Osaka University Knowledge Archive

Title	Polynomial representations associated with symmetric bounded domains
Author(s)	Takeuchi, Masaru
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1973, 10(3), p. 441-475
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/12204
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

Takeuchi, M.
Osaka J. Math.
10 (1973), 441–475

POLYNOMIAL REPRESENTATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SYMMETRIC BOUNDED DOMAINS

MASARU TAKEUCHI

(Received November 1, 1972)

Introduction. In this note we want to construct a complete orthonormal system of the Hilbert space $H^2(D)$ of square integrable holomorphic functions on an irreducible symmetric bounded domain D. A symmetric bounded domain D is canonically realizable as a circular starlike bounded domain with the center 0 in a complex cartesian space by means of Harish-Chandra's imbedding (Harish-Chandra [3]), which is constructed as follows. The largest connected group G of holomorphic automorphisms of D is a connected semi-simple Lie group without center, which is transitive on D. Thus denoting the stablizer in G of a point $o\in D$ by K, D is identified with the quotient space G/K. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{k}$) be the Lie algebra of G (resp. K) and $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{p}$ the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{k}$. Then there exists uniquely an element H of the center of $\mathfrak{k}$ such that $\mathrm{ad}\,H$ restricted to $\mathfrak{p}$ coincides with the complex structure tensor on the tangent space $T_o(D)$ of D at the origin o, identifying as usual $\mathfrak{p}$ with $T_o(D)$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^C$ be the Lie algebra of the complexification G^C of G and put $Z=\sqrt{-1}H\in\mathfrak{g}^C$. Let $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^{\pm}$ be the (± 1)-eigenspace in $\mathfrak{g}^C$ of ad Z. Then they are invariant under the adjoint action of K and the complexification $\mathfrak{p}^C$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ is the direct sum of $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^+$ and $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$. Let U^C denote the normalizer of $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^+$ in G^C. Then $D=G/K$ is holomorphically imbedded as an open submanifold into the quotient space G^C/U^C in the natural way. For any point $z\in D$, there exists uniquely a vector $X\in(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$ such that

$$\exp X \bmod U^C = z\,.$$

The map $z\mapsto X$ of D into $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$ is the desired imbedding. Note that the natural action of K on D can be extended to the adjoint action of K on the ambient space $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$.

Henceforth we assume that D is a bounded domain in $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$ realized in the above manner. Let $(\ ,\ )$ denote the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}^C$ and τ the complex conjugation of $\mathfrak{g}^C$ with respect to the compact real form $\mathfrak{k}+\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^C$. We define a K-invariant hermitian inner product $(\ ,\ )_\tau$ on $\mathfrak{g}^C$ by

$$(X,\ Y)_\tau = -(X,\ \tau Y) \qquad \text{for} \quad X,\ Y\in\mathfrak{g}^C\,.$$

This defines a K-invariant Euclidean measure $d\mu(X)$ on $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$. Let $H^2(D)$ denote the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on D, which are square integrable with respect to the measure $d\mu(X)$. The inner product of $H^2(D)$ will be denoted by $\langle\langle\ ,\ \rangle\rangle$. K acts on $H^2(D)$ as unitary operators by

$$(kf)(X)=f(k^{-1}X) \qquad \text{for} \quad k\in K,\ X\in D\,.$$

Let $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ denote the graded space of polynomial functions on $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$. It has the natural hermitian inner product $(\ ,\ )_\tau$ induced from the inner product $(\ ,\ )_\tau$ on $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$. K acts on $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ as unitary operators by

$$(kf)(X)=f(\mathrm{Ad}\,k^{-1}X) \qquad \text{for} \quad k\in K,\ X\in(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-\,.$$

Now let S denote the Shilov boundary of D. It is known (Korányi-Wolf [7]) that K acts transitively on S. Thus denoting by L the stabilizer in K of a point $X_0\in S$, S is identified with the quotient space K/L. Let dx denote the K-invariant measure on S induced from the normalized Haar measure of K and $L^2(S)$ the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on S with respect to the measure dx. The inner product of $L^2(S)$ will be denoted by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. K acts on $L^2(S)$ as unitary operators by

$$(kf)(X)=f(\mathrm{Ad}\,k^{-1}X) \qquad \text{for} \quad k\in K,\ X\in S\,.$$

The space $C^\infty(S)$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$-valued C^∞-functions on S is a K-submodule of $L^2(S)$. The restrictions $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)\to H^2(D)$ and $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)\to L^2(S)$ are both K-equivariant monomorphisms. Their images will be denoted by $S^*(D)$ and $S^*(S)$, respectively. They have natural gradings induced from that of $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$. Then the "restriction" $S^*(D)\to S^*(S)$ is defined in the natural manner and it is a K-equivariant isomorphism. Since D is a circular starlike bounded domain, a theorem of H. Cartan [2] yields that the subspace $S^*(D)$ of $H^2(D)$ is dense in $H^2(D)$ (cf. **1**).

We decompose first the K-module $S^*(D)$ into irreducible components. We take a maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{k}$ and idenitfy the real part $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ of the complexification $\mathfrak{t}^C$ of $\mathfrak{t}$ with its dual space by means of Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}^C$. Let $\sum\subset\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ denote the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}^C$ with respect to $\mathfrak{t}^C$. We choose root vectors $X_\alpha\in\mathfrak{g}^C$ for $\alpha\in\sum$ such that

$$[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}]=-\frac{2}{(\alpha,\alpha)}\alpha\,,$$
$$\tau X_\alpha=X_{-\alpha}\,.$$

A root is called *compact* if it is also a root of the complexification $\mathfrak{k}^C$ of $\mathfrak{k}$, otherwise it is called *non-compact*. $\sum_{\mathfrak{k}}$ (resp. $\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}$) denotes the set of compact roots (resp. of non-compact roots). We choose and fix once for all a linear order $>$ on $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ such that $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^+$ is spanned by the root spaces for non-compact positive

roots $\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+}$. Two roots $\alpha, \beta \in \sum$ are called *strongly orthogonal* if $\alpha \pm \beta$ is not a root. We define a maximal strongly orthogonal subsystem

$$\Delta = \{\gamma_1 \cdots, \gamma_p\}, \quad \gamma_1 > \gamma_2 > \cdots > \gamma_p > 0, \quad p = \operatorname{rank} D$$

of $\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+}$ as follows (cf. Harish-Chandra [3]). Let γ_1 be the highest root of $\sum$ and for each j, γ_{j+1} be the highest positive non-compact root that is strongly orthogonal to $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_j$. We put

$$X_0 = -\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} X_{-\gamma}.$$

Then it is known (Korányi-Wolf [7]) that X_0 is on the Shilov boundary S of D. Henceforth we shall take the above point X_0 as the origin of S. We put for $\nu \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, $\nu \geqslant 0$

$$\mathcal{S}^{\nu}(K, L) = \{\sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i \gamma_i;\ n_i \in \boldsymbol{Z},\ n_1 \geqslant n_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant n_p \geqslant 0,\ \sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i = \nu\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^{*}(K, L) = \sum_{\nu \geqslant 0} \mathcal{S}^{\nu}(K, L).$$

We shall prove the following

Theorem A. *Any irreducible K-submodule of $S^*(D)$ is contained exactly once in $S^*(D)$. The set $\mathcal{S}^{\nu}(D)$ of highest weights (with respect to $\mathfrak{t}^C$) of irrrducible K-submodules contained in $S^{\nu}(D)$ coincides with $\mathcal{S}^{\nu}(K, L)$. Denoting by $S_{\lambda}^{*}(D)$ (resp. $S_{\lambda}^{*}(S)$) the irreducible K-submodule of $S^*(D)$ (resp. of $S^*(S)$) with the highest weight $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*(K, L)$,*

$$S^*(D) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*(K, L)} \oplus S_{\lambda}^{*}(D)$$

and

$$S^*(S) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*(K, L)} \oplus S_{\lambda}^{*}(S)$$

are the orthogonal sum relative to the inner product $\langle\langle\ ,\ \rangle\rangle$ and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$, respectively. The restriction $f \mapsto f'$ of $S_{\lambda}^{*}(D) \to S_{\lambda}^{*}(S)$ is a similitude for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*(K, L)$, i.e. there exists a constant $h_{\lambda} > 0$ such that

$$\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle = h_{\lambda} \langle f', g' \rangle \qquad \text{for any} \quad f, g \in S_{\lambda}^{*}(D).$$

Thus, if

$$\{f'_{\lambda, i};\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_{\lambda}\}, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*(K, L)$$

is an orthonormal basis of $S_{\lambda}^{*}(S)$, then

$$\{\sqrt{h_{\lambda}}^{-1} f_{\lambda, i};\ \lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*(K, L),\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_{\lambda}\}$$

is a complete orthonormal system of $H^2(D)$.

A basis $\{f'_{\lambda,i};\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\lambda\}$ is, for instance, constructed as follows. Take an irreducible K-module (ρ, V) with the highest weight λ, carrying a K-invariant hermitian inner product $(\ ,\ )$. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{u_i;\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\lambda\}$ of V such that the first vector u_1 is L-invariant. This can be done in view of Frobenius' reciprocity since the K-module V is K-isomorphic with a K-submodule of $C^\infty(S)$. Then the functions $f'_{\lambda,i}$ $(1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\lambda)$ defined by

$$f'_{\lambda,i}(kX_0)=\sqrt{d_\lambda}(u_i,\ \rho(k)u_1)\qquad \text{for}\quad k\in K$$

form an orthonormal basis of $S^*_\lambda(S)$ (cf. **2**).

