

Title	Vanishing theorems for type (0,q) cohomology of locally symmetric spaces. II		
Author(s)	Williams, Floyd L.		
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1983, 20(1), p. 95-108		
Version Type	VoR		
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/11230		
rights			
Note			

# The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

# VANISHING THEORMS FOR TYPE (0,q)COHOMOLOGY OF LOCALLY SYMMETRIC SPACES II

FLOYD L. WILLIAMS

(Received February 25, 1981)

#### 1. Introduction

Let G/K be a Hermitian symmetric space where G is a connected non-compact semisimple Lie group and  $K \subset G$  is a maximal compact subgroup. We fix a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of G which acts freely on G/K and for which the quotient  $X=\Gamma\backslash G/K$  is compact. Let  $E_{\tau}\to G/K$  be a homogeneous  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundle over G/K induced by a finite-dimensional irreducible representation  $\tau$  of K. Then  $E_{\tau}$  has a holomorphic structure and one can define a presheaf by assigning to an open set U in X the abelian group of  $\Gamma$ -invariant holomorphic sections of  $E_{\tau}$  on the inverse image (under the map  $G/K\to X$ ) of U in G/K. Let  $\theta_{\tau}\to X$  be the sheaf generated by this presheaf and let  $H^q(X,\theta_{\tau})$  denote the qth cohomology space of X with coefficients in  $\theta_{\tau}$ . In this paper we continue the program initiated in [23] of obtaining some general vanishing theorems for the spaces  $H^q(X,\theta_{\tau})$  by the application of recent representation-theoretic results. This allows for a unified view-point and one by which, in particular, the classical vanishing theorems of [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [12], and [13] may be deduced.

Following Hotta and Murakami [4] we represent  $H^{q}(X, \theta_{\tau})$  as a space of automorphic forms. Then its dimension can be expressed by a formula of Matsushima and Murakami [14] in terms of certain irreducible unitary representations  $\pi$  of G, the multiplicity of  $\pi$  in  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ , and the K intertwining number of  $\pi$  with  $Ad_+^q \otimes \tau$  where  $Ad_+^q$  is the qth exterior power of the adjoint representation of K on the space of holomorphic tangent vectors at the origin of G/K. Based on results of Kumaresan [9], Parthasarathy [17], and Vogan [21], we have been able to obtain in [23] and [24] a clearer understanding of the structure of the unitary representations  $\pi$  of G in the Matsushima-Murakami formula; also see Theorem 3.3 of the present paper. We apply this new knowledge in conjunction with the Matsushima-Murakami formula to deduce the main result of this paper, which is Theorem 4.3. We can deduce, in particular, results of [23] from Theorem 4.3 without assuming the linearity of G. Thus we drop the linearity assumption in the present paper, which was enforced in [23].

## 2. Unitary representations intertwining $\chi^{\pm} \otimes \tau_{\Lambda + \delta_{n}}$

In this section G will denote a non-compact connected semisimple Lie group with finite center and  $K \subset G$  will denote a maximal compact subgroup of G. However, proceeding more generally, we shall not assume that G/K is Hermitian symmetric (until later). Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0$  be a Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  of G, where  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  is the Lie algebra of K and  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  is the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  relative to the Killing form  $(\ ,\ )$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g},\ \mathfrak{k},\ \mathfrak{p}$  denote, respectively, the complexifications of  $\mathfrak{g}_0,\ \mathfrak{k}_0,\ \mathfrak{p}_0$ . We shall assume throughout that  $\mathfrak{k}$  contains a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; i.e. we assume G and K have the same rank. This will be the case in particular when G/K is Hermitian. Let  $\Delta$  be the set of non-zero roots of  $(\mathfrak{g},\ \mathfrak{h})$ , let  $\Delta_k,\ \Delta_n$  denote the compact, non-compact roots respectively in  $\Delta$ , let  $\Delta^+ \subset \Delta$  be an arbitrary choice of a system of positive roots, let  $\Delta_k^+ = \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_k,\ \Delta_n^+ = \Delta^+ \cap \Delta_n$ , and let  $2\delta = \langle \Delta^+ \rangle,\ 2\delta_k = \langle \Delta_k^+ \rangle,\ 2\delta_n = \langle \Delta_n^+ \rangle$ , where we write  $\langle \Phi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \alpha$  for  $\Phi \subset \Delta$ . Let  $\mathcal F$  denote the integral linear forms  $\Lambda$  on  $\mathfrak h$ ; i.e.  $\Lambda \in \mathfrak h^*$  (the dual space of  $\mathfrak h$ ) satisfies:  $\frac{2(\Lambda,\alpha)}{(\alpha,\alpha)}$  is an integer for each  $\alpha$  in  $\Delta$ . We define

(2.1) 
$$\mathcal{F}'_0 = \{ \Lambda \in \mathcal{F} | (\Lambda + \delta, \alpha) \neq 0 \text{ for } \alpha \text{ in } \Delta \text{ and } (\Lambda + \delta, \alpha) > 0 \text{ for } \alpha \text{ in } \Delta_k^+ \}$$
.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  be the (one dimensional) root space of  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Given  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0 \Lambda + \delta_n$  is the highest weight with respect to  $\Delta_k^+$  of an irreducible representation  $\tau_{\Lambda+\delta_n}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . The Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  induces a real inner product on  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  and since  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  is even-dimensional (because G and K are of equal rank) the spin representation  $\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{So}(\mathfrak{p}_0)$  has a decomposition  $\sigma = \sigma^+ \oplus \sigma^-$  into two irreducible representations  $\sigma^{\pm}$ . Let

$$\chi^{\pm} = \sigma^{\pm} \circ (\operatorname{ad}_{\mathfrak{k}_0})|_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$$

where  $(\operatorname{adt}_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}_0}$  is the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  on  $\mathfrak{p}_0$ . Then  $\chi^{\pm}\otimes\tau_{\Lambda+\delta_n}$  always integrates to a representation of K (which we shall denote by the same symbol) for  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$  even though  $\tau_{\Lambda+\delta_n}$  may not. Let  $\Omega$  denote the Casimir operator of G and let  $\hat{G}$  denote the equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations  $(\pi, H_{\pi})$  of G on a Hilbert space  $H_{\pi}$ . Given  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$  we shall want to pin down the structure of a  $(\pi, H_{\pi}) \in \hat{G}$  such that  $\pi(\Omega) = (\Lambda, \Lambda+2\delta)1$  and such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_K(\pi, \chi^{\pm}\otimes\tau_{\Lambda+\delta_n}) \neq 0$ . Here  $H_{\pi}$  also denotes the space of K finite vectors in  $H_{\pi}$  which is regarded as a  $U\mathfrak{g}$  module where  $U\mathfrak{g}$  is the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; thus  $\pi(\Omega)$  is well-defined. We shall need the following additional notation. If  $\theta \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is a parabolic subalgebra we shall write  $\theta = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{u}$  for its Levi decomposition where  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{u}$  denote the reductive and nilpotent parts respectively of  $\theta$ ,  $\Delta(\mathfrak{m})$  for the roots of  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\theta_{u,n}$  for the set of non-compact roots in the nilpotent radical  $\mathfrak{u}$ , M for the closed Lie subgroup of G whose complexified Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{m}$ , and we shall write  $2\delta_{u,n} = \langle \theta_{u,n} \rangle$ . Let  $c \colon \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0$  denote the Cartan

involution for the Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g}_0=\mathfrak{k}_0+\mathfrak{p}_0$  above. Let F be a finite-dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$  module and let  $\theta=\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{u}\supset\mathfrak{h}$  be a c stable parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that the space  $F^u$  of  $\mathfrak{u}$  invariants is a one dimensional unitary M module. If  $\lambda\in\mathfrak{m}^*$  is the differential of  $F^u$  then  $\lambda(\Delta(\mathfrak{m}))=0$  and we shall write  $A_{\theta}(\lambda)$  for the unique (up to equivalence) irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$  module with minimal  $\mathfrak{k}$  type  $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}}+2\delta_{u,n}$ . This means that  $A_{\theta}(\lambda)$  is the only irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$  module such that (i)  $A_{\theta}(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{k}}$  contains the irreducible  $\mathfrak{k}$  module with  $\Delta_k^+$ -highest weight  $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}}+2\delta_{u,n}$  and (ii) the  $\Delta_k^+$ -highest weight of any irreducible  $\mathfrak{k}$  submodule of  $A_{\theta}(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{k}}$  is of the form  $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}}+2\delta_{u,n}+\sum_{\beta\in\theta_{u,n}}n_{\beta}\beta$  where  $n_{\beta}\geq 0$ . For the existence and construction of the  $\mathfrak{g}$  modules  $A_{\theta}(\lambda)$  the reader may consult [16], [25]. One knows that the special  $\mathfrak{k}$  type  $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}}+2\delta_{u,n}$  occurs exactly once in  $A_{\theta}(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{k}}$ . Now let W be the Weyl group of  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$  and let  $W_K$  be the subgroup of W generated by reflections corresponding to compact roots. For  $\Lambda\in\mathcal{F}'_0$  let

$$(2.3) P^{(\Lambda)} = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid (\Lambda + \delta, \alpha) > 0\}$$

be the system of positive roots corresponding to the regular element  $\Lambda + \delta$ , let

