



Title	Cauchy problem in Gevrey classes for some evolution equations of Schrödinger type
Author(s)	Agliardi, R.; Mari, D.
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2003, 40(4), p. 917–924
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/12107">https://doi.org/10.18910/12107</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

# CAUCHY PROBLEM IN GEVREY CLASSES FOR SOME EVOLUTION EQUATIONS OF SCHRÖDINGER TYPE

R. AGLIARDI and D. MARI

(Received February 18, 2002)

## 1. Introduction

In this paper the Cauchy problem in Gevrey classes is studied for some partial differential — or, more generally, pseudo-differential — equations of Schrödinger type, that is, for differential equations whose type of evolution is 2 and whose characteristic roots are real. Our aim is to determine some Gevrey index  $\sigma$  for which the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem holds in Gevrey classes of order  $\sigma$ . Such an index depends on the multiplicity of the characteristic roots and on the lower order terms. Our result was obtained in [2] in the special case of differential equations with constant leading coefficients.

## 2. Notation

Let us first introduce some notation about Gevrey spaces.

If  $\sigma \geq 1$ , then  $\gamma^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$  will denote the class of all the smooth functions  $f$  such that:

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}} |\partial_x^\alpha f(x)| \cdot A^{-|\alpha|} \alpha!^{-\sigma} < +\infty$$

for some  $A > 0$ .

Now we define some Gevrey-Sobolev spaces (compare [4] and [5]). For  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\sigma \geq 1$ ,  $k > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\sigma, \varepsilon, k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the space of all functions  $f$  such that  $\|e^{\varepsilon \langle D_x \rangle^{1/\sigma}} f\|_k < +\infty$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_k$  is the usual Sobolev norm in  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Note that, if  $k' < k$  and  $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\sigma, \varepsilon, k}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\sigma, \varepsilon', k'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In this paper the space of the functions belonging to  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\sigma, \varepsilon, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $\varepsilon$ , will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\varepsilon(t)$  be a positive function of  $t$ ,  $t \in [-T, T]$ . If  $u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\sigma, \varepsilon(t), k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , for every  $t \in [-T, T]$ , let us denote  $\|e^{\varepsilon(t) \langle D_x \rangle^{1/\sigma}} u(t, x)\|_k$  by  $\|u(t)\|_{\varepsilon(t), \sigma, k}$ .

Let us now give some notation about pseudo-differential operators. We shall denote by  $S_\sigma^p$  the class of the pseudo-differential operators  $s(x, D_x)$  whose symbol  $s(x, \xi)$

satisfies the following condition:

$$\sup_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sup_{\substack{x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\xi| \geq B}} |\partial_x^\alpha D_x^\beta s(x, \xi)| \cdot \langle \xi \rangle^{|\alpha|-p} A^{-|\alpha+\beta|} \alpha!^{-1} \beta!^{-\sigma} < \infty$$

for some  $A > 0$ ,  $B \geq 0$ .

Finally  $s(x, \xi)$  is called a  $\sigma$ -regularizing symbol if:

$$\sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sup_{\substack{x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\xi| \geq B}} |D_x^\beta s(x, \xi)| \cdot \exp(h \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) A^{-|\beta|} \beta!^{-\sigma} < \infty$$

for some  $A, h > 0$ ,  $B \geq 0$ . A  $\sigma$ -regularizing operator maps the dual space of  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

### 3. The main result

Let us consider the following operator:

$$(3.1) \quad P = \pi_{2m}(t, x, D_t, D_x) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(t, x, D_x) D_t^{m-j}$$

where:

$$\pi_{2m}(t, x, D_t, D_x) = \prod_{j=1}^r (D_t - \lambda_j^1(t, x, D_x)) \cdots (D_t - \lambda_j^{s_j}(t, x, D_x)),$$

