

Title	Some nilpotent H-spaces
Author(s)	Snaith, Victor P.
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1976, 13(1), p. 145–156
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/12086
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Snaith, V.P. Osaka J. Math. 13 (1976), 145–156

SOME NILPOTENT H-SPACES

VICTOR P. SNAITH

(Received January 27, 1975) (Revised July 7, 1975)

0. Introduction

In this note we give two generalisations, (Proposition 1.2 & Theorem 1.3), of Stasheff's criterion for homotopy commutativity of H-spaces, [11, Theorem 1.9], and apply them to produce examples of nilpotent H-spaces and to demonstrate the vanishing of certain Samelson-Whitehead products.

In §1.2 we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the vanishing of the Samelson-Whitehead product of $f:SA \rightarrow Y$ and $g:SB \rightarrow Y$. In Theorem 1.3 a criterion for the vanishing of the *j*-th iterated commutator map in an *H*-space, *X*, is given in terms of a space, X(j). As a corollary it is shown that if the projective plane of *X*, (resp. the space *X*), has a finite Postnikov system then *X*, (resp. ΩX), is nilpotent. In §2 the nilpotency of loop spaces of spheres and projective spaces is discussed. Many of the results of §2 are known to other authors and I am grateful to G.J. Porter for drawing my attention to the results of T. Ganea, [3]. However, for completeness, the results of [3] have been included here, as corollaries of Proposition 1.2. The nilpotency of ΩS^{2n} and ΩCP^{2n} do not appear in [3] although the former was previously known to M.G. Barratt, I. Berstein and T. Ganea. Since our estimate of the nilpotency of a family of triple Samelson-Whitehead products on CP^{2n} .

I am grateful to Peter Jupp for helpful conversations about homotopy operations.

In this paper we work in the category of based, countable CW complexes. A connected complex in this category is called special. The following notation is used:—

 $X \wedge Y =$ smash product of X and Y.,

 $\sqrt[i]{X}$, $\stackrel{i}{\wedge} X$ and X^j are respectively the *j*-fold wedge, smash and product of X, I=[0, 1] with basepoint, *=0,

 $SX=S^1 \land X$, ΩX =the space of loops on X, and (eval: $S\Omega X \rightarrow X$)=the evaluation map. **1.** Let X be a homotopy associative H-space and let $\phi_2: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be the cummutator map.

DEFINITION 1.1. For (n>2) put $\phi_n: X^n = X^{n-1} \times X \to X$ be $\phi_2 \circ (\phi_{n-1} \times 1)$, then the *nilpotency of* X is the least integer, n, such that ϕ_{n+1} is nullhomotopic. Nilpotency of X is denoted by nil (X).

Proposition 1.2. Let $f: A \to \Omega Y$ and $g: B \to \Omega Y$ be maps. Then $\phi_2 \circ (f \times g)$: $A \times B \to \Omega Y$ is nullhomotopic if and only if $adj(f) \lor adj(g): SA \lor SB \to Y$ (adj = adjoint) extends to a map $SA \times SB \to Y$.

Theorem 1.3. For $(j \ge 2)$ there exist complexes, X(j), and inclusions i_j : $\bigvee^{j} SX \rightarrow X(j)$ satisfying the following properties.

- (i) $X(2)=SX \times SX$.
- (ii) $X(j)/(\sqrt[j]{SX}) \cong S^2 \wedge (\bigwedge^j X).$

(iii) If $(fold)_j$: $\sqrt[j]{}SX \rightarrow \sqrt[j]{}SX$ is the map which folds the j-th factor onto the (j-1)-st factor there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X(j) & \longrightarrow & X(j-1) \\ i_{j} \uparrow & & \uparrow i_{j-1} \\ & & & \checkmark SX \xrightarrow{j \sim 1} SX \\ & & & (fold)_{j} \end{array}$$

(iv) $\Gamma_{j}^{*}(H^{*}(X(j-1), \bigvee^{j-1}SX; \pi))=0, (j>2).$

(v) There exists a map $\Delta_j: (X \times Y)(j) \to X(j) \times Y(j), (j \ge 2)$, such that the k-th factor $S(X \times Y)$ is mapped to (k-th $SX) \times (k$ -th SY) by

$$\Delta_j \circ i_j([t, x, y]) = (i_j[t, x], i_j[t, y]),$$

$$(t \in I, x \in X, y \in Y)$$

(vi) If X is an H-space let XP(2) be the projective plane of X and $w; X \rightarrow \Omega XP(2)$ be the H-map of [11, Proposition 3.5.]. If ϕ_j is nullhomotopic then $\sqrt[j]{adj}(w): \sqrt[j]{SX} \rightarrow XP(2)$ extends over X(j). The converse is true if X is homotopy associative and right translation is a homotopy equivalence.

(vii) The commutator $\phi_j: (\Omega Y)^j \rightarrow \Omega Y$ is nullhomotopic if and only if

$$\bigvee^{j} (eval): \bigvee^{j} S\Omega Y \to Y$$

extends over $(\Omega Y)(j)$.