(2.4) 
$$Q_{\Lambda} = \{\alpha \in \Delta_{\pi}^{+} \mid (\Lambda + \delta, \alpha) > 0\}$$

$$P_{\pi}^{(\Lambda)} = P^{(\Lambda)} \cap \Delta_{\pi}, \quad 2\delta^{(\Lambda)} = \langle P^{(\Lambda)} \rangle, \quad 2\delta_{\pi}^{(\Lambda)} = \langle P_{\pi}^{(\Lambda)} \rangle$$

and for  $w_1 \in W$ ,  $\tau_1 \in W_K$  let

(2.5) 
$$\Phi_{w_1}^{(\Lambda)} = w_1(-P^{(\Lambda)}) \cap P^{(\Lambda)}, \quad \Phi_{w_1} = w_1(-\Delta^+) \cap \Delta^+$$
 
$$\Phi_{\tau_1}^+ = \tau_1(-\Delta_k^+) \cap \Delta_k^+.$$

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $\tau \in W_K$  and let  $w \in W$  be such that  $\Delta_k^+ \subset wP^{(\Delta)}$ . Then  $\Phi_{\tau^{-1}w}^{(\Delta)} = \Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^k \cup (\Phi_{\tau^{-1}w}^{(\Delta)_1} - \Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^k)$ ,  $\Phi_{\tau^{-1}w}^{(\Delta)_1} - \Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^k = \{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Delta)} | w^{-1}\tau\alpha \in -P^{(\Delta)} \}$ . Also  $\Phi_w^{(\Delta)} \subset P_n^{(\Delta)}$ .

Proof. If  $\alpha \in \Phi_{\tau-1}^k$  then  $\alpha \in \Delta_k^+ \subset P^{(\Delta)}$  and  $\tau \alpha \in -\Delta_k^+ \subset w(-P^{(\Delta)}) \Rightarrow w^{-1}\tau \alpha \in -P^{(\Delta)} \Rightarrow \Phi_{\tau-1}^k \subset \Phi_{\tau}^{(\Delta)_{1_w}}$  and hence  $\Phi_{\tau}^{(\Delta)_{1_w}} = \Phi_{\tau-1}^k \cup (\Phi_{\tau}^{(\Delta)_{1_w}} - \Phi_{\tau-1}^k)$ . If  $\alpha \in \Phi_{\tau}^{(\Delta)_{1_w}} - \Phi_{\tau-1}^k$  then  $\alpha \in P^{(\Delta)}$ ,  $w^{-1}\tau \alpha \in -P^{(\Delta)}$  and we claim  $\alpha \notin \Delta_k^+$ . For otherwise  $\tau \alpha \in \Delta_k^+$  since  $\alpha \notin \Phi_{\tau-1}^k$ . Then  $\tau \alpha \in wP^{(\Delta)} \Rightarrow w^{-1}\tau \alpha \in P^{(\Delta)}$  is a contradiction. Thus we must have  $\alpha \in P^{(\Delta)} - \Delta_k^+ = P_n^{(\Delta)}$ ; i.e.  $\Phi_{\tau}^{(\Delta)_{1_w}} - \Phi_{\tau-1}^k \subset \{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Delta)} | w^{-1}\tau \alpha \in -P^{(\Delta)} \}$ . Conversely  $\{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Delta)} | w^{-1}\tau \alpha \in -P^{(\Delta)} \} \subset \Phi_{\tau}^{(\Delta)_{1_w}} - \Phi_{\tau-1}^k \subset \Phi_{\tau-1}^k \subset \Delta_k^+$  and since  $\Delta_k \cap \Delta_n = \phi$ . Clearly  $\Phi_w^{(\Delta)} \subset P_n^{(\Delta)}$  since  $\Delta_k^+ \subset wP^{(\Delta)} \cap P_n^{(\Delta)}$ .

Using Proposition 2.6 we can now state the following theorem whose proof is given in [24] (see Theorem 2.15 there).

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$  in (2.1), let  $P^{(\Lambda)}$  be the corresponding positive system in (2.3), and let  $\sigma \in W$  be the unique Weyl group element such that  $\sigma \Delta^+ = P^{(\Lambda)}$ .

Let  $(\pi, H_{\pi}) \in \hat{G}$  be such that  $\pi(\Omega) = (\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\delta)1$  and such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(\pi, \chi^{\pm} \otimes \tau_{\Lambda + \delta_{n}}) = 0$ . Then there is a pair  $(\tau, w) \in W_{K} \times W$  and a c stable parabolic subalgebra  $\theta = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{u}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing a Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1}^{+}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  where  $\Delta_{1}^{+} \supset \Delta_{k}^{+}$  such that

- (i)  $H_{\pi} = A_{\theta}(\lambda)$  and the minimal  $\mathfrak{t}$  type  $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}} + 2\delta_{u,n}$  (which characterizes  $H_{\pi}$ ) has the form  $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}} + 2\delta_{u,n} = \Lambda + \delta_n + \tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Lambda)} \delta_k)$
- (ii)  $(\tau, w)$  satisfy  $\Delta_k^+ \subset wP^{(\Delta)}$ ,  $\tau(\Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Delta)}) = w(\Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Delta)}) = \Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Delta)}$ ,  $\Phi_w^{(\Delta)}$ ,  $\Phi_\tau^{(\Delta)_{1_w}} \Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^k$ , and  $\{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Delta)} \mid \tau\alpha \in -P_n^{(\Delta)}\}$  are contained in  $\{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Delta)} \mid (\Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Delta)}, \alpha) = 0\}$ , and  $(-1)^{|\Phi_\sigma|} = \pm (-1)^{|\Phi_w^{(\Delta)}|} = \pm (-1)^{n+|\theta_{u,n}|}$  where |S| denotes the cardinality of a set S and  $n = \frac{1}{2} \dim_R G/K^{(1)}$  (see (2.5)); also  $\Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^k \subset \{\alpha \in \Delta_k^+ \mid (\Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Delta)}, \alpha) = 0\}$
- (iii) the relative Lie algebra cohomology  $H^{j}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}, \mathbf{C})$  (for the trivial module  $\mathbf{C}$ =the complex numbers) is non-zero for  $j=n-|\theta_{u,n}|-|\{\alpha\in P_n^{(\Delta)}|w^{-1}\tau\alpha\in -P^{(\Delta)}\}|$ . Hence the latter number is even.

