_n \right) \neq \deg \phi_n$ , thus from (4), we have  $\deg \left( a \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i - \phi_n \right) < \deg \phi_n$ . By the definition of  $\psi$ , we obtain finally

$$\deg(\psi \circ \pi \circ \phi) < \deg \phi.$$

Now, define  $\rho = (-x_1, -x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}_n = \{\phi \in G_n; \deg \phi_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_n$  is a group generated by  $S_n$  and  $\rho$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $\deg \phi_i = 1$ , we can write  $\phi_i := \phi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) + c_i$ , where  $h_i$  are homogeneous linear polynomials of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and  $c_i \in \mathbf{R}$  are constants. By the equality (1),

$$(7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n (h_i + c_i)^2 - a \prod_{i=1}^n (h_i + c_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - a \prod_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

By comparing the coefficients of the terms of degree  $n$  of the two sides of (7), we have  $h_i = d_i x_{\pi(i)}$ , where  $d_i \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\pi \in S_n$ . By comparing the coefficients of the terms of degree  $n-1$ , we have  $c_i = 0$ . By comparing the coefficients of the square terms, we have  $d_i^2 = 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Finally notice that  $|\{i; d_i = -1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}| \in 2\mathbf{N}$  and notice the role of the action of  $\rho$ , we obtain this lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\phi \in G_{n,a}$ . Then for any  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have  $\deg \phi_i \geq 1$ . Moreover, there exists  $\varphi \in \langle \psi, S_n \rangle$ , such that*

$$\deg(\varphi \circ \phi)_1 = \dots = \deg(\varphi \circ \phi)_n = 1.$$

**Proof.** We prove the lemma by induction. By Theorem 4 of §3 of [1], the lemma holds for  $n = 3$ . Now suppose that the conclusions of the lemma are true for the positive integers less than  $n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ).

If  $\deg \phi > n$ , by using Lemma 2 repeatedly, we can decrease the degree of  $\phi$  by using  $\psi$  and a suitable  $\pi \in S_n$ , and the degree of each component of  $\phi$  does not increase. Thus we can assume that  $\deg \phi \leq n$ .

If the conclusion of the lemma is not true, then there exists some  $\phi_i$ , being  $\phi_n$  without losing generality, such that  $\phi_n \equiv c$ , where  $c$  is a constant. If  $c = 0$ , by the

equality (1),

$$(8) \quad \phi_1^2 + \cdots + \phi_{n-1}^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 - ax_1x_2 \cdots x_n.$$

Notice that the left member of the equality (8) is always non-negative. But for  $n \geq 3$ , we can choose  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , such that the right member of the equality (8) is strictly negative. thus  $c \neq 0$ .

Now let  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}, c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i^{(j)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) &:= \\ \phi_i(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, c, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) &\in \mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n], \\ \phi^{(j)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) &:= \\ (\phi_1^{(j)}, \dots, \phi_{n-1}^{(j)}) &\in (\mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n])^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

From (1) and  $\phi_n \equiv c$ , we check directly for any  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$(9) \quad \lambda_{n-1,ca} \circ \phi^{(j)} = \lambda_{n-1,ca}.$$

Since  $\deg \phi \leq n$ , we have  $\deg \phi^{(j)} \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

1. Suppose that  $\deg \phi^{(j)} = n$ .

By Lemma 2, there exists  $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}\{1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$(10) \quad \deg \psi^{(j)} \circ \pi \circ \phi^{(j)} < \deg \phi^{(j)} = n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{(j)}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, acx_1 \cdots x_{j-1}x_{j+1} \cdots x_{n-1} - x_n). \end{aligned}$$

From (9) and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\psi^{(j)} \circ \pi \circ \phi^{(j)} = (\varepsilon_1^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_{j-1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j-1)}, \varepsilon_{j+1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j+1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_n^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(n)}),$$

where  $\tau^{(j)} \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}\{1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\varepsilon_i^{(j)} = \pm 1$ .

Since  $(\psi^{(j)})^2 = id$ , we have

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi^{(j)} &= \pi^{-1} \circ (\varepsilon_1^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_{j-1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j-1)}, \varepsilon_{j+1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j+1)}, \dots, \\ &ac\varepsilon_1^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(1)} \cdots \varepsilon_{j-1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j-1)} \varepsilon_{j+1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j+1)} \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(n-1)} - \varepsilon_n^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi^{(j)} = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1})|_{x_j=c}$  for any  $c$  and  $\phi$  is a polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , it follows from (11) that

$$(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) = \pi^{-1} \circ (\varepsilon_1^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_{j-1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j-1)}, \varepsilon_{j+1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j+1)}, \dots, \\ ax_j \varepsilon_1^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(1)} \cdots \varepsilon_{j-1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j-1)} \varepsilon_{j+1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j+1)} \cdots \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(n-1)} - \varepsilon_n^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(n)}).$$

for some  $\pi$  and  $\tau$ . Therefore,

$$n \geq \deg \phi = 2n - 3,$$

which contradicts with  $n \geq 4$ .

2. Now suppose that  $\deg \phi^{(j)} \leq n - 1$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

From (9) and by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$(12) \quad \phi^{(j)} = (\varepsilon_1^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_{j-1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j-1)}, \varepsilon_{j+1}^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(j+1)}, \dots, \varepsilon_n^{(j)} x_{\tau^{(j)}(n)}).$$

for any  $c$ , where  $\tau^{(j)} \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}\{1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\varepsilon_i^{(j)} = \pm 1$  and they may depend on  $c$ . Since  $\phi^{(j)} = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1})|_{x_j=c}$  for any  $c$  and  $\phi$  is a polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , it follows from (12) that  $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1})$  is independent of  $x_j$  for any  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus,  $\deg \phi = 0$ , which is absurd since  $\phi \in G_{n,a}$ .

These contradictions come from the hypothesis that  $\phi_i \equiv c$  for some  $i$ , so we have  $\deg \phi_i \geq 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $\deg \phi \leq n$ , this implies that

$$\deg \phi_1 = \dots = \deg \phi_n = 1,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 4. □

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose that  $\phi \in G_{n,a}$ . Then there exists  $\varphi \in G_{n,a}$ , such that*

$$\varphi \circ \phi = (d_1 x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, d_n x_{\pi(n)}).$$

**Proof.** It follows immediately from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4. □

The foregoing results complete the proof of the following

**Theorem 2.**  *$G_{n,a}$  is a group generated by  $S_n, \rho$  and  $\psi$ .*

---

**References**

- [1] J. Peyrière, Z.-X. Wen and Z.-Y. Wen: *On the dynamic behaviours of the iterations of the trace map associated with substitutive sequences*, Nonlinear problems in engineering and science, ed. S. Xiao and X.-C. Hu, Science Press, Beijing, (1992), 259–266.
- [2] J. Peyrière, Z.-Y. Wen et Z.-X. Wen: *Polynômes associés aux endomorphismes de groupes libres*, L'Enseignement Mathématique, **39** (1993), 153–175.
- [3] J. Roberts and M. Baake: *Trace maps as 3D reversible dynamical systems with an invariant*, J. Stat. Phys. **74-3/4** (1994), 829–888.

Z.-X. Wen  
Department of Physics  
Wuhan University  
Wuhan 430072, P. R. of China

Z.-Y. Wen  
Department of Mathematics  
Wuhan University  
Wuhan 430072, P. R. of China



