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#### Abstract

We consider finite-dimensional interacting diffusions which are defined by adding a linear drift term to independent one dimensional diffusions. For these processes we prove that the distribution of the occupation time at the first quadrant converges to a generalized arc-sine law.


## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ be a finite set, and let $A=\left\{A_{i j}\right\}_{i \neq j \in S}$ be a matrix with non-negative elements. Let us consider the following stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{i}(t)=\alpha\left(X_{i}(t)\right) d B_{i}(t)+\sum_{j \in S} A_{i j}\left(X_{j}(t)-X_{i}(t)\right) d t, \quad(i \in S), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{B_{i}(t)\right\}_{i \in S}$ is an independent system of one-dimensional standard Brownian motions.

Assume that $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a Borel measurable function satisfying the following conditions:
[A-1] For some positive constant $C>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x) \leq C(1+|x|) \quad \text { for } \quad x \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[A-2] For each compact set $K$, there exists a positive constant $c_{K}$ such that $\alpha(x) \geq c_{K}$ $(x \in K)$,
one can see by standard arguments to use the Girsanov theorem that for any initial distribution on $\mathbb{R}^{S}$, the $\operatorname{SDE}(1.1)$ has a unique weak solution, which defines a diffusion process $\left(X(t), P_{x}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{S}$. We call the diffusion process a finite-dimensional interacting diffusion.

In this paper we are concerned with limiting distribution as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the occupation time of $X(t)$ at the first quadrant $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{S}=[0, \infty)^{S}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{S}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} I_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{s}}(X(s)) d s \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In non-interacting case where $A=\left\{A_{i j}\right\}$ is absent, each coordinate process is a diffusion process $\left(X(t), P_{x}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ governed by the following SDE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X(t)=\alpha(X(t)) d B(t) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the one-dimensional diffusion process $\left(X(t), P_{x}\right)$ governed by (1.4) Watanabe [5] proved that the distribution of

$$
\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} I_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(X(s)) d s
$$

converges to a non-degenerate distribution as $t \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if

$$
m_{+}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \alpha(u)^{-2} d u, \quad m_{-}(x)=\int_{-x}^{0} \alpha(u)^{-2} d u \quad(x \geq 0)
$$

satisfy the following condition; for some $0<p<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{ \pm}(x)=x^{1 / p-1} K_{ \pm}(x) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with slowly varying functions $K_{+}(x)$ and $K_{-}(x)$ at $x=\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x>\infty} \frac{K_{+}(x)}{K_{-}(x)}=b \in(0, \infty) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it holds that

$$
\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} I_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(X(s)) d s \stackrel{(d)}{\Longrightarrow} Y_{p, q} \quad(t \rightarrow \infty)
$$

where $q$ is given by

$$
q=\frac{b^{p}}{1+b^{p}} \in(0, \infty),
$$

and $\stackrel{(d)}{\Longrightarrow}$ denotes convergence in distribution and $Y_{p, q}$ is a $[0,1]$-valued random variable with the Stieltjes transform given by

$$
E\left[\frac{1}{u+Y_{p, q}}\right]=\frac{q(u+1)^{p-1}+(1-q) u^{p-1}}{q(u+1)^{p}+(1-q) u^{p}}, \quad u>0 .
$$

The family $Y_{p, q}, 0<p \leq 1,0<q<1$, was introduced by Lamperti [2], of which distribution is called a generalized arc-sine law. In particular, the distribution of $Y_{1 / 2,1 / 2}$ is the arc-sine law, of which density function is given by

$$
\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{x(1-x)}}
$$

For general $0<p<1$ and $0<q<1, Y_{p, q}$ has the density $f_{p, q}(x)$ on [0, 1];

$$
f_{p, q}(x)=\frac{\sin p \pi}{\pi} \frac{q(1-q) x^{p-1}(1-x)^{p-1}}{q^{2}(1-x)^{2 p}+(1-q)^{2} x^{2 p}+2 q(1-q) x^{p}(1-x)^{p} \cos p \pi} .
$$

For the finite-dimensional interacting diffusion $\left(X(t), P_{x}\right)$ governed by (1.1) we investigate the limiting distribution of (1.3) under the following condition:
[B-1] $\alpha(x)$ is regularly varying both at $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $x \rightarrow-\infty$ with the common exponent $-\infty<\gamma<1 / 2$, and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(-x)}{\alpha(x)}=c \in(0, \infty) .
$$