We compute next the normalizing factor h_λ. Let

$$\mathfrak{a}=\{\sqrt{-1}\Delta\}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$$

be the $\boldsymbol{R}$-span of $\sqrt{-1}\Delta$ in $\mathfrak{t}$ and

$$\varpi:\ \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}\to\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$$

denote the orthogonal projection of $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ onto $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$. For $\gamma\in\varpi\sum-\{0\}$, the number of roots $\alpha\in\sum$ such that $\varpi\alpha=\gamma$ is called the *multplicity* of γ. Let r (resp. $2s$) be the multiplicity of $\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2)$ (resp. of $\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1$). If follows from Theorem A and Frobenius' reciprocity that for each $\lambda\in\mathcal{S}^*(K, L)$ there exists uniquely an L-invariant polynomial Ω_λ in $S^*_\lambda((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ such that $\Omega_\lambda(X_0)=1$, where $S^*_\lambda((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ denotes the irreducible K-submodule of $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ with the highest weight λ. The polynomial Ω_λ is called the *zonal spherical polynomial* for D belonging to λ. Let

$$(\mathfrak{a}^-)^C=\{X_{-\gamma};\ \gamma\in\Delta\}_C$$

be the $\boldsymbol{C}$-span of $\{X_{-\gamma};\ \gamma\in\Delta\}$ in $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$. It is identified with the complex cartesian space $\boldsymbol{C}^p$ by the map

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{p} z_iX_{-\gamma_i}\mapsto\begin{pmatrix} z_1\\ \vdots\\ z_p\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the zonal spherical polynomial Ω_λ restricted to $(\mathfrak{a}^-)^C$ is a polynomial $\Omega_\lambda(Y_1,\cdots,Y_p)$ in p-variables. Let $\mu(D)$ denote the volume of D with respect to the measure $d\mu(X)$. We shall prove the following

Theorem B. *For $\lambda\in\mathcal{S}^*(K, L)$, the normalizing factor h_λ is given by*

$$h_\lambda=c(D)\int_{0\leqslant y_i<1\ (1\leqslant i\leqslant p)}\Omega_\lambda(y_1,\cdots,y_p)\,|\prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p}(y_i-y_j)^r|\prod_{i=1}^{p}y_i^s\,dy_1\cdots dy_p$$

where

$$c(D)=\mu(D)\Big(\int_{0\leqslant y_i<1\ (1\leqslant i\leqslant p)}|\prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p}(y_i-y_j)^r|\prod_{i=1}^{p}y_i^s\,dy_1\cdots dy_p\Big)^{-1}.$$

Hua [6] proved Theorem A for classical domains by decomposing the character of the K-module $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ into the sum of irreducible characters of K, while Schmid [11] proved it for general domain D. Schmid proved

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \mathcal{S}^\nu(D) \subset \mathcal{S}^\nu(K, L)$$

by seeing the character of the K-module $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ and by making use of E. Cartan's theory on spherical representations of a compact symmetric pair. But his proof of

$$\text{(b)} \qquad \mathcal{S}^\nu(K, L) \subset \mathcal{S}^\nu(D)$$

is complicated and was done after nine successive lemmas. In this note we give another proof of (a) by means of a lemma of Murakami and Cartan's theory, and give a relatively short proof of (b) by means of a theorm of Harish-Chandra on invariant polynomials for a symmetric pair.

Hua [6] computed the factors h_λ for certain classical domains by integrating certain polynomials. Our integral formula in Theorem B will clarify the meaning of integrals of Hua.

1. Circular domains

A domain $D \subset \boldsymbol{C}^n$ containing the origin 0 is said to be a *circular domain* with the center 0 if together with any point $z \in D$ the point $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} z$ is in D for any real $\theta \in \boldsymbol{R}$. D is said to be a *starlike domain* with the center 0 if together with any point $z \in D$ the point rz is in D for any real $r \in \boldsymbol{R}$ with $0 \leqslant r < 1$.

Theorem 1.1. (H. Cartan [2]) *Let $D \subset \boldsymbol{C}^n$ be a circular domain with the center* 0. *Then any holomorphic function f on D can be developed in the sum of homogeneous polynomials P_ν in n-variables with degree ν ($\nu = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$):*

$$f(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} P_\nu(z) \qquad \text{for} \quad z \in D .$$

The sum converges uniformly on any compact subset of D. The homogeneous polynomials P_ν are uniquely determined for f.

Let D be a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{C}^n$, $d\mu(z)$ the Euclidean measure on $\boldsymbol{C}^n$, induced from the standard hermitian inner product of $\boldsymbol{C}^n$. Let $H^2(D)$ denote the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on D, which are square integrable with respect to the measure $d\mu(z)$. The inner product of $H^2(D)$ will be denoted by $\langle\langle\ ,\ \rangle\rangle$. Let $S^*(\boldsymbol{C}^n)$ be the graded space of polynomials in n-variables and $S^*(D)$ the subspace of $H^2(D)$ consisting of all functions on D obtained by the restriction of polynomials in $S^*(\boldsymbol{C}^n)$. Then Theorem 1.1 yields the following

Corollary. *Let $D \subset \boldsymbol{C}^n$ be a circular starlike bounded domain with the center* 0. *Then the subspace $S^*(D)$ of $H^2(D)$ is dense in $H^2(D)$.*

Proof. If suffices to show that if $f\in H^2(D)$ with $\langle\langle f, S^*(D)\rangle\rangle=\{0\}$, then $f=0$. Theorem 1.1 implis that f can be developed as

$$f=\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} P_\nu, \qquad P_\nu\in S^\nu(D),$$

uniformly convergent on any compact subset of D. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{P_{\nu,j}\}$ of $S^\nu(D)$ with respect to $\langle\langle\ ,\ \rangle\rangle$ for each ν. Then we have

$$\langle\langle P_{\nu,j}, P_{\mu,i}\rangle\rangle = \delta_{\nu\mu}\delta_{ji}.$$

In fact, since $d\mu(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}z)=d\mu(z)$ for any $\theta\in\boldsymbol{R}$, we have $\langle\langle P_{\nu,j}\ P_{\mu,i}\rangle\rangle=e^{\sqrt{-1}(\nu-\mu)\theta}$ $\langle\langle P_{\nu,j}, P_{\mu,i}\rangle\rangle$ for any $\theta\in\boldsymbol{R}$. Then f can be developed as

$$f=\sum_{\nu,j} a_{\nu,j}P_{\nu,j} \qquad \text{with} \quad a_{\nu,j}\in\boldsymbol{C},$$

uniformly convergent on any compact subset of D. Since D is a starlike domain, the closure $\overline{rD}$ of rD is a compact subset of D for any $r\in R$ with $0<r<1$, so that the above series converges uniformly on rD. Therefore for any $P_{\mu,i}$ we have

$$\int_{rD} f(z)\overline{P_{\mu,i}(z)}\,d\mu(z)=\sum_{\nu,j} a_{\nu,j}\int_{rD} P_{\nu,j}(z)\overline{P_{\mu,i}(z)}\,d\mu(z).$$

If we put

$$z'=\frac{1}{r}z \qquad \text{for} \quad z\in rD,$$

then $z=rz'$, $d\mu(z)=r^{2n}d\mu(z')$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{rD} P_{\nu,j}(z)\overline{P_{\mu,i}(z)}\,d\mu(z) &= r^{2n+\nu+\mu}\int_D P_{\nu,j}(z')\overline{P_{\mu,i}(z')}\,d\mu(z')\\ &= r^{2n+\nu+\mu}\langle\langle P_{\nu,j}, P_{\mu,i}\rangle\rangle = r^{2n+2\mu}\delta_{\nu\mu}\delta_{ji}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\int_{rD} f(z)\overline{P_{\mu,i}(z)}\,d\mu(z)=a_{\mu,i}r^{2n+2\mu}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}a_{\mu,i} &= \lim_{r\uparrow 1} a_{\mu,i}r^{2n+2\mu}=\lim_{r\uparrow 1}\int_{rD} f(z)\overline{P_{\mu,i}(z)}\,d\mu(z)\\ &= \langle\langle f, P_{\mu,i}\rangle\rangle = 0 \qquad \text{(from the assumption)}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $f=0$. q.e.d.

2. Spherical representations of a compact symmetric pair

Let K be a compact connected Lie group, L a closed subgroup of K and S be the quotient space K/L. The space of $\boldsymbol{C}$-valued C^∞-functions on S will be denoted by $C^\infty(S)$. We shall often identify $C^\infty(S)$ with the space of C^∞-functions f on K such that

$$f(kl)=f(k) \qquad \text{for any} \quad k\in K,\ l\in L\,.$$

Let dx denote the K-invariant measure on S induced from the normalized Haar measure on K and $L^2(S)$ the Hilbert space of sequare integrable functions on S with respect to the measure dx. The inner product of $L^2(S)$ will be denoted by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. K acts on $L^2(S)$ as unitary operators by

$$(kf)(x)=f(k^{-1}x) \quad \text{for} \quad k\in K,\ x\in S\,.$$

Then $C^\infty(S)$ is a K-submodule of $L^2(S)$. A (continuous finite dimensional complex) representation

$$\rho\colon K\to GL(V)$$

of K is said to be *spherical* relative to L if the K-module V is equivalent to a K-submodule of $C^\infty(S)$, which amounts to the same from Frobenius' reciprocity that the K-module V has a non-zero L-invariant vector. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(K, L)$ the set of equivalence classes of irreducible spherical representations of K relative to L. The totality of $f\in C^\infty(S)$ contained in a finite dimensional K-submodule of $C^\infty(S)$, which will be denoted by $\mathfrak{o}(K, L)$, is a K-submodule of $C^\infty(S)$. A function in $\mathfrak{o}(K, L)$ is called a *spherical function* for the pair (K, L). For $\rho\in\mathcal{D}(K, L)$, the totality of $f\in\mathfrak{o}(K, L)$ that transforms according to ρ, which will be denoted by $\mathfrak{o}_\rho(K, L)$, is a finite dimensional K-submodule of $\mathfrak{o}(K, L)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{o}(K, L)=\sum_{\rho\in\mathcal{D}(K,L)}\oplus\mathfrak{o}_\rho(K, L)$$

is the orthogonal sum with respect to the inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. Peter-Weyl approximation theorem implies that the subspace $\mathfrak{o}(K, L)$ of $L^2(S)$ is dense in $L^2(S)$. We assume furthermore that the pair (K, L) satisfies the condition

