

|              |   |
|--------------|---|
| Title        | Regular subrings of a polynomial ring                                       |
| Author(s)    | Miyanishi, Masayoshi  |
| Citation     | Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1980, 17(2), p. 329-338                       |
| Version Type | VoR   |
| URL          | <a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/12031">https://doi.org/10.18910/12031</a> |
| rights       |   |
| Note         |   |

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## REGULAR SUBRING OF A POLYNOMIAL RING

MASAYOSHI MIYANISHI<sup>\*)</sup>

(Received April 17, 1979)

**Introduction.** The purpose of this article is to prove the following two theorems:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let  $A$  be a  $k$ -subalgebra of a polynomial ring  $B:=k[x, y]$  such that  $B$  is a flat  $A$ -module of finite type. Then  $A$  is a polynomial ring in two variables over  $k$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let  $B:=k[x, y, z]$  be a polynomial ring in three variables over  $k$ . Assume that there is given a nontrivial action of the additive group  $G_a$  on the affine 3-space  $A_k^3:=\text{Spec}(B)$  over  $k$ . Let  $A$  be the subring of  $G_a$ -invariant elements in  $B$ . Assume that  $A$  is regular. Then  $A$  is a polynomial ring in two variables over  $k$ .*

Theorem 1 was formerly proved in part under one of the following additional conditions (cf. [7; pp. 139-142]):

- (1)  $B$  is étale over  $A$ ,
- (2)  $A$  is the invariant subring in  $B$  with respect to an action of a finite group.

In proofs of both theorems, substantial roles will be played by the following theorem, which is a consequence of the results obtained in Fujita [1], Miyanishi-Sugie [8] and Miyanishi [6]:

**Theorem 0.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let  $X=\text{Spec}(A)$  be a nonsingular affine surface defined over  $k$ . Then the following assertions hold true:*

(1)  *$X$  contains a nonempty cylinderlike open set, i.e., there exists a dominant morphism  $\rho: X \rightarrow C$  from  $X$  to a nonsingular curve  $C$  whose general fibers are isomorphic to the affine line  $A_k^1$ , if and only if  $X$  has the logarithmic Kodaira dimension  $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty$ .*

(2)  *$X$  is isomorphic to the affine plane  $A_k^2$  if and only if  $X$  has the logarithmic Kodaira dimension  $\bar{\kappa}(X)=-\infty$ ,  $A$  is a unique factorization domain, and  $A^*=k^*$ , where  $A^*$  is the set of invertible elements in  $A$  and  $k^*=k-(0)$ .*

In this article, the ground field  $k$  is always assumed to be an algebraically

<sup>\*)</sup> Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research

closed field of characteristic zero. For the definition and relevant results on logarithmic pluri-genera and the logarithmic Kodaira dimension of an algebraic variety, we refer to Iitaka [3]. An algebraic action of the additive group  $G_a$  on an affine scheme  $\text{Spec}(B)$  over  $k$  can be interpreted in terms of a locally nilpotent  $k$ -derivation  $\Delta$  on  $B$ . In particular, the subring  $A$  of  $G_a$ -invariant elements in  $B$  is identified with the set of elements  $b$  of  $B$  such that  $\Delta(b)=0$ . For results on  $G_a$ -actions necessary in the subsequent arguments, we refer to [7; pp. 14–24]. The Picard group, i.e., the divisor class group, of a nonsingular variety  $V$  over  $k$  is denoted by  $\text{Pic}(V)$ ; for a  $k$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $A^*$  denotes the multiplicative group of all invertible elements of  $A$ ; the affine  $n$ -space over  $k$  is denoted by  $A_k^n$ ;  $\mathbf{Q}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{Z}$ ) denotes the field of rational numbers (resp. the ring of rational integers).