[B-2] An $S \times S$-matrix $A=\left\{A_{i j}\right\}_{i, j \in S}$, of which diagonal element is defined by

$$
A_{i i}=-\sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} A_{i j} \quad(i \in S),
$$

is irreducible.
We note that by [B-2]

$$
Q_{t}=\exp t A
$$

defines a transition matrix of an irreducible Markov process on $S$, so that there exists a probability vector $m=\left\{m_{i}\right\}_{i \in S}$ with $m_{i}>0$ such that for some $\delta>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q_{t}(i, j)-m_{j}\right| \leq e^{-\delta t} \quad(i, j \in S) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main result of this paper is the following.
Theorem 1.1. Assume the conditions $[\mathrm{B}-1]$ and $[\mathrm{B}-2]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \delta_{X(s)} d s \stackrel{(d)}{\Longrightarrow} Y_{p, q} \delta_{+\underline{\infty}}+\left(1-Y_{p, q}\right) \delta_{-\underline{\infty}} \quad(t \rightarrow \infty) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $+\underline{\infty}=\left\{x_{i} \equiv+\infty\right\},-\underline{\infty}=\left\{x_{i} \equiv-\infty\right\}, \delta_{X(s)}, \delta_{+\underline{\infty}}$ and $\delta_{-\underline{\infty}}$ stand for the one point mass at $X(s),+\underline{\infty}$ and $-\underline{\infty}$ respectively, and $\xlongequal{(d)}$ denotes the weak convergence as $\mathcal{P}\left([-\infty, \infty]^{S}\right)$-valued random variables, and here $p, q$ are given by

$$
p=\frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)}, \quad q=\frac{c^{2 p}}{1+c^{2 p}} .
$$

From Theorem 1.1 it follows immediately that

Corollary 1.2. Assume the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.1. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} I_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{s}}(X(s)) d s \stackrel{(d)}{\Longrightarrow} Y_{p, q} \quad(t \rightarrow \infty) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result of Theorem 1.1 can be interpreted as follows. Since $S$ is a finite set, the effect of the interaction $A=\left\{A_{i j}\right\}$ is so strong that all component processes diverge to $\infty$ or $-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ simultaneously. Hence the phenomena would be quite similar to the one-dimensional case. Nevertheless the one-dimensional analysis as in Watanabe [5] cannot be applied, so, in the next section, we will investigate a scaling limit for the finite-dimensional interacting diffusion $\left(X(t), P_{x}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{S}$.

## 2. A scaling limit of $X(t)$

By the condition [B-1] $\alpha(x)$ has the following form;

$$
\alpha(x)=|x|^{\gamma} L(x) \quad(|x|>0),
$$

where $L(x)$ is a slowly varying function both at $\infty$ and $-\infty$ satisfying that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(-x)}{L(x)}=c \in(0, \infty) .
$$

Let

$$
p=\frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{\lambda}=\lambda L\left(\lambda^{p}\right)^{-2} \quad(\lambda>0) .
$$

We introduce a rescaled process $\left(X^{\lambda}(t), B^{\lambda}(t)\right)$ by

$$
X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)=\lambda^{-p} X_{i}^{\lambda}\left(\theta_{\lambda} t\right), \quad B_{i}^{\lambda}(t)=\theta_{\lambda}^{-1 / 2} B_{i}\left(\theta_{\lambda} t\right), \quad i \in S
$$

Note that $\left\{B_{i}^{\lambda}(t)\right\}_{i \in S}$ are independent Brownians motion and the rescaled process $\left(X^{\lambda}(t), B^{\lambda}(t)\right)$ satisfies the following SDE;

$$
d X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)=\alpha_{\lambda}\left(X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)\right) d B_{i}^{\lambda}(t)+\theta_{\lambda} \sum_{j \in S} A_{i j}\left(X_{j}^{\lambda}(t)-X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)\right) d t,
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}(x)=\lambda^{-p} \theta_{\lambda}^{1 / 2} \alpha\left(\lambda^{p} x\right) .
$$

Moreover it holds that

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{\lambda}(x)= \begin{cases}x^{\gamma} & (0<x), \\ c|x|^{\gamma} & (0>x) .\end{cases}
$$

In order to describe the limiting processes of the $\left(X^{\lambda}(t)\right)$ we introduce a class of skew Bessel processes on natural scale.

