

Title	On the number of the lattice points in the area $0 \le x \le n$ , $0 \le y \le ax \le n$ .
Author(s)	Miyawaki, Isao
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1975, 12(3), p. 647-671
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/12189
rights	
Note	

## The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

# ON THE NUMBER OF THE LATTICE POINTS IN THE AREA 0 < x < n, $0 < y \le ax^k/n$ .

#### Isao MIYAWAKI

(Received October 18, 1974)

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $S_a^{(h)}(n)$  be the number of the lattice points in the area 0 < x < n,  $0 < y \le ax^h/n$ , where k and n are positive integers and a is a positive integer which is prime to n. Then we have

$$S_a^{(k)}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} [ax^k/n]$$
,

where [ ] denotes the Gauss symbol. Let

$$ax^{k}/n = [ax^{k}/n] + \overline{\{ax^{k}/n\}}$$
,

where  $\overline{\{ax^k/n\}}$  denotes the fractional part of  $ax^k/n$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ax^{k}/n = S_{a}^{(k)}(n) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \overline{\{ax^{k}/n\}}$$

or

$$S_a^{(k)}(n) = \sum_{x=1}^{n-1} ax^k / n - \sum_{x=1}^{n-1} \overline{\{ax^k/n\}}$$
.

We put

$$S_a^{(k)}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ax^k/n - \frac{n-1}{2} + c_a^{(k)}(n)$$

$$c_a^{(k)}(n) = \frac{n-1}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \overline{\{ax^k/n\}}$$
.

If we suppose that  $S_a^{(k)}(n)$  behaves approximately as  $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ax^k/n - \frac{n-1}{2}$  then  $c_a^{(k)}(n)$  can be regarded as error term. T. Honda has conjectured the followings.

Conjecture 1. For a fixed k and any positive real number  $\varepsilon$  we have

$$c_a^{(k)}(n) = O(n^{((k-1)/k)+\epsilon}),$$

for a=1.

648 I. Miyawaki

Conjecture 2.  $c_1^{(2)}(n) \ge 0$  and  $c_1^{(2)}(n) = 0$  if and only if n is an integer of the following type

$$n = p_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_i$$
,

where  $p_1, \dots, p_j$  are distinct primes and each  $p_i$  is equal to 2 or congruent to 1 modulo 4.

In this paper we shall give the complete proof of the above conjectures. Conjecture 1 is true not only in the case a=1 but also in the case a is any positive integer which is prime to n. In the case k is odd,  $c_a^{(k)}(n)$  is a very simple quantity. On the other hand in the case k is even,  $c_a^{(k)}(n)$  is an interesting quantity which is rather difficult to handle. For example,  $c_1^{(2)}(n)$  can be expressed in terms of the class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields whose discriminants are divisors of n. For the even k > 2,  $c_a^{(k)}(n)$  is also related to some class numbers of some subfields of the cyclotomic field  $Q(\zeta)$  where  $\zeta$  is a primitive n-th root of unity.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to Professor T. Honda for his presenting this problem to me.

#### 2. Preliminaries

For positive integers k, n and an integer x, we denote by  $N^{(k)}(x, n)$  the number of the elements of the set

$$\{y \in \mathbb{Z} | y^k \equiv x \mod n, \quad 0 \leqslant y < n\}$$
.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{j} p_i^{e_i}$  be the prime decomposition of n. Then we have

$$N^{(k)}(x, n) = \prod_{i=1}^{j} N^{(k)}(x, p_{i}^{e_{i}}).$$

Proof. Consider the following map

$$f$$
;  $\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \to \prod_{i=1}^{j} \mathbf{Z}/p_{i}^{e_{i}}\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $(f(a \bmod n) = \prod_{i=1}^{j} a \bmod p_{i}^{e_{i}})$ .

We can easily see that this f is a ring isomorphism. From this we can immediately obtain the lemma.

Let n be a positive integer which is not equal to 1. We denote by  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  the unit group of the residue ring  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . We put

$$\Gamma(n) = \{ \chi \mid \chi; (\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^{\times} \to U, \text{ homomorphism} \}$$
,

where  $U = \{z \in C \mid |z| = 1\}$ . Then  $\Gamma(n)$  is an abelian group isomorphic to  $(Z/nZ)^{\times}$ . An element  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\Gamma(n)$  is extended on Z by setting

$$\chi(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (a, n) \neq 1 \\ \chi(a \mod n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This function is denoted by  $\mathcal{X}$ , and is called a character modulo n. If  $\mathcal{X}$  has always the value 1 for any a such that (a, n)=1, then  $\mathcal{X}$  is called the trivial character modulo n, and denoted by 1. If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a non-trivial character modulo n and there is no character  $\mathcal{X}'$  of  $(\mathbf{Z}/n'\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$  with a proper divisor n' of n satisfying  $\mathcal{X}'(a)=\mathcal{X}(a)$  for any (a, n)=1, then  $\mathcal{X}$  is called a primitive character modulo n. Any non-trivial character  $\mathcal{X}$  modulo n can be uniquely decomposed to the following form

$$\chi = \chi_0 \chi'$$
,

where  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is the trivial character modulo n and  $\mathcal{X}'$  is a primitive character modulo n' with some divisor n' of n. We call this n' the conductor of  $\mathcal{X}$  and denote it by  $f_{\mathcal{X}}$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a primitive character modulo some n, then we call  $\mathcal{X}$  simply primitive. In this case the conductor  $f_{\mathcal{X}}$  is equal to n. Let  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{j} p_i^{e_i}$  be the prime decomposition of n. Then we have  $(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^{\times} = \prod_{i=1}^{j} (\mathbf{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$ . Therefore if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a character modulo n, then  $\mathcal{X}$  has the following unique decomposition

$$\chi = \prod_{i=1}^{j} \chi_{i},$$

where each  $\chi_i$  is a character modulo  $p_i^e$ . It is clear that  $\chi$  is primitive, if and only if each  $\chi_i$  is primitive. Let  $\chi$  be a character modulo n. Then we put  $H_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=1}^{n} \chi(a)a$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\chi$  be a non-trivial character modulo n. If  $\chi(-1)=1$  then we have  $H_{\chi}=0$ .

Proof. First we should note  $\chi(n)=0$ . Then we have

$$H_{x} = \frac{-1}{2n} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \chi(a) a + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \chi(-a+n)(-a+n) \right)$$

$$= \frac{-1}{2n} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \chi(a) a + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \chi(-a)(-a+n) \right)$$

$$= \frac{-1}{2n} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \chi(a)(a+(-a+n))$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \chi(a) = 0.$$

We put

$$\Gamma^{(k)}(n) = \{ \chi \in \Gamma(n) | \chi^k = 1 \}$$
.

**Lemma 3.** Let p be a prime number. Then we have

(i) 
$$N^{(k)}(b, p^e) = \sum_{\chi \in I^{(k)}(p^e)} \chi(b) = 1 + \sum_{\substack{\chi : \text{primitive} \\ f_\chi \mid p^e \\ \chi^k = 1}} \chi(b)$$
  
(ii)  $N^{(k)}(b, p) = 1 + \sum_{\substack{f_\chi = p \\ \chi^k = 1}} \chi(b)$ .

(ii) 
$$N^{(k)}(b, p) = 1 + \sum_{\substack{f_{\chi} = p \\ \chi^k = 1}} \chi(b)$$

Proof. If we note that  $\Gamma^{(k)}(p^e)$  is the character group of the factor group  $(\mathbf{Z}/p^e\mathbf{Z})^{\times}/(\mathbf{Z}/p^e\mathbf{Z})^{\times k}$  and  $\chi(b)$  is zero for any  $(b, p^e) \neq 1$ , then we can easily obtain the lemma.