(*) any $\rho\in\mathcal{D}(K, L)$ is contained exactly once in $\mathfrak{o}(K, L)$,

which is by Frobenius' reciprocity equivalent to that for any spherical representation

$$\rho\colon K\to GL(V)$$

of K relative to L, an L-invariant vector of V is unique up to scalor multiplication. Then for each $\rho\in\mathcal{D}(K, L)$, there exists uniquely an L-invariant function $\omega_\rho\in\mathfrak{o}_\rho(K, L)$ such that $\omega_\rho(e)=1$. ω_ρ is called the *zonal spherical function* for (K, L) belonging to ρ. Let

$$\rho\colon K\to GL(V)$$

be a spherical representation of K relative to L. Choose a K-invariant hermitian inner product $(\ ,\ )$ on V. The equivalence class containing ρ will be denoted by the same letter ρ. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{u_i;\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\rho\}$ of V such that u_1 is L-invariant. Define $\varphi_i\in C^\infty(S)$ $(1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\rho)$ by

$$\varphi_i(k)=(u_i,\ \rho(k)u_1)\qquad \text{for}\quad k\in K\,.$$

We know that they are linearly independent, in view of orthogonality relations of matrix elements $(u_i,\ \rho(k)u_j)$. For any $k'\in K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i(k'^{-1}k)&=(u_i,\ \rho(k'^{-1}k)u_1)=(\rho(k')u_i,\ \rho(k)u_1)\\&=\sum_j(\rho(k')u_i,\ u_j)(u_j,\ \rho(k)u_1)\\&=\sum_j(\rho(k')u_i,\ u_j)\ \varphi_i(k)\,,\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$k'\varphi_i=\sum_j(\rho(k')u_i,\ u_j)\varphi_j\qquad(1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\rho)\,.$$

In particular

$$l\varphi_1=\varphi_1\qquad\text{for any}\quad l\in L\,,$$

and

$$\varphi_1(e)=1\,.$$

Therefore the system $\{\varphi_i;\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\rho\}$ forms a basis of $\mathfrak{o}_\rho(K,\ L)$ and the zonal spherical function ω_ρ is given by

$$\omega_\rho(k)=(u_1,\ \rho(k)u_1)\qquad\text{for}\quad k\in K\,.$$

Furthermore orthogonality relations implies that the system

$$\{\sqrt{d_\rho}\varphi_i;\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\rho\}$$

forms an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{o}_\rho(K,\ L)$ and that

$$\langle\omega_\rho,\ \omega_{\rho'}\rangle=\delta_{\rho\rho'}\frac{1}{d_\rho}\,.$$

Henceforth we assume that the pair $(K,\ L)$ is a *symmetric pair*, i.e. there exists an involutive automorphism θ of K such that if we put

$$K_\theta=\{k\in K;\ \theta(k)=k\}\,,$$

L lies between K_θ and the connected component K_θ^0 of K_θ. Then the pair $(K,\ L)$ satisfies the condition $(*)$ (E. Cartan [1]). For example, a compact connected Lie group S admits a symmetric pair $(K,\ L)$ such that $S=K/L$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}K&=S\times S\,,\\L&=\{(x,\ x);\ x\in S\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\theta\colon (x, y) \mapsto (y, x) \qquad \text{for} \quad x, y \in S$$

have desired properties.

In the following we summarize some known facts on a symmetric pair (cf. Helgason [4]).

Let $\mathfrak{k}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{l}$) be the Lie algebra of K (resp. of L). The involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{k}$ obtained by differentiating the automorphism θ of K will be also denoted by the same letter θ.

Choose and fix once for all a $\boldsymbol{C}$-bilinear symmetric form $(\ ,\ )$ on the complexification $\mathfrak{k}^C$ of $\mathfrak{k}$, which is invariant under both the $\boldsymbol{C}$-linear extension to $\mathfrak{k}^C$ of θ and the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{k}^C$ and furthermore is negative definite on $\mathfrak{k}\times\mathfrak{k}$. Then S is a Riemannian symmetric space with respect to the K-invariant Riemannian metric on S defined by $-(\ ,\ )$. We put

$$\mathfrak{s} = \{X \in \mathfrak{k};\ \theta X = -X\} = \{X \in \mathfrak{k};\ (X, \mathfrak{l}) = \{0\}\}\ .$$

Then we have orthogonal decompositions

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{k}'\ ,$$

where $\mathfrak{c}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{k}'$ is the derived algebra $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]$ of $\mathfrak{k}$. We choose a maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{s}$. Such $\mathfrak{a}$ are mutually conjugate under the adjoint action of L. $\dim \mathfrak{a}$ is the rank of the symmetric pair (K, L). Extend $\mathfrak{a}$ to a maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{k}$ containing $\mathfrak{a}$. Then we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \qquad \text{where} \quad \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{l}\ .$$

Let $\mathfrak{t}' = \mathfrak{t} \cap \mathfrak{k}'$ and $\mathfrak{a}' = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{k}'$. The real vector space $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ has the natural inner product $(\ ,\ )$ induced from the bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$ on $\mathfrak{k}^C$. We shall identify $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ with the dual space of $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ by means of the inner product $(\ ,\ )$. We have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t} = \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{b} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}\ .$$

Let σ be the orthogonal transformation on $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ defined by

$$\sigma | \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{b} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma | \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a} = 1$$

and

$$\varpi = \tfrac{1}{2}(1+\sigma)\colon \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t} \to \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}$$

be the orthogonal projection of $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ onto $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}$. Let $\sum_{\mathfrak{k}}$ denote the set oı roots of $\mathfrak{k}^C$ with repsect to the complexification $\mathfrak{t}^C$ of $\mathfrak{t}$. Let $W_{\mathfrak{k}} = N_K(T)/T$ be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{k}$, where T is the connected subgroup of K generated by $\mathfrak{t}$ and $N_K(T)$ is the normalizer of T in K. $\sum_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is a σ-invariant reduced root system in

$\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}'$. As a group of orthogonal transformations of $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$, $W_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is generated by reflections with respect to roots in $\sum_{\mathfrak{t}}$. Put

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{t}}^{0} = \sum_{\mathfrak{t}} \cap \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b} = \{\alpha \in \sum_{\mathfrak{t}};\ \varpi\alpha = 0\}\ ,$$
$$\sum_{S} = \{\varpi\alpha;\ \alpha \in \sum_{\mathfrak{t}} - \sum_{\mathfrak{t}}^{0}\} = \varpi \sum_{\mathfrak{t}} - \{0\}\ ,$$
$$W_S = N_L(A)/Z_L(A)\ ,$$

where A is the connected subgroup of K generated by $\mathfrak{a}$ and $N_L(A)$ (resp. $Z_L(A)$) the normalizer (resp. the centralizer) of A in L. An element of $\sum_S$ is a restricted root of the symmetric space S and W_S is the Weyl group of S. $\sum_S$ is a (not necessarily reduced) root system in $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}'$. As a group of orthogonal transformations of $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$, W_S is generated by reflections with respect to roots in $\sum_S$. A linear order $>$ on $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ is said to be *compatible* for $\sum_{\mathfrak{t}}$ with respect to σ (or with respect to the orthogonal decomposition $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$) if $\alpha \in \sum_{\mathfrak{t}}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha$ imply $\sigma\alpha > 0$. Take a compatible order $>$ on $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ and fix it once and for all. Let

$$\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_l\}$$

be the fundamental root system of $\sum_{\mathfrak{t}}$ with respect to the order $>$ and put

$$\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^{0} = \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} \cap \sum_{\mathfrak{t}}^{0}\ .$$

$W_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is also generated by reflections with respect to roots in $\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$. We have the decomposition

$$\sigma = sp \qquad \text{where} \quad s \in W_{\mathfrak{t}},\quad p\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} = \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

of σ in such a way that $p^2 = 1$, $p(\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} - \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^{0}) = \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} - \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^{0}$ and $\sigma\alpha_i \equiv p\alpha_i \bmod \{\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^{0}\}_Z$ for any $\alpha_i \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} - \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^{0}$ (Satake [10]). We put

$$\Pi_S = \{\varpi\alpha_i;\ \alpha_i \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} - \Pi_{\mathfrak{t}}^{0}\} = \varpi\Pi_{\mathfrak{t}} - \{0\}\ .$$

We may assume that $\Pi_S = \{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_p\}$ with $\varpi\alpha_i = \gamma_i$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant p)$, changing indices of the α_i's if necessary. Π_S is the fundamental root system of $\sum_S$ with respect to the order $>$. We put

$$\sum_S^{*} = \{\gamma \in \sum_S;\ 2\gamma \notin \sum_S\}\ .$$

Then $\sum_S^{*}$ is a reduced root system in $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}'$. The fundamental root system Π_S^{*} of $\sum_S^{*}$ with respect to the order $>$ is given by

$$\Pi_S^{*} = \{\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_p\}$$

where
$$\beta_i = \begin{cases} \gamma_i & \text{if} \quad 2\gamma_i \notin \sum_S \\ 2\gamma_i & \text{if} \quad 2\gamma_i \in \sum_S\ . \end{cases}$$

W_S is also generated by reflections with respect to roots of Π_S or of Π_S^{*}. Let

$\sum_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+}$ (resp. $\sum_{S}^{+}$, $(\sum_{S}^{*})^{+}$) denote the set of positive roots in $\sum_{\mathfrak{k}}$ (resp. $\sum_{S}$, $\sum_{S}^{*}$). Then

$$\sum_{S}^{+} = \varpi\,(\sum_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+} - \sum_{\mathfrak{k}}^{0}) = \varpi \sum_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+} - \{0\}\ .$$

For $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$, $\lambda \neq 0$, we define

$$\lambda^{*} = \frac{2}{(\lambda,\ \lambda)}\lambda\ .$$

Theorem 2.1. (E. Cartan) *Assume that K is simply connected. Then*

1) *K_{θ} is connected.*

2) *The kernel of* exp: $\mathfrak{a} \to K$ *is the subgroup of* $\mathfrak{a}$ *generated by* $\{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\,\gamma^{*};\ \gamma \in \sum_{S}\}$.

Theorem 2.2. (Harish-Chandra) *Let $S_{L}^{*}(\mathfrak{s})$ (resp. $S_{W_S}^{*}(\mathfrak{a})$) be the space of polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{s}$ (resp.on $\mathfrak{a}$), which are invariant under the adjoint actions of L (resp. of W_S). Then the restriction map*

$$S_{L}^{*}(\mathfrak{s}) \to S_{W_S}^{*}(\mathfrak{a})$$

is an isomorphism.