REMARK 1.4. Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 are generalisations of Stasheff's criterion for homotopy commutativity, [11, Theorem 1.9], which is

Theorem 1.3 with j=2. The proof of Proposition 1.2 will be omitted. It may be proved by the same method as [11, Theorem 1.9] or deduced from [13, Theorem 7] and [11, Propositions 3.5, 4.2] and is closely related to [14, Theorem 3]. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is postponed to §3. Of course, Theorem 1.3 has a minor generalisation to give a criterion for maps $\phi_n \circ (\prod_{i=1}^n f_i): \prod_{i=1}^n A_i \to X^n \to X$ to be nullhomotopic.

Corollary 1.5. (i) If right translation is a homotopy equivalence in X and XP(2) has only n non-trivial homotopy groups then $nil(X) \le n$.

(ii) If Y has only n non-trivial groups then $nil(\Omega Y) \le n$.

Proof. (i) Since $w: X \to \Omega XP(2)$ is an *H*-map, if $f: XP(2) \to E_1$ is the map to the first space in the Postnikov system, Proposition 1.2 implies an extension of

$$\sqrt[2]{adj}(\Omega f) \circ w : \sqrt[2]{SX} \to E_1$$

to $(SX)^2$. Hence the result follows, by induction up the Postnikov system, using composition with Γ_i to kill the obstructions.

Part (ii) is proved similarly.

2. In this section we consider *H*-spaces, ΩX . The commutator $\phi_2: (\Omega X)^2$

 $\rightarrow \Omega X$ induces a map, also denoted by $\phi_2, \phi_2: \bigwedge^2 \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega X$. The Samelson-Whitehead operation derived from ϕ_2 , [2, §4.2], will be denoted by

$$[_,_]: [A, \Omega X] \times [B, \Omega X] \rightarrow [A \land B, \Omega X].$$

An element of [SA, X] and its adjoint in $[A, \Omega X]$ will be denoted by the same symbol.

Proposition 2.1 [15; 16, Example 1.3].

If X is a special complex then $S\Omega SX \simeq \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} S(\bigwedge^{k} X)$.

Proof. From [8, §5] we have a homotopy equivalence, $\Omega SX \simeq X_{\infty}$, where X_{∞} is the reduced product of X. In the notation of [8, §1] if X^m is the *m*-fold product of X let X_m denote its image in X_{∞} . The canonical map $X^m \to \bigwedge^m X$ factors through X_m and sends X_{m-1} to the basepoint, inducing a homeomorphism $X_m/X_{m-1} \simeq \bigwedge^m X$. The map $X_m \to (\bigwedge^m X) = (\bigwedge^m X)_1 \subset (\bigwedge^m X)_{\infty}$ has a continuous combinatorial extension, [8, §1.4], $\pi: X_{\infty} \to (\bigwedge^m X)_{\infty}$. Define π_m as the composition

$$S(X_{\infty}) \xrightarrow{S(\pi)} S((\bigwedge^{m} X)_{\infty}) \simeq S\Omega S(\bigwedge^{m} X) \xrightarrow{eval} S(\bigwedge^{m} X).$$

V.P. SNAITH

Now define $\alpha: S(X_{\infty}) \to \bigvee_{k=1}^{\infty} S(\bigwedge^{k} X)$ by

$$\alpha[t, x] = \begin{cases} \pi_n[2^n \cdot t - 1, x] & (t \in [1/2^n, 1/2^{n-1}]; x \in X_\infty) \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that α respects the obvious filtrations and induces homotopy equivalences $S(X_m/X_{m-1}) \rightarrow (\bigvee_{1}^{m} S(\bigwedge_{1}^{k} X))/(\bigvee_{1}^{m-1} S(\bigvee_{1}^{k} X)).$

REMARK 2.2. Using the work of May, [9], a similar proof shows that stably $S^n \Omega^n S^n X$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of *n*-fold suspensions of equivariant half-smash products.

For classes $\alpha_i \in \pi_{m_i}((\Omega S^q) \wedge S^1)$,

$$(1 \leq i \leq k; \sum m_i = n),$$

let $\{\alpha_1 \{\alpha_2 \{\cdots \{\alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_k\}\} \cdots\} \in \pi_{n-k+1}(S^q)$ be the class of the composition

$$S^{1} \wedge S^{m_{1}-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge S^{m_{k}-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1} \wedge 1} \Omega S^{q} \wedge S^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge S^{m_{k}-1} \xrightarrow{\cdots} \cdots$$
$$\xrightarrow{1 \wedge \alpha_{k}} (\stackrel{k}{\wedge} \Omega S^{q}) \wedge S^{1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{k}} \wedge S^{q}.$$

A similar operation is defined on classes in $\pi_*(S\Omega X)$.