**1. Proof of Theorem 1**

**1.1.** We shall begin with

**Lemma.** *Let  $Y$  be a nonsingular, rational, affine surface, and let  $f: Y \rightarrow C$  be a surjective morphism from  $Y$  onto a nonsingular rational curve whose general fibers are isomorphic to the affine line  $A_k^1$ . Then we have:*

(1) *Let  $F$  be a fiber of  $f$ . If  $F$  is irreducible and reduced, then  $F$  is isomorphic to the affine line  $A_k^1$ . If  $F$  is singular, i.e.,  $F \cong A_k^1$ , then  $F_{red}$  is a disjoint union of the affine lines.*

(2) *For a point  $P \in C$ , we denote by  $\mu_P$  the number of irreducible components of the fiber  $f^{-1}(P)$ . If  $C$  is isomorphic to the projective line  $P_k^1$ , we have*

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Q}} \text{Pic}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} = 1 + \sum_{P \in C} (\mu_P - 1).$$

*If  $C$  is isomorphic to the affine line  $A_k^1$ , we have*

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Q}} \text{Pic}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} = \sum_{P \in C} (\mu_P - 1).$$

**Proof.** There exists a nonsingular projective surface  $W$  such that  $W$  contains  $Y$  as a dense open set and that the boundary curve  $E := W - Y$  has only normal crossings as singularities. The surjective morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow C$  defines an irreducible linear pencil  $\Lambda$  on  $W$ . By eliminating base points of  $\Lambda$  by a succession of quadratic transformations, we may assume that  $\Lambda$  is free from base points. Then the general members of  $\Lambda$  are nonsingular rational curves, and the pencil  $\Lambda$  defines a surjective morphism  $\varphi: W \rightarrow P_k^1$ . The boundary curve  $E$  contains a unique irreducible component  $E_0$  which is a cross-section of  $\varphi$ , and the other components of  $E$  are contained in the fibers of  $\varphi$ . We may assume that a fiber of  $\varphi$  lying outside of  $Y$  is irreducible. Let  $S$  be a fiber of  $\varphi$  such that  $S \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ . If  $S$  is irreducible then  $S \cong P_k^1$  and  $S \cap Y = S - S \cap E_0 \cong A_k^1$ .

Suppose  $S$  is reducible. If  $S \cap Y$  is irreducible and reduced, we may contract all irreducible components of  $S$  lying outside of  $Y$  without losing generalities (cf. [7; Lemma 2.2, p. 115]). Hence,  $S \cap Y \cong \mathbb{A}_k^1$ . We may apply Lemma 1.3 of Kambayashi-Miyayashi [5] to obtain the same conclusion. Assume that  $S \cap Y$  is singular. Then  $S_{\text{red}}$  has the following decomposition into irreducible components,

$$S_{\text{red}} = \sum_i T_i + \sum_j Z_j,$$

where  $T_i \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z_j \cap Y = \emptyset$ . By virtue of [7; *ibid.*], every component of  $S_{\text{red}}$  is a nonsingular rational curve,  $S_{\text{red}}$  is a connected curve, and the dual graph of  $S_{\text{red}}$  contains no circular chains. On the other hand, since  $Y$  is affine,  $Y$  does not contain any complete curve and  $E$  is connected, whence we know that if some  $T_i$  meets the cross-section  $E_0$  then  $S_{\text{red}}$  has no components lying outside of  $Y$ . Suppose  $S \cap Y$  is irreducible. Then  $S_{\text{red}} \cap Y \cong \mathbb{A}_k^1$ , for, if otherwise, the dual graph of  $S_{\text{red}}$  would contain a circular chain. Suppose  $S \cap Y$  is reducible. If either  $T_i \cap Y \cong \mathbb{A}_k^1$  for some component  $T_i$  or  $T_i \cap T_j \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for distinct components  $T_i$  and  $T_j$ , the dual graph of  $S_{\text{red}}$  would contain a circular chain. Therefore, every irreducible component of  $S \cap Y$  is a connected component, and isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ . This proves the first assertion.

Next, we shall prove the second assertion. Let  $L$  be an irreducible fiber of  $\varphi$ . Then the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\text{Pic}(W) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  has a basis of the divisor classes of the following curves:

(i)  $E_0$ , (ii)  $L$ , (iii) all irreducible components of a singular fiber  $S$  of  $\varphi$  except one component meeting  $Y$ , where  $S$  ranges over all singular fibers of  $\varphi$ . The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\text{Pic}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is generated by a part of the above basis consisting of all classes of curves which meet  $Y$ ; when  $C \cong \mathbb{A}_k^1$ , we take  $L$  to be the unique irreducible fiber lying outside of  $Y$ . Then we obtain immediately the equalities in the second assertion. Q.E.D.