with  $\sum_{j=1}^r s_j = m$ ,  $s_r \geq s_{r-1} \geq \cdots \geq s_1$ , and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} a_j(t, x, D_x) &\in \mathcal{B}([-T_0, T_0]; S_\sigma^{2j-q}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \quad \text{for some } q, r < q \leq 2r, \\ \text{where } \sigma &\in \left[1, \frac{2r}{2r-q}\right] \quad \text{if } q < 2r \text{ and } \sigma \in ]1, +\infty) \text{ if } q = 2r. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we assume that the  $\lambda_j^i(t, x, \xi)$ 's are real-valued and satisfy the following properties:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad &\lambda_j^i \in \mathcal{C}^{m-1}([-T_0, T_0]; S_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), \\ \text{(ii)} \quad &\sum_{k=1, \dots, n} \partial_{\xi_k} \partial_{x_k} \lambda_j^i \in S_\sigma^{1/\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n), \nabla_x \lambda_j^i \cdot \xi \in S_\sigma^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \text{(iii)} \quad &\text{if } i \neq h \quad |\lambda_j^i(t, x, \xi) - \lambda_k^h(t, x, \xi)| \geq c_{jk}^{ih} |\xi|^2, \quad \text{for some } c_{jk}^{ih} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. Assumptions of the type (3.3) (ii) are not unusual in the literature about Schrödinger equations: for example, compare (8) in [7].

**Examples.** 1) Assume that  $P = \pi_{2m} + \sum_{h=1}^{2m} P_{2m-h}$  where  $\pi_{2m}(t; D_t, D_x) = \prod_{i=1}^k (D_t - \lambda^i(t, D_x))^{r_i}$ , with  $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i = m$ ,  $r = r_1 \geq \dots \geq r_k$ ,  $\lambda^i$  are homogeneous of degree 2 in  $\xi$  and  $\lambda^i(t, \xi) \neq \lambda^h(t, \xi)$  if  $i \neq h$  and  $\xi \neq 0$ , and  $P_{2m-h}(t, x, D_t, D_x) = \sum_{j=[(h+1)/2]}^m \sum_{|\alpha|=2j-h} a_{\alpha j}(t, x) D_x^\alpha D_t^{m-j}$ , with  $a_{\alpha j} \in \mathcal{B}([-T, T]; \gamma^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n))$  for some  $\sigma > 1$ .

Then our result applies if we assume that  $P_{2m-h}$  vanishes for  $h = 1, \dots, 2r - 1$ .  
2) Consider the operator in 1), but, more generally, assume that  $\lambda^i(t, x, D_x)$  satisfy (3.3) (i) and are of the form  $\lambda_0^i(t, D_x) + \mu^i(t, x, D_x)$ , where  $\lambda_0^i$  is homogeneous of order 2 and  $\mu^i$  is of order 1.  
3) Let  $P = \partial_t^2 + a_0(t, x) \partial_t + a_2(t, x, D_x) + a_3(t, x, D_x) + a_4(t, D_x)$  be a differential operators, where the subscripts denote the order of each operator  $a_i$ . We assume that  $a_4(t, \xi) \geq \delta |\xi|^4 > 0$ ,  $a_3(t, x, \xi)$  is real, that all the coefficients are in  $\mathcal{C}([-T_0, T_0]; \gamma^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n))$  for some  $\sigma > 1$  (the coefficients of  $a_3$  are in  $\mathcal{C}^1([-T_0, T_0]; \gamma^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and those of  $a_4$  are in  $\mathcal{C}^1([-T_0, T_0])$ ).

Then our theorem applies with any  $\sigma > 1$ , if we take  $\lambda^1(t, x, \xi) = \sqrt{a_4(t, \xi) + a_3(t, x, \xi)}$ ,  $\lambda^2(t, x, \xi) = -\sqrt{a_4(t, \xi) + a_3(t, x, \xi)}$ . Note that if we had taken  $\lambda^1(t, \xi) = \sqrt{a_4(t, \xi)}$ ,  $\lambda^2(t, \xi) = -\sqrt{a_4(t, \xi)}$ , then our theorem could not have been applied.

4) The pseudo-differential operators studied in [3] satisfy all our assumptions, if in the main Theorem in [3] we confine ourselves to the case  $\sigma < 1/p$ . Note that  $p$  in [3] is equal to  $2 - q$ , in the notation of this paper.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $P$  be as in (3.1), (3.2), (3.3). If the initial data  $g_h$  are in  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}([-T_0, T_0]; \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , then there exists  $T \in ]0, T_0]$  such that the Cauchy problem*