Lemma 2.3. For $(q \ge 1)$ let $\alpha_1 \in \pi_{m_1}(S^q)$, $\alpha_i \in \pi_{m_i}(S\Omega S^q)$, (i=2, 3, 4), and $r = (\sum_{1}^{3} m_i) - 2$, $s = (\sum_{1}^{4} m_i) - 3$. If q is odd the Whitehead product $[\alpha_1, \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\}] \in \pi_r(S^q)$ is zero and if q is even $[\alpha_1, \{\alpha_2 \{\alpha_3, \alpha_4\}\}] \in \pi_s(S^q)$ is zero.

Proof.

Case (i): q even.

Let $S_1^q \vee S_2^q$ be the wedge of two coipes of S^q and let $i_t: S^q \to S_1^q \vee S_2^q$, (t=1, 2), be the inclusions. The class

$$z = \{S\Omega i_1 \circ \alpha_2 \{S\Omega i_1 \circ \alpha_3, S\Omega i_2 \circ \alpha_4\}\}$$

maps to $\{\alpha_2 \{\alpha_3, \alpha_4\}\}$ under the folding map $S_1^q \vee S_2^q \rightarrow S^q$. Collapsing S_t^q , (t=1, 2), kills z and by [4, Theorems A and 6.6] there exist classes $\sigma \in \pi_t(S^{2q-1})$ and $\tau \in \pi_t(S^{3q-2})$, where $t=m_2+m_2+m_4-2$, such that

$$\{\alpha_{2}\{\alpha_{3},\alpha_{4}\}\}=[\iota,\iota]\circ\sigma+[\iota[\iota,\iota]]\circ\tau,(\iota=[1_{S}]\in\pi_{q}(S^{q}))$$

Hence, by [2, §4.3 et seq; 4, Theorem 6.10; 12, §§3.2, 3.3],

$$[\alpha_1, \{\alpha_2\{\alpha_3, \alpha_4\}\}] = [\alpha_1, [\iota, \iota] \circ \sigma].$$

Now consider $z \in \pi_t(S_1^q \vee S_2^q)$. Since the composition

Some Nilpotent H-spaces

$$\Omega S_1^q \land \Omega S_2^q \xrightarrow[\phi_2^\circ(\Omega i_1 \land \Omega i_2)]{} \Omega(S_1^q \lor S_2^q) \longrightarrow \Omega(S_1^q \times S_2^q)$$

is nullhomotopic the factorisation of $\{S\Omega i_1 \circ \alpha_3, S\Omega i_2 \circ \alpha_4\}$,

$$S^1 \wedge S^{m_3^{-1}} \wedge S^{m_4^{-1}} \longrightarrow S\Omega(S^q_1 \vee S^q_2) \xrightarrow{eval} S^q_1 \vee S^q_2$$
,

extends to a factorisation

$$S^{1} \wedge S^{m_{3}^{-1}} \wedge S^{m_{4}^{-1}} \wedge I \xrightarrow{f} S\Omega(S^{q}_{1} \times S^{q}_{2}) \xrightarrow{eval} S^{q}_{1} \times S^{q}_{2}$$

Hence, if $\phi_2: \stackrel{3}{\wedge} \Omega(S_1^q \times S_2^q) \to \Omega(S_1^q \times S_2^q)$ is the three-fold commutator and $q: (S_1^q \times S_2^q, S_1^q \vee S_2^q) \to (S_1^q \wedge S_2^q, *)$ is the collapsing map, then the map of pairs, $q \circ \phi_3 \circ (1 \wedge f) \circ (\alpha_2 \wedge 1)$ is nullhomotpic. In the notation of [4, §6; 5, Lemma 3] this represents $\chi \cdot (d^{-1})(z)$. Hence, as in [4, Theorem 6.10, and Lemma 6.11] $[\alpha_1, [\iota, \iota] \circ \sigma]$ has two-primary order. However, by [4, Theorem 6.10], 3. $[\alpha_1, [\iota, \iota] \circ \sigma] = 0$.

Case (ii): q odd. This follows from [4, Theorem 6.10; 12, §§3.2, 3.3] and the fact that $\{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\} = [\iota, \iota] \circ \sigma$.

Corollary 2.4.

(a) $nil(\Omega S^{2n+1}) \le 2$, $(n \ge 0)$. (b) $nil(\Omega S^{2n}) \le 3$, $(n \ge 1)$. (c) $nil(\Omega S^n) = 1$ if and only if n = 1, 3 or 7. (d) $nil(\Omega S^2) = 2$.

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) are proved using Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 1.2. For (b) it suffices to extend the map

$$(eval) \lor (eval) \circ \phi_3: S\Omega S^{2n} \lor S((\Omega S^{2n})^3) \rightarrow S^{2n} \text{ over } S\Omega S^{2n} \times S((\Omega S^{2n})^3)$$

Since $S(A \times B) \simeq SA \vee SB \vee S(A \wedge B)$, Proposition 2.1 implies that both factors are wedges of spheres. Hence the obstructions to the extension are Whitehead products. These obstructions are clearly of the form $[\alpha_1, \{\alpha_2\{\alpha_2, \alpha_4\}\}]$. Parts (c) and (d) follow from well-known properties of Whitehead products.