**1.2.** With the notations in Theorem 1, set  $V := \text{Spec}(A)$  and  $W := \text{Spec}(B)$ . The inclusion  $A \hookrightarrow B$  induces a finite flat morphism  $\pi: W \rightarrow V$ . Since  $\pi$  is finite,  $\pi$  is faithfully flat. Therefore,  $V$  is a nonsingular, rational affine surface. We have the following

**Lemma.** (1)  $V$  has the logarithmic Kodaira dimension  $\bar{\kappa}(V) = -\infty$ . (2)  $A^* = k^*$ .

*Proof.* The second assertion is clear. As for the first assertion, note that  $\pi: W \rightarrow V$  is a dominant morphism. Denote by  $\bar{P}_m(V)$  the logarithmic  $m$ -th genus of  $V$  for an integer  $m \geq 0$ . Then we have

$$0 = \bar{P}_m(W) \geq \bar{P}_m(V) \quad \text{for every } m \gg 0,$$

(cf. Iitaka [3]). Hence,  $\bar{\kappa}(V) = -\infty$ .

Q.E.D.

1.3. We shall prove the following

**Lemma.** *A is a polynomial ring in two variables over k.*

Proof. Our proof consists of the paragraphs 1.3.1~1.3.5.

1.3.1. By virtue of Theorem 0 and Lemma 1.2,  $V$  contains a nonempty cylinder-like open set. Namely, there exists a dominant morphism  $\rho: V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^1$  such that general fibers of  $\rho$  are isomorphic to  $A_k^1$ .

1.3.2. Let  $n := \deg \pi$ . Then we claim:

*For every divisor D on V, nD is linearly equivalent to 0, i.e., nD ~ 0.*

In effect,  $\pi^*(D) \sim 0$  because  $\text{Pic}(W) = 0$ . Since  $\pi$  is proper, we have  $\pi_*\pi^*(D) = nD \sim 0$  by the projection formula.

1.3.3. We claim that:

- (1)  $\rho(V) \cong A_k^1$ ;
- (2) *If  $\rho^{-1}(P)$  is a singular fiber of  $\rho$ , it is of the form  $\rho^{-1}(P) = n_P C_P$ , where  $n_P \geq 2$ ,  $n_P | n$  and  $C_P \cong A_k^1$ .*

Proof. (1) By 1.3.2, we have  $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Q}} \text{Pic}(V) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} = 0$ . Suppose  $\rho(V) = \mathbf{P}_k^1$ . Then, Lemma 1.1 implies that

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Q}} \text{Pic}(V) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} = 1 + \sum_{P \in \mathbf{P}_k^1} (\mu_P - 1).$$

Since  $\mu_P \geq 1$ , we have  $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Q}} \text{Pic}(V) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} > 0$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\rho(V)$  is an affine open set of  $\mathbf{P}_k^1$ . Since  $A^* = k^*$ ,  $\rho(V)$  must be isomorphic to  $A_k^1$ .

(2) By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Q}} \text{Pic}(V) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q} = \sum_{P \in \rho(V)} (\mu_P - 1) = 0.$$

Hence  $\mu_P = 1$  for all points  $P \in \rho(V)$ . This implies that a singular fiber of  $\rho$  (if it exists at all) is of the form

$$\rho^{-1}(P) = n_P C_P, \quad \text{where } n_P \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad C_P \cong A_k^1.$$

Let  $m$  be the order of  $C_P$ , i.e.,  $m$  is the least positive integer such that  $mC_P \sim 0$ . Since  $n_P C_P \sim 0$ , we have  $m | n_P$ . Write  $n_P = sm$ . Let  $t$  be an inhomogeneous coordinate of  $\rho(V)$ . Then  $t$  is everywhere defined on  $V$ ; we may assume that  $P \in \rho(V)$  is defined by  $t = 0$ . Since  $mC_P \sim 0$  and  $n_P C_P = (t)$  (=the divisor

defined by  $t=0$  on  $V$ ), there exists an element  $t' \in A$  such that  $t=(t')^s$ . If  $s > 1$  then  $t-\alpha=(t')^s-\alpha$  has distinct  $s$  components for every  $\alpha \in k^*$ . This contradicts the irreducibility of general fibers of  $\rho$ . Hence  $s=1$ , i.e.,  $m=n_p$ . Since  $nC_p \sim 0$ , we have  $n_p | n$ . Q.E.D.