**Lemma 4.** We denote by  $\sharp \Gamma^{(k)}(n)$  the number of the elements of the set  $\Gamma^{(k)}(n)$ . Let p be a prime. Then we have

(i) 
$$\sharp \Gamma^{(k)}(p^e) = (p-1, k)$$
 if  $(p, k) = 1$ 

(ii) 
$$\sharp \Gamma^{(k)}(p^e) = \begin{cases} p^{e-1}(p-1, k) & \text{if } e_0 + 1 \ge e, \\ (p \pm 2) & p^{e_0}(p-1, k) & \text{if } e_0 + 1 < e, \\ \text{where we define } e_0 \text{ by} \end{cases}$$

$$p^{e_0}||k, e_0>0$$

$$p^{e_0}||k, e_0>0,$$
(iii)  $\sharp \Gamma^{(k)}(2^e) = \begin{cases} 2^{e_{-1}} & \text{if } e \leqslant e_0+2\\ 2^{e_0+1} & \text{if } e \geqslant e_0+3, \end{cases}$ 
where we define  $e_0$  by

$$2^{e_0}||k, e_0>0$$
.

Especially for a fixed k, there is a constant  $c_0$  such that

$$\sharp\Gamma^{(k)}(p^e) \leqslant c_0$$

for any p and e.

If we note the following facts

$$(\mathbf{Z}/p^e\mathbf{Z})^{\times} \cong \mathbf{Z}/(p-1)p^{e-1}\mathbf{Z}$$
 if  $p \neq 2$ ,  
 $(\mathbf{Z}/2^e\mathbf{Z})^{\times} \cong \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{Z}/2^{e-2}\mathbf{Z}$  if  $e \geqslant 2$ ,  
 $(\mathbf{Z}/p^e\mathbf{Z})^{\times}/(\mathbf{Z}/p^e\mathbf{Z})^{\times k} \cong \Gamma^{(k)}(p^e)$ ,

then we have immediately the lemma 4.

#### 3. Main theorem and its proof

Let  $n \ge 2$  be a positive integer and  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{e_i}$  be the prime decomposition of n. We define index sets A(n) and B(n) as follows

$$A(n) = \{1, 2, \dots, j\}$$
  
 $B(n) = \{i \in A(n) | e_i \ge 2\}$ 

For a subset  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$  of the set A(n) we denote by  $d_{\alpha}$  the integer

$$d_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \prod_{i=1}^{l} p_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i}, \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \phi$$
 $d_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = 1.$ 

For a fixed positive integer k, we put

$$e_i = ks_i + r_i, s_i \geqslant 0, 1 \leqslant r_i \leqslant k$$

and

$$n_0 = n_0^{(k)} = \prod_{i=1}^j p_i^{(k-1)s_i + r_{i-1}}.$$

Let d be a positive divisor of n. Then we put

$$n(d) = n^{(k)}(d) = n/(d^k, n),$$
  
 $d^*(n) = d^*(d)^{(k)} = d^k/(d^k, n).$ 

Under the above notation we have the following proposition.

#### Proposition 1.

$$\begin{split} c_{\alpha}^{(k)}(n) &= \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{: primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid n, \ \chi^{k} = 1}} \overline{\chi(a)} H_{\chi} - \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in B(n) \\ \alpha \neq \phi}} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \left\{ \frac{(d_{\alpha}^{k}, n)/d_{\alpha} - 1}{2} + \frac{(d_{\alpha}^{k}, n)}{d_{\alpha}} \right. \right. \\ & \cdot c_{\alpha d_{\alpha}^{*}(n)}^{(k)}(n(d_{\alpha})) - \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{: primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid n, \ \chi^{k} = 1 \\ (f_{\chi}, d_{\alpha}) = 1}} \chi(d_{\alpha}) \overline{\chi(a)} H_{\chi} \right\} \right], \end{split}$$

where we denote by  $\mu(\cdot)$  the Möbius function.

Proof. By the definition of  $c_a^{(k)}(n)$  we have

$$c_a^{(k)}(n) = \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x=1}^{n-1} N^{(k)}(a^{-1}x, n),$$

where we consider  $a^{-1}x$  in  $(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$ . If  $(x, d_{B(n)})=1$  then by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we have

$$N^{(k)}(a^{-1}x, n) = \prod_{i=1}^{j} \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{: primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid p_{\ell}^{e_i}, \ \chi^k = 1}} \chi(a^{-1}x)\right).$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{split} c_{\alpha}^{(k)}(n) &= \frac{n-1}{2} - \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x=1}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{j} \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{: primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid p_{\ell}^{\sigma}, \ \chi^{k} = 1}} \chi(a^{-1}x)\right) x \right. \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha \in B(n)} \mu\left(d_{\alpha}\right) \left\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x=1}^{(n/d_{\alpha})^{-1}} \prod_{i \notin \alpha} \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{: primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid p_{\ell}^{\sigma}, \ \chi^{k} = 1}} \chi(a^{-1}d_{\alpha}x)\right) d_{\alpha}x \right. \\ &- \left. \sum_{x=1}^{(n/d_{\alpha})^{-1}} \overline{\left\{\frac{a(d_{\alpha}x)^{k}}{n}\right\}\right\}}\right] \\ &= \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2n} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{: primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid n, \ \chi^{k} = 1}} \sum_{x=1}^{n-1} \chi(a^{-1}x)x - \sum_{\alpha \in B(n)} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \\ &\cdot \left[\frac{d_{\alpha}}{n} \cdot \frac{(n/d_{\alpha})((n/d_{\alpha}) - 1)}{2} - \frac{d_{\alpha}}{n} \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{: primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid n, \ \chi^{k} = 1}} \sum_{x=1}^{(n/d_{\alpha})^{-1}} \chi(a^{-1}d_{\alpha}x)x \right. \\ &- \left. \sum_{x=1}^{(n/d_{\alpha})^{-1}} \overline{\left\{\frac{ad_{\alpha}^{*}(n)x^{k}}{n(d_{\alpha})}\right\}\right]}, \end{split}$$

where we should note that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{x=1}^{n-1}\chi(x)x = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{x=1}^{f_{\chi}^{-1}}\sum_{i=0}^{(n/f_{\chi})^{-1}}\chi(x)(x+if_{\chi}) = \frac{1}{n}\frac{n}{f_{\chi}}\sum_{x=1}^{f_{\chi}^{-1}}\chi(x)x = -H_{\chi}.$$

Then we have

$$c_{\alpha}^{(k)}(n) = \sum_{\substack{\chi : \text{ primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid n, \ \chi^{k} = 1}} \overline{\chi(a)} H_{\chi} - \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \subset B(n) \\ \alpha \neq \phi}} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \left\{ \frac{(n/d_{\alpha}) - 1}{2} - \sum_{\substack{\chi : \text{ primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid n, \ \chi^{k} = 1 \\ (f_{\chi}, d_{\alpha}) = 1}} \overline{\chi(a)} \chi(d_{\alpha}) H_{\chi} - \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \subset B(n) \\ \alpha \neq \phi}} \overline{\chi(a)} \chi(d_{\alpha}) H_{\chi} \right] \right\} \right] - \frac{n}{d_{\alpha}n(d_{\alpha})} \sum_{x=1}^{n_{(d_{\alpha})} - 1} \left[ \frac{ad_{\alpha}^{*}(n)x^{k}}{n(d_{\alpha})} \right] \right].$$

On the other hand we see that

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{n(d_{\alpha})^{-1}} \overline{\left\{\frac{ad_{\alpha}^*(n)x^k}{n(d_{\alpha})}\right\}} = c_{\alpha a_{\alpha}^*(n)}^{(k)}(n(d_{\alpha})) - \frac{n(d_{\alpha})-1}{2}.$$

