

Title	4-fold transitive groups in which any 2-element and any 3-element of a stabilizer of four points are commutative
Author(s)	Hiramine, Yutaka
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1977, 14(1), p. 151-154
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/12023
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

4-FOLD TRANSITIVE GROUPS IN WHICH ANY 2-ELEMENT AND ANY 3-ELEMENT OF A STABILIZER OF FOUR POINTS ARE COMMUTATIVE

YUTAKA HIRAMINE

(Received November 14, 1975)

1. Introduction

The known 4-fold transitive groups in which the stabilizer of four points is nilpotent are S_4 , S_5 , S_6 , A_6 , A_7 , M_{11} and M_{12} .

In this paper we shall prove the following

Theorem. *Let G be a 4-fold transitive group on Ω . If any 2-element and any 3-element of a stabilizer of four points in G are commutative, then G is S_4 , S_5 , S_6 , A_6 , A_7 , M_{11} or M_{12} .*

As a corollary of this theorem, we have that a 4-fold transitive group in which the stabilizer of four points is nilpotent is one of the groups listed in our theorem.

Our notation is standard (cf. Wielandt [9]). For a subgroup X of G and a subset Δ of Ω , X_Δ is the point-wise stabilizer of Δ in X and if X fixes Δ as a set, the restriction of X on Δ will be denoted by X^Δ . $F(X)$ is the set of points in $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ fixed by every element of X .

2. Proof of the theorem

We proceed by way of contradiction and divide the proof in ten steps. From now on we assume that G^Ω is a counterexample to our theorem of the least possible degree. We set $D = G_{1234}$. Let P and R be a Sylow 2-subgroup and Sylow 3-subgroup of D , respectively.

By [2]-[8], we know the following.

- (1) $F(D) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $|F(P)| = 4$ or 5 , $R \neq 1$ and $P^{\Omega - F(P)}$ is not semi-regular.
- (2) $|F(P)| = 4$.

Proof. From (1), we have only to show that $|F(P)| \neq 5$. Suppose $|F(P)| = 5$. We set $F(P) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, i\}$ and $L = \langle R^d \mid d \in D \rangle$. By assumption, P centralizes L and so $i \in F(L)$. Then it follows from $L \trianglelefteq D$ that $i^D \subseteq F(L)$.

Since $2 \nmid |D: D_i|$, $3 \nmid |D: D_i|$ and $|D: D_i| \neq 1$ by (1), we have $|D: D_i| \geq 5$ and so $|F(L)| \geq 9$. By the Witt's theorem, $N_G(L)^{F(L)}$ is a 4-fold transitive group. Furthermore $|F(L)| < n = |\Omega|$ since $R \neq 1$. Hence by our minimal choice of Ω we have $N_G(L)^{F(L)} \simeq M_{11}$ or M_{12} because $|F(L)| \geq 9$. Since $|F(P)| = 5$ and $[P, L] = 1$, we have $|F(L)|$ is odd and so $N_G(L)^{F(L)} \simeq M_{11}$. Clearly $P^{F(L)}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of a stabilizer of four points in $N_G(L)^{F(L)}$, so $P^{F(L)} = 1$ by the structure of M_{11} , hence $F(L)$ is a subset of $F(P)$, which is contrary to $|F(P)| = 5$. Thus we get (2).

Now let m be the maximal number of $|P_i|$ with $i \in \Omega - F(P)$. There exists some $j \in \Omega - F(P)$ such that $|P_j| = m$. We set $P_j = Q$. Then we have

$$(3) \quad 1 \neq Q \neq P.$$

Proof. By (1), $P^{\Omega - F(L)}$ is not semi-regular, and so $Q \neq 1$. It is clear that $Q \neq P$.

(4) *If Q^* is a 2-subgroup of G containing Q properly, then we have $|F(Q^*)| \leq 4$.*

Proof. Suppose that $|F(Q^*)| > 4$. Then there exists an element $g \in G$ with $(Q^*)^g \leq D$. Since P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of D , $(Q^*)^{g^d} \leq P$ holds for some $d \in D$. By assumption we can choose an element k in $F((Q^*)^{g^d})$ with $k \notin F(P)$. Then we have $m = |Q| < |Q^*| = |(Q^*)^{g^d}| \leq |P_k|$, which is contrary to the choice of Q .

(5) *$N_G(Q)^{F(Q)}$ is 4-fold transitive.*

Proof. In the lemma 6 of [1], we put $G^\Omega = N_G(Q)^{F(Q)}$, $p = 2$ and $k = 4$, then (5) follows immediately from (2) and (4).

(6) *$N_G(Q)^{F(Q)} \simeq S_6$ or M_{12} .*

Proof. By (5), $N_G(Q)^{F(Q)}$ satisfies the assumption of our theorem. Since n is even by (2) and $Q \neq 1$, $n > |F(Q)| \geq 6$. By the minimal choice of Ω , we have $N_G(Q)^{F(Q)} \simeq A_6, S_6$ or M_{12} . On the other hand, we have $N_P(Q) > Q$ by (3), and so it follows from (4) that $|F(N_P(Q))| = 4$. Thus $N_G(Q)^{F(Q)} \neq A_6$, which shows (6).