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} Pu(t) = f(t) \\ D_t^h u(0) = g_h \quad h = 0, \dots, m-1 \end{cases}$$

has a solution  $u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\forall t \in [-T_0, T_0]$ . More precisely, if  $M$  is an integer such that  $M \leq m - q/2$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\partial_t^h u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\sigma, \delta(2T-t), 2(m-h)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for every  $h$ ,  $h = 0, \dots, M$ , and the following energy inequality holds:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{h=0}^m \|\partial_t^h u(t, \cdot)\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 2(m-h)-q} \\ & \leq C \left\{ \sum_{h=0}^{m-1} \|\partial_t^h u(0)\|_{2T\delta, \sigma, 2-q/r} + \left| \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{\delta(2T-\tau), \sigma, 2-q/r} d\tau \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let  $\bar{s}_j = \sum_{h=1}^j s_h$ . Denote  $\lambda_j^i$  by  $\lambda_i$  if  $j = 1$  and by  $\lambda_{\bar{s}_{j-1}+1}$  if  $j > 1$ . Let  $\partial_i$  denote  $D_t - \lambda_i(t, x, D_x)$ . If  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$  set  $\{J\} = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ ,  $|J| = k$ ,  $\partial_J = \partial_{j_1} \cdots \partial_{j_k}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{I}_h^{(1)} = \{J = (j_1, \dots, j_h); j_1 < \dots < j_h, \{J\} \subset \{1, \dots, s_1\}\}$  and, for  $k = 2, \dots, r$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_h^{(k)} = \{J = (j_1, \dots, j_h); j_1 < \dots < j_h, \{J\} \subset \{\bar{s}_{k-1}, \dots, \bar{s}_k\}\}$ . Thus  $\pi_{2m}$  can be written in the form  $\partial_{J_1} \cdots \partial_{J_r}$ , with  $J_k \in \mathcal{I}_{s_k}^{(k)}$ .

First of all, by using Proposition 4.1, we write  $P$  in the following form (modulo  $\sigma$ -regularizers):

$$(3.6) \quad \pi_{2m} + \sum_{\substack{J_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{s_1-1}^{(1)}, \dots, J_r \in \mathcal{I}_{s_r-1}^{(r)} \\ h_i=0, \dots, s_i-1 \\ i=1, \dots, r}} \tilde{a}_{J_1, \dots, J_r}(t, x, D_x) \partial_{J_1} \cdots \partial_{J_r} \\ + \sum_{h_i=0, \dots, s_i-1} \sum_{J_i \in \mathcal{I}_{h_i}^{(i)}} \nu_{J_1, \dots, J_r} \partial_{J_1} \cdots \partial_{J_r}$$

where  $\tilde{a}_{J_1, \dots, J_r} \in \mathcal{B}([-T, T]; S_\sigma^0)$  and  $\nu_{J_1, \dots, J_r} \in \mathcal{B}([-T, T]; S_\sigma^{-N})$ .

Now we reduce the Cauchy problem for  $P$  to a first-order system with diagonal principal part. Set  $\rho = 2 - q/r$ . Let us introduce the new unknown  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_J\}_{|J| \leq m-1}$ , as follows:

$$\begin{cases} U_0 = \langle D_x \rangle^{\rho(r-1)} u \\ U_J = \langle D_x \rangle^{\rho(r-1)} \partial_J u & \text{if } |J| \leq m-r \\ U_J = \langle D_x \rangle^{\rho(m-|J|-1)} \partial_J u & \text{if } m-r < |J| \leq m-1 \end{cases}.$$

Then we have a system of the form:

$$(3.7) \quad D_t \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{L}(t, x, D_x) \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{B}(t, x, D_x) \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F}(t, x)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a diagonal matrix of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{j_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_{j_{2m-1}} \end{pmatrix}$  with  $\lambda_{j_i} \in \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$ , the entries of  $\mathcal{B}$  belong to  $\mathcal{B}([-T_0, T_0]; S_\sigma^0)$ , and the entries of  $\mathcal{F}(t, .)$  belong to  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\sigma$ .

The initial values of  $\mathcal{U}$  are determined as follows:

$$U_0(t=0) = \langle D_x \rangle^{\rho(r-1)} g_0 = \psi_0 \\ U_j(t=0) = \langle D_x \rangle^{\rho\mu(j)} (-i)^{|J|} \sum_{\substack{k \leq |J| \\ j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{J\} \\ j_1 < \dots < j_k}} i^k (\lambda_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \lambda_{j_k}) g_{|J|-k} = \psi_j,$$

where  $\mu(J) = r-1$  if  $|J| \leq m-r$  and  $\mu(J) = m-|J|-1$  if  $m-r < |J| \leq m-1$ .

