



Title	The fundamental solution for pseudo-differential operators of parabolic type
Author(s)	Iwasaki, Chisato Tsutsumi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1977, 14(3), p. 569-592
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/12196
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

THE FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTION FOR PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS OF PARABOLIC TYPE

CHISATO IWASAKI (née TSUTSUMI)

(Received October 20, 1976)

Introduction

In this paper we shall construct the fundamental solution $E(t, s)$ for a degenerate pseudo-differential operator L of parabolic type only by symbol calculus and, as an application, we shall solve the Cauchy problem for L :

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{cases} Lu(t) = f(t) & \text{in } t > s, \\ u(s) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Another application of the present fundamental solution will be done in [12] in order to construct left parametrices for degenerate operators studied by Grushin in [2].

Now let us consider the operator L of the form

$$L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + p(t; x, D_x),$$

where $p(t; x, D_x)$ is a pseudo-differential operator of class $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ with a parameter t ($\rho > \delta$) (See §1). For the operator $p(t; x, D_x)$ we set the following conditions:

$$(0.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} p(t; x, \xi) + c_0 \geq c_1 \lambda(x, \xi)^{m'}$$

$$(0.3) \quad |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t; x, \xi) / (\operatorname{Re} p(t; x, \xi) + c_0)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-(\rho, \alpha) + (\delta, \beta)},$$

where $m \geq m' \geq 0$ and $\lambda = \lambda(x, \xi)$ is a basic weight function defined in §1. We note that $\lambda(x, \xi)$ in general varies even in x and increases in polynomial order.

We call $E(t, s)$ a fundamental solution for L when $E(t, s)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} LE(t, s) = 0 & \text{in } t > s, \\ E(s, s) = I. \end{cases}$$

The main theorem of this paper is stated as follows.

Main theorem. *Under the conditions (0, 2) and (0, 3) we can construct the unique fundamental solution $E(t, s)$ for L as a pseudo-differential operator of*

class $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0$ with parameters t and s (For the precise statement see Theorem 3.1).

Using the fundamental solution of this theorem the solution of the Cauchy problem (0. 1) is given in the form

$$u(t) = E(t, s)u_0 + \int_s^t E(t, \sigma)f(\sigma)d\sigma.$$

We note that Greiner [1] constructed the fundamental solution for parabolic differential operators on a compact C^∞ -manifold by using pseudo-differential operators. But his method is different from ours and not applicable to our non-compact case R^n . We reduce the construction of the fundamental solution to solving the integral equation

$$(0.4) \quad \Phi(t, s) + K(t, s) + \int_s^t K(t, \sigma)\Phi(\sigma, s)d\sigma = 0$$

for a known operator $K(t, s) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0$.

To solve the equation (0.4) the product formula of pseudo-differential operators plays an essential role. We also note that by the same method we can construct the fundamental solution for degenerate operators which have been considered by Helffer [3] and Matsuzawa [7]. On the other hand Shinkai [9] constructed the fundamental solution $E(t, s)$ when $p(x, \xi)$ is a system of pseudo-differential operator by our method and applied it to the proof of hypoellipticity of L .

In Section 1 we define pseudo-differential operators with symbol $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$. In Section 2 main properties of pseudo-differential operators defined in Section 1 will be given. In Section 3 we shall construct the fundamental solution $E(t, s)$ under the conditions (0.2) and (0.3), and in Section 4 we study the behavior of $E(t, s)$ for large $(t-s)$.

The results of the present paper have been announced partly in [10] and [11].

The author wishes to thank Professor H. Kumano-go for his helpful discussions and his encouragement.

1. Definitions and notations

Let R^n be the n -dimensional Euclidean space. $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(R^n)$ is the space of all rapidly decreasing functions with semi-norms

$$|f|_{l, \mathcal{S}} = \max_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq l} \sup_{x \in R^n} |x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta f(x)|,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $\partial_x^\beta = (\partial/\partial x_1)^{\beta_1} \dots (\partial/\partial x_n)^{\beta_n}$. \mathcal{S}' is its dual space. $\hat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}[f](\xi)$ denotes the Fourier transform of $f(x)$ which is defined by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{R^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}.$$

For a pair of real vectors $a=(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $b=(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ we denote $a>b$, if $a_j>b_j$ for any j and $a\geq b$, if $a_j\geq b_j$ for any j .

DEFINITION 1.1. We say that a C^∞ -function $\lambda(x, \xi)$ defined in $R_x^n \times R_\xi^n$ is a basic weight function if there exists a pair of vectors $\tilde{\rho}=(\tilde{\rho}_1, \dots, \tilde{\rho}_n)$ and $\delta=(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad \tilde{\rho}>\delta, \quad \tilde{\rho}_j>0 \quad 1\leq j\leq n \\ \text{(ii)} \quad 1\leq \lambda(x+y, \xi)\leq A_0\langle y\rangle^\tau \lambda(x, \xi) \quad \tau\geq 0, \quad A_0\geq 1 \\ \text{(iii)} \quad |\lambda_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)|\leq A_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{1-(\tilde{\rho}, \alpha)+(\delta, \beta)} \end{array} \right.$$

where $\lambda_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)=(\partial/\partial \xi_1)^{\alpha_1}\dots(\partial/\partial \xi_n)^{\alpha_n}(-i\partial/\partial x_1)^{\beta_1}\dots(-i\partial/\partial x_n)^{\beta_n}\lambda(x, \xi)$, $\langle y\rangle=(1+|y|^2)^{1/2}$, $(\tilde{\rho}, \alpha)=\sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{\rho}_j \alpha_j$ and A_0 and $A_{\alpha, \beta}$ are constants.

For a basic weight function $\lambda(x, \xi)$ and a vector $\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)$ such that $\tilde{\rho}\geq \rho\geq \delta$, we define symbol class $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ as follows.

DEFINITION 1.2. $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ is the set of all C^∞ -functions $p(x, \xi)$ defined in $R_x^n \times R_\xi^n$ which satisfy for any α and β

$$|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)|\leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{m-(\rho, \alpha)+(\delta, \beta)}$$

for some constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$. For $p\in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ we define semi-norms $|p|_l^{(m)}$ by

$$|p|_l^{(m)}=\max_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq l} \sup_{(x, \xi)\in R^n \times R^n} \{|p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)|\lambda(x, \xi)^{-m+(\rho, \alpha)-(\delta, \beta)}\}.$$

Set $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-\infty}=\bigcap_m S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ and $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^\infty=\bigcup_m S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$.

For $p(x, \xi)\in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ we define a *pseudo-differential operator with the symbol* $\sigma(P)=p(x, \xi)$ by

$$Pu(x)=Os-\iint e^{-iy\cdot\xi} p(x, \xi)u(x+y)dyd\xi$$

for $u\in \mathcal{S}$, where $d\xi=(2\pi)^{-n}d\xi$ and 'Os-' means the oscillatory integral defined in Definition 1.4 below.

Now let us mention the important properties about the oscillatory integral contained in [5].

DEFINITION 1.3. We say that a C^∞ -function $q(\eta, y)$ in $R_\eta^n \times R_y^n$ belongs to a class $\mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tau}^m$ ($-\infty< m<\infty$, $\delta<1$, $\tau=(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k, \dots)$, $\tau_k\geq 0$) if for any multiindex α and β there exists a constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ such that

$$|\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta q(\eta, y)|\leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \eta \rangle^{m+\delta|\beta|} \langle y \rangle^{\tau|\beta|}.$$

We also define the semi-norms $|q|_l^{(m)}$ by

$$|q|_l^{(m)}=\max_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq l} \sup_{(\eta, y)\in R^n \times R^n} \{|\partial_\eta^\alpha \partial_y^\beta q(\eta, y)|\langle y \rangle^{-\tau|\beta|} \langle \eta \rangle^{-m-\delta|\beta|}\}.$$

DEFINITION 1.4. For $q(\eta, y) \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tau}^m$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} Os - [e^{-iy \cdot \eta} q(\eta, y)] &= Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} q(\eta, y) dy d\eta \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \chi_{\varepsilon}(\eta, y) q(\eta, y) dy d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{\varepsilon}(\eta, y) = \chi(\varepsilon\eta, \varepsilon y)$ and $\chi(\eta, y)$ is a function such that $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(R^{2n})$ and $\chi(0, 0) = 1$.

PROPOSITION 1.5. For $q(\eta, y) \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tau}^m$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Os - [e^{-iy \cdot \eta} q(\eta, y)] \\ = \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \langle y \rangle^{-2l'} \langle D_{\eta} \rangle^{-2l'} \{ \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l} \langle D_y \rangle^{2l} q(\eta, y) \} dy d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

where l and l' are positive integers such that $-2l(1-\delta) < -n$ and $-2l' + \tau_{2l} < -n$.

PROPOSITION 1.6. Let $\{q_{\varepsilon}\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tau}^m$ such that $\sup_{\varepsilon} |q_{\varepsilon}|^{(m)} \leq M_l$ for any l . If there exists $q_0(\eta, y) \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta, \tau}^m$ such that $q_{\varepsilon}(\eta, y) \rightarrow q_0(\eta, y)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on any compact set of $R_{\eta}^n \times R_y^n$, then we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} Os - [e^{-iy \cdot \eta} q_{\varepsilon}] = Os - [e^{-iy \cdot \eta} q_0]$.