**1.3.4.** Let  $t$  be the same as defined in 1.3.3. Suppose  $\rho$  has a singular fiber  $\rho^{-1}(P)$ . Since  $\pi^*C_p \sim 0$ , there exists an element  $\tau \in B$  such that  $t=\tau^{n_p}$ . Let  $A' = A \otimes_{k[t]} k[\tau]$ . Since  $A$  is flat over  $k[t]$  (cf. [EGA (IV, 15.4.2)]),  $A'$  is identified with a  $k$ -subalgebra  $A[\tau]$  of  $B$ . Let  $\tilde{A}$  be the normalization of  $A'$  is  $B$ . Let  $\tilde{V} = \text{Spec}(\tilde{A})$ . Then the morphism  $\pi: W \rightarrow V$  factors as

$$\pi: W \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \tilde{V} \xrightarrow{\pi_2} V.$$

Let  $n_1 = \text{deg } \pi_1$  and  $n_2 = \text{deg } \pi_2$ . Then  $n = n_1 \cdot n_2$ , and  $n_1 \tilde{D} \sim 0$  for every divisor  $\tilde{D}$  on  $\tilde{V}$ . We claim that:

- (1)  $\tilde{V}$  is a nonsingular, rational, affine surface endowed with a dominant morphism  $\tilde{\rho}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow A_k^1 := \text{Spec}(k[\tau])$ , which is induced by  $\rho$ . Hence, general fibers of  $\tilde{\rho}$  are isomorphic to  $A_k^1$ .
- (2) The fibration  $\tilde{\rho}$  has a singular fiber with two or more irreducible components.

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be a point on  $C_p$ . There exist local parameters  $\xi, \eta$  of  $V$  at  $Q$  such that  $C_p$  is defined locally by  $\xi=0$ . Then  $t=u\xi^{n_p}$  for an invertible element  $u$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{Q,V}$ . Let  $\theta := \tau/\xi$ . Then  $\tilde{V}$  is analytically isomorphic to a hypersurface  $\theta^{n_p} = u$  in the  $(\theta, \xi, \eta)$ -space in a neighborhood of  $\pi_2^{-1}(Q)$ , and  $\tilde{V}$  is smooth at every point of  $\pi_2^{-1}(Q)$  by the Jacobian criterion. Since  $Q$  is arbitrary on  $C_p$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  is smooth along  $(\rho \cdot \pi_2)^{-1}(P)$ . Let  $\rho^{-1}(P')$  be another fiber of  $\rho$ . Then  $\rho^{-1}(P') = n_{p'} C_{p'}$ , where  $n_{p'} \geq 1$  and  $C_{p'} \cong A_k^1$ . Let  $Q'$  be a point on  $C_{p'}$ . Then there exist local parameters  $\xi', \eta'$  such that  $C_{p'}$  is defined locally by  $\xi'=0$  and  $t-\alpha = u'(\xi')^{n_{p'}}$  where  $\alpha \in k^*$  and  $u'$  is an invertible element of  $\mathcal{O}_{Q',V}$ . Then  $\tilde{V}$  is analytically isomorphic to a hypersurface  $\tau^{n_p} - \alpha = u'(\xi')^{n_{p'}}$  in the  $(\tau, \xi', \eta')$ -space. By the Jacobian criterion,  $\tilde{V}$  is smooth at every point of  $\pi_2^{-1}(Q')$ . Since  $Q'$  is arbitrary on  $C_{p'}$ ,  $\tilde{V}$  is smooth along  $(\rho \cdot \pi_2)^{-1}(P')$ . Thus we know that  $\tilde{V}$  is smooth.

