



Title	Studies on Dynamical Mechanisms of the Reactions of Some Nitrogen-Containing Intermediates
Author(s)	横山, 啓一
Citation	大阪大学, 1991, 博士論文
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.11501/3054395">https://doi.org/10.11501/3054395</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

Studies on Dynamical Mechanisms of  
the Reactions of Some  
Nitrogen-Containing Intermediates

Keiichi Yokoyama

*Faculty of Engineering Science*

*Osaka University*

*1991*

## Preface

This thesis is a collection of the author's studies conducted to demonstrate usefulness of combining theoretical calculations with experimental observations for the investigations of chemical reactions. Specific aims have been to clarify the dynamic mechanisms of elementary reactions which some nitrogen-containing intermediates undergo in the gas phase. The studies have been carried out under the direction of Professor Takayuki Fueno at Osaka University during 1985 - 1991.

The thesis consists of two parts as follows:

- I. Dynamics of the reactions of the imino radical  $\text{NH}(\text{X}^3\Sigma^-, \text{a}^1\Delta)$  with XO (X= N, O, and C).
- II. Mechanisms of the disproportionation reaction of nitric oxide NO in the presence of the hydrogen atom.

In the first part, the reaction rates and products distributions as well as the dynamic paths were studied by theoretical approaches involving ab initio MO calculations with the MRD-CI method and by experimental approaches involving photolyses in the gas phase as well as argon matrices and pyrolysis behind incident shock waves. In the second part, a chain mechanism progressing in gaseous NO in the presence of a small amount of the H atom was elucidated by gas-phase experiments involving the flash photolysis technique and by theoretical calculations based on the APUMP2 method.

The author wishes to express his deepest gratitude to Professor Takayuki Fueno, who introduced him to this area of research, for his valuable guidance and direction, and for his continuous encouragement throughout his investigations.

He would like to express deep gratitude to Associate Professor Tadashi Okuyama for his kind advice and helpful direction. He is deeply grateful to Professor Kizashi Yamaguchi for his hearty encouragement. Deep appreciation should also be directed to Dr. Kunihisa Yoshida for his instructive suggestion.

The author thanks Messrs. Masayuki Fukuda, Shin-ya Takane, Hiroshi Kitaike, and Katsuji Miyake for their active collaboration and hot discussions. Without their technical aids this thesis would have never been completed. He also thanks Messrs. Masayoshi Nakano, Mitsutaka Okumura, Jun'ichi Kitagawa, Tsuyoshi Yorizane, and other members of the Fueno Laboratory for their friendly and continuous encouragement throughout the course of studies.

The numerical calculations were carried out on HITAC M680H, S810/10, and S820/10 computers at the Institute for Molecular Science.

Last but not least, the author is grateful to his parents, Tsutao and Yaeko Yokoyama, and his grandmother, Hiroko Yokoyama for their kindly encouragement and all kinds of support to everything he faced during the course of studies.

Keiichi Yokoyama

January, 1991

## Contents

	page
<b>General Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
 <b>Part I</b>	
<b>Dynamisms of the Reactions of the Imino Radical NH(<math>^3\Sigma^-</math>, <math>^1\Delta</math>) with XO (X = N, O, C)</b>	
 Chapter 1 Mechanism of the Reaction $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{NO} \longrightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$ in the Gas Phase	 6
Chapter 2 Mechanism of the Reaction of $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$ with NO in Argon Matrix	28
Chapter 3 Formations of $\text{OH}(X^2\Pi, A^2\Sigma^+)$ in the Reaction of $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$ with NO in Incident Shock Waves	51
Chapter 4 Electronic Structure and the Unimolecular Reaction Pathways of HNOO	67
Chapter 5 Kinetics and Mechanism of the Formation of the CN Radical in the Thermal Decomposition of Isocyanic Acid in Incident Shock Waves	84
Chapter 6 Computational Studies of the Isomerization Reactions between the CHNO Isomers	105
 <b>Part II</b>	
<b>Mechanism of the Disproportionation Reaction of Nitric Oxide in the Presence of the Hydrogen Atom</b>	
 Chapter 1 Mechanism of the Formation of $\text{NO}_2$ in the Reaction of HNO with NO in the Gas Phase	 127
Chapter 2 Potential Energy Profiles for the Reaction of HNO with NO	144

## General Introduction

It is of fundamental importance to chemistry to understand chemical reactions in dynamical terms. Chemical bondings arise from the electron-electron and nucleus-electron interactions as well as the internuclear repulsions, so that chemical reactivity of molecules is governed by their electronic structure. Generally, the potential energy of molecules involves the *electronic energy* as well as nuclear repulsion energy. An elementary reaction can thus be regarded as the motion of a mass-point on the potential energy surface, which spans geometries of the reacting molecular system. In particular, accurate characterizations of the stationary points (energy minima and saddle points) on the potential energy surfaces are essential in understanding the reactivity, such as reaction rate, product distribution and energy disposal in the products. The mechanism placing emphasis on the microscopic molecular-structural notion of reaction processes may be called "Dynamical Mechanism" or more simply "Dynamism".

The electronic energies differ depending on the electronic structures. Each electronic state individually owns its potential energy surface. In the course of reaction, the interactions between these surfaces such as intersystem crossing, avoided crossing and conical crossing often play important roles.

The author has felt much interest in such dynamical mechanisms of reactions of some systems containing the nitrogen atom. Nitrogen has an electronically curious character inherently. For example, there are some questions why gaseous NO and NO<sub>2</sub> having an unpaired electron can exist at room temperature under the atmospheric pressure. On the contrary, why is HNO unstable despite its closed-shell electronic structure? Chemical behavior of nitrogen still remains unexplored as compared to carbon and oxygen.

In the present study, kinetics and product distributions have been examined by the gas-phase and matrix experiments, which are appropriate for investigating elementary reactions under isolated conditions. For the initiation of reactions, the

author used the thermal decompositions in shock waves and the photo-decompositions in argon matrix as well as in the gas phase. Further, ab initio molecular orbital calculations have been performed to obtain the potential energy surfaces relevant to the reaction systems considered. Recent developments of theories, algorithms and equipments for the computational chemistry provide reliable tactics to investigate the reactions of small molecules containing at least 10 atoms. In fact, it has become available to apply a large-scale configuration-interaction (CI) method, complete-active-space self-consistent-field (CASSCF) calculations and the Møller-Plesset (MP) perturbation theory with fairly large basis sets including polarization functions. On account of the accuracy of such calculations, these theoretical approaches may be regarded as "computational *experiment*" rather than "approximate *prediction*".

Combinations of these experimental and theoretical approaches will hopefully present a great advantage to the understandings of reaction dynamism. The author will demonstrate the efficiency of such combinations in this thesis. In some cases (I - 1-4) the theories led the experiments, and in other cases (I - 5,6) the experimental results motivated the theoretical investigations. In a certain case (II - 1,2) both approaches were complementary and mutually indispensable.

In part I, the mechanisms of the reaction of  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-, ^1\Delta)$  with  $\text{XO}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{N}, \text{O}, \text{C}$ ) were investigated. Reactivity of the imino radical  $\text{NH}$  is interesting in view of the relation of the electronic structures to the reaction types. Its electronic ground state has the triplet spin multiplicity ( $\text{X}^3\Sigma^-$ ) while the first excited state is a metastable singlet state ( $^1\Delta$ ), as in the case of the isoelectronic oxygen atom. However, the reactivity of  $\text{NH}$  has not yet been studied as comprehensively as has been that of the  $\text{O}$  atom.

Nitric oxide  $\text{NO}(\text{X}^2\Pi)$  has one odd electron. The reaction is expected to start with an association step to form  $\text{HNNO}$  intermediate in the case of both  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  and ( $^1\Delta$ ). The potential energy profiles for the  $\text{NH-NO}$  system has been calculated by the multi-reference single- and double-excitation CI (MRDCI)

method in chapter 1. The results have revealed that the reactions of both  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  and  $(^1\Delta)$  proceed without any activation energy and produce  $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$  mainly and  $\text{N}_2 + \text{OH}$  subsidiarily. In order to examine the primary channel of the reaction  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{NO}$ , the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  quantum yield was measured in the photolysis of hydrazoic acid  $\text{HN}_3$  in the presence of a controlled amount of  $\text{NO}$  (chapter 1). The same reaction was examined in 12 K argon matrix, in which the isolated molecules have little mobility, so that the reaction was expected to be trapped without subsequent reactions (chapter 2). The overall rate constant and the rate constant of the OH formation for the reaction  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO}$  were measured in incident shock waves at high temperature ( $\sim 3500$  K) (chapter 3). A chemiluminescence of OH was also discovered. The results of these various kind of experiments at largely different temperatures have confirmed the validity of the theoretical results.

Molecular oxygen  $\text{O}_2(\text{X}^3\Sigma_g^-)$  has two odd electrons. The first step of the reaction  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{O}_2$  is expected to be a non-barrier association step, as in the case of  $\text{NH} + \text{NO}$ . However, the potential energy profiles calculated by the MRDCI method have revealed that the subsequent unimolecular rearrangements of  $\text{HNOO}$  would require net activation energies. A novel reaction pathway involving an intermediacy of  $\text{NOOH}$  has been proposed (chapter 4). The non-Arrhenius behavior of the reaction has been reconciled by the tunneling effect operative at the rate-determining step.

Carbon monoxide  $\text{CO}(\text{X}^1\Sigma^+)$  has no odd electrons. The addition step of  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$  may have a significant activation barrier, while the reaction  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{CO}$  would form  $\text{HNCO}$  without any activation energy.  $\text{HNCO}$  is extremely stable. These two surfaces would cross at a certain CN distance in the course of addition. Thus, the former reaction would also form  $\text{HNCO}$  through the crossing. Formation of the CN radical was observed in the shock tube study of  $\text{HNCO}$ . The results indicate the occurrence of an isomerization from  $\text{HNCO}$  to  $\text{HOCN}$  (chapter 5). The potential energy surface for  $\text{NH-CO}$  system has been



obtained by the CASSCF and MRDCI methods. A possible isomerization path has been found (chapter 6).

In part II, chemical behavior of NO in the presence of the hydrogen atom has been studied both experimentally and theoretically. HNO, the initial adduct formed by the reaction  $\text{H} + \text{NO}$ , is known to be unstable in gaseous NO, but reaction products have not been established yet. Because of the rather modest radical character of NO, the reaction mechanism is intriguing. In chapter 1, product analyses based on the FTIR spectroscopy were carried out in the photodecompositions of HCHO and  $\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}$  and in the flash photolysis of  $\text{NH}_3$  under rich NO conditions. the results have suggested a chain mechanism. In chapter 2, theoretical calculations involving the approximately projected unrestricted MP perturbation theory at the second order were carried out to unravel the complicated mechanism of the NO disproportionation in the presence of the H atom.

## **Part I**

### **Dynamisms of the Reactions of the Imino Radical**

**NH( $^3\Sigma^-$ ,  $^1\Delta$ ) with XO (X = N, O, C)**


## Chapter 1

### Mechanism of the Reaction $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{NO} \longrightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$ in the Gas Phase

Hydrazoic acid  $\text{HN}_3$  was photolyzed in the presence of a controlled amount of NO in the gas phase, to investigate the reaction of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  with NO. Ab initio CI studies indicate that the ultimate product should be  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , which would arise via an intermediacy of the adduct radical  $\text{HNNO}(^2\text{A}')$ . Mass-spectrometric analyses of the reaction mixtures have shown that  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is indeed a principal product but that a sizable quantity of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  has been formed concurrently. Quantum yields of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  are found to increase in proportion to the initial molar fractions of NO in the reactant gas mixtures used. It is concluded that  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  enters into capture (no-barrier) reactions with NO and  $\text{HN}_3$  in a competitive manner, to give  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{NH}_2$ , respectively, the latter product eventually leading to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  through a rapid capture reaction with excess NO.

## 1. Introduction


The imino radical in its lowest singlet state  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  can insert into a single bond of paraffins to give amines and, at the same time, directly abstracts a hydrogen atom giving rise to the amino radical  $^1$ ):



Obviously, reaction (1a) is a concerted process with virtually no activation energy, whereas reaction (1b) is an activation-controlled process. The activation barrier heights for reaction (1b) are, however, generally so low as to permit the hydrogen abstraction to compete with the insertion (1a), as has been demonstrated theoretically <sup>2)</sup>. More important in this context is the notion that this dual chemical behavior of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  is a consequence of the duality in its electronic structure. Thus, the insertion capability is ascribable to the closed-shell-like character of the  $^1\Delta$  state which can be represented by the two-configurational ( $x^2-y^2$ ) wavefunction, while the abstraction mode is due to the diradical property arising from its open-shell ( $xy$ ) character <sup>3-5)</sup>.

Inasmuch as  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  in its open-shell form can be regarded as a singlet diradical, its diradical character ought to be revealed most eminently when it is allowed to react with radical species. Thus, the association reactions of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  with doublet radicals are thought to constitute another important type of elementary reactions possible to  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$ .

Motivated by the above-delineated perspective, we have undertaken to investigate the reaction of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  with NO as a doublet radical. Ab initio SCF computations followed by the configuration-interaction (CI) treatments indicate that the association product HN-NO is liable to be collapsed into  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and H:



The possibility of a similar type of reaction for the case of  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  has been suggested by Melius and Binkley <sup>6)</sup>.

The purpose of the present work is to clarify the dynamical mechanism of reaction (2) theoretically and to confirm the formation of nitrous oxide  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  experimentally. To this end, the path as well as the energetics of reaction (2) has been examined theoretically and the quantum yields of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  under varying conditions have been determined experimentally. Rather unexpectedly, it has been observed that a sizable amount of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is formed concurrently with  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ . The overall mechanism of reactions will be discussed in the light of the theoretical as well as the experimental results obtained.

## 2. Methods

### (A) Theoretical calculations

The potential energy profiles for the reactions of both  $\text{NH}(X^3\Sigma^-)$  and  $\text{NH}(a^1\Delta)$  with NO have been examined by ab initio MO calculations. The basis sets used are the conventional split-valence 4-31G functions <sup>7)</sup> augmented with one set each of polarization functions for every atom involved. The exponents used for the polarization functions are  $\zeta_p(\text{H}) = 1.1$  and  $\zeta_d(\text{N}) = \zeta_d(\text{O}) = 0.8$  <sup>8)</sup>.

The minimum-energy paths for reactions were traced by the UHF SCF procedure, using the Gaussian-80 program package <sup>9)</sup>. Geometries for the stable energy minima and the energy saddle points (transition states, TS) were all SCF-optimized. The transition state geometries were all checked with the vibrational normal-mode analyses.

All the transition states located as well as the relevant energy-minimum structures were subjected to the multireference double-excitation (MRD) configuration-

interaction (CI) computations (4-31G\*\*//4-31G\*\*). The Table MRD-CI program furnished by Buenker<sup>10,11)</sup> was used. The configurations whose contributions  $|c_i|^2$  to a state exceed 0.5 % were all regarded as the main (reference) configurations. The lowest configuration-selection threshold  $T$  was deliberately assigned a value between 1 and 10  $\mu$ hartrees, so that the maximal dimension of the configurational space fell in the region 7000 - 9000. Four successive threshold values increasing stepwise by 5  $\mu$ hartrees each were used to obtain the CI energy  $E_{CI,T \rightarrow 0}$  extrapolated to  $T = 0$  hartree. The generalized Langhoff-Davidson approximation<sup>12,13)</sup> was used to correct for possible errors which might arise from the use of a limited number of reference configurations. The CI energies thus corrected are regarded as estimates of full CI values<sup>13,14)</sup> and will be denoted as  $E_{CI}$ .

## (B) Experimental

Mixtures of 1-7 Torr of  $\text{HN}_3$  with 3-40 Torr of  $\text{NO}$  and 0-200 Torr of  $\text{SF}_6$  were photolyzed for 0.5-4 h at room temperature. The light source used was a 450-W medium-pressure mercury lamp (Ushio UM-452). A combination of solution filters (aq.  $\text{NiSO}_4$ , aq.  $\text{CoSO}_4$  and a cyclohexane solution of 1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene) was used to isolate the 254 nm light for the photolysis. The effective band pass of the combined filters was 240 - 270 nm. The photolyzing light collimated with a quartz lens ( $f=110$  mm) was led into a reaction cell. The reaction cell used was a quartz cylinder of 102 mm in length and 12 mm in diameter. The light that had passed through the cell was collected in a phototube (Hamamatsu Photonics R-840) for recording.

After the photolysis, the reaction mixture was kept at  $-196^\circ\text{C}$  for ca 1 h. The condensate was degassed at  $-196^\circ\text{C}$  and, after having been allowed to stand at room temperature for ca. 1 h, was subjected to gaschromatographic analysis. A 2.5-m column of Porapak Q was used at  $25^\circ\text{C}$  with a stream (18  $\text{cm}^3/\text{min}$ ) of He as carrier. The principal product was identified to be  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ; the observed retention time 4.5 min agreed with that for an authentic gas sample of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ .

Determinations of N<sub>2</sub>O formed were conducted on a quadrupole mass-spectrometer (ULVAC MSQ-150A). In preliminary experiments, it was found that the mass signal for SF<sup>+</sup> (m/e = 51) as a fragment ion from SF<sub>6</sub> was stable enough to be used as a reference for the determination of N<sub>2</sub>O. The relative peak heights for N<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> (m/e = 44) and SF<sup>+</sup> were calibrated for gas mixtures of N<sub>2</sub>O and SF<sub>6</sub> of known concentrations. It was confirmed that the peak-height ratios stayed invariant during the time interval (usually 10 min) required for the analysis. The nonvolatiles (at -196 °C) of the reaction gas mixtures were introduced into the ionization-room of the mass-spectrometer through a variable-leak bulb at room temperature. The mass spectra were recorded on a pen recorder.

The NH(<sup>1</sup>Δ) radicals generated by the present photolysis are typically ca. 1 % the HN<sub>3</sub> sample used. The total amount of NH generated in each run can be assumed to equal the total number of photons absorbed in the photolysis, since the quantum yield of NH(<sup>1</sup>Δ) from HN<sub>3</sub> by the 254 nm light is known to be nearly 1.0<sup>15)</sup>. The amount of photons absorbed were calculated from the amount of the incident photons, using the absorption coefficient of HN<sub>3</sub> previously determined, (254 nm at 23 °C) = 1.40 × 10<sup>-3</sup> Torr<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup><sup>1)</sup>. The intensity of the incident light was measured with a phototube calibrated by chemical actinometry. A K<sub>3</sub>Fe(C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> 1,10-phenanthroline system was used for the chemical actinometry<sup>16)</sup>.



The quantum yields of N<sub>2</sub>O were obtained from the total amount of N<sub>2</sub>O formed and the amount of photons absorbed by the reaction mixture. The N<sub>2</sub>O quantum yields are thus the molar yields of N<sub>2</sub>O formed per one mol of NH(<sup>1</sup>Δ) generated.

HN<sub>3</sub> was synthesized and purified by means of the trap-to-trap distillation at -80 °C and -196 °C. It was stored in a 5-l pyrex bulb. A portion of it was degassed each time immediately prior to use. Both NO and SF<sub>6</sub> of high purity were purchased and used after appropriate degassing.

### 3. Results

#### (A) Potential energy profiles

It is presumed intuitively that the primary step of the reaction between NH and NO is the association reaction giving a doublet adduct HN-NO. Under this presumption, the optimal structure for the adduct radical was explored by the UHF SCF procedure. Two planar doublet structures are conceivable. One is a doublet( $^2A''$ ) of the allyl radical type with three  $\pi$ -electrons delocalized over the NNO skeleton, while the other is such a doublet( $^2A'$ ) that four electrons are accommodated in the  $\pi$  orbitals, leaving the unpaired electron in an  $a'$  orbital of the skeleton:




For either of the two doublets, the cis and trans forms are possible. These four structures will be denoted as 3C, 3T, 4C and 4T. Thus, 4T, for example, should be read as indicating the four- $\pi$ -electron form ( $^2A'$ ) in the trans arrangement of the four atoms. The optimized geometries for the four radicals are given in Fig. 1.

Tracings of the minimum-energy paths by the SCF procedure have indicated that both 4C and 4T are connected with  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{NO}$ , whereas 3C and 3T with  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO}$ . Clearly,  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  attacks the singly occupied orbital ( $a'$ ) localized on the N atom of NO. The  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  radical, on the other hand, appears to attack the  $\pi$  bond orbital of NO.

MRD-CI calculations for the SCF optimized geometries have shown that the effects of electron correlation are much greater in the  $^2A'$  radicals (4C and 4T) than in the  $^2A''$  radicals (3C and 3T), as can be seen in Table 1. In terms of the CI energy  $E_{\text{CI}}$ , the former doublets are significantly more stable than the latter, the total energies of the four radicals relative to the  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO}$  system as a common




reference being -77 (3C), 54 (3T), -252 (4C) and -254 (4T) kJ/mol. Thus, the association reaction of our present concern



is predicted to have an exothermicity of ca. 430 kJ/mol.

Possible pathways for the subsequent fragmentation of the  $^2\text{A}'$  radical are



Reaction (4a) is endothermic and has no activation barrier, whereas both reactions (4b) and (4c) should be elementary processes involving the activation energies <sup>6)</sup>. Because reaction (4c) is possible only for the cis form of HNNO( $^2\text{A}'$ ), we have considered the reactions (4a)-(4c) for the 4C isomer primarily. The energy changes of reaction  $\Delta E_{\text{CI}}$  calculated for reactions (4a)-(4c) are 278, 123 and -135 kJ/mol, respectively.

Geometries for the transition states, TS1 and TS2, for reactions (4b) and (4c), respectively, have been optimized by the 4-31G\*\* SCF procedure. The optimal structures obtained are shown in Fig. 2. Both geometries closely resemble those that have recently been reported by Marshall, Fontijn and Melius <sup>17)</sup> for the same reactions.


MRD-CI calculations were carried out for both TS1 and TS2 at their SCF optimized geometries. The activation barrier heights  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  obtained for reactions (4b) and (4c) are 201 and 214 kJ/mol, respectively. It has been confirmed that use of the one-electron functions obtained by the quartet ( $^4\text{A}'$ ) SCF calculations in place of the doublet ( $^2\text{A}'$ ) SCF procedure does not alter the results appreciably (by no more than 5 kJ/mol). Reaction (4b) thus appears to be more favorable than reaction (4c), in

agreement with the feature demonstrated by Marshall et al. <sup>17</sup>), even though both of our  $\Delta E^\ddagger$  values are somewhat greater than theirs. At any rate, it is clear that the adduct radical 4C formed by reaction (3) is liable to decompose spontaneously in the gas phase, inasmuch as the exothermicity for reaction (3) (430 kJ/mol) far exceeds the activation barrier heights for the subsequent fragmentation processes. The most probable path of fragmentation should be reaction (4b), which gives  $\text{N}_2\text{O}(^1\Sigma^+)$ .

A few words seem to be in order regarding TS1. The optimal geometry located is in a planar cis form, as is shown in Fig. 2. Because TS1 is smoothly connected with 4C through the minimum-energy path over the potential energy surface, there is no doubt that 4C is subject to the N-H bond breaking reaction (4b). When the N-H bond of 4T is elongated, however, it is found that the bond angle  $\angle\text{NNO}$  increases progressively until the whole system takes on a cis structure to reach the same transition state (TS1) eventually.

