



Title	4-fold transitive groups in which any 2-element and any 3-element of a stabilizer of four points are commutative
Author(s)	Hiramine, Yutaka
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1977, 14(1), p. 151-154
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/12023">https://doi.org/10.18910/12023</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

Hiramine, Y.  
Osaka J. Math.  
14 (1977), 151-154

## 4-FOLD TRANSITIVE GROUPS IN WHICH ANY 2-ELEMENT AND ANY 3-ELEMENT OF A STABILIZER OF FOUR POINTS ARE COMMUTATIVE

YUTAKA HIRAMINE

(Received November 14, 1975)

### 1. Introduction

The known 4-fold transitive groups in which the stabilizer of four points is nilpotent are  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$ ,  $S_6$ ,  $A_6$ ,  $A_7$ ,  $M_{11}$  and  $M_{12}$ .

In this paper we shall prove the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a 4-fold transitive group on  $\Omega$ . If any 2-element and any 3-element of a stabilizer of four points in  $G$  are commutative, then  $G$  is  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$ ,  $S_6$ ,  $A_6$ ,  $A_7$ ,  $M_{11}$  or  $M_{12}$ .*

As a corollary of this theorem, we have that a 4-fold transitive group in which the stabilizer of four points is nilpotent is one of the groups listed in our theorem.

Our notation is standard (cf. Wielandt [9]). For a subgroup  $X$  of  $G$  and a subset  $\Delta$  of  $\Omega$ ,  $X_\Delta$  is the point-wise stabilizer of  $\Delta$  in  $X$  and if  $X$  fixes  $\Delta$  as a set, the restriction of  $X$  on  $\Delta$  will be denoted by  $X^\Delta$ .  $F(X)$  is the set of points in  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  fixed by every element of  $X$ .

### 2. Proof of the theorem

We proceed by way of contradiction and divide the proof in ten steps. From now on we assume that  $G^\Omega$  is a counterexample to our theorem of the least possible degree. We set  $D = G_{1234}$ . Let  $P$  and  $R$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup and Sylow 3-subgroup of  $D$ , respectively.

By [2]-[8], we know the following.

- (1)  $F(D) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $|F(P)| = 4$  or  $5$ ,  $R \neq 1$  and  $P^{\Omega - F(P)}$  is not semi-regular.
- (2)  $|F(P)| = 4$ .

Proof. From (1), we have only to show that  $|F(P)| \neq 5$ . Suppose  $|F(P)| = 5$ . We set  $F(P) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, i\}$  and  $L = \langle R^d \mid d \in D \rangle$ . By assumption,  $P$  centralizes  $L$  and so  $i \in F(L)$ . Then it follows from  $L \trianglelefteq D$  that  $i^D \subseteq F(L)$ .

Since  $2 \nmid |D:D_i|$ ,  $3 \nmid |D:D_i|$  and  $|D:D_i| \neq 1$  by (1), we have  $|D:D_i| \geq 5$  and so  $|F(L)| \geq 9$ . By the Witt's theorem,  $N_G(L)^{F(L)}$  is a 4-fold transitive group. Furthermore  $|F(L)| < n = |\Omega|$  since  $R \neq 1$ . Hence by our minimal choice of  $\Omega$  we have  $N_G(L)^{F(L)} \simeq M_{11}$  or  $M_{12}$  because  $|F(L)| \geq 9$ . Since  $|F(P)| = 5$  and  $[P, L] = 1$ , we have  $|F(L)|$  is odd and so  $N_G(L)^{F(L)} \simeq M_{11}$ . Clearly  $P^{F(L)}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of a stabilizer of four points in  $N_G(L)^{F(L)}$ , so  $P^{F(L)} = 1$  by the structure of  $M_{11}$ , hence  $F(L)$  is a subset of  $F(P)$ , which is contrary to  $|F(P)| = 5$ . Thus we get (2).

Now let  $m$  be the maximal number of  $|P_i|$  with  $i \in \Omega - F(P)$ . There exists some  $j \in \Omega - F(P)$  such that  $|P_j| = m$ . We set  $P_j = Q$ . Then we have

(3)  $1 \neq Q \neq P$ .

