

Title	A remark on Mp-groups
Author(s)	Hanaki, Akihide
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 29(1) p71-p.74
Issue Date	1992
oaire:version	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/11313">https://doi.org/10.18910/11313</a>
DOI	
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## A REMARK ON $M_p$ -GROUPS

Dedicated to Professor Kazuhiko Hirata on his 60th birthday

AKIHIDE HANAOKI AND AKIHIKO HIDA

(Received November 1, 1990)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $FG$  be the group algebra of a finite group  $G$  over an algebraically closed field  $F$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . We call an  $FG$ -module  $V$  monomial if  $V$  is induced from some 1-dimensional  $FH$ -module for some subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . An ordinary character  $\chi$  of  $G$  is called monomial if  $\chi$  is induced from some linear character of some subgroup of  $G$ . We call  $G$  an  $M_p$ -group if every irreducible  $FG$ -module is monomial. We call  $G$  an  $M$ -group if every irreducible ordinary character of  $G$  is monomial. For details, see a paper of Bessenrodt [1] and a book of Isaacs [4]. It is well known that  $M$ -groups are solvable (15.7 in [2]).  $M_p$ -groups are also solvable (3.8 in [6]). By Fong-Swan's theorem,  $M$ -groups are  $M_p$ -groups for any prime  $p$ . But  $M_p$ -groups need not be  $M$ -groups. For example,  $SL(2, 3)$  is an  $M_2$ -group but not an  $M$ -group. So we investigate conditions for  $M_p$ -groups to be  $M$ -groups. Namely,

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -nilpotent group. Then  $G$  is an  $M$ -group if and only if  $G$  is an  $M_p$ -group.*

Throughout this paper, groups are finite groups,  $F$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $FG$ -modules are finitely generated right  $FG$ -modules, and characters are ordinary characters. Let  $\chi$  be a character of a group  $G$ . We write  $\chi^*$  for the Brauer character corresponding to  $\chi$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  and  $\varphi$  be a character of  $H$ . We write  $\chi_H$  for the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $H$  and  $\varphi^G$  for the induced character from  $\varphi$ . We use the same notation for modules. When  $M$  and  $N$  are  $FG$ -modules, we write  $N | M$  if  $N$  is a direct summand of  $M$ . We write  $\text{Irr}(G)$  for the set of all irreducible characters of  $G$ . For the other notation and terminology we shall refer to books of Dornhoff [2] and Nagao and Tsushima [5].

We wish to thank S. Koshitani for many helpful conversations during the course of this work.

## 2. Consequences

Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\varphi$  be an irreducible character of  $H$ . We denote the inertia group of  $\varphi$  in  $G$  by  $I_G(\varphi)$ . When  $\varphi$  is irreducible, we put

$$\text{Irr}(G|\varphi) = \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) | (\chi_H, \varphi) \neq 0\} .$$

Next theorem will be a powerful tool if we consider conditions for  $M_p$ -groups to be  $M$ -groups.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group. Assume that  $G$  has a normal  $p'$ -subgroup  $N$  such that  $G$  and  $N$  satisfy the followings.*

- (a)  $G$  is an  $M_p$ -group.
  - (b)  $G/N$  is an  $M$ -group.
  - (c) Every proper subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$  is an  $M$ -group.
  - (d) Every  $G$ -invariant irreducible character of  $N$  is extendible to  $G$ .
- Then  $G$  is an  $M$ -group.

*Proof.* Let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G|\varphi)$  where  $\varphi \in \text{Irr}(N)$ . If  $I_G(\varphi)$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$  then there exists  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(I_G(\varphi)|\varphi)$  such that  $\xi^G = \chi$ . From (c),  $\xi$  is monomial so is  $\chi$ .

Assume  $I_G(\varphi) = G$ . From (d),  $\varphi$  is extendible to  $G$ . Let  $\chi_0$  be an extension of  $\varphi$ . Because  $(\chi_0^*)_N = \varphi^*$  and  $N$  is a  $p'$ -group,  $\chi_0^*$  is an irreducible Brauer character of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is an  $M_p$ -group, there exist a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  and a linear character  $\lambda$  of  $H$  such that  $(\lambda^*)^G = \chi_0^*$ . Since  $(\lambda^G)^* = \chi_0^*$  is irreducible,  $\lambda^G$  is irreducible and an extension of  $\varphi$ . By 3.5.12 in [5],

$$\text{Irr}(G|\varphi) = \{\lambda^G \eta | \eta \in \text{Irr}(G/N)\} .$$

Now every  $\eta$  is monomial, so is  $\lambda^G \eta$ . So  $\chi$  is monomial. The proof is completed.