DEFINITION 1.7. Let F be a Fréchet space. We define $\mathcal{E}_l^i(F)$ by

$$\mathcal{E}_l^i(F) = \{l\text{-times continuously differentiable } F\text{-valued} \\ \text{function } u(t) \text{ in the interval } I\}.$$

DEFINITION 1.8 ([6]). We say that $\{p_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1}$ converges to $p_0(x, \xi)$ weakly in $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ if $\{p_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1}$ is a bounded set in $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ and if $p_{\varepsilon}(x, \xi)$ converges to $p_0(x, \xi)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on any compact set of $R_x^n \times R_{\xi}^n$. We define $w - \mathcal{E}_{t, s}^l(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m)$ in $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ by

$$\begin{aligned} w - \mathcal{E}_{t, s}^l(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m) &= \{S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m\text{-valued functions } u(t, s) \text{ defined in} \\ &0 \leq s \leq t \leq T \text{ which are } l\text{-times continuously differentiable with} \\ &\text{respect to } t \text{ and } s \text{ in the weak topology of } S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m\}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Calculus of pseudo-differential operators in class $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$

The main theorem of this section is the following

THEOREM 2.1. Let $P_j \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m_j}$ ($j=1, \dots, \nu$). Then the product operator $P = P_1 \cdots P_{\nu}$ belongs to $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m_0}$, where $m_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} m_j$. Moreover for any l there exists l_0 such that

$$(2.1) \quad |\sigma(P)|^{(m_0)} \leq (C_0)^{\nu} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} |p_j|_{l_0}^{(m_j)}$$

where l_0 and C_0 are constants depending on $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |m_j|$ but independent of ν .

Proof. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} Pu(x) &= Os - \int \cdots \int \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y^j \cdot \xi^j \right\} p_1(x, \xi^1) p_2(x + y^1, \xi^2) \cdots \\ &\cdots p_{\nu}(x + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} y^j, \xi^{\nu}) u(x + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} y^j) dy^1 dy^2 \cdots dy^{\nu} d\xi^1 d\xi^2 \cdots d\xi^{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

So the symbol of P is given by

$$(2.2) \quad p(x, \xi) = Os - \int \cdots \int \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} y^j \cdot \eta^j \right\} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} p_j(x + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} y^k, \xi + \eta^j) dV,$$

where $y^0=0$, $\eta^{\nu}=0$ and $dV = dy^1 dy^2 \cdots dy^{\nu-1} d\eta^1 d\eta^2 \cdots d\eta^{\nu-1}$.

By (2.2) it is sufficient to prove (2.1) for $l=0$.

For the proof we prepare the following

Lemma 2.2. Let $q(x^1, \xi^1, \dots, x^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu})$ be a C^{∞} -function on $R^{2n\nu}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad & |\partial_{x^1}^{\beta^1} \partial_{x^2}^{\beta^2} \cdots \partial_{x^{\nu}}^{\beta^{\nu}} \partial_{\xi^1}^{\alpha^1} \partial_{\xi^2}^{\alpha^2} \cdots \partial_{\xi^{\nu}}^{\alpha^{\nu}} q^1(x^1, \xi^1, x^2, \xi^2, \dots, x^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu})| \\ & \leq M_{\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{\nu}, \beta^1, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^{\nu}} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \lambda(x^j, \xi^j)^{m_j - (\rho, \alpha^j) + (\delta, \beta^j)} \end{aligned}$$

for any sequence of multi-indices $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{\nu}, \beta^1, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^{\nu}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad I_{\theta} &= Os - \int \cdots \int \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} y^j \cdot \eta^j \right\} \\ &\times q(x, \xi + \theta \eta^1, x + y^1, \xi + \theta \eta^2, \dots, \xi + \theta \eta^{\nu-1}, x + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} y^j, \xi) dV \\ &\quad (0 \leq \theta \leq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then we can find l_0 such that

$$(2.5) \quad |I_{\theta}| \leq (C_0)^{\nu} M_{l_0} \lambda(x, \xi)^{m_0},$$

where $m_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} m_j$, $M_{l_0} = \max_{|\alpha^j| + |\beta^j| \leq l_0} \{M_{\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{\nu}, \beta^1, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^{\nu}}\}$ and C_0 is a constant depending on $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |m_j|$ but independent of ν and θ .

Apply the above Lemma 2.2 to (2.2) setting $q(x^1, \xi^1, x^2, \xi^2, \dots, x^{\nu}, \xi^{\nu}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} p_j(x^j, \xi^j)$ and $\theta=1$. Then we get

$$|p|_{\delta^{(m_0)}} \leq (C_0)^{\nu} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} |p_j|_{\delta_0^{(m_j)}}.$$

Thus the proof is completed.

For the proof of Lemma 2.2 we prepare some propositions. For simplicity we may assume $\bar{\rho}_j = \bar{\rho}$, $\rho_j = \rho$ and $\delta_j = \delta$ for any j . Otherwise we have only to repeat the same argument for each variable.

Set

$$F(x, \eta; y) = (1 + \lambda(x, \eta)^{2\bar{\delta}n_0} |y|^{2n_0})^{-1},$$

where $\bar{\delta} = \max(\delta, 0)$ and $n_0 = [n/2] + 1$. Then, by (1.1)–(iii) we have easily the following

Proposition 2.3. $F(x, \eta; y)$ satisfies the inequality with constants $C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_\eta^\gamma F(x, \eta; y)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} F(x, \eta; y) \lambda(x, \eta)^{-\bar{\rho}|\gamma| + \bar{\delta}|\alpha| + \beta|}$$

for all α, β , and γ .

Proof is omitted.

Proposition 2.4. If $r_1 \geq 0$ and $r_2 - 2\tau\bar{\delta}n_0 \geq 0$, then we get for some constant C

$$\begin{aligned} & \int F(z^1, \xi + \eta^1; z^1 - z^0) F(z^2, \xi + \eta^2; z^2 - z^1) \langle z^0 - z^1 \rangle^{-r_1} \langle z^2 - z^1 \rangle^{-r_2} dz^1 \\ & \leq C \langle z^2 - z^0 \rangle^{-r_2} \{ F(z^2, \xi + \eta^2; z^2 - z^0) \lambda(z^2, \xi + \eta^1)^{-n\bar{\delta}} \\ & \quad + F(z^2, \xi + \eta^1; z^2 - z^0) \lambda(z^2, \xi + \eta^2)^{-n\bar{\delta}} \}. \end{aligned}$$

where $r_3 = \min(r_1, r_2 - 2\tau\bar{\delta}n_0)$.

Proof. We divide R^n into two parts $\Omega_1 = \{z^1 \in R^n; |z^1 - z^2| \geq |z^0 - z^2|/2\}$ and $\Omega_2 = R^n \setminus \Omega_1$. For $z^1 \in \Omega_1$ we have

$$(2.6) \quad F(z^2, \xi + \eta^2; z^2 - z^1) \leq 2^{2n_0} F(z^2, \xi + \eta^2; z^2 - z^0) \quad \text{in } \Omega_1$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \langle z^1 - z^2 \rangle^{-1} \leq 2 \langle z^2 - z^0 \rangle^{-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega_1.$$

For $z^1 \in \Omega_2$, we get

$$(2.8) \quad F(z^2, \xi + \eta^1; z^1 - z^0) \leq 2^{2n_0} F(z^2, \xi + \eta^1; z^2 - z^0) \quad \text{in } \Omega_2$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \langle z^1 - z^0 \rangle^{-1} \leq 2 \langle z^2 - z^0 \rangle^{-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega_2.$$

Since $2n_0 > n$, it is clear that

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{R^n} F(x, \eta; y) dy = c_1 \lambda(x, \eta)^{-n\bar{\delta}}.$$

By (1.1)–(ii) we get

$$(2.11) \quad F(z^1, \xi + \eta^1; z^1 - z^0) \leq (A_0)^{2\bar{\delta}n_0} \langle z^2 - z^1 \rangle^{2\tau\bar{\delta}n_0} F(z^2, \xi + \eta^1; z^2 - z^0).$$

Then by (2.6)~(2.11) we get the assertion.

Q.E.D.

By (1.1)~(iii) there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\lambda(x, \xi + \eta) - \lambda(x, \xi)| \leq \lambda(x, \xi)/2$$

if $|\eta| \leq c_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^{\tilde{\rho}}$.

Proposition 2.5. Set

$$I(K) = |\eta|^{-2K} \lambda(x, \xi + \eta)^m \{ \lambda(x, \xi + \eta) + \lambda(x, \xi) \}^{2K\bar{\delta}} \\ \times \left\{ \lambda(x, \xi + \eta)^{-n\bar{\delta}} + \frac{F(x, \xi + \eta; y)}{F(x, \xi; y)} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-n\bar{\delta}} \right\} \quad (K \geq 0)$$

and set

$$I_1 = \{ \eta; |\eta| \leq c_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^{\bar{\delta}} \},$$

$$I_2 = \{ \eta; c_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^{\bar{\delta}} \leq |\eta| \leq c_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^{\tilde{\rho}} \}$$

and

$$I_3 = \{ \eta; |\eta| \geq c_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^{\tilde{\rho}} \}.$$

Then we have for a constant c

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{I_j} I(K_j) d\eta \leq c \lambda(x, \xi)^m \quad (j = 1, 2, 3),$$

if $K_1 = 0$, $K_2 > n/2$ and $K_3 > (|m| + 2\bar{\delta}n_0 + n\bar{\rho})/2(\bar{\rho} - \delta)$.