Therefore we have

$$c_{\alpha}^{(k)}(n) = \sum_{\substack{\chi : \text{ primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid n, \ \chi^{k} = 1}} \overline{\chi(a)} H_{\chi} - \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in B(n) \\ \alpha \neq \phi}} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \left[ \frac{(n/d_{\alpha}) - 1}{2} - \frac{n}{d_{\alpha}n(d_{\alpha})} \cdot \frac{n(d_{\alpha}) - 1}{2} \right]$$

$$- \sum_{\substack{\chi : \text{ primitive} \\ f_{\chi}, n, \ \chi^{k} = 1 \\ (f_{\chi}, d_{\alpha}) = 1}} \overline{\chi(a)} \chi(d_{\alpha}) H_{\chi} + \frac{n}{d_{\alpha}n(d_{\alpha})} c_{\alpha a_{\alpha}^{(k)}(n)}^{(k)}(n(d_{\alpha})) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\chi : \text{ primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid n, \ \chi^{k} = 1}} \overline{\chi(a)} H_{\chi} - \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in B(n) \\ \alpha \neq \phi}} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \left[ \frac{(n/d_{\alpha}n(d_{\alpha})) - 1}{2} + \frac{n}{d_{\alpha}(n(d_{\alpha}))} c_{\alpha d_{\alpha}^{*}(n)}^{(k)}(n(d_{\alpha})) - \sum_{\substack{\chi : \text{ primitive} \\ f_{\chi} \mid n, \ \chi^{k} = 1 \\ (f_{\chi}, d_{\alpha}) = 1}} \overline{\chi(a)} \chi(d_{\alpha}) H_{\chi} \right].$$

But by the definition of n(d) we have

$$\frac{n}{n(d_{\omega})} = \frac{n}{\frac{n}{(d_{\omega}^{k}, n)}} = (d_{\omega}^{k}, n).$$

Therefore we get

$$c_{a}^{(k)}(n) = \sum_{\substack{\chi : \text{ primitive} \\ f_{\chi}|n, \ \chi^{k} = 1}} \overline{\chi(a)} H_{\chi} - \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in B(n) \\ \alpha \neq \phi}} \mu(d) \left[ \frac{((d_{\alpha}^{k}, n)/d_{\alpha}) - 1}{2} + \frac{(d_{\alpha}^{k}, n)}{d_{\alpha}} c_{\alpha d_{\alpha}^{k}(n)}^{(k)}(n(d_{\alpha})) - \sum_{\substack{\chi : \text{ primitive} \\ f_{\chi}|n, \ \chi^{k} = 1 \\ (f_{\chi}, d_{\chi}) = 1}} \overline{\chi(a)} \chi(d_{\alpha}) H_{\chi} \right].$$

Thus Proposition 1 is proved

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a non-trivial character modulo n such that  $\mathcal{X}^k = 1$ . Then we define the integer  $n(\mathcal{X}) = n^{(k)}(\mathcal{X})$  as follows,

$$n(\mathcal{X}) = \prod_{p: \text{ prime}} p^{(v_p(n/f_{\mathcal{X}})/k] + v_p, n}$$

$$\varepsilon_{p,n} = \varepsilon_{p,n}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \mid f_{\mathcal{X}} \text{ or } v_p\left(\frac{n}{f_{\mathcal{X}}}\right) - k\left[v_p\left(\frac{n}{f_{\mathcal{X}}}\right)\frac{1}{k}\right] \leqslant 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we denote by  $v_p(\cdot)$  the normalized *p*-adic exponential valuation of the field of the rational numbers Q. Then we can easily obtain the following two remarks.

REMARK 1. For a prime p if p divides n(x), then  $p^2$  divides  $n/f_x$ .

REMARK 2. If n(x) is divisible by d, then  $n/(d^k, n) \equiv 0 \mod f_x$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let n be a positive integer. For distinct primes  $p_1, \dots, p_j$  such that  $p_i^2 | n$  (i=1, ..., j), we put  $d_0 = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_j$  and  $n(d_0) = n/(d_0^k, n)$ . Let X be a character modulo  $n(d_0)$ . Then X induces the character modulo n through the homomorphism  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \to (\mathbb{Z}/n(d_0)\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ . Denoting this also X we have that if d divides  $n(d_0)(X)$  then  $dd_0$  divides n(X).

Proof. We shall show that  $v_p(dd_0) \leq v_p(n(x))$  for every prime p. We consider the two cases.

The case I.  $p \neq p_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, j)$ . By the definition of  $n(d_0)$  we have

$$v_p(n) = v_p(n(d_0))$$

and

$$v_p(n|f_x) = v_p(n(d_0)|f_x)$$
.

It follows from this

$$\varepsilon_{p,n} = \varepsilon_{p,n(d_0)}$$
.

From this and by the definition of d we have

$$v_{p}(dd_{0}) = v_{p}(d) \leq [v_{p}(n(d_{0})|f_{x})/k] + \varepsilon_{p,n(d_{0})}$$

$$= [v_{p}(n|f_{x})/k] + \varepsilon_{p,n}$$

$$= v_{p}(n(x)).$$

Thus Lemma 5 is proved in our case.

The case II.  $p=p_i$  (for some i)

By the definition of  $n(d_0)$  we have

$$v_p(n(d_0)/f_x) = \begin{cases} v_p(n/f_x) - k & \text{if } p^k \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{if } p^k \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we shall consider the two cases.

(i) The case  $v_p(n(d_0)|f_x) = v_p(n|f_x) - k$ . In this case we have

$$v_{p}(n/f_{x}) - k \left[ v_{p}(n/f_{x}) \frac{1}{k} \right] = v_{p}(n(d_{0})/f_{x}) + k - k \left[ v_{p}(n(d_{0})/f_{x})/k + 1 \right]$$
$$= v_{p}(n(d_{0})/f_{x}) - k \left[ v_{p}(n(d_{0})/f_{x})/k \right].$$

This shows that  $\mathcal{E}_{p,n} = \mathcal{E}_{p,n(d_0)}$ . Noting this we have

$$\begin{split} v_{p}(dd_{0}) &= 1 + v_{p}(d) \leqslant 1 + [v_{p}(n(d_{0})/f_{x})/k] + \varepsilon_{p,n(d_{0})} \\ &= 1 + [v_{p}(n/f_{x})/k - 1] + \varepsilon_{p,n} \\ &= [v_{p}(n/f_{x})/k] + \varepsilon_{p,n} \\ &= v_{p}(n(X)) \; . \end{split}$$

This also completes the proof of Lemma 5 in our case.

(ii) The case  $v_p(n(d_0)|f_x)=0$ In this case we should note that  $v_p(f_x)=0$ . Then we have

$$v_p(n(d_0)/f_x)-k[v_p(n(d_0)/f_x)/k]=0$$
.

It follows

$$\varepsilon_{p,n(d_0)}=0$$
.

This shows  $v_p(d)=0$ . On the other hand we have

$$v_{p}(n) \geqslant 2 + v_{p}(n(d_{0}))$$
.

This shows that

$$[v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n/f_{\mathfrak{x}})/k] > 0$$
.

or

$$v_p(n|f_x)-k\left[v_p(n|f_x)\frac{1}{k}\right]>1$$
, (i.e.,  $\varepsilon_{p,n}=1$ ).

Therefore  $[v_p(n/f_x)/k] + \varepsilon_{p,n}$  is positive in both cases. Then we have

$$v_{p}(dd_{0}) = v_{p}(d_{0}) = 1 \leq [v_{p}(n/f_{x})/k] + \varepsilon_{p,n}$$
  
=  $v_{p}(n(X))$ .

Thus Lemma 5 is completely proved.

The following lemma is a converse of Lemma 5 in a sense.

**Lemma 6.** Let X be a character modulo n and d be a positive divisor of n(X). Let  $p_1, \dots, p_j$  be distinct primes each of which is a divisor of d. If we put  $d_0 = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_j$  and  $d = d_0 d'$  with a positive integer d', then X is a character modulo  $n(d_0)$  and d' is a divisor of  $n(d_0)(X)$ .