(7) *Let S be a nontrivial 3-subgroup of D . Then $F(S) = F(Q)$. Furthermore $R^{\Omega - F(R)}$ is semi-regular and the set $F(Q)$ depends only on D but is independent of the choice of P and Q .*

Proof. Since S centralizes Q , S is contained in $N_D(Q)$. By (6), $S^{F(Q)} = 1$, that is, $F(Q)$ is a subset of $F(S)$, hence $|F(S)| \geq 6$.

In the lemma 6 of [1], we put $G^\Omega = N_G(S)^{F(S)}$, $p = 2$ and $k = 4$, then we get

$N_c(S)^{F(S)}$ is 4-fold transitive. On the other hand $S \neq 1$, hence $n > |F(S)| \geq 6$. By the minimality of Ω , $N_c(S)^{F(S)} \simeq A_6, S_6, A_7, M_{11}$ or M_{12} .

Suppose $F(S) \neq F(Q)$. Then we have $|F(S)| \geq 7$. Since P centralizes S , P acts on $F(S) - F(P)$ and so $|F(S)|$ is even by (2). Hence $N_c(S)^{F(S)} \simeq M_{12}$. Therefore $Q^{F(S)} = 1$ by the structure of M_{12} . Hence $F(S)$ is a subset of $F(Q)$, a contradiction. Thus we conclude $F(Q) = F(S)$.

From this, the latter half of (7) immediately follows.

(8) *Let T be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_c(Q)$ and R^* be an arbitrary Sylow 3-subgroup of D . Then $[T, R^*] = 1$.*

Proof. By (6), there is a 2-element x in $N_c(Q)$ such that $|F(x) \cap F(Q)| = 4$ and $\langle x^g | g \in N_c(Q) \rangle^{F(Q)} = N_c(Q)^{F(Q)}$. We set $\langle x^g | g \in N_c(Q) \rangle = M$, $(N_c(Q))_{F(Q)} = K$. Then $N_c(Q) = MK$. Let T^* be an arbitrary Sylow 2-subgroup of M , then since by (4) Q is a unique Sylow 2-subgroup of K , T^*Q is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_c(Q)$. Applying (7), for any $u \in N_c(Q)$ we get $F(Q) = F(R^*) = F((R^*)^u)$, hence $(R^*)^u \leq K$. Since $|F(x^g) \cap F((R^*)^u)| = |F(x^g) \cap F(Q)| = 4$ for any $g \in N_c(Q)$, x^g centralizes $(R^*)^u$. Hence M centralizes $(R^*)^u$, so $[T^*Q, (R^*)^u] = 1$. Since T^*Q is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_c(Q)$, there exists an element $v \in N_c(Q)$ such that $T = (T^*Q)^v$. Thus we get $[T, (R^*)^{uv}] = 1$. Put $u = v^{-1}$. Then (8) holds.

(9) *There exists an involution in Q . Let t be an involution in Q , then $|F(t)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof. From (3), the first statement is clear. Since $F(R) = F(Q) \subseteq F(t)$, $|F(Q)| = 6$ or 12 and $[R, t] = 1$, we have $|F(t)| = |F(R)| + |F(t) - F(R)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

(10) *We have now a contradiction in the following way.*

Let t be an involution in Q . For $i \in \Omega - F(t)$, we set $i^t = j$. Then t normalizes G_{12ij} . There exists an element z in G such that $G_{12ij} = D^z$. By (7), G_{12ij} fixes $F(Q^z)$ as a set. We set $N = (G_{12ij})_{F(Q^z)}$, then by (4) Q^z is a Sylow 2-subgroup of N . Again by (7), t normalizes N , hence t normalizes at least one of the Sylow 2-subgroups of N , say Q^{zm} where m is an element of N . Now $t^{m^{-1}z^{-1}}$ normalizes Q , so $t^{m^{-1}z^{-1}}$ centralizes R by (8), hence t centralizes R^{zm} . Since R^z is a subgroup of G_{12ij} , $R^z \leq N$ by (7). Hence $R^{zm} \leq N$. By (6), $N_c(Q^{zm})^{F(Q^{zm})} \simeq S_6$ or M_{12} . Since the set $F(R^{zm}) \cap F(t)$ is not empty and $F(R^{zm}) \cap F(t) \neq F(R^{zm}) = F(Q^{zm})$, we have $|F(R^{zm}) \cap F(t)| = 2$ or 4 . Since R^{zm} centralizes t , it follows from (7) that $|(\Omega - F(R^{zm})) \cap F(t)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Hence $|F(t)| = |F(t) \cap F(R^{zm})| + |(\Omega - F(R^{zm})) \cap F(t)| \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{3}$, contrary to (9).

References

- [1] D. Livingstone and A. Wagner: *Transitivity of finite permutation groups on unordered sets*, Math. Z. **90** (1965), 393–403.
- [2] H. Nagao: *On multiply transitive groups IV*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 327–341.
- [3] H. Nagao: *On multiply transitive groups V*, J. Algebra **9** (1968), 240–248.
- [4] T. Oyama: *On multiply transitive groups VII*, Osaka J. Math. **5** (1968), 319–326.
- [5] T. Oyama: *On multiply transitive groups VIII*, Osaka J. Math. **6** (1969), 315–319.
- [6] T. Oyama: *On multiply transitive groups IX*, Osaka J. Math. **7** (1970), 41–56.
- [7] T. Oyama: *On multiply transitive groups XI*, Osaka J. Math. **10** (1973), 379–439.
- [8] T. Oyama: *On multiply transitive groups XIII*, to appear.
- [9] H. Wielandt: *Finite permutation groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.