In order to look into the dynamical mode of isomerization more closely, the path for the isomerization  $4\text{T} \rightarrow 4\text{C}$  has been traced by the SCF procedure. As a result, it has proved that the minimum-energy path for the isomerization is such that the O atom migrates on the molecular plane. The transition state (TS3) located is planar in geometry with the bond angle  $\angle\text{NNO}$  being nearly  $180^\circ$ , as is shown in Fig. 2. A similar (in-plane inversion) mode of the trans-cis isomerization has been noted with diazene  $\text{HN}=\text{NH}$  <sup>18</sup>) and difluorodiazene  $\text{FN}=\text{NF}$  <sup>19</sup>) theoretically. For the present instance of isomerization (TS3), CI calculations have given the barrier height  $\Delta E^\ddagger = 83$  kJ/mol, which is evidently lower than the activation barrier height  $\Delta E^\ddagger = 201$  kJ/mol calculated for the N-H bond breaking reaction (4b) of 4C. No doubt, the N-H bond scission of 4T suffers the trans-cis isomerization before the scission is completed.

As for the fragmentation of the  $^2\text{A}''$  radical, the energetically most favorable is the cleavage of the N-O bond:



The HNN radical is liable to be decomposed into  $\text{N}_2 + \text{H}$  via the transition state (TS4). The barrier height calculated by the present CI procedure is 69 kJ/mol, which does not differ greatly from the value of ca. 46 kJ/mol reported by Pople et al. 20).

The overall potential energy profiles calculated for the  $\text{NH} + \text{NO}$  system are diagrammatically illustrated in Fig. 3. The energy gaps given in square brackets are the empirical estimates made on the basis of the experimental thermochemical data 21). The calculated energy gaps shown in Fig. 3 will generally be accurate only to within 20 kJ/mol. Yet, they will be reliable enough to permit the conclusion that the reaction of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  with  $\text{NO}$  will lead to the formation of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  as the principal product.

#### (B) Quantum yields of $\text{N}_2\text{O}$

In order to confirm that  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is the ultimate main product of reaction (2), we have attempted determinations of the quantum yields  $\phi$  of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  under various conditions. By the quantum yields we mean the yields of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  per one mole of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  generated photochemically. Determinations of  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$  entail quantitative determinations of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  generated in given runs and of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  formed in the cell. Actinometry and mass-spectroscopy have been conducted for this purpose, as has been described in the experimental section.


Table 2 gives some representative data obtained under varying initial concentrations of  $\text{HN}_3$  and  $\text{NO}$  at room temperature. The  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$  data listed inevitably involve experimental errors amounting to 0.05. Nevertheless, it does appear that  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$  is increased with the increase in the initial concentration of  $\text{NO}$ , even though the reactant  $\text{NO}$  has been used always in large excess over the  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  radicals generated.

In Fig. 4, the quantum yields  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$  are plotted against the initial molar fraction of  $\text{NO}$ :

$$x_{\text{NO}} = \frac{[\text{NO}]_0}{[\text{HN}_3]_0 + [\text{NO}]_0} \quad (6)$$

for a total of 15 runs. Although the plotted points are somewhat scattered,  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$  does appear to be proportional, to a first approximation, to  $x_{\text{NO}}$  with the proportionality factor  $\eta = 0.70$ .  $\eta$  may be understood as a partial rate factor with which the adduct radical HNNO will select the decomposition channel (4b) to give  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ;  $\eta = k_{4b}/(k_{4a} + k_{4b} + k_{4c})$ .

The observed proportionality, though somewhat crude, between  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$  and  $x_{\text{NO}}$  suggests that part of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  has been consumed by reactions with the coexisting  $\text{HN}_3$ . The most probable is the hydrogen abstraction reaction as follows <sup>22</sup>):



The quantum yield of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  should then be controlled by the competition between reactions (2) and (7). More precisely speaking,  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  is consumed by reactions (3) and (7), while  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is formed by reaction (2). Denoting the rate constants for reactions (3) and (7) by  $k_3$  and  $k_7$  and noting that the effective rate constant for reaction (2) should be  $k_2 = \eta k_3$ , we may write


$$\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} = \eta \frac{(k_3/k_7)x_{\text{NO}}}{(k_3/k_7)x_{\text{NO}} + (1-x_{\text{NO}})} \quad (8)$$

as a more reasonable expression of  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$  as the function  $x_{\text{NO}}$ . Equation (8) reduces to  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} = \eta x_{\text{NO}}$ , when  $k_3/k_7 = 1$

Variations in  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$  as the function of  $x_{\text{NO}}$ , eq. (8), for the varying ratio  $k_3/k_7$  are represented by the curves shown in Fig. 4. The ratio  $k_3/k_7$  giving the best fits of the experimental data points to eq. (8) has been searched for by the least-squares treatment of the data under the constraint  $\eta = 0.70$ . The resulting  $k_3/k_7$  ratio was found to be  $0.79 \pm 0.06$ , the uncertainty limit indicating the probable error. The rate

ratio between reactions (2) and (7) will then be  $k_2/k_7 = 0.55 \pm 0.05$ . The result can be taken as an indication that, if reaction (2) is a collision-controlled (no-activation-barrier) process, so will reaction (7) be essentially. Reaction (7) should be about twice as fast as reaction (2).

Mass-spectrometric analyses of the product mixtures have indicated that, in the runs where  $x_{\text{NO}}$  is relatively small, a sizable amount of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  has been formed concurrently. Occurrence of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  must somehow be related to reaction (7). We assume that the formation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is ascribable to the reaction



which will immediately follow reaction (7). Because  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  has been formed concurrently with  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and because both reactions (2) and (7) are likely to be collision-controlled, reaction (9) must also be a collision-controlled process.

#### 4. Discussion

As has already been mentioned, the accuracy limit of the present CI calculations is at best 20 kJ/mol for stable energy minima. The situation requires particular cautions on the reliability of the energies calculated here for the various transition states.

The transition states of utmost importance in this work are TS1 and TS2. Some assessments of the energetics calculated for these states are possible in reference to the experimental kinetic results <sup>17)</sup> for the reaction



The transition states for the first and second steps of reaction (10) are nothing but our TS1 and TS2, respectively. According to the results of our CI calculations, the

barrier height for the first step is 78 kJ/mol while that for the second step relative to  $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$  is 91 kJ/mol (see Fig. 3). When the vibrational zero-point energy corrections are made, these barrier heights are slightly enhanced to  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 79$  and 96 kJ/mol, respectively. The experimental study of reaction (10) shows that the second step is rate-controlling and that the net activation energy relative to  $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$  is 81 kJ/mol<sup>17</sup>). Our predicted heats of activation ( $\Delta H^\ddagger$ ) are in harmony with the experimental results, although  $\Delta H^\ddagger = 96$  kJ/mol for the second step is still 15 kJ/mol too high as compared to the experimental activation energy (81 kJ/mol). We believe that the accuracy of the present calculations is within a range of 20 kJ/mol even for the transition states. Incidentally, the MP4 calculations with the bond-additivity corrections (BAC) and spin contamination corrections by Marshall et al.<sup>17</sup> give the heats of activation 15 and 70 kJ/mol for the steps 1 and 2, respectively. Their results are significantly lower than the  $\Delta H^\ddagger$  values (79 and 96 kJ/mol) obtained in the present study.

The theoretical expectation that the reaction between  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  and  $\text{NO}$  gives  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  as the ultimate principal product has been corroborated experimentally. The reaction should be a two-step process involving the intermediacy of the adduct radical  $\text{HN-NO}$  ( $2\text{A}'$ ). Because the barrier top of the subsequent step, i. e., the N-H bond cleavage of the adduct radical (reaction (4b)), lies below the energy level for the initial binary system  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{NO}$ , the initial association step (3) should control the overall rate of reaction. Thus, reaction (2) as a whole should essentially be a collision-controlled process, which has no activation energy.

Under our experimental conditions,  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  should react with  $\text{HN}_3$  also (reaction (7)). The bimolecular rate constant  $5.6 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$  observed for reaction (7)<sup>22</sup>) indicates that the reaction is indeed collision-controlled. Reaction (7) competes with reaction (2) thus affecting the quantum yield of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ . It is our feeling that reaction (7) is also a two-step process involving the initial insertion of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  into the NH bond of  $\text{HN}_3$ .

The decrease in  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$  with the decreasing initial molar ratio of NO (Fig. 4) is counterbalanced by the increase in the yield of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . We interpret this fact to be a consequence of the operation of reaction (9) which immediately follows reaction (7). So far as both  $\text{HN}_3$  and NO are present in large excess over  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  as is actually the case, all the  $\text{NH}_2$  radicals formed by reaction (7) will be led to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  if reaction (9) is assumed to be as rapid a process as the collision-controlled reaction (7). This last assumption seems to find support in the potential energy profiles calculated by Melius and Binkley <sup>6</sup>). The reaction is reported to be a multi-step process which involves the initial association of  $\text{NH}_2$  with NO to form  $\text{H}_2\text{NNO}$  and the subsequent H-atom migrations, thereby ending up with the formations of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{N}_2$  as stable products. They conclude that the various barriers which the adduct molecule has to overcome before reaching the final state  $\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{N}_2$  all lie below the energy level for the initial state  $\text{NH}_2 + \text{NO}$ . Reaction (9) can, therefore, be considered to proceed as rapidly as most association reactions which are basically collision-controlled. In fact, the rate constants observed for reaction (9) in the ordinary temperature region is on the order of  $1 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$  <sup>23</sup>).

The quantum yield of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in the high NO fractional limit  $x_{\text{NO}} = 1$  is 0.7. The remaining fraction 0.3 is probably ascribable to the operation of reaction (4c) as a less favorable fragmentation process of 4C. Although no special efforts have been made to determine the branching ratios of reaction (4) in this work, the partial rate factor as large as 0.3 for reaction (4c) is a likely possibility, since TS2 is calculated to be only slightly (by 13 kJ/mol) higher than TS1. Fragmentation to  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO}$  will be much less favorable. Direct quenching of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  to  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  on collisions with NO will also be ignorable <sup>1</sup>).

Finally, we would like to comment on the observed rate ratio  $k_3/k_7 = 0.79$  briefly. Because reactions (3) and (7) are both collision-controlled, the relative rate constants  $k_3$  and  $k_7$  will be governed by the relative magnitudes of their preexponential factors. If so, the trend that  $k_3/k_7 \leq 1$  is reasonable in view of the inherently smaller collision diameter for NO as compared to  $\text{HN}_3$  which is apparently

a larger molecular species. In addition, the insertion of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  into propane, a chemical reaction which is also a no-activation-barrier process, is reported to have the rate constant  $k_{1a}$  0.30 times as large as  $k_7$ <sup>1)</sup>. The tendency that  $k_{1a}/k_7 < k_3/k_7$  is also reasonable in view of the difference in type between reactions (1a) and (3); the former reaction is a concerted multi-site process, whereas the latter is essentially a simple association reaction, for which the activation entropy should be innately larger.



## References

- 1) O. Kajimoto and T. Fueno, Chem. Phys. Lett., **80**, 484 (1981);  
O. Kondo, J. Miyata, O. Kajimoto and T. Fueno, Chem. Phys. Lett., **88**, 424 (1982).
- 2) T. Fueno, O. Kajimoto and V. Bonacic-Koutecky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **106**, 406 (1984).
- 3) T. Fueno, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem), in press.
- 4) T. Fueno, V. Bonacic-Koutecky and J. Koutecky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **105**, 5547 (1983).
- 5) M. K. Kasha and D. E. Brabham, in: Organic chemistry (NY©, Vol. 40 (Academic Press, New York, 1979) p.1.
- 6) C. F. Melius and J. S. Binkley, 20th Symposium (International) on Combustion (The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, 1984) p.575.
- 7) R. Ditchfield, W. J. Hehre and J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys., **54**, 724 (1971).
- 8) P. C. Hariharan and J. A. Pople, Theoret. chim. Acta (Berl.), **28**, 213 (1973).
- 9) J. S. Binkley, R. A. Whiteside, R. Krishnan, R. Seeger, D. J. DeFrees, H. B. Schlegel, S. Topiol, L. R. Kahn and J. A. Pople, Program No. 406, QCPE, Indiana Univ., Bloomington, Ind.
- 10) R. J. Buenker, in: Studies in physical and theoretical chemistry, Vol. 21, ed. R. Carbo (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1982) p. 17.
- 11) R. J. Buenker and R. A. Phillips, J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem), **123**, 291 (1985).
- 12) S. R. Langhoff and E. R. Davidson, Int. J. Quant. Chem., **8**, 61 (1974).
- 13) P. J. Bruna and S. D. Peyerimhoff, in: Ab initio methods in quantum chemistry, Part I, ed. K. P. Lawley (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1987) p. 1
- 14) R. J. Buenker, Int. J. Quant. Chem., **29**, 435 (1986).
- 15) R. S. Konar, S. Matsumoto and B. de B. Derwent, Trans. Faraday Soc., **67**, 1698 (1971).
- 16) C. G. Hatchard and C. A. Parker, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), **A235**, 518 (1956).

- 17) P. Marshall, A. Fontijn and C. F. Melius, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **86**, 5540 (1987).
- 18) J. Alster and L. A. Burnell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **89**, 1261 (1967).
- 19) J. M. Howell and L. A. Kirschenbaum, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 877 (1976).
- 20) L. A. Curtiss, D. L. Drapcho and J. A. Pople, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **103**, 437 (1984).
- 21) S. W. Benson, *Thermochemical Kinetics*, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1976).
- 22) R. J. Paur and E. J. Bair, *J. Photochem.*, **1**, 255 (1972/73); *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, **8**, 139 (1976).
- 23) L. J. Stief, W. D. Brobst, D. F. Nava, R. P. Borkowski and J. V. Michael, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. II*, **78**, 1391 (1982).

Table 1.

Total energies calculated for various stationary structures

Structure		Energy (hartree)	
		SCF <sup>a</sup>	CI <sup>b</sup>
NH( <sup>3</sup> Σ <sup>-</sup> ) + NO		-184.03215	-184.47594
NH( <sup>1</sup> Δ) + NO		183.99897	-184.40810
HNNO( <sup>2</sup> A'')	3C	-184.03300	-184.50505
	3T	-184.03429	-184.45530
HNNO( <sup>2</sup> A')	4C	-184.03595	-184.57196
	4T	-184.04175	-184.57241
TS1	4C → N <sub>2</sub> O + H	-183.97633	-184.49534
TS2	4C → N <sub>2</sub> + OH	-183.97081	-184.49028
TS3	4T → 4C	-183.99845	-184.52174
TS4	HN <sub>2</sub> → H + N <sub>2</sub>	-109.30067	-109.62258
HN <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>2</sup> A')		-109.32304	-109.64895
N <sub>2</sub> O( <sup>1</sup> Σ <sup>+</sup> )		-183.50573	-184.02704
N <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>1</sup> Σ <sub>g</sub> <sup>+</sup> )		-108.83933	-109.15183
NO( <sup>2</sup> Π)		-129.12300	-129.44450
OH( <sup>2</sup> Π)		-75.31748	-75.47143


<sup>a</sup> UHF SCF (4-31G\*\*) optimizations.<sup>b</sup> MRD-CI (4-31G\*\*//4-31G\*\*) calculations.

Table 2.


Representative data for the product determinations

No	[HN <sub>3</sub> ] <sub>0</sub>	[NO] <sub>0</sub>	[SF <sub>6</sub> ]	x <sub>NO</sub> <sup>a</sup>	IΔt	N <sub>2</sub> O	H <sub>2</sub> O	φ <sub>N<sub>2</sub>O</sub>	φ <sub>H<sub>2</sub>O</sub>
	Torr	Torr	Torr		10 <sup>-8</sup> mol	10 <sup>-8</sup> mol	10 <sup>-8</sup> mol		
1	5.88	0.0	15.41	0.0	9.11	0.0	-	0.0	-
2	6.65	2.35	12.21	.261	0.99	0.22		.22	
3	4.89	3.81	10.56	.438	3.27	0.79	1.67	.24	0.51
4	5.16	4.10	18.58	.443	3.28	0.86	1.43	.25	.44
5	3.45	3.46	20.02	.501	2.33	0.73	0.83	.31	.36
6	5.59	7.17	10.99	.562	3.25	1.37	1.40	.36	.43
7	5.11	12.69	111.0	.713	1.72	0.72	0.56	.41	.32
8	6.21	29.69	8.32	.827	8.12	3.42	1.96	.42	.24
9	1.26	29.41	11.58	.953	1.92	1.31			.67


$$^a x_{\text{NO}} = \frac{[\text{NO}]_0}{[\text{HN}_3]_0 + [\text{NO}]_0}$$




3C ( $^2A''$ )



3T ( $^2A''$ )




4C ( $^2A'$ )




4T ( $^2A'$ )


Fig. 1. Optimal geometries of the HNNO doublet radical.




TS1 ( $^2A'$ )



TS2 ( $^2A'$ )



TS3 ( $^2A'$ )



TS4 ( $^2A'$ )

Fig. 2. Optimized transition state geometries.

TS1, N-H bond cleavage  $4C \longrightarrow N_2O + H$ , reaction (4b);

TS2, 1,3-hydrogen migration  $4C \longrightarrow N_2 + OH$ , reaction (4c);

TS3, isomerization  $4T \longrightarrow 4C$ ;

TS4, N-H bond cleavage  $HN_2 \longrightarrow H + N_2$ .




Fig. 3. Potential energy profiles calculated for the HN-NO system by the MRD-CI (4-31G\*\*//4-31G\*\*) procedure.

The energy gaps shown are in units of kJ/mol. The values given in [ ] are those obtained from the relevant thermochemical data [21].




Fig. 4. Quantum yields ( $N_2O$ ) as the functions of the initial fractional concentration of NO.

$$x_{NO} = \frac{[NO]_0}{[HN_3]_0 + [NO]_0}.$$




## Chapter 2

### Mechanism of the Reaction of $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$ with NO in Argon Matrix

Matrix-isolated hydrazoic acid  $\text{HN}_3$  admixed with NO was photolyzed by a low-pressure mercury discharge lamp. Product analysis based on the FTIR spectroscopy has revealed the formations of NH,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , OH, HNO, and HONO as photoproducts. From the comparisons of the amount of  $\text{HN}_3$  consumed with that of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  produced, we conclude that the reaction of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  with NO proceeds mainly through the process  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{NO} \longrightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$ . The quantum yield of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is found to be  $\phi_I = 0.7 \pm 0.1$ . The conclusion is in line with our previous results of the gas phase experiments at room temperature.

## 1. Introduction


We have previously investigated the gas-phase reaction  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  with NO both theoretically and experimentally.<sup>1)</sup> The theoretical studies have shown that the reaction should proceed through an intermediacy of the HNNO adduct and that two channels are open for the unimolecular decomposition of the HNNO adduct:



The entire reaction is expected to be essentially collision-controlled, and the HNNO decompositions as the product-determining step have energy barrier heights lower than the heat of the adduct formation, with a marginal advantage of reaction (1a) over reaction (1b). The expectation that the primary product of the reaction should be  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  was borne out by the experimental determination of the quantum yield of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  being ca 0.7, under the assumption that the quantum yield of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  in the photodissociation is 1.0.



It is feared, however, that in the gas phase  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  might well be formed by some routes other than the direct process (1a). Hack et al. carried out laser photolysis of  $\text{HN}_3$  in the presence of NO in the gas phase at room temperature.<sup>2)</sup> On the basis of the laser-induced fluorescence signal intensity of OH, they concluded that the primary product is  $\text{OH}(X^2\Pi)$  to be formed by reaction (1b). They suggested the possibility that the formation of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  was rather a consequence of subsidiary reactions as follows:



In the present work, we have undertaken studies of the photo-induced reaction of  $\text{HN}_3$  with  $\text{NO}$  in argon matrix. Our principal purpose here is to examine whether our previous gas-phase product determination study has indeed reflected the kinetics of reaction (1a). In a matrix there are not only isolated monomer but also dimer, polymer and complexes with other molecular species, but generally there are little mobilities for isolated molecules except for the H atom. Thus, photoinduced reactions are thought to be completed within single cages of argon matrix. Contributions of subsidiary processes to the overall reaction could be examined more closely in this study.

## 2. Experimental

The cryogenic apparatus used in the matrix experiments is schematically shown in Fig.1. A Cryomini D310 closed-cycle helium system (Iwatani Cryo-Techno Inc.) was used to refrigerate the deposition target made of  $\text{CsI}$  of 20mm in diameter and 2mm in thickness. An indium gasket was inserted between the  $\text{CsI}$  disk and a copper sample holder mounted on the cold head. Two pylex nozzles of 0.5 mm in internal diameter were placed at a distance of 3 cm from the target in the direction orthogonal to each other to spray sample gases onto the target. Only one of these nozzles was used in the present experiments. A thermocouple of Chromel vs Au-0.07%Fe was embedded on the sample holder. The ordinary operating temperature was measured to be 12 K. A liquid nitrogen trap and an oil diffusion pump were used to evacuate all of these devices placed within a stainless steel envelope.

IR spectra were measured through two KRS-5 windows located on both sides of the target on the envelope. In the direction perpendicular to the IR beam, there were two quartz windows for UV irradiation. The target was rotatable on a

horizontal plane for IR measurement and UV irradiation. The UV light source used was a 22W low-pressure mercury discharge lamp (Ultra Violet Prod. Inc.) placed just in front of the quartz window of 2 mm in thickness without lens nor filter. This alignment provided homogenous exposure of the target to the 2537 Å UV light. It is thought that another mercury line 1849Å will little influence the measurements because of the absorption by the quartz window (not suprasil) at this wavelength. A Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer FT/IR-5000 (Japan Spectroscopic Co., Ltd.) was operated with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the spectra were calculated from the coaddition of 100 interferograms. The deposition rates of sample gases were controlled with a teflon needle valve and a capacitance manometer CMLB-1000 (Vacuum General Inc.).

HN<sub>3</sub>/NO/Ar( = 0.1-1 / 0.08-1 / 100) gas mixtures were sprayed out through the nozzle at a rate of 2 mmol/hr for ca. 3.5 hs. After measurements of IR spectra for deposited samples, UV irradiation was started. Photolyzed matrix spectra were measured at the irradiation times of 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 10, 20, 30, 60, and 120 mins. A single measurement took about 4 mins. The matrix temperature was monitored to be maintained at 12K during irradiation. A relative intensity of the N<sub>2</sub>O ν<sub>3</sub> (2224 cm<sup>-1</sup>) to the HN<sub>3</sub> ν<sub>2</sub> (2138 cm<sup>-1</sup>) band was evaluated on the basis of the matrix spectra of N<sub>2</sub>O/HN<sub>3</sub>/Ar of known compositions.

HN<sub>3</sub> was synthesized by the reaction of NaN<sub>3</sub> with excess stearic acid at 80~90 °C in a vacuum glass line. After the purification by means of trap-to-trap distillations at -80 °C, HN<sub>3</sub> gas was stored in a 6-l pylex bulb. NO(99.99%), Ar(99.9999%) and N<sub>2</sub>O(99.999%) (Takachiho Kagaku Inc.) were used without further purification. Gas mixtures diluted in argon were kept in a 6-l pylex bulb overnight for mixing.

### 3. Results

#### (A) Assignment of complexes

The  $\text{HN}_3/\text{NO}/\text{Ar}$  matrix spectra have exhibited, in the vicinity of the  $\text{HN}_3$   $\nu_2$  band ( $2138\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), a perturbed  $\nu_2$  band at  $2150\text{ cm}^{-1}$  due to the hydrogen-bonded  $\text{HN}_3$  dimer.<sup>3)</sup> More highly aggregated molecules may also contribute to this peak since annealing experiments broadened the  $\nu_2$  band. By contrast, the  $\nu_2$  band was not resolved by possible complexation of  $\text{HN}_3$  with  $\text{NO}$ , which might reflect a weaker hydrogen bonding between the two components.