Proof. By (1),  $P^{\Omega - F(L)}$  is not semi-regular, and so  $Q \neq 1$ . It is clear that  $Q \neq P$ .

(4) *If  $Q^*$  is a 2-subgroup of  $G$  containing  $Q$  properly, then we have  $|F(Q^*)| \leq 4$ .*

Proof. Suppose that  $|F(Q^*)| > 4$ . Then there exists an element  $g \in G$  with  $(Q^*)^g \leq D$ . Since  $P$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $D$ ,  $(Q^*)^{gd} \leq P$  holds for some  $d \in D$ . By assumption we can choose an element  $k$  in  $F((Q^*)^{gd})$  with  $k \notin F(P)$ . Then we have  $m = |Q| < |Q^*| = |(Q^*)^{gd}| \leq |P_k|$ , which is contrary to the choice of  $Q$ .

(5)  *$N_G(Q)^{F(Q)}$  is 4-fold transitive.*

Proof. In the lemma 6 of [1], we put  $G^\alpha = N_G(Q)^{F(Q)}$ ,  $p = 2$  and  $k = 4$ , then (5) follows immediately from (2) and (4).

(6)  *$N_G(Q)^{F(Q)} \simeq S_6$  or  $M_{12}$ .*

Proof. By (5),  $N_G(Q)^{F(Q)}$  satisfies the assumption of our theorem. Since  $n$  is even by (2) and  $Q \neq 1$ ,  $n > |F(Q)| \geq 6$ . By the minimal choice of  $\Omega$ , we have  $N_G(Q)^{F(Q)} \simeq A_6$ ,  $S_6$  or  $M_{12}$ . On the other hand, we have  $N_P(Q) > Q$  by (3), and so it follows from (4) that  $|F(N_P(Q))| = 4$ . Thus  $N_G(Q)^{F(Q)} \neq A_6$ , which shows (6).

(7) *Let  $S$  be a nontrivial 3-subgroup of  $D$ . Then  $F(S) = F(Q)$ . Furthermore  $R^{\Omega - F(R)}$  is semi-regular and the set  $F(Q)$  depends only on  $D$  but is independent of the choice of  $P$  and  $Q$ .*

Proof. Since  $S$  centralizes  $Q$ ,  $S$  is contained in  $N_D(Q)$ . By (6),  $S^{F(Q)} = 1$ , that is,  $F(Q)$  is a subset of  $F(S)$ , hence  $|F(S)| \geq 6$ .

In the lemma 6 of [1], we put  $G^\alpha = N_G(S)^{F(S)}$ ,  $p = 2$  and  $k = 4$ , then we get

$N_G(S)^{F(S)}$  is 4-fold transitive. On the other hand  $S \neq 1$ , hence  $n > |F(S)| \geq 6$ . By the minimality of  $\Omega$ ,  $N_G(S)^{F(S)} \simeq A_6$ ,  $S_6$ ,  $A_7$ ,  $M_{11}$  or  $M_{12}$ .

Suppose  $F(S) \neq F(Q)$ . Then we have  $|F(S)| \geq 7$ . Since  $P$  centralizes  $S$ ,  $P$  acts on  $F(S) - F(P)$  and so  $|F(S)|$  is even by (2). Hence  $N_G(S)^{F(S)} \simeq M_{12}$ . Therefore  $Q^{F(S)} = 1$  by the structure of  $M_{12}$ . Hence  $F(S)$  is a subset of  $F(Q)$ , a contradiction. Thus we conclude  $F(Q) = F(S)$ .

From this, the latter half of (7) immediately follows.

(8) *Let  $T$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_G(Q)$  and  $R^*$  be an arbitrary Sylow 3-subgroup of  $D$ . Then  $[T, R^*] = 1$ .*