REMARKS. (i) If F is the finite-dimensional irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$  module with  $P^{(\Lambda)}$ -highest weight  $\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}$  then  $H_{\pi}$  in Theorem 2.7 satisfies

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(H_{\pi}, \wedge^{i} p \otimes F) = H^{i}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, H_{\pi} \otimes F^{*}) = H^{i-|\theta_{u,n}|}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}, C)$$
 for  $i \geqslant 0$ 

- (ii)  $\Lambda + \delta_n + \tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Lambda)} \delta_k)$  is the only  $\mathfrak{t}$  type which occurs both in  $\pi \mid K$  and in  $\mathfrak{X}^{\pm} \otimes \tau_{\Lambda + \delta_n}$
- (iii) If  $\sigma_1 \in W$  is the unique Weyl group element such that  $\sigma_1 \Delta_1^+ = P^{(\Lambda)}$  then  $\sigma_1 \lambda \mid \mathfrak{h} = \Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Lambda)}$  (see [24]).
- (iv) The proof of Theorem 2.7 leans heavily on the recent unpublished results of D. Vogan [21]. Vogan's results depend in part on the important theorem of S. Kumaresan [9] which specifies the structure of an irreducible  $\mathfrak{k}$  component of  $\Lambda\mathfrak{p}$  that can occur in an irreducible unitary  $\mathfrak{g}$  module  $H_{\pi}$  when  $\pi(\Omega)=0$ .
  - (v)  $\Phi_{\sigma} = \Delta_n^+ Q_{\Lambda}$ .

## 3. Unitary representations intertwining $Ad_{+}^{q} \otimes \tau_{A}$

We now assume that for G, K in section 2, the quotient G/K admits a G invariant complex structure; i.e. G/K is a Hermitian symmetric domain. We choose the positive system  $\Delta^+$  above to be compatible with the complex structures on G/K. This means that

$$\mathfrak{p}^{\pm} = \sum_{\pm \alpha \in \Delta_n^{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$$

where  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{p}^-$  is the splitting of  $\mathfrak{p}$  into the spaces of holomorphic and anti-

<sup>1)</sup> Hence  $|\theta_{u,n}| = \dim \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ .

holomorphic tangent vectors  $\mathfrak{p}^+$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}^-$  respectively at the origin in G/K. The spaces  $\mathfrak{p}^\pm$  are K and  $\mathfrak{k}^+$  stable abelian subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The condition of the compatibility of  $\Delta^+$  with a G invariant complex structure is equivalent to the following: every  $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$  is totally positive; i.e. for each  $\alpha$  in  $\Delta_n^+$  we have  $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta_n^+$  for any  $\beta \in \Delta_k$  such that  $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$ . If  $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  is integral and  $\Delta_k^+$  dominant we write  $(\tau_\mu, V_\mu)$  for the corresponding irreducible of representation of  $\mathfrak{k}$  (or of K if  $(\tau_\mu, V_\mu) \in \hat{K}$ ). Let  $L^\pm$  denote the representation space of  $\mathfrak{X}^\pm$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{(-1)^{j=\pm 1}} \bigoplus \Lambda^{n-j} \mathfrak{p}^+ = L^{\pm} \otimes V_{\delta_n}$$

as K modules. Here note that dim  $V_{\delta_n}=1$  by Weyl's formula since  $(\delta_n, \alpha)=0$  for  $\alpha \in \Delta_k^+$  in the Hermitian symmetric case. Again  $n=\frac{1}{2}\dim_R G/K=\dim_R G/K=\dim_R G/K=|\Delta_n^+|$ . We now prove the following Hermitian analogue of Theorem 2.7.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\Lambda$ ,  $P^{(\Lambda)}$   $\sigma$  be as in Theorem 2.7 where  $\Lambda$  is the  $\Delta_k^+$ -highest weight of  $(\tau_{\Lambda}, V_{\Lambda}) \in \hat{K}$ . Let  $(\pi, H_{\pi}) \in \hat{G}$  be such that  $\pi(\Omega) = (\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\delta)1$  and such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_K(H_{\pi}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{p}^+ \otimes V_{\Lambda}) \neq 0$  where  $q \geqslant 0$  is fixed. Then there is a pair  $(\tau, w) \in W_K \times W$  and a c stable parabolic subalgebra  $\theta = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{u}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing a Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  where  $\Delta_1^+ \supset \Delta_k^+$  such that  $H_{\pi}$ ,  $(\tau, w)$ ,  $\theta$  satisfy con-

ditions (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem 2.7 where in (ii)  $\pm$  is chosen according as  $(-1)^{n-q} = \pm 1$ . If  $A_{\Lambda,\tau,w} = \{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Lambda)} | w^{-1}\tau\alpha \in -P^{(\Lambda)}\}$  (see Proposition 2.6), then q satisfies  $q = |A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| - 2|Q_{\Lambda} \cap A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| + |Q_{\Lambda}|$  where  $Q_{\Lambda}$  is given by (2.4).

Proof. Suppose that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(H_{\pi}, \Lambda^{q}\mathfrak{p}^{+}\otimes V_{\Lambda}) \neq 0$ . Writing q = n - (n - q) and using (3.2) we have for  $(-1)^{n-q} = \pm 1$  the K module inclusion  $\Lambda^{q}\mathfrak{p}^{+}\otimes V_{\Lambda} \subset L^{\pm}\otimes V_{\delta_{n}}\otimes V_{\Lambda} = L^{\pm}\otimes V_{\Lambda+\delta_{n}}$  so that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(H_{\pi}, L^{\pm}\otimes V_{\Lambda+\delta_{n}}) \neq 0$  since  $H_{\pi}|_{K}$  and  $\Lambda^{q}\mathfrak{p}^{+}\otimes V_{\Lambda}$  contain a common K type  $V_{\mu}$ . Thus Theorem 2.2 applies. The  $\Delta_{k}^{+}$ -highest weight  $\mu$  satisfies  $\mu = \Lambda + \langle Q_{1} \rangle$  where  $Q_{1} \subset \Delta_{n}^{+}$  such that  $|Q_{1}| = q$ . Let  $Q_{2} = \Delta_{n}^{+} - Q_{1}$  so that  $\mu = \Lambda + 2\delta_{n} - \langle Q_{2} \rangle$ . Define  $Q_{3} = (Q_{\Lambda} - Q_{2}) \cup -(Q_{2} \cap Q_{\Lambda}')$   $\subset P_{n}^{(\Lambda)} = Q_{\Lambda} \cup -Q_{\Lambda}'$  where  $Q_{\Lambda}' = \Delta_{n}^{+} - Q_{\Lambda}$ . Then one easily checks that

(3.4) 
$$|Q_3| = |Q_2| - 2|Q_2 \cap Q_\Lambda| + |Q_\Lambda| \quad \text{and}$$

$$\langle Q_3 \rangle = \langle Q_\Lambda \rangle - \langle Q_2 \rangle.$$

Let  $Q_4 = P_n^{(\Delta)} - Q_3$ . One has  $\delta_n + \delta_n^{(\Delta)} = \langle Q_\Delta \rangle$  so that using (3.4)  $\mu = \Lambda + \delta_n + \delta_n - \langle Q_2 \rangle = \Lambda + \delta_n + \delta_n - \langle Q_\Delta \rangle + \langle Q_3 \rangle = \Lambda + \delta_n + \delta_n^{(\Delta)} - \langle Q_4 \rangle$ . On the other hand by remark (ii) above  $\Lambda + \delta_n + \tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Delta)} - \delta_k)$  is the only  $\mathfrak{k}$  type occurring both in  $\pi \mid_K$  and  $\chi^{\pm} \otimes \tau_{\Lambda + \delta_n}$  which means that  $\mu = \Lambda + \delta_n + \tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Delta)} - \delta_k) = \Lambda + \delta_n + \delta_n^{(\Delta)} - \langle Q_4 \rangle$  and hence  $\tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Delta)} - \delta_k) = \delta_n^{(\Delta)} - \langle Q_4 \rangle$ . Therefore  $\langle Q_4 \cup \Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^k \rangle$  (see (2.5)) =  $\langle Q_4 \rangle + \langle \Phi_{\tau}^k \rangle = \langle Q_4 \rangle + \delta_k - \tau^{-1} \delta_k = \delta_k + \delta_n^{(\Delta)} - \tau^{-1} w \delta^{(\Delta)} = \delta_n^{(\Delta)} - \tau^{-1} \delta_k w^{(\Delta)} = \langle \Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^{(\Delta)} \rangle$ . Thus by (5.10.2) of Kostant [8]  $Q_4 \cup \Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^k = \Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^{(\Delta)}$ . Then  $Q_4 = \Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^{(\Delta)} = \Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^k = \delta_{\tau^{-1}}^k \otimes \Phi_{\tau^{-1}}^k \otimes \Phi_{\tau^$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} A_{\Lambda,\tau,w} \mbox{ (by Proposition 2.6) and since } Q_4 = P_n^{(\Lambda)} - Q_3, \ Q_2 = \Delta_n^+ - Q_1 \mbox{ we get } |A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| = n - |Q_3| = n - |Q_2| + 2|Q_2 \cap Q_{\Lambda}| - |Q_{\Lambda}| \mbox{ (by (3.4))} = |Q_1| + 2|Q_2 \cap Q_{\Lambda}| - |Q_{\Lambda}| \\ = q + 2|Q_2 \cap Q_{\Lambda}| - |Q_{\Lambda}|. \mbox{ But by definition of } Q_3 \mbox{ we have } Q_2 \cap Q_{\Lambda} = Q_{\Lambda} - Q_3 = Q_{\Lambda} \cap Q_4 = Q_{\Lambda} \cap A_{\Lambda,\tau,w} \mbox{ and hence } |A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| = q + 2|Q_{\Lambda} \cap A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| - |Q_{\Lambda}|. \mbox{ This proves Theorem 3.3.} \end{array}$ 