Let

$$
\bar{\alpha}(x)= \begin{cases}\|m\|_{2} x^{\gamma} & (0 \leq x), \\ \|m\|_{2} c|x|^{\gamma} & (0>x) .\end{cases}
$$

where $\|m\|_{2}=\sqrt{\sum_{i \in S} m_{i}^{2}}, \bar{\alpha}(0)=\infty$ if $\gamma<0$, and $\bar{\alpha}(0)=\|m\|_{2}$ if $\gamma=0$.
Let us consider the following one-dimensional SDE:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d Z(t)=\bar{\alpha}(Z(t)) d B(t), \\
& Z(0)=x \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

If $-\infty<\gamma \leq 0$, the $\operatorname{SDE}$ (2.1) has a law unique solution, however, if $0<\gamma<1 / 2$, the law uniqueness for (2.1) fails. In this case, if we add the non-sticky condition to (2.1), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} I_{\{0\}}(Z(s)) d s=0 \quad(\forall t>0), \quad P \text {-a.s. } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the law uniqueness holds. In fact, the solution can be constructed from a Brownian motion through the time change method. Thus we have a diffusion process $\left(Z(t), P_{x}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R}$, which is called a skew Bessel process on natural scale.

Theorem 2.1. Assume the conditions $[\mathrm{B}-1]$ and $[\mathrm{B}-2]$, and $X(0)$ is a $\mathbb{R}^{S}$-valued random variable independent of $B(t)=\left\{B_{i}(t)\right\}_{i \in S}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X^{\lambda}(t)=\left\{X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)\right\}_{i \in S}\right) \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{L})}\left(X^{\infty}(t)=\left\{X_{i}^{\infty}(t)\right\}_{i \in S}\right) \quad(\lambda \rightarrow \infty), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\stackrel{(\mathcal{L})}{\Longrightarrow}$ stands for the weak convergence of the probability laws on the path space induced by $\left\{X^{\lambda}(t)\right\}$. Moreover, all component processes of $\left\{X_{i}^{\infty}(t)\right\}_{i \in S}$ coincide with each other and the common process is equivalent to a skew Bessel diffusion on natural scale $(Z(t))$ governed by (2.1) with $Z(0)=0$ being imposed the non-sticky condition whenever $0<\gamma<1 / 2$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} I_{\{0\}}(Z(s)) d s=0 \quad(t>0), \quad P-a . s . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 2.1 it follows the following
Corollary 2.2. Under the same assumption of in Theorem 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t) \stackrel{(d)}{\Longrightarrow} q \delta_{+\underline{\infty}}+(1-q) \delta_{-\underline{\infty}} \quad(t \rightarrow \infty) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from Theorem 2.1. In fact, since

$$
\int_{0}^{t} I(Z(s)=0) d s=0
$$

by Theorem 2.1 we can see that for every bounded continuous function $f$ on $[-\infty, \infty]$ it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\theta_{\lambda}} \int_{0}^{\theta_{\lambda}} f(X(s)) d s & =\int_{0}^{1} f\left(\lambda^{p} X^{\lambda}(s)\right) d s \\
& \xlongequal{(d)} f(+\underline{\infty}) \int_{0}^{1} I(Z(s)>0) d s+f(-\underline{\infty}) \int_{0}^{1} I(Z(s)<0) d s \\
& =Y_{p, q} f(+\underline{\infty})+\left(1-Y_{p, q}\right) f(-\underline{\infty}),
\end{aligned}
$$

because of

$$
\int_{0}^{1} I(Z(s)>0) d s \stackrel{(d)}{=} Y_{p, q} .
$$

For the last relation see Watanabe [5].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

To avoid complication of arguments we prove Theorem 2.1 under the following condition [B-3] instead of [B-1], since the proof is essentially the same even under the condition [B-1].
[B-3] Let $-\infty<\gamma<1 / 2$, and for some $\alpha_{+}>0$ and $\alpha_{-}>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(x)}{x^{\gamma}}=\alpha_{+}, \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{\alpha(x)}{|x|^{\gamma}}=\alpha_{-} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows we assume the conditions [A-1], [A-2], [B-2] and [B-3]. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{1}{2(1-\gamma)}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\lambda>0$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\lambda}(x)=\lambda^{-p+1 / 2} \alpha\left(\lambda^{p} x\right), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{\infty}(x)= \begin{cases}\alpha_{+} x^{\gamma} & (0 \leq x),  \tag{3.4}\\ \alpha_{-}|x|^{\gamma} & (x<0) .\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{\infty}(0)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\infty & (\gamma<0), \\
\alpha_{+} & (\gamma=0) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\alpha}(x)=\|m\|_{2} \alpha_{\infty}(x) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{m_{i}\right\}_{i \in S}$ is a probability vector in (1.7), and $\|m\|_{2}=\sqrt{\sum_{i \in S} m_{i}^{2}}$.
For the diffusion process $\left(X(t), P_{x}\right)$ governed by (1.1) we introduce a rescaled process $X^{\lambda}(t)(\lambda>0)$ by

$$
X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)=\lambda^{-p} X_{i}(\lambda t) \quad(i \in S)
$$

which satisfies the following SDE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)=\alpha_{\lambda}\left(X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)\right) d B_{i}^{\lambda}(t)+\lambda \sum_{j \in S} A_{i j}\left(X_{j}^{\lambda}(t)-X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)\right) d t \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof of Theorem 2.1 we may assume that the initial condition $X(0)$ is non-random, i.e.