Then the initial conditions are:

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{U}(0, x) = \Psi(x)$$

where the entries of  $\Psi = (\psi_j)_{|j| \leq m-1}$  belong to  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^\sigma$ . For any  $\delta > 0$ , we can write:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathcal{U}(t)\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 0}^2 = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \left( e^{\delta(2T-t)\langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}} \mathcal{U}(t) \right), e^{\delta(2T-t)\langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}} \mathcal{U}(t) \right\rangle$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product in the cartesian product  $\times L^2$  and  $T \in ]0, T_0]$  will be chosen suitably in what follows.

First note that, in view of Lemma 4.3 and its proof, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\delta(2T-t)\langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}} \mathcal{L}(t) e^{-\delta(2T-t)\langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}} - e^{-\delta(2T-t)\langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}} \mathcal{L}^*(t) e^{\delta(2T-t)\langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}} \\ &= \mathcal{L}(t) - \mathcal{L}^*(t) + R(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $R(t) \in S_\sigma^{1/\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $|R(t)|_l = \sup_{|\alpha+\beta| \leq l} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta R(t, x, \xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^{|\alpha|-1/\sigma}$  is a non-decreasing function of  $\delta(2T-t)$ , for any  $l$ .

Thus, if, say,  $2\delta T < 1$ , we have:

$$2 \operatorname{Re} \left\langle e^{\delta(2T-t)\langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}} i \mathcal{L}(t) \mathcal{U}(t), e^{\delta(2T-t)\langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}} \mathcal{U}(t) \right\rangle \leq \tilde{C} \|\|\mathcal{U}(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 1/(2\sigma)}^2$$

where  $\tilde{C}$  is independent of  $\delta$ .

Then we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\|\mathcal{U}(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 0}^2 &\leq -2\delta \|\|\mathcal{U}(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 1/(2\sigma)}^2 + \tilde{C}_0 \|\|\mathcal{U}(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 1/(2\sigma)}^2 \\ &\quad + C_0 \|\|\mathcal{U}(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, \rho/2}^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \|\|\mathcal{F}(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 0} \|\|\mathcal{U}(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 0}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{C}$  and  $C_0$  depend only on  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , respectively. Now we fix  $\delta \geq (\tilde{C} + C_0)/2$ . Hence, in view of  $\rho \leq 1/\sigma$ , we obtain:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\|\mathcal{U}(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 0} \leq \|\|\mathcal{F}(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 0}$$

and finally:

$$(3.9) \quad \|\|\mathcal{U}(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 0} \leq \|\|\mathcal{U}(0)\|\|_{2\delta T, \sigma, 0} + \left| \int_0^t \|\|\mathcal{F}(\tau)\|\|_{\delta(2T-\tau), \sigma, 0} d\tau \right|$$

$\forall t \in [-T, T]$ . Now, applying Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\|\partial_t^h u(t, .)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 2(m-h)-q} \\ & \leq \sum_{|J| \leq m-r} c'_J \|\|\partial_J u(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, 2r-q} = \sum_{|J| \leq m-r} c'_J \|\|U_J(t)\|\|_{\delta(2T-t), \sigma, \rho} \end{aligned}$$

If  $g_h \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\sigma, \varepsilon_h, 0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $\varepsilon_h > 0$ , we choose  $T$  such that  $2\delta T < \varepsilon_h$ ,  $h = 0, \dots, m-1$ . Then plugging (3.10) into (3.9), we get the energy inequality (3.5).  $\square$

#### 4. Preliminary results

In this section the notation is the same as in §3. For brevity's sake,  $\sigma$ -regularizers are not mentioned explicitly in the identities involving pseudo-differential operators.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume that  $\lambda_i$  and  $\lambda_j$  satisfy (3.3) (i) and are distinct in the sense that there exists  $c_{ij} > 0$  such that*

$$(4.1) \quad |\lambda_i(t, x, \xi) - \lambda_j(t, x, \xi)| \geq c_{ij}|\xi|^2.$$

*Then, for any positive integer  $N$ , we can write the identity in the following way:*