Let *F* denote the real field, (*R*), the complex field, (*C*), or the quaternions, (*H*). Let *d* be the real dimension of *F*. If *FP*^{*n*} is the projective *n*-space over *F*, $(n \ge 1)$, let $\beta: S^{d-1} \rightarrow \Omega FP^n$ be the adjoint of the inclusion of *FP*¹ and let $\pi: S^{d\cdot(n+1)-1} \rightarrow FP^n$ be the canonical projection, then

$$\mu(F, n) = \beta \cdot \Omega \pi \colon S^{d-1} \times \Omega S^{d \cdot (n+1)-1} \to \Omega FP^n \times \Omega FP^n \to \Omega FP^n$$

is a homotopy equivalence, [11, Proposition 14].

Proposition 2.5. If F=R or C, $\mu(F, n)$ is an H-equivalence if and only if $n \ge 3$ and n is odd. Also $\mu(H, 24k-1)$ is an H-equivalence, $(k \ge 1)$.

Proof. The map, $\mu(F, n)$, is an *H*-map if and only if β and $\Omega \pi$ have zero "commutator".

By Proposition 1.2, to demonstrate this we need only extend $adj(\beta) \vee$ $adj(\Omega\pi): S^d \vee S\Omega S^{d \cdot (n+1)^{-1}} \rightarrow FP^n$ in the cases indicated. The obstructions to this are all Whitehead products of the third kind which are zero by [1, §4; 6, Theorem 2.11. The converses follow from the behaviour of Whitehead products of the third kind, $[1, \S4]$.

Corollary 2.6.

(i) $nil(\Omega RP^{2n}) = \infty$, $(n \ge 1)$. (ii) $nil(\Omega RP^{2n+1}) = \begin{cases} \le 2 & (n \ge 0) \\ = 1 & if and only if n = 0, 1 \text{ or } 3. \end{cases}$ (iii) $nil(\Omega CP^{2n+1}) = \begin{cases} \le 2 & (n \ge 0) \\ = 1 & if and only if n = 1 \end{cases}$ (iv) $nil(\Omega HP^{24k-1})=3.$

Proof. (i) By [1, §4.1] there are arbitrarily long, non-zero iterated Whitehead products in $\pi_*(RP^{2n})$.

Parts (ii)-(iv) follow from Proposition 2.5, the behaviour of Whitehead products and the fact that $nil(S^3)=3$, [10].

For the rest of this section we concentrate on ΩCP^{2n} . Let $\mu: S^1 \times \Omega S^{4n+1} \rightarrow$ ΩCP^{2*} be the homotopy equivalence of [11, Proposition 1.14] and let ν be an inverse equivalence. Let β and $\Omega \pi$ be as above and let π_i (i=1, 2) be the projections from $S^1 \times \Omega S^{4n+1}$. Also denote by β and $\Omega \pi$ the compositions $\beta \circ \pi_1 \circ \nu$ and $\Omega \pi \circ \pi_2 \circ \nu$ respectively. In the group $[\Omega CP^{2n}, \Omega CP^{2n}]$ the homotopy class of the identity is the product $\beta \cdot \Omega \pi$. The *n*-fold commutator, ϕ_n , for ΩCP^{2n} is nullhomotopic if the *n*-fold iterated Samelson-Whitehead product of $1_{\Omega \subset P^{2n}}$ is zero. Before proving that ΩCP^{2n} is nilpotent we derive some preliminary results about Samelson-Whitehead products in $[\Omega CP^{2n}, \Omega CP^{2n}], (n>0).$

Proposition 2.7. The class, $[1_{\Omega CP^{2n}}, 1_{\Omega CP^{2n}}]$, is represented by a map which factors through $\Omega \pi \colon \Omega S^{4n+1} \to \Omega CP^{2n}$.

Proof. We have to show that

$$(S^1 \times S^{4n+1})^2 \xrightarrow{\mu \times \mu} (\Omega CP^{2n})^2 \xrightarrow{\pi_1 \circ \nu \circ \phi_2} S^1$$

is nullhomotopic. It is nullhomotopic on $S^1 \times S^1$, since S^1 is abelian. However, further obstructions to extending the nullhomotopy from $S^1 \times S^1$ to $(S^1 \times \Omega S^{4n+1})^2$ lie in zero groups, by Proposition 2.1.

Corollary 2.8. $[[[1_{\Omega C P^{2n}}, 1_{\Omega C P^{2n}}]\Omega \pi]\Omega \pi] = 0.$

Proof. By Proposition. 2.7 and Corollary 2.4(a).