Now we shall consider W_S-invariant characters of a maximal torus of S. Put

$$\Gamma = \Gamma(K,\ L) = \{H \in \mathfrak{a};\ \exp H \in L\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}} = \Gamma \cap \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{a}\ .$$

Then Γ is a W_S-invariant lattice in $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}}$ is a lattice in $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Let $C_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the connected subgroup of K generated by $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Then the A-orbit $\hat{A}$ in S through the origin x_0 of S and the $C_{\mathfrak{a}}$-orbit $\hat{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ in S through the origin have identifications

$$\hat{A} = \mathfrak{a}/\Gamma$$

and

$$\hat{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}}/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}}\ .$$

Hence both $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ have structures of toral groups. The toral group $\hat{A}$ is said to be a *maximal torus* of the symmetric space S. The adjoint action of W_S on A induces the action of W_S on A. This action is compatible with the natural action of W_S on $\mathfrak{a}/\Gamma$ relative to the identification: $\hat{A} = \mathfrak{a}/\Gamma$. Put

$$Z = Z(K,\ L) = \{\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a};\ (\lambda,\ H) \in 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\,\boldsymbol{Z} \text{ for any } H \in \Gamma\}\ .$$

Z is isomorphic with the group $\mathcal{D}(\hat{A})$ of characters of $\hat{A}$ by the correspondence $\lambda \mapsto e^{\lambda}$, where $e^{\lambda} \in \mathcal{D}(\hat{A})$ is defined by $e^{\lambda}((\exp H)x_0) = \exp(\lambda,\ H)$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Put

$$D = D(K, L) = \{\lambda \in Z;\ (\lambda, \gamma_i) \geqslant 0 \text{ for any } \gamma_i \in \Pi_S\}$$
$$= \{\lambda \in Z;\ (\lambda, \gamma) \geqslant 0 \text{ for any } \gamma \in \Sigma_S^+\}\ .$$

Then we have

$$D = \{\lambda \in Z;\ s\lambda \leqslant \lambda \text{ for any } s \in W_S\}\ .$$

An element of D is called a *dominant integral form* on $\mathfrak{a}$. We define a lattice Γ_0' in $\mathfrak{a}'$ to be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{a}'$ generated by $\{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\gamma^*);\ \gamma \in \Sigma_S\}$. We define a lattice Γ_0 in $\mathfrak{a}$ and a toral group $\hat{A}_0$ by

$$\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}} \oplus \Gamma_0'$$

and

$$\hat{A}_0 = \mathfrak{a}/\Gamma_0\ .$$

Put

$$Z_0 = \{\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a};\ (\lambda, H) \in 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\,\boldsymbol{Z} \text{ for any } H \in \Gamma_0\}$$

and

$$D_0 = D \cap Z_0\ .$$

Z_0 is isomorphic with the group $\mathcal{D}(\hat{A}_0)$ of characters of $\hat{A}_0$. Put furthermore

$$Z_0' = Z_0 \cap \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}' = \left\{\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}';\ \frac{2(\lambda, \gamma)}{(\gamma, \gamma)} \in 2\boldsymbol{Z} \text{ for any } \gamma \in \Sigma_S\right\}$$

and

$$D_0' = D_0 \cap \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}' = D \cap Z_0'\ .$$

Lemma 1. *If $L = K_\theta$, then*

$$\Gamma = \{\tfrac{1}{2}H;\ H \in \mathfrak{a},\ \exp H = e\}\ .$$

Proof. For $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, $\exp H = e \Leftrightarrow \exp\dfrac{H}{2}\exp\dfrac{H}{2} = e \Leftrightarrow \exp\dfrac{H}{2} = \left(\exp\dfrac{H}{2}\right)^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \exp\dfrac{H}{2} = \theta\left(\exp\dfrac{H}{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow \exp\dfrac{H}{2} \in K_\theta$, which yields Lemma 1. q.e.d.

Lemma 2. 1) $\Gamma_0' = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{p}\boldsymbol{Z}(\frac{1}{2}\beta_i^*)$ *and it is W_S-invariant. Therefore Γ_0 is W_S-invariant.*

2) $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$. *Therefore $Z_0 \supset Z$ and $D_0 \supset D$.*

3) *If S is simply connected, then $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0'$ (thus $Z = Z_0 = Z_0'$, $D = D_0 = D_0'$) and $\hat{A}_0$ can be identified with $\hat{A}$.*

Proof. 1) Denoting the reflection of $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}$ with respect to $\beta_i \in \Pi_S^*$ by $s_i \in W_S$, we have

$$s_i\gamma^* = (s_i\gamma)^* = \gamma^* - \frac{2(\beta_i, \gamma)}{(\gamma, \gamma)}\beta_i^* \qquad \text{for} \quad \gamma \in \sum_S .$$

It follows that Γ_0' is W_S-invariant. Since we have

$$(2\lambda)^* = \frac{2\cdot 2\lambda}{4(\lambda, \lambda)} = \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda, \lambda)} = \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda^* \qquad \text{for} \quad \lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a},\ \lambda \neq 0 ,$$

Γ_0' is the subgroup of $\mathfrak{a}'$ generated by $2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\gamma^*)$ for $\gamma \in \sum_S^*$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$\gamma^* \in \sum_{i=1}^{p} \boldsymbol{Z}\beta_i^* \qquad \text{for any} \quad \gamma \in \sum_S^* .$$

But this follows from the first equality since there exist $\beta_{i_1}, \cdots, \beta_{i_r} \in \prod_S^*$ such that $s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_r}\gamma \in \prod_S^*$.

2) Since $\Gamma_c \subset \Gamma$, it suffices to show that $\Gamma_0' \subset \Gamma'$ for $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap \mathfrak{a}'$. Let K' be the connected subgroup of K generated by $\mathfrak{k}'$ and $L' = K' \cap L$. Then (K', L') is also a symmetric pair with respect to θ and $S' = K'/L'$ can be identified with the K'-orbit in S through the origin x_0 of S. Let

$$\pi' : K_0' \to K'$$

be the covering homomorphism of the universal covering group K_0' of K' and put

$$L_0' = \{k \in K_0' ;\ \theta_0(k) = k\} ,$$

where θ_0 is the involutive automorphism of K_0' covering the involutive automorphism θ of K'. K_0' is compact since K' is semi-simple. S' can be identified with $K_0'/\pi'^{-1}(L')$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 1 that L_0' is connected and

$$\Gamma_0' = \{H \in \mathfrak{a}' ;\ \exp_{K_0'} H \in L_0'\} .$$

Let A' (resp. A_0') be the connected subgroup of K' (resp. of K_0') generated by $\mathfrak{a}'$ and $\hat{A}'$ (resp. $\hat{A}_0'$) be the A'-orbit in S' (resp. the A_0'-orbit in $S_0' = K_0'/L_0'$) through the origin. Then we have identifictions

$$\hat{A}' = \mathfrak{a}'/\Gamma'$$

and

$$\hat{A}_0' = \mathfrak{a}'/\Gamma_0' .$$

On the other hand, since $\pi'^{-1}(L') \supset L_0'$, the covering homomorphism π' induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_0' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & S' \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \hat{A}_0' & \xrightarrow[\pi']{} & \hat{A}' . \end{array}$$

It follows that

$$\Gamma_0' \subset \Gamma' .$$

3) Under the notation in 2), we have a covering map

$$\hat{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \times S' \to S .$$

It follows from the assumption that $\hat{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \{e\}$ and S' is simply connected. Thus the covering map π' is trivial and $\Gamma' = \Gamma_0'$. Moreover $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \{0\}$ implies that $\Gamma = \Gamma'$ and $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0'$. q.e.d.

REMARK. Define $\Lambda_i \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}'$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant l)$ by

$$(\Lambda_i, \alpha_j^*) = \delta_{ij} \quad (1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant l) .$$

Then define M_i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant p)$ by

$$M_i = \begin{cases} 2\Lambda_i & \text{if} \quad p\alpha_i = \alpha_i \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha_i, \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}^0) = \{0\} \\ \Lambda_i & \text{if} \quad p\alpha_i = \alpha_i \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha_i, \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}^0) \neq \{0\} \\ \Lambda_i + \Lambda_{i'} & \text{if} \quad p\alpha_i = \alpha_{i'} \neq \alpha_i . \end{cases}$$

Then it can be verified (cf. Sugiura [12]) that $M_i \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}'$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant p)$ and

$$(M_i, \tfrac{1}{2}\beta_j^*) = \delta_{ij} \quad (1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant p) .$$

It follows that

$$Z_0' = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \boldsymbol{Z} M_i$$

and

$$D_0' = \{ \sum_{i=1}^{p} m_i M_i ;\ m_i \in \boldsymbol{Z},\ m_i \geqslant 0\ (1 \leqslant i \leqslant p) \} .$$

It follows from Lemma 2,1) that W_S acts on $\hat{A}_0 = \mathfrak{a}/\Gamma_0$ and from Lemma 2,2) that we have a W_S-equivariant homomorphism

$$\pi_0 \colon \hat{A}_0 \to \hat{A} .$$

Let $\mathcal{R}(\hat{A})$ denote the character ring of $\hat{A}$. Then W_S acts on $\mathcal{R}(\hat{A})$ (or more generally on the space $C^\infty(\hat{A})$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$-valued C^∞-functions on $\hat{A}$) by

$$(s\chi)(\hat{a}) = \chi(s^{-1}\hat{a}) \qquad \text{for} \quad s \in W_S,\ \hat{a} \in \hat{A} .$$

This action coincides on $Z = \mathcal{D}(\hat{A}) \subset \mathcal{R}(\hat{A})$ with the adjoint action of W_S on Z. Let $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})$ be the subring of W_S-invariant characters of $\hat{A}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^C$ the $\boldsymbol{C}$-span of $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})$ in $C^\infty(\hat{A})$. Let $\mathcal{R}(\hat{A}_0)$, $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0)^C$ denote the same objects for $\hat{A}_0$. Then π_0 induces a W_S-equivariant monomorphism

$$\pi_0^* \colon \mathcal{R}(\hat{A}) \to \mathcal{R}(\hat{A}_0)$$

and monomorphisms

$$\pi_0^*: \mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}) \to \mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0)\,,$$
$$\pi_0^*: \mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^C \to \mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0)^C\,.$$

Henceforth we shall identify $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})$ with a subring of $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^C$ with a subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A}_0)^C$ by means of these monomorphisms π_0^*.