A new peak was observed at  $1882\text{ cm}^{-1}$  near the isolated  $\text{NO}$  stretching ( $1874\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). It is assigned to the  $\text{HN}_3\text{-NO}$  *plus*  $(\text{HN}_3)_2\text{-NO}$  complexes on the basis of the following observations. First, this peak is observed in the  $\text{HN}_3/\text{NO}/\text{Ar}$  spectra but is absent in either of the  $\text{HN}_3/\text{Ar}$  and  $\text{NO}/\text{Ar}$  matrix spectra. Second, as can be seen in Fig.2, the relative peak heights of the complexes vs.  $\text{NO}$  remain constant, irrespective of the molar fraction of  $\text{NO}$  in the sample gas used.

#### (B) Photoproducts

Figure 3 shows a difference spectrum of an  $\text{HN}_3/\text{NO}/\text{Ar}$  matrix after photolysis for 1 h. The irradiation diminishes  $\text{HN}_3$  and the complexes and gives rise to  $\text{NH}(\text{X}^3\Sigma^-)$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{OH}$ ,  $\text{HNO}$ ,  $\text{HONO}$  and  $\text{NO}_2$ . Little change was observed in absorbance of the peak due to the isolated  $\text{NO}$  monomer ( $1874\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). Assignments of the product peaks are listed in Table.1, together with literature values. In the vicinity of the  $\text{NH}_2$   $\nu_2$  band ( $1499\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), no significant peak was observed.

Evidently,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is the primary product of the present matrix experiments also. As we believe, it has arisen from reaction (1a) directly, even though the mechanism proposed by Hack et al.<sup>2)</sup>, i.e., the succession of reactions (3) and (4), cannot wholly be negated at this stage. Importantly, the concurrent formation of  $\text{HNO}$  lends strong support to our belief. Namely, the H atom which should have

been formed together with N<sub>2</sub>O by reaction (1a) could migrate in argon matrix cages to undergo recombination with NO:



Reaction (1a) followed by reaction (5) seems to be the only route for the formation of HNO under our experimental conditions.

### (C) Time evolution

The HN<sub>3</sub>  $\nu_2$  band absorbances for monomer (2138 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and dimer (2150 cm<sup>-1</sup>) are plotted in Fig.4 as the functions of irradiation time. Two curves have apparently different time constants. Log plots of these decay curves manifest that the dimer is photodecomposed nearly twice as rapidly as is the monomer; the initial slope ratio for dimer to monomer is about 2. The results indicate that the dimerization does not perturb the IR intensity of the  $\nu_2$  band nor the UV dissociation cross section of HN<sub>3</sub>. In addition, it seems that highly aggregated HN<sub>3</sub> molecules, if any, hardly contribute to the absorption at 2150 cm<sup>-1</sup> because they should be photodecomposed further more rapidly.

Figure 5 shows the increases in the OH (3554 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and NH (3136 cm<sup>-1</sup>) absorbances with the elapsing irradiation time. For the purpose of comparisons in shape, measured absorbances have been arbitrarily normalized to a constant value at 300 min. Shown by the solid and dotted curves are the decrements in absorbance of HN<sub>3</sub> (2138 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and (HN<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2150 cm<sup>-1</sup>), respectively, as the functions of time (Fig. 5). It can be seen that both the OH and NH increment curves fit the HN<sub>3</sub> monomer decrement curve. The results suggest that NH arises from the photolysis of the HN<sub>3</sub> monomer and that the precursor for OH is the 1:1 HN<sub>3</sub>-NO complex.

The absorbance of N<sub>2</sub>O  $\nu_2$  band (2224 cm<sup>-1</sup>) was also found to increase with time but to be fitted with neither of the HN<sub>3</sub> and (HN<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> decay curves. The time

profile showed somewhat faster saturation curve. This is presumably due to a photodecomposition process of N<sub>2</sub>O itself.<sup>4)</sup>



Under such circumstances, we have decided to examine the initial rate of the formation of N<sub>2</sub>O relative to that of the consumption of HN<sub>3</sub> monomer as the function of the molar fraction  $x_{\text{HN}_3}$  of HN<sub>3</sub> present in the sample gas deposited. Note that the word "initial" used here means such an early time region that the secondary photodecomposition does not affect [N<sub>2</sub>O], normally less than 4 min.

Figure 6 shows the initial production rate of N<sub>2</sub>O (2224 cm<sup>-1</sup>),  $d[\text{N}_2\text{O}]/dt(t=0)$ , relative to the initial decay rate of HN<sub>3</sub> monomer (2138 cm<sup>-1</sup>), i.e.,  $-d[\text{HN}_3]/dt(t=0)$ , at the varying initial molar fraction  $x_{\text{HN}_3}$ . It can be seen in Fig. 6 that the relative initial rate  $-d[\text{N}_2\text{O}]/d[\text{HN}_3](t=0)$  is constant at 0.52, irrespective of  $x_{\text{HN}_3}$ . The results indicate that N<sub>2</sub>O is produced from the HN<sub>3</sub> monomer complexes; i.e. HN<sub>3</sub>-(NO)<sub>1,2</sub>. In addition, the initial rate of the consumption of (HN<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2150 cm<sup>-1</sup>) was measured relative to  $-d[\text{HN}_3]/dt(t=0)$ . The relative rate  $d[(\text{HN}_3)_2]/d[\text{HN}_3](t=0)$  was confirmed to be proportional to  $x_{\text{HN}_3}$ . Therefore, if the photolysis of (HN<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-(NO)<sub>1,2</sub> had given rise to N<sub>2</sub>O, the plots of  $-d[\text{N}_2\text{O}]/d[\text{HN}_3]$  against  $x_{\text{HN}_3}$  would have shown a significant positive slope. Constancy of  $-d[\text{N}_2\text{O}]/d[\text{HN}_3]$  indicates that the photolysis of the 2:1 or 2:2 complex (HN<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-(NO)<sub>1,2</sub> has hardly contributed to the N<sub>2</sub>O production. The results entirely negate the contribution of the succession of reactions (3) and (4) on the N<sub>2</sub>O production under the present conditions.

#### (D) Yield of N<sub>2</sub>O

We have evaluated the yield of N<sub>2</sub>O formed by the photolysis of the 1:1 complex. In the measurements of the yield, there are two complexities. One is that N<sub>2</sub>O is formed by the photolysis of both 1:1 and 1:2 complexes. The other is

that the absorption at  $1882\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which was used to measure the amount of the 1:1 complex, overlaps with that of the 2:1 complex. Therefore, appropriate corrections are needed in evaluating the yield from the rates of the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production and the complex consumption.

Let the yields of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  arising from the photolyses of the 1:1 and 1:2 complexes be denoted as  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ , respectively. Since  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is assumed to be formed from both complexes, we may write

$$d[\text{N}_2\text{O}] = -\phi_1 d[\text{HN}_3\text{-NO}] - \phi_2 d[\text{HN}_3\text{-(NO)}_2]. \quad (\text{I})$$

The apparent overall yield of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , defined as  $\Phi \equiv -d[\text{N}_2\text{O}]/d[\text{HN}_3\text{-NO}]$  is then expressed as

$$\Phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 d[\text{HN}_3\text{-(NO)}_2]/d[\text{HN}_3\text{-NO}]. \quad (\text{II})$$

The second term  $d[\text{HN}_3\text{-(NO)}_2]/d[\text{HN}_3\text{-NO}]$  is expected to be proportional to  $x_{\text{NO}}$ , which will be confirmed later. Thus, plots of  $\Phi$  vs  $x_{\text{NO}}$  should provide the  $\phi_1$  value as the intercept at  $x_{\text{NO}} \rightarrow 0$ .

In order to evaluate the  $\Phi$  value, the initial rate of the consumption of the 1:1 complex is needed. The complex peak at  $1882\text{ cm}^{-1}$  which is the only peak distinguishable at the present resolution contains both the 1:1 and 2:1 complexes:

$$dC/dt = d[\text{HN}_3\text{-NO}]/dt + d[(\text{HN}_3)_2\text{-NO}]/dt \quad (\text{III})$$

where  $C$  is the amount of the complexes absorbing at  $1882\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Dividing both sides by  $d[\text{N}_2\text{O}]/dt$ ;

$$dC/d[\text{N}_2\text{O}] = \Phi^{-1} + d[(\text{HN}_3)_2\text{-NO}]/d[\text{N}_2\text{O}] \quad (\text{IV})$$



where the second term  $d[(\text{HN}_3)_2\text{-NO}]/d[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$  should be proportional to  $x_{\text{HN}_3}$  in view of the results illustrated in Fig. 6. Hence, by plotting  $dC/d[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$  against  $x_{\text{HN}_3}$ , we obtain the reciprocal  $\Phi$  from the intercept.

In practice, the values of  $dC/dt$  have been evaluated from the absorbance changes at  $1882\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the initial 4 min period. The initial decreasing rates of  $C$  have been divided by the initial production rates of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  ( $2224\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). Plots of the quotients against  $x_{\text{HN}_3}$  at a fixed  $x_{\text{NO}}$  value of 1.0 % , for instance, have indeed proved to be linear, as is shown in Fig. 7. The intercept 0.48 leads to  $\Phi = 2.08$  at  $x_{\text{NO}} = 1.0\%$ .

The values of  $\Phi$  at various  $x_{\text{NO}}$  values have been measured in a similar way. Figure 8 shows the plots of  $\Phi$  vs  $x_{\text{NO}}$ . As has been anticipated in the form of Eq. II, the plots are linear. The intercept gives  $\phi_I = 0.7$ .

On the absorption peaks of  $\text{HN}_3$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $(\text{HN}_3)_{1,2}\text{-NO}$  the proportionalities between the concentrations and the absorbances have been assumed. Relative absorption coefficients are measured to be 1 : 1.15 : 0.225 for  $\text{HN}_3$  ( $2136\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ): $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  ( $2224\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ): $(\text{HN}_3)_{1,2}\text{-NO}$  ( $1882\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). These values are obtained from the IR spectra of the matrices made of the sample gases of known compositions. The absorption coefficient of the complex peak ( $1882\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) has been assumed to be equal to that of isolated NO monomer, because the IR absorption intensity of the N-O stretching would not be perturbed largely by the complexation.

#### 4. Discussion

Since  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  formed by the photolysis of  $\text{HN}_3$  is metastable, there may exist a physical quenching to  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$ :



Ramsthaller-Sommer et al. measured the lifetime of  $\text{NH}(a^1\Delta)$  in argon matrix.<sup>5)</sup> Their reported value of  $\sim 0.7$  s at 12 K is long enough to allow the barrierless reaction (1) to complete without an influence of the quenching process (7).


The photoinduced reaction of the 1:1 complex can be regarded as reaction (1) in argon matrix cages. The value  $0.7 \pm 0.1$  obtained for  $\phi_I$  indicates that  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  arises dominantly from reaction (1a) via the  $\text{HNNO}$  intermediacy. The result is perfectly compatible with the observation of  $\text{HNO}$ , whose production is inevitable as a fate of the H atom formed in reaction (1).

We have already noted the failure in detecting  $\text{NH}_2$ . For the purpose of more quantitative discussion, the infrared intensity of the  $\text{NH}_2$   $\nu_2$  band  $1499\text{ cm}^{-1}$  is needed. Thus, we conducted separate photolysis experiments of  $\text{NH}_3/\text{Ar}$  and  $\text{NH}_3/\text{CO}$  in order to isolate the  $\text{NH}_2$  radical.



A low-pressure mercury discharge lamp with suprasil window provides  $1849\text{ \AA}$  UV light which should efficiently photodecompose  $\text{NH}_3$ . In the case of  $\text{NH}_3/\text{Ar}$ , the  $\text{NH}_2$   $\nu_2$  band at  $1499\text{ cm}^{-1}$  showed only a slight absorption after an irradiation over a period of five hs, probably because of the recombination between the dissociated  $\text{NH}_2$  and the H atom. In the  $\text{NH}_3/\text{CO}$  photolysis, on the other hand, effective productions of  $\text{NH}_2$ ,  $\text{HCO}$ , and  $\text{HCONH}_2$  have been observed.<sup>6)</sup> Assuming that the amount of  $\text{NH}_2$  produced is equal to that of  $\text{NH}_3$  consumed, we have estimated a lower limit of the relative intensity of the  $\text{NH}_2$   $\nu_2$  band to the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$   $\nu_3$  band to be  $I_{\text{NH}_2}/I_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} > 0.1$ . Obviously, this value is underestimated owing to the production of a significant amount of  $\text{HCONH}_2$ . By applying this value to a spectrum for  $\text{HN}_3/\text{NO}/\text{Ar}$ , in which an absorbance ratio  $A_{\text{NH}_2}/A_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$  is found to be less than 0.01, we estimate an upper limit of the production ratio  $[\text{NH}_2]/[\text{N}_2\text{O}]$  to be less than 0.1. Therefore, only less than 10% of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  might be formed via the succession of reaction (3) and (4), if any.

We have also been cautious of the possibility that N<sub>2</sub>O may be formed directly from NO. Although isolated NO monomer cannot be decomposed by irradiation with a low-pressure mercury discharge lamp, the dimer is susceptible to the photodissociation:<sup>7)</sup>




However, in the present experiments, reaction (9) will not participate significantly, since the intense Hg resonance line at 1849 Å has been attenuated to a large extent through the window used in this study. In fact, the initial production rate  $d[\text{N}_2\text{O}]/dt(t=0)$  in the photolysis of NO/Ar (1 / 100) was found to be less than 2 % that in the photolysis of HN<sub>3</sub>/NO/Ar (1 / 1 / 100). Thus, N<sub>2</sub>O formed in the photolysis of HN<sub>3</sub>/NO/Ar has no doubt originated nearly exclusively from the photodecomposition of HN<sub>3</sub>.

Our original aim of the present work was to detect the HNNO intermediate. This was unsuccessful, however. It is supposed that the nascent vibrationally excited HNNO has an extremely short lifetime compared to a period of time required for stabilization by collisions with Ar. Thus, fragmentation will take place prior to stabilization. Also, the photodecomposition might be so fast that the amount of HNNO could not reach the detection limit.

We have assigned a peak at 3554 cm<sup>-1</sup> to the OH radical in the spectrum of a HN<sub>3</sub>/NO/Ar matrix photolyzed. The infrared absorption of OH in argon matrix has not been established yet. There are some discrepancies among the reported values.<sup>8-10)</sup> Acquista et al.<sup>8)</sup> and Suzar et al.<sup>9)</sup> conducted the photolysis of H<sub>2</sub>O at 1218 Å and decomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O by means of electron impact in argon matrix, respectively. Both of them assigned two absorptions near 3452 and 3428 cm<sup>-1</sup> to the OH vibration. On the other hand, Cheng et al.<sup>10)</sup> found a new peak at 3548.2 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which was concluded to be due to the OH radical prepared in solid argon by means of the reactions of atomic H with NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and atomic O. The shift of 21.4

cm<sup>-1</sup> from 3569.6 cm<sup>-1</sup> as the gas-phase fundamental band is within the common range of matrix shift.<sup>11)</sup> They pointed out it possible that the absorptions at 3452 and 3428 cm<sup>-1</sup> previously assigned to OH may be due to the hydroxyl radical in complex with either H<sub>2</sub>O or one Ar atom. Our observation 3554 cm<sup>-1</sup> supports the conclusion by Cheng et al. The difference of 6 cm<sup>-1</sup> between their wavenumber and ours may have been caused by an interaction of OH with N<sub>2</sub> molecules, which is simultaneously formed with OH via reaction (1b). N<sub>2</sub> matrix experiments to isolate OH will be interesting.


The formation of HONO observed in a considerable amount probably stems from the 1:2 complex HN<sub>3</sub>-(NO)<sub>2</sub>. By virtue of weakness of the N-N bonding in (NO)<sub>2</sub>, its photolysis will be apt to form the same intermediate HNNO as does reaction (1).



If HNNO formed in this reaction has still enough excess energy, reaction (1b) will follow to give OH, which may in turn recombine with the other NO molecule to form HONO.



Finally, in addition to the chemical channels (1a) and (1b), a quenching process must be considered.



Hack et al. measured the rate constant of reaction (1c) in the gas phase, to claim that its branching ratio was as large as 0.4.<sup>2)</sup> If so, the reaction of NH(<sup>1</sup>Δ) with NO will inevitably be accompanied by the reaction of NH(<sup>3</sup>Σ<sup>-</sup>) with NO under the

present conditions as well. Since  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  may arise from  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  as well as  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$ , the value of  $\phi_I$  ( $= 0.7$ ) may not directly correspond to the branching ratio of the singlet reaction (1a) alone. This final point is still open to inquiry. Nevertheless, the conclusion that reaction (1a) is the most dominant channel of the reaction between  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  and  $\text{NO}$  will be basically correct.

## 5. Conclusions

Product analyses of the photochemical reactions in  $\text{HN}_3/\text{NO}/\text{Ar}$  matrices at 12 K were conducted to investigate the microscopic mechanism of the reaction of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  with  $\text{NO}$ .  $\text{NH}$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{OH}$ ,  $\text{HONO}$  and  $\text{HNO}$  were identified based on the IR spectra. The absolute yield of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from the photolysis of the 1:1 complex  $\text{HN}_3\text{-NO}$  was found to be  $\phi_I = 0.7 \pm 0.1$ . Also, a considerable amount of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  was confirmed to arise from the 1:2 complex  $\text{HN}_3\text{-(NO)}_2$ . The photolysis of the 2:1 or 2:2 complex  $(\text{HN}_3)_2\text{-(NO)}_{1,2}$  was found not to contribute to the production of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , indicating that  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  fragmented from one of two  $\text{HN}_3$  would react with the other  $\text{HN}_3$  preferentially.

## References

- 1) T. Fueno, M. Fukuda, and K. Yokoyama, *Chem. Phys.*, **124**, 265 (1988).
- 2) W. Hack and K. Rathmann, private communication.
- 3) G. C. Pimentel, S. W. Charles, and K. Rosengren, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **44**, 3029 (1966).
- 4) G. P. Kevopoulos and R. J. Cvetanovic, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **91**, 7572 (1969).
- 5) A. Ramsthaller-Sommer, K. E. Eberhardt, and U. Schurath, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **85**, 3760 (1986).
- 6) D. E. Milligan and M. E. Jacox, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **43**, 4487 (1965).
- 7) J. R. Sodeau and R. Withnall, *J. P. Chem.*, **89**, 4484 (1985).
- 8) N. Acquista, L. J. Schoen, and D. R. Lide, Jr., *J. Chem. Phys.*, **48**, 1534 (1968).
- 9) S. Suzer and L. Andrews, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **88**, 916 (1987).
- 10) B. M. Cheng, Y. P. Lee, and J. F. Ogilvie, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **151**, 109 (1988).
- 11) M. E. Jacox, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **113**, 286 (1985).
- 12) D. E. Milligan and M. E. Jacox, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **41**, 2838 (1964).
- 13) M. E. Jacox and D. E. Milligan, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **48**, 536 (1973).
- 14) L. Andrews and G. L. Johnson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **76**, 2875 (1982).
- 15) W. A. Guillory and C. E. Hunter, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **54**, 598 (1971).

Table 1. Infrared Absorption Frequencies for the Products Formed in the Photolyses of the HN<sub>3</sub>/NO/Ar Matrices.

<u>Frequency</u> <u>cm<sup>-1</sup></u>	Assignment	<u>Lit. value</u> <u>cm<sup>-1</sup></u>	<u>Ref.</u>
3554	OH	3548.2	10
3136	NH	3133	12
2744			
2718	HNO	2717	13
2224	N <sub>2</sub> O	2221	14
1758			
1686	trans-HONO	1690	15
1644			
1630	cis-HONO	1633	15
1609	NO <sub>2</sub>	1610	15
1566	HNO	1563	13
1510			
1503			
1499 <sup>a</sup>	NH <sub>2</sub>	1499	6
1317			
1286	N <sub>2</sub> O	1284.9	14
1215			
856	cis-HONO	850	15
800	trans-HONO	800	15
719			
661			
588	N <sub>2</sub> O	588	14

<sup>a</sup> observed in the photolysis of the HN<sub>3</sub>/Ar matrix




Fig. 1. Schematic drawing of the cryogenic apparatus.

Top right, target alignment for IR measurement.

Bottom right, that for deposition of the sample gases and UV photolyses.






Fig. 2. Absorbance changes for the NO stretching bands of the NO monomer and dimer and for the complexes in the spectra of the  $\text{HN}_3/\text{NO}/\text{Ar}$  = 1 / 0.08~0.8 / 100 matrices. The complex peak shows the same dependence as monomer, unlikely dimer.




Fig. 3. FTIR difference spectrum of the  $\text{HN}_3/\text{NO}/\text{Ar}=0.5/0.5/100$  matrix at 12 K after 1 h photolysis.




Fig. 4. Difference between relative decay curves for the  $\text{HN}_3$  monomer ( $2138 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and dimer ( $2150 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) in the spectrum of  $\text{HN}_3/\text{NO}/\text{Ar} = 0.5 / 0.5 / 100$ . Solid curve is obtained from biexponential fitting. Insert is log plots of the decays. Note that initial slope ratio is about 1:2 (monomer:dimer).




Fig. 5. Comparisons of the OH ( $3554\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) or the NH ( $3136\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) production profile with the  $\text{HN}_3$  monomer and dimer decay profiles. The solid and dashed curves provide decrement in absorbance at the  $\text{HN}_3$  ( $2138\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and  $(\text{HN}_3)_2$  ( $2150\text{ cm}^{-1}$ )  $\nu_2$  band, respectively.  $\text{HN}_3/\text{NO}/\text{Ar} = 1/1/100$ .




Fig. 6. Dependence of the production of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  on the fraction of  $\text{HN}_3$ . Dependence of the monomer:dimer ratio of  $\text{HN}_3$  is also shown.




Fig. 7.  $x_{\text{HN}_3}$  dependence of reciprocal yield,  $-dC/d[N_2O]$ , at a fixed  $x_{\text{NO}}$  value of 1.0 %. The intercept corresponds to the value of  $\Phi^{-1}$ .




Fig. 8.  $[\text{NO}]$  dependence of the yield of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from the photolysis of the 1:1 and 1:2 complexes  $\text{HN}_3\text{-NO}$  and  $\text{HN}_3\text{-(NO)}_2$ . The intercept is corresponding to the absolute yield of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in reaction (1);  $\phi_1$ .

## Chapter 3

### Formations of OH( $X^2\Pi$ , $A^2\Sigma^+$ ) in the Reaction of NH( $^3\Sigma^-$ ) with NO in Incident Shock Waves

Gaseous mixtures of HNCO and NO diluted in Ar were heated by incident shock waves to about 3500 K to investigate the bimolecular reaction of NH( $^3\Sigma^-$ ) with NO. Ultraviolet emissions from the excited NH( $A^3\Pi$ ) and OH( $A^2\Sigma^-$ ) were monitored to determine the rate constants for the ground-state reaction  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO} \longrightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{OH}(X^2\Pi)$ . The branching ratio defined as the rate constant for the formation of OH relative to that for the NH( $^3\Sigma^-$ ) decay was found to be  $0.32 \pm 0.07$  at the shock-wave temperature adopted. An intense spike of chemiluminescence due to OH( $A^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Pi$ ) was observed, a finding which indicates a possible participation of the channel  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO} \longrightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{OH}(A^2\Sigma^+)$  at high temperatures.