Proposition 2.9. $[[\Omega \pi, \Omega \pi]\beta] = 0.$

Proof. By Proposition 1.2 this is so if $\beta \lor (\phi_2 \circ (\Omega \pi)^2)$ extends over $S^2 \times S$ $((\Omega S^{4n+1})^2)$. Since $S((\Omega S^{4n+1})^2)$ is a wedge of spheres the obstructions are Whitehead products of the form $[\beta, \pi \circ x] \in \pi_*(CP^{2n})$. However, by the argument of Lemma 2.3 (proof), $x \in \pi_*(S^{4n+1})$ is a Whitehead product of the form $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ $=[\iota, \iota] \circ \sigma$. Since $[\beta[\pi, \pi]]=0$, by the Jacobi identity, [4, Theorem B]; then $[\beta, \pi \circ x]=0$ by [6, Theorem 2.1].

Let $a: A \to \Omega CP^{2n}$, $b_i: B \to \Omega CP^{2n}$, (i=1,2), be maps and define $\Delta_1: A \land B \to B \land A \land B$, $\Delta_2: B \land A \to A \land B \land B$ by $\Delta_1(a \land b) = b \land a \land b$ and $\Delta_2(b \land a) = a \land b \land b$. The commutator identity in a group,

$$[x, y, z] = [x, y] \cdot [y, [x, z]] \cdot [x, z] \text{ implies, (c.f. [2, §4]),} [a, b_1 \cdot b_2] = [a, b_1]. \qquad \{[b_1[a, b_2]] \circ \Delta_1\} \cdot [a, b_2] (2.10)$$
$$[b, b, a] = [b, a] \cdot \{[[a, b,]b] \circ \Delta_1\} \cdot [b, a]$$

and

 $[b_1 \cdot b_2, a_2] = [b_2, a] \cdot \{ [[a, b_2]b_1] \circ \Delta_2 \} \cdot [b_1, a]$

Notice that if $A = B = \Omega C P^{2n}$ then

$$[[a, b_2]\beta] \circ \Delta_2 = [[a, b_2 \circ \Omega \pi]\beta] \circ \Delta_2$$

and

$$[\beta[a, b_2]] \circ \Delta_1 = [\beta[a, b_2 \circ \Omega \pi]] \circ \Delta_1$$

since the diagonal $S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times S^1$ deforms onto $S^1 \vee S^1$.

Using (2.10) and $\beta \cdot \Omega \pi = 1_{\Omega C P^{2\pi}}$ it is straightforward to deduce the following result from Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 2.9.

Proposition 2.11. Let x_m be the m-fold iterated Samelson-Whitehead product,

 $x_m = [1_{\Omega C P^{2n}} [1_{\Omega C P^{2n}} [\cdots [_{\Omega C P^{12n}}, 1_{\Omega C P^{2n}}]] \cdots]]$

and y_m be the (m+2)-fold product,

$$y_m = [\beta[\beta[\cdots[\beta[1_{\Omega C P^{2n}}, 1_{\Omega C P^{2n}}]]\cdots]]. \quad Then$$

$$x_{m+2} = [\Omega \pi, y_{m-1}] \cdot y_m, \quad (m \ge 2).$$

Proposition 2.12. In the notation of (2.11), $y_5=0$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.7, y_1 factors through a map $S\Omega S^{4n+1} \rightarrow \Omega CP^{2n}$. However, $S\Omega S^{4n+1}$ is a wedge of spheres, by Proposition 2.1. Hence it suffices to show that $[\beta[\beta[\beta[\beta,\alpha]]]]=0$, where $\alpha: S^{4kn+2} \rightarrow CP^{2n}$ and $\alpha=\pi\circ\xi$. From [1, §4.2],

$$\left[\beta\left[\beta\left[\beta\left[\beta,\pi\right]\right]\right]\right] = \pi \circ \eta \circ S \eta \circ S^2 \eta \circ S^3 \eta, \left(0 \neq \eta \in \pi_{4n+2}(S^{4n+1})\right),$$

which is zero by [7, pp. 328-331]. Now if i_t , (t=1, 2) are the inclusions of the

factors in the wedge $S^2 \vee S^{4n+1}$ then $[\beta[\beta[\beta[\beta, \pi \circ \xi]]]] = (\beta \vee \pi) \circ [i_1[i_1[i_1, i_2 \circ \xi]]]]$. It is now straightforward to show $[\beta[\beta[\beta[\beta, \alpha]]]] = 0$, using [1, §4.2; 12, §§3.2 and 3.3].

Corollary 2.13. $3 \le nil(\Omega CP^{2n}) \le 7, (n \ge 1).$

Since the upper bound in Corollary 2.13 is large we prove the vanishing of another triple product.

Proposition 2.14. Let n_1, n_2 be integers and let $n_1: S^1 \rightarrow S^1, n_2: S^{4n+1} \rightarrow S^{4n+1}$ be maps of those degrees. Let x be represented by the composition

$$\Omega CP^{2n} \xrightarrow{\nu} S^1 \times \Omega S^{4n+1} \xrightarrow{(\beta \circ n_1) \times \Omega(\pi \circ n_2)} (\Omega CP^{2n})^2 \xrightarrow{m} \Omega CP^{2n},$$

where m is the multiplication, and (n>1).