$$
X(0)=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i \in S} \in \mathbb{R}^{S}
$$

We first prepare several moment estimates of the rescaled process $X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $-\infty<\gamma<1 / 2$. For $a \geq 2$ there exists a constant $C=C(a, p)>$ 0 such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in S} m_{i} E\left[\left|X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)\right|^{a}\right] \leq C\left(\lambda^{-p n}+\lambda^{-p n} \sum_{i \in S} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|^{a}+t^{p a}\right) \quad(t \geq 0, \lambda>0) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the Itô formula and taking expectations, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \sum_{i \in S} m_{i} E\left[\left|X_{i}(t)\right|^{a}\right]= & a \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S} m_{i} A_{i j} E\left[\left|X_{i}(t)\right|^{a-1} \operatorname{sgn}\left(X_{i}(t)\right)\left(X_{j}(t)-X_{i}(t)\right)\right]  \tag{3.8}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} a(a-1) \sum_{i \in S} m_{i} E\left[\left|X_{i}(t)\right|^{a-2} \alpha^{2}\left(X_{i}(t)\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S} m_{i} A_{i j}\left|x_{i}\right|^{a-1} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-x_{i}\right) \leq 0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

because, using $\sum_{j \in S} A_{i j}=0, \sum_{i \in S} m_{i} A_{i j}=0$ and a simple inequality

$$
t^{a-1} s \leq \frac{a-1}{a} t^{a}+\frac{1}{a} s^{a} \quad(t>0, s>0)
$$

we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S} m_{i} A_{i j}\left|x_{i}\right|^{a-1} \operatorname{sgn}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-x_{i}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S} m_{i} A_{i j}\left(\left|x_{i}\right|^{a-1}\left|x_{j}\right|-\left|x_{i}\right|^{a}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S} m_{i} A_{i j}\left(\left|x_{j}\right|^{a}-\left|x_{i}\right|^{a}\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that by the conditions [A-1], [A-2] and [B-3] there exists constants $C_{1}>0$ and $C_{2}>0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(1+|x|)^{\gamma} \leq \alpha(x) \leq C_{2}(1+|x|)^{\gamma}, \quad(x \in \mathbb{R}), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that there exists a constant $C_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in S} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|^{a-2} \alpha^{2}\left(x_{i}\right) \leq C_{3}\left(1+\sum_{i \in S} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|^{a}\right)^{1-1 / a p} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) $F(t)=\sum_{i \in S} m_{i} E\left[\left|X_{i}(t)\right|^{2 a}\right]$ satisfies

$$
\frac{d}{d t} F(t) \leq C_{3}(1+F(t))^{1-1 / a p}
$$

Thus we obtain, for some $C_{4}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in S} m_{i} E\left[\left|X_{i}(t)\right|^{a}\right] \leq C_{4}\left(1+\sum_{i \in S} m_{i}\left|x_{i}\right|^{a}+t^{a p}\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.7) follows immediately from (3.12).

Let

$$
U_{i, j}(t)=X_{i}(t)-X_{j}(t) \quad(i \neq j \in S),
$$

and for $\lambda>0$ let

$$
U_{i, j}^{\lambda}(t)=X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)-X_{j}^{\lambda}(t) \quad(i \neq j \in S) .
$$

Lemma 3.2. (i) For any $a \geq 2$ there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
E\left[\left|U_{i, j}^{\lambda}(t)\right|^{a}\right] \leq \begin{cases}C \lambda^{-a / 2}\left(1+t^{a p \gamma}\right) & (0 \leq \gamma<1 / 2)  \tag{3.13}\\ C \lambda^{-a p} & (-\infty<\gamma<0)\end{cases}
$$