Proof. If η belongs to I_1 or I_2 , then we have for some constant c_2

$$I(K) \leq c_2 |\eta|^{-2K} \lambda(x, \xi)^{(2K-n)\bar{\delta}+m}, \quad K \geq 0.$$

Hence (2.12) is proved for $j=1$ and 2. If η belongs to I_3 we have

$$(2.13) \quad I(K) \leq c_3 |\eta|^{-2K + (\bar{m} + 2\bar{\delta}K + 2\bar{\delta}n_0)/\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{m} = \max(m, 0),$$

since it holds that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda(x, \xi + \eta) \leq c_4 |\eta|^{1/\bar{\rho}}, & \eta \in I_3, \\ \left| \frac{F(x, \xi + \eta; y)}{F(x, \xi; y)} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-n\bar{\delta}} \right| \leq c_4 |\eta|^{2\bar{\delta}n_0/\bar{\rho}} \end{cases}$$

for some constant c_4 . By (2.13) we get (2.12) for $j=3$ if K_3 is chosen as above.

Q.E.D.

Proposition 2.6. Set

$$J_l = |\eta|^{-2K_l} \{ \lambda(z^2, \xi + \eta) + \lambda(z^2, \xi) \}^{2\bar{\delta}K_l} \lambda(z^1, \xi + \eta)^m \langle z^1 - z^0 \rangle^{-r_1} \\ \times F(z^1, \xi + \eta^1; z^1 - z^0) \langle z^2 - z^1 \rangle^{-r_2} F(z^2, \xi; z^2 - z^0), \\ (l = 1, 2, 3).$$

Then we have for $l=1, 2, 3$

$$\int_{I_l} \int_{R^n} J_l dz^1 d\eta^1 \leq B \langle z^2 - z^0 \rangle^{-r_3} \lambda(z^2, \xi)^m F(z^2, \xi; z^2 - z^0)$$

with $B = Cc(A_0)^{|m|}$ and $r_3 = \min(r_1, r_2 - 2\tau\delta n_0 - \tau|m|)$ if K_l and I_l are defined as in Proposition 2.5 and $n_0 = [n/2] + 1$, $r_1 \geq 0$ and $r_2 - 2\tau\delta n_0 - \tau|m| \geq 0$.

Proof. By means of Proposition 2.4 for $\eta^1 = \eta$, $\eta^2 = 0$ and (1.1)–(ii) we get

$$(2.14) \quad \int_{R^n} J_l dz^1 \leq C(A_0)^{|m|} |\eta|^{-2K_l} \{ \lambda(z^2, \xi + \eta) + \lambda(z^2, \xi) \}^{2\delta K_l} \\ \times \left\{ \lambda(z^2, \xi + \eta)^{-\bar{\delta}n} + \frac{F(z^2, \xi + \eta; z^2 - z^0)}{F(z^2, \xi; z^2 - z^0)} \lambda(z^2, \xi)^{-\bar{\delta}n} \right\} \\ \times \langle z^2 - z^0 \rangle^{-r_3} \lambda(z^2, \xi + \eta)^m F(z^2, \xi; z^2 - z^0), \quad l = 1, 2, 3.$$

Now by Proposition 2.5 and we get the assertion. Q.E.D.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Set $n_0 = [n/2] + 1$, $M = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |m_j|$, $K = [M + 2\delta n_0 + n\bar{\rho}/2(\bar{\rho} - \delta)] + 1$, $N = [\tau(3\delta n_0 + 3\delta K + 2M)] + 1$ and functions $K_j = K_j(\eta^j, \eta^{j+1}, z^{j+1})$ ($j=1, \dots, \nu-1$) as follow: $K_j = 0$ on $I_{j,1}$, $K_j = n_0$ on $I_{j,2}$ and $K_j = K$ on $I_{j,3}$, where

$$I_{j,1} = \{ \eta^j \in R^m; |\eta^j - \eta^{j+1}| \leq c_0 \lambda(z^{j+1}, \xi + \theta \eta^{j+1})^{\bar{\delta}} \},$$

$$I_{j,2} = \{ \eta^j \in R^n; c_0 \lambda(z^{j+1}, \xi + \theta \eta^{j+1})^{\bar{\delta}} < |\eta^j - \eta^{j+1}| \leq c_0 \lambda(z^{j+1}, \xi + \theta \eta^{j+1})^{\bar{\rho}} \}$$

and

$$I_{j,3} = \{ \eta^j \in R^n; |\eta^j - \eta^{j+1}| > c_0 \lambda(z^{j+1}, \xi + \theta \eta^{j+1})^{\bar{\rho}} \} \quad (z^\nu = x, \eta^\nu = 0).$$

By integration by parts we obtain

$$I_\theta = Os - \int \dots \int \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} y^j \cdot \eta^j \right\} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-1} \langle y^j \rangle^{-2N} \\ \times \{ 1 + (-\Delta_{\eta^j})^{n_0} \lambda(x + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} y^k, \xi + \theta \eta^j)^{2\delta n_0} \} \{ 1 + \lambda(x + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} y^k, \xi + \theta \eta^j)^{2\delta n_0} \\ \times |y^j|^{2n_0} \}^{-1} (-\Delta_{\eta^j})^N q(x, \xi + \theta \eta^1, \dots, x + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} y^k, \xi + \theta \eta^j, \dots, x + \sum_{k=1}^{\nu-1} y^k, \xi) dV,$$

where $y^0 = 0$. Then by change of variables $x + \sum_{k=1}^j y^k = z^j$ ($j=1, \dots, \nu-1$) we get

$$I_\theta = \int \dots \int \exp \left\{ -i \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} z^j \cdot (\eta^j - \eta^{j+1}) \right\} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-1} |\eta^j - \eta^{j+1}|^{-2K_j} (-\Delta_{z^j})^{K_j} r dV,$$

where

$$r = \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-1} \{ 1 + (-\Delta_{\eta^j})^{n_0} \lambda(z^{j-1}, \xi + \theta \eta^j)^{2\delta n_0} \} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-1} \langle z^j - z^{j-1} \rangle^{-2N} \\ \times F(z^{j-1}, \xi + \theta \eta^j; z^j - z^{j-1}) \langle \Delta_{\eta^j} \rangle^N q(z^0, \xi + \theta \eta^1, z^1, \dots, \xi + \theta \eta^{\nu-1}, z^{\nu-1}, \xi), \\ z^0 = x \text{ and } \eta^\nu = 0.$$

Then from Proposition 2.3 and (2.3) we have with a constant C_1

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.15) \quad & \left| \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-1} (-\Delta_{z^j})^{K_j} r \right| \leq (C_1)^\nu M_{2(K+N+n_0)} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-1} \langle z^j - z^{j-1} \rangle^{-2N} \\
 & \times \{ \lambda(z^{j-1}, \xi + \theta \eta^j) + \lambda(z^j, \xi + \theta \eta_0^{j+1}) \}^{2\bar{\delta} K_j} F(z^{j-1}, \xi + \theta \eta^j; z^j - z^{j-1}) \\
 & \times \prod_{j=1}^{\nu} \lambda(z^{j-1}, \xi + \theta \eta^j)^{m_j} \\
 & \leq C_2^\nu M_{2(K+N+n_0)} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-1} \{ \lambda(z^{j+1}, \xi + \theta \eta^j) + \lambda(z^{j+1}, \xi + \theta \eta^{j+1}) \}^{2\bar{\delta} K_j} \\
 & \times \langle z^j - z^{j-1} \rangle^{-2M+R} F(z^j, \xi + \theta \eta^j; z^j - z^{j-1}) \lambda(z^j, \xi + \theta \eta^j)^{m_j} \\
 & \times \langle z^\nu - z^{\nu-1} \rangle^{R'} \lambda(z^\nu, \xi)^{m_\nu},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $z^0 = z^\nu = x$, $\eta^\nu = 0$, $R = \tau(2\bar{\delta}n_0 + 4\bar{\delta}K + M)$, $R' = \tau(2\bar{\delta}K + M)$ and $C_2 = C_1(2A_0)^{M+2\bar{\delta}(K+n_0)}$. We used (1.1)–(iii) and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{1 + \lambda(z^j, \xi + \theta \eta^j)^{\bar{\delta}} \lambda(z^{j-1}, \xi + \theta \eta^j)^{-\bar{\delta}}\} & \leq (2A_0)^{\bar{\delta}} \langle z^j - z^{j-1} \rangle^{\bar{\delta}} \\
 (j = 1, \dots, \nu-1)
 \end{aligned}$$