If $n_1 \cdot n_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ then $0 = [[x, x]x] \in [\bigwedge^3 (\Omega CP^{2n}), \Omega CP^{2n}]$. In particular $[[\beta \cdot 1_{\Omega CP^{2n}}, \beta \cdot 1_{\Omega CP^{2n}}]\beta \cdot 1_{\Omega CP^{2n}}] = 0.$

Proof. By Theorem 1.3 (iii) and (vi) we have a map $\gamma: S^1(3) \rightarrow S^1P(2) = CP^2 \subset CP^{2n}$ extending $\sqrt[3]{(\beta \circ n_1)}$ on $(\sqrt[3]{S^2})$. Consider the problem of extending $\gamma \lor \pi \circ n_2$ over $S^1(3) \times S^{4n+1}$. This map extends over $E = (\sqrt[3]{S}) \times S^{24n+1} \cup S^1(3) \lor S^{4n+1}$, since the obstructions are Whitehead products, $[\beta \circ n_1, \pi \circ n_2]$, which are zero by [1, §4.2]. By Theorem 1.3 (ii), the only other obstruction lies in

$$H^{4n+6}(S^{1}(3) \times S^{4n+1}, E; \pi_{4n+5}(CP^{2n})) = 0.$$

If $\delta: S^1(3) \times S^{4n+1} \to CP^{2n}$ is the extension, consider $\delta \circ (1 \times f) \circ \Delta_3$ where Δ_3 is as in Theorem 1.3(v) and f is derived from Theorem 1.3(vii) and Corollary 2.4(a). Since the map $g: S(S^1 \times \Omega S^{4n+1}) \to S^2 \times S^{4n+1}$ given by g([t, (z, h)]) = ([t, z], h(t))is homotopic to the map, g_1 , given by

$$g_1([t, (z, h)]) = \begin{cases} ([2t, z], *) & (0 \le t \le 1/2) \\ (*, h(2t-1)) & (1/2 \le t \le 1) \end{cases}$$

then $(\delta \circ (l \times f) \circ \Delta_2 | \overset{\circ}{\vee} S(S^1 \times \Omega S^{4n+1}))$ is homotopic to $\overset{\circ}{\vee} (\beta \circ n_1) . (\Omega(\pi \circ n_2))$. Hence, by Theorem 1.3 (vii) and Remark 1.4, [[x, x], x] = 0.

3. The spaces, X(j)

Let $\{m_j, j \ge 1\}$ be the sequence of integers $m_1=1, m_{j+1}=2, (m_j+1)$. Let $P_j, (j \ge 2)$, be the 2-disc represented as a regular (plane) m_j -gon with vertices a_1, \dots, a_{m_j} and base point $a_1=*$. If S is a finite set in the plane let ch(S) denote its closed convex hull. Write

$$P_{j} = Q_{j} \cup R_{j} \cup Q_{j}', (j \ge 2), \text{ where } Q_{j} = ch(a_{1}, \dots, a_{1+m_{j-1}}),$$

$$Q_{j}' = ch(a_{2+m_{j-1}}, \dots, a_{m_{j}}) \text{ and } R_{j} = ch(a_{1}, a_{1+m_{j-1}}, a_{2+m_{j-1}}, a_{m_{j}})$$

Let $k_j: Q_j' \to Q_j$ be the linear homeomorphisms given by $k_j(a_{1-r+m_j}) = a_r$. Also let $\gamma_j: (Q_j, ch(a_1, a_{1+m_{j-1}})) \to (P_{j-1}, *)$ be a relative homeomorphism such that $\gamma_j(a_i) = a_i, (1 \le i \le m_{j-1})$, and γ_j is linear on each edge. Put $P_2 = I^2$ with vertices $a_1 = (0, 0), a_2 = (0, 1), a_3 = (1, 1)$ and $a_4 = (1, 0)$. Let $h_j: R_j \to I^2$ be the linear homeomorphism given by

$$h_j(a_1) = a_1, h_j(a_{1+m_{j-1}}) = a_2, h_j(a_{2+m_{j-1}}) = a_3 \text{ and } h_j(a_{m_j}) = a_4.$$

Now let $\{X_i, i \ge 1\}$ be an indexed set of copies of a space X. Define $\delta: I^2 \times (X_1 \lor X_2) \rightarrow SX_1 \lor SX_2$ by

$$\delta(t, s, *, y) = [s, y]_2, \, \delta(t, s, x, *) = [t, x]_1$$

 $(x, y \in X; s, t \in I \text{ and the suffix indicates the wedge factor}).$

We now inductively construct the spaces, X(j), $(j \ge 2)$. Put $X(2) = I^2 \times X_1 \times X_2 \cup \beta_2(SX_1 \vee SX_2)$ where

$$\beta_2: I^2 \times (X_1 \vee X_2) \cup \partial I^2 \times X_1 \times X_2 \to SX_1 \vee SX_2 \text{ is given by}$$

$$\beta_2(t, \varepsilon, x, y) = [t, x]_1, \beta_2(\varepsilon, s, x, y) = [s, y]_2, (\varepsilon = 0 \text{ or } 1),$$

and $\beta_2 = \delta$ otherwise. Thus $X(2) = SX_1 \times SX_2$.