## 1. Introduction


We have previously studied the reaction of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  with NO in the gas phase at room temperature.<sup>1)</sup> The results have been in essence (1) that the reaction should proceed through an intermediacy of an adduct radical HNNO and (2) that the subsequent decomposition of HNNO gives rise to  $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$  in prevalence over the channel leading to  $\text{N}_2 + \text{OH}$ . Ab initio configuration-interaction (CI) calculations of the potential energy profiles<sup>1)</sup> rationalized the experimental results.

Gas-phase reaction of  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  with NO is intriguing in connection with the above-mentioned chemistry of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  on one hand and with the issue of the process control for fuel combustions on the other. The reaction has already received interest by several groups of workers,<sup>2-7)</sup> who unanimously claim that the  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  decays essentially at the collision-controlled rate, the rate constant being on the order of  $10^{13} \text{ cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ . However, product identification as the most important phase of chemical kinetics has remained unsettled.

In the present study, we intend to elucidate the kinetic feature of the  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$ -NO system, paying due attention to the time-dependent behavior of products. For this purpose, we have shock-heated the HNCO/NO/Ar mixtures of varying composition to ca 3500 K, to let the  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  entity generated by the thermal decomposition<sup>8)</sup>



react with the coexisting NO. In analogy to the case of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$ , the reaction is expected to proceed in the following manner:



Unfortunately, however,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  if formed by reaction (2a) is liable to be readily decomposed into  $\text{N}_2 + \text{O}$  under the experimental conditions adopted. Thus, monitoring the OH radical to be formed by reaction (2b) will be a central issue of this study.

## 2. Experimental

The conventional incident shock tube described in a previous study <sup>6)</sup> was used. Only the optical arrangement was altered. Time-resolved emission spectra were observed in the spectral region of  $337.0 \pm 3.0$  nm for  $\text{NH}(\text{A}^3\Pi\text{-X}^3\Sigma^-)$  and  $309.1 \pm 3.0$  nm for  $\text{OH}(\text{A}^2\Sigma^+\text{-X}^2\Pi)$ . A Nikon-P250 grating monochromator with 1200 lines/mm and  $F=4.5$  and a Hamamatsu 1P28 photomultiplier were used without lens or concave mirror. Time resolution arising from the observation volume was about 2  $\mu\text{s}$ . The signals were amplified with an electronic rise time  $2.2RC$  less than 1  $\mu\text{s}$  and stored in a digital storage scope (Kawasaki electronica KDS-103). Sample gases were shock-heated to about 3500 K in the pressure range of 210~870 Torr. The compositions  $\text{HNCO}/\text{NO}/\text{Ar}$  of the samples used were 0.021 / 0.595 / 99.4 for the NH measurements and 0.048 / 1.10 / 98.9 and 0.053 / 0.616 / 99.3 for the OH measurements. The OH emission sensitivity was calibrated on the basis of the  $\text{H}_2/\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  experiments under the same conditions.

HNCO was synthesized by the reaction of potassium cyanate KCNO with excess stearic acid at 90 - 110 °C in a vacuum glass line. After removal of water using  $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$  and purification by means of trap-to-trap distillations at -80 °C, HNCO was stored at -196 °C and vaporized prior to use.  $\text{NO}(99.99\%)$ ,  $\text{H}_2(99.999\%)$ ,  $\text{O}_2(99.8\%)$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}(99.9\%)$ (Takachiho Kagaku Inc.) and  $\text{Ar}(99.999\%)$ (Seitetsu Kagaku Inc.) were used without further purification. Gas mixtures diluted in argon were stored in a 6-l pylex bulb for more than one day.

### 3. Results

#### (A) Decay of NH.

The NH emission-time profiles recorded have exhibited a rapid rise within 10 to 20  $\mu\text{s}$  after the arrival of shock and subsequent decay during 40 to 100  $\mu\text{s}$ . From these decay curves we have evaluated the rate constants  $k_2$  of the overall reaction (2), assuming a pseudo first-order decay law in excess of NO. The rate constant was determined to be  $k_2 = (7.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$  at ca. 3500 K.

Figure 1 shows the Arrhenius plots of the reported experimental  $k_2$  values at various temperatures including the value obtained in the present study. A negative temperature dependence is evident. The plotted points are best fitted by the Arrhenius equation,  $k_2 = A \exp(-E_a/RT)$ , with  $A = (7.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$  and  $E_a = -(3.5 \pm 0.3) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The results indicate that the association step (HNNO formation) involving no potential energy barrier should be rate-controlling.

#### (B) Formation of OH

In the OH emission-time profiles, an intense emission was observed during a period of initial 30  $\mu\text{s}$ , as is shown in Fig.2. We attribute this emission to the  $\text{OH}(A^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Pi)$  chemiluminescence, as will be discussed later. After the intense spike, almost steady or slowly increasing emission profiles were observed. These latter emissions are due to  $\text{OH}(A^2\Sigma^+)$  which is in thermal equilibration with  $\text{OH}(X^2\Pi)$ . Thus, the emission intensity of this steady part directly corresponds to the concentration of  $\text{OH}(X^2\Pi)$ .

In order to determine the rate constant  $k_{2b}$ , and hence the branching ratio  $\beta \equiv k_{2b}/k_2$ , we have decided to recourse to the computer simulation technique. Thus, we intend to search for the value of  $k_{2b}$  that will best reproduce the time-concentration profiles of OH observed under the varying experimental condition. To this end, we have invoked several elementary reactions to be considered concurrently at high temperatures. The elementary steps chosen for the simulation

are listed in Table 1, together with pertinent Arrhenius parameters. For reaction (1), we have chosen the most recent kinetic data obtained by Hanson et al.<sup>9)</sup> For reactions (2a) and (2b) of our present interest, we use the rate constants  $(1-\beta)k_2$  and  $\beta k_2$ , respectively, where  $k_2$  is the above-mentioned rate constant for the net decay of NH. Reactions 3 through 6 are those elementary processes which are known to be important in simulating the time-concentration profile of  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  after its generation by reaction (1).<sup>8)</sup> The remaining reactions are all those that are related somehow with the OH radical. Reaction 7 in particular is the key reaction which has turned up to be of importance in the high temperature region above 2300 K.<sup>10)</sup> The rate constants for reactions 8 through 13 were all taken from the literature.<sup>11)</sup>

An example of the curve-fittings by simulation is shown in Fig. 2. As can be seen in Fig. 2, the calculated OH concentration first tends to increase with the decaying NH concentration. The OH concentration observed in this sample run stays at a nearly constant level of  $3 \times 10^{-10}$  mol/cm<sup>3</sup> over the time period of 25 - 125  $\mu\text{s}$ . The curve simulated under the assumption that  $\beta = 1.0$  definitely overestimates the OH concentration. It appears that the best fit of the calculated curve to the observed is attainable when  $\beta$  is assigned a value of 0.28. Note that the OH concentration approaches the experimentally observed steady level even when reaction (2b) has been assumed to make no contribution at all, i.e.,  $\beta = 0$ . This is simply because of the situation that reactions 7 and 12 can make significant contributions in the prolonged time region considered.

Computer simulations as delineated above have been conducted for a total of 9 runs. Results for typical runs are summarized in Table 2, together with the relevant experimental data. The values of  $\beta$  appear to be nearly constant at  $0.32 \pm 0.07$  on the average, the allowance limit attached being the probable error. Taking this branching ratio as granted, the branching ratio for reaction (2a) is estimated to be  $0.68 \pm 0.07$  which is in good agreement with the ratio deduced previously for the case of the reaction of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$ .

## 4. Discussion

### (A) Branching Ratio

The branching ratio depends on the excess energy and temperature. We have calculated the branching ratio at 3500 K on the basis of the RRKM theory. Since the reactions to be taken into account are only (2a) and (2b), it is enough to calculate only the ratio  $k_{2a}/k_{2b}$ . In the basic RRKM theory, the ratio of the specific rate constants is given by

$$\frac{k_{2a}(E)}{k_{2b}(E)} = \frac{N_a(E-E_a)}{N_b(E-E_b)} \quad (I)$$

Here,  $k_{2i}(E)$ ,  $i$  being a or b, is the rate constant at a specific energy  $E$ , which is measured from the potential energy minimum for HNNO;  $N_i(E-E_i)$  is the number of available states for the respective transition states with an energy in excess over  $E_i$ , and  $E_i$  is the barrier height for reaction (2i). In order to obtain the ratio  $k_{2a}/k_{2b}$  Eq.I need be integrated over the energy distribution. We assume that chemically activated HNNO upon its formation by the association of NH with NO would undergo fragmentation, before collisional stabilization affects the internal energy distribution. Thus, we may write approximately,<sup>12)</sup>

$$\frac{k_{2a}}{k_{2b}} = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} \frac{N_a(E-E_a)}{N_b(E-E_b)} F(E) dE \quad (II)$$

where  $E_0$  is the reactant potential energy level and where  $F(E)$  is the energy distribution function:

$$F(E) = \frac{N(E-E_0) \exp(-E/kT)}{\int_0^{\infty} N(E-E_0) \exp(-E/kT) dE} \quad (III)$$

with  $N(E)$  as the number of available states for HNNO having energy in excess over  $E_0$ .

In calculating the ratio  $k_{2a}/k_{2b}$  according to Eq. II, the energy humps  $E_0$ ,  $E_a$  and  $E_b$  were all taken from the results of our previous CI calculations (Fig. 3). Thus, they are 252, 201 and 214 kJ/mol, respectively. The values of  $N$ ,  $N_a$  and  $N_b$  were all evaluated by the Whitten-Rabinovitch approximation,<sup>13)</sup> using the fundamental vibrational frequencies obtained from the ab initio SCF calculations. At 3500 K,  $k_{2a}/k_{2b}$  has come out to be 3.2. It follows that  $\beta = 0.24$ , in reasonable agreement with the experimental value of 0.32. The small discrepancy between the calculated and observed values may be due to the uncertainty attached to the calculated potential energies of the transition states as well as the dynamically preferential formation of  $\text{OH}(X^2\Pi) + \text{N}_2$ , i.e. the non-statistical behavior of HNNO. Either way, it is confirmed that a sizable amount of OH can arise from reaction (2), although it may not innately be the main product. Further, we have obtained  $\beta = 0.31$  and  $0.19$  for  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{NO}$  and  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO}$ , respectively, both at 300 K. The former value is in good accord with our previous results of the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  quantum yield measurements [ $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} \equiv 1 - \beta = 0.7$ ].<sup>1)</sup>

Incidentally, the above discussions presume that the HNNO adduct to be formed from  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO}$  be in its ground electronic state ( $X^2A_1$ ), just as in the case of the  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{NO}$  system. The presumption will be acceptable in view of the possibility of an effective "conical" crossing between the two doublet surfaces of the interacting  $\text{HN} \cdots \text{NO}$  system.

## (B) OH Chemiluminescence


The initial spike in the OH emission profiles is attributed to the  $\text{OH}(A^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Pi)$  chemiluminescence on the basis of the following observations. First, the emission was observed in the absorption experiments as well. That is, in order to monitor the OH concentration, we applied also the absorption technique using a He- $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  microwave-discharge lamp as a light source of the OH absorption.

Obtained profiles were of course quite different from the emission profiles. However, the initial spike was still an intense emission in contrast to the subsequent steady part which was observed as absorption. Second, we have examined a wavelength-dependence of the peak height of the spike. The result was that a maximum was found near 308 nm, which is consistent with the OH(A-X) band. Third, when temperature was raised from 3500 K to 4100 K, the peak height did not increase correspondingly as did the subsequent steady part. Fourth, when N<sub>2</sub>O/H<sub>2</sub>/Ar gas mixtures were shock-heated, a similar intense spike was observed. In addition, when O<sub>2</sub> or H<sub>2</sub>O, instead of NO, was added to the HNCO/Ar gas mixtures, no emission spike was observed. These observations imply that the initial spike arises from both the reaction NH + NO and the reaction N<sub>2</sub>O + H. The latter may occur as follows:



It should be noted that the initial step of reaction (15) is nothing but the reverse process of the unimolecular step of reaction (2a).

The formation of OH(A<sup>2</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>) is formally represented as



The branching ratio of reaction (2c) has been estimated from the comparison of the chemiluminescence intensity with the thermal emission intensity. We assume that the relative intensity is equal to the rate of the OH(A<sup>2</sup>Σ<sup>+</sup>) formation through reaction (2c) relative to that through the excitation of OH(X<sup>2</sup>Π) on collisions with Ar:

$$\frac{I_{\text{chem.}}}{I_{\text{therm.}}} = \frac{k_{2c}[\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)][\text{NO}]}{k_{\text{ex}}[\text{OH}(X^2\Pi)][\text{Ar}]} \quad (\text{IV})$$

Here,  $k_{\text{ex}}$  denotes the rate constant for the collisional excitation, which may be assumed to be equal to  $k_{\text{quench}} K_{\text{ex}}$ , in which  $k_{\text{quench}}$  is the quenching rate constant<sup>14)</sup> and  $K_{\text{ex}}$  is the equilibrium constant between  $\text{OH}(X^2\Pi)$  and  $\text{OH}(A^2\Sigma^+)$ . As a result, we have estimated  $k_{2c} \leq 7 \times 10^{10} \text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ . The branching ratio for reaction (2c) is thus less than 0.01. Considering that reaction (2c) is only slightly exothermic, the reaction is expected to have a significantly high barrier.

Hoffmann et al. have already reported on the same chemiluminescence arising from the reaction of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  with the H atom having a kinetic energy of ca. 2.5 eV under bulk conditions (single collision and arrested-relaxation).<sup>15)</sup> At lower H-atom kinetic energies (ca. 1.8 eV), however, there was no chemiluminescence. Since the  $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$  system lies about 1.5 eV below the  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO}$  system, the threshold energy for the production of  $\text{OH}(A^2\Sigma^+) + \text{N}_2$  from  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO}$  should lie 0.3 to 1.0 eV above the energy level for  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO}$ .

Previous ab initio MO calculations<sup>1)</sup> have revealed that the ground state  $\text{HNNO}(1^2A')$  surface is correlated to  $\text{OH}(X^2\Pi) + \text{N}_2$  adiabatically. Therefore, it is most likely that for the first excited state  $\text{HNNO}(2^2A')$  an adiabatic potential energy surface correlates to  $\text{OH}(A^2\Sigma^+) + \text{N}_2$ . The virtual excitation energy of the  $\text{HNNO}(2^2A')$  at the equilibrium geometry of  $\text{cis-HNNO}(1^2A')$  has been calculated by MRD-CI method to be 105 kJ/mol, as has been shown in Fig.3. Geometry optimization and MRD-CI (two roots) calculations were carried out using the 4-31G\*\* basis set. This excitation energy seems to be low enough for the internal conversion from  $1^2A'$  to  $2^2A'$  to occur. Additionally, in the  $1^2A'$  state, the odd electron is localized on the oxygen in-plane p-orbital directing to the hydrogen atom side, whereas the orbital is almost doubly occupied in the  $2^2A'$  state. These features lead us to a speculation that the 1,3 hydrogen migration occurs in the manner of a hydrogen atom abstraction by the oxygen atom in the  $1^2A'$  state, while



in the  $2^2A'$  state this will proceed by way of a proton transfer onto the lone pair of oxygen. For the purpose of clearer discussion on the mechanism of the formation of  $OH(A^2\Sigma^+)$ , ab initio MCSCF calculations on the excited state surface are required.

## 5. Conclusions

From the time-concentration profiles of the OH radicals formed in the shock-heated H<sub>2</sub>CO/NO/Ar mixtures, the branching ratio of the reaction,  $NH(^3\Sigma^-) + NO \longrightarrow OH(X^2\Pi) + N_2$ , was determined to be  $0.32 \pm 0.07$ . The experimental result was rationalized by the RRKM treatments of the product-determining step.

A new chemiluminescent reaction;  $NH(^3\Sigma^-) + NO \longrightarrow OH(A^2\Sigma^+) + N_2$  was found, although it is a minor channel.

## References

- 1) T. Fueno, M. Fukuda, and K. Yokoyama, *Chem. Phys.*, **124**, 265 (1988).
- 2) J. A. Harrison, A. R. Whyte, and L. F. Phillips, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **129**, 346 (1985).
- 3) I. Hansen, K. Hoinghaus, C. Zetzsch, and F. Stuhl, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **42**, 370 (1976)
- 4) S. Gordon, W. Mulac, and P. Nangia, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **75**, 2087 (1971).
- 5) J. W. Cox, H. H. Nelson, and J. R. McDonald, *Chem. Phys.*, **96**, 175 (1985).
- 6) A. M. Dean, M. S. Chou, and D. Stern, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, **16**, 633 (1984).
- 7) O. Kondo, Doctoral Dissertation, Osaka University (1982).
- 8) O. Kajimoto, O. Kondo, K. Okada, J. Fujikane, and T. Fueno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **58**, 3469 (1985).
- 9) J. D. Mertens, A. Y. Chang, R. K. Hanson, and C. T. Bowman, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, **21**, 1049 (1989).
- 10) K. Yokoyama and T. Fueno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, to be published.
- 11) F. Westley, "Table of Recommended Rate Constants for Chemical Reactions Occurring in Combustion," National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (1980).
- 12) W. N. Olmstead and J. I. Brauman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 4219 (1977).
- 13) G. Z. Whitten and B. S. Rabinovitch, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **38**, 2466 (1963).
- 14) K. H. Becker, D. Haaks, and Tatarczyk, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **25**, 564 (1974).
- 15) G. Hoffmann, D. Oh, and C. Wittig, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2*, **85**, 1141 (1989).
- 16) M. W. Chase, Jr., C. A. Davies, J. R. Downey, Jr., D. J. Frurip, R. A. McDonald, and A. N. Syverud, "JANAF thermochemical Tables," 3rd ed, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (1985).

Table 1. Elementary Reactions Used for the Simulations of the Time-Concentration Profiles of the OH radical.

No.	Reaction a)	$\log A$ $\text{cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$	n	$E_a$ $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	ref.
1	$\text{HNCO} + \text{Ar} \longrightarrow \text{NH} + \text{CO} + \text{Ar}$	35.51	-5.11	460	8
2a	$\text{NH} + \text{NO} \longrightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$	$(1-\beta)k_2$	0	0	this study
2b	$\text{NH} + \text{NO} \longrightarrow \text{OH} + \text{N}_2$	$\beta k_2$	0	0	this study
3	$\text{NH} + \text{NH} \longrightarrow \text{N}_2 + 2\text{H}$	13.65	0	0	8
4	$\text{NH} + \text{Ar} \longrightarrow \text{N} + \text{H} + \text{Ar}$	14.42	0	316	8
5	$\text{H} + \text{HNCO} \longrightarrow \text{NH}_2 + \text{CO}$	14.04	0	223	8
6	$\text{NH}_2 + \text{Ar} \longrightarrow \text{NH} + \text{H} + \text{Ar}$	23.50	-2.0	382	9
7	$\text{NH} + \text{CO} \longrightarrow \text{CN} + \text{OH}$	13.00	0	0	10
8	$\text{OH} + \text{H} \longrightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{O}$	9.90	1	29	11
		10.26 b)	1 b)	37 b)	11
9	$\text{OH} + \text{O} \longrightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}$	13.40	0	0	11
		14.34 b)	0 b)	70.3 b)	11
10	$\text{OH} + \text{H}_2 \longrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}$	13.34	0	21.7	11
11	$\text{OH} + \text{Ar} \longrightarrow \text{O} + \text{H} + \text{Ar}$	18.90	-1	439	11
12	$\text{NO} + \text{H} \longrightarrow \text{OH} + \text{N}$	12.40	0.5	201	11
13	$\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{Ar} \longrightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{O} + \text{Ar}$	14.70	0	243	11

a) The rate constant  $k = AT^n \exp(-E_a/RT) \text{ cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ .

b) For the reverse processes.

Table 2. Run Conditions and the Branching Ratio  $\beta$  for the Reaction  
 $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{NO} \longrightarrow \text{OH} + \text{N}_2$ .

No.	[Ar] 10 <sup>-6</sup> mol cm <sup>-3</sup>	[HNCO] <sub>0</sub> 10 <sup>-9</sup> mol cm <sup>-3</sup>	[NO] <sub>0</sub>	P <sub>2</sub> Torr	T <sub>2</sub> K	$\rho_{21}$	$\beta$
1	1.26	0.61	13.9	279	3539	3.69	0.29
2	1.12	0.59	6.9	233	3355	3.67	0.25
3	1.79	0.95	11.0	380	3404	3.68	0.29
4	1.80	0.95	11.1	359	3207	3.66	0.38
5	3.73	1.98	23.0	846	3637	3.70	0.28
6	4.05	2.15	25.0	871	3444	3.68	0.44




Fig. 1. Arrhenius plots of  $k_2$ . a, Harrison et al.<sup>2)</sup>; b, Hansen et al.<sup>3)</sup>; c, Cox et al.<sup>5)</sup>; d, Gordon et al.<sup>4)</sup>; e, Dean et al.<sup>6)</sup>; f, Kondo <sup>7)</sup>; g, the present work.




Fig. 2. Comparisons of the computed OH concentration profiles (----) with the observed (—).  $T_2 = 3637 \text{ K}$ ,  $P_2 = 846 \text{ Torr}$ ,  $\text{HNCO/NO/Ar} = 0.053 / 0.613 / 99.33$ .




Fig. 3. Potential energy profiles calculated by the MRD-CI(4-31G\*\*//4-31G\*\*) procedure.<sup>1)</sup> The energy gaps shown are in units of kJ/mol. The values

given in brackets are those obtained from the relevant thermochemical data.<sup>16)</sup> TS-a and TS-b denote the transition states located for the

unimolecular steps of reactions (2a) and (2b), respectively.

## Chapter 4

### Electronic Structure and the Unimolecular Reaction Pathways of HNOO

Electronic structure and possible unimolecular reaction paths of a linear four-atom molecule HNOO to be formed by the addition of  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  toward  $\text{O}_2(^3\Sigma_g^-)$  are investigated by the SCF and MRD-CI calculations employing the 6-31G\*\* basis functions. Geometries and excitation energies of various one-electron excited states, both singlet and triplet, are examined. The isomerization paths of the ground-state HNOO( $^1A_1$ ) are traced, by a multi-configuration (MC) SCF procedure. It has proved that the energetically most favorable is the 1,3-hydrogen migration to give hydroperoxynitrene  $\text{NOOH}(^1A_1)$  with the activation barrier of 96 kJ/mol. The nitrene should be extremely unstable; it is liable to be readily decomposed to  $\text{NO} + \text{OH}$  with virtually no activation barrier.



## 1. Introduction

In these past years, we have concerned ourselves with the investigations of the reactions of the imino radical NH theoretically <sup>1)</sup> as well as experimentally. The reaction of NH with O<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase is of interest along this line and seems to be of importance as well in relation to the chemistry of fuel combustions. The reaction has already received interest of experimental kineticists. Thus, Zetzsch and Hansen were the first group of workers, who measured the rate of the reaction between NH(<sup>3</sup>Σ<sup>-</sup>) and O<sub>2</sub>(<sup>3</sup>Σ<sub>g</sub><sup>-</sup>).<sup>2)</sup> The reaction has long been known to give NO and OH simultaneously during the decay of NH(<sup>3</sup>Σ<sup>-</sup>).<sup>3)</sup> Recently, Hack et al. traced the same reaction by monitoring the laser-induced fluorescence of OH as well as NH(<sup>3</sup>Σ<sup>-</sup>), to conclude that the activation energy is 6.4 kJ/mol in the temperature range 286-543 K.<sup>4)</sup>

Naively, the reaction is expected to involve an initial association.