Let  $\tilde{\rho}: \tilde{V} \rightarrow A_k^1 := \text{Spec}(k[\tau])$  be the canonical morphism induced by  $\rho$ . The generic fibers of  $\rho$  and  $\tilde{\rho}$  are isomorphic to  $\text{Spec}(A \otimes_{k[t]} k(t))$  and  $\text{Spec}(A \otimes_{k[\tau]} k(\tau))$ , respectively. Since  $\text{Spec}(A \otimes_{k[t]} k(t)) \cong A_{k(t)}^1$ , we know that  $\text{Spec}(A \otimes_{k[\tau]} k(\tau)) \cong A_{k(\tau)}^1$ . Hence, general fibers of  $\tilde{\rho}$  are isomorphic to  $A_k^1$ .

Let  $P$  be the point on  $\text{Spec}(k[\tau])$  lying above  $P$  on  $\text{Spec}(k[t])$ . Then the fiber  $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}(P)$  has  $n_p$  analytic branches over any point  $Q$  of  $C_p$ , for  $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}(P)$  is analytically defined by  $\theta^{n_p} = u$  as shown in the proof of the first assertion.

Hence, by Lemma 1.1,  $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}(\tilde{P})$  has  $n_p$  connected components, each of which is isomorphic to  $A_k^1$ . Q.E.D.

**1.3.5.** As remarked in 1.3.4,  $\text{Pic}(\tilde{V}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = 0$ . However, if  $\rho: V \rightarrow A_k^1$  has a singular fiber, Lemma 1.1 implies that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Pic}(\tilde{V}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} > 0$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\rho$  has no singular fibers. Then, by virtue of [5; Th. 1],  $V$  is an  $A^1$ -bundle over  $\rho(V) = A_k^1$ . Hence, we know that  $V \cong A_k^2$ . Namely,  $A$  is a polynomial ring in two variables over  $k$ . This completes a proof of Lemma 1.3 as well as a proof of Theorem 1.

**1.4. REMARK.** Theorem 1 is a generalization of the following result in the case of dimension 1:

*Let  $k$  be a field, and let  $A$  be a normal, 1-dimensional  $k$ -subalgebra of a polynomial ring over  $k$ . Then  $A$  is a polynomial ring over  $k$ .*

**2. Proof of Theorem 2**

**2.1.** We retain the notations and the assumptions of Theorem 2. Let  $L := k(x, y, z)$ , and let  $K$  be the invariant subfield of  $L$  with respect to the induced  $G_a$ -action on  $L$ . Then,  $A = B \cap K$ . Since  $B$  is normal and  $\text{trans.deg}_k K = 2$ , we know by Zariski's Theorem (cf. Nagata [9; Th. 4, p. 52]) that  $A$  is finitely generated over  $k$ . By assumption,  $A$  is regular. By virtue of [7; Lemma 1.3.1, p. 16], we know that  $A$  is a unique factorization domain and  $A^* = k^*$ .

**2.2.** Let  $W = \text{Spec}(B)$ , let  $V = \text{Spec}(A)$ , and let  $\pi: W \rightarrow V$  be the dominant morphism induced by the injection  $A \hookrightarrow B$ . We shall prove

**Lemma.**  $\pi: W \rightarrow V$  is a faithfully flat, equi-dimensional morphism of dimension 1.

Proof. (1) We shall show that  $B$  is flat over  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a prime ideal of  $B$  and let  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap A$ . Then  $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$  dominates  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular and  $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is Cohen-Macaulay,  $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is flat over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by virtue of [EGA (IV, 15.4.2)]. Hence  $B$  is flat over  $A$ .

(2) We shall show that  $\pi$  is surjective. Suppose  $\pi$  is not surjective. Then there exists a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}B = B$ . Let  $(\mathfrak{D}, t\mathfrak{D})$  be a discrete valuation ring of  $K$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}$  dominates  $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Let  $R := B \otimes_A \mathfrak{D}$ . Since  $B$  is  $A$ -flat,  $R$  is identified with a subring of  $L$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a locally nilpotent derivation on  $B$  associated to the given  $G_a$ -action. Then  $\Delta$  extends to a locally nilpotent  $\mathfrak{D}$ -derivation, and  $\mathfrak{D}$  is the ring of  $\Delta$ -invariants in  $R$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{D} = \{r \in R; \Delta(r) = 0\}$ . By assumption, we have  $tR = R$ , where  $t$  is a uniformisant of  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Hence  $tr = 1$  for some element  $r \in R$ . Then  $t\Delta(r) = 0$ , whence  $r \in \mathfrak{D}$ . This is a contradiction.