For $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}$, we shall denote by $\lambda_{\mathfrak{c}}$ the $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}}$-component of λ with respect to the orthogonal decomposition

$$\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a} = \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{a}} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}'\,.$$

The following facts can be proved in the same way as the classical results for a compact connected Lie group S, so the proofs are omitted.

We define an element δ in Z_0 by

$$\delta = \sum_{\gamma \in (\Sigma_S^*)^+} \gamma\,.$$

For $\lambda \in Z_0$, we define $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{R}(\hat{A}_0)$ by

$$\xi_\lambda = \sum_{s \in W_S} (\det s)\, e^{s\lambda}.$$

For $\lambda \in Z$, ξ_λ is divisible by ξ_δ in the ring $\mathcal{R}(\hat{A}_0)$ and

$$\chi_\lambda = \frac{\xi_{\lambda+\delta}}{\xi_\delta}$$

is in $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})$. If χ_λ has the expression

$$\chi_\lambda = \sum m_\mu e^\mu \quad \text{with} \quad \mu \in Z,\ m_\mu \in \boldsymbol{Z},\ m_\mu \neq 0\,,$$

then $\mu_{\mathfrak{c}}$ are the same for any μ. In particular, if $\lambda \in D$, then the highest component in the above expression of χ_λ is e^λ with $m_\lambda = 1$. Any W_S-invariant character $\chi \in \mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})$ of $\hat{A}$ has an expression

$$\chi = \sum m_\lambda \chi_\lambda \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda \in D,\ m_\lambda \in \boldsymbol{Z}\,.$$

The expression is unique for χ. In particular, the system $\{\chi_\lambda;\ \lambda \in D\}$ forms a basis of the space $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^C$.

Now we come back to spherical representations of a symmetric pair (K, L).

Theorem 2.3. (E. Cartan [1]) *Let $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(K, L)$ have the highest weight $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ and ω_λ be the zonal spherical function for (K, L) belonging to ρ. Then*

1) $\lambda \in D$,

2) *ω_λ restricted to $\hat{A}$ is in $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^C$ and has an expression*

$$\omega_\lambda = \sum a_\mu e^{-\mu} \quad \text{with} \quad \mu \in Z,\ a_\mu \in \boldsymbol{R},\ a_\mu > 0,\ \sum a_\mu = 1\,,$$

with the lowst component $a_\lambda e^{-\lambda}$.

Proof. Proof of E. Cartan [1] was done in the case where K is semi-simple and $L=K_\theta$. His proof can be applied for our case without difficulties. But his proof of $\lambda\in\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ is not complete. A correct proof is seen, for example, in Schmid [11]. q.e.d.

Lemma 3. *For any* $\lambda\in D$, *there exists an irreducible representation* ρ *of* K *such that the highest weight of* ρ *on* $\mathfrak{t}^C$ *is* λ.

Proof. Let $H\in\mathfrak{t}$ with $\exp H=e$. Decompose H as

$$H = H'+H'' \quad \text{with} \quad H'\in\mathfrak{b},\ H''\in\mathfrak{a}\,.$$

Then $\exp H''=(\exp H')^{-1}\in L$, i.e. $H''\in\Gamma$. It follows from $\lambda\in Z\subset\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ that $(\lambda, H)=(\lambda, H')+(\lambda, H'')=(\lambda, H'')\in 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\boldsymbol{Z}$. Moreover $(\lambda, \alpha_i)=(\lambda, \varpi\alpha_i)\geqslant 0$ for any $\alpha_i\in\prod_{\mathfrak{k}}$ since $\lambda\in D$. Thus e^λ is a dominant character of the maximal torus T of K. Then the classical representation theory of compact connected Lie groups assures the existence of ρ. q.e.d.

Lemma 4. *Let* $Z_L(A)$ *be the centralizer in* L *of* A *and* $Z_L(A)^0$ *the connected component of* $Z_L(A)$. *Then*

$$Z_L(A) = Z_L(A)^0 \exp\Gamma\,.$$

Proof. The centralizer $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{a})$ in $\mathfrak{k}$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ has the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{a})\oplus\mathfrak{a}\,,$$

where $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{a})$ is the centralizer in $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{a}$. Since the centralizer $Z_K(A)$ in the compact connected Lie group K of the torus A is connected, we have the decomposition

$$Z_K(A) = Z_L(A)^0 A\,.$$

It follows that any element $m\in Z_L(A)$ can be written as

$$m = m'a \quad \text{with} \quad m'\in Z_L(A)^0,\ a\in A\,.$$

Then $a=m'^{-1}m\in L$ so that $a\in\exp\Gamma$. Thus $m\in Z_L(A)^0\exp\Gamma$, which proves Lemma 4. q.e.d.

Lemma 5. *Let* K^C *denote the Chevalley complexification of* K. *Put*

$$K^* = L\exp\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{s}$$

and

$$(K^*)^0 = L^0\exp\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{s}\,,$$

where L^0 *denotes the connected component of* L. *Then* $(K^*)^0$ *is a closed subgroup of*

K^C normalized by K^* and

$$K^* = (K^*)^0 \exp \Gamma .$$

Therefore K^* is a closed subgroup of K^C with the connected compoenet $(K^*)^0$.

Proof. The first statement is clear. Take any element $l \in L$. From the conjugteness of maximal abelian subalgebras in $\mathfrak{s}$ under the adjoint action of L^0, there exists $l_1 \in L^0$ such that $l_1 l \in N_L(A)$. Since

$$N_L(A)/Z_L(A) = N_{L^0}(A)/Z_{L^0}(A) = W_S ,$$

we can choose $l_2 \in L^0$ such that $l_2 l_1 l \in Z_L(A)$. It follows from Lemma 4 that there exist $l_3 \in Z_L(A)^0$ and $a \in \exp \Gamma$ such that $l_2 l_1 l = l_3 a$. Therefore $l = l_1^{-1} l_2^{-1} l_3 a$ with $l_1^{-1} l_2^{-1} l_3 \in L^0 \subset (K^*)^0$, i.e. $l \in (K^*)^0 \exp \Gamma$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5. q.e.d.

Now we can prove the following

Theorem 2.4. (E. Cartan [1], Sugiura [12], Helgason [5]) *For any $\lambda \in D$, there exists an irreducible spherical representation ρ of K relative to L such that the highest weight of ρ on $\mathfrak{t}^C$ is λ.*

Together with Theorem 2.3 we have the following

Corollary. *For $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(K, L)$, let $\lambda(\rho)$ denote the highest weight of ρ on $\mathfrak{t}^C$. Then the correspondence $\rho \mapsto \lambda(\rho)$ gives a bijection:*

$$\mathcal{D}(K, L) \to D(K, L) .$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. This theorem for the case where K is semi-simple and $L = K_\theta$ was stated in E. Cartan [1] but its proof is not complete. It was stated for simply connected K without proof in Sugiura [12]. It was proved in Helgason [5] for the case where K is semi-simple and L is connected. Helgason's proof can be applied for our case without difficulties, so we shall confine ourselves to point out necessary modifications.

Let

$$\rho: K \to GL(V)$$

be the irreducible representation of K with the highest weight λ (Lemma 3). By extending ρ to the Chevalley complexification K^C of K and restricting it to the closed subgroup K^* of K^C (Lemma 5), we have an irreducible representation of K^*, which will be denoted by the same letter ρ. It suffices to show that ρ has a non-zero L-invariant. Let N be the connected subgroup of K^* generated by the subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{k}^* \cap \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{k}}^+ - \Sigma_{\mathfrak{k}}^0} \mathfrak{k}_\alpha^C ,$$

where $\mathfrak{k}^*$ is the Lie algebra of K^* and $\mathfrak{k}^C_\alpha$ is the root space of $\mathfrak{k}^C$ for α. We shall first prove that the representation ρ of K^* is a conical representation of K^* in the sense of Helgason [5], i.e. if $v_\lambda \in V$, $v_\lambda \neq 0$, is a highest weight vector for ρ with repsect to $\mathfrak{k}^C$, we have

$$\rho(mn)v_\lambda = v_\lambda \qquad \text{for any} \quad m \in Z_L(A),\ n \in N .$$

Denoting the infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{k}^C$ on V by the same letter ρ, we have

$$\rho(\mathfrak{n})v_\lambda = \rho(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{a}))v_\lambda = \{0\} .$$

In fact, $\rho(\mathfrak{n})v_\lambda = \{0\}$ since $\mathfrak{n} \subset \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+_{\mathfrak{k}}} \mathfrak{k}^C_\alpha$. $\rho(\mathfrak{b}^C)v_\lambda = \{0\}$ for the complexification $\mathfrak{b}^C$ of $\mathfrak{b}$ since $(\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{b}, \lambda) = \{0\}$. $\rho(\mathfrak{k}^C_\alpha)v_\lambda = \{0\}$ for $\alpha \in \sum^0_{\mathfrak{k}}$, $\alpha > 0$. It follows from $(\alpha, \lambda) \in (\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{b}, \lambda) = \{0\}$ for $\alpha \in \sum^0_{\mathfrak{k}}$ that $\lambda - \alpha$ is not a weight of ρ for $\alpha \in \sum^0_{\mathfrak{k}}$, $\alpha > 0$. Since the complexification of $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{a})$ is spanned by $\mathfrak{b}^C$ and the $\mathfrak{k}^C_\alpha$'s for $\alpha \in \sum^0_{\mathfrak{k}}$, we have $\rho(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{a}))v_\lambda = \{0\}$. Therefore it suffices from Lemma 4 to show that

$$\rho(\exp H)v_\lambda = v_\lambda \qquad \text{for any} \quad H \in \Gamma .$$

But it is clear since $\lambda \in Z$, i.e. $(\lambda, H) \in 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\,\boldsymbol{Z}$ for any $H \in \Gamma$.

Thus we can prove in the same way as Helgason [5] that V has a non-zero L-invariant vector, by constructing a K^*-submodule V' of the K^*-module $C^\infty(K^*)$ of C^∞-functions on K^*, having a non-zero L-invariant, and by constructing a K^*-equivariant isomorphism of V onto V'. q.e.d.

Next we shall describe zonal spherical functions in terms of the basis $\{\chi_\lambda;\ \lambda \in D\}$ of $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^C$.