Little is known, however, as to the structural characteristics of HNOO, except for the possibility that there can exist various conformations for its diradical form.<sup>5)</sup> Still less has been noted regarding the dynamical path of its isomerization / fragmentation process.



In the present work, we examine reactions (1) and (2) by ab initio computations. We will first optimize geometries of the various diradical (excited) states of HNOO as well as the singlet ground state, and estimate the electronic excitation energies. The paths of isomerization reactions of the

ground-state HNOO will then be traced by the multi-configuration (MC) SCF procedure. The activation barrier heights are calculated by the multi-reference double-excitation (MRD) configuration-interaction (CI) method. In the light of the results of theoretical calculations, possible pathways for reaction (2) will be discussed.

## 2. Method of calculation

Geometry optimizations of HNOO diradicals were carried out by the UHF SCF procedure using the Gaussian 82 program package.<sup>6)</sup> For the ground state of HNOO ( $^1A_1$ ) in particular, multi-configuration (MC) SCF method has been adopted, since its electronic structure is basically of the closed-shell type and yet involves contributions of doubly-excited configurations to an unignorable extent. In practice, we used for the sake of convenience the limited-configuration formalism in which six electrons are accommodated in six frontier orbitals with the remaining electrons are frozen in the low-lying molecular orbitals. Paths of the isomerization of HNOO ( $^1A_1$ ) were traced by the same MC SCF procedure. The basis sets employed are throughout the conventional 6-31G functions <sup>7)</sup> augmented with one set each of d or p polarization functions for every atom involved.

MRD-CI calculations were performed for all the stationary geometries located.<sup>8,9)</sup> The TABLE MRD-CI program furnished by Buenker was used. The configuration-selection and extrapolation routines were followed.<sup>10)</sup> The extrapolated CI energies were all subjected to the Langhoff-Davidson corrections,<sup>11)</sup> to estimate the full CI limit values. Since the corrected CI energies are relatively insensitive to the number of the reference configurations chosen, we adopt them as the ultimate CI energies and will denote them as  $E_{CI}$ . Other technical details of the MRD-CI calculations carried out here are

exactly the same as those described in our previous work on S<sub>2</sub>O<sup>12)</sup> and CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH.<sup>1e)</sup>

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Ground singlet state

The HNOO molecule in its ground electronic state is a planar four- $\pi$ -electron system ( $A_1$ ). Preliminary MRD-CI calculations have revealed that it involves the contribution (squared weight  $|c_i|^2$ ) of the ground configuration

$$X^1A_1 ; (1a')^2 \dots (10a')^2 (1a'')^2 (2a'')^2$$

amounting only to 0.80. The contribution of the configuration arising from the two-electron transition  $(2a'')^2 \rightarrow (3a'')^2$  is as large as 0.09. The state is thus regarded as a diradicaloid as in the case of O<sub>3</sub> and hence cannot be described properly by the conventional RHF formalism.

Under such circumstances, the ground  $^1A_1$  state was subjected to the limited-space (6-electron / 6-orbital) MC SCF calculations to optimize its geometry. The structures, cis and trans, thus optimized are shown in Fig. 1. Results of MRD-CI calculations at these geometries are included in Fig. 1. Both of them may be regarded as zwitter-ionic O-oxides with a certain extent of biradical character. The cis isomer is predicted to be 1.6 kJ/mol more stable than the trans counterpart. Incidentally, the binding energy for the cis isomer against its decomposition into NH( $^3\Sigma^-$ ) + O<sub>2</sub>( $^3\Sigma_g^-$ ), is calculated to be 20 kJ/mol.

#### 3.2. Diradical (excited) states

The low-lying excited states are all diradical in character. The most of these is a diradical such that the two odd electrons are localized on the  $p\pi$

orbitals of the nitrogen and the oxygen atom, which may be termed the  $\pi\pi$  state.<sup>5)</sup> Above the  $\pi\pi$  state, those diradical states in which either one p $\pi$  orbital of the N and O atom is occupied by an electron, leaving the other electron in an in-plane n orbital of N or O. The states having one pi-electron localized on the N and O atoms will be referred to as the  $\pi\sigma$  and  $\sigma\pi$  states, respectively.<sup>5)</sup> The state in which both p $\pi$  orbitals are occupied by a pair of electrons so that both the in-plane n orbitals constitute the radical sites is also conceivable. This last state may be referred to the  $\sigma\sigma$  state.<sup>5)</sup> Taking the ground configuration as the standard, the principal configurations of these various states are represented as follows;

$$\pi\pi (A') ; (2a'') \rightarrow (3a'')$$

$$\pi\sigma (A'') ; (10a') \rightarrow (3a'')$$

$$\sigma\pi (A'') ; (9a') (2a'') \rightarrow (3a'')^2$$

$$\sigma\sigma (A') ; (9a') (10a') \rightarrow (3a'')^2$$

Note that the  $\pi\pi$  state is a four- $\pi$ -electron ( $4\pi$ ) system, while both the  $\pi\sigma$  and  $\sigma\pi$  have five  $\pi$ -electrons ( $5\pi$ ). By the same token, the  $\sigma\sigma$  state may be called a six- $\pi$ -electron ( $6\pi$ ) system.


Each diradical state can be either singlet or triplet. Besides, cis and trans configurations are possible for every state. Geometries of all these sixteen diradicals were optimized by the UHF SCF procedure. They were all confirmed to be planar in structure. The geometrical parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2 for the cis and trans configurations, respectively.

The total energies of the various diradical states were calculated by the MRD-CI procedure. The results expressed by taking the energy of the ground-state cis-HNOO as the standard are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Shown in Fig. 2 are the energy levels of these states. The levels for various combinations of the  $\Sigma$  and  $\Delta$  states of the  $NH + O_2$  system are also shown to illustrate the

state correlations. The lowest excited state is apparently the triplet  $\pi\pi$  state ( $^3A'$ ) of the cis isomer. Further, for both the cis and trans isomers, the  $\pi\sigma$  states are no doubt more stable by ca. 2 eV than the  $\sigma\pi$  states. However, it does not seem possible to make any generalization as to the relative stabilities between the singlet vs triplet excited states. Nor is it possible to conclude that the cis form of a given electronic state is generally more stable than the corresponding trans form.


### 3.3. Unimolecular reaction paths

Binkley et al.<sup>13)</sup> considered theoretically the unimolecular decomposition pathway involving the ring closure of HNOO (1) followed by the O-O bond cleavage to give a symmetric ( $C_{2v}$ ) HNO<sub>2</sub>, hydrogen nitryl (2), a yet never identified entity. 2 would then suffer the isomerization into nitrous acid (3) as a direct precursor of NO and OH which are to be formed in equal amounts.



The reaction sequence broached resembles the notable mechanism by which the methylene peroxide CH<sub>2</sub>OO is believed to isomerize into formic acid HCOOH.<sup>14)</sup>

We here assume that another pathway of decomposition involving the 1,3-hydrogen migration giving rise to hydroperoxynitrene (4) :



The singlet nitrene **4**, which has hitherto never been identified either, could also be a direct precursor of an equimolar mixture of NO and OH.

The minimum-energy path of reaction (3) as well as reaction (4) have been traced by the 6-electron / 6-orbital MC SCF procedure. The optimal geometries of the transition states located, TS1 and TS2, respectively for the initial ring closure in reaction (3) and the 1,3-hydrogen migration (4) are illustrated in Fig. 3, together with those for the resulting ring isomer and singlet nitrene. It should be noted that TS1 was found to be connected with the trans form of the reactant HNOO, whereas TS, with the cis structure by necessity.

The TS geometries were then dealt with by the MRD-CI procedure. The activation barrier heights were calculated to be 159 and 96 kJ/mol for TS1 and TS2, respectively. Clearly, the 1,3-hydrogen migration (4) is energetically more favorable than the ring closure in reaction (3).

The subsequent isomerization / fragmentation processes were treated likewise. The overall potential energy profiles obtained are illustrated in Fig. 4. The ring-opening reaction of the cyclic intermediate and the O-O bond cleavage of the nitrene (**4**) have both proved to have extremely low barriers (less than 10 kJ/mol). The transition state for the isomerization process **2** → **3** was found to be located ca. 90 kJ/mol below the ring entity. Thus, both the isomerization reactions related to TS1 and TS2 are the rate-determining step for the unimolecular decompositions of **1** giving NO + OH as ultimate products.

#### 4. Discussion

As has already been mentioned, the binding energy of cis-HNOO in the ground states 20 kJ/mol. Therefore, the more favorable pathway of the HNOO decomposition involving the intermediary of **4** is predicted to have an effective activation barrier height of 76 kJ/mol against the initial binary system  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{O}_2(^3\Sigma_g^-)$ . The net activation energy corrected for the vibrational zero-point energy comes out to be 70 kJ/mol. This is much greater than the experimental activation energy of 6.4 kJ/mol reported by Hack et al.<sup>4)</sup> for the reaction :



Interestingly enough, the temperature dependence of the rate constants for reaction (5) observed in the high temperature region (~3500 K) corresponds to an empirical activation energy amounting to ca. 60 kJ/mol, in better agreement with the present result of theoretical calculations. Most likely, the non-Arrhenius character of the rate constants for reaction (5) is a consequence of the tunneling effect operative in the region of TS2.

The lowest triplet state of HNOO( $a^3A'$ ) will be formed by the addition of  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  to  $\text{O}_2(^1\Delta)$  with an activation barrier of 56 kJ/mol. With an additional energy of 9 kJ/mol, it will be collapsed into  $\text{HNO} + \text{O}$  :



Also, the reaction between  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  and  $\text{O}_2(^1\Delta)$  will be a concerted cycloaddition to give the cyclic  $\text{HNO}_2$ . It is to be readily isomerized into nitrous acid (**5**) via hydrogen nitril (**4**), an intriguing planar symmetric isomer of **5**.

## 5. Conclusions

$\text{HNOO}(^1\text{A}_1)$  to be formed by the association between  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  and  $\text{O}_2(^3\Sigma_g^-)$  is a zwitter-ionic diradicaloid with a binding energy of only 20 kJ/mol. The exchange reaction  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{O}_2(^3\Sigma_g^-) \longrightarrow \text{NO} + \text{OH}$  is predicted to proceed through the rate-determining isomerization of  $\text{HNOO}(^1\text{A}_1)$  into hydroperoxynitrene. The net activation barrier height was calculated to be 76 kJ/mol.



## References

- 1) (a) T. Fueno, V. Bonacic-Koutecky, and J. Koutecky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **105**, 5547 (1983)  
(b) T. Fueno, O. Kajimoto, and V. Bonacic-Koutecky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **106**, 406 (1984)  
(c) T. Fueno, M. Fukuda, and K. Yokoyama, *Chem. Phys.*, **124**, 265 (1988)  
(d) T. Fueno, *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)*, **170**, 143 (1988)  
(e) T. Fueno, K. Yamaguchi, and O. Kondo, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **63**, 901 (1990)
- 2) C. Zetzsch and I. Hansen, *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.*, **82**, 830 (1978)
- 3) G. M. Meaburn and S. Gordon, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **72**, 1592 (1968)
- 4) W. Hack, H. Kurzke and H. Gg. Wagner, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2*, **81**, 949 (1985)
- 5) K. Yamaguchi, S. Yabushita, and T. Fueno, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **71**, 2321 (1979)
- 6) J. S. Binkley, E. J. Frisch, D. J. DeFrees, K. Raghavachari, D. J. DeFrees, H. B. Schlegel, R. A. Whiteside, E. M. Fluder, R. Seeger, J. A. Pople, J. H. Yates and K. K. Sunil, GAUSSIAN 82, Carnegie-Mellon University, IMS version.
- 7) W. J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield, and J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **56**, 2257 (1972);  
P. C. Hariharan and J. A. Pople, *Theor. Chim. Acta (Berl.)*, **28**, 213 (1973)
- 8) R. J. Buenker, "Studies in Physical and Theoretical Chemistry", R. Carbo, ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam (1982), Vol. 21, pp. 17-34
- 9) R. J. Buenker, and R. A. Phillips, *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)*, **123**, 291 (1985)
- 10) R. J. Buenker and S. D. Peyerimhoff, *Theor. Chim. Acta (Berl.)*, **35**, 33 (1974); **39**, 217 (1975)
- 11) S. R. Langhoff and E. A. Davidson, *Int. J. Quant. Chem.*, **8**, 61 (1974)

- 12) T. Fueno and R. J. Buenker, *Theor. Chim. Acta (Berl.)*, **73**, 123 (1988)
- 13) C. F. Melius and J. B. Binkley, *ACS Symp. Ser.* , **249** (1984); cited in ref. 4
- 14) W. R. Wadt and W. A. Goddard III, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **97**, 3004 (1975)
- 15) K. Yokoyama, H. Kitaike, and T. Fueno, to be published.

Table 1. Optimized Geometries and the Relative Energies  
of the Diradical States for HNOO(cis)

	Singlet				Triplet			
	$^1\pi\pi$ (4 $\pi$ )	$^1\pi\sigma$ (5 $\pi$ )	$^1\sigma\pi$ (5 $\pi$ )	$^1\sigma\sigma$ (6 $\pi$ )	$^3\pi\pi$ (4 $\pi$ )	$^3\pi\sigma$ (5 $\pi$ )	$^3\sigma\pi$ (5 $\pi$ )	$^3\sigma\sigma$ (6 $\pi$ )
Geometry <sup>a)</sup>								
$R(\text{N-O}) / \text{\AA}$	1.339	1.333	1.374	1.396	1.365	1.338	1.368	1.396 <sup>c)</sup>
$R(\text{O-O}) / \text{\AA}$	1.290	1.386	1.273	1.365	1.317	1.374	1.271	1.365 <sup>c)</sup>
$R(\text{H-N}) / \text{\AA}$	1.014	1.013	0.999	0.999	1.012	1.014	1.000	0.999 <sup>c)</sup>
$\angle\text{NOO} / ^\circ$	114.7	110.3	108.6	98.2	111.4	110.2	108.7	98.2 <sup>c)</sup>
$\angle\text{HNO} / ^\circ$	105.6	107.0	127.7	129.7	104.0	106.8	127.0	129.7 <sup>c)</sup>
Relative energy <sup>b)</sup>								
$\Delta E_{\text{CI}} / \text{eV}$	4.69	1.61	3.81	4.45	1.43	1.69	3.64	5.22

a)  $1 \text{\AA} = 0.1 \text{ nm}$

b) Relative to cis-HNOO( $X^1A'$ ), for which  $E_{\text{CI}} = -205.03443$  hartree

c) No stable UHF SCF minimum exists. The geometry parameters for  $^1\sigma\sigma$  are assumed for CI calculations.

Table 2. Optimized Geometries and the Relative Energies  
of the Diradical States for HNOO(trans)

	Singlet				Triplet			
	$^1\pi\pi$ (4 $\pi$ )	$^1\pi\sigma$ (5 $\pi$ )	$^1\sigma\pi$ (5 $\pi$ )	$^1\sigma\sigma$ (6 $\pi$ )	$^3\pi\pi$ (4 $\pi$ )	$^3\pi\sigma$ (5 $\pi$ )	$^3\sigma\pi$ (5 $\pi$ )	$^3\sigma\sigma$ (6 $\pi$ )
Geometry a)								
$R(\text{N-O}) / \text{\AA}$	1.343	1.336	1.374	1.390	1.365	1.340	1.366	1.377
$R(\text{O-O}) / \text{\AA}$	1.290	1.378	1.274	1.372	1.315	1.367	1.268	1.380
$R(\text{H-N}) / \text{\AA}$	1.012	1.011	0.997	0.997	1.014	1.011	0.997	0.995
$\angle\text{NOO} / ^\circ$	111.4	106.7	111.9	105.6	108.1	106.6	113.4	104.1
$\angle\text{HNO} / ^\circ$	100.4	100.3	125.0	124.4	100.4	100.4	123.9	125.4
Relative energy b)								
$\Delta E_{\text{CI}} / \text{eV}$	5.05	1.48	3.48	5.19	1.64	1.60	3.41	4.99

a)  $1\text{\AA} = 0.1 \text{ nm}$


b) Relative to cis-HNOO( $X^1A'$ ), for which  $E_{\text{CI}} = -205.03443$  hartree

HNOO( $X^1A'$ )

Geometry:

Limited-active-space(MC)-SCF/6-31G\*\*

(2-configuration SCF)



$E_{MC-SCF} = -204.55251$	$-204.55170$
---------------------------	--------------

Energy(CI):

MRD-CI/6-31G\*\*//MC-SCF/6-31G\*\*

$T = 20 \mu h(9392/439806) \quad 20 \mu h(9227/439806)$

$E_{CI, T \rightarrow 0} = -205.00525$	$-205.00436$
--	--------------

$E_{full-CI} = -205.03443$	$-205.03381$
----------------------------	--------------

Configurations:

	$ c_i ^2$	$ c_i ^2$
Closed <sup>a</sup>	0.8083	0.7993
$(2a'') \rightarrow (3a'')$	0.0852	0.0942
$(8a')(1a'') \rightarrow (12a')(3a'')$	0.0062	0.0059

<sup>a</sup> Closed:  $(1a')^2 \dots (10a')^2 (1a'')^2 (2a'')^2$

Fig. 1 Geometries of cis- and trans-HNOO optimized by an MC SCF procedure.





Fig. 2 Adiabatic excitation energies of singlet and triplet HNOO diradicals.  $\text{cis-HNOO}(X^1A_1)$  is taken as the standard.

## Ring closure

LAS(MC)-SCF/6-31G\*\*



## 1,3-Hydrogen migration




Fig. 3 Optimized geometries for the transition states and products of the ring closure in reaction (3) and the 1,3-hydrogen migration reaction (4) of HNOO(X<sup>1</sup>A<sub>1</sub>).




Fig. 4 Potential energy profiles for the  $\text{NH} + \text{O}_2$  system.




## Chapter 5

### Kinetics and Mechanism of the Formation of the CN Radical in the Thermal Decomposition of Isocyanic Acid in Incident Shock Waves

Isocyanic acid HNCO diluted in argon was thermally decomposed behind incident shock waves in a temperature range of 2300 - 3600 K. The course of the cyano radical formation was traced by monitoring the emission of CN ( $B^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$ ) at  $388 \pm 3$  nm. From the CN concentration-time profiles, two types of reaction mechanisms for the CN production were inferred. One is a direct decomposition  $\text{HNCO} + \text{Ar} \longrightarrow \text{CN} + \text{OH} + \text{Ar}$  (1c) while the other is a subsequent bimolecular reaction  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO} \longrightarrow \text{CN} + \text{OH}$  (2). From the initial slopes of the CN concentration-time profiles the rate constant of reaction (1c) was determined to be  $k_{1c} = 10^{12.97 \pm 0.73} \exp[-(266 \pm 40) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/RT] \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . From comparisons of the subsequent CN growth curves observed with those computed with a 17-reaction mechanism, the rate constant of reaction (2) was estimated to be  $k_2 = 1.0 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  with little temperature dependence. Both reactions appear to involve the isomerization from HNCO to HOCN or HONC. In the lower temperature range the isomerization may compete with the main decomposition channel into  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$ .

## 1. Introduction

Decomposition of isocyanic acid HNCO has been investigated photolytically or thermally by several groups.<sup>1-7)</sup> In the photolysis at 193 nm the predominant products are  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{CO}$ ,<sup>1-4)</sup> whereas the pyrolysis gives rise to  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$ ,<sup>5-7)</sup> exclusively. Small amounts of NCO and H detected in shock tube experiments indicate a minor contribution of reaction (1b) to the pyrolysis of HNCO. Wu et al. estimated the contribution to be only 5 % at 2100 - 2500 K.<sup>7)</sup> Judging from the relatively low  $\Delta H$  value,<sup>8)</sup> the third channel which produces CN and OH appears to be worth exploring.



In this study, we will present some evidence for the occurrence of reaction (1c). Unlike reactions (1a) and (1b), it cannot be a single-step reaction. The most probable will be a two-step reaction involving an initial isomerization as follows:




## 2. Experimental

The incident shock tube used was a conventional one that was used in a previous study.<sup>5)</sup> Only the detection system will be described here. The emission light was detected through a quartz window (0.6 mm width slit), a grating monochromator (Nikon P250) and a photomultiplier (Hamamatsu 1P28). The observed spectral range was  $388 \pm 3 \text{ nm}$  fitted to the CN ( $\text{B}^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow \text{X}^2\Sigma^+$ ) emission band. The transit

time of shock wave is less than 1.5  $\mu$ s. Signals were amplified with the electronic rise time  $2.2RC < 1 \mu$ s and stored in a digital storage scope (Kawasaki electronica KDS-103). Sample gases of HNCO/Ar 0.2 - 0.5 mol% were shock-heated in a temperature range of 2300 - 3600 K and a pressure range of 300 - 700 Torr behind incident shock waves. HNCO was synthesized by the procedure as described elsewhere.<sup>5)</sup> Impurity was checked by means of the IR and mass spectrometries to be less than 1%. The amount of hydrogen cyanide HCN in particular was ascertained to be less than 0.1%. Synthesized HNCO was stored at -196 °C and volatiled before use. Diluent Ar (Seitetsukagaku 99.9995%) was used without further purification.

To confirm that the observed emission was due to the CN (B $\rightarrow$ X) band, we measured this transient emission at three different wavelengths in the vicinity of 388 nm. As a result, the relative intensities of this emission peak height were found to be 0.8, 1.0 and 0.0 at 385.0, 388.0 and 391.0  $\pm$  0.15 nm, respectively. This roughly accords to the CN (B $\rightarrow$ X) band shape at high temperatures. In addition, product analysis of shock-heated sample gases exhibited a sizable amount of hydrogen cyanide HCN, which should not be formed without an intermediacy of the CN radical.

The CN emission sensitivity was calibrated by means of the thermal decomposition of hydrogen cyanide HCN.



Its decomposition rate constants have already been measured to be  $k = 1.26 \times 10^{16} \exp(-417.1 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/RT) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  in this laboratory.<sup>9)</sup> The CN (B $\rightarrow$ X) emission intensity can be assumed to be approximately proportional to the concentration of CN (X). Therefore, initial slopes of the CN emission-time profiles can be linearly related to the initial CN production rate:

$$\left( \frac{d[\text{CN}]}{dt} \right)_{t=0} = c(T) \left( \frac{dI_{\text{CN}}}{dt} \right)_{t=0} = k[\text{HCN}]_0[\text{Ar}] \quad (\text{I})$$

where  $c(T)$  is an instrumental constant depending on the temperature and where  $I_{\text{CN}}$  is the emission intensity of CN in units of volt. Practically, sample gases of HCN 0.039, 0.089 and 0.172 mol% diluted in Ar were shock-heated in the temperature range of 2800 - 3450 K and the pressure range of 630 - 740 Torr. The values of  $c(T)$  were obtained from Eq. I. with the aid of the initial slopes in the emission-time profiles observed. Figure 1 shows an Arrhenius-like plot of  $\log(c(T))$  vs  $1/T$ . The solid line is expressed as  $c(T) = 9.46 \times 10^{15} \exp(-27769 / T)$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>V<sup>-1</sup>. Applying this expression to the HNCO/Ar experiments, we have converted the intensities of the CN emission to the concentrations.