Proof. The former assertion is obvious by Remark 2. So we shall show the latter half in the same manner as in Lemma 5. Let p be a prime.

(I) The case  $p \neq p_i$   $(i=1, \dots, j)$ 

In this case we can show that  $v_p(n(X)) = v_p(n(d_0)(X))$  by the same method as in the case (I) of Lemma 5. Then we have

$$v_{p}(d') = v_{p}(d) \leqslant v_{p}(n)(\chi) = v_{p}(n(d_{0})(\chi))$$

(II) The case  $p=p_i$  (for some i). In this case we have

$$v_p(d) \leqslant v_p(n(\chi))$$
.

This shows that

$$[v_p(n/f_x)/k] > 0$$

or

$$[v_p(n/f_x)/k] = 0$$
 and  $\varepsilon_{p,n} = 1$ .

Therefore we shall consider the two cases.

(i) The case  $[v_p(n/f_x)/k] > 0$ .

656 I. Miyawaki

In this case we can easily see that

$$v_p(n|f_x)/k = v_p\left(\frac{1}{f_x}\frac{n}{(p^k, n)}\right)\frac{1}{k} + 1$$
  
=  $v_p\left(\frac{1}{f_x}\frac{n}{(d_0^k, n)}\right)\frac{1}{k} + 1$ .

Therefore we have

$$v_{p}(d') = v_{p}(d) - 1 \leq [v_{p}(n/f_{x})/k] + \varepsilon_{p,n} - 1$$
$$= [v_{p}(n(d_{0})/f_{x})/k] + 1 + \varepsilon_{p,n} - 1.$$

But we can show by the same method as in the case (II)-(i) of Lemma 5 that  $\varepsilon_{p,n} = \varepsilon_{p,n(d_0)}$ . Therefore it follows

$$v_p(d') \leqslant v_p(n(d_0)(\chi))$$
.

(ii) The case  $[v_p(n/f_x)/k] = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_{p,n} = 1$ . In this case we have

$$v_{p}(d') = v_{p}(d) - 1 \leqslant \varepsilon_{p,n} - 1 = 0$$
.

This shows that

$$v_p(d')=0$$
.

Therefore we have

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(d') \leqslant v_{\mathfrak{p}}(n(d_{\mathfrak{q}})(\chi))$$
.

These complete the proof of Lemma 6.

Now we are in a position to state our main Theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Notation being as above. Then

$$\begin{split} c_{\alpha}^{(k)}(n) &= \frac{n_0 - 1}{2} + \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ ; primitive} \\ \chi^k = 1 \\ f_{\chi} \mid n}} \chi^{-1}(a) H_{\chi} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{d \mid n(\chi)}} \frac{(d^k, n)}{d} \chi^{-1} \left( \frac{d^k}{(d^k, n)} \right) \right. \\ & \cdot \left( \sum_{\substack{d_{\alpha} \mid n(d)(\chi) \\ (d_{\alpha}, f_{\chi}) = 1 \\ \alpha \subset B(n)}} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \chi(d_{\alpha}) \right) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Proof. Let  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{j} p_{i}^{e_i}$  be the prime decomposition of n. Then we put  $s(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{j} (e_i - 1)$ . We shall prove our theorem by the induction with respect to s(n). If s(n) = 0, i.e., n is a square-free integer, then by taking  $B(n) = \phi$  in Proposition 1 we get

$$c_a^{(k)}(n) = \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{; primitive} \\ \chi^k = 1 \\ f_{\chi} \mid n}} \chi^{-1}(a) H_{\chi}.$$

On the other hand, in this case we have  $n_0=1$ , n(x)=1 and  $B(n)=\phi$ . This shows that our theorem is true in our case. If s(n)>0, then we assume that the theorem is valid for any m such that s(m)< s(n). Now we can easily see that  $s(n(d_n))< s(n)$  with respect to  $n(d_n)$  of Proposition 1. Therefore by the assumption we have

$$(2) c_{d_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(k)}(n)a}^{(k)}(n(d_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})) = \frac{n(d_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})_{0}-1}{2} + \sum_{\substack{\chi \text{ primitive} \\ \chi^{k}=1\\ f_{\chi}|n(d_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})}} \chi^{-1}(d_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{*}(n)a)H_{\chi}$$

$$\cdot \left\{ \sum_{\substack{d|n(d_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})(\chi)}} \frac{(d_{\boldsymbol{k}},n(d_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}))}{d} \chi^{-1} \left( \frac{d_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{(d_{\boldsymbol{k}},n(d_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}))} \right) \right.$$

$$\cdot \left( \sum_{\substack{d\beta \mid (n(d_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}))(d)(\chi) \\ (d\beta,f_{\chi})=1\\ \beta \subset B(n(d_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}))}} \mu(d_{\beta})\chi(d_{\beta}) \right\}.$$

Hereafter we shall only consider primitive characters which take values k-th roots of unity or zero, though we shall not mention it explicitly. From (2) and Proposition 1 we get

$$\begin{split} c_{a}^{(k)}(n) &= \sum_{f_{\chi} \mid n} \chi^{-1}(a) H_{\chi} - \sum_{\substack{\alpha \subset B(n) \\ \alpha \neq \phi}} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \left[ \left( \frac{\frac{(d^{k}, n)}{d} - 1}{2} \right) + \frac{(d^{k}_{\alpha}, n)}{d} \right. \\ & \cdot \left\{ \frac{n(d_{\alpha})_{0} - 1}{2} + \sum_{f_{\chi} \mid n(d_{\alpha})} \chi^{-1}(d^{*}_{\alpha}(n)a) H_{\chi} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n(d_{\alpha})(\chi)}} \\ & \cdot \frac{(d^{k}, n(d_{\alpha}))}{d} \chi^{-1} \left( \frac{d^{k}}{(d^{k}, n(d_{\alpha}))} \right) \right. \\ & \cdot \sum_{\substack{\beta \subset B(n(d_{\alpha})) \\ (d_{\alpha}, f_{\gamma}) = 1}} \mu(d_{\beta}) \chi(d_{\beta}) \left. \right\} - \sum_{\substack{f_{\chi} \mid n \\ (f_{\chi}, d_{\alpha}) = 1}} \chi(d_{\alpha}) \chi^{-1}(a) H_{\chi} \right]. \end{split}$$

Therefore if we prove the following two facts (I) and (II), then the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

(I) 
$$-\sum_{\substack{\alpha\subset B(n)\\\alpha\neq\emptyset}}\mu(d_{\alpha})\left\{\frac{\frac{(d_{\alpha}^{k},n)}{d_{\alpha}}-1}{2}+\frac{(d_{\alpha}^{k},n)(n(d_{\alpha})_{0}-1)}{2d_{\alpha}}\right\}=\frac{n_{0}-1}{2}.$$

$$(II) \qquad \sum_{f_{\mathbf{X}}\mid n} \chi^{-1}(a) H_{\mathbf{X}} - \sum_{\substack{\alpha \subset B(n) \\ \alpha \neq \phi}} \mu(d_{\mathbf{\omega}}) \left[ \left\{ \frac{(d_{\mathbf{\omega}}^{k}, n)}{d_{\mathbf{\omega}}} \sum_{f_{\mathbf{X}}\mid n(d_{\mathbf{\omega}})} \chi^{-1}(d_{\mathbf{\omega}}^{*}(n)a) H_{\mathbf{X}} \right. \\ \left. \sum_{\substack{d\mid n(d_{\mathbf{\omega}})(\chi)}} \frac{(d^{k}, n(d_{\mathbf{\omega}}))}{d} \chi^{-1} \left( \frac{d^{k}}{(d^{k}, n(d_{\mathbf{\omega}}))} \right) \sum_{\substack{\beta \subset B(n(d_{\mathbf{\omega}})) \\ (d_{\beta}, f_{\mathbf{X}}) = 1}} \mu(d_{\beta}) \chi(d_{\beta}) \right\} \\ \left. - \sum_{\substack{f_{\mathbf{X}}\mid n \\ (f_{\mathbf{X}}, d_{\mathbf{\omega}}) = 1}} \chi(d_{\mathbf{\omega}}) \chi^{-1}(a) H_{\mathbf{X}} \right] \\ \left. = \sum_{\substack{f_{\mathbf{X}}\mid n \\ (d_{\mathbf{X}}, f_{\mathbf{X}}) = 1}} \chi^{-1}(a) H_{\mathbf{X}} \sum_{\substack{d\mid n(\chi)}} \frac{(d^{k}, n)}{d} \chi^{-1} \left( \frac{d^{k}}{(d^{k}, n)} \right) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \subset B(n) \\ (d_{\mathbf{\omega}}, f_{\mathbf{Y}}) = 1}} \mu(d_{\mathbf{\omega}}) \chi(d_{\mathbf{\omega}}) .$$