In the statement of Theorem 3.3 no conditions are imposed on  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . However suppose for example that we impose the following condition: we assume every  $\alpha \in P_n^{(\Lambda)}$  is totally positive. Then we have the following refinement of Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 3.5. Let  $(\tau_{\Lambda}, V_{\Lambda})$ ,  $P^{(\Lambda)}$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $(\pi, H_{\pi})$  be as in Theorem 3.3 with q fixed. Suppose in addition that  $P^{(\Lambda)}$  is compatible with a G invariant complex structure on G/K; i.e. assume every non-compact root in  $P^{(\Lambda)}$  is totally positive. Then there is a Weyl group element w and a c stable parabolic subalgebra  $\theta=m+u$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.7 where in (i), (ii), (iii)  $\tau \in W_K$  may be assumed equal to the identity element (thus for example  $H_{\pi}$  is characterized by the minimal t type  $\Lambda + \delta_n + w \delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k$  and  $j=n-|\theta_{u,n}|-|\Phi_{w}^{(\Lambda)}|$  and in (ii)  $\pm$  is chosen according as  $(-1)^{n-q}=\pm 1$ . q satisfies  $q=|\Phi_{w}^{(\Lambda)}|-2|Q_{\Lambda}\cap\Phi_{w}^{(\Lambda)}|+|Q_{\Lambda}|$ .

Proof. Choose  $(\tau, w)$ ,  $\theta = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{u}$  as in Theorem 2.7 or Theorem 3.3. Since every non-compact root in  $P^{(\Lambda)}$  is totally positive and since  $\tau \in W_K$  we have  $\tau P_n^{(\Lambda)} = P_n^{(\Lambda)}$ . This implies that

$$A_{\Lambda,\tau,w} = \tau^{-1} \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}$$

Also one has  $\tau Q_{\Lambda} = Q_{\Lambda}$  and hence by (3.6)

(3.7) 
$$\tau(Q_{\Lambda} \cap A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}) = Q_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{w}^{(\Lambda)}.$$

Thus in Theorem 3.3 we have  $q = |A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| - 2|Q_{\Lambda} \cap A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| + |Q_{\Lambda}| = |\Phi_{w}^{(\Lambda)}| - 2|Q_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_{w}^{(\Lambda)}| + |Q_{\Lambda}|$ . Also by (3.6) we see that in statement (iii) of Theorem 2.7 we have  $j = n - |\theta_{u,n}| - |A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| = n - |\theta_{u,n}| - |\Phi_{w}^{(\Lambda)}|$ . To complete the proof of Corollary 3.4 we must show that in statement (i) of Theorem 2.7  $\tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k) = w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k$ . Now since the positive system  $P^{(\Lambda)}$  is compatible with a G invariant complex structure on G/K we have  $(\delta_n^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = 0$  for  $\alpha$  in  $\Delta_k^+$  so that  $\pm \delta_n^{(\Lambda)}$  is  $\Delta_k^+$ -dominant. Also since  $\Delta_k^+ \subset wP^{(\Lambda)}$  we have  $(w\delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = (\delta^{(\Lambda)}, w^{-1}\alpha) > 0$  for  $\alpha$  in  $\Delta_k^+$  so that  $w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k$  is  $\Delta_k^+$ -dominant. Similarly  $\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}$  is  $P^{(\Lambda)}$  dominant (since  $(\Lambda + \delta, \alpha) > 0$  for  $\alpha$  in  $P^{(\Lambda)}$ ) and in particular  $\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k = \Lambda + \delta_n - \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k$  is  $\Delta_k^+$ -dominant. Moreover  $\tau^{-1}(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}) + w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k = \Lambda + \delta_n - \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + \tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k)$  (since  $\tau^{-1}(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}) = \Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}$  by statement (ii) of Theorem 2.7) =  $\Lambda + \delta_n - \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + \tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k) = \lambda + \delta - \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k$  under the Weyl group  $W_K$  can be  $\Delta_k^+$ -dominant we conclude that  $\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k = \tau^{-1}(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k) = \Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}$ 

 $+\tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Lambda)}-\delta_k)$  and hence  $w\delta^{(\Lambda)}-\delta_k=\tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Lambda)}-\delta_k)$  as desired.

**Proposition 3.8.** Suppose in Theorem 3.3 the parabolic subalgebra  $\theta = m + u$  is g itself. Then  $\Lambda = \delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta$  and  $q = n - |Q_{\Lambda}|$ .

Proof.  $\theta = \mathfrak{g}$  means that  $\mathfrak{u} = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\theta_{u,n} = \phi$  and  $\Delta(\mathfrak{m}) = \Delta$ . Recalling that  $\lambda(\Delta(\mathfrak{m})) = 0$  (see section 2) we have  $\lambda(\Delta) = 0$  and hence  $\lambda \mid_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$ . By remark (iii) following Theorem 2.7  $\sigma_1 \lambda \mid_{\mathfrak{h}} = \Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}$ ; hence  $\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} = 0$   $\Rightarrow \Lambda = \delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta$ . Also since  $\theta_{u,n} = \phi$  the equality of  $\mathfrak{k}$  types  $\lambda \mid_{\mathfrak{h}} + 2\delta_{u,n} = \Lambda + \delta_n + \tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k)$  in (i) of Theorem 2.7 reduces to  $0 = \delta^{(\Lambda)}_n + \tau^{-1}(w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k)$ , since  $\Lambda = \delta^{(\Lambda)}_n - \delta = \delta^{(\Lambda)}_n - \delta_n$  and so  $\Lambda + \delta_n = \delta^{(\Lambda)}_n$ . But this says that  $\langle \Phi^{(\Lambda)}_{\tau^{-1} w} \rangle = \delta^{(\Lambda)}_{\tau^{-1} w} - \delta^{(\Lambda)}_{\tau^{-1} w} \rangle = \delta^{(\Lambda)}_n - \tau^{-1} w \delta^{(\Lambda)} + \delta^{(\Lambda)}_n - \tau^{-1} \delta_k = 2\delta^{(\Lambda)}_n + \delta_k - \tau^{-1} \delta_k = \langle P^{(\Lambda)}_n \rangle + \langle \Phi^k_{\tau^{-1}} \rangle = \langle P^{(\Lambda)}_n \cup \Phi^k_{\tau^{-1}} \rangle$  (see (2.5)) and hence  $\Phi^{(\Lambda)}_{\tau^{-1} w} = P^{(\Lambda)}_n \cup \Phi^k_{\tau^{-1}}$  by (5.10.2) of [8]; i.e.  $\Phi^{(\Lambda)}_{\tau^{-1} w} - \Phi^k_{\tau^{-1}} = P^{(\Lambda)}_n \cup \Phi^k_{\tau^{-1} w} = P^{(\Lambda)}_n \cup \Phi^k_{\tau^{-1}$ 

**Proposition 3.9.** Let  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$  be such that every non-compact root in  $P^{(\Lambda)}$  is totally positive. Let

$$\mathfrak{p}^{(\Lambda)+} = \sum_{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Lambda)}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$$

be the  $\mathfrak{k}$  module of holomorphic tangent vectors for the corresponding G invariant complex structure on G/K compatible with  $P^{(\Lambda)}$ ; cf. (3.1). Suppose  $w \in W$  is a Weyl group element such that  $\Delta_k^+ \subset w P^{(\Lambda)}$ . Then we have a  $\mathfrak{k}$  module inclusion  $V_{\delta_k^{(\Lambda)} + w \delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k} \subset \bigwedge^{n - |\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}|} \mathfrak{p}^{(\Lambda)+}$ .