in the last step. From (2.15) and Proposition 2.6 we get for $l=1, 2, 3$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{I_{1,l}} |\eta^1 - \eta^2|^{-2K_1} \left| \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-1} (-\Delta_{z^j})^{K_j} r \right| dz^1 d\eta^1 \\
 & \leq (C_2)^{\nu-1} C_3 M_{2(K+N+n_0)} \prod_{j=2}^{\nu-1} \{ \lambda(z^{j+1}, \xi + \theta \eta^j) + \lambda(z^{j+1}, \xi + \theta \eta^{j+1}) \}^{2\bar{\delta} K_j} \\
 & \times \prod_{j=3}^{\nu-1} F(z^j, \xi + \theta \eta^j; z^j - z^{j-1}) \langle z^j - z^{j-1} \rangle^{-2N+R} \lambda(z^j, \xi + \theta \eta^j)^{m_j} \\
 & \times F(z^2, \xi + \theta \eta^2; z^2 - z^0) \langle z^2 - z^0 \rangle^{-2N+R''} \lambda(z^2, \xi + \theta \eta^2)^{\tilde{m}_2} \\
 & \times \langle z^\nu - z^{\nu-1} \rangle^{R'} \lambda(z^\nu, \xi)^{m_\nu},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 = C_2 C c(A_0)^M$, $\tilde{m}_2 = m_1 + m_2$, and $R'' = R + \tau(2\bar{\delta}n_0 + M)$. Since $-2N + R + \tau(2\bar{\delta}n_0 + M) \leq 0$, we can repeat the same argument. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int \dots \int \prod_{j=1}^{\nu-1} |\eta^j - \eta^{j+1}|^{-2K_j} (-\Delta_{z^j})^{K_j} r dz^1 dz^2 \dots dz^{\nu-2} d\eta^1 d\eta^2 \dots d\eta^{\nu-2} \\
 & \leq (C_2)^2 (C_3)^{\nu-1} M_{2(K+N+n_0)} |\eta^\nu - \eta^{\nu-1}|^{-2K_{\nu-1}} \{ \lambda(z^\nu, \xi + \theta \eta^{\nu-1}) \\
 & \quad + \lambda(z^\nu, \xi + \theta \eta^\nu) \}^{2\bar{\delta} K_{\nu-1}} F(z^{\nu-1}, \xi + \theta \eta^{\nu-1}; z^{\nu-1} - z^0) \\
 & \quad \times \langle z^{\nu-1} - z^0 \rangle^{-2N+R''} \lambda(z^{\nu-1}, \xi + \theta \eta^{\nu-1})^{\tilde{m}_{\nu-1}} \langle z^\nu - z^{\nu-1} \rangle^{R'} \lambda(z^\nu, \xi)^{m_\nu} \\
 & \quad (z^0 = z^\nu = x, \eta^\nu = 0),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{m}_{\nu-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} m_j$. Noting $-2N + R'' + R' + 2\tau\bar{\delta}n_0 + M = -2N + \tau(6\bar{\delta}n_0 + 6\bar{\delta}K + 4M) \leq 0$, we get, by (1.1)–(ii), (2.10), (2.11) and Proposition 2.5,

$$\int \dots \int \prod_{j=1}^{v-1} |\eta^j - \eta^{j+1}|^{-2K_j} |(-\Delta_{z_j})^{K_j} r| dV \leq C_2(C_3)^{v-1} M_{2(K+N+n_0)} \lambda(x, \xi)^{m_0}.$$

Take $l_0 = 2(K+N+n_0)$ and $C_0 = C_3$. Thus we get (2.5).

Q.E.D.

We denote the symbol $\sigma(P_1 P_2 \dots P_v)$ by

$$\sigma(P_1 P_2 \dots P_v) = p_1 \circ p_2 \circ \dots \circ p_v$$

as used in [9].

Now for an operator $P = p(x, D_x) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ we define the adjoint operator P^* by the relation

$$(Pu, v) = (u, P^*v) \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^*u(x) &= \iint e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} p(y, \xi) u(y) dy d\xi \\ &= \int \dots \int e^{-(y^1 \cdot \xi^1 + y^2 \cdot \xi^2)} p(x+y^1, \xi^1) u(x+y^1+y^2) dy^1 d\xi^1 dy^2 d\xi^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that P^* is also a pseudo-differential operator with symbol

$$\sigma(P^*)(x, \xi) = Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p(x+y, \xi+\eta) dy d\eta.$$

Theorem 2.7. *If P belongs to $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$, then P^* belongs to $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$. Moreover for any l there exists l' such that*

$$|\sigma(P^*)|_l^{(m)} \leq C |\sigma(P)|_{l'}^{(m)}$$

with some constant C .

Proof. Set $n_0 = [n/2] + 1$. By integration by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(P^*)(x, \xi) &= Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \langle y \rangle^{-2N} \{1 + \lambda(x, \xi)^{2\bar{\delta}n_0} |y|^{2n_0}\}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \{1 + \lambda(x, \xi)^{2\bar{\delta}} (-\Delta_\eta)^{n_0}\} \langle -\Delta_\eta \rangle^N p(x+y, \xi+\eta) dy d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Choose K as follows: $K=0$ on I_1 , $K=n_0$ on I_2 and $K=[(|m| + 2\bar{\delta}n_0 + n\bar{\rho})/2(\bar{\rho} - \delta)] + 1$ on I_3 , where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \{\eta \in R^n; |\eta| \leq c_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^{\bar{\delta}}\}, \\ I_2 &= \{\eta \in R^n; c_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^{\bar{\delta}} < |\eta| \leq c_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^{\bar{\rho}}\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_3 = R^n \setminus (I_1 \cup I_2).$$

Then we have

$$\sigma(P^*)(x, \xi) = \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} |\eta|^{-2K} (-\Delta_y)^K r dy d\eta,$$

where r satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |(-\Delta_y)^K r| &\leq C |p|_{\frac{1}{2}(K+N+n_0)}^{(m)} \langle y \rangle^{-2N+\tau(|m|+2\bar{\delta}K)} \\ &\quad \times \lambda(x, \xi + \eta)^{m+2\bar{\delta}K} \{1 + \lambda(x, \xi)^{2\bar{\delta}n_0} |y|^{2n_0}\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $2N \geq \tau(|m| + 2\bar{\delta}K)$. Noting the above estimate, we get the assertion if we repeat the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Q.E.D.

From Theorems 2.1 and 2.7 we get the L^2 -boundedness theorem by the same argument in [5].

Theorem 2.8. *Let $P \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0$. Then P is a bounded operator in $L^2(R^n)$ and there exist l_0 and C such that*

$$\|Pu\| \leq C |p|_{l_0^{(0)}} \|u\|, \quad u \in L^2(R^n).$$

For pseudo-differential operators of this class we get the following expansion theorem.

Theorem 2.9. *If $p_j(x, \xi)$ belongs to $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m_j}$ ($j=1, 2$), we can write for any N*

$$(p_1 \circ p_2)(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p_1^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) p_{2(\alpha)}(x, \xi) + r_N(x, \xi),$$

where $r_N(x, \xi)$ belongs to $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m_1+m_2-\varepsilon_0 N}$ and $\varepsilon_0 = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\rho_j - \delta_j)$.

Proof. By the Taylor expansion we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (p_1 \circ p_2)(x, \xi) &= Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1(x, \xi + \eta) p_2(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta \\ &= Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p_1^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) \eta^\alpha p_2(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta \\ &\quad + Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \sum_{|\gamma| = N} \frac{\eta^\gamma}{\gamma!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{N-1} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) d\theta p_2(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| < N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p_1^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) p_{2(\alpha)}(x, \xi) + r(x, \xi), \end{aligned}$$

where $r(x, \xi) = N \sum_{|\gamma| = N} \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\theta)^{N-1}}{\gamma!} \left\{ Os - \iint e^{-iy \cdot \eta} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x, \xi + \theta\eta) \right. \\ \left. \times p_{2(\gamma)}(x + y, \xi) dy d\eta \right\} d\theta$. Apply Lemma 2.2 for $r(x, \xi)$ setting $q(x^1, \xi^1, x^2, \xi^2) = \sum_{|\gamma| = N} p_1^{(\gamma)}(x^1, \xi^1) p_{2(\gamma)}(x^2, \xi^2)$. Then it is clear that $r(x, \xi)$ belongs to $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m_1+m_2-\varepsilon_0 N}$. Q.E.D.

In what follows we assume that $\varepsilon_0 = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\rho_j - \delta_j)$ is positive. Let $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ satisfy the following conditions (H.E)

$$(2.16) \quad (\text{H.E}) \begin{cases} |p(x, \xi)| \geq c\lambda(x, \xi)^{m'} & m \geq m' \geq 0, \\ |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)/p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-(\rho, \alpha) + (\delta, \beta)} & (\rho > \delta). \end{cases}$$

Then we get the following theorems in the same way as in [6].

Theorem 2.10 (cf. [4], [6]). *If $p(x, \xi)$ satisfies Condition (H.E), then $p(x, D_x)$ has a parametrix $q(x, D_x)$, which belongs to $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-m'}$, in the sense $p(x, D_x)q(x, D_x) \equiv q(x, D_x)p(x, D_x) \equiv I \pmod{S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-\infty}}$.*

Theorem 2.11 (cf. [6], [8]). *If $p(x, \xi)$ satisfies (H.E) and $\arg p(x, \xi)$ is well defined, then we can construct the complex power $\{p_z(x, D_x)\}_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$ of $p(x, D_x)$ such that $P_{z_1}P_{z_2} \equiv P_{z_1+z_2}$, $P_0 = I$, $P_1 \equiv P$, $P_z \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m \operatorname{Re} z}$ ($\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$) and $P_z \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m' \operatorname{Re} z}$ ($\operatorname{Re} z < 0$).*

Let $\Lambda(x, D_x)$ be a pseudo-differential operator with a symbol $\lambda(x, \xi)$. For any $s \geq 0$ we define $H_s = \{u \in L^2(R^n); \Lambda_s(x, D_x)u \in L^2(R^n)\}$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_s^2 = \|\Lambda_s u\|^2 + \|u\|^2.$$

Let $0 \leq s_1 < s_2$ and let $\lambda(x, \xi)$ satisfy that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists C_ε such that

$$(2.17) \quad \lambda(x, \xi)^{s_1} \leq \varepsilon \lambda(x, \xi)^{s_2} + C_\varepsilon.$$

Proposition 2.12. *If $\lambda(x, \xi)$ satisfies (2.17), then we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\|u\|_{s_1} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{s_2} + C_\varepsilon \|u\|$$

with a constant C_ε .