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Id.} = d_{ij}^{(N)}(t, x, D_x)\partial_j + d_{ji}^{(N)}(t, x, D_x)\partial_i + r^{(N)}(t, x, D_x),$$

*where  $d_{ij}^{(N)}$ ,  $d_{ji}^{(N)} \in S_\sigma^{-2}$  and  $r^{(N)} \in S_\sigma^{-N}$ .*

Proof. Let us denote  $(\lambda_i(t, x, \xi) - \lambda_j(t, x, \xi))^{-1}$  by  $d_{ij}(t, x, \xi)$ . Then we can write the identity as follows:

$\text{Id.} = d_{ij}(t, x, D_x)\partial_j + d_{ji}(t, x, D_x)\partial_i + r^{(1)}(t, x, D_x)$ , where  $r^{(1)} \in S_\sigma^{-1}$ . Finally the required identity follows by induction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that the  $\lambda_j$ 's satisfy (3.3) (i) and are distinct in the sense of (4.1) for  $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ . For  $h = 1, \dots, s$ , let  $\mathcal{I}_h$  be  $\{J = (j_1, \dots, j_h); j_1 < \dots < j_h, \{J\} \subset \{1, \dots, s\}\}$ . Then for all  $k = 0, \dots, s-1$  and for any positive integer  $N$  we can write:*

$$D_t^{s-1-k} = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}_{s-1}} c_J^{(k)}(t, x, D_x)\partial_J + \sum_{h=0}^{s-2} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{I}_h} r_J(t, x, D_x)\partial_J$$

*for some  $c_J^{(k)}$  and  $r_J$  depending on  $N$  and belonging to  $S_\sigma^{-2k}$  and  $S_\sigma^{-N}$  respectively.*

Proof. To prove this Lemma we refer to the proof of Lemma 2.4 in [1]. The only change is that Lemma 2.1 in [1] is to be replaced throughout by the Lemma 4.1 above. Moreover, we just have to observe that, if  $i \neq j$ , then  $D_t$  can be written as  $c_{ij}(t, x, D_x)\partial_i + c_{ji}(t, x, D_x)\partial_j + \tilde{r}_1$ , where  $c_{ij}(t, x, \xi) = \lambda_j(t, x, \xi)/(\lambda_j(t, x, \xi) - \lambda_i(t, x, \xi)) \in S_{\sigma, 1}^0$  and  $\tilde{r}_1 \in S_\sigma^{-1}$ .  $\square$

Finally, arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [1] and applying Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 4.1.** *If the operator  $P$  satisfies (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), then, for any positive integer  $N$ ,  $P$  can be written in the following form (modulo  $\sigma$ -regularizers):*

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{2m} + \sum_{J_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{s_1-1}^{(1)}, \dots, J_r \in \mathcal{I}_{s_r-1}^{(r)}} \tilde{a}_{J_1, \dots, J_r}(t, x, D_x)\partial_{J_1} \cdots \partial_{J_r} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{h_i=0, \dots, s_i-1 \\ i=1, \dots, r}} \sum_{J_i \in \mathcal{I}_{h_i}^{(i)}} \nu_{J_1, \dots, J_r} \partial_{J_1} \cdots \partial_{J_r} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{a}_{J_1, \dots, J_r} \in \mathcal{B}([-T, T]; S_\sigma^0)$  and  $\nu_{J_1, \dots, J_r} \in \mathcal{B}([-T, T]; S_\sigma^{-N})$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $\lambda$  satisfies (3.3) (i), (ii), then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the operator*

$$(4.2) \quad e^{\varepsilon \langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}} \lambda(t) e^{-\varepsilon \langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}} - e^{-\varepsilon \langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}} \lambda^*(t) e^{\varepsilon \langle D \rangle^{1/\sigma}}$$

is  $\lambda(t, x, D_x) - \lambda^*(t, x, D_x) + r(t, x, D_x)$ , where  $r(t) \in S_\sigma^{1/\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Proof. The symbol of (4.2) has an expansion  $\sum_N s_N(t, x, \xi)$ , where

$$s_N(t, x, \xi) = \sum_{|\gamma|=N} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \left\{ D_x^\gamma \lambda(t, x, \xi) \partial_\eta^\gamma (e^{\varepsilon \langle \xi + \eta \rangle^{1/\sigma} - \varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}}) - D_x^\gamma \lambda^*(t, x, \xi) \right. \\ \left. \partial_\eta^\gamma (e^{-\varepsilon \langle \xi + \eta \rangle^{1/\sigma} + \varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}}) \right\}_{\eta=0}$$