### 3. Results

#### (A) CN production mechanism

Figure 2a shows a typical CN emission profile for ca. 80  $\mu$ s after the shock arrival in an HNCO/Ar experiment. The initial rise of the emission cannot be fitted with a simple first-order rise curve expressed by a single exponential function. This implies the existence of more than one route for the CN production. To examine the mechanism for the CN production, we performed three experiments by adding NO or CO to gas samples and by changing the initial concentration of HNCO. The results were as follows: (a) Addition of NO to the sample gases suppressed the CN emission but it did not affect the initial slopes at all (Fig. 2b); (b) addition of CO, by contrast, enhanced the the emission without affecting the initial slopes (Fig. 2c); (c) lowering in HNCO molar fraction of sample gases allowed the CN emission-time profile to approach a first-order (single exponential) growth curve (Fig. 3).

These results can be interpreted as indicating an additional participation of an exchange reaction:



in the presence of CN. Thus, in experiment (a), most of  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  formed by reaction (1a) would be consumed by excess NO, which is known to react with  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  rapidly,<sup>10)</sup> thereby being converted only to  $\text{N}_2$  plus OH or  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  plus H. On the contrary, in experiment (b), the addition of CO would directly acceralate reaction (2) with reaction (1c) littled perturbed, in experiment (c), the low concentrations of NH and CO rendered reaction (2) ineffective, to permit reaction (1c) alone to be effective in producing CN.

The two reactions, (1c) and (2), will proceed presumably through the identical dynamical path. Difference between reaction (1c) and (2) should be in the way to activate the HNCO entity. It is collisional in reaction (1c), whereas it is chemical in reaction (2).

#### (B) $k_{1c}$ measurement

Initial slopes of the CN emission-time profiles are determined in the first 2-3  $\mu\text{s}$  region. The shock wave transit time (ca. 1.5  $\mu\text{s}$ ) may affect the initial slopes. However, because the CN emission-concentration calibration was conducted by using initial rates of the HCN decomposition observed in the same early time region, errors arising from the shock wave transit time are expected to be cancelled.

The initial slope of the CN concentration-time profile is expressed as follows.

$$\left(\frac{d[\text{CN}]}{dt}\right)_{t=0} = k_{1c}[\text{HNCO}]_0[\text{Ar}] \quad (\text{II})$$

Values of  $k_{1c}$  were calculated by the Eq. II at various temperatures. The resluts are listed in Table 1, together with relevant experimental conditions.

Figure 4 shows Arrhenius plots of  $k_{1c}$  for HNCO/Ar, HNCO/NO/Ar, and HNCO/CO/Ar gas mixtures. Variations in total pressure caused no significant systematic change to the values of  $k_{1c}$ . As mentioned before, addition of NO or CO did not alter the values of  $k_{1c}$  appreciably.

Least-squares fits of the experimental data to the Arrhenius equation resulted in

$$k_{1c} = 10^{12.97 \pm 0.73} \exp[-(266 \pm 40) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/RT] \quad \text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$$

The uncertainty corresponds to 3  $\sigma$ . The temperature dependence of  $k_{1a}$  is also shown in Fig. 4. Over the temperature range studied here, the contribution of channel (1c) to the whole decomposition is apparently small,  $k_{1c}/k_{1a} < \sim 0.1$ . In the lower temperature region (ca. 1500 K), however, it may not be the case. The activation energy for reaction (1c) is lower than that for reaction (1a) by 90 kJmol<sup>-1</sup>. The A-factor is lower by three orders of magnitude than for  $k_{1a}$ . These values seem to be too small as compared to the rate constants for decompositions of usual 4-atom molecules. We will discuss later what these small values are likely to indicate.

### (C) $k_2$ measurement

The CN concentration-time profiles in the initial 50  $\mu\text{s}$  region are computed with a 17-reaction mechanism given in Table 2. The simulation curves can well reproduce the S-shaped increases of the CN concentrations. Initial slopes are governed by the value of  $k_{1c}$  exclusively, whereas the later concave-up region involves the dominant influence of  $k_2$ . Therefore, the values of  $k_2$  were determined by fitting the computed curves to those observed in this latter region. A typical best fit is shown in Fig. 5, where the attached curves illustrate the effects of  $\pm 30\%$  variations on  $k_2$ .

The resulting  $k_2$  values are listed in Table 3, together with relevant experimental conditions. Temperature dependence is inappreciable in the temperature range studied. We estimate  $k_2 = (1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  ( $3\sigma$ ) in the temperature range of 2820 - 3380 K.

Sensitivity analyses of the rate constants used in the 17-reaction mechanism were conducted as follows. We define a sensitivity coefficient  $a_i$ ,


$$a_i = k_i \frac{d[\text{CN}]}{dk_i}$$

where  $k_i$  is the rate constant of the  $i$ -th reaction. Figure 6 shows typical examples of calculated  $a_i$ -time profiles only for important reactions. It is clear that at an early stage ( $\sim 10 \mu\text{s}$ ) the CN concentration is sensitive only to  $k_{1c}$ , while in the subsequent CN growth region (10-50  $\mu\text{s}$ ) it is sensitive to  $k_2$  and  $k_{1a}$  as well. Large contributions of  $k_{1a}$  are inevitable under our conditions. We have deliberately chosen the recent  $k_{1a}$  data for use, which have been determined by Mertens et al. by means of the narrow-linewidth laser absorption technique.<sup>6)</sup> Their minimum and maximum rate constant factors defining the lower and upper uncertainty limits are 0.56 and 1.60, respectively. These values correspond to the uncertainty in  $k_2$  to be 0.62 and 2.1 for the lower and upper limits, respectively.

#### 4. Discussion

There exist four isomers for HNCO, i.e. fluminic acid HCNO, cyanic acid HOCN and isofluminic acid HONC. The latter two species are unstable and observed only in rare gas matrices.<sup>11,12)</sup> A complicated potential energy surface for the isomerizations among these isomers were illustrated by Poppinger et al. by using ab initio calculations with the 4-31G or STO-3G basis sets at the RHF SCF level of theory.<sup>13)</sup> In that potential energy surface various kinds of intermediates were shown to have potential wells.

On the other hand, the reaction of hydrogen cyanide HCN with the O(<sup>1</sup>D) atom has been found to give rise to both NH(<sup>1</sup>Δ) + CO and CN + OH in the gas phase<sup>14)</sup> and to form both HNCO and HOCN in argon matrix.<sup>15)</sup> For the sake of rationalization of the appearance of these products, an intermediacy of oxazirine was proposed:



These two lines of information join to assist our mechanistic considerations of the reactions of our present concern. Presumably, both reaction (1c) and (2) also involve the isomerization from HNCO to HOCN or HONC via the oxazirine intermediacy.

The idea of participation of reaction (2) in producing CN is supported strongly by the CN concentration-time profiles obtained from the experiments in which CO is added to the HNCO/Ar gas mixtures. The observed rapidity of reaction (2) is compatible with the characteristics that there should be no high potential energy barrier on the way from  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$  to  $\text{CN} + \text{OH}$ . Namely, if the preexponential factor is assumed to be  $10^{14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (the upper limit for bimolecular reactions), the activation energy is estimated to be 57 kJ/mol. Reaction (2) is expected to form vibrationally hot HNCO first. If collisional stabilization of this hot species takes place rapidly, the entire process is just the reverse reaction of (1a). Several groups have measured the activation energy for reaction (1a) to be 402,<sup>5)</sup> 377,<sup>7)</sup> and 358 kJ/mol.<sup>6)</sup> Taking the enthalpy change at 3000 K  $\Delta H_{3000} = 359 \text{ kJ/mol}$  into account, the activation energy for the reverse reaction of (1a) is calculated to be ca. 20 kJ/mol. Therefore, the rate of reaction (2) may be controlled mainly by the entrance barrier.


The occurrence of reaction (1c) has been confirmed by the NO- and CO-added experiments, in which the initial slopes of the CN emission-time profiles did not change markedly compared to those observed in the HNCO/Ar experiments (see Fig. 4). It is also supported by the above-mentioned large  $k_2$  value, which implies the potential energy barrier for the isomerization lying below the entrance barrier. The small activation energy of  $k_{1c}$  may reflect this isomerization barrier height.

Inferiority of reaction (1c) to (1a) may be explained by its tight transition state. If the rate-determining step for reaction (1c) is the isomerization, its activation





However, a spin-forbidden but energetically favorable channel (20) is supposed to dominate over reaction (19).<sup>23)</sup> Hence, this possibility can be ruled out. Second, a hydrogen-catalyzed isomerization reaction (21)



might produce HOCN, which would decompose directly into CN + OH. However, The rate constants of an alternate channel (22) were measured to be  $k_{22} = 1.1 \times 10^{14} \exp(-6400/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  by Mertens et al.<sup>6)</sup>, which are enough large to let reaction (22) dominate over reaction (21). The activation energy for reaction (22) is evidently much lower than the enthalpy change of reaction (21), thus negating the possibility of reaction (21) participating in the CN formation.

## 5. Conclusions

On the basis of the CN emission-time profiles observed in the shock tube studies for the HNCO decomposition, occurrence of a minor decomposition channel  $\text{HNCO} + \text{Ar} \longrightarrow \text{CN} + \text{OH} + \text{Ar}$  has been confirmed. The rate constants have been determined to be  $k_{1c} = 10^{12.97 \pm 0.73} \exp[-(266 \pm 40) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}/RT] \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  over the temperature range of 2300-3600 K. It is inferred that an exchange reaction  $\text{NH} + \text{CO} \longrightarrow \text{CN} + \text{OH}$  may also contribute to the production of CN, whose rate constant is estimated to be  $k_2 = (1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  with little temperature dependence. These results indicate that the potential energy barrier for the isomerization from HNCO to HOCN (or HONC) lies below the threshold energy for the primary decomposition channel into  $\text{NH}({}^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$  and controls the overall rate of reaction (1c).

## References

- 1) T. A. Spiglanin, R. A. Perry, and D. W. Chandler, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **90**, 6184 (1986).
- 2) K. Uno, T. Hikida, A. Hiraya, and K. Shobatake, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **166**, 475 (1990).
- 3) T. A. Spiglanin and D. W. Chandler, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **141**, 428 (1987).
- 4) W. S. Drozdowski, A. P. Baronavski, and J. R. McDonald, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **64**, 421 (1979).
- 5) O. Kajimoto, O. Kondo, K. Okada, J. Fujikane, and T. Fueno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **58**, 3469 (1985).
- 6) J. D. Mertens, A. Y. Chang, R. K. Hanson, and C. T. Bowman, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, **21**, 1049 (1989).
- 7) C. H. Wu, H.-t. Wang, M. C. Lin, and R. A. Fifer, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **94**, 3344 (1990).
- 8) M. W. Chase, Jr., C. A. Davies, J. R. Downey, Jr., D. J. Frurip, R. A. McDonald, and A. N. Syverud, "JANAF thermochemical Tables," 3rd ed, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (1985).
- 9) K. Tabayashi, T. Fueno, K. Takasa, and O. Kajimoto, and K. Okada, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **50**, 1754 (1977).
- 10) K. Yokoyama, Y. Sakane, and T. Fueno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, submitted.
- 11) J. H. Teles, G. Maier, B. A. Hess, Jr., L. J. Schaad, M. Winnewisser, and B. P. Winnewisser, *Chem. Ber.*, **122**, 753 (1989).
- 12) V. E. Bondybey, J. H. English, C. W. Mathews, and R. J. Contolini, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **92**, 431 (1982).
- 13) D. Poppinger, L. Radom, and J. A. Pople, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 7806 (1977).
- 14) B. K. Carpenter, N. Goldstein, A. Kam, and J. R. Wiesenfeld, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **81**, 1785 (1984).
- 15) J. N. Crowley and J. R. Sodeau, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **93**, 3100 (1989).

- 16) S. Fujii, private communication.
- 17) E. S. Fishburne, K. R. Bilwakesh, and R. Edse, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **45**, 160 (1966).
- 18) H. H. Mohammed, J. Fournier, J. Deson, and C. Vermeil, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **73**, 315 (1980).
- 19) Y. Zhu and R. J. Gordon, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **92**, 2897 (1990).
- 20) B. B. Brady, G. B. Spector, L. Chia, and G. W. Flynn, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **86**, 3245 (1987).
- 21) V. Y. Simkin, A. I. Dementev, and V. I. Pupyshev, *Russ. J. Phys. Chem.*, **56**, 1739 (1982).
- 22) N. W. Winter, C. F. Bender, and W. A. Goddard III, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **20**, 489 (1973).
- 23) M. Y. Louge and R. Y. Hanson, *Combust. Flame*, **58**, 291 (1984).
- 24) F. Westley, "Table of Recommended Rate Constants for Chemical Reactions Occurring in Combustion," National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (1980).
- 25) B. S. Haynes, *Combust. Flame*, **28**, 113 (1977).

Table 1. The Rate Constant for the Reaction:  $\text{HNCO} + \text{Ar} \longrightarrow \text{CN} + \text{OH} + \text{Ar}$  (1c),  
with Relevant Experimental Conditions.

No.	$T_2$ K	$P_2$ Torr	$p_{21}$	[Ar] $10^{-9}\text{molcm}^{-3}$	[HNCO] $10^{-9}\text{molcm}^{-3}$	[NO]/[CO]	$k_{1c}$ $10^7\text{cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$
0801	3230	690	3.66	3420	1.13		71.6
0901	3045	736	3.64	3870	1.28		22.1
1001	2994	721	3.63	3860	1.27		18.3
1002	2817	678	3.61	3860	1.27		13.8
1003	2803	681	3.61	3900	1.29		11.0
1201	3429	650	3.68	3040	1.00		83.9
1202	2614	636	3.58	3900	1.29		4.56
1301	3362	662	3.67	3160	1.04		76.2
1401	3339	449	3.67	2150	1.98		45.0
1402	3025	493	3.63	2610	2.40		16.5
1501	2894	536	3.62	2970	2.73		13.5
1502	2908	481	3.62	2650	2.44		12.9
1601	2308	410	3.52	2850	2.62		1.04
1602	2762	779	3.60	4520	4.16		6.96
1701	2544	709	3.57	4470	4.11		0.87
2001	2606	468	3.57	2880	2.65		4.12
2002	2331	463	3.53	3180	2.93		1.70
2201	2558	513	3.57	3220	0.45		4.66
2301	2519	504	3.56	3210	3.85		2.31
2302	2405	525	3.54	3500	4.20		1.89
2401	3307	422	3.67	2050	0.29		95.6
2701	3551	610	3.69	2760	0.39		120.5
2101	3016	726	3.63	3860	6.98	14.04 a)	20.4
2102	3351	659	3.68	3150	5.71	11.48 a)	98.7
2201	2697	643	3.59	3820	6.92	13.91 a)	6.92
2202	2828	690	3.61	3910	7.08	14.23 a)	12.9
2203	3194	692	3.65	3470	6.29	12.65 a)	41.6
2204	3301	690	3.67	3350	6.06	12.19 a)	44.0
2701	2973	740	3.63	3990	7.10	34.08 b)	22.6
2702	3365	637	3.67	3030	5.40	25.92 b)	53.9
2703	2829	699	3.61	3960	7.05	33.85 b)	24.0

a) Concentration of NO in the incident shock waves.

b) Concentration of CO.

Table 2. Reaction Mechanism Used for Determination of  $k_2$  in the HNCO/Ar Mixtures.

No.	Reaction a)		log A	n	$E_a$	Ref.
1a	HNCO + Ar	→ NH( $^3\Sigma^-$ ) + CO + Ar	35.51	-5.11	460	6
1b		→ NCO + H + Ar	15.99	0.0	498	b)
1c		→ CN + OH + Ar	12.97	0.0	266	This study
2	NH + CO	→ CN + OH	13.0	0.0	0	This study
3	NH + NH	→ N <sub>2</sub> + 2H	13.65	0.0	0	6
4	NH + OH	→ N + H <sub>2</sub> O	11.70	0.5	8.4	24
5	CN + OH	→ NCO + H	13.75	0.0	0	25
6	HNCO + H	→ NH <sub>2</sub> + CO	14.04	0.0	54	6
7	NCO + Ar	→ N + CO + Ar	16.80	-0.5	200	23
8	NH + Ar	→ N + H + Ar	14.42	0.0	316	6
9	N + NH	→ N <sub>2</sub> + H	11.80	0.5	0	6
10	H + NH	→ H <sub>2</sub> + N	11.80	0.5	33	24
11	CN + N	→ N <sub>2</sub> + C	11.80	0.5	0	24
12	CO + N	→ CN + O	12.51	0.5	323	24
13	C + NH <sub>2</sub>	→ CN + 2H	13.48	0.0	0	b)
14	NH <sub>2</sub> + Ar	→ NH + H + Ar	23.50	-2.0	381	6
15	C + NH	→ CN + H	11.80	0.5	0	24

a) The rate constant  $k=AT^n\exp(-E_a[\text{kJ/mol}]/RT)$  cm<sup>3</sup>mol<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>.

b) Estimated.

Table 3. The Rate Constant for the Reaction:  $\text{NH} + \text{CO} \longrightarrow \text{CN} + \text{OH}$  (2),  
with Relevant Experimental Conditions.

No	$T_2$	$P_2$	$\rho_{21}$	$[\text{Ar}]$	$[\text{HNCO}]$	$x_{\text{HNCO}}$	$k_2$
	K	Torr		$10^{-9}\text{molcm}^{-3}$	$10^{-9}\text{molcm}^{-3}$	mol%	$10^{13}\text{cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$
2401	3382	644	3.67	3050	2.75	0.090	0.65
2801	3246	667	3.66	3290	5.86	0.178	0.7
2802	3376	659	3.67	3130	5.57	0.178	0.6
2803	3141	710	3.65	3630	6.45	0.178	1.0
2804	2885	698	3.62	3880	6.90	0.178	1.5
1101	3246	673	3.66	3320	9.57	0.288	1.56
1102	3254	676	3.66	3330	9.59	0.288	1.3
1401	3264	665	3.66	3270	12.41	0.380	1.3
1402	3370	644	3.67	3070	11.65	0.380	1.6
1601	2823	682	3.61	3870	14.72	0.380	1.0
1701	2920	477	3.62	2620	9.96	0.380	1.0
1702	3259	283	3.66	1390	5.29	0.380	0.8




Figure 1. Temperature dependence of the sensitivity coefficient for the calibration between the CN emission intensities and the concentrations.






Figure 2. CN emission-time profiles obtained from the HNCO/Ar experiment (a), and the effect of addition of NO (b) or CO (c) on the profile. (a) HNCO : 0.178 %, 3376 K, 659 Torr ; (b) HNCO/NO : 0.181 / 0.364 %, 3351 K, 659 Torr ; (c) HNCO/CO : 0.178 / 0.854 %, 3365 K, 637 Torr.




Figure 3. Change of the CN emission profiles by lowering the HNCO concentration. (a) HNCO : 0.178 %, 3246 K, 667 Torr ; (b) HNCO : 0.046 %, 3260 K, 672 Torr.




Figure 4. Arrhenius plot of  $k_{1c}$ ,  $\text{HNCO} + \text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{CN} + \text{OH} + \text{Ar}$  (1c).

The data plotted with the circle, the filled square and triangle have been obtained in the HNCO/Ar, HNCO/NO/Ar and HNCO/CO/Ar experiments, respectively. The dashed line provides the temperature dependence of  $k_{1a}$ ,  $\text{HNCO} + \text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO} + \text{Ar}$  (1a), referred from 6).




Figure 5. Comparisons of the CN concentration profile computed with the observed. Upper and lower curves show the effects of  $\pm 30\%$  deviations on  $k_2$ .  $T_2 = 3254\text{ K}$ ,  $P_2 = 676\text{ Torr}$ ,  $x_{\text{HNCO}} = 0.288\%$ .




Figure 6. Sensitivity analysis for values of the rate constants for several important reactions used in the simulation mechanism.  $T_2 = 3382$  K,  $P_2 = 644$  Torr,  $x_{\text{HNCO}} = 0.090$  %.


## Chapter 6

### Computational Studies of the Isomerization Reactions Between the CHNO Isomers

With respect to the energetics of several CHNO isomers with their excited states, transition states for isomerization reactions, and fragmented species were investigated by ab initio MO calculations with the MRDCI method for correlation energy. Geometry optimizations were accomplished with the 6-31G\*\* basis set at the RHF, UHF, or CASSCF level of theory. As a result it was found that the isomerization from isocyanic acid HNCO to cyanic acid HOCN can proceed via two 1,2-hydrogen migration steps rather than by a single 1,3-hydrogen migration. The overall barrier height on the potential energy surface for this isomerization reaction, which was determined to be 427 kJ/mol, lies near the threshold energy for the main decomposition channel,  $\text{HNCO} \longrightarrow \text{NH}({}^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$ . The isomerization is thus expected to compete with the decomposition reaction. The conclusion is compatible with our previous experimental results.

## 1. Introduction

Isocyanic acid HNCO decomposes mainly into  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$  in the temperature region above 2000 K.<sup>1-3)</sup> Another bond dissociation channel to produce  $\text{NCO} + \text{H}$  has been reported to contribute by less than 5% to the HNCO total consumption.<sup>3)</sup> In addition to these two dissociation channels, we observed some evidence for the occurrence of reaction (1c),<sup>4)</sup>



a direct decomposition into  $\text{CN} + \text{OH}$ . This last process must involve isomerization to form  $\text{HOCN}$  or  $\text{HONC}$ . On the basis of the temperature dependence of the rate constants  $k_{1c}$  measured, we concluded that the saddle point of the isomerization lies below the energy threshold for reaction (1a).

Potential energy surfaces for the  $\text{CHNO}$  isomers were first studied by Poppinger et al. using ab initio MO calculation at the RHF/4-31G level of theory.<sup>5)</sup> Their study has revealed that the surface is so complicated with many potential wells corresponding to five chain isomers a ring isomer and a branched isomer. Thus, more than one isomerization pathway must be taken into account for reaction (1c). They include the following:



where both  $\overline{\text{OCHN}}$  and  $\overline{\text{HNCO}}$  take ring structures named oxazirine and oxaziridinylidene, respectively.

In the present study, we will report results of calculation around the isomerization reaction paths, and compare the theoretical results with the experimental.

## 2. Method

Geometry optimizations for the minimum energy structures and the saddle point structures were accomplished by the restricted hartree fock procedure (RHF) for singlet closed shell species in their ground state, by the unrestricted hartree fock procedure (UHF) for open shell species and transition states, and by the complete active space SCF procedure (CASSCF) for the oxazirin intermediate in its ground state and two transition states connected to oxazirin. At each stationary point, the multi-reference single- and double-excitation configuration-interaction (MRDCI) calculation was carried out to obtain the electronic correlation energy. The configurations whose contributions  $|c_i|^2$  to a state exceed 0.25% were regarded as the main (reference) configurations for singlet states. In the case of triplet states, especially in  $\text{OCHN}(^3\text{A}''')$ , we could not afford to take all the configurations with  $|c_i|^2 > 0.25\%$  as the reference configurations because of too many configurations to be selected with appropriate threshold values. Triplet state energies might thus be somewhat overestimated. The lowest configuration-selection threshold  $T$  was deliberately assigned a value between 1 and 30  $\mu\text{hartree}$ , so that the maximal dimension of the configurational space fell in the region 7000-9000. Four successive threshold values increasing stepwise by 5  $\mu\text{hartree}$  each were used to obtain the CI energy extrapolated to  $T=0$  hartree. The generalized Langhoff-Davidson approximation <sup>6,7)</sup> was used to correct for possible errors which might arise from the use of a limited number of reference configurations. The CI energies thus corrected are regarded as estimates of full CI



values <sup>7,8)</sup> which will be denoted as  $E_{CI}$ . The iterative natural orbital CI method was applied occasionally with two or three cycles at each state. All calculations were done by using the 6-31G\*\* basis set. The Gaussian 86 <sup>9)</sup> and HONDO7 <sup>10)</sup> programs were used for SCF optimization calculations, and the TABLE MRDCI program furnished by Bunker <sup>11,12)</sup> was used for CI calculations.