For $\hat{a} = (\exp H)x_0 \in \hat{A}$, $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, we put

$$D(\hat{a}) = \left| \prod_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+_{\mathfrak{k}} - \Sigma^0_{\mathfrak{k}}} 2\sin(\alpha, \sqrt{-1}H) \right| .$$

Let $d\hat{a}$ denote the normalized Haar measure of $\hat{A}$ and $|W_S|$ the order of the Weyl group W_S. For W_S-invariant functions χ, χ' on $\hat{A}$, we define

$$\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle = \frac{c}{|W_S|} \int_{\hat{A}} \chi(\hat{a})\overline{\chi'(\hat{a})}D(\hat{a})d\hat{a} ,$$

where

$$c = \left(\frac{1}{|W_S|} \int_{\hat{A}} D(\hat{a})d\hat{a} \right)^{-1} .$$

$c = 1$ in the case where S is a compact connected Lie group. In particular, if χ and χ' can be extended to L-invariant functions f and f' on S, then $\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle$ coincides with the inner product $\langle f, f' \rangle$ in $L^2(S)$ (cf. Helgason [4]).

Fix a dominant integral form $\lambda \in D$. We define a finite subset D_λ of D by

$$D_\lambda = \{\mu \in D;\ \mu_c = \lambda_c,\ \mu \leqslant \lambda\}\ .$$

Since the system $\{\chi_\mu;\ \mu \in D\}$ forms a basis of $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^C$, the matrix

$$(\langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\nu \rangle)_{\mu,\nu \in D_\lambda}$$

is a positive definite hermitian matrix. Let

$$(b^{\mu\nu})_{\mu,\nu \in D_\lambda}$$

be the inverse matrix of the above matrix. In particular $b^{\lambda\lambda} > 0$. For any $\mu \in D_\lambda$, we put

$$c_\lambda^\mu = \frac{b^{\lambda\mu}}{\sqrt{d_\lambda b^{\lambda\lambda}}}\ ,$$

where d_λ is the degree of an irrducible representation of K with the highest weight λ. Then we have

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\lambda \in D$ and ω_λ be the zonal spherical function belonging to the class of an irreducible representation of K with the highest weight λ. Then ω_λ restricted to $\hat{A}$ is given by*

$$\omega_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \in D_\lambda} c_\lambda^\mu \bar{\chi}_\mu\ .$$

Proof. The idea of the following proof owes to Hua [6]. Let $\mu \in D_\lambda$. Then ω_μ restricted to $\hat{A}$ is in $\mathcal{R}_{W_S}(\hat{A})^C$ by Theorem 2.3. It follows by Theorem 2.3 and Corollary of Theorem 2.4 that ω_μ has an expression

$$\omega_\mu = \sum_{\nu \in D_\lambda} c'^\nu_\mu \bar{\chi}_\nu \qquad \text{with } c'^\nu_\mu \in \boldsymbol{R},\ c'^\mu_\mu > 0,\ c'^\nu_\mu = 0 \text{ if } \nu > \mu\ .$$

We define an upper triangular matrix C' by

$$C' = (c'^\nu_\mu)_{\nu,\mu \in D_\lambda}\ .$$

Then we have

$$(\langle \omega_\mu, \omega_\nu \rangle)_{\mu,\nu \in D_\lambda} = {}^tC'(\langle \chi_\mu, \chi_\nu \rangle)_{\mu,\nu \in D_\lambda} C'\ .$$

Since $\langle \omega_\mu, \omega_\nu \rangle = d_\mu^{-1} \delta_{\mu\nu}$, we have

$$(d_\mu \delta_{\mu\nu})_{\mu,\nu \in D_\lambda} = C'^{-1} B' {}^tC'^{-1}\ ,$$

where

$$B' = (b'^{\mu\nu})_{\mu,\nu \in D_\lambda} = (\langle \bar{\chi}_\mu, \bar{\chi}_\nu \rangle)^{-1}_{\mu,\nu \in D_\lambda}\ .$$

It follows that

$$C'(d_\mu \delta_{\mu\nu})_{\mu,\nu \in D_\lambda} {}^tC' = B'\ .$$

Comparing (μ, λ)-components of both sides, we have

$$c'^{\mu}_{\lambda} d_{\lambda} c'^{\lambda}_{\lambda} = b'^{\mu\lambda}.$$

In particular

$$(c'^{\lambda}_{\lambda})^2 d_{\lambda} = b'^{\lambda\lambda}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad c^{\lambda}_{\lambda} = \sqrt{\frac{b'^{\lambda\lambda}}{d_{\lambda}}},$$

hence

$$c'^{\mu}_{\lambda} = \frac{b'^{\mu\lambda}}{d_{\lambda} c'^{\lambda}_{\lambda}} = \frac{b'^{\mu\lambda}}{\sqrt{d_{\lambda} b'^{\lambda\lambda}}}.$$

Since $b'^{\mu\nu} = b^{\nu\mu}$, we have

$$c'^{\mu}_{\lambda} = \frac{b^{\lambda\mu}}{\sqrt{d_{\lambda} b^{\lambda\lambda}}} = c^{\mu}_{\lambda}. \qquad \text{q.e.d.}$$

EXAMPLE. If S is a compact connected Lie group and (K, L) the symmetric pair with $K/L = S$ as mentioned before, then the set $\mathcal{D}(S)$ of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of S is in the bijective correspondence with $\mathcal{D}(K, L)$ by the assignment $\rho \mapsto \rho \boxtimes \rho^*$, where ρ^* denotes the contragredient representation of ρ. $\hat{A}$ is a maximal torus of the compact Lie group S. Let χ_{ρ} be the invariant character of $\hat{A}$ for the dominant integral form in $D(K, L)$ corresponding to $\rho \boxtimes \rho^*$ by the bijection in Cororally of Theorem 2.4. Then it is nothing but the character of ρ. It follows from orthogonality relations of irreducible characters that the matrix $(b^{\lambda\mu})$ is the identity matrix. Thus the zonal spherical function $\omega_{\rho\boxtimes\rho^*}$ belonging to $\rho \boxtimes \rho^*$ is given by

$$\omega_{\rho\boxtimes\rho^*} = \frac{1}{d_{\rho}} \bar{\chi}_{\rho},$$

where d_{ρ} is the degree of ρ.

3. Polynomial representations associated with symmetric bounded domains

Let D be an irreducible symmetric bounded domain with rank p realized in $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$ as in Introduction. We shall use the same notation as in Introduction.

Let

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_l\}$$

be the fundamental root system of $\sum$ with respect to the order $>$ and let $\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}} = \Pi \cap \sum_{\mathfrak{k}}$. It is known that $\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is the fundamental root system of $\sum_{\mathfrak{k}}$, $\Pi - \Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$ consists of one element, say α_1, which is the lowest root in $\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$, and for any $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{l} m_i \alpha_i \in \sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$, $m_1 = 1$. Let $\sum_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$ denote the set of positive compact roots. Put

$$\mathfrak{b} = \{H \in \mathfrak{a};\ (\sqrt{-1}H, \Delta) = \{0\}\}.$$

Then we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{b} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$$

with respect to (,). We define an orthogonal transformation σ on $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ by $\sigma|\mathfrak{b} = -1$ and $\sigma|\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a} = 1$. Let

$$\varpi = \tfrac{1}{2}(1+\sigma) \colon \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t} \to \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$$

be the orthogonal projection of $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ onto $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}$. Let κ be the unique involutive element of the Weyl group $W_{\mathfrak{k}}$ of K such that $\kappa \prod_{\mathfrak{k}} = -\prod_{\mathfrak{k}}$. Since $\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+}$ is the set of weights on $\mathfrak{t}^{C}$ of the irreducible K-module $(\mathfrak{p}^{C})^{+}$, we have $\kappa \sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+} = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+}$ and $\kappa\gamma_1 = \alpha_1$. Put

$$\Delta' = \kappa\Delta = \{\gamma_1', \cdots, \gamma_p'\}, \qquad \gamma_i' = \kappa\gamma_i \ (1 \leqslant i \leqslant p),\ \gamma_1' = \alpha_1 .$$

It is the original maxiaml strongly orthogonal subsystem of $\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+}$ of Harish-Chandra [3]. For the system Δ', the orthogonal projection

$$\varpi' \colon \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t} \to \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}'$$

onto the $\boldsymbol{R}$-span $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}'$ of Δ' is defined in the same way as for Δ. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}_1' &= \{\alpha \in \textstyle\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+};\ \varpi'(\alpha) = \tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma_i' + \gamma_j') \text{ for some } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant p\}\ , \\
\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}' &= \{\alpha \in \textstyle\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^{+};\ \varpi'(\alpha) = \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_i' \text{ for some } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p\}\ , \\
\boldsymbol{K}_0' &= \{\alpha \in \textstyle\sum_{\mathfrak{k}};\ \varpi'(\alpha) = \tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma_i' - \gamma_j') \text{ for some } 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant p\}\ , \\
\boldsymbol{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}' &= \{\alpha \in \textstyle\sum_{\mathfrak{k}};\ \varpi'(\alpha) = \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_i' \text{ for some } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p\}\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Then (Harish-Chandra [3]) $\sum$ is the disjoint union of $\boldsymbol{P}_1'$, $-\boldsymbol{P}_1'$, $\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'$, $-\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'$, $\boldsymbol{K}_0'$, $\boldsymbol{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}'$, $-\boldsymbol{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}'$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varpi'\boldsymbol{P}_1' &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma_i' + \gamma_j');\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant i \leqslant p\}\ , \\
\varpi'\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}' &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_i';\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p\} \qquad \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}' \neq \phi\ , \\
\varpi'\boldsymbol{K}_0' - \{0\} &= \{\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma_i' - \gamma_j');\ 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant p\}\ , \\
\varpi'\boldsymbol{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}' &= \{\tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_i';\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p\} \quad \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}' \neq \phi\ .
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore the multiplicity (with respect to ϖ') of any γ_i' is 1 and that of any $\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i'$ is even. It follows that

$$\varpi'\textstyle\sum - \{0\} = \begin{cases} \{\pm\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i' \pm \gamma_j');\ 1 \leqslant i < i \leqslant p,\ \pm\gamma_i;\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p\} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}' = \phi \\ \{\pm\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i' \pm \gamma_j');\ 1 \leqslant i < i \leqslant p,\ \pm\gamma_i',\ \pm\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i';\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p\} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}' \neq \phi . \end{cases}$$