Now let

$$\pi_1: \stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_i \to \stackrel{j-1}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_i, \ \pi_2: \stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_i \to X_j,$$
$$i_1: \stackrel{j-1}{\underset{1}{\times}} SX_i \to \stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} SX_i, \ i_2: SX_j \to \stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} SX_i$$

be the canonical projections and inclusions. Define

$$X(j) = P_j \times (\mathop{\times}\limits_{1}^{j} X_i) \cup \beta_j (\mathop{\vee}\limits_{1}^{j} SX_i), \qquad (j > 2),$$

where $\beta_j: \partial P_j \times (\stackrel{j}{\times} X_i) \cup P_j \times (\stackrel{j}{\vee} X_i) \rightarrow \bigvee_1^j SX_i$ is defined by the following compositions:—

$$\begin{split} \beta_{j} |(\partial P_{j} \cap Q_{j}) \times (\stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_{i}) &= i_{1} \circ \beta_{j-1} \circ (\gamma_{j} \times \pi_{1}), \\ \beta_{j} |(\partial P_{j} \cap Q_{j}') \times (\stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_{i}) &= i_{1} \circ \beta_{j-1} \circ ((\gamma_{j} \circ k_{j}) \times \pi_{1}), \\ \beta_{j} |(\partial P_{j} \cap R_{j}) \times (\stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_{i}) &= i_{2} \circ (\delta | I^{2} \times (X_{j} \vee \ast)) \circ (h_{j} \times \pi_{2}), \\ \beta_{j} | R_{j} \times (\stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\vee}} X_{i}) &= \ast = \beta_{j} |(Q_{j} \cup Q_{j}') \times X_{j}, \\ \beta_{j} | R_{j} \times X_{j} &= i_{2} \circ (\delta | I^{2} \times (X_{j} \vee \ast)) \circ (h_{j} \times 1), \\ \beta_{j} | Q_{j} \times (\stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\vee}} X_{i}) &= i_{1} \circ \beta_{j-1} \circ (\gamma_{j} \times 1), \end{split}$$

V.P. SNAITH

$$\beta_j | Q_j' \times (\bigvee_{j=1}^{j-1} X_i) = i_1 \circ \beta_{j-1} \circ ((\gamma_j \circ k_j) \times 1) .$$

The map Δ_j of Theorem 1.3 (v) is induced by

$$P_{j} \times X \times Y \xrightarrow{}_{\Delta \times 1 \times 1} P_{j}^{2} \times X \times Y \simeq P_{j} \times X \times P_{j} \times Y.$$

We now prove Theorem 1.3 (vi); part (vii) is similar. Consider the problem of extending $\bigvee_{i=1}^{j} adj(w)$: $\bigvee_{i=1}^{j} SX_i \rightarrow XP(2)$ over X(j). The map $(\bigvee_{i=1}^{j} adj(w)) \circ \beta_j$ sends $\partial P_j \bigvee_{i=1}^{j} (\bigvee_{i=1}^{j} X_i)$ to the basepoint and induces

 $\mu_j: \partial P_j \land (\underset{1}{\overset{j}{\times}} X_i) = S(\underset{1}{\overset{j}{\times}} X_i) \to XP(2) \text{ with adjoint}$

 $\mu_j: \stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_i \rightarrow \Omega XP(2).$ Let $f:C(\partial P_j) \xrightarrow{\cong} P_j$ be a cone-wise homeomorphism which is the identity on ∂P_j . Also let f have cone-point, $z_0 \in P_j$, such that

 $((\bigvee_{1}^{j} adj(w)) \circ \beta_{j})(z_{0} \times \bigvee_{1}^{j} X_{i}) = *, \text{ (if } j=2) \text{ this can be arranged by altering } adj(w) by a homotopy). Suppose that <math>\mu_{j}$ is nullhomotopic then there exists a nullhomotopy,

 $G_{u}(u \in I), \text{ of } (\bigvee_{1}^{j} adj(w)) \circ \beta_{j} \text{ such that}$ $G_{u}(q, x) = ((\bigvee_{1}^{j} adj(w) \circ \beta_{j}) (f[u, q], x), (q \in \partial P_{j}; x \in \bigvee_{1}^{j} X_{i}).$ Thus defining $H: P_{j} \times (\bigvee_{1}^{j} X_{i}) \rightarrow XP(2)$ by $H(q, x) = G_{u}(q', x)$, where f([u, q']) $= q(q' \in \partial P_{j}; q \in P_{j}; u \in I; x \in \bigvee_{1}^{j} X_{i}), \text{ induces a map } X(j) \rightarrow XP(2) \text{ extending}$