(ii) For each $T>0$ there exists a constant $C_{T}>0$ such that for every $\lambda \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\left|U_{i, j}^{\lambda}(t)-U_{i, j}^{\lambda}(s)\right|^{6}\right] \leq C_{T} \lambda^{-1}|t-s|^{2} \quad(0 \leq s \leq t \leq T) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, note that $X(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}(t)=\sum_{k \in S} \int_{s}^{t} Q_{t-u}(i, k) \alpha\left(X_{k}(u)\right) d B_{k}(u)+\sum_{k \in S} Q_{t-s}(i, j) X_{k}(s) \quad(i \in S) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{i, j}(t)-U_{i, j}(s)= & \sum_{k \in S} \int_{s}^{t}\left(Q_{t-u}(i, k)-Q_{t-u}(j, k)\right) \alpha\left(X_{k}(u)\right) d B_{k}(u) \\
& +\sum_{k \neq i} Q_{t-s}(i, k) U_{i, k}(s)+\sum_{k \neq j} Q_{t-s}(j, k) U_{j, k}(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this and the Burkholder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left[\mid U_{i, j}(t)-U_{i, j}(s)^{a}\right] \\
& \leq C_{1} \sum_{k \in S} E\left[\left(\int_{s}^{t}\left(Q_{t-u}(i, k)-Q_{t-u}(j, k)\right)^{2} \alpha^{2}\left(X_{k}(u)\right) d u\right)^{a / 2}\right] \\
& \quad+C_{1} E\left[\left(\sum_{k \in S} Q_{t-s}(i, k) U_{i, k}(s)\right)^{a}\right]  \tag{3.16}\\
& \quad+C_{1} E\left[\left(\sum_{k \in S} Q_{t-s}(j, k) U_{j, k}(s)\right)^{a}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

When $0 \leq \gamma<1 / 2$, using this with $s=0$, (1.7) and Lemma 3.1 we have a constant $C_{2}>0$ satisfying that

$$
E\left[\left|U_{i, j}(t)\right|^{a}\right] \leq C_{2}\left(1+t^{a \gamma p}\right),
$$

which yields (3.13). Using (1.7), (3.10), and Lemma 3.1, we see that the first term of the r.h.s. of (3.16) with $a=6$ is dominated by

$$
C_{3} \sum_{k \in S} E\left[\left(\int_{s}^{t} e^{-2 \delta(t-u)} \alpha^{2}\left(X_{k}(u)\right) d u\right)^{3}\right] \leq C_{4}((t-s) \wedge 1)^{3}\left(1+t^{6 p \gamma}\right)
$$

Furthermore, by (3.13) the last two terms of (3.16) are dominated by

$$
C_{5}((t-s) \wedge 1)^{6}\left(1+t^{6 p \gamma}\right)
$$

thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\left|U_{i, j}(t)-U_{i, j}(s)\right|^{6}\right] \leq C_{6}((t-s) \wedge 1)^{3}\left(1+t^{6 p \gamma}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left[\left|U_{i, j}^{\lambda}(t)-U_{i, j}^{\lambda}(s)\right|^{6}\right] & \leq C_{7}(\lambda(t-s) \wedge 1)^{3} \lambda^{-6 p}\left(1+(\lambda t)^{6 p \gamma}\right) \\
& \leq C_{T} \lambda^{-1}(t-s)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which concludes (3.14). In the case $-\infty<\gamma<0$, since $\alpha(x)$ is bounded, $E\left[U_{i, j}(t)^{6}\right]$ is also bounded in $t \geq 0$. Hence it is easy to obtain (3.14).

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $X(t)$ is a continuous martingale with $X(0)=0$ defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ with filtration $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}$, of which quadratic variation process satisfies

$$
\langle X\rangle(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \bar{\alpha}^{2}(X(s)) d s
$$

where $\bar{\alpha}(x)$ is of (3.4). If $0<\gamma<1$, we further assume the non-sticky condition;

$$
\int_{0}^{t} I_{\{0\}}(X(s)) d s=0 \quad(t>0) \quad P \text {-a.s. }
$$

Then the probability law on the path space $W=C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ induced by $(X(t))$ coincides with that of the skew Bessel process on natural scale $Z(t)$ starting at 0 governed by the SDE (2.1) with (2.2).

Proof. Proof is to verify that $X(t)$ satisfies the SDE (2.1) for some Brownian motion $\bar{B}(t)$ using the time-change method, that is quite standard, so we omit it.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 in case $\mathbf{0} \quad \gamma<\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{2}$. In this case the proof is rather standard, that is, first to verify the tightness of the probability laws $P^{\lambda}$ on $W$ induced by $\left\{X^{\lambda}(t)\right\}$ and next to identify the limit of $\left\{P^{\lambda}\right\}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