Moreover we have (Moore [8])

$$\varpi'\textstyle\prod - \{0\} = \begin{cases} \{\gamma_1',\ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2' - \gamma_1'), \cdots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_p' - \gamma_{p-1}')\} & \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}' = \phi \\ \{\gamma_1',\ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2' - \gamma_1'), \cdots, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_p' - \gamma_{p-1}'),\ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_p'\} & \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}' \neq \phi\ , \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varpi'\Pi_{\mathfrak{l}}-\{0\}=\begin{cases}\{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2'-\gamma_1'),\cdots,\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_p'-\gamma_{p-1}')\} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'=\phi\\ \{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2'-\gamma_1'),\cdots,\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_p'-\gamma_{p-1}'),-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_p'\} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'\neq\phi\,.\end{cases}$$

Lemma 1. 1)

$$\varpi\alpha_1=\begin{cases}\gamma_p & \text{if } \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'=\phi\\ \frac{1}{2}\gamma_p & \text{if } \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'\neq\phi\,.\end{cases}$$

2) (Schmid [11]) *If* $\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'\neq\phi$ *and*

$$\sum_{\beta\in\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'}m_\beta\beta \qquad \text{with} \quad m_\beta\geqslant 0$$

is in the $\boldsymbol{R}$*-span* $\{\boldsymbol{P}_1'\}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ *of* $\boldsymbol{P}_1'$, *then* $m_\beta=0$ *for any* β.

Proof. For any $\alpha\in\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^+=\boldsymbol{P}_1'\cup\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'$, $\varpi'\alpha$ can be writen as

$$\begin{aligned}\varpi'\alpha&=\tfrac{1}{2}m_1(\gamma_2'-\gamma_1')+\tfrac{1}{2}m_2(\gamma_3'-\gamma_2')+\cdots+\tfrac{1}{2}m_{p-1}(\gamma_p'-\gamma_{p-1}')\\&\quad-\tfrac{1}{2}m_p\gamma_p'+m_{p+1}\gamma_1'\\&=\tfrac{1}{2}(2m_{p+1}-m_1)\gamma_1'+\tfrac{1}{2}(m_1-m_2)\gamma_2'+\cdots+\tfrac{1}{2}(m_{p-2}-m_{p-1})\gamma_{p-1}'\\&\quad+\tfrac{1}{2}(m_{p-1}-m_p)\gamma_p'\end{aligned}$$

where $m_i\in\boldsymbol{Z}$, $m_i\geqslant 0$, $m_{p+1}=1$. Since $\varpi'\alpha=\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i'+\gamma_j')$ or $\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i'$ for some i, j, we have

$$2\geqslant m_1\geqslant m_2\geqslant\cdots\geqslant m_{p-1}\geqslant m_p\geqslant 0\,.$$

Furthermore $\alpha\in\boldsymbol{P}_1'$ (resp. $\alpha\in\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'$) if and only if $m_p=0$ (resp. $m_p=1$).

1) If $\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'=\phi$, then $\gamma_1\in\boldsymbol{P}_1'$. For $\alpha=\gamma_1$, the coefficients in the above expression are $m_1=\cdots=m_{p-1}=2$, $m_p=0$ and $\varpi'\gamma_1=\gamma_p'$. If $\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'\neq\phi$, then for $\alpha=\gamma_1$, the coefficients are $m_1=\cdots=m_{p-1}=2$, $m_p=1$ and $\varpi'\gamma_1=\frac{1}{2}\gamma_p'$. Now the assertion 1) follows from $\varpi\alpha_1=\kappa^{-1}\varpi'\kappa\alpha_1=\kappa^{-1}\varpi'\gamma_1$.

2) Let

$$\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{l}n_i\alpha_i \quad \text{with} \quad n_i\in\boldsymbol{Z},\ n_i\geqslant 0$$

be in $\sum_{\mathfrak{p}}^+$. It follows from the first argument that

(a) if $\alpha\in\boldsymbol{P}_1'$, $\varpi'\alpha_i=-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_p'$, then $n_i=0$,

(b) if $\alpha\in\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'$, then there exists $\alpha_i\in\Pi_{\mathfrak{l}}$ such that $n_i>0$ and $\varpi'\alpha_i=-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_p'$.

This implies the assertion 2). q.e.d.

Now $\boldsymbol{P}_1$, $\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\boldsymbol{K}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ are defined for Δ in the same way as for Δ'. Then κ transforms $\boldsymbol{P}_1$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\boldsymbol{K}_0$, $\boldsymbol{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}$) onto $\boldsymbol{P}_1'$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'$, $\boldsymbol{K}_0'$, $\boldsymbol{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}'$). It follows that the above mentioned properties due to Harish-Chandra are also satisfied by our objects for Δ. But Moore's results should be modified as follows.

$$\varpi\Pi-\{0\}=\begin{cases}\{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2),\cdots,\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p-1}-\gamma_p),\gamma_p\} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}=\phi\\ \{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2),\cdots,\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p-1}-\gamma_p),\frac{1}{2}\gamma_p\} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}\neq\phi.\end{cases}$$

$$\varpi\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}-\{0\}=\begin{cases}\{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2),\cdots,\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p-1}-\gamma_p)\} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}=\phi\\ \{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2),\cdots,\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p-1}-\gamma_p),\frac{1}{2}\gamma_p\} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}\neq\phi.\end{cases}$$

They follows from Lemma 1, 1) and

$$\varpi\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}=\kappa^{-1}\varpi'\kappa\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}=-\kappa^{-1}\varpi'\Pi_{\mathfrak{k}}\,.$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}\subset\sum_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+}$ while $\boldsymbol{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}'\subset-\sum_{\mathfrak{k}}^{+}$.

Lemma 2. 1) *The order* $>$ *is a compatible order for* $\sum$ *with respect to* σ *in the sense of* **2**.

2) $\varpi\boldsymbol{K}_0-\{0\}$ *is a root system with the fundamental root system*

$$\{\tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma_1-\gamma_2),\cdots,\tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma_{p-1}-\gamma_p)\}$$

with respect to the order $>$.

3) *If* $\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}\neq\phi$ *and*

$$\sum_{\beta\in\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}}m_\beta\beta\quad\text{with}\quad m_\beta\geqslant 0$$

is in the $\boldsymbol{R}$*-span* $\{\boldsymbol{P}_1\}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ *of* $\boldsymbol{P}_1$, *then* $m_\beta=0$ *for any* β.

Proof. 1) is clear from the form of $\varpi\Pi-\{0\}$ above.

2) is clear since

$$\varpi\boldsymbol{K}_0-\{0\}=\{\pm\tfrac{1}{2}(\gamma_i-\gamma_j);\,1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p\}\,.$$

3) follows from Lemma 1, 2) and $\kappa\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}=\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}'$, $\kappa\boldsymbol{P}_1=\boldsymbol{P}_1'$. q.e.d.

For $\lambda\in\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$, $\lambda\neq 0$,we define as in **2**

$$\lambda^*=\frac{2}{(\lambda,\lambda)}\lambda$$

and put

$$Z_0 = \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{\beta\in\Delta}\gamma^* .$$

Since $(\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i, \gamma_j^*)=\delta_{ij}$ for $1\leqslant i, j\leqslant p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}_1 &= \{\alpha\in\textstyle\sum_{\mathfrak{p}};\ (\alpha, Z_0) = 1\} ,\\
\boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \{\alpha\in\textstyle\sum_{\mathfrak{p}};\ (\alpha, Z_0) = \tfrac{1}{2}\} ,\\
\boldsymbol{K}_0 &= \{\alpha\in\textstyle\sum_{\mathfrak{k}};\ (\alpha, Z_0) = 0\} ,\\
\boldsymbol{K}_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \{\alpha\in\textstyle\sum_{\mathfrak{k}};\ (\alpha, Z_0) = \tfrac{1}{2}\} .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence eigenvalues of $\operatorname{ad} Z_0$ are ± 1, $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ on $\mathfrak{p}^C$, 0, $\pm\frac{1}{2}$ on $\mathfrak{k}^C$. Let $\mathfrak{p}^C_{\pm 1}$, $\mathfrak{p}^C_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$, $\mathfrak{k}^C_0$, $\mathfrak{k}^C_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$ denote the corresponding eigenspaces. Note that the origin X_0 of the Shilov boundary S is in $\mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}$.

The following results are due to Korányi-Wolf [7]. We define an element c of G^C, which is called *Cayley transform*, by

$$c = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\sum_{\gamma\in\Delta}(X_\gamma + X_{-\gamma})\right)$$

and define an automorphism of G^C by

$$\theta(x) = c^2 x c^{-2} \qquad \text{for} \quad x\in G^C .$$

The automorphism $\operatorname{Ad} c^2$ of $\mathfrak{g}^C$ obtained by differentiating θ will be also denoted by the same letter θ. Then $\theta^4=1$ and on $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ it coincides with $-\sigma$. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_0 &= \{X\in\mathfrak{g};\ \theta^2 X = X\} ,\\
\mathfrak{k}_0 &= \mathfrak{g}_0\cap\mathfrak{k} ,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0\cap\mathfrak{p} .$$

Then $\mathfrak{k}_0$ is θ-invariant and

$$\mathfrak{k}_0 = \{X\in\mathfrak{k};\ [Z_0, X] = 0\} .$$

Hence $\mathfrak{k}_0$ is a real form of $\mathfrak{k}_0^C$ containing $\mathfrak{t}$ as a maximal abelian subalgebra. $\boldsymbol{K}_0$ is nothing but the set of roots of $\mathfrak{k}_0^C$ with respect to $\mathfrak{t}^C$. The complexification $\mathfrak{p}_0^C$ of $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is the direct sum of $\mathfrak{p}^C_{+1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}$. $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a reductive subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with a Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0 .$$