First we shall prove (I). By the definition of  $n(d_{\alpha})$  we get

$$n(d_{\omega})_{0} = \left(\frac{n}{(d_{\omega}^{k}, n)}\right)_{0}$$

and

$$n(d_{\alpha})_{0}\frac{(d_{\alpha}^{k}, n)}{d_{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{n}{(d_{\alpha}^{k}, n)}\right)_{0}\frac{(d_{\alpha}^{k}, n)}{d_{\alpha}}.$$

By examining p-adic valuation of  $(n/(d_{\alpha}^{k}, n))_{o} \cdot ((d_{\alpha}^{k}, n)/d_{\alpha})$  for each p such that  $p \mid n$ , we can easily see that

$$n(d_{\omega})_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \frac{(d_{\alpha}^{\,k}, n)}{d_{\alpha}} = n_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} .$$

On the other hand we have

$$-\sum_{\substack{\alpha\subset B(n)\\\alpha\neq\phi}}\mu(d_{\alpha})=-\sum_{\substack{d\,|\,d_{B(n)}\\d\neq1}}\mu(d)=-((\sum_{\substack{d\,|\,d_{B(n)}\\d\neq1}}\mu(d))-1)=1.$$

It follows (I).

Next we shall prove (II). We can rewrite the left hand side of (II) to the following formula

$$(3) \qquad \sum_{f_{\chi}\mid n} \chi^{-1}(a) H_{\chi} \left[ \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \subset B(n)} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \chi(d_{\alpha}) \right\} \right. \\ \left. \left. \left( d_{\alpha}, f_{\chi} \right) = 1 \right. \\ \left. - \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \subset B(n)} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n(d_{\alpha})(\chi) \\ \alpha \neq \emptyset \\ f_{\chi}\mid n(d_{\alpha})}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \subset B(n(d_{\alpha})) \\ d_{\beta}\mid n(d_{\alpha})(d)(\chi) \\ (d_{\beta}, f_{\chi}) = 1}} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \cdot \frac{(d_{\alpha}^{k}, n)}{d_{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{(d^{k}, n(d_{\alpha}))}{d} \right. \\ \left. \cdot \chi^{-1} \left( \frac{d_{\alpha}^{*}(n) d^{k}}{(d^{k}, n(d_{\alpha}))} \right) \mu(d_{\beta}) \chi(d_{\beta}) \right\} \right].$$

Here we note that

$$\frac{(d_{\alpha}^{k}, n)}{d_{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{(d^{k}, n(d_{\alpha}))}{d} = \frac{(d_{\alpha}^{k}, n) \left(d^{k}, \frac{n}{(d_{\alpha}^{k}, n)}\right)}{dd_{\alpha}} = \frac{((dd_{\alpha})^{k}, n)}{dd_{\alpha}}$$

and

$$\frac{d_{\alpha}^{*}(n)d^{k}}{(d^{k},n(d_{\alpha}))} = \frac{d_{\alpha}^{k}}{(d_{\alpha}^{k},n)} \cdot \frac{d^{k}}{\left(d_{\alpha}^{k},\frac{n}{(d_{\alpha}^{k},n)}\right)} = \frac{(dd_{\alpha})^{k}}{((dd_{\alpha})^{k},n)}.$$

And by Lemma 5 we note that

$$dd_{\alpha}|n(\chi)$$
.

By the definition of n(d) we can easily see that

$$(n(d_{\alpha}))(d) = n(dd_{\alpha})$$
.

Then we can rewrite the inside of the bracket of (3) as follows

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha \subset B(n)} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \chi(d_{\alpha}) \right\} - \left\{ \sum_{\substack{d \mid n(\chi)}} \frac{(d^{k}, n)}{d} \chi^{-1} \left( \frac{d^{k}}{(d^{k}, n)} \right) \right. \\ \cdot \sum_{\substack{d = d'd_{\alpha} \\ d' \mid n(d_{\alpha})(\chi)}} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \sum_{\substack{\beta \subset B(n(d_{\alpha})) \\ d_{\beta}\mid n(d)(\chi) \\ \alpha \subset B(n) \\ \alpha \neq \phi \\ f_{\chi}\mid n(d_{\alpha})}} \mu(d_{\beta}) \chi(d_{\beta}) \right\}.$$

Here we can easily see that if  $\beta \subset B(n)$  and  $d_{\beta}|n(d)(\chi)$  then  $\beta \subset B(n(d_{\alpha}))$ . This shows that we may change  $B(n(d_{\alpha}))$  of the last term of (4) for B(n). Moreover by Lemma 6 we see that  $d_{\alpha}|d$  implies that  $f_{\chi}|n(d_{\alpha})$  and  $d'|n(d_{\alpha})(\chi)$ . Therefore we may exclude these conditions of (4). Then we have

$$(4) = \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \subset B(n) \\ (d_{\alpha}, f_{\chi}) = 1}} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \chi(d_{\alpha}) \right\} - \left\{ \sum_{\substack{d \mid n(\chi) \\ d \neq 1}} \frac{(d^{k}, n)}{d} \chi^{-1} \left( \frac{d^{k}}{(d^{k}, n)} \right) \right.$$

$$\cdot \sum_{\substack{\beta \subset B(n) \\ d_{\beta} \mid n(d)(\chi) \\ (d_{\beta}, f_{\chi}) = 1}} \mu(d_{\beta}) \chi(d_{\beta}) \sum_{\substack{d = d'd_{\alpha} \\ \alpha \subset B(n) \\ (d_{\beta}, f_{\chi}) = 1}} \mu(d_{\alpha}) \chi(d_{\alpha}) \right\} + \left\{ \sum_{\substack{d \mid n(\chi) \\ d \neq 1}} \frac{(d^{k}, n)}{d} \chi^{-1} \left( \frac{d^{k}}{(d^{k}, n)} \right) \sum_{\substack{\beta \subset B(n) \\ d_{\beta} \mid n(d)(\chi) \\ (d_{\beta}, f_{\chi}) = 1}} \mu(d_{\beta}) \chi(d_{\beta}) \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{d \mid n(\chi) \\ d \neq 1}} \frac{(d^{k}, n)}{d} \chi^{-1} \left( \frac{d^{k}}{(d^{k}, n)} \right) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \subset B(n) \\ (d_{\alpha}, f_{\chi}) = 1}} \mu(d)_{\alpha} \chi(d_{\alpha}) .$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{d \mid n(\chi) \\ d_{\alpha} \mid n(d)(\chi) \\ (d_{\beta}, f_{\chi}) = 1}} \mu(d)_{\alpha} \chi(d_{\alpha}) .$$

660 I. Miyawaki

which implies (II). Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

Let  $Q(\sqrt{D})=K$  be a quadratic extension field of Q with discriminant D. We denote by  $\left(\frac{D}{n}\right)$  or  $\chi_D(n)$  the Kronecker's symbol of K. Then  $\left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right)$  is a primitive character modulo |D|.