Proposition 2.13. *Let $p(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ satisfy (H.E) and let $q(x, \xi)$ satisfy*

$$|q_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)/p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{k - (\rho, \alpha) + (\delta, \beta)}$$

with a constant k . Then there exists $r(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^k$ such that $q(x, D_x) = r(x, D_x)p(x, D_x) + k(x, D_x)$, with $k(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-\infty}$.

Proof. Let $r_1(x, \xi) = q(x, \xi)/p(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^k$. Then we have for any N

$$(r_1 \circ p)(x, \xi) = q(x, \xi) + t_N(x, \xi) + k_N(x, \xi),$$

where $t_N(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} r_1^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) p_{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$ and $k_N(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m+k-\varepsilon_0 N}$. We note that

$$|t_N^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)/p(x, \xi)| \leq C'_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{k - \varepsilon_0 - (\rho, \alpha) + (\delta, \beta)}.$$

Set $r_2(x, \xi) = t_N(x, \xi)/p(x, \xi) (\in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{k-\varepsilon_0})$. Then we have

$$\sigma\left(\sum_{j=1}^2 r_j(x, D_x) p(x, D_x)\right) = q(x, \xi) + t'_N(x, \xi) + k'_N(x, \xi),$$

where $t'_N(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} r_2^{(\alpha)}(x, \xi) p_{(\alpha)}(x, \xi)$ and $k'_N(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m+k-\varepsilon_0 N}$. If we repeat the same calculus, we get the assertion. Q.E.D.

Proposition 2.14. *If $p_\varepsilon(x, \xi)$ converges to $p_0(x, \xi)$ weakly in $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then $(p_\varepsilon \circ q)(x, \xi)$ converges to $(p_0 \circ q)(x, \xi)$ weakly in $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m+k}$ for any $q(x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^k$. Moreover $P_\varepsilon u$ converges to $P_0 u$ in H_{s-m} for $u \in H_s$.*

Proof. For large l and l' we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & (p_\varepsilon \circ q)(x, \xi) \\ &= \int \dots \int e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \langle y \rangle^{-2l'} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2l'} \{ \langle \eta \rangle^{-2l} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2l} p_\varepsilon(x, \xi + \eta) q(x + y, \xi) \} dy d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Then the first part of the Proposition is clear. Set $Q_\varepsilon = \Lambda_{-s-m} P_\varepsilon \Lambda_s$. Then Q_ε belongs to $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0$ and $q_\varepsilon(x, \xi)$ converges to $q(x, \xi)$ weakly in $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0$. It is sufficient to show that if $q_\varepsilon(x, \xi)$ converges to 0 weakly in $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0$, then $Q_\varepsilon u$ converges to 0 for $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Define $u_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi_\varepsilon(x) \varphi_\varepsilon(D_x) u$ where $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi(\varepsilon x)$ and φ is a $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -function such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ ($|x| \leq 1$) and $\varphi(x) = 0$ ($|x| \geq 2$). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_\varepsilon u\| &\leq \|Q_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon - u)\| + \|Q_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\| \\ &\leq C \|u_\varepsilon - u\| + \|Q_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\|, \end{aligned}$$

where we use Theorem 2.8. It is clear that u_ε converges to u in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We can write

$$Q_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon = \tilde{q}_\varepsilon(x, D_x) u,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_\varepsilon(x, \xi) &= \iint_{|x+y| \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1}} e^{-iy \cdot \eta} \langle y \rangle^{-2l'} \langle D_\eta \rangle^{2l'} (\langle \eta \rangle^{-2l} q(x, \xi + \eta)) \\ &\quad \times \langle D_y \rangle^{2l} \varphi(\varepsilon(x+y)) \varphi(\varepsilon \xi) dy d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{q}_\varepsilon(x, \xi)$ converges to 0 in $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0$. So we get $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|Q_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\| = 0$ by Theorem 2.8. Q.E.D.

3. Fundamental solution of degenerate pseudo-differential operator of parabolic type and the Cauchy problem

In this section we consider the Cauchy problem for a pseudo-differential operator of parabolic type as follows.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} Lu(t) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} + p(t; x, D_x) \right) u(t) = f(t) & \text{in } 0 < t < T \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

where $p(t; x, D_x)$ is an operator in the class $\mathcal{E}_l^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m)$ ($\delta < \rho$) on $[0, T]$ which satisfies the following conditions

$$(3.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad \text{There exist constants } c_1 \geq 0 \text{ and } c_0 > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \quad \text{Re } p(t; x, \xi) + c_1 \geq c_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^{m'} \text{ in } [0, T] \quad m \geq m' \geq 0. \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \text{For any multi-index } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \text{ and } \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \\ \quad \text{there exists a constant } C_{\alpha, \beta} \text{ such that} \\ \quad |p_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t; x, \xi) / (\text{Re } p(t; x, \xi) + c_1)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-(\rho, \omega) + (\delta, \beta)} \\ \quad \text{in } [0, T]. \end{array} \right.$$

We call $E(t, s)$ the fundamental solution of L if $E(t, s)$ satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} LE(t, s) = 0 & \text{in } 0 \leq s < t \leq T, \\ E(s, s) = I \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Under the assumptions (3.2)-(i) and (3.2)-(ii) there exists a fundamental solution $E(t, s)$ in the class $\omega - \mathcal{E}_{l, s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0)$ in $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$. Moreover for any N such that $m - \varepsilon_0 N \leq 0$ ($\varepsilon_0 = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\rho_j - \delta_j)$) $E(t, s)$ has the following expansion*

$$e(t, s) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e_j(t, s) + f_N(t, s),$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad e_j(t, s) \in \omega - \mathcal{E}_{l, s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-\varepsilon_0 j}), \quad j \geq 0 \\ \text{(ii)} \quad e_0(t, s) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } t \rightarrow s \text{ weakly in } S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad e_j(t, s) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow s \text{ weakly in } S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-\varepsilon_0 j}, \\ \text{(iv)} \quad a_{j, \alpha, \beta}(t, s; x, \xi) = e_{j, (\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi) / e_0(t, s; x, \xi) \quad (j \geq 0) \text{ satisfies} \\ \quad |a_{j, \alpha, \beta}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-\varepsilon_0 j - (\rho, \omega) + (\delta, \beta)} \\ \quad \times \sum_{k=2}^{|\alpha| + |\beta| - 2j} \left\{ \text{Re} \int_s^t p(\sigma; x, \xi) d\sigma + c_1(t-s) \right\}^k \\ \text{(v)} \quad f_N(t, s) \in \omega - \mathcal{E}_{l, s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m - \varepsilon_0 N}) \text{ and satisfies} \\ \quad |f_{N, (\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C(t-s)^k \lambda(x, \xi)^{km - \varepsilon_0 N - (\rho, \omega) + (\delta, \beta)} \\ \quad (k=1, 2). \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. We may assume (3.2) for $c_1=0$. In fact let $E_{c_1}(t, s)$ be the fundamental solution for $L+c_1$. Then $E(t, s) = e^{c_1(t-s)} E_{c_1}(t, s)$ is the fundamental solution for L .

As in [10], [11] we construct $e_j(t, s; x, \xi)$ ($0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$) as the series of solutions of the following equations

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \left(\frac{d}{dt} + p(t; x, \xi) \right) e_0(t, s; x, \xi) = 0 & \text{in } t > s, \\ e_0(s, s; x, \xi) = 1 \end{cases}$$

and for $j \geq 1$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} \left(\frac{d}{dt} + p(t; x, \xi) \right) e_j(t, s; x, \xi) = -q_j(t, s; x, \xi) & \text{in } t > s, \\ e_j(s, s; x, \xi) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $q_j(t, s; x, \xi)$ is defined by

$$(3.7) \quad q_j(t, s; x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sum_{|\alpha|+k=j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p^{(\alpha)}(t; x, \xi) e_k^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi).$$

Set

$$(3.8) \quad b_{j,\alpha,\beta}(t, s; x, \xi) = q_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi) / e_0(t, s; x, \xi) \quad j \geq 1.$$

Then, by (3.5)~(3.7) and (3.2)-(ii) we have the following proposition, which derives (3.4)-(i)~(3.4)-(iv).