For the stationary probability vector $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ of $Q_{t}$ we set

$$
Y^{\lambda}(t)=\sum_{i \in S} m_{i} X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)
$$

which satisfies the following equation;

$$
\begin{equation*}
d Y^{\lambda}(t)=\sum_{i \in S} m_{i} \alpha_{\lambda}\left(X_{i}^{\lambda}(t)\right) d B_{i}^{\lambda}(t) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $0 \leq \gamma<1 / 2$. For each $T>0$ there exists constant $C_{T}>0$ such that for every $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\left|Y^{\lambda}(t)-Y^{\lambda}(s)\right|^{4}\right] \leq C_{T}(t-s)^{2}, \quad(0 \leq s, t \leq T) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is immediate from (3.18) and Lemma 3.1.
Lemma 3.5. Let $0 \leq \gamma<1 / 2$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \limsup _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} P\left(\left|X_{i}^{\lambda}(s)\right| \leq \varepsilon\right) d s=0 . \quad(i \in S, t>0) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For each $\varepsilon>0$ define a function $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(x)=|x|^{-2 \gamma} I(|x| \leq \varepsilon), \\
& \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)=\int_{0}^{|x|} \int_{0}^{y} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(u) d u d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Itô formula we obtain
(3.21) $\quad E\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(Y^{\lambda}(t)\right)\right]=\varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(\lambda^{-p} \sum_{i \in S} m_{i} x_{i}\right)+\sum_{i \in S} \int_{0}^{t} m_{i}^{2} E\left[\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(X_{i}^{\lambda}(s)\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(Y^{\lambda}(s)\right) d s\right]$.

Since

$$
\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{1-2 \gamma}}{(1-2 \gamma)}|x|,
$$

using Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{i \in S} \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{t} m_{j}^{2} E\left[\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(X_{i}^{\lambda}(s)\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(Y^{\lambda}(s)\right) d s\right]=0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for some $C_{1}>0$

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}(x) \geq C_{1}\left(\lambda^{-p}+|x|\right)^{\gamma} \quad(x \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda>0),
$$

and for $y=\sum_{i} m_{i} x_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in S} m_{i} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(x_{i}\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(y) & \geq C_{1} \sum_{i \in S} m_{i}\left(\lambda^{-p}+\left|x_{i}\right|\right)^{2 \gamma}|y|^{-2 \gamma} I(|y|<\varepsilon) \\
& \geq C_{2}\left(\lambda^{-p}+|y|\right)^{2 \gamma}|y|^{-2 \gamma} I(|y|<\varepsilon) \\
& \geq C_{2} I(|y|<\varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence from this and (3.22) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \limsup _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} P\left(\left|Y^{\lambda}(s)\right| \leq \varepsilon\right) d u=0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we notice that

$$
P\left(\left|X_{i}^{\lambda}(s)\right| \leq \varepsilon\right) \leq P\left(\left|Y^{\lambda}(s)\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon\right)+P\left(\left|X_{i}^{\lambda}(s)-Y^{\lambda}(s)\right|>\varepsilon\right),
$$

and that for each $\varepsilon>0$ the second term vanishs as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Hence (3.20) follows from (3.23).

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.1 in the case $0 \leq \gamma<1 / 2$. Let $P^{\lambda}$ be the probability measure on $W=C\left([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^{S}\right)$ induced by $X^{\lambda}(t)$. We use the notation $E^{P^{\lambda}}$ for the expectation by $P^{\lambda}$. Then by Lemma 3.4 and Lemma $3.2\left\{P^{\lambda}\right\}$ is tight. Suppose that for some $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ tending to $\infty, P^{\lambda_{n}}$ converges weakly to $P^{\infty}$. Let

$$
\bar{w}(t)=\sum_{i \in S} m_{i} w_{i}(t)
$$

Since by (3.18) $\bar{w}(t)$ is a $P^{\lambda}$-martingale with quadratic variation process

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\bar{w}\rangle(t)=\sum_{i \in S} m_{i}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(w_{i}(s)\right) d s \quad P^{\lambda} \text {-a.s. }, \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

using Lemma 3.1 we see easily that $\bar{w}(t)$ is a $P^{\infty}$-martingale with $\bar{w}(0)=0$. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\infty}\left(w_{i}(t)=w_{j}(t)(\forall t \geq 0)\right)=1 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.24) implies that for every $0 \leq s<t$ and a $\mathcal{F}_{s}$-measurable and bounded continuous function $\Phi_{s}(w)$ on $W$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{P^{\lambda}}\left[\left(\bar{w}^{2}(t)-\bar{w}^{2}(s)-\sum_{i \in S} m_{i}^{2} \int_{s}^{t} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(w_{i}(u)\right) d u\right) \Phi_{s}(w)\right]=0 . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} E^{P^{\lambda}}\left[\left(\int_{s}^{t} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(w_{i}(u)\right) d u\right) \Phi_{s}(w)\right]=E^{P^{\infty}}\left[\left(\int_{s}^{t} \bar{\alpha}^{2}\left(w_{i}(u)\right) d u\right) \Phi_{s}(w)\right] \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\varepsilon>0$ let $\varphi_{\varepsilon}$ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
I_{\mathbb{R} \backslash[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}(x) \leq \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq I_{\mathbb{R} \backslash[-\varepsilon / 2, \varepsilon / 2]}(x)
$$