(3) Note that general fibers of  $\pi$  are isomorphic to  $A_k^1$ . Hence, each irreducible component has dimension  $\geq 1$ . Suppose that some component  $T$  of a fiber  $\pi^{-1}(P)$  (with  $P \in V$ ) has dimension 2. Since  $B$  is factorial, there exists an irreducible element  $b \in B$  such that  $T$  is defined by  $b=0$ . Since  $T$  is invariant with respect to the  $G_a$ -action, we know that  $b \in A$ . Let  $C = \text{Spec}(A/bA)$ . Then  $C$  is an irreducible curve and  $\pi^{-1}(C) = T \subset \pi^{-1}(P)$ . This is a contradiction because  $\pi$  is surjective. Thus,  $\pi$  is a faithfully flat, equidimensional morphism of dimension 1. Q.E.D.

2.3. Let  $U$  be the subset of all points  $P$  of  $V$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(P)$  is irreducible and reduced. Then  $U$  is a dense open set of  $V$ . By virtue of [5; Th. 1],  $\pi^{-1}(U) := W \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} U$  is an  $A^1$ -bundle over  $U$ .

2.4. We shall prove the following:

**Lemma.**  $V$  has the logarithmic Kodaira dimension  $\bar{\kappa}(V) = -\infty$ .

Proof. We follow the arguments of Iitaka-Fujita [4]. Let  $X$  be a hyperplane in  $W \cong A_k^2$  such that  $X \cap \pi^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\bar{\kappa}(V) \geq 0$ . Let  $C$  be a prime divisor in  $X$ . Consider a morphism:

$$\varphi: C \times A_k^1 \hookrightarrow X \times A_k^1 = W \xrightarrow{\pi} V,$$

and assume that  $\varphi$  is a dominant morphism. Since  $\dim(C \times A_k^1) = \dim V = 2$ , we know by [3; Prop. 1] that

$$0 = \bar{P}_m(C \times A_k^1) \geq \bar{P}_m(V) \geq 1 \quad \text{for every } m \gg 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence  $\varphi$  is not a dominant morphism. Let  $D$  be the closure of  $\varphi(C \times A_k^1)$  in  $V$ . Then  $C \times A_k^1 \subset \pi^{-1}(D)$ . Suppose  $C \cap \pi^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset$ . Then the general fibers of  $\pi: \pi^{-1}(D) \rightarrow D$  are isomorphic to  $A_k^1$ . Hence  $\pi^{-1}(D)$  is irreducible and reduced. Since  $\dim(C \times A_k^1) = \dim \pi^{-1}(D) = 2$ , we have  $C \times A_k^1 = \pi^{-1}(D)$ .

Let  $Q$  be a point on  $X$ , and let  $C_1, \dots, C_r$  be prime divisors of  $X$  such that  $C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_r = \{Q\}$  and that  $C_i \cap \pi^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . For any point  $Q$  of  $X$ , we can find such a set of prime divisors. In effect,  $X$  is the affine plane  $A_k^2$  and  $X \cap (W - \pi^{-1}(U))$  has dimension  $\leq 1$ . Thus, we have only to take a set of suitably chosen lines on  $X$  passing through  $Q$ . Let  $D_i$  be the irreducible curve which is the closure of  $\varphi(C_i \times A_k^1)$  in  $V$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Then  $C_i \times A_k^1 = \pi^{-1}(D_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Q) \times A_k^1 &= (C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_r) \times A_k^1 = (C_1 \times A_k^1) \cap \dots \cap (C_r \times A_k^1) \\ &= \pi^{-1}(D_1) \cap \dots \cap \pi^{-1}(D_r) = \pi^{-1}(D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_r), \end{aligned}$$