Prior to these calculations, we carried out the perfect-pairing multi-configuration SCF calculation (PPMC) <sup>13)</sup> with STO-3G basis to obtain possible reaction paths. The active space included 8 orbitals and 6 electrons (8,6) with only double excitation permitted.

### 3. Results

#### (A) Chain isomers

The geometries optimized for four chain isomers are shown in Fig. 1. Their energies are listed in Table 1 at PPMC/STO-3G, RHF/6-31G\*\*, and MRDCI/6-31G\*\*//6-31G\*\* levels. The notation '/' means "at the geometry of". The basis set dependence seems to be large on the energies, especially, with respect to HNCO. Thus searching for saddle points needs large basis sets. HNCO and HCNO (fulminic acid) are stable in the gas phase, by contrast, HOCN and HONC (isofulminic acid) have been observed only in the rare gas matrices.<sup>14,15)</sup> The low value of the energy calculated for HOCN indicates the possibility of detecting gaseous HOCN. CCD (coupled-cluster double-excitation) energies reported by Teles et al.<sup>14)</sup> agree with our present MRDCI energies (Table 1).

The dissociation energies,  $D_0$ , calculated for HNCO in particular are listed in Table 2, together with the experimental enthalpy change,  $\Delta H$ , referred from <sup>16)</sup> for  $NH(3\Sigma^-) + CO$ ,  $NH(1\Delta) + CO$ , and  $CN + OH$ . Zero point energies were calculated on the basis of the experimental vibrational frequencies.<sup>16)</sup> The enthalpy changes are listed both at 0 K and 3000 K. Theoretical dissociation energies are in fairly good agreement with the experimental data,  $\Delta H_0$ .

## (B) Ring and branched isomers

Figure 2b shows the structure for OCHN in its electronic ground state optimized by the CAS(4,4) procedure assuming Cs symmetry. The remarkable feature is that the OCN angle is  $94.1^\circ$ , with the O-N bond index of ca. 0.5. These values indicate that the ground-state OCHN has a "half ring structure". The normal ring species called oxazirine, which was proposed as a reaction intermediate in matrix experiments,<sup>17,18)</sup> has still been unknown. We will call this structure as "oxazirine".

In the RHF/6-31G\*\* optimization the stable ring isomer has not been found. All initial guess geometries we tried led to the HNCO potential well. This tendency is similar to that reported by Poppinger et al. using the 4-31G basis set.<sup>5)</sup> On the other hand, UHF/6-31G\*\* optimization has given a ring-opened structure with the OCN angle being  $123.5^\circ$ . This branched isomer is strongly spin-polarized and its eigenvalue of  $\hat{S}^2$  operator is  $s^2 = 1.16$ , which implies a strong diradical character. The two odd electrons are localized mainly on the O and N atom in plain orbitals. By contrast, it is interesting that, in the PPMC/STO-3G optimization, the initial guess molecular orbital (MO) coefficients prepared from a UHF solution (diradical type MOs) gives the branched isomer minimum while the RHF MOs does not lead to the branched minimum but to the ring minimum. Although there was a doubt whether different electronic states were optimized, the situation is probably due to an unavoidable incompleteness in configurations generated rather than due to the presence of two hardly distinguishable electronic states.

In order to clarify this point, we performed CASSCF optimization using both the diradical and the closed-shell type MO coefficients as initial guess MOs. As mentioned above, the optimized geometries are identical and have an intermediate structure between the ring and the branched isomers. The electronic structure is described with at least two configurations, which are of the diradical and the closed shell types.

$$\dots(1a'')^2(8a')^2(2a'')^2(9a')^1(10a')^1,$$

$$\dots(1a'')^2(8a')^2(2a'')^2(9a')^2.$$

Their CI coefficients are 0.84 and -0.46, respectively.

Table 3 lists the geometric parameters and the CI energies for the ground OCHN and three excited states. All these excited states are low-lying and have branched structure with OCN angle  $\sim 120^\circ$  unlike ground state. Both the  $^3A''$  and  $^1A''$  states named formylnitrene have their odd electrons on the N atom in-plane and out-of-plane p orbitals being nearly degenerate.

Another ring isomer  $\overline{\text{HNCO}}$  (oxaziridinylidene) was also found to have a potential well on the RHF surface. It has a nonplanar structure with the HNCO dihedral angle  $\phi = 94.8^\circ$ , as can be seen in Fig.3b. Its geometric parameter and CI energy are listed in Table 3. The high energy value (504 kJ/mol relative to HNCO) makes us suspect that the potential well will be shallow, considering the dissociation energy  $D_0 = 502$  kJ/mol for  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{CO}$  (Table 2). This suspicion will be confirmed with the results of MRDCI calculation for the transition state of the ring opening reaction to form HNCO.

Additionally, for the excited HNCO ( $^3A''$ ), the UHF optimized geometry and the CI energy are also listed in Table 3. This state correlates with  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$  fragments.

### (C) Isomerization

We examined three isomerization pathways (2a), (2b) and (2c) and a recombination of  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$  to form HNCO ( $^3A''$ ). Table 4 shows the corresponding reactions, calculation methods used in geometry optimizations of the transition state, and MRDCI energies. Fig. 5 shows the potential energy diagram for all isomerization pathways considered here.


It is apparent that the most feasible isomerization pathway is reaction (2a), proceeding through two 1,2-hydrogen migrations via the  $\text{OCHN}(X^1A')$

intermediate. The overall TS is TS2. Its barrier height, 427 kJ/mol, is comparable with the threshold energy for reaction (1a); TS2 lies near the level of the intersystem crossing point between the surfaces for HNCO ( $X^1A'$ ) and HNCO ( $a^3A''$ ) on the course of the NC bond dissociation.

Since neither UHF nor RHF calculation gives a correct OCHN ( $X^1A'$ ) electronic state, we have carried out CAS(6,10) optimization to determine the geometries of TS1 and TS2, which are connected to OCHN ( $X^1A'$ ). Obtained geometries are shown in Fig.2a and 2c. TS2 on the UHF surface was also examined for the sake of comparison with the CAS geometry. The resulting geometry shown in Fig.2d has more HOCN-like features. This tendency must be a consequence of overestimation of the diradical character in UHF solutions relative to CAS solutions. According to Hammond's postulate,<sup>19)</sup> a lowering of the OCHN potential energy makes the TS2 geometry "later", i.e. closer to the HOCN geometry. This postulate is also applicable to the relation between TS1 and TS2. Lower energy and more OCHN-like geometry of TS1 compared to TS2 are attributable to the greater stability of HNCO compared to HOCN. Thus the TS2 geometry is sensitive to a degree of assessment of correlation energy. Preciser calculation possibly present more refined TS2 geometries.

Analytical vibrational analysis at the CASSCF level is not available in the HONDO7 program, and numerical procedure consumes CPU time enormously. Thus, we have checked only the symmetry breaking of TS2. Strictly speaking, the TS2 was found to have nonplanar structure at this level. However, the angle between the CH bond and the OCN plane is found to be within 0.5 °. Furthermore, we carried out vibrational analysis of the UHF-TS2, to find a single imaginary frequency being 2362i cm<sup>-1</sup>. Taking the low-lying excited state of OCHN ( $1A''$ ) into account, we may attribute the small deviation from the Cs symmetry in the CAS-TS2 geometry to the second-order Jahn-Teller effect. As a result, we have assumed TS2 having the Cs symmetry.

Another isomerization path from the OCHN intermediate to HONC was found to proceed via TS3 (Fig.4a). The way of this rearrangement may seem somewhat curious. TS3 can be regarded as a saddle point for an intramolecular insertion reaction:<sup>20)</sup>



The singlet carbene character of the terminal C atom of HONC enables the C atom to insert into the OH bond in the same molecule.

The third isomerization pathway consists of a ring closure step to form the cyclic-  $\overline{\text{HNCO}}$  and a ring-opening step accompanied by the H atom migration from the N to O atom at the SCF level of calculation. The first saddle point, TS4, was found only on the UHF surface and the structure is close to that of the cyclic-  $\overline{\text{HNCO}}$  (Fig.3a and 3b), whereas TS5 (saddle point for the second step) were found on the RHF surface (Fig. 3c). Either of TS4 and TS5 was confirmed to have a single imaginary frequency. The MRDCI calculation stabilized TS4; the CI energy of TS4 became only slightly lower than the cyclic-  $\overline{\text{HNCO}}$ . That is, the cyclic-  $\overline{\text{HNCO}}$  may not have a potential well in reality.

We made an attempt to find the TS for 1,3-hydrogen migration from HNCO to HOCN. However the rectangle TS was not found and the cyclic-  $\overline{\text{HNCO}}$  minimum was found alternatively. This situation may correspond to the absence of cis-HNCO. Thus, we believe TS5 is the only saddle point for 1,3-hydrogen migration step.

Apparently only reaction (2a) will be able to compete with the main decomposition reaction (1a). The potential energy of TS3 and 5 are too high to compete with reaction (1a).

#### 4. Discussion

The main decomposition channel (1a) involves a spin-inversion process. The electronic ground state of  $\text{HNCO}(X^1A')$  adiabatically correlates to  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{CO}$ . In the prolonged N-C bondlength region, an intersystem crossing must take place between  $^1A'$  and  $^3A''$  surfaces. The situation is similar to the decompositions of  $\text{HN}_3$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,<sup>21,22)</sup> in which the triplet surfaces are thought to be repulsive.

In the present case, however, we have found a bound state ( $a^3A''$ ) on the triplet surface connected to  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$  (Table 3). The NCO angle is  $126^\circ$  and its CI energy lies between the  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$  and  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta) + \text{CO}$  asymptote. A similar bent triplet state can be seen in the case of  $\text{CO}_2$ ,<sup>23,24)</sup> where the intersystem crossing between  $X^1A'$  and  $^3B_2$  takes place below the dissociation limit for  $\text{CO} + \text{O}(^3P)$ .<sup>25)</sup> Thus, there is a doubt whether the threshold energy for reaction (1a) might reflect the saddle point on the triplet surface, instead of the surface crossing.

TS6 is the transition state for the decomposition from  $\text{HNCO}(^3A'')$  to  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$  (Table 4). Fairly low energy 476 kJ/mol could not negate the possibility of above-mentioned mechanism. Its geometry is shown in Fig. 4b. If the intersystem crossing occurs at the CN bondlength longer than 1.698, the crossing point corresponds to the barrier top. The crossing bondlength is not available, but, with respect to the dissociation of  $\text{HN}_3$ , the corresponding N-N distance was calculated by Alexander et al.<sup>26)</sup> to be 1.775. Considering this value together with the bent structure of TS6, we conclude that TS6 is not a barrier top and that the decomposition rate of reaction (1a) is determined by the intersystem crossing.

The threshold energies of reaction (1a) are reported to be 439 and 413 kJ/mol by Kajimoto et al.<sup>1)</sup> and Mertens et al.<sup>2)</sup> respectively. The threshold energy 427 kJ/mol calculated for the isomerization (2a) has not been corrected with the zero-point energies. The fundamental vibrational frequencies of TS2 are calculated only at the UHF level as mentioned before, resulted in the values; 2361i, 482, 543, 1115, 1985 and 2363  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . From these values, the zero-point-corrected threshold

energy has been obtained to be 411 kJ/mol. The result indicates that reaction (2a) is energetically even favorable as compared to reaction (1a).

It is likely for *isomerization* (2a) to compete with reaction (1a), however, the large value of the dissociation energy  $D_0$  for the CN + OH makes *decomposition* (1c) very unlikely (see Table 2). In fact, at room temperature the reaction of  $\text{NH}(^1\Delta)$  with CO produces NCO radical mainly,<sup>27)</sup> and the reaction of NCO with H produces  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  exclusively.<sup>28)</sup> These low temperature results are perfectly conflict our high temperature result that the reaction of  $\text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-)$  with CO produces sizable amount of CN by a large rate constant of  $1 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ .<sup>4)</sup> These differences could be explained by the temperature dependence of the heat of formation of CN radical listed in the JANAF 3rd ed.<sup>16)</sup> Table 2 shows the difference of the enthalpy change between 0 K and 3000 K arising from the temperature dependence of  $\Delta H_f$ . Thus, the decomposition (1c) is thought to become accessible only in the high temperature region.

The rate constant for reaction (1c) was determined to be  $k_{1c} = 5.37 \times 10^{12} \exp(-250.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} / RT) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  from our previous shock tube study.<sup>4)</sup> This activation energy seems too low to be explained by isomerization (2a) as a rate determining step. We cannot explain this inconsistency with the present results. The unusual temperature dependence of  $\Delta H_f$ , as can be seen in the case of CN, occur also in the case of TS2.

## 5. Conclusions

The abinitio calculation involving the MRDCI method revealed the isomerization reaction  $\text{HNCO} \longrightarrow \text{HOCN}$  to compete with the primary decomposition channel  $\text{HNCO} \longrightarrow \text{NH}(^3\Sigma^-) + \text{CO}$ . The isomerization consists of two 1,2-hydrogen migrations and an intermediacy of oxazirine. The later transition state is the overall barrier top. These intermediate and transition state is sensitive to degree of assessment of correlation energy.

## References

- 1) O. Kajimoto, O. Kondo, K. Okada, J. Fujikane, and T. Fueno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **58**, 3469 (1985).
- 2) J. D. Mertens, A. Y. Chang, R. K. Hanson, and C. T. Bowman, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, **21**, 1049 (1989).
- 3) C. H. Wu, H.-t. Wang, M. C. Lin, and R. A. Fifer, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **94**, 3344 (1990).
- 4) K. Yokoyama and T. Fueno, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn*, submitted.
- 5) D. Poppinger, L. Radom, and J. A. Pople, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 7806 (1977).
- 6) S. R. Langhoff and E. R. Davidson, *Intern. J. Quantum Chem.*, **8**, 61 (1974).
- 7) P. J. Bruna and S. D. Peyerimhoff, "Ab initio methods in quantum chemistry," ed. by K. P. Lawley Wiley-Interscience, New York (1987), Part 1, pp. 1-97.
- 8) R. J. Buenker, *Intern. J. Quantum Chem.*, **29**, 435 (1986).
- 9) M. J. Frisch, J. S. Binkley, H. B. Schlegel, K. Raghavachari, C. F. Melius, R. L. Martin, J. J. P. Stewart, F. W. Bobrowicz, C. M. Rohlfing, L. R. Kahn, D. J. DeFrees, R. Seeger, R. A. Whiteside, D. J. Fox, E. M. Fleuder, S. Topiol, and J. A. Pople, *GAUSSIAN 86*, Carnegie-Mellon Quantum Chemistry Publishing Unit. Pittsburgh (1984), IMS version registered by N. Koga, S. Yabushita, K. Sawabe, and K. Morokuma.
- 10) (a) M. Dupuis, J. Rys, and H. F. King, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **65**, 111 (1976).  
(b) M. Dupuis, J. D. Watts, H. O. Villar, and G. J. B. Hurst, *HONDO 7*, QCPE #544, Indiana University, IMS version converted by M. Aoyagi and S. Obata.
- 11) R. J. Buenker, "Studies in Physical and Theoretical chemistry," R. Carbo, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1982), Vol 21, pp. 17-34.
- 12) R. J. Buenker and R. A. Phillips, *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)*, **123**, 291



- (1985).
- 13) K. Morokuma, S. Kato, K. Kitaura, I. Ohmine, S. Sakai, and S. Obara, IMSPAK, Institute for Molecular Science (1989).
  - 14) J. H. Teles, G. Maier, B. A. Hess, Jr., L. J. Schaad, M. Winnewisser, and B. P. Winnewisser, *Chem. Ber.*, **122**, 753 (1989).
  - 15) V. E. Bondybey, J. H. English, C. W. Mathews, and R. J. Contolini, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **92**, 431 (1982).
  - 16) M. W. Chase, Jr., C. A. Davies, J. R. Downey, Jr., D. J. Frurip, R. A. McDonald, and A. N. Syverud, "JANAF thermochemical Tables," 3rd ed, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (1985).
  - 17) B. K. Carpenter, N. Goldstein, A. Kam, and J. R. Wiesenfeld, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **81**, 1785 (1984).
  - 18) J. N. Crowley and J. R. Sodeau, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **93**, 3100 (1989).
  - 19) (a) G. S. Hammond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **77**, 334 (1955).  
(b) T. Fueno and M. Kamachi, *Macromolecules*, **21**, 908 (1988).
  - 20) T. Fueno, *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)*, **170**, 143 (1988).
  - 21) R. Fisher and E. Bauer, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **57**, 1966 (1972).
  - 22) O. Kajimoto, T. Yamamoto, and T. Fueno, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **83**, 429 (1979).
  - 23) V. Y. Simkin, A. I. Dementev, and V. I. Pupyshev, *Russ. J. Phys. Chem.*, **56**, 1739 (1982).
  - 24) N. W. Winter, C. F. Bender, and W. A. Goddard III, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **20**, 489 (1973).
  - 25) M. C. Lin and S. H. Bauer, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **50**, 3377, (1969).
  - 26) M. H. Alexander, H. J. Werner, T. Hemmer, and P. J. Knowles, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **93**, 3307 (1990).
  - 27) W. Hack and K. Rathmann *J. Phys. Chem.*, **94**, 3636 (1990).
  - 28) E. Quinones, J. Chen, and P. J. Dagdigian, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **174**, 65 (1990).

Table 1. MRDCI Energy of the HNCO Chain Isomers.

Species	STO-3G	6-31G**			
	$\Delta E_{PPMC}$	$E_{RHF}$	$E_{CI}$	$\Delta E_{CI}$	$\Delta E_{CCD}^a)$
	<u>kJ/mol</u>	<u>hartree</u>	<u>hartree</u>	<u>kJ/mol</u>	<u>kJ/mol</u>
HNCO	0	-167.76602	-168.24780	0	0
HOCN	-64	.72902	.21125	96	88
HCNO	b)	.63260	.12193	331	308
HONC	177	.63273	.10221	382	340

a, CCD(Coupled-Cluster, Double-Excitation) energy reported by Teles et al.<sup>14)</sup>

b, Not examined.

Table 2. HNCO Dissociation Energies (kJ/mol) Calculated by MRDCI  
and the Thermochemical Data.

Products	Calcd.			Expt. <sup>b)</sup>	
	$E_{CI}$	$\Delta E_{CI}$	$D_0^{a)}$	$\Delta H_0$	$\Delta H_{3000}$
	hartree				
NH( $^3\Sigma^-$ ) + CO	-168.11296	354	332	361	359
NCO + H	.06639	476	447	474	496
NH( $^1\Delta$ ) + CO	.04531	532	510	512	510
CN + OH	.02094	596	576	574	366

a) MRDCI energy plus zero point energy relative to HNCO.

b) The enthalpy change is referred from "JANAF Thermochemical Tables".<sup>16)</sup>

Table 3. Geometries and Energies of the Excited States for OCHN and HNCO and cyclic-HNCO.

	$R_{OC}$	$R_{CN}$	$R_{CH}$	$A_{OCN}$	$A_{OCH}$	$E_{UHF}$	$E_{CI}$	$\Delta E_{CI}$
State	Å			degree		hartree	hartree	kJ/mol
OCHN								
$^1A'$	1.307	1.247	1.072	94.1	128.7	-167.70142 <sup>a)</sup>	-168.11767	342 <sup>b)</sup>
$^3A''$	1.236	1.354	1.085	120.8	121.8	.69776	.09085	412
$^1A''$	1.253	1.326	1.083	121.2	121.2	.66954	.08716	422
$^3A'$	1.333	1.251	1.086	126.2	111.7	.66866	.06582	478
HNCO								
$^3A''$ (trans)	1.165	1.419	1.010 <sup>c)</sup>	126.0	108.5 <sup>d</sup>	.66650	.07998	440
Ring <sup>e)</sup>	1.261	1.415	1.008 <sup>c)</sup>	66.8	109.0 <sup>d</sup>	.58786 <sup>f)</sup>	.05575	504


<sup>a)</sup> CAS(4,4) Energy, <sup>b)</sup> Relative Energy to HNCO, <sup>c)</sup> NH-Bondlength, <sup>d)</sup> HNC Angle,

<sup>e)</sup> Oxaziridinylidene with HNCO Dihedral Angle 94.8 °, <sup>f)</sup> RHF Energy.


Table 4. MRDCI Energies for the Transition States of Various Isomerizations.

TS	reaction	method	$E_{\text{SCF}}$	$E_{\text{CI}}$	$\Delta E_{\text{CI}}$
			hartree	hartree	kJ/mol <sup>a)</sup>
TS1	HNCO - OCHN	CAS(6,10)	-167.63668	-168.11305	354
TS2	OCHN - HOCN	CAS(6,10)	.62758	.08653	423
TS3	OCHN - HONC	RHF	.50022	.00423	639
TS4	HNCO - $\overline{\text{HNCO}}$	UHF	.58488	.05843	497
TS5	$\overline{\text{HNCO}}$ - HOCN	RHF	.51115	.00929	626
TS6	NH(X)+CO - HNCO(3A'')	UHF	.65852	.06646	476


<sup>a)</sup> Relative Energy to HNCO.




isocyanic acid



cyanic acid



fulminic acid



isofulminic acid

Figure 1.      Optimized geometries of four chain isomers.




Figure 2. Optimized geometries of the stationary points on the reaction (2a).  
 TS1, the transition state for 1,2 hydrogen migration: HNCO → oxazirine;  
 TS2, that for 1,2 hydrogen migration: oxazirine → HOCN, calculated by  
 CAS or UHF procedure.





Figure 3. Optimized geometries of the stationary points on the reaction (2c).  
 TS4, the transition state for ring closure reaction:  $\text{HNCO} \rightarrow \overline{\text{HNCO}}$ ;  
 TS5, that for the ring-opening with 1,2-hydrogen migration reaction:  
 $\overline{\text{HNCO}} \rightarrow \text{HOCN}$ .




(a)




TS3

(b)



TS6

(c)



HNCO( ${}^3A''$ )

Figure 4. Optimal geometries of TS3, for the intramolecular insertion:

HONC  $\rightarrow$  oxazirine, and TS6, for the decomposition:

HNCO( ${}^3A''$ )  $\rightarrow$  NH( ${}^3\Sigma^-$ ) + CO.




Figure 5.

Potential energy profiles calculated by the MRDCl/6-31G\*\* procedure

The potential energy value designated by " \* " is based on the heat of

formation at 3000 K,  $\Delta H_{3000}$ , referred from JANAF. 16)

## **Part II**

### **Mechanism of the Disproportionation Reaction of Nitric Oxide in the presence of the Hydrogen Atom**

## **Chapter 1**

### **Mechanism of the Formation of NO<sub>2</sub> in the Reaction of HNO with NO in the Gas Phase**

Formaldehyde, methylnitrate, and ammonia were photolyzed in the presence of nitric oxide by a medium pressure mercury lamp, a low pressure mercury lamp, and a xenon flash lamp, respectively, to investigate the reaction mechanism of nitroxyl hydride HNO with NO. Product analyses based on FTIR absorption spectra exhibited large amounts of nitrogen dioxide, whose yield was estimated to be 5-16 from time-resolved absorption spectra at 420 nm in the flash photolysis of NH<sub>3</sub>/NO gas mixtures. A chain mechanism was proposed for the reaction of hydrogen atom in rich NO gas.