Proposition 3.2. *For any α and β there exists a constant $C_{j,\alpha,\beta}$ such that*

$$(3.9)_j \quad |a_{j,\alpha,\beta}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_{j,\alpha,\beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-\varepsilon_0 j - (\rho, \alpha) + (\delta, \beta)} \omega_{j,\alpha,\beta} \quad (j \geq 0),$$

$$(3.10)_j \quad |b_{j,\alpha,\beta}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_{j,\alpha,\beta} \operatorname{Re} p(t; x, \xi) \lambda(x, \xi)^{-\varepsilon_0 j - (\rho, \alpha) + (\delta, \beta)} \omega'_{j,\alpha,\beta} \quad (j \geq 1),$$

where $\omega_{j,\alpha,\beta}$ and $\omega'_{j,\alpha,\beta}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{0,0,0} &= 1, & \omega_{0,\alpha,\beta} &= \max \{ \omega, \omega^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \} & |\alpha| + |\beta| \neq 0 \\ \omega_{j,\alpha,\beta} &= \max \{ \omega^2, \omega^{2+|\alpha|+|\beta|} \} & (j \geq 1), \\ \omega'_{j,\alpha,\beta} &= \max \{ \omega, \omega^{2j-1+|\alpha|+|\beta|} \} & (j \geq 1) \\ \text{and } \omega &= \int_s^t \operatorname{Re} p(\sigma; x, \xi) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (3.7) we have

$$q_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sum_{|\gamma|+k=j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_k \leq \alpha \\ \beta_k \leq \beta}} C_{j,\alpha,\beta,\gamma} p_{(\beta_k)}^{(\gamma+\alpha_k)}(t) e_k^{(\alpha-\alpha_k)}(t, s)$$

with some positive constants $C_{j,\alpha,\beta}$. Then it follows that

$$(3.11) \quad b_{j,\alpha,\beta}(t, s) = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sum_{|\gamma|+k=j} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_k + \alpha_{k'} = \alpha \\ \beta_k + \beta_{k'} = \beta}} C_{j,\alpha,\beta} p_{(\beta_k)}^{(\gamma+\alpha_k)}(t) a_{k,\alpha_k,\gamma+\beta_k}'(t, s).$$

From (3.6) we can write

$$e_j(t, s; x, \xi) = \int_s^t -e_0(t, \sigma; x, \xi) q_j(\sigma, s; x, \xi) d\sigma.$$

Thus we have for any α, β , and $j \geq 1$

$$(3.12)_j \quad a_{j,\alpha,\beta}(t,s) = - \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1+\alpha_2=\alpha \\ \beta_1+\beta_2=\beta}} \alpha! \beta! / (\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \beta_1! \beta_2!) \int_s^t a_{0,\alpha_1,\beta_1}(t,\sigma) b_{j,\alpha_2,\beta_2}(\sigma,s) d\sigma.$$

We shall prove (3.9)_j and (3.10)_j inductively. By (3.5) we get

$$(3.13) \quad e_0(t,s;x,\xi) = \exp\left(-\int_s^t p(\sigma;x,\xi) d\sigma\right).$$

Then $a_{0,\alpha,\beta}(t,s)$ is a linear summation of

$$\int_s^t p_{(\beta_1)}^{(\alpha_1)}(\sigma;x,\xi) d\sigma \cdots \int_s^t p_{(\beta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)}(\sigma;x,\xi) d\sigma$$

with $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_j = \alpha$, $\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_j = \beta$. Hence we get (3.9)₀ from the assumption (3.2)-(ii). By (3.11), (3.9)₀ and (3.2)-(ii) we get (3.10)₁. Now assume (3.9)_j for $j \leq k-1$ and (3.10)_j for $j \leq k$. Then we get (3.9)_k and (3.10)_{k+1} in the following way. From (3.9)₀, (3.10)_k and (3.12) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{k,\alpha,\beta}(t,s)| &\leq C'_{k,\alpha,\beta} \lambda^{-\varepsilon_0 k - (\rho,\alpha) + (\delta,\beta)} \omega \sum_{\substack{\alpha_2+\alpha_2=\alpha \\ \beta_1+\beta_2=\beta}} \omega'_{k,\alpha_1,\beta_1} \omega_{0,\alpha_2,\beta_2} \\ &\leq C_{k,\alpha,\beta} \lambda^{-\varepsilon_0 k - (\rho,\alpha) + (\delta,\beta)} \omega_{k,\alpha,\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.11) and (3.9)_j for $j \leq k$, it is clear that

$$|b_{k+1,\alpha,\beta}(t,s)| \leq C'_{k,\alpha,\beta} \lambda^{-\varepsilon_0(k+1) - (\rho,\alpha) + (\delta,\beta)} \operatorname{Re} p(t) \sum_{j=0}^k \sum_{|\gamma|+j=k+1} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_j \leq \alpha \\ \beta_j \leq \beta}} \omega_{j,\alpha_j,\beta_j+\gamma}$$

with some constant $C'_{k,\alpha,\beta}$. Also it is easy to show

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\substack{\alpha' \leq \alpha, \beta' \leq \beta \\ 0 \leq j \leq k \\ |\gamma|+j=k+1}} \omega_{j,\alpha',\beta'+\gamma} &\leq \omega'_{k+1,\alpha,\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.10)_{k+1} is proved. Q.E.D.

Now by Theorem 2.9, we can write for any $N \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.14) \quad \sigma(P(t)E_j(t,s;x,D_x))(x,\xi) &= p(t;x,\xi)e_j(t,s;x,\xi) \\ &+ \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq N-j-1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p^{(\alpha)}(t;x,\xi)e_{j(\alpha)}(t,s;x,\xi) + r_{N,j}(t,s;x,\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Taking a summation in j , it is clear by (3.5)~(3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.15) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dt} + P(t)\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} E_j(t,s)\right) &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left(\left(\frac{d}{dt} + p(t)\right)e_j\right)(t,s;x,D_x) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} q_j(t,s;x,D_x) + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} r_{N,j}(t,s;x,D_x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} r_{N,j}(t,s;x,D_x). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3. *We have $r_{N,j}(t, s; x, \xi) \in \omega - \mathcal{C}_{l,s}^0(S_{\lambda,\rho,\delta}^{m-\varepsilon_0 N})$ and for any α, β*

$$(3.16) \quad |r_{N,j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta}(t-s)^k \lambda(x, \xi)^{(k+1)m-\varepsilon_0 N-(\rho,\alpha)+(\delta,\beta)}, \quad k = 0, 1.$$

Proof. From (3.4)-(i) and (3.14) we have $r_{N,j}(t, s; x, \xi) \in \omega - \mathcal{C}_{l,s}^0(S_{\lambda,\rho,\delta}^{m-\varepsilon_0 N})$. From (3.9)_j and $\omega \leq C(t-s)\lambda(x, \xi)^m$, we get (3.16). Q.E.D.

Put $\sum_{j=0}^N r_{N,j}(t, s; x, \xi) = r_N(t, s; x, \xi)$ and $\sum_{j=0}^N e_j(t, s; x, \xi) = k_N(t, s; x, \xi)$. Then we can write by (3.15)

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{cases} LK_N(t, s) = R_N(t, s) & \text{in } t > s \ (0 \leq s < t \leq T) \\ K_N(s, s) = I. \end{cases}$$

Now we construct $e(t, s; x, \xi)$ in the form

$$e(t, s; x, D_x) = k_N(t, s; x, D_x) + \int_s^t k_N(t, \sigma; x, D_x) \varphi(\sigma, s; x, D_x) d\sigma.$$

Then $\varphi(t, s; x, D_x) = \Phi(t, s)$ must satisfy a Volterra's integral equation

$$(3.18) \quad R_N(t, s) + \Phi(t, s) + \int_s^t R_N(t, \sigma) \Phi(\sigma, s) d\sigma = 0.$$

Set $\Phi_1(t, s) = -R_N(t, s)$ and define $\Phi_j(t, s)$ for $j \geq 2$

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_j(t, s) &= \int_s^t \Phi_1(t, \sigma) \Phi_{j-1}(\sigma, s) d\sigma \\ &= \int_s^t \int_s^{s_1} \cdots \int_s^{s_{j-2}} \Phi_1(t, s_1) \Phi_1(s_1, s_2) \cdots \Phi_1(s_{j-1}, s) ds_{j-1} \cdots ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^l \Phi_j(t, s) &= \Phi_1(t, s) + \sum_{j=2}^l \Phi_j(t, s) \\ &= -R_N(t, s) - \int_s^t R_N(t, \sigma) \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \Phi_j(\sigma, s) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

For $\sigma(\Phi_j(t, s)) = \varphi_j(t, s; x, \xi)$ we have the following estimates.

Proposition 3.4. *We have some constants $B_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $B'_{\alpha,\beta}$ independent of j such that*

$$(3.21) \quad |\varphi_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq (B_{\alpha,\beta})^j \frac{(t-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \lambda(x, \xi)^{m-\varepsilon_0 N-(\rho,\alpha)+(\delta,\beta)}$$

$$(3.22) \quad |\varphi_{j(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq (B'_{\alpha,\beta})^j \frac{(t-s)^{j-1}}{j!} (t-s) \lambda(x, \xi)^{2m-\varepsilon_0 N-(\rho,\alpha)+(\delta,\beta)}.$$

Proof. Note that $r(t, s; x, \xi) = -\varphi_1(t, s; x, \xi)$ satisfies (3.16). Take N

such that $m - \varepsilon_0 N \leq 0$. Then we can apply Theorem 2.1 to $\Phi_1(s_{j-1}, s_j)$. For any l, α and β there exists l_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi_{j(\beta)}^{(\omega)}(t, s; x, \xi)|^{(m - \varepsilon_0 N)} \\ & \leq C' |\varphi_1|_{l_0}^{(m - \varepsilon_0 N)} (|\varphi_1|_{l_0}^{(0)})^{j-1} \int_s^t \cdots \int_s^{s_{j-2}} ds_{j-1} \cdots ds_1 \\ & \leq (B_{\alpha, \beta})^j \frac{(t-s)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

If we use (3.16) for $k=1$ instead of (3.16) for $k=0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi_{j(\beta)}^{(\omega)}(t, s; x, \xi)|^{(2m - \varepsilon_0 N)} \\ & \leq C' |\varphi_1|_{l_0}^{(2m - \varepsilon_0 N)} (|\varphi_1|_{l_0}^{(0)})^{j-1} \int_s^t \cdots \int_s^{s_{j-2}} (s_{j-1} - s) ds_{j-1} \cdots ds_1 \\ & \leq (B'_{\alpha, \beta})^j \frac{(t-s)^j}{j!} \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Set $\varphi(t, s; x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j(t, s; x, \xi)$. In view of (3.21) $\varphi(t, s; x, \xi)$ belongs to $\omega - \mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m - \varepsilon_0 N})$ and satisfies (3.18) and

$$(3.23) \quad |\varphi_{(\beta)}^{(\omega)}(t, s; x, \xi)| \leq \lambda(x, \xi)^{(k+1)m - \varepsilon_0 N - (\rho, \omega) + (\delta, \beta)} \exp \{B_{\alpha, \beta}(t-s)\} \quad (k=0, 1).$$

Note that $K_N(t, s)$ belongs to $\omega - \mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0)$. Then by (3.23) we get (3.4)-(v).
Q.E.D.