Note that  $s_0(t, x, \xi)$  is  $\lambda(t, x, \xi) - \lambda^*(t, x, \xi)$ , which is in  $S_\sigma^{1/\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  because of the first assumption in (3.3) (ii). The symbol  $s_1(t, x, \xi)$  is  $-i(\varepsilon/\sigma) \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma-2} \nabla_x (\lambda(t, x, \xi) + \lambda^*(t, x, \xi)) \cdot \xi$ , which is in  $S_\sigma^{1/\sigma}$  in view of (3.3) (ii). More generally, the terms multiplying  $D_x^\gamma \lambda$  or  $D_x^\gamma \lambda^*$  in  $s_N(t, x, \xi)$  for  $N \geq 2$ , are of the form:

$$\varepsilon g_\gamma^{(1)}(\xi) + \varepsilon^2 g_\gamma^{(2)}(\xi) + \dots + \varepsilon^N g_\gamma^{(N)}(\xi), \text{ where } g_\gamma^{(h)} \in S^{h/\sigma-N}.$$

When  $N$  is even, then  $\varepsilon^N g_\gamma^{(N)}(\xi)$  actually multiplies  $D_x^\gamma (\lambda - \lambda^*)$  which is in  $S_\sigma^{1/\sigma}$ ; thus  $s_N(t)$  is in  $S_\sigma^{1/\sigma+(N-2)(1/\sigma-1)}$  which is a subset of  $S_\sigma^{1/\sigma}$ . If  $N$  is odd, then we can see that  $g_\gamma^{(N)}(\xi)$  is of the form  $\tilde{g}_{\gamma_k}^{(N)}(\xi) \xi_k$ , where  $|\gamma_k| = N-1$  and  $\tilde{g}_{\gamma_k}^{(N)} \in S^{(N-1)/\sigma-N}$ . Thus, writing  $D_x^\gamma (\lambda + \lambda^*) = D_x^\gamma (\lambda^* - \lambda) + 2D_x^{\gamma_k} D_{x_k} \lambda$  and arguing as in the case  $|\gamma| = 1$ , we prove again that  $s_N(t)$  is in  $S_\sigma^{1/\sigma+(N-2)(1/\sigma-1)}$ .  $\square$

---

## References

- [1] R. Agliardi: *Cauchy problem for evolution equations of Schrödinger type*, J. of Differential Equations (2002) **180**, 89–98.
- [2] R. Agliardi: *Cauchy problem for non-Kowalevskian equations*, Intern. J. of Maths. (1995) **6**, 791–804.
- [3] R. Agliardi and D. Mari: *On the Cauchy problem for some non-Kowalevskian equations in  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{\{\sigma\}}$* , Ann. Mat. Pura ed Appl. (1996) **CLXX**, 89–101.
- [4] M. Cicognani and L. Zanghirati: *Nonlinear weakly hyperbolic equations with Levi condition in Gevrey classes*, Tsukuba J. Math. (2001) **25**, 85–102.
- [5] K. Kajitani: *The Cauchy problem for nonlinear hyperbolic systems*, Bull. Sc. Math. (1986) **110**, 3–48.
- [6] K. Kajitani: *Smoothing effect in Gevrey classes for Schrödinger equations II*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara (1999) **XLV**, 173–186.

- [7] H. Kitada and H. Kumano-go: *A family of Fourier integral operators and the fundamental solution for a Schrödinger equation*, Osaka J. Math. (1981) **18**, 291–360.
- [8] J. Takeuchi: *Le problème de Cauchy pour quelques équations aux dérivées partielles du type de Schrödinger II*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (1990) **310**, 855–858.
- [9] J. Takeuchi: *Le problème de Cauchy pour certaines équations aux dérivées partielles du type de Schrödinger II*, (1990) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **310**, 855–858.

R. AGLIARDI  
D. MARI  
Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università degli Studi di Ferrara  
via Machiavelli, 35  
I-44100 Ferrara  
e-mail: agl@dns.unife.it  
mai@dns.unife.it