Proof. In the proof of Corollary 3.5 we observed that indeed  $\delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k$  is  $\Delta_k^+$ -dominant. Of course

$$(3.11) \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k = 2\delta_n^{(\Lambda)} - (\delta^{(\Lambda)} - w\delta^{(\Lambda)}) = \langle P_n^{(\Lambda)} - \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} \rangle.$$

Write  $P_n^{(\Lambda)} - \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t\}, t = n - |\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}|, \text{ and let}$ 

(3.12) 
$$\chi = \chi_{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \chi_{\alpha_t} \text{ where } \chi_{\alpha_j} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_j} - \{0\} .$$

We claim that  $\chi \in \wedge^t \mathfrak{p}^{(\Lambda)+}$  is a  $\Delta_k^+$ -highest weight vector. By (3.11)  $\chi$  is clearly a weight vector of the weight  $\delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + w \delta_k^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k$ . Let  $\beta \in \Delta_k^+$  be arbitrary and choose  $\chi_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta - \{0\}$ . We must show that

(3.13) 
$$\operatorname{ad}_{x_{\beta}} \chi = \sum_{j=1}^{t} \chi_{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge [\chi_{\beta}, \chi_{\alpha_{j}}] \wedge \cdots \wedge \chi_{\alpha_{t}} = 0.$$

If  $\beta + \alpha_j$  is not a root  $[\chi_{\beta}, \chi_{\alpha_j}] = 0$ . Assume  $\beta + \alpha_j$  is a root. Then  $\beta + \alpha_j \in P_n^{(\Lambda)}$  since  $\alpha_j \in P_n^{(\Lambda)}$  is totally positive. On the other hand  $\alpha_j \notin \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}$  implies  $w^{-1}\alpha_j \in P^{(\Lambda)}$ . Also by hypothesis  $\Delta_k^+ \subset wP^{(\Lambda)}$  so  $w^{-1}\beta \in P^{(\Lambda)}$ . Hence  $w^{-1}(\beta + \alpha_j) = 0$ 

102 F.L. WILLIAMS

 $w^{-1}\beta+w^{-1}\alpha_j\in P^{(\Lambda)}$ ; i.e.  $\beta+\alpha_j\in P_n^{(\Lambda)}-\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}$  which implies that  $\beta+\beta_j=$ some  $\alpha_i,\ i\neq j$ . Then  $[\chi_\beta,\chi_{\alpha_j}]=$ a multiple of  $\chi_{\alpha_i}$ . We conclude that (3.13) is valid and  $U(\mathfrak{k})\chi$  is a  $\mathfrak{k}$  submodule of  $\wedge^t\mathfrak{p}^{(\Lambda)}+\mathfrak{k}$ -equivalent to  $V_{\delta_\lambda^t}\lambda_{+w\delta^{(\Lambda)}-\delta_k}$ .

Corollary 3.14. Let  $\Lambda$ ,  $P^{(\Lambda)}$ , and w be as in Proposition 3.9. Then we have the k module inclusion  $V_{\Lambda+\delta_n+w\delta^{(\Lambda)}-\delta_k} \subset V_{\Lambda+\delta-\delta^{(\Lambda)}} \otimes V_{\delta_n^{(\Lambda)}+w\delta^{(\Lambda)}-\delta_k} \subset V_{\Lambda+\delta-\delta^{(\Lambda)}} \otimes \wedge^t \mathfrak{p}^{(\Lambda)+}$  where  $t=n-|\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}|$ .

Proof. 
$$\Lambda + \delta_n + w \delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k = \Lambda + \delta_n - \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + w \delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k$$
  
=  $\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + w \delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k$ .

Corollary 3.15. Let  $(\tau_{\Lambda}, V_{\Lambda}) \in \hat{K}$  where  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$  and every non-compact root in  $P^{(\Lambda)}$  is totally positive. Let  $(\pi, H_{\pi}) \in \hat{G}$  be such that  $\pi(\Omega) = (\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\delta)1$  and  $\operatorname{Hom}_K(H_{\pi}, \Lambda^q \mathfrak{p}^+ \otimes V_{\Lambda}) \pm 0$ . Let  $\mu = \Lambda + \delta_n + w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k$  be the minimal  $\mathfrak{k}$  type of  $H_{\pi}$  given by Corollary 3.5. Then relative to the positive system  $\bar{P}^{(\Lambda)} = P_k^{(\Lambda)} \cup P_n^{(\Lambda)} = \Delta_k^+ \cup P_n^{(\Lambda)}, H_{\pi}$  is a highest weight  $\mathfrak{g}$  module with highest weight  $\mu$ .

Proof. We have  $\mathfrak{k}$  module inclusions  $V_{\mu} \subset H_{\pi}$  and (by Corollary 3.14)  $V_{\mu} \subset V_{\Lambda+\delta-\delta}(\Lambda) \otimes \Lambda^{t} \mathfrak{p}^{(\Lambda)+}$  where  $t = n - |\Phi_{w}^{(\Lambda)}|$  and where  $\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}$  is  $P^{(\Lambda)-1}$  dominant. Since  $|(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}) + \delta^{(\Lambda)}|^{2} - |(\delta^{(\Lambda)}, \delta^{(\Lambda)})|^{2} = |\Lambda + \delta|^{2} - |(\delta, \delta)|^{2} = \pi(\Omega)$  Corollary 3.15 follows from Lemma 3.7 of [6] or from the proof of Lemma 2 of [4].

The fact that any  $(\pi, H_{\pi}) \in \hat{G}$  as in Corollary 3.15 has to be a  $\bar{P}^{(\Lambda)}$ -highest weight  $\mathfrak{g}$  module is also proved in [23] (see the proof of Lemma 2.4 there) by different means.

### 4. Vanishing theorems

In this section we again assume, as in section 3, that G/K is a Hermitian symmetric domain and that the positive system  $\Delta^+$  is compatible with the G invariant complex structure on G/K. We fix a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of G which acts freely on G/K and for which the quotient  $X=\Gamma\backslash G/K$  is compact. Let  $\tau=\tau_{\Lambda}\in K$  be a fixed finite-dimensional irreducible representation of K acting on a complex vector space  $V_{\Lambda}$  where  $\Lambda\in \mathcal{F}'_0$  is the  $\Delta_k^+$ -highest weight of  $\tau$ . The induced  $C^{\infty}$  vector bundle  $E_{\tau}\to G/K$  has a holomorphic structure. To prove this one usually assumes that G is a real form of a complex Lie group  $G^C$  (i.e. G is linear). Since we are not imposing the latter assumption on G we appeal to the more general criteria of [19], [20] for the existence of holomorphic structures on homogeneous bundles. The induced sheaf  $\theta_{\tau}\to X$  of abelian groups over X given in the introduction will also be denoted by  $\theta_{\Lambda}$ . Let  $\mathrm{Ad}_{\tau}^q$  denote the adjoint representation of K on  $\Lambda^q \mathfrak{p}^+$ . Then as in [4] the sheaf cohomology  $H^q(X, \theta_{\Lambda})$  can be identified with the space  $A(\mathrm{Ad}_{\tau}^q \otimes \tau_{\Lambda}, (\Lambda, \Lambda+2\delta), \Gamma)$  of automorphic forms of type  $(\mathrm{Ad}_{\tau}^q \otimes \tau_{\Lambda}, (\Lambda, \Lambda+2\delta), \Gamma)$ ; i.e.