REMARK 3. Conversely it is well-known that every primitive character of degree 2 is of such type.

Let h(D) be the class number of  $K=Q(\sqrt{D})$  and  $2w_D$  be the number of the roots of unity in K. Then the following Lemma 7 is well-known.

Lemma 7. Notation being as above. Then we have

$$H_{x_D} = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 0 & \mbox{if } D > 0 \ , \\ rac{h(D)}{w_D} & \mbox{if } D > 0 \ . \end{array} 
ight.$$

REMARK 4. It is also well-known that if  $\left(\frac{D}{-1}\right)=1$  then D>0 and if  $\left(\frac{D}{-1}\right)=-1$  then D<0.

Corollary 1. In the case k=2 we have

$$c_a^{(2)}(n) = \frac{n_0 - 1}{2} + \sum_{\substack{|D| \mid n \\ D < 0}} \left(\frac{D}{a}\right)^{-1} \frac{h(D)}{w_D} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n(\chi_D) \\ (p, D) = 1}} d \prod_{\substack{p \mid n(d)(\chi_D) \\ (p, D) = 1}} \left\{1 - \left(\frac{D}{p}\right)\right\},\,$$

where D runs over all the discriminants of the imaginary quadratic fields dividing n.

Proof. By the definition of  $n(\chi_D)$  we can easily see that if d divides  $n(\chi_D)$  then  $d^2$  divides n. It follows

$$\frac{(d^2, n)}{d} = d \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(d^2, n)}{d^2} = 1.$$

Therefore by Remark 3, Remark 4, Lemma 2, Lemma 7 and the above facts, Theorem 1 implies our Corollary.

Our Corollary in the case a=1 and n=prime is obtained by T. Honda in [2]

Corollary 2. If k=2 then  $c_1^{(2)}(n) \ge 0$ . Moreover  $c_1^{(2)}(n)=0$ , if and only if n is of the following type

$$n = p_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_j$$
 or  $2p_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_j$ ,

where  $p_1, \dots, p_j$  are distinct primes each of which is congruent to 1 modulo 4.

Proof. The first assertion is obvious from Corollary 1. We shall prove the second assertion. If  $c_1^{(2)}(n)=0$  then n must be square-free, because if n is not square-free then  $n_0>1$ , which implies  $c_1^{(2)}(n)>0$ . Consequentely we have by Corollary 1

$$c_1^{(2)}(n) = \sum_{|D| \mid n} \frac{h(D)}{w_D}$$
.

If there exists some p such that  $p \mid n$  and  $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ , then -p is the discriminant of  $Q(\sqrt{-p})$ . This shows

$$c_1^{(2)}(n) \geqslant \frac{h(-p)}{w_{-p}} > 0$$
.

Thus n must be an integer of such type as in our Corollary. The converse is clear.

Corollary 3. If k is an odd integer, then we have

$$c_a^{(k)}(n) = \frac{n_0 - 1}{2}$$
,

therefore  $|c_a^{(k)}(n)| < n^{(k-1)/k}$ .

Proof. Let X be any character modulo n of degree k. Then we have

$$\chi(-1)^2 = \chi((-1)^2) = 1$$

and

$$\chi(-1)^{k}=1.$$

This shows  $\chi(-1)=1$ . Therefore by Lemma 2 we have  $H_{\chi}=0$ . This shows the first assertion of our Corollary by Theorem 1. We can immediately obtain the second assertion by a simple calculation.

REMARK 5.  $c_1^{(k)}(n)$  is not always non-negative for even k>2. For example  $c_1^{(4)}(29)=-2$ . (See the table of at the end of the section 5.)

#### 4. Proof of Conjecture 1

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a primitive character modulo  $f_{\mathcal{X}}$ . Then we define the Dirichlet's L-function by

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n) n^{-s}$$
.

We denote by G(X) the Gauss's sum with respect to X, i.e.,

$$G(\chi) = \sum_{a=1}^{f_{\chi}} \chi(a) \zeta^a$$
,

where  $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/f_x)$ . Then the following two lemmas are well-known. (See Hasse [1] and Prachar [3]).

Lemma 8.

$$|L(1, \chi)| < 3 \log f_{\chi}$$
.

Lemma 9.

$$L(1, \chi) = \frac{\pi i G(\chi)}{f_{\chi}^2} \sum_{a=1}^{f_{\chi}} \overline{\chi}(a) a.$$

Moreover

$$G(\chi)G(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(-1)f_{\chi}$$
,

in particular

$$|G(\chi)| = \sqrt{f_{\chi}}$$
.

Lemma 10.

$$|H_{x}| < \sqrt{f_{x}} \log f_{x}$$
.

Proof. By Lemma 8 and Lemma 9 we have

$$|H_{\mathtt{x}}| = \left| \frac{1}{f_{\mathtt{x}}} \cdot \frac{L(1, \overline{\mathtt{x}}) f_{\mathtt{x}}^2}{\pi i G(\overline{\mathtt{x}})} \right|$$
 $< \frac{f_{\mathtt{x}}}{|G(\overline{\mathtt{x}})|} \log f_{\mathtt{x}} = \sqrt{f_{\mathtt{x}}} \log f_{\mathtt{x}}.$ 

It is obvious that  $f_{\overline{x}}$  is equal to  $f_{x}$ . This completes the proof.

We denote by  $\delta(n)$  the number of prime divisors of n.

**Lemma 11.** For any positive number  $\varepsilon$  and a given positive constant A we have

$$A^{\delta(n)} = O(n^{\epsilon})$$
,

where O denotes the Landau's large O-symbol.

Proof. We may suppose A>1. Let  $p_0$  be a sufficientely large prime number such that

$$\frac{\log A}{\log p_0} < \varepsilon$$
.

We denote by  $\delta_0$  the number of primes which are less than  $p_0$  and by  $\delta'(n)$  the number of prime divisors of n each of which is not smaller than  $p_0$ . Then we can easily see that

$$\delta(n) \leq \delta'(n) + \delta_0$$
.

By the definition of  $\delta'(n)$  we have

$$p_0^{\delta'(n)} \leqslant n$$
.

Therefore we have

$$\delta'(n) \leqslant \frac{\log n}{\log p_0}.$$

From this we get

$$\begin{split} A^{\delta(n)} &\leqslant A^{\delta'(n)+\delta_0} = A^{\delta_0} A^{\delta'(n)} \\ &= A^{\delta_0} n^{\log_n} A^{\delta'(n)} = A^{\delta_0} n^{\delta'(n)\log A/\log n} \\ &\leqslant A^{\delta_0} n^{(\log n/\log p_0) \cdot (\log A/\log n)} \leqslant A^{\delta_0} n^{\epsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 12. For any positive number & we have

$$\sum_{d\mid n} 1 = O(n^{\epsilon}).$$

Proof. See Prachar [3]-I-Satz 5.2

Now we shall prove Conjecture 1.

**Theorem 2.** For any positive number  $\varepsilon$  and a fixed positive integer k we have

$$c_a^{(k)}(n) = O(n^{\{(k-1)/k\}+\epsilon})$$
.

Proof. By Theorem 1 we have

$$|c_a^{(k)}(n)| \leq \frac{n_0 - 1}{2} + \sum_{f_{\mathbf{x}}|n} |H_{\mathbf{x}}| \sum_{\substack{d \mid n(\chi)}} \frac{(d^k, n)}{d} \prod_{\substack{p \mid n(d)(\chi) \\ (b, f_{\mathbf{x}}) = 1}} |1 - \chi(p)|.$$

We have already known that

$$n_0 \leqslant n^{(k-1)/k}$$
.