 $\sqrt[4]{adj(w)}$. Conversely, if $\sqrt[4]{adj(w)}$ extends, we have

 $H:P_j \times (\stackrel{j}{\searrow} X_i) \to XP(2)$ extending $(\stackrel{j}{\bigvee} adj(w)) \circ \beta_j$ and we may assume $H(P_j \times *) = *$. Now let $G_u: \partial P_j \to P_j$ be a based homotopy from the inclusion to the constant map. Thus

 $H \circ (G \times 1): I \times \partial P_j \times (\stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_i) \to XP(2)$ induces a nullhomotopy of μ_j . However, the map $\mu_j: \stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_i \to XP(2)$ is the composition of $(\stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} w)$ and the *j*-fold commutator on $\Omega XP(2)$, Since *w* is an *H*-map we have $w \circ \phi_j \simeq \mu_j$. Thus if ϕ_j is nullhomotopic the extension exists. If right translation is a homotopy equivalence in *X* there exists a map $r: \Omega XP(2) \to X$, [11, Lemma 4.2], such that $r \circ w \simeq 1$.

The maps, Γ_j , of Theorem 1.3 (iii) are induced by maps $G_j: P_j \times (\stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_i \rightarrow P_{j-1} \times (\stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_i)$ which are defined in the following manner. Let $proj: R_j \rightarrow R_{j-1}$ be such that $h_{j-1} \circ proj \circ (h_j)^{-1}$ is projection on the first factor in I^2 and let p_2 be $p_2: \stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_i \xrightarrow{\pi_2} X_j = X = X_{j-1}.$

154

and

Put

$$G_{j}|Q_{j} \times (\stackrel{\times}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_{i}) = \gamma_{j} \times \pi_{1},$$

$$G_{j}|Q_{j}' \times (\stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_{i}) = (\gamma_{j} \circ k_{j}) \times \pi_{1} \text{ and}$$

$$G_{j}|R_{j} \times (\stackrel{j}{\underset{1}{\times}} X_{i}) = proj \times p_{2}.$$

It is clear that there exist homeomorphisms

$$X(j)/(\checkmark SX) \cong D^2 \times X^j/(\partial D^2 \times X^j \cup D^2 \times (\checkmark X))$$
$$\cong S^2 \times X^j/(* \times X^j \cup S^2 \times (\checkmark X)).$$

Also $G_j(R_i \times (\stackrel{j}{\times} X_i)) \subset (\partial P_{j-1} \cap R_{j-1}) \times X_{j-1}$ which goes to the basepoint in $X(j-1)/(\stackrel{j^{-1}}{\vee} SX)$. Let $q: S^2 \to \stackrel{3}{\vee} S^2$ be the standard pinching map and put $A_j: S^2 \wedge X^j \to S^2 \wedge X^{j-1}$ as the composition (fold $\wedge 1$) $\circ ((1 \vee * \vee -1) \wedge \pi_1) \circ (q \wedge 1)$. We have a commutative diagram in which the rows are cofibrations

Hence Theorem 1.3 (iv) is proved.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY

References

- M.G. Barratt, I.M. James & N. Stein: Whitehead products and projective spaces, J. Math. Mech. 9 (1960), 813-819.
- [2] J.M. Boardman & B. Steer: On Hopf invariants, Comment. Math. Helv. 42 (1967), 180-221.
- [3] T. Ganea: On the loop spaces of projective spaces, J. Math. Mech. 16 (8) (1967), 853-858.
- [4] P.J. Hilton: On the homotopy groups of the union of spheres, J. London Math. Soc. 30 (1955), 154–172.
- [5] P.J. Hilton: On the Hopf invariant of a composition element, J. London Math. Soc. 29 (1954), 165-171.
- [6] P.J. Hilton & J.H.C. Whitehead: Note on the Whitehead product, Ann. of Math. 58 (1953), 429–442.
- [7] S-T Hu: Homotopy Theory, Academic Press, 1959.
- [8] I.M. James: Peduced product spaces, Ann. of Math. 62 (1953), 170-197.
- [9] J.P. May: Geometry of iterated loop spaces, mimeographed preprint, Chicago

Univ. 1972.

- [10] G.J. Porter: Homotopical nilpotence of S³, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 681-682.
- [11] J.D. Stasheff: On homotopy abelian H-spaces, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 57 (1961), 734-745.
- [12] B. Steer: Generalised Whitehead products, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 14 (1963), 29-40.
- [13] P.J. Hilton: Note on a theorem of Stasheff, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 10 (1962), 127-130.
- [14] C.S. Hoo: A generalisation of a theorem of Hilton, Canad. Math. Bull. 11 (1968), 663–669.
- [15] J. Milnor: The construction FK, mimeographed notes, Princeton University.
- [16] T. Ganea: On the homotopy suspension, Comment. Math. Helv. 43 (1968), 225– 234.