(4.1) 
$$H^{q}(X, \theta_{\Lambda}) = \{f : G \to \bigwedge^{q} \mathfrak{p}^{+} \otimes V_{\Lambda} | f \text{ is } C^{\infty}, f(\gamma a) = f(a), f(ak^{-1}) = (\mathrm{Ad}_{q}^{+} \otimes \tau_{\Lambda})(k) f(a) \text{ for } (\gamma, a, k) \text{ in } \Gamma \times G \times K \text{ and } \Omega f = (\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\delta)f\}.$$

By the formula of Matsushima-Murakami [14] we therefore have

(4.2) 
$$\dim H^{q}(X, \theta_{\Lambda}) = \sum_{\substack{(\pi, H_{\pi}) \in \hat{G} \\ \pi(\Omega) = (\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\delta) 1}} m_{\pi}(\Gamma) \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{K}(H_{\pi}, \wedge^{q} \mathfrak{p}^{+} \otimes V_{\Lambda})$$

where  $m_{\pi}(\Gamma)$  is the multiplicity of  $\pi$  in the right regular representation of G on  $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ . Using (4.2) we immediately deduce from Theorem 3.3 the following main theorem.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$  in (2.1) be the  $\Delta_k^+$ -highest weight of  $(\tau_\Lambda, V_\Lambda) \in \hat{K}$ . Let  $\sigma \in W$  be the unique Weyl group element such that  $\sigma \Delta^+ = P^{(\Lambda)}$  where  $P^{(\Lambda)}$  is the system of positive roots in (2.3). Suppose that  $H^q(\Gamma \setminus G/K, \theta_\Lambda) \neq 0$ . Then there is a pair  $(\tau, w)$  in  $W_K \times W$  and a c stable parabolic subalgebra  $\theta = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing the Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+^1} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  for some positive system  $\Delta_+^1 \supset \Delta_k^+$  (cf. earlier notation) such that

- (i)  $q = |A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| 2|Q_{\Lambda} \cap A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| + |Q_{\Lambda}|$  where  $A_{\Lambda,\tau,w} = \{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Lambda)} | w^{-1}\tau\alpha \in -P^{(\Lambda)}\}$  and where  $Q_{\Lambda}$  is given by (2.4)
- (ii)  $\Delta_k^+ \subset wP^{(\Lambda)}$  (so that by Proposition 2.6  $A_{\Lambda,\tau,w} = \Phi_{\tau}^{(\Lambda)} = \Phi_{\tau}^{k-1}$ ,  $\tau(\Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Lambda)}) = w(\Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Lambda)}) = \Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Lambda)}$ , and  $A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}$ ,  $\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}$ , and  $\{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Lambda)} | \tau \alpha \in -P_n^{(\Lambda)}\}$  are all contained in  $\{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Lambda)} | (\Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = 0\}$ ;  $\Phi_{\tau}^{k-1} \subset \{\alpha \in \Delta_k^+ | (\Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = 0\}$ ; see notation of (2.5)
- (iii) the relative Lie algebra cohomology  $H^{j}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$  for  $j = n |\theta_{u,n}| |A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}|$  (hence the latter is an even number) where, as above,  $\theta_{u,n}$  is the set of non-compact roots in the nilradical  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $\theta$  and  $n = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} G/K$

(iv) For 
$$(-1)^{n-q} = \pm 1$$
 we have  $(-1)^{|\Phi_{\sigma}|} = \pm (-1)^{|\Phi_{w}^{(\Lambda)}|} = \pm (-1)^{n+|\theta_{u,n}|}$ .

As has been noted  $\Phi_{\sigma} = \Delta_{\pi}^{+} - Q_{\Lambda}$ , and if  $\sigma_{1} \in W$  is the unique Weyl group element such that  $\sigma_{1}\Delta_{1}^{+} = P^{(\Lambda)}$  then  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \sigma_{1}(\Delta(\mathfrak{m})) = 0$  where  $\Delta(\mathfrak{m})$  is the set of roots for the reductive part  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\theta$ . From Corollary 3.4 we obtain

Corollary 4.4. Let  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_0'$  in Theorem 4.3 satisfy the condition that every non-compact root in  $P^{(\Lambda)}$  is totally positive. Then if  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) \neq 0$  we can choose  $w \in W$  satisfying  $\Delta_k^+ \subset w P^{(\Lambda)}$  and a c stable parabolic subalgebra  $\theta = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{u} \supset \mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_k^+ \supset \Delta_k^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  such that

- (i)  $q = |\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| 2|Q_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| + |Q_{\Lambda}|$
- (ii)  $H^{n-|\theta_{u,n}|-|\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}|}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}, \mathbf{C}) \neq 0$
- (iii)  $\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} \subset \{\alpha \subset P_n^{(\Lambda)} | (\Lambda + \delta \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = 0\}.$

104 F.L. WILLIAMS

Statement (iv) of Theorem 4.3 holds.

Consider for example the special case when  $\Lambda$  is actually  $\Delta^+$ -dominant. Then  $P^{(\Lambda)} = \Delta^+$  so that  $\Lambda$  indeed satisfies Corollary 4.4. Also in this case  $Q_{\Lambda} = \Delta_n^+$  so that  $Q_{\Lambda} \cap \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} = \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}$ . Thus by (i) of Corollary 4.4  $H^q = 0 \Rightarrow q = |\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| - 2|\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| + n = n - |\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}|$  and hence by (ii)  $H^{q-|\theta_u,n|}(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}, \mathbf{C}) = 0$ . Thus we have proved the following conjecture of R. Parthasarathy.

**Corollary 4.5.** Suppose the  $\Delta_k^+$ -highest weight  $\Lambda$  of  $\tau$  is actually  $\Delta^+$ -dominant. Then if  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) = 0$  so is  $H^{q-|\theta_u,n|}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}, \mathbf{C})$  for some c stable parabolic subalgebra  $\theta = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Our argument shows moreover that in Corollary 4.5  $q=n-|w(-\Delta^+)\cap\Delta^+|$  for some  $w\in W$  with  $\Delta_k^+\subset w\Delta^+$ ,  $w(-\Delta^+)\cap\Delta^+\subset\{\alpha\in\Delta_n^+|(\Lambda,\alpha)=0\}$ ;  $w\Lambda=\Lambda$ . Let  $l(w)=|w(-\Delta^+)\cap\Delta^+|$  (=length of w) and let

$$(4.6) n_{\Lambda} = |\{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+ | (\Lambda, \alpha) > 0\}|.$$

Then  $|\{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+ | (\Lambda, \alpha) = 0\}| = n - n_{\Lambda}$  so that by (b.)  $l(w) \leq n - n_{\Lambda}$  and by (a.)  $q = n - l(w) \geq n_{\Lambda}$ . That is

**Corollary 4.7** (Hotta-Murakami [4]). Suppose  $\Lambda$  is  $\Delta^+$ -dominant. Then  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) = 0$  for  $q < n_{\Lambda}$  in (4.6). More generally for  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) = 0$  q = n - l(w) for some  $w \in W$  satisfying  $w(-\Delta^+) \cap \Delta^+ \subset \{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+ \mid (\Lambda, \alpha) = 0\}$ ,  $w\Lambda = \Lambda$ .

We define

(4.8) 
$$R = R(Q) = \min\{|\theta_{u,n}| | \theta = c \text{ stable parabolic subalgebra of } \mathfrak{g}, \theta \neq \mathfrak{g}\}$$
.

Again note that for  $\theta = \mathfrak{g}$   $\mathfrak{u} = 0$  and hence  $|\theta_{\mathfrak{u},n}| = \dim \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{p} = 0$ . The values R(G) have been computed by Vogan for general symmetric spaces. Specializing his results to the Hermitian case we have the following table for the irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces.

G	R(G)	real rank of <i>G</i> / <i>K</i>	$\frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathcal{R}} G/K$
$Su(n,m), n \geqslant m$	m	m	nm
Sp(n,R)	n	n	$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$
$SO_0(n,2), n>2$	2	2	n
SO*(2n), n>3	n-1	$\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
real form of $E_6$	8	2	16
real form of $E_7$	11	3	17

Table 4.9

In Theorem 4.3  $H^j(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$  for  $j = n - |\theta_{u,n}| - |A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}|$  by (iii); hence  $j \ge 0$ . That is  $|A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| \le n - |\theta_{u,n}|$  and if  $\theta + \mathfrak{g} |A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| \le n - R(G)$ . Thus applying Proposition 3.8 we get

**Proposition 4.10.** Suppose in Theorem 4.3 that either  $\Lambda = \delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta$  or  $q \neq n - |Q_{\Lambda}|$ . Then  $A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}$  there satisfies  $|A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| \leq n - R(G)$ . Similarly w in Corollary 4.4 satisfies  $|\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| \leq n - R(G)$ .