## 1. Introduction

Behavior of the H atom in gaseous NO is important in the investigations of the chemistry of gas mixtures involving NO as reactant. The most probable initial reaction is the recombination to form nitrosyl hydride HNO.<sup>1-4)</sup>




HNO is known to be unstable in the presence of excess NO.<sup>5-8)</sup> Stoichiometry as well as the kinetic features of the reaction



has long been a matter of controversy.

The kinetics of the HNO decay due to reaction (2) was first investigated by Cheskis et al.<sup>5)</sup> by means of a combination of the flash photolysis with the intracavity laser spectroscopy utilizing the HNO absorption. They noted that, in the high pressure region above 600 Torr of NO, reaction (2) followed the pseudo first order decay rate of HNO, while at lower pressures the decay obeyed the second-order law in [HNO]. On the other hand, Wiebe et al.<sup>7)</sup> traced the yields of N<sub>2</sub>, concluding that at high NO pressures the N<sub>2</sub> yield depends on [NO] while at low pressures it depends on [NO]<sup>2</sup>. These observations suggest the competition between the reversible slow reaction of HNO with NO (2a) followed by fast subsequent reaction (2b) and the fast bimolecular reaction of HNO (3)



The products of the reaction (2) have not been established yet. Cheskis et al.<sup>5)</sup> measured  $[\text{NO}_2]$  growth profiles and mentioned that most likely products were  $\text{HO}_2 + \text{N}_2$  for the reaction (2a) and unlikely chain reactions owing to H atom reproduction.

The present work is aimed at disclosing the products and the stoichiometry of reaction (2). We used formaldehyde  $\text{HCHO}$ , methylnitrate  $\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}$ , and ammonia  $\text{NH}_3$  as the precursors that give rise to  $\text{HNO}$  upon reactions with  $\text{NO}$ .

## 2. Experimental


### (A) $\text{HCHO}/\text{NO}$

It has been established that  $\text{HCHO}$  is photolytically decomposed into both  $\text{H} + \text{HCO}$  and  $\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}$  at the spectral region of 250-350 nm.<sup>9)</sup>  $\text{HNO}$  is produced through both the reaction (1) and (5)<sup>10)</sup> under excessive  $[\text{NO}]$  condition.



A cross shaped cylindrical pylex cell of 15 and 11 cm in optical path length and of 35 and 25 mm in internal diameter, respectively, was used for the steady light photolysis. The windows attached on the both sides of the cylinders were made of  $\text{NaCl}$  for the longer cylinder to transmit the IR beam and quartz for the shorter one to transmit the UV light, respectively. The UV light source used was medium-pressure mercury lamp (Ushio UM-452). A glass filter was used to transmit the UV light only in the spectral range of 280-400 nm selectively. The light was colimated by a quartz lens and led into the cell. IR absorption spectra of the photolysis gas mixtures were measured by FTIR spectrometer (JASCO FTIR-5000) in a spectral range of 500-4300  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  with a resolution of 4 $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and calculated

**(B) CH<sub>3</sub>ONO/NO**

$$\text{CH}_3\text{ONO} \xrightarrow{h\nu} \text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{NO} \quad (6)$$


In the  $\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}/\text{NO}$  experiment only the light source used was different from the  $\text{HCHO}/\text{NO}$  experiment. A microwave-discharged low pressure mercury lamp was used as a 253.7 nm source. To prevent the production of  $\text{NO}_2$  via photochemical reactions <sup>11)</sup>



an NO gas filter of 2 cm in thickness at a pressure of 100 Torr was inserted between the lamp and the cell. The sample gases used had the following compositions: CH<sub>3</sub>ONO/NO=1 / 75, CH<sub>3</sub>ONO/NO/Ar=1 / 73 / 400, and CH<sub>3</sub>ONO/NO/NO<sub>2</sub>=1 / 225 / 6. Concentration of CH<sub>3</sub>ONO was determined from the absorbance at 992 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

### (C) NH<sub>3</sub>/NO

Photolysis of NH<sub>3</sub> in rich NO mixtures was adapted as the third way to investigate reaction (2). HNO is formed through reaction (1) after the photodissociation of NH<sub>3</sub> below 210 nm.<sup>12)</sup>



The reaction of NH<sub>2</sub> with NO has been investigated thoroughly.<sup>12)</sup> The main products suggested to date is N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O at room temperature in the gas phase.



NO<sub>2</sub> that was produced by reaction (2) seemed to react with NH<sub>3</sub> heterogeneously at high NH<sub>3</sub> pressures, so that the steady light experiments would give incorrect results. Instead, the large dissociation cross section of NH<sub>3</sub> relative to other precursors used here gives a large amount of NO<sub>2</sub>, which enabled us to conduct a flash photolysis experiment with transient absorption technique in a very easy way.

A rectangular quartz cell of 4cm × 1cm × 1cm was used for the flash photolysis. The pulse width of the xenon flash lamp was ~100 μs. Absorption at 420 ± 7 nm due to NO<sub>2</sub> absorption band was monitored through the longer axis of the cell using the visible light from a tungsten filament for 100-2000 ms after the pulse. The sample gas pressure was NH<sub>3</sub> 40 Torr and NO 300-900 Torr. In order to



estimate the amount of  $\text{NH}_3$  dissociated in a single flash a chemical actinometry was conducted with  $\text{NH}_3$ /ethylene gas mixtures.



The rate constants available in the literature<sup>11)</sup> ensure that the addition reaction (13) dominates the recombination of H with  $\text{NH}_2$  to reproduce  $\text{NH}_3$ . In practice gas mixtures of  $\text{NH}_3$  40 Torr and  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$  600 Torr introduced in the same cell that was used in  $\text{NH}_3/\text{NO}$  experiments were photolyzed by 50 pulses. The decrement of  $\text{NH}_3$  was measured from the IR absorption due to  $\text{NH}_3$   $\nu_1$  band ( $3338\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) which came into observable spectral region of quartz window

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### (A) Photolysis of $\text{HCHO}/\text{NO}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}/\text{NO}$


It is observed that the UV irradiation of the  $\text{HCHO}/\text{NO}$  mixtures diminishes  $\text{HCHO}$  with time gives rise to  $\text{CO}$ ,  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , and  $\text{HONO}$ . Fig. 1 shows a representative difference spectrum of the IR absorption for the photolyzed sample gas. One of concentration-time profiles for these four species is shown in Fig. 2 where concentration is expressed by pressure. It seems that the decrement of  $[\text{HCHO}]$  is nearly equal to the increment of  $[\text{CO}]$ . More precisely, the CO yield which is defined by  $\phi_{\text{CO}} = -d[\text{CO}]/d[\text{HCHO}]$  is found to be 0.8 at the NO pressure  $P_{\text{NO}} = 800$  Torr. In a similar way the yield of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is obtained to be  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} = 0.12$ . Fig. 3 shows the NO pressure dependences of  $\phi_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$ . It is evident that  $\phi_{\text{CO}}$  approaches unity as  $P_{\text{NO}}$  increases. Decrease of  $\phi_{\text{CO}}$  at lower  $P_{\text{NO}}$  may be due to the recombination reaction of CO with O atom generated by the  $\text{NO}_2$  photodecomposition. A small branching ratio of the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production channel does not seem to be affected by  $P_{\text{NO}}$ .

The yield of  $\text{H}_2$  was measured by mass-spectrometric analysis. From three experiments using  $\text{HCHO/NO}$  ( $= 0.5 / 700 \text{ Torr}$ ) gas mixtures we evaluated  $\phi_{\text{H}_2} = 0.52$ , indicating that the reactions (4a) and (4b) occur with almost equal probabilities. The result implies that the initial yield of  $\text{HNO}$  generated from the photolysis of  $\text{HCHO}$  is about unity, because of two H atom of  $\text{HCHO}$ .

In contrast to the fairly good linearity in the time profiles of  $\text{HCHO}$ ,  $\text{CO}$ , and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $[\text{NO}_2]$  shows nonlinear growth curve, which makes us suspect existence of some  $\text{NO}_2$ -consuming processes, for example, photodecomposition or reactions with active photofragments. However, highly excessive  $[\text{NO}]$  would convert the photofragmented O atom into  $\text{NO}_2$  rapidly through the recombination reaction. Furthermore, the observed growth curve does not look like a usual asymptotic saturation curve which should be seen if photodecomposition affected. It is hard to estimate  $\phi_{\text{NO}_2}$  from the initial slopes of the  $[\text{NO}_2]$  profile, but it seems to be more than 2.

Fig. 4 shows similar time profiles for  $\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}$ ,  $\text{HCHO}$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , and  $\text{NO}_2$  measured in the UV irradiation of  $\text{CH}_3\text{ONO/NO}$  gas mixtures. The yields of  $\text{HCHO}$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  were  $\phi_{\text{HCHO}} (= -d[\text{HCHO}]/d[\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}]) = 1.0$  and  $\phi_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} = 0.36$ . Note that  $\text{HCHO}$  in this experiment plays the same role as does  $\text{CO}$  in the first experiment.  $[\text{NO}_2]$  still shows curvature in the time profiles. The value of  $\phi_{\text{NO}_2}$  estimated from the initial slopes becomes 4 at least. This large yield indicates the possibility of a chain reaction participating in the  $\text{HNO}$  decay process. Also the curvature of the  $[\text{NO}_2]$  profile implies the self-inhibition of  $\text{NO}_2$ .

From the above lines of evidence, we propose a chain mechanism of the over-all reactions (1) and (2) as follows.



Addition of Ar into the sample gas is expected to accelerate the chain reaction through the recombination (1). On the other hand addition of  $\text{NO}_2$  should inhibit the chain reaction through the reaction (15).



These speculations have been supported by the photolysis of both  $\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}/\text{NO}/\text{Ar}$  and  $\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}/\text{NO}/\text{NO}_2$  gas mixtures. As can be seen in Fig. 5 addition of Ar enhanced the production of  $\text{NO}_2$ . By contrast, the photolysis of  $\text{CH}_3\text{ONO}/\text{NO}/\text{NO}_2$  mixtures have exhibited decreasings of  $\text{NO}_2$  concentration.

### (B) Flash Phtolysis

To rule out secondary photochemical processes and heterogenous reactions the flash photolysis was utilized. As the life time of HNO is of the order of 100 ms under present conditions, the pulse width ( $\sim 100 \mu\text{s}$ ) is short enough to investigate the products of the reaction (2). Fig. 6 shows a typical example of the transient absorption spectra at  $420 \pm 7 \text{ nm}$ . Similar absorption profiles were continuously observed up to 700 nm with slightly higher intensity around 420 nm. This feature is consistent with  $\text{NO}_2$  absorption band. The rise time is in the same order as Cheskis reported. However, the yields of  $\text{NO}_2$  ( $\phi_{\text{NO}_2} \equiv -[\text{NO}_2]_{\infty}/\Delta[\text{NH}_3]$ ) observed here far exceed unity (see Table 1) in contrast with the results by Cheskis et al.<sup>5)</sup> who reported  $\phi_{\text{NO}_2}$  being nearly equal unity. The present relsults lend strong support to the chain mechanism proposed above.

#### 4. Summary

Ultimate product analysis for successive reactions caused by hydrogen atom in the presense of nitric oxide NO was conducted by means of FTIR absorption spectroscopy in the photolysis of HCHO/NO and CH<sub>3</sub>ONO/NO, using a medium pressure mercury lamp and a low pressure mercury lamp, respectively. In addition time-resolved visible light absorption technique was applied to monitor [NO<sub>2</sub>] arising from the flash photolysis of NH<sub>3</sub>/NO gas mixtures using a xenon flash lamp. It was found that at the NO pressures of 300-900 Torr the NO<sub>2</sub> yield was found to be large by a factor of 5-16 times relative to the amount of the H atom produced.

## References

- 1) F. C. Kohout and F. W. Lampe, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **46**, 4075 (1967).
- 2) N. Washida, H. Akimoto, and M. Okuda, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **82**, 2293 (1978).
- 3) K. Oka, D. L. Singleton, and R. J. Cvetanovic, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **66**, 713 (1977).
- 4) S. P. Walch and C. M. Rohlfig, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **91**, 2939 (1989).
- 5) S. G. Cheskis, V. A. Nadtochenko, and O. M. Sarkisov, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, **13**, 1041 (1981).
- 6) R. R. Baldwin, A. Gethin, J. Plaistone, and R. W. Walker, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans., I*, **71**, 1265 (1975).
- 7) H. A. Wiebe and J. Heicklen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 1 (1973).
- 8) J. L. Holmes and E. V. Sundaram, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **62**, 1822 (1966).
- 9) M. C. Dodge and T. Hecht, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet. Symp.*, **1**, 155 (1975).
- 10) B. Veyret and R. Lesclaux, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **85**, 1918 (1981).
- 11) H. Okabe, "Photochemistry of Small Molecules", Wiley-Interscience Publication (1978) pp 171-177.
- 12) (a) J. A. Silver and C. E. Kolb, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **86**, 3240 (1982).  
(b) J. A. Silver and C. E. Kolb, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **91**, 3713 (1987).  
(c) L. J. Stiff, W. D. Brobst, D. F. Nava, R. P. Borkowski, and J. V. Michael, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans., 2*, **78**, 1391 (1982).  
(d) C. F. Melius and J. S. Binkley, 20 th Symp. (Internat.) on Combustion, Combustion Inst., Pittsburgh (1984), p. 575  
(e) B. Atakan, A. Jacobs, M. wahl, R. Weller, and J. Wolfrum, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **155**, 609 (1989).
- 13) F. Westley, "Table of Recommended Rate Constants for Chemical Reactions Occurring in Combustion," National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (1980).

Table 1. Yield of NO<sub>2</sub> in the Fash Photolysis of  
NH<sub>3</sub>/NO/SF<sub>6</sub> Gas Mixtures.

<i>No.</i>	$\frac{P_{\text{NH}_3}}{\text{Torr}}$	$\frac{P_{\text{NO}}}{\text{Torr}}$	$\frac{P_{\text{SF}_6}}{\text{Torr}}$	$\phi_{\text{NO}_2}$ -
061701	43	938	0	16.2
061702	43	924	0	13.0
120901	47	644	0	12.3
120902	39	672	0	8.7
011901	39	336	385	10.3
011902	39	287	434	6.5
011904	39	294	336	4.9
011905	40	280	119	14.6
012601	39	364	378	8.1
012602	40	322	294	6.5
012603	39	329	140	8.4
012604	39	0	70	0.0
012605	43	322	84	9.7
012606	39	336	392	5.5
012607	0	336	434	0.0

$$\phi_{\text{NO}_2} \equiv -[\text{NO}_2]_{\infty}/\Delta[\text{NH}_3]$$




Figure 1. Difference spectrum of IR absorption of the HCHO/NO mixture after 35 mins photolysis.




Figure 2. Concentration-time profile in the photolysis of HCHO/NO mixture.






Figure 3. Pressure dependence of the CO and N<sub>2</sub>O yield in the photolysis of HCHO/NO mixtures (P<sub>HCHO</sub>= 1 Torr).




Figure 4. Concentration-time profile of the products in the photolysis of CH<sub>3</sub>ONO/NO mixture (1.1 / 600 Torr).




Figure 5. Effect of argon as a quencher on the NO<sub>2</sub> profile.




Figure 6. Transient absorption spectrum at 420 nm in the flash photolysis of  
NH<sub>3</sub>/NO mixture (43 / 938 Torr).

## Chapter 2

### Potential Energy Profiles for the Reaction of HNO with NO

Theoretical calculation involving the approximately projected unrestricted Møller Plesset perturbation theory at second order (APUMP2) was performed to investigate the mechanism of the reaction of HNO with NO and the reactions expected to take place subsequently under excess NO conditions. The calculated potential energy profiles have revealed a possibility of the production of the H atom, which have strongly supported the chain mechanism proposed in chapter 1. The elucidated mechanism would proceed with a stoichiometry of  $\text{H} + 4\text{NO} \longrightarrow \text{H} + \text{N}_2 + 2\text{NO}_2$ .

## 1. Calculations

Main feature of the chain mechanism proposed in chapter 1 is intermediacy of the H atom, which would be consumed by the recombination with NO;



and which would be reproduced by the successive reactions of HNO with some NO to produce NO<sub>2</sub>. However the reactions have not been well defined. Thus the mechanism is worth being explored by theoretical calculations to confirm the experimental results.

We have carried out ab initio MO calculations on the energetics relevant to the reaction:



in order to explore energetically accessible root for the chain reaction. Geometry-optimization procedures were employed with 6-31G\*\* basis set and correlation energies were estimated by Approximately Projected Unrestricted Møller-Plesset perturbation theory at second order (APUMP2) for open shell species and restricted MP2 (RMP2) for closed shell species. Optimized geometries for 13 stationary points including two saddle points are given in Fig. 1. SCF and APUMP energies for these structures are listed in Table 1. On the basis of these energies we can depict a reaction mechanism where H atom is reproduced.




Fig. 2 shows potential energy diagram for HNO + NO system. The first step of the reaction (2) is adduct formation. We examined the lowest electronic state for the adduct **1**, utilizing APUMP2/3-21G\*\* for energy evaluation at the geometry of minimum energy points obtained by UHF/3-21G optimization. Adiabatic excitation energy for three low-lying excited states are listed in Table 2. The electronic ground state has Cs symmetry with 5  $\pi$  electrons ( $^2\text{A}''$ ). Also we examined cis isomers **2** and N-O adducts **3**, where "cis" is defined with respect to ONNO skeleton and "N-O" means addition onto O atom in HNO. Consequently the structure **1** as can be seen in Fig. 1 was found to be the most stable structure with  $^2\text{A}''$  electronic state. The stabilization energy for the addition (2a) is small (-21 kJ/mol) based on APUMP2 energies. This value may suggest reversibility of the reaction (2a) unless large activation energy is required for the addition-dissociation step.

A transition state structure was found by UHF/6-31G\*\* calculation and designated by **TS1** in Fig. 1. The barrier height relative to HNO + NO level is 82 kJ/mol (APUMP2). **TS1** connects HNO( $\text{X}^1\text{A}'$ ) + NO with the adduct in its first excited state ( $^2\text{A}''$ ) while the ground adduct **1** is found to correlate to HNO( $\text{a}^3\text{A}''$ ) + NO on the UHF surface. In reality, however, both states should be confused and the ground adduct **1** is thought to be connected with the ground HNO + NO via **TS1**.

The rate constant of the reaction (2) has been reported to be  $k_2 = 3.0 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$  at room temperature.<sup>1)</sup> From this value the activation energy can be estimated to fall into 40 ~ 50 kJ/mol, if the preexponential factor is assumed to be  $10^{13}\sim 10^{14} \text{ cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ . The calculated barrier height (82 kJ/mol) is pretty high

relative to that estimated here. Taking it into account that UHF calculation failed in tracing the course of the reaction, the TS1 geometry and energy may be improved by more sophisticated treatment like a CASSCF procedure. Anyway the first step of the reaction (2) forms **1**.

Decomposition of **1** into  $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{OH}$  or  $\text{N}_2 + \text{HO}_2$  needs rearrangement of **1** to **4** before dissociation. The potential energy of **4** is slightly higher (18 kJ/mol) than  $\text{HNO} + \text{NO}$  level. It is unlikely that the transition state of this rearrangement comes below that of the first step. On the other hand it is likely that association reaction with another NO molecule proceeds without significant activation energy as can be seen in ordinary radical-radical association reactions.

With respect to the association step we examined three adducts including two N-O adducts and one N-N adduct. The N-N adduct is found to have relatively high energy as compared to N-O adducts. Two lower energy structure relative to **1** + NO level are shown in Fig. 1 (**5** and **7**). Many geometrical isomers and isomerizations are possible, such as **6**, **8**, **9**, and TS2, the transition state between **7** and **9** (see Fig. 3). But we think that the third step is fragmentation of **5** into  $\text{HNNO}(\text{12}) + \text{NO}_2$ , because, if products levels are energetically accessible, fragmentations tend to require less or no activation energy than isomerizations. Also from the viewpoint of activation entropy fragmentations are advantageous. In addition  $\text{NO}_2$  is the species observed in the experiments.

We examined two HNNO isomers (**11** and **12**). Both of them are planer and the ground electronic states are  $^2\text{A}'$ . As can be seen in Fig. 3 **12** is more stable than **11**. We will denote **12** as HNNO. Therefore the third step, i.e. fragmentation from **5**, will give  $\text{HNNO} + \text{NO}$ . Ab initio CI study for HNNO <sup>2)</sup> revealed that HNNO would be thermally stable. Thus HNNO is supposed to react with the third NO molecule.


The fourth step is another association of HNNO with NO. This process also expected not to have significant barrier. One of the structure for the adducts is given in Fig. 1 (**10**). As can be expected from the long distance of the center N-O



bond (1.456 Å), the adduct will dissociate to N<sub>2</sub>H and NO<sub>2</sub> again (see Fig. 4). This dissociation step will be able to proceed with excess energy in the fourth association step. Finally, it is known that N<sub>2</sub>H dissociates to N<sub>2</sub> and H atom.<sup>3)</sup> This H atom can form HNO and next cycle starts again.

## 2. Conclusion

The theoretical calculation was carried out with APUMP2 method. The results indicate that the chain mechanism predicted in chapter 1 will progress as following schemes.



In other words, disproportionation of NO effectively occurs in the presence of the H atom.

## References

- 1) S. G. Cheskis, V. A. Nadtochenko, and O. M. Sarkisov, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, **13**, 1041 (1981).
- 2) T. Fueno, M. Fukuda, and K. Yokoyama, *Chem. Phys.*, **124**, 265 (1988).
- 3) S. F. Selgren, P. W. Mcloughlin, and G. I. Gellene, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **90**, 1624 (1989).
- 4) M. W. Chase, Jr., C. A. Davies, J. R. Downey, Jr., D. J. Frurip, R. A. McDonald, and A. N. Syverud, "JANAF thermochemical Tables," 3rd ed, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (1985).

Table 1


## APUMP2 Energies of Stationary Points.

Compounds	6-31G**		
	SCF	APUMP2	$\Delta E_{\text{APUMP2}}$
	hartree	hartree	kJ/mol
HNO + NO	-259.03699	-259.68524	(0)
1	-259.03946	-259.69330	-21
2	-259.03660	-259.69142	-16
3	-259.00285	-259.65463	80
4	-259.03819	-259.67857	18
TS1	-259.00171	-259.59980	82
1 + NO			(0)
5	-388.22776	-389.25226	-4
6	-388.20961	-389.26116	-28
7	-388.21972	-389.26419	-35
8	-388.23979	-389.27475	-64
9	-388.26134	-389.28301	-85
TS2	-388.12742	-389.18279	178
10	-313.42103	-314.27452	-
11	-184.20906	-184.66909	-
12	-184.21210	-184.70192	-


Table 2

Excitation Energies for the Excited States of **1**.


State	3-21G**//UHF/3-21G		
	UHF	APUMP2	$\Delta E_{\text{APUMP2}}$
	hartree	hartree	kJ/mol
$^2A''$	-257.70588	-258.34415	(0)
$^2A''$	-257.68879	-258.31887	58
$^2A'$	-257.67218	-258.27867	172
$^2A'$	-257.66463	-258.26153	217




1



2




3




4


Figure 1. Optimized geometries of the stationary points.




5



6




7




8


Figure 1. (continued)




9



10




11




12

Figure 1. (continued)



**TS1**



**TS2**

Figure 1. (continued)




Figure 2. Potential energy diagram for HNO + NO system.






Figure 3 Potential energy diagram for  $\text{HNO} + 2\text{NO}$  system.




Figure 4. Potential energy diagram for HNNO + NO system.