we know that  $D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_r = \{P\}$ , a point in  $V$ . The correspondence  $Q \mapsto P$  defines a morphism  $\psi: X \rightarrow V$ . If  $\psi$  is a dominant morphism, we have

$$0 = \bar{P}_m(X) \geq \bar{P}_m(V) \geq 1 \quad \text{for every } m \gg 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $\psi$  is not a dominant morphism. Let  $F$  be the closure of  $\psi(X)$  in  $V$ . Then, for every point  $P$  of  $F$ , we have  $\dim \psi^{-1}(P) \geq 1$ , and  $\pi(\psi^{-1}(P) \times \mathbf{A}_k^1) = \psi(\psi^{-1}(P)) = P$ . This contradicts Lemma 2.2. Therefore,  $\bar{\kappa}(V) = -\infty$ . Q.E.D.

**2.5.** As observed in the above arguments,  $V$  is a nonsingular, rational, affine surface such that  $\bar{\kappa}(V) = -\infty$ ,  $A$  is a unique factorization domain and  $A^* = k^*$ . Then, by virtue of Theorem 0,  $V$  is isomorphic to the affine plane  $\mathbf{A}_k^2$ . Namely,  $A$  is a polynomial ring in two variables over  $k$ . This completes a proof of Theorem 2.

**2.6.** We do not know whether or not the regularity condition on  $A$  can be eliminated. As a view-point of practical use, the following result might be interesting:

**Proposition.** *Let the notations and the assumptions be the same as in Theorem 2. Instead of the regularity condition on  $A$ , we assume that  $A$  contains a coordinate, say  $z$ . Then  $A$  is a polynomial ring in two variables over  $k$ .*

Proof. (1) Let  $H_\alpha$  be the hyperplane  $z = \alpha$  in  $W := \text{Spec}(B)$  for every  $\alpha \in k$ . Since  $z$  is  $G_a$ -invariant, the hyperplane  $H_\alpha$  is  $G_a$ -invariant. Set  $B_\alpha := B/(z - \alpha)B \cong k[x, y]$ . Let  $R_\alpha$  be the invariant subring of  $B_\alpha$  with respect to the induced  $G_a$ -action on  $H_\alpha$ . Then it is clear that we have the following inclusions:

$$A_\alpha := A/(z - \alpha)A \hookrightarrow R_\alpha \hookrightarrow B_\alpha.$$

For a general element  $\alpha \in k$ , the induced  $G_a$ -action on  $H_\alpha$  is nontrivial. Hence  $R_\alpha$  is a one-parameter polynomial ring over  $k$  (cf. [7; Lemma, p. 39]).

(2) Let  $\mathfrak{k} = k(z)$ , let  $B_\mathfrak{f} := \mathfrak{k}[x, y]$  and let  $R_\mathfrak{f}$  be the  $G_a$ -invariant subring of  $B_\mathfrak{f}$  with respect to the induced  $G_a$ -action on  $B_\mathfrak{f}$ . Then it is not hard to show that  $R_\mathfrak{f} = A \otimes_{k[z]} \mathfrak{k}$  and that  $R_\mathfrak{f}$  is a one-parameter polynomial ring over  $\mathfrak{k}$  (cf.

Remark 1.4 and [7; *ibid.*]). Now, set  $Y := \text{Spec}(A)$  and let  $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec}(k[z])$  be the morphism associated to the inclusion  $k[z] \hookrightarrow A$ . Then the foregoing observations imply the following:

- (i) The generic fiber of  $f$  is  $\text{Spec}(R_\mathfrak{f})$ , which is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_\mathfrak{k}^1$ ;
- (ii) For every  $\alpha \in k$ , the fiber  $f^{-1}(\alpha)$  is geometrically integral (cf. the inclusions  $A_\alpha \hookrightarrow R_\alpha \hookrightarrow B_\alpha$  in the step (1)).

Since  $f$  is clearly faithfully flat morphism, we know by [5; Th.1] that  $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_k^1$  is an  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -bundle over  $\mathbf{A}_k^1$ . Hence  $Y$  is isomorphic to the affine plane  $\mathbf{A}_k^2$ .

Namely,  $A$  is a polynomial ring in two variables over  $k$ . Q.E.D.