Note that, in general, by Theorem 4.3 we always have  $|A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}|$ ,  $|\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| \leq |\{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Lambda)}| (\Delta + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = 0\}|$ . In Corollary 4.7 q = n - l(w) for  $H^q \neq 0$ . By Proposition 4.10.  $l(w) \leq n - R(G)$  if either  $\Lambda \neq 0$  or  $q \neq 0$ ; i.e.  $q = n - l(w) \geq R(G)$  which establishes

**Corollary 4.11.** Suppose  $\Lambda$  is  $\Delta^+$ -dominant. If  $\Lambda \neq 0$  then  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) = 0$  for  $0 \leq q < R(G)$ . If  $\Lambda = 0$  then  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq q < R(G)$ .

In particular we see that since for G in Table 4.9 rank of  $G/K \le R(G)$  the following weaker version of Corollary 4.11 holds.

**Corollary 4.12.** If G/K is irreducible then  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) = 0$  for  $0 \le q < rank$  fo G/K,  $\Lambda$   $\Delta^+$ -dominant,  $\Lambda \neq 0$ . The (0, q) Betti number of  $\Gamma \backslash G/K$  vanishes for  $1 \le q < rank$  of G/K.

Corollary 4.12 is of course well-known; see Theorem 4.2 of [6] and Theorem 4 of [4]. In the case where G/K is irreducible a slight improvement of Corollary 4.11 is given by Theorem 3.5 of [23]. Another extreme case is the case  $Q_{\Lambda} = \phi$ ; i.e.  $(\Lambda + \delta, \alpha) < 0$  for  $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ ,  $P^{(\Lambda)} = \Delta_+' = \Delta_k^+ \cup -\Delta_n^+$ . If  $H^q \neq 0$  then from Corollary 4.4  $q = |\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}|$  for some  $w \in W$  such that  $\Delta_k^+ \subset w \Delta_+'$ ,  $\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} \subset \{\alpha \in -\Delta_n^+ \mid (\Lambda + 2\delta_n, \alpha) = 0\}$  and (by (ii) of Corollary 4.4)  $H^{n-q-|\theta_u,n|}(m,m \cap \mathfrak{k}, \mathbf{C}) \neq 0$  for some c stable parabolic  $\theta = m + u$ . By Proposition 3.8  $\theta \neq \mathfrak{g}$  unless  $\Lambda = -2\delta_n$  or q = n. Barring the latter two cases we have  $|\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| \leq n - R(G)$  by Proposition 4.10 so that  $q \leq n - R(G)$ . This gives

Corollary 4.13. Suppose  $(\Lambda + \delta, \alpha) < 0$  for  $\alpha$  in  $\Delta_n^+$ . If  $\Lambda = -2\delta_n$  then  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) = 0$  for q > n - R/(G). If  $\Lambda = -2\delta_n$  then  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) = 0$  for n - R(G) < q < n. In any case we always have  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) = 0$  for  $q > |\{\alpha \in -\Delta_n^+ | (\Lambda + 2\delta_n, \alpha) = 0\}|$ .

The last statement of Corollary 4.13 is statement (i) of Theorem 3.12 of [23]. However in [23] G is assumed to be linear. We now indicate how the main result of [23] (Theorem 2.3) can be deduced with the aid of Corollary 3.5; see Theorem 4.16.

**Proposition 4.14** Let  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$  and let  $w \in W$  be a Weyl group element which

satisfies  $\Delta_k^+ \subset wP^{(\Lambda)}$ ,  $w(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}) = \Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}$ , and  $\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} \subset \{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Lambda)} | (\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = 0\}$  (cf. (ii) of Theorem 4.3) Then  $\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)}$  is a regular element (i.e.  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) \neq 0$  for every  $\alpha$  in  $\Delta$ ) and the corresponding positive system

(4.15) 
$$P' = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid (\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) > 0\}$$
 coincides with  $wP^{(\Lambda)}$ .  
Also  $P_x^{(\Lambda)} - \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} = P' \cap P_x^{(\Lambda)}$ .

Proof. For  $\alpha \in \Delta_k^+$   $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = (\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) + (\delta^{(\Lambda)}, w^{-1}\alpha)$  >0 since  $w^{-1}\Delta_k^+ \subset P^{(\Lambda)}$ . Suppose  $\alpha \in P_n^{(\Lambda)}$ . If  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = 0$  then  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = (\delta^{(\Lambda)}, w^{-1}\alpha) \pm 0$ . Assume  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) > 0$ . Then  $\alpha \in \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}$  since by hypothesis  $\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} \subset \{\alpha \in P_n^{(\Lambda)} | (\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = 0\}$ . Thus we must have  $w^{-1}\alpha \in P^{(\Lambda)}$ . Since  $\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}$  is  $P^{(\Lambda)}$ -dominant  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) + (\delta^{(\Lambda)}, w^{-1}\alpha) > 0$ . Thus we have shown  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) \pm 0$  for  $\alpha \in P^{(\Lambda)}$  which proves  $\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)}$  is regular. Let  $\alpha \in P^{(\Lambda)}$  be arbitrary. Then  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)}, w\alpha) = (w^{-1}(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)}), \alpha) = (\Lambda + \delta, \alpha)$  (since  $w^{-1}(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}) = \Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}$ ) which is positive. That is  $w\alpha \in P' \Rightarrow wP^{(\Lambda)} \subset P' \Rightarrow wP^{(\Lambda)} = P'$ . Now  $\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} = w(-P^{(\Lambda)}) \cap P^{(\Lambda)} = -P' \cap P^{(\Lambda)}$  and since  $\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} \subset P_n^{(\Lambda)}$  the last equation implies that  $P_n^{(\Lambda)} - \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} = P' \cap P_n^{(\Lambda)}$  since  $\Delta = P' \cup -P'$ .

REMARK. In Proposition 4.14 (and hence in Theorem 4.3) the condition  $\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} \subset \{\alpha \subset P_n^{(\Lambda)} | (\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = 0\}$  is automatically satisfied. Indeed for  $\alpha \in \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} \subset P_n^{(\Lambda)} = 0 \le (\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = (w^{-1}(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}), w^{-1}\alpha) = (\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, w^{-1}\alpha) \le 0$  (since  $w^{-1}\alpha \in P^{(\Lambda)}$ ) and so  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.16.** Assume that G is linear and its complexification  $G^c$  is simply connected. (In particular if  $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  is  $\Delta_k^+$ -dominant integral the irreducible finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{k}$  defined by  $\Lambda$  integrates to a representation of K.) Let  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}_0'$  be such that every non-compact root in  $P^{(\Lambda)}$  is totally positive. If  $H^q(\Gamma \setminus G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) \neq 0$  then there is a parabolic subalgebra  $\theta_1 = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{u}_1$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which contains the specific Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} + \sum_{\alpha \in P^{(\Lambda)}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  such that  $q = 2|\theta_{1,n} \cap Q_{\Lambda}| + |\Delta_n^+ - Q_{\Lambda}| - |\theta_{\mathfrak{u}_1,n}|$ . Also  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_1)) = 0$ .