REMARK. 1. By the same method we can construct the fundamental solution for $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + p(t; x, D_x) + q(t; x, D_x)$ under the following conditions:

- (i) $p(t; x, \xi)$ satisfies (3.2).
- (ii) There exist $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $k \geq 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_s^t q_{(\beta)}^{(\omega)}(\sigma; x, \xi) d\sigma \right| \leq C'_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-\varepsilon_1 - (\rho, \omega) + (\delta, \beta)} \left\{ \int_s^t |p(\sigma; x, \xi)| d\sigma \right\}^k$$

In this case $e_0(t, s; x, \xi)$ is defined by (3.5) and $e_j(t, s; x, \xi)$ is defined by (3.6) setting

$$q_j(t, s; x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sum_{|\alpha|+k=j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} p^{(\omega)}(t; x, \xi) e_{k(\omega)}(t, s; x, \xi) + q(t; x, \xi) e_{j-1}(t, s; x, \xi).$$

REMARK. 2. If $p(t; x, \xi)$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_t^\infty(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m)$, the fundamental solution $e(t, s; x, \xi)$ belongs to $\bigcap_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_t^l(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m+l})$.

We note that $P^*(t)$ also satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. So we can construct $V(t, s) \in \omega - \mathcal{E}_{t,s}^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0)$ which satisfies

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} V(t, s) + p^*(s; x, D_x) V(t, s) = 0 & 0 \leq s < t \leq T \\ V(t, t) = I \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let $V(t, s)$ and $E(t, s)$ satisfy (3.24) and (3.3) respectively. Then we get*

$$(3.25) \quad E^*(t, s) = V(t, s) \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$$

and

$$(3.26) \quad -\frac{\partial}{\partial s} E(t, s) + E(t, s) p(s; x, D_x) = 0.$$

Proof. Let f and g be any function of $\mathcal{S}(R^n)$. For any r such that $s < r < t$ it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (E(r, s)f, V(t, r)g) \\ &= -(P(r)E(r, s)f, V(t, r)g) + (E(r, s)f, P^*(r)V(t, r)g) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we use that $E(t, s) \rightarrow I$, $V(t, s) \rightarrow I$ in $L^2(R^n)$ as $t \rightarrow s$, we get (3.25). Considering the adjoint of (3.24), we get (3.26) if we use (3.25). Q.E.D.

Corollary. *If $p(t; x, D_x)$ is independent of t and self-adjoint then $E(t, s) = E(t-s)$ is also self-adjoint.*

Theorem 3.6. *Under the condition (3.2) the fundamental solution $E(t, s)$ is uniquely determined in the class $\omega - \mathcal{C}_{t,s}^0(S_{\lambda,\rho,\delta}^\infty)$.*

In order to prove the above theorem we prepare the following

Proposition 3.7. *Under the condition (3.2) there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} (p(t; x, D_x)u, u) + c(u, u) \geq 0 \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(R^n).$$

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $E(t, s) (\in \omega - \mathcal{C}_{t,s}^0(S_{\lambda,\rho,\delta}^\infty))$ satisfy $LE(t, s) = 0$ in $t > s$ and $E(s, s) = 0$. Then $e^{-ct}E(t, s) = E_c(t, s)$ satisfies

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{cases} (L+c)E_c(t, s) = 0 & \text{in } t > s, \\ E_c(s, s) = 0 \end{cases}$$

For any $u \in \mathcal{S}(R^n)$ we get by the above proposition

$$\frac{d}{dt} (E_c(t, s)u, E_c(t, s)u)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{d}{dt} E_c(t, s) u, E_c(t, s) u \right) \\
&= -2 \operatorname{Re} ((P(t) + c) E_c(t, s) u, E_c(t, s) u) \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\|E_c(t, s) u\| \leq \|E_c(s, s) u\| = 0.$$

This means for any $x \in R^n$ and $\xi \in R^n$

$$e_c(t, s; x, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{in } t \geq s.$$

Hence we get $e(t, s; x, \xi) = 0$.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.8. Let $p(t; x, \xi)$ belong to $\mathcal{E}_t^\infty(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m)$ and satisfy (3.2). Then for any $f(t) \in \mathcal{E}_t^0(H_s)$ and $u_0 \in H_s$ the solution $u(t) \in \mathcal{E}_t^k(H_{s-km})$ of (3.1) is given by

$$(3.28) \quad u(t) = E(t, 0) u_0 + \int_0^t E(t, s) f(s) ds.$$

This is the unique solution of (3.1) and $u(t) \rightarrow u_0$ in H_s as $t \rightarrow 0$. Moreover we get

$$(3.29) \quad \left\| \frac{d^k}{dt^k} u(t) \right\|_{s-km} \leq C \|u_0\|_s + \int_0^t \|f(\sigma)\|_s d\sigma.$$

Proof. It is easy to show that $u(t)$ given by (3.28) is a solution of (3.1). Let $u(t)$ satisfy (3.1). Then

$$E(t, s) P(s) u(s) = E(t, s) \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) u(s) + E(t, s) f(s).$$

Integrating with respect to s , we get

$$\int_0^t E(t, s) P(s) u(s) ds = \int_0^t E(t, s) f(s) ds + \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} E(t, s) u(s) ds - [E(t, s) u(s)]_0^t.$$

By (3.28) we have

$$u(t) = \int_0^t E(t, s) f(s) ds + E(t, 0) u(0).$$

The inequality (3.29) is clear if we note that $E(t, s)$ belongs to $\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{E}_{t,s}^l(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{m_l})$ ($l=1, 2, \dots$).

Proof of Proposition 3.7. Set $Q(t) = (P(t) + P^*(t))/2$. Then $q(t; x, \xi)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re} q(t; x, \xi) + c_1 \geq c_0 \lambda(x, \xi)^{m'}, \\
&|q_{(\beta)}^{(\alpha)}(t; x, \xi) / (\operatorname{Re} q(t; x, \xi) + c_1)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \lambda(x, \xi)^{-(\rho, \alpha) + (\delta, \beta)}
\end{aligned}$$

with constants c_0 and c_1 . Apply Theorem 2.11. Then we can construct the complex power $\{\tilde{Q}_s(t)\}$ for $Q(t) + c_1$. Note that $Q(t)$ is self-adjoint. Then we have $\tilde{Q}_s^*(t) \equiv \tilde{Q}_s(t)$ for real s (See Lemma 4.2 in [6]). We obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} ((P(t)+c_1)u, u) = (\tilde{Q}(t)u, u) = (\tilde{Q}_{1/2}(t)u, \tilde{Q}_{1/2}(t)u) + (K(t)u, u),$$

for some $K(t) \in \mathcal{E}_i^0(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-\infty})$. Then we have

$$\operatorname{Re} ((P(t)+c_1)u, u) \geq \|\tilde{Q}_{1/2}u\|^2 - c_2\|u\|^2.$$

Take $C = c_1 + c_2$. Then we get the assertion.

Q.E.D.

4. Behavior of $E(t, s)$ as $(t-s) \rightarrow \infty$

In this section we assume for the basic weight function $\lambda(x, \xi)$ to satisfy

$$(4.1) \quad \lambda(x, \xi) \geq A_0(1 + |x| + |\xi|)^\sigma$$

with a positive constant σ and for $p(t; x, \xi) \in \mathcal{E}_i^\infty(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m)$ to satisfy (3.2) with a positive constant m' and assume that there exist a positive constant c_2 and $t_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} (P(t)u, u) \geq c_2\|u\|^2 \quad t_0 < t < \infty$$

for $u \in \mathcal{S}(R^n)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $u(t) \in \mathcal{E}_i^\infty(\mathcal{S}(R^n))$ satisfy $Lu(t) = g(t)$ in $t > t_0$. Then for $b \geq 0$ and any $c_3 < c_2$ there exists a constant B independent of t such that*

$$\|u(t)\|_b \leq B \left(e^{-c_3(t-t_0)} \|u(t_0)\|_b + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-c_3(t-s)} \|g(s)\|_b ds \right).$$

For the proof of the above theorem we prepare the following

Lemma 4.2. *Let v and w belong to $\mathcal{S}(R^n)$. Then we have with a constant C*

$$(4.3) \quad |(Av, Bw)| \leq C\|v\| \|w\| \quad \text{if } A \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-m} \text{ and } B \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^m,$$

$$(4.4) \quad |(Av, Bw) - (A_1v, B_1w)| \leq C\|v\| \|w\|$$

if $A, A_1, B, B_1 \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^\infty, A \equiv A_1$ and $B \equiv B_1$,

$$(4.5) \quad \operatorname{Re} (P(t)\Lambda_s v, \Lambda_s v) \geq 1/2 \|Q_{1/2}\Lambda_s v\|^2 - C\|v\|^2$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad |([\Lambda_s, P(t)]v, \Lambda_s v)| \leq \varepsilon \|Q_{1/2}\Lambda_s v\|^2 + C_\varepsilon \|v\|^2 \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0$$

where $\{Q_s(t)\}$ is the complex power of $Q(t) = (P(t) + P^*(t))/2 + c_1$

Proof. Set $R = (\Lambda + \Lambda^*)/2 + d$ for large number d such that $\sigma(R)$ satisfies (H.E) (see (2.16)). Let $\{R_s\}$ be the complex power for R constructed in §2. We can write $R_{-m}R_m + K_1 = I$, where K_1 belongs to $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-\infty}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Av, Bw) &= (R_m Av, R_{-m} Bw) + (K_1 Av, Bw) \\ &= (R_m Av, R_{-m} Bw) + (R_m K_1 Av, R_{-m} Bw) + (K_1 Av, K_1^* Bw). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $R_m A$, $R_{-m} B$, $R_m K_1 A$, $K_1 A$ and $K_1^* B$ belong to $S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^0$, we get (4.3). The estimate (4.4) is clear by (4.3).