**2.7. Corollary.** *Let the notations and the assumptions be the same as in Theorem 2. Instead of the regularity condition on  $A$ , assume that  $G_a$  acts linearly on  $A_k^3$  via the canonical action of  $GL(3, k)$  on the vector space  $kx+ky+kz$ . Then  $A$  is a polynomial ring in two variables over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $G_a$  is a unipotent group, we may assume, after a change of coordinates  $x, y, z$ , that  $G_a$  acts on  $A_k^3$  via the subgroup of upper triangular matrices in  $GL(3, k)$ . Then, one of coordinates, say  $z$ , is  $G_a$ -invariant. Then Proposition 2.5 implies that our assertion holds true. Q.E.D.

**2.8.** In the case of an algebraic action of  $G_a$  on a polynomial ring  $k[x, y]$  in two variables over  $k$ ,  $k[x, y]$  is a one-parameter polynomial ring over the subring of  $G_a$ -invariant elements (cf. [7; p. 39]). However, this does not hold in the case of an algebraic  $G_a$ -action on a polynomial ring of dimension  $\geq 3$  over  $k$ , as is shown by the following:

*EXAMPLE.* Let  $\Delta$  be a  $k$ -derivation on a polynomial ring  $B:=k[x, y, z]$  defined by  $\Delta(x)=y$ ,  $\Delta(y)=z$  and  $\Delta(z)=0$ . Then  $\Delta$  is locally nilpotent, whence  $\Delta$  defines an algebraic  $G_a$ -action on  $B$ . The subring  $A$  of  $G_a$ -invariant elements is  $A=k[z, zx-\frac{1}{2}y^2]$ . However,  $B$  is not a one-parameter polynomial ring over  $A$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove only the last assertion. Suppose that  $B=A[t]$  for some element  $t$  of  $B$ . Set  $W:=\text{Spec}(B)$  and  $V:=\text{Spec}(A)$ . Let  $f: W \rightarrow V$  be the morphism defined by the inclusion  $A \hookrightarrow B$ . Let  $P$  be a point of  $V$  such that  $z=\alpha$  and  $zx-\frac{1}{2}y^2=\beta$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in k$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , the fiber  $f^{-1}(P)$  is isomorphic to  $A_k^1$ . If  $\alpha=0$  and  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $f^{-1}(P)$  is a disjoint union of two irreducible components isomorphic to  $A_k^1$ . If  $\alpha=\beta=0$ ,  $f^{-1}(P)$  is a non-reduced curve with only one irreducible component isomorphic to  $A_k^1$  counted twice. But, if  $B=A[t]$ , all fibers of  $f$  should be isomorphic to  $A_k^1$ . Therefore, we have a contradiction. Q.E.D.

### References

- [1] T. Fujita: *On Zariski Problem*, Proc. Japan Acad. **55**, Ser. A (1979), 106–110.
- [2] A. Grothendieck et J. Dieudonné: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci., Vol. **4**, **8**, ..., referred to as (EGA).
- [3] S. Iitaka: *On logarithmic Kodaira dimension of algebraic varieties*, Complex analysis and algebraic geometry, 175–189, Iwanami Shoten and Cambridge Univ. Press, 1977.
- [4] S. Iitaka and T. Fujita: *Cancellation theorem for algebraic varieties*, J. Fac. Sci.

- Univ. of Tokyo, Sec. IA, **24** (1977), 123–127.
- [5] T. Kambayashi and M. Miyanishi: *On flat fibrations by affine line*, Illinois J. Math. **22** (1978), 662–671.
  - [6] M. Miyanishi: *An algebraic characterization of the affine plane*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **15** (1975), 169–184.
  - [7] M. Miyanishi: *Lectures on curves on rational and unirational surfaces*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Vol. 60, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer Verlag, 1978.
  - [8] M. Miyanishi and T. Sugie: *Affine surfaces containing cylinderlike open sets*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **20** (1980), 11–42.
  - [9] M. Nagata: *Lectures on the fourteenth problem of Hilbert*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Vol. 31, Bombay, 1965.

Department of Mathematics  
Osaka University  
Toyonaka, Osaka 560  
Japan