Proof. If  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_\Lambda) \neq 0$  then by (4.2)  $\operatorname{Hom}_K(H_\pi, \wedge^q \mathfrak{p}^+ \otimes V_\Lambda) \neq 0$  for some  $(\pi, H_\pi) \in \hat{G}$  such that  $\pi(\Omega) = (\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\delta)1$ . By Corollary 3.5  $H_\pi$  has minimal  $\mathfrak{k}$  type  $\mu = \Lambda + \delta_n + w\delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k$  for some Weyl group element w such that  $\Delta_k^+ \subset wP^{(\Lambda)}$  and  $q = |\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| - 2|Q_\Lambda \cap \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| + |Q_\Lambda|$ ;  $w(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}) = \Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}$ . By Corollary 3.15  $H_\pi$  is a highest weight  $\mathfrak{g}$  module with highest weight  $\mu$  relative to the positive system  $\bar{P}^{(\Lambda)} = P_k^{(\Lambda)} \cup -P_n^{(\Lambda)} = \Delta_k^+ \cup -P_n^{(\Lambda)}$ . Also  $\mu + \delta_k - \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} = \Lambda + \delta_n - \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)} = \Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} + w\delta^{(\Lambda)}$  is regular by Proposition 4.14 (see remark following Proposition 4.14). Thus since G is assumed to be linear we can apply Parthasarathy's Theorem A of [17] to conclude the following:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mu = \Lambda_0 + \langle \theta_{u_1,n} \rangle \text{ for some parabolic subalgebra } \theta_1 = \mathfrak{m}_1 + \mathfrak{u}_1 \text{ of } \mathfrak{g} \text{ where } \theta_1 \supset \mathfrak{h} + \\ \sum_{\alpha \in P^{(\Lambda)}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \text{ and where } \Lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}^* \text{ is } P^{(\Lambda)} \text{-dominant integral, and } (\Lambda_0, \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_1)) = 0. \\ \text{Moreover by (3.49) of [17] } \theta_{u_1,n} = P' \cap P_n^{(\Lambda)} \text{ where } P' \text{ is the positive system defined by the regular element } \mu + \delta_k - \delta_n^{(\Lambda)}. \text{ Hence by Proposition 4.14 } \theta_{u_1,n} = \\ P_n^{(\Lambda)} - \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}. \text{ Then } \Lambda + \delta_n + w \delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k = \mu = \Lambda_0 + \langle \theta_{u_1,n} \rangle = \Lambda_0 + \langle P_n^{(\Lambda)} - \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)} \rangle = \\ \Lambda_0 + \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} + w \delta^{(\Lambda)} - \delta_k \text{ (by (3.11))} \Rightarrow \Lambda_0 = \Lambda + \delta_n - \delta_n^{(\Lambda)} = \Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)} \Rightarrow (\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \Delta(\mathfrak{m}_1)) = 0. \text{ We also have } |\theta_{u_1,n}| = n - |\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| \text{ so that } q = |\Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| - 2|Q_\Lambda \cap \Phi_w^{(\Lambda)}| + |Q_\Lambda| = n - |\theta_{u_1,n}| - 2|Q_\Lambda \cap \theta_{u_1,n}| + |Q_\Lambda| = n - |\theta_{u_1,n}| - 2(|Q_\Lambda| \cap \theta_{u_1,n}|) + |Q_\Lambda| = 2|Q_\Lambda \cap \theta_{u_1,n}| - |\theta_{u_1,n}| + |\Delta_n^* - Q_\Lambda|. \end{array}$ 

REMARK. If additional information on the Weyl group element  $\sigma_1$  above (where  $\sigma_1\Delta_1^+=P^{(\Delta)}$ ) were available the preceding proof might not require the appeal to Theorem A of [17]. For example if it were known that  $\langle P_n^{(\Delta)} - \sigma_1 \Delta(\mathfrak{m}) \rangle$   $= \delta_n^{(\Delta)} + w \delta^{(\Delta)} - \delta_k$  for  $\theta = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{u}$  in Theorem 4.3 then Theorem 4.16 would follow (even for G non-linear) by taking  $\theta_1 = \sigma_1 \theta$ . However ⓐ is true only when certain additional restrictions on  $\Delta$  are imposed.

Another classical vanishing theorem for the spaces  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda})$  is the following one of Hotta and Parthasarathy; see Proposition 1 of [5].

**Theorem 4.17.** Let  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}'_0$  be the  $\Delta_k^+$ -highest weight of  $(\tau_{\Lambda}, V_{\Lambda}) \in \hat{K}$ . Suppose that  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) > 0$  for every  $\alpha$  in  $P_n^{(\Lambda)}$ . Then  $H^q(\Gamma \setminus G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) = 0$  for  $q \neq |Q_{\Lambda}|$ .

Here G is not assumed to be linear. Theorem 4.17 follows from a trivial application of Theorem 4.3. Namely if  $H^q(\Gamma \backslash G/K, \theta_{\Lambda}) \neq 0$  then  $q = |A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| - 2|Q_{\Lambda} \cap A_{\Lambda,\tau,w}| + |Q_{\Lambda}|$  where  $A_{\Lambda,\tau,w} \subset \{\alpha \subset P_n^{(\Lambda)}|(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) = 0\}$ . But  $(\Lambda + \delta - \delta^{(\Lambda)}, \alpha) > 0$  for  $\alpha \in P_n^{(\Lambda)}$  by hypothesis so  $A_{\Lambda,\tau,w} = \phi$ . Thus  $q = |Q_{\Lambda}|$ .

#### References

- [1] A. Borel: On the curvature tensor of the Hermitian symmetric manifold, Ann. of Math. 71 (1960), 508-521.
- [2] A. Borel and N. Wallach: Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups, Ann. of Math. Studies, 94, Princeton Univ. Press and Univ. Tokyo Press, 1980.
- [3] E. Calabi and E. Vesentini: On compact locally symmetric Kähler manifolds, Ann. of Math. 71 (1960), 472-507.
- [4] R. Hotta and S. Murakami: On a vanishing theorem for certain cohomology groups, Osaka J. Math. 12 (1975), 555-564.
- [5] R. Hotta and R. Parthasarathy: A geometric meaning of the multiplicities of integrable discrete classes in  $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ , Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973), 211-234.

- [6] R. Hotta and N. Wallach: On Matsushima's formula for the Betti numbers of a locally symmetric space, Osaka J. Math. 12 (1975), 419-431.
- [7] M. Ise: Generalized automorphic forms and certain homomorphic vector bundles, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 70-108.
- [8] B. Kostant: Lie algebra cohomology and the generalized Borel-Weil Theorem, Ann. of Math. 74 (1961), 329-387.
- [9] S. Kumaresan: On the canonical k types in the irreducible unitary g modules with non-zero relative cohomology, Invent. Math. 59 (1980), 1-11.
- [10] Y. Matsushima: On the first Betti number of compact quotient spaces of higher dimentional symmetric spaces, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962), 312-330.
- [11] ——: A formula for the Betti numbers of compact locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 1 (1967), 99-109.
- [12] Y. Matsushima and S. Murakami: On vector bundle valued harmonic forms and automorphic forms on symmetric Riemannian manifolds, Ann. of Math. 78 (1963), 365-416.
- [13] ——: On certain cohomology groups attached to Hermitian symmetric spaces, Osaka J. Math. 2 (1965), 1–35.
- [14] ——: On certain cohomology groups attached to Hermitian symmetric spaces (II), Osaka J. Math. 5 (1968), 223–241.
- [15] S. Murakami: Cohomology groups of vector-valued forms on symmetric spaces, Lecture notes, Univ. Chicago, 1966.
- [16] R. Partharathy: A generalization of the Enright-Varadarajan modules, Compositio Math. 36 (1978), 53-73.
- [17] ——: Criteria for the unitarizability of some highest weight modules, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. 89 (1980), 1–24.
- [18] —: Holomorphic forms on  $\Gamma \backslash G/K$  and Chern classes, to appear.
- [19] J. Tirao and J. Wolf: Homogeneous holomorphic vector bundles, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 20 (1970), 15-31.
- [20] J. Tirao: Square integrable representations of semisimple Lie groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 190 (1974), 57-75.
- [21] D. Vogan: Manuscript on the classification of unitary representations with relative Lie algebra cohomology, Dept. Math., M.I.T.
- [22] ———: Cohomology of Riemannian locally symmetric spaces, a lecture given at Brown Univ. and the Univ. of Utah.
- [23] F. Williams: Vanishing theorems for type (0,q) cohomology of locally symmetric spaces, Osaka J. Math. 18 (1981), 147-160.
- [24] ———: Remark on the unitary representations appearing in the Matsushima-Murakami formula, Lecture Notes in Math. 880: Non-Commutative Harmonic Analysis, from the Marselle Conference, June 1980, Springer-Verlag.
- [25] G. Zuckerman: Unitary representations in complex homogeneous spaces, Manuscript, Dept. Math. Yale Univ.

Department of Mathematics University of Massachusetts Amherst, Mass. 01003 U.S.A.