Since $\alpha_{\lambda}(x)$ converges to $\alpha_{\infty}(x)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ compact uniformly in $\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
\alpha_{\lambda}(x) \leq C_{3}\left(1+|x|^{\gamma}\right) \quad(x \in \mathbb{R}),
$$

using Lemma 3.1 we see that for every $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} E^{P^{\lambda}}\left[\left(\int_{s}^{t} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(w_{i}(u) \varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(w_{i}(u)\right) d u\right) \Phi_{s}(w)\right]\right.  \tag{3.28}\\
& =E^{P^{\infty}}\left[\left(\int_{s}^{t} \alpha_{\infty}^{2}\left(w_{i}(u) \varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(w_{i}(u)\right) d u\right) \Phi_{s}(w)\right] .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand by Lemma 3.5

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0} \limsup _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} E^{P^{\lambda}}\left[\left(\int_{s}^{t} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(w_{i}(u)\right)\left(1-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(w_{i}(u)\right) d u\right) \Phi_{s}(w)\right] \\
& \leq C_{4} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0} \limsup _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} P\left(\left|X_{i}^{\lambda}(u)\right| \leq \varepsilon\right) d u=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.27) follows from this and (3.28). Thus, $\bar{w}(t)$ is a $P^{\infty}$-martingale with quadratic variation provess

$$
\langle w\rangle(t)=\sum_{i \in S} m_{i}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \alpha_{\infty}^{2}\left(w_{i}(u)\right) d u=\int_{0}^{t} \bar{\alpha}^{2}(\bar{w}(u)) d u .
$$

Therefore by Lemma $3.3 P^{\infty}$ coincides with the probability law of the skew Bessel process on natural scale, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.1 in the case $0 \leq$ $\gamma<1 / 2$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 in case $\quad<\gamma<0$. In this case it seems hard to obtain the moment estimate for $Y^{\lambda}(t)$ as in Lemma 3.4 due to difficulty of negative power moment estimates, so we consider a spatial transformation by an asymptotic scale function $S(x)$;

$$
S(x)= \begin{cases}x^{2(1-\gamma)} & (\gamma \geq 0) \\ |x|^{2(1-\gamma)} & (\gamma<0) .\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $-\infty<\gamma<0$. For each $T>0$ there exists a constant $C_{T}>0$ such that for every $\lambda \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\left|S\left(Y^{\lambda}(t)\right)-S\left(Y^{\lambda}(s)\right)\right|^{4}\right] \leq C_{T}|t-s|^{2}, \quad(0 \leq s, t \leq T) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall that $Y^{\lambda}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d Y^{\lambda}(t)=\alpha_{\lambda}\left(Y^{\lambda}(t)\right) d V^{\lambda}(t) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V^{\lambda}(t)$ is a continuous martingale with quadratic variation process

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle V^{\lambda}\right\rangle(t)=\sum_{i \in S} m_{i}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(X_{i}^{\lambda}(u)\right)}{\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(Y^{\lambda}(u)\right)} d u \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Itô formula to $S(x)$ together with Burkholder's inequality we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left[\left|S\left(Y^{\lambda}(t)-S\left(Y^{\lambda}(s)\right)\right)\right|^{4}\right] \\
& \leq C_{1} E\left[\left(\int_{s}^{t}\left|S^{\prime}\left(Y^{\lambda}(u)\right)\right|^{2} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(Y^{\lambda}(u)\right) d\left\langle V^{\lambda}\right\rangle(u)\right)^{2}\right] \\
&+C_{1} E\left[\left(\int_{s}^{t} S^{\prime \prime}\left(Y^{\lambda}(u)\right) \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}(Y(\lambda u)) d\left\langle V^{\lambda}\right\rangle(u)\right)^{4}\right]  \tag{3.32}\\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{s}^{t} E\left[\left(S^{\prime} \alpha_{\lambda}\right)^{4}\left(Y^{\lambda}(u)\right)\right] d u \int_{s}^{t} E\left[\left(\left\langle V^{\lambda}\right\rangle^{\prime}(u)\right)^{4}\right] d u \\
&+C_{1}\left\|S^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\right\|_{\infty}^{4}(t-s)^{3} \int_{s}^{t} E\left[\left(\left\langle V^{\lambda}\right\rangle^{\prime}(u)\right)^{4}\right] d u,
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left\langle V^{\lambda}\right\rangle^{\prime}(u)=\sum_{i \in S} m_{i}^{2} \frac{\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(X_{i}^{\lambda}(u)\right)}{\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(Y^{\lambda}(u)\right)},
$$