Generally, normal subgroups of  $M_p$ -groups need not be  $M_p$ -groups. But next theorem holds.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be an  $M_p$ -group and  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $|G:N| = p$ . Then  $N$  is an  $M_p$ -group.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an irreducible  $FN$ -module. Since  $N$  is normal in  $G$ , there exists an irreducible  $FG$ -module  $V$  such that  $U|V_N$ . Since  $G$  is an  $M_p$ -group, there exist a subgroup  $H$  and a 1-dimensional  $FH$ -module  $W$  such that  $V = W^G$ . If the inertia group of  $U$  in  $G$  is  $G$  then  $U$  is extendible to  $G$ . Thus we may assume  $U = V_N$ . By Mackey's decomposition,

$$U = V_N = (W^G)_N = \bigoplus_{t \in H \backslash G/N} (W^t)_{H^t \cap N}^N .$$

But  $U$  is irreducible. So  $G=HN$  and  $U$  is monomial. We may assume that the inertia group of  $U$  in  $G$  is  $N$ . Then by Clifford's theorem,  $V_N = \bigoplus_{t \in G/N} U^t$ . If  $H$  is contained in  $N$  then by Mackey's decomposition,

$$V_N = (W^G)_N = \bigoplus_{t \in H \backslash G/N} (W^t_{H^t \cap N})^N = \bigoplus_{t \in G/N} (W^t_{H^t})^N.$$

Since  $U$  is irreducible,  $U \cong (W^t_{H^t})^N$  for some  $t \in G/N$ . So  $U$  is monomial. We may assume that  $H$  is not contained in  $N$ . So  $G=HN$ . Let  $Q$  be a vertex of  $W$ . Since  $\dim_F W=1$ ,  $Q$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ . Since  $V=W^G$  and  $V=U^G$ ,  $Q$  is in  $H \cap N$ . Now

$$p = |G:N| = |HN:N| = |H:H \cap N| |H:Q|.$$

But  $Q$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$ , a contradiction. Hence  $U$  is monomial. So  $N$  is an  $M_p$ -group.

Next theorem is our main result.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -nilpotent group. Then  $G$  is an  $M$ -group if and only if  $G$  is an  $M_p$ -group.*

Proof. We know that  $M$ -groups are  $M_p$ -groups. So we shall show that  $G$  is an  $M$ -group if  $G$  is an  $M_p$ -group by induction on  $|G|$ . Since  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent  $G$  has a normal  $p$ -complement  $N$ . We show that  $G$  and  $N$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1. Now (a) and (b) are satisfied. By 3.5.11 in [5], (d) is satisfied. Let  $H$  be a proper subgroup of  $G$  containing  $N$ . Since  $G/N$  is a  $p$ -group,  $H$  is an  $M_p$ -group by Theorem 2. Then  $H$  is an  $M$ -group by inductive hypothesis. So (c) is satisfied. Then  $G$  is an  $M$ -group.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $G$  be an  $M$ -group and  $p$ -nilpotent. Then a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $|G:H|$  is  $p$ -power is an  $M$ -group.*

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 2 and Theorem 3.

---

#### References

- [1] C. Bessenrodt: *Monomial representations and generalizations*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., Ser. A **48** (1990), 264–280.
- [2] L. Dornhoff: *Group Representation Theory A*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1971.
- [3] D. Gorenstein: *Finite Groups*, Chelsea, New York, 1980.
- [4] I. M. Isaacs: *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [5] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima: *Representations of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1989.

- [6] T. Okuyama: *Module correspondence in finite groups*, Hokkaido Math. J. **10** (1981), 299–318.

Akhide Hanaki  
Akihiko Hida  
Department of Mathematics,  
Mathematics and Physical Science,  
Graduate School of Science and Technology,  
Chiba University, Yayoi-cho, Chiba-city,  
260, Japan