Therefore we shall show that

$$\sum_{f_{\chi}|n} |H_{\chi}| \sum_{d|n(\chi)} \frac{(d^k, n)}{d} \prod_{\substack{p|n(d)(\chi) \\ (p, f_{\chi}) = 1}} |1 - \chi(p)| = O(n^{((k-1)/k) + \ell}).$$

First we get by Lemma 11

$$\prod_{\substack{p \mid n(d)(\chi) \\ (p, f_{\chi}) = 1}} |1 - \chi(p)| \leqslant \prod_{p \mid n} 2 = 2^{\delta(n)} = O(n^{e}).$$

Next we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \\ f_{\mathbf{X}} \mid n}} 1 < \prod_{\substack{p \mid n}} \left( \sum_{\substack{\chi \\ f_{\mathbf{X}} = p^{ob}}} 1 \right).$$

664 I. Miyawaki

But by Lemma 3 we know that

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \\ f_{\chi} = p^{\alpha}}} 1 < A, \text{ for some positive constant } A.$$

Hence by Lemma 11 we also get

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \\ f_{\chi}|n}} 1 < A^{\delta(n)} = O(n^{\epsilon}).$$

Lastly we shall show that

$$\Big(|H_{\mathsf{x}}|\sum_{d\mid n(\mathsf{x})}\frac{(d^k,n)}{d}\Big)\Big/n^{(k-1)/k}=O(n^{\mathsf{e}})$$

We transform this into

$$\left( |H_{\mathsf{X}}| \sum_{d \mid n(\chi)} \frac{(d^k, n)}{d} \right) \! \middle/ n^{(k-1)/k} = \frac{|H_{\mathsf{X}}|}{f_{\mathsf{X}}^{(k-1)/k}} \cdot \sum_{d \mid n(\chi)} \left( \frac{(d^k, n)}{d} \middle/ \left( \frac{n}{f_{\mathsf{X}}} \right)^{(k-1)/k} \right).$$

Then we have by Lemma 10

$$|H_{x}|/f_{x}^{(k-1)/k} \leq (f_{x}^{1/2}/f_{x}^{(k-1)/k}) \log f_{x} \leq \log f_{x}$$
.

Moreover by Remark 2 we can easily see that

$$\frac{(d^k, n)}{d} / \left(\frac{n}{f_*}\right)^{(k-1)/k} < 1.$$

From these and by Lemma 12 we have

$$\left( |H_{\mathbf{x}}| \sum_{d \mid n(\chi)} \frac{(d^{k}, n)}{d} \right) / n^{(k-1)/k} \leq \sum_{d \mid n(\chi)} \log n$$

$$< \log n \sum_{d \mid n} 1$$

$$= O(n^{e}) .$$

This completes the proof of our Theorem.

### 5. Number theoretic properties of some $c_a^{(k)}(n)$ .

**Lemma 13.** Let k be a positive integer and p be a prime number which is prime to k. We denote by  $k_0$  the greatest common divisor of k and p-1. Then we have

$$N^{(k)}(x, p) = N^{(k_0)}(x, p)$$
.

Proof. If  $x \equiv 0 \mod p$  then the lemma is trivial. Hence we assume  $x \equiv 0 \mod p$ . Consider the following sequence of groups and homomorphisms

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times (p-1)/k_0} \xrightarrow{g_1} (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times} \xrightarrow{g_2} (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times k_0} \xrightarrow{g_3} (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times k_0} \longrightarrow \{1\},$$

where we define the homomorphisms  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  as follows

$$g_1(a) = a^{\mathbf{V}} a \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times (p-1)/k_0},$$
  
 $g_2(a) = a^{\mathbf{k}_0 \mathbf{V}} a \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times k_0},$   
 $g_3(a) = a^{\mathbf{k}/k_0 \mathbf{V}} a \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times k_0}.$ 

By the definition of  $k_0$ , we see that  $k/k_0$  is prime to  $(p-1)/k_0$ . This shows that  $g_3$  is an isomorphism and the above sequence is exact. By the definition of  $N^{(k_0)}(x, p)$  and  $N^{(k)}(x, p)$  we see that  $N^{(k_0)}(x, p)$  is not zero if and only if  $x \in \text{Im}(g_2) = (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times k_0}$  and  $N^{(k)}(x, p)$  is not zero if and only if  $x \in \text{Im}(g_3 \circ g_2) = (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times k_0}$ . Therefore  $N^{(k_0)}(x, p)$  is not zero if and only if so is  $N^{(k)}(x, p)$ . If  $x \in (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times k_0}$  then  $N^{(k_0)}(x, p) = \#\text{Ker}(g_2) = \#\text{Ker}(g_3 \circ g_2) = N^{(k)}(x, p)$ . Thus Lemma 13 is proved.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_j$  be distinct primes each of which is prime to k and  $k_i$  be the greatest common divisor of k and  $p_i-1$ . If we denote by  $k_0$  the least common multiple of  $k_1, \dots, k_j$ , then

$$c_a^{(k)}(p_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_i) = c_a^{(k_0)}(p_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_i)$$
.

Proof. By Lemma 13 it is obvious that

$$N^{(k)}(x, p) = N^{(k)}(x, p) = N^{(k)}(x, p)$$
.

Then by Lemma 1 we have

$$N^{(k)}(x, p_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_j) = N^{(k_0)}(x, p_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_j)$$
.

On the other hand we have already shown in the proof of Proposition 1 that

$$c_a^{(k)}(n) = \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} N^{(k)}(a^{-1}x, n),$$

where we consider  $a^{-1}x$  in  $(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$ . Therefore we can immediately obtain the lemma.

Lemma 14. Let p be a prime such that

$$p-1 \equiv 0 \mod 2k$$

and X be a character of modulo p of degree k, then

$$\chi(-1)=1$$
.

Proof. If we put p-1=2mk with a positive integer m, then the order of -1 in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  is mk. Therefore there exists some  $x_0 \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$x_0^{mk} \equiv -1 \mod p$$
,

which implies  $\chi(-1) = \chi(x_0^m)^k = 1$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_j$  be distinct primes each of which is prime to k and congruent to 1 modulo 2k, then

$$c_a^{(k)}(p_1\cdots p_i)=0$$
.

Proof. We put  $n=p_1 \cdot \cdots \cdot p_j$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be any character of conductor  $f_{\mathbf{x}} \mid n$ , then by the decomposition (1) in §2 of  $\mathcal{X}$  and Lemma 14 we see that  $\mathcal{X}(-1)=1$ . Therefore by Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 we can immediately obtain our Proposition.

In the case k=2, we have obtained the very beautiful formula for  $c_a^{(2)}(n)$  in corollary 2. But when k is an even integer >2,  $c_a^{(k)}(n)$  is more complicated. From now on till the end of the this section we shall only consider the case k=4 and n=p, where p is a prime. If p=2, then  $c_a^{(4)}(2)=0$  and there is nothing to say. If  $p\equiv 3 \mod 4$ , then  $c_a^{(4)}(p)=c_a^{(2)}(p)$  by Proposition 2. Further if  $p\equiv 1 \mod 8$ , then  $c_a^{(4)}(p)=0$  by Proposition 3. Therefore we may confine ourselves to the cases  $p\equiv 5 \mod 8$ .