For (4.5) we write

$$\operatorname{Re} (P(t) \Lambda_s v, \Lambda_s v) = (Q_{1/2}(t) \Lambda_s v, Q_{1/2}(t) \Lambda_s v) + (K_2(t) \Lambda_s v, \Lambda_s v) - c_1(\Lambda_s v, \Lambda_s v),$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad Q_{1/2}^*(t) Q_{1/2}(t) + K_2(t) = Q(t), \quad K_2 \in \mathcal{E}_t^\infty(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-\infty}).$$

We can write by Proposition 2.13 $c_1 \equiv G_1(t) Q_{1/2}(t)$ where $G_1(t)$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_t^\infty(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-m'/2})$. Then we get

$$\operatorname{Re} (P(t) \Lambda_s v, \Lambda_s v) \geq \|Q_{1/2}(t) \Lambda_s v\|^2 - \|G_1(t) Q_{1/2}(t) \Lambda_s v\|^2 - C' \|v\|^2.$$

by (4.4). Now applying Proposition 2.12, we get

$$\operatorname{Re} (P(t) \Lambda_s v, \Lambda_s v) \geq 1/2 \|Q_{1/2}(t) \Lambda_s v\|^2 - C'' \|v\|^2.$$

By Proposition 2.13 we can write $[\Lambda_s, P(t)] \equiv G_2 Q(t)$, where $G_2(t) \in \mathcal{E}_t^\infty(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-\varepsilon_0})$. By (4.7) and $Q_{1/2} G_2^* \equiv G_3 Q_{1/2}$ with $G_3 \in \mathcal{E}_t^\infty(S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-\varepsilon_0})$ we get for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the estimate (4.6). Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Note that $\Lambda_b u(t)$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + P(t) \right) \Lambda_b u(t) = \Lambda_b g(t) - [\Lambda_b, P(t)] u(t) \quad \text{for } b \geq 0.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Lambda_b u(t), \Lambda_b u(t)) &= -2 \operatorname{Re} (P(t) \Lambda_b u(t), \Lambda_b u(t)) \\ &\quad + 2 \operatorname{Re} (\Lambda_b g(t), \Lambda_b u(t)) + 2 \operatorname{Re} ([\Lambda_b, P(t)] u(t), \Lambda_b u(t)). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2 and (4.2) we get for any $c_3 < c_2$

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda_b u(t)\|^2 \leq -2c_3 \|\Lambda_b u(t)\|^2 + 2 \|\Lambda_b g(t)\| \|\Lambda_b u(t)\| + C \|u(t)\|^2$$

with some constant C . Integrating (4.9) from t_0 to t , we get

$$(4.10) \quad \|\Lambda_b u(t)\| \leq e^{-c_3(t-t_0)} \|\Lambda_b u(t_0)\| + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-c_3(t-s)} \{ \|\Lambda_b g(s)\| + C \|u(s)\| \} ds.$$

On the other hand it is clear that

$$(4.11) \quad \|u(t)\| \leq e^{-c_2(t-t_0)} \|u(t_0)\| + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-c_2(t-s)} \|g(s)\| ds.$$

Then from (4.10) and (4.11) we get the assertion.

Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.3. For any b such that $\sigma b - (n+1)/2 \geq 0$ we have

$C_b^{-1} \|u\|_{b_1, S} \leq \|u\|_b \leq C_b \|u\|_{b_2, S}$, $b_1 = [\sigma b - (n+1)/2]$, $b_2 = \tilde{\tau}(b+1) + (n+1)/2$
for $u \in \mathcal{S}(R^n)$, where $\tilde{\tau} = \max(1/\tilde{\rho}_j, \tau)$.

Proof. For $l \geq 0$ we have

$$\|u\|_{l, S} \leq C_l \|u\|_k, \quad k = l/\sigma + (n+1)/2\sigma.$$

Note that $\lambda(x, \xi) \leq (|x| + |\xi| + 1)^{\tilde{\tau}}$. Then we get Lemma 4.4. Q.E.D.

Theorem 4.4. Let $E(t, s)$ be the fundamental solution which is constructed in §3. Then for any fixed $t_0 > s_0 \geq 0$ and any integers l_j ($j=1, 2, 3$) there exists a constant C independent of t such that

$$|\partial_t^{l_1} e(t, s_0)|_{l_3}^{(-l_2)} \leq C \exp \{-c_3(t-t_0)\} \quad t \geq t_0$$

where c_3 is any constant such that $c_3 < c_2$.

Proof. Let $f(t, s; x, \xi) = e^{ix \cdot \xi} e(t, s; x, \xi)$. Then we get

$$\sigma(P(t)E(t, s))(x, \xi) = e^{-ix \cdot \xi} p(t; x, D_x) f(t, s; x, \xi).$$

From the above equation we get the following equations for f

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t, s; x, \xi) + p(t; x, D_x) f(t, s; x, \xi) = 0 & \text{in } t > s \\ f(s, s; x, \xi) = e^{ix \cdot \xi}. \end{cases}$$

Then $f(t, s; x, \xi)$ is a solution of (0.1) with the initial data $e^{ix \cdot \xi}$. We see that $f(t, s_0; x, \xi)$ for $t > s_0$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}(R_{x, \xi}^{2n})$ from Theorem 3.1 and the assumption (4.1) for $\lambda(x, \xi)$. Apply Theorem 4.1 for $g=0$ and $u=f$. Then we get

$$\|f(t, s_0; \cdot; \xi)\|_b \leq B e^{-c_3(t-t_0)} \|f(t_0, s_0; \cdot, \xi)\|_b.$$

Lemma 4.3 means that for any l there exists l' such that

$$\|f(t, s_0; \cdot, \xi)\|_{l, S} \leq B' e^{-c_3(t-t_0)} \|f(t, s; \cdot, \xi)\|_{l', S}.$$

From (4.12) we get

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_j} \right) (t, s; x, \xi) + p(t; x, D_x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_j} (t, s; x, \xi) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_j} (s, s; x, \xi) = ix_j e^{ix \cdot \xi}. \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f(t, s; x, \xi) + p(t; x, D_x) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t, s; x, \xi) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(t; x, D_x) f(t, s; x, \xi) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(s, s; x, \xi) = -p(s; x, D_x) e^{ix \cdot \xi} . \end{cases}$$

By the same argument we get

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} f(t, s_0; \cdot, \xi) \right|_{l, S} \leq B' e^{-c_3(t-t_0)} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} f(t_0, s_0; \cdot, \xi) \right|_{l', S}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t, s_0; \cdot, \xi) \right|_{l, S} \leq B' e^{-c_3(t-t_0)} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t_0, s_0; \cdot, \xi) \right|_{l', S}.$$

$\partial_{t_1}^l e(t_0, s_0; x, \xi) \in S_{\lambda, \rho, \delta}^{-\infty}$ for $t_0 > s_0$ means that $\partial_{t_1}^l f(t_0, s_0; x, \xi)$ belongs to $S(R_x^n \times R_\xi^n)$ for $t_0 > s_0$ by the assumption (4.1) for $\lambda(x, \xi)$. Hence we get the assertion.

Q.E.D.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] P. Greiner: *An asymptotic expansion for the heat equation*, Arch. Rational Mach. Anal. **41** (1971), 163–218.
- [2] V.V. Grushin: *Hypoelliptic differential equations and pseudo-differential operators with operator-valued symbols*, Mat. Sb. **88** (1972), 504–521.
- [3] B. Helffer: *Une class d'opérateurs hypoelliptiques*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **277** (1973), 531–533.
- [4] L. Hörmander: *Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations*, Proc. Symposium on Singular Integrals, Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1967), 138–183.
- [5] H. Kumano-go: *Pseudo-differential operators of multiple symbol and the Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **27** (1975), 113–120.
- [6] H. Kumano-go and C. Tsutsumi: *Complex powers of hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operators with applications*, Osaka J. Math. **10** (1973), 147–174.
- [7] T. Matsuzawa: *Some degenerate parabolic equation II*, Nagoya Math. J. **52** (1973), 61–84.
- [8] M. Nagase and K. Shinkai: *Complex powers of non-elliptic operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **46** (1970), 779–783.
- [9] K. Shinkai: *The symbol calculus for the fundamental solution of a degenerate parabolic system with applications*, Osaka J. Math. **14** (1977), 55–84.
- [10] C. Tsutsumi: *The fundamental solution for a degenerate parabolic pseudo-differential operator*, Proc. Japan Acad. **50** (1974), 11–15.
- [11] C. Tsutsumi: *The fundamental solution for a parabolic pseudo-differential operator and parametrices for degenerate operators*, Proc. Japan Acad. **51** (1975), 103–108.
- [12] C. Iwasaki: *Parametrices for degenerate operators of Grushin's type* (in preparation).