and we notice that $S^{\prime \prime} \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}(x)$ is bounded in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. Note that

$$
C_{2} \lambda^{2 p-1}\left(1+\lambda^{p}|x|\right)^{2 \gamma} \leq \alpha_{\lambda}^{2}(x) \leq C_{3} \lambda^{2 p-1}\left(1+\lambda^{p}|x|\right)^{2 \gamma}
$$

then

$$
\frac{\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}(x)}{\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}(y)} \leq C_{4}\left(\frac{1+\lambda^{p}|y|}{1+\lambda^{p}|x|}\right)^{2|\gamma|} \leq C_{4}\left(1+\lambda^{p}|x-y|\right)^{2|\gamma|} .
$$

Hence,

$$
E\left[\left(\left\langle V^{\lambda}\right\rangle^{\prime}(u)\right)^{4}\right] \leq C_{5}\left(1+\lambda^{8 p|\gamma|} \sum_{j \neq k} E\left[\left|U_{j, k}^{\lambda}(u)\right|^{8|\gamma|}\right]\right),
$$

which is bounded in $u \geq 0$ by Lemma 3.2. Accordingly, it follows from this and (3.32) that

$$
E\left[\left(S\left(Y^{\lambda}(t)-S\left(Y^{\lambda}(s)\right)\right)^{4}\right] \leq C_{7}\left(|t-s|^{2}+|t-s|^{4}\right),\right.
$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.7. Let $-\infty<\gamma<0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} E\left[\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(X_{i}^{\lambda}(s)\right) I\left(\left|X_{i}^{\lambda}(s)\right| \leq \varepsilon\right)\right] d s=0 \quad(i \in S) . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In the proof of Lemma 3.5, replacing $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)$ by $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}(x)=I_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}(x)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \limsup _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in S} \int_{0}^{t} m_{i}^{2} E\left[\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(X_{i}^{\lambda}(s)\right) I_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}\left(Y^{\lambda}(s)\right) d s\right]=0 \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that

$$
I_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}\left(X_{i}^{\lambda}(s)\right) \leq I_{[-2 \varepsilon, 2 \varepsilon]}\left(Y^{\lambda}(s)\right)+\sum_{j \in S} I_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}\left(X_{j}^{\lambda}(s)-X_{i}^{\lambda}(s)\right),
$$

and by Lemma 3.2 we can see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t} E\left[\alpha_{\lambda}^{2}\left(X_{i}(s)\right) I_{[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}\left(X_{j}^{\lambda}(s)-X_{i}^{\lambda}(s)\right)\right] d s \\
& \leq \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-2 p+1}\|\alpha\|_{\infty}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} P\left(\left|U_{i, j}^{\lambda}(s)\right|>\varepsilon\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (3.33) follows from this and (3.34).
Now we are in position to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 in the case $-\infty<$ $\gamma<0$, but one can proceed the proof as in the case of $0 \leq \gamma<1 / 2$, so we shall only sketch the proof. By virture of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.6, we may assume that $P^{\lambda_{n}}$ converges weakly to $P^{\infty}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\lambda_{n} \nearrow \infty$. Then, $\bar{w}(t)$ is $P^{\infty}$-martingale with $\bar{w}(0)=0$ and

$$
w_{i}(t)=w_{j}(t)=\bar{w}(t) \quad P^{\infty} \text {-a.s. } \quad(i, j \in S),
$$

in the same way as $0 \leq \gamma<1 / 2$. Using the Lemma 3.7 instead of Lemma 3.5, we also have (3.27) which implies

$$
E^{P^{\infty}}\left[\left(\bar{w}^{2}(t)-\bar{w}^{2}(s)-\int_{s}^{t} \bar{\alpha}^{2}(\bar{w}(u)) d u\right) \Phi_{s}(w)\right]=0
$$

and then by Lemma 3.3, the probability law ( $\bar{w}(t), P^{\infty}$ ) coincides with that of the desired skew Bessel process on natural scale. Therefore Theorem 2.1 has been proved completely.
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