Let p be a prime which is congruent to 5 modulo 8. Then the unit group  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$  of the residue ring  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  is a cyclic group of order p-1 which is divisible by 4. We denote by H (respectively  $H_0$ ) the unique subgroup of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$  of index 4 (respectively 2). Let K be the p-th cyclotomic field i.e.,  $K=\mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$ , where  $\zeta=\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p}\right)$ . Then there exists the subfield L (respectively  $L_0$ ) corresponding to the group H (respectively  $H_0$ ). As the order of -1 is 2, H does not contain -1 but  $H_0$  contains it. This shows that L is a totally imaginary field and  $L_0$  is the maximal totally real subfield of L. Hence we obtain the following diagram

$$K = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta) \longrightarrow \{1\}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad$$

Hereafter till the end of the this section we shall use the following notations.

$$\zeta = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{p}\right)$$

h = the class number of L

 $h_0$  = the class number of  $L_0$ 

 $h^* = h/h_0$ 

E = the unit group of L

 $E_0$  = the unit group of  $L_0$ 

w = the number of the roots of unity of L

By the condition on p we can easily see that the element 2 is not a quadratic residue of modulo p. This shows that the group  $(Z/pZ)^{\times}/H$  is generated by the class represented by 2. We shall denote by  $\chi^{(j)}$  (j=0,1,2,3) the character of  $(Z/pZ)^{\times}/H$  which takes value  $\sqrt{-1}^{j}$  at the class 2 mod H. From these characters we obtain the characters modulo p in the sense of section 2 and we also denote them by  $\chi^{(j)}$  (j=0,1,2,3). We can easily see that these characters except  $\chi^{(0)}$  have the conductor p. Then the group of characters  $\{\chi^{(j)}|j=0,1,2,3\}$  corresponds to L and  $\{\chi^{(0)},\chi^{(2)}\}$  corresponds to  $L_0$ . Now we quote the following formula for  $h^*$  from Hasse [1].

**Lemma 15.** Let E' be the group generated by  $E_0$  and the roots of unity contained in L. Then we have

$$h^* = Qw \prod_{j=1,3} \frac{1}{2p} (\sum_{x=1}^{p-1} -\chi^{(j)}(x)x),$$

where Q is defined by Q=[E; E']. In our case we can easily see Q=1.

Proof. See Hasse [1] III-(\*).

**Theorem 3.** If we use the above notation, then we have

$$h^* = \frac{w}{4} \left\{ \left( \frac{c_1^{(4)}(p)}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{c_2^{(4)}(p)}{2} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

Proof. We put

$$\frac{1}{b}\sum_{x=1}^{b-1}\chi^{(1)}(x)x = a+bi \quad a, b \in \mathbf{Q}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{p}\sum_{s=1}^{p-1}\chi^{(s)}(x)x=a-bi.$$

We shall prove that

(7) 
$$a = -\frac{c_1^{(4)}(p)}{2},$$

(8) 
$$b = -\frac{c_1^{(4)}(p)}{2}.$$

By the definition of a we get

$$a = \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{x=1\\x \equiv y^4 \bmod p}}^{p-1} x - \sum_{\substack{x=1\\x \equiv y_1^2 \bmod p}}^{p-1} x \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \left\{ 2 \sum_{\substack{x=1\\x \equiv y^4 \bmod p}}^{p-1} x - \sum_{\substack{x=1\\x \equiv y^2 \bmod p}}^{p-1} x \right\}.$$

As  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ , if  $x \equiv y^2 \mod p$  then  $-x \equiv y'^2 \mod p$  for some  $y' \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . From this we get

(9) 
$$\sum_{\substack{x=1\\x=y^2 \text{ mod } p}}^{p-1} x = \frac{p(p-1)}{4}.$$

On the other hand we have by the definition of  $c_1^{(4)}(p)$ 

(10) 
$$c_1^{(4)}(p) = \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{4}{p} \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} x.$$

By (9) and (10) we have

$$a = \left(\frac{p-1}{4} - \frac{c_1^{(4)}(p)}{2}\right) - \frac{p-1}{4}$$
$$= -\frac{c_1^{(4)}(p)}{2}.$$

Thus we obtain the formula (7). Next we shall prove (8). By the definition of b we have

$$b = \left\{ \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} x - \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} x \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \left\{ 2 \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} x - \sum_{x=2}^{p-1} x \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \left\{ 2 \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} x - \sum_{x=2}^{p-1} x \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \left\{ 2 \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} x - \frac{p(p-1)}{4} \right\}.$$

On the other hand by the definition of  $c_2^{(4)}(p)$  we have also

$$c_2^{(4)}(p) = \frac{p-1}{2} - \frac{4}{p} \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} x.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$b = \left(\frac{p-1}{4} - \frac{c_2^{(4)}(p)}{2}\right) - \frac{p-1}{4}$$
$$= -\frac{c_2^{(2)}(p)}{2}.$$

Thus we have completed the proof of our Theorem.

REMARK 6. We can easily see that

$$w = 10$$
 if  $p = 5$ ,  
 $w = 2$  otherwise.

For the even k>2 it can be considered that  $c_a^{(k)}(p)$ 's have similar relations to some relative class numbers. But for the composite n's such relations are more complicated. We shall give the table of  $h^*$ ,  $c_1^{(4)}(p)$  and  $c_2^{(4)}(p)$ .

Table  $(p \equiv 5(8), p < 500)$ 

Þ	$c_1^{(4)}(p)$	$c_2^{(4)}(p)$	h*
5	6/5	2/5	1
13	2	2	1
29	-2	2	1
37	2	-2	1
53	-2	-2	1
61	2	-2 -2 -2	1
101	-6	2	5
109	10	6	17
149	6	6	9
157	2	6	5
173	<b>-6</b>	-2	5
181	14	2	25
197	-2	-6	5
229	6	10	17
269	10	-2	13
277	-6	10	17
293	6	-6	9
317	2	10	13
349	-6	-2	5
389	18	2	41
397	2	-10	13
421	2	14	25
461	-2	-14	25

#### 6. An afterthought

We shall give an another elementary proof of Corollary 3.

Proposition 4. If the following congruence equation has a solution

$$(10) x^k \equiv -1 \text{mod } n,$$

then

$$c_a^{(k)}(n) = \frac{n_0^{(k)}-1}{2}$$
.

Proof. If (10) has a solution, then it is clear that

$$N^{(k)}(x, n) = N^{(k)}(-x, n) = N^{(k)}(n-x, n)$$
.

Hence by the defintion of  $c_a^{(k)}(n)$  we have

$$c_{\alpha}^{(k)}(n) = \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x=1}^{n-1} N^{(k)}(a^{-1}x, n)x$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{x=1}^{n-1} \{N^{(k)}(a^{-1}x, n)x + N^{(k)}(a^{-1}(n-x), n)(n-x)\}$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{x=1}^{n-1} nN^{(k)}(a^{-1}x, n),$$

where we consider  $a^{-1}x$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . But we can easily see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} N^{(k)}(a^{-1}x, n) = n.$$

From this it follows that

$$c_a^{(k)}(n) = \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(n - N^{(k)}(0, n))$$
$$= \frac{N^{(k)}(0, n) - 1}{2}.$$

But by a simple computation we get

$$N^{(k)}(0, n) = n_0^{(k)}$$
.

Thus we obtain Proposition 4.

Considering the definition of  $c_a^{(k)}(n)$ , if  $ax^k \equiv 0 \mod n$  then  $\left[\frac{ax^k}{n}\right] = \frac{ax^k}{n}$ , but we suppose that  $\left[\frac{ax^k}{n}\right]$  is approximately  $\frac{ax^k}{n} - \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore  $\frac{n_0^{(k)} - 1}{2}$  can be considered the known error term. From this point of view we had better to

consider that  $d_a^{(k)}(n) = c_a^{(k)}(n) - \frac{n_0^{(k)} - 1}{2}$  is the essential error term. The proof of Theorem 2 shows that the order of  $d_a^{(k)}(n)$  is less than  $n^{((k-1)/k)+\epsilon}$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . The Corollary 2 is true with slight modification of  $d_a^{(k)}(n)$ .

#### OSAKA UNIVERSITY

#### References

- [1] H. Hasse: Über die Klassenzahl Abelscher Zahlkörper, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1952.
- [2] T. Honda: A few remarks on class numbers of imaginary quadratic number fields, Osaka J. Math. 12 (1975), 19-21.
- [3] K. Prachar: Primzahlverteilung, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1957.