**Proof.** By (6), there is a 2-element  $x$  in  $N_G(Q)$  such that  $|F(x) \cap F(Q)| = 4$  and  $\langle x^g | g \in N_G(Q) \rangle^{F(Q)} = N_G(Q)^{F(Q)}$ . We set  $\langle x^g | g \in N_G(Q) \rangle = M$ ,  $(N_G(Q))_{F(Q)} = K$ . Then  $N_G(Q) = MK$ . Let  $T^*$  be an arbitrary Sylow 2-subgroup of  $M$ , then since by (4)  $Q$  is a unique Sylow 2-subgroup of  $K$ ,  $T^*Q$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_G(Q)$ . Applying (7), for any  $u \in N_G(Q)$  we get  $F(Q) = F(R^*) = F((R^*)^u)$ , hence  $(R^*)^u \leq K$ . Since  $|F(x^g) \cap F((R^*)^u)| = |F(x^g) \cap F(Q)| = 4$  for any  $g \in N_G(Q)$ ,  $x^g$  centralizes  $(R^*)^u$ . Hence  $M$  centralizes  $(R^*)^u$ , so  $[T^*Q, (R^*)^u] = 1$ . Since  $T^*Q$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_G(Q)$ , there exists an element  $v \in N_G(Q)$  such that  $T = (T^*Q)^v$ . Thus we get  $[T, (R^*)^u] = 1$ . Put  $u = v^{-1}$ . Then (8) holds.

(9) *There exists an involution in  $Q$ . Let  $t$  be an involution in  $Q$ , then  $|F(t)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .*

**Proof.** From (3), the first statement is clear. Since  $F(R) = F(Q) \subseteq F(t)$ ,  $|F(Q)| = 6$  or  $12$  and  $[R, t] = 1$ , we have  $|F(t)| = |F(R)| + |F(t) - F(R)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

(10) *We have now a contradiction in the following way.*

Let  $t$  be an involution in  $Q$ . For  $i \in \Omega - F(t)$ , we set  $i^t = j$ . Then  $t$  normalizes  $G_{12ij}$ . There exists an element  $z$  in  $G$  such that  $G_{12ij} = D^z$ . By (7),  $G_{12ij}$  fixes  $F(Q^z)$  as a set. We set  $N = (G_{12ij})_{F(Q^z)}$ , then by (4)  $Q^z$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N$ . Again by (7),  $t$  normalizes  $N$ , hence  $t$  normalizes at least one of the Sylow 2-subgroups of  $N$ , say  $Q^{zm}$  where  $m$  is an element of  $N$ . Now  $t^{m^{-1}z^{-1}}$  normalizes  $Q$ , so  $t^{m^{-1}z^{-1}}$  centralizes  $R$  by (8), hence  $t$  centralizes  $R^{zm}$ . Since  $R^z$  is a subgroup of  $G_{12ij}$ ,  $R^z \leq N$  by (7). Hence  $R^{zm} \leq N$ . By (6),  $N_G(Q^{zm})^{F(Q^{zm})} \simeq S_6$  or  $M_{12}$ . Since the set  $F(R^{zm}) \cap F(t)$  is not empty and  $F(R^{zm}) \cap F(t) \neq F(R^{zm}) = F(Q^{zm})$ , we have  $|F(R^{zm}) \cap F(t)| = 2$  or  $4$ . Since  $R^{zm}$  centralizes  $t$ , it follows from (7) that  $|(\Omega - F(R^{zm})) \cap F(t)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Hence  $|F(t)| = |F(t) \cap F(R^{zm})| + |(\Omega - F(R^{zm})) \cap F(t)| \equiv 1$  or  $2 \pmod{3}$ , contrary to (9).

## References

- [1] D. Livingstone and A. Wagner: *Transitivity of finite permutation groups on unordered sets*, Math. Z. **90** (1965), 393–403.
- [2] H. Nagao: *On umltiply transitive groups IV*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 327–341.
- [3] H. Nagao: *On multiply transitive groups V*, J. Algebra **9** (1968), 240–248.
- [4] T. Oyama: *On multiply transitive groups VII*, Osaka J. Math. **5** (1968), 319–326.
- [5] T. Oyama: *On multiply transitive groups VIII*, Osaka J. Math. **6** (1969), 315–319.
- [6] T. Oyama: *On multiply transitive groups IX*, Osaka J. Math. **7** (1970), 41–56.
- [7] T. Oyama: *On multiply transitive groups XI*, Osaka J. Math. **10** (1973), 379–439.
- [8] T. Oyama: *On multiply transitive groups XIII*, to appear.
- [9] H. Wielandt: *Finite permutation groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.