Let G_0 (resp. K_0) be the connected subgroup of G generated by $\mathfrak{g}_0$ (resp. by $\mathfrak{k}_0$) and let

$$L_0 = \{k \in K_0;\ \mathrm{Ad}k\, X_0 = X_0\} = K_0 \cap L\,.$$

Put

$$D_0 = D \cap \mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}$$

and

$$S_0 = S \cap \mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}\,.$$

Then G_0 acts on D_0 transitively and $K \cap G_0$ coincides with K_0, so that D_0 is identified with the quotient space G_0/K_0. Furthermore K_0 acts on S_0 transitively so that S_0 is identified with K_0/L_0. D_0 is totally geodesic in D with respect to Bergmann metric of D and it is also an irreducible symmeric bounded domain with the same rank as D. S_0 is the Shilov boundary of D_0. The complex structure of D_0 is given at the origin by $\mathrm{ad}\, H_0$ with $\sqrt{-1}\, H_0 = Z_0$. We have

$$\varpi Z = Z_0\,.$$

The inclusion $D_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}$ is nothing but the Harish-Chandra's imbedding of $D_0 = G_0/K_0$. (K_0, L_0) is a symmetric pair with respect to θ, having the same rank as D. Hence

$$\mathfrak{l}_0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{k}_0;\ \theta X = X\}$$

is the Lie algebra of L_0 and $\mathfrak{a}$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of

$$\mathfrak{s}_0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{k}_0;\ \theta X = -X\}\,.$$

We can define a semi-linear transformation $X \mapsto \bar{X}$ of $\mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}$ by

$$\bar{X} = \tau\theta X = \theta\tau X \qquad \text{for} \quad X \in \mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}\,.$$

Put

$$\mathfrak{p}_{-1} = \{X \in \mathfrak{p}^C_{-1};\ \bar{X} = X\}\,.$$

It is a real form of $\mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}$ and is invariant under the adjoint action of L_0 on $\mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}$. The correspondence $X \mapsto [X, X_0]$ gives an isomorphism

$$\psi\colon \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{s}_0 \to \mathfrak{p}_{-1}\,,$$

which is equivariant with respect to the adjoint actions of L_0.

Now we shall consider the polynomial representation $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ of K. Let $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ be the symmetric algebra over $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^+$. K acts on $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ by the natural extension Ad of the adjoint action of K on $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^+$. On the other hand, the non-degenerate pairing

$$(\mathfrak{p}^C)^+ \times (\mathfrak{p}^C)^- \to \boldsymbol{C}$$

by means of the Killing form (,) induces the identification

$$S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+) = S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-) .$$

This identification is compatible with the actions of K, since the Killing form is invariant under the adjoint action of K. In the same way we have a K_0-equivariant identification

$$S_*(\mathfrak{p}^C_{+1}) = S^*(\mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}) .$$

$S_*(\mathfrak{p}^C_{+1})$ can be considered as a K_0-submodule of $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ by means of the natural monomorphism $S_*(\mathfrak{p}^C_{+1}) \to S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ induced from the inclusion $\mathfrak{p}^C_{+1} \subset (\mathfrak{p}^C)^+$.

Theorem 3.1. (i) *Any irreducible K-submodule of $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ (resp. K_0-submodule of $S_*(\mathfrak{p}^C_{+1})$) is contained exactly once in $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ (resp. in $S_*(\mathfrak{p}^C_{+1})$).*

(ii) *For an irreducible K-submodule V of $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$, we put*

$$V_0 = V \cap S_*(\mathfrak{p}^C_{+1}) .$$

Then $V \mapsto V_0$ is the one to one correspondence between the set of irreducible K-submodules of $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ and the set of irreducible K_0-submodules of $S_*(\mathfrak{p}^C_{+1})$ in such a way that

1) *The highest weights on $\mathfrak{t}^C$ of V and V_0 are the same.*

2) *The subspace of L-invariants in V is 1-dimensional and contained in V_0.*

(iii) *The highest weight $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ of an irreducible K-submodule V of $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ is of the form*

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i \gamma_i , \qquad n_i \in \boldsymbol{Z},\ n_1 \geqslant n_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant n_p \geqslant 0 .$$

If $\sum_i n_i = \nu$, then V is contained in $S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$. i.e. $\mathcal{S}^\nu(D) \subset \mathcal{S}^\nu(K, L)$ under the notation in Introduction.

For the proof of the theorem, we need the following

Lemma 3. (Murakami [9]) *Let $\mathfrak{k}$ be a Lie algebra over $\boldsymbol{R}$ and $\mathfrak{k}^C$ the complexification of $\mathfrak{k}$. Assume that there exists $Y \in \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{k}^C$ such that $\mathfrak{k}^C$ is the direct sum of 0-eigenspace $\mathfrak{k}^C_0$, $(+1)$-eigenspace $\mathfrak{k}^C_+$ and (-1)-eigenspace $\mathfrak{k}^C_-$ of $\operatorname{ad} Y$, respectively. Let (ρ, V) be a complex irreducible $\mathfrak{k}$-module with $\mathfrak{k}$-invariant hermitian inner product. Denoting the extension to $\mathfrak{k}^C$ of ρ by the same letter ρ, let $a_1 > a_2 > \cdots > a_m$ $(a_i \in \boldsymbol{R})$ be eigenvalues of $\rho(Y)$, and S_t be a_t-eigenspace of $\rho(Y)$ $(1 \leqslant t \leqslant m)$. Put $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{k}^C_0 \cap \mathfrak{k}$ (, which is a real form of $\mathfrak{k}^C_0$). Then*

1) *$a_t = a_1 - t + 1$ $(1 \leqslant t \leqslant m)$.*

2) *Each S_t is a $\mathfrak{k}_0$-submodule of V and*

$$V = S_1 + \cdots + S_m$$

is the orthogonal direct sum.

3) *S_1 and S_m are irreducible $\mathfrak{k}_0$-submodules of V and characterized by*

$$S_1 = \{v \in V;\ \rho(X)v = 0 \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{k}_+^C\},$$
$$S_m = \{v \in V;\ \rho(X)v = 0 \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{k}_-^C\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{k}^C$ on $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ induced from the adjoint action Ad of K will be denoted by ad.

Let V be an irreducible K-submodule of $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$. Since Z is in the center of $\mathfrak{k}^C$, it follows from Schur's lemma that V is contained in an eigenspace of ad Z in $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$. But since ad Z is the scalor operator ν on $S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$, V is contained in $S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ for some ν. Let $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ be the highest weight of V. Put $Y = 2Z_0 \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}^C$. Then the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{k}^C = \mathfrak{k}_0^C + \mathfrak{k}_{\frac{1}{2}}^C + \mathfrak{k}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^C$$

satisfies the assumption in Lemma 3. So we have a decomposition

$$V = S_1 + \cdots + S_m$$

into K_0-submodules, where S_1 is an irrducible K_0-submodule and is the eigenspace for the maximum eigenvalue of ad Y in V. It is characterized by

$$S_1 = \{v \in V;\ \mathrm{ad}\,(X)v = 0 \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{k}_{\frac{1}{2}}^C\}.$$

Thus a highest weight vector v_λ of the K-module V is contained in S_1 because of $\boldsymbol{K}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \sum_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$. It follows that putting $V_0 = S_1$, V_0 is an irreducible K_0-submodule of $S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ with the highest weight λ.

We shall show that $V_0 = V \cap S_*(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^C)$. We have the decomposition

$$S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+) = \sum_{r+s=\nu} S_r(\mathfrak{p}_1^C) \otimes S_s(\mathfrak{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}^C)$$

as K_0-modules. ad Z_0 is the scalor operator $r + \frac{1}{2}s = \frac{1}{2}(r+\nu)$ on $S_r(\mathfrak{p}_1^C) \otimes S_s(\mathfrak{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}^C)$. In the same way as the first argument, we can get the decompsotion

$$V = V_1 + \cdots + V_k$$

into irreducible K_0-submodules such that any V_i is contained in $S_r(\mathfrak{p}_1^C) \otimes S_s(\mathfrak{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}^C)$ for some (r, s). Since $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ is K-isomorphic with $S^*(S) \subset C^\infty(S)$, V has an L-invariant $w \neq 0$. Decompose w as

$$w = w_1 + \cdots + w_k, \qquad w_i \in V_i\ (1 \leqslant i \leqslant k).$$

At least one of the w_i's, say w_1, is not zero. Let $\lambda_1 \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ be the highest weight of the irreducible K_0-module V_1. Since w_1 is a non-zero L_0-invariant of V_1, V_1 is a spherical K_0-module relative to L_0. (K_0, L_0) is a symmetric pair, $\mathfrak{a}$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{s}_0$ and the order $>$ on $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ is a compatible order for $\boldsymbol{K}_0$ with respect to σ by Lemma 1, 1), so we shall use the notations

$\Gamma(K_0, L_0)$, $Z(K_0, L_0)$, $D(K_0, L_0)$ in 2. Then it follows from Theorem 2.3 that $\lambda_1 \in D(K_0, L_0)$. On the other hand, if $V_1 \subset S_r(\mathfrak{p}_1^C) \otimes S_s(\mathfrak{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}^C)$, λ_1 is of the form

$$\lambda_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in P_1} m_\alpha \alpha + \sum_{\beta \in P_{\frac{1}{2}}} m_\beta \beta, \qquad m_\alpha, m_\beta \in \boldsymbol{Z}, m_\alpha \geqslant 0, m_\beta \geqslant 0$$

with $\sum m_\alpha = r$, $\sum m_\beta = s$. Since $D(K_0, L_0) \subset \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a} = \{\Delta\}_R \subset \{P_1\}_R$, we have

$$\sum_{\beta \in P_{\frac{1}{2}}} m_\beta \beta \in \{P_1\}_R .$$

It follows from Lemma 2,3) that $r = \nu$, $s = 0$, i.e. $V_1 \subset V \cap S_\nu(\mathfrak{p}_1^C)$. On the other hand, $V \cap S_\nu(\mathfrak{p}_1^C) \subset V_0$ since the possible maximum eigenvalue of $\operatorname{ad} Y$ on V is 2ν. Thus we have that $V_0 = V_1 = V \cap S_\nu(\mathfrak{p}^C)$.

The above argument shows also that any L-invariant in V is contained in V_0. It is unique up to scalor since (K_0, L_0) is a symmetric pair.

Conversely, let V_0 be an irreducible K_0-submodule of $S_*(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^C)$ with the highest weight $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$. In the same way as the first argument, we know that V_0 is contained in $S_\nu(\mathfrak{p}_{+1}^C)$ for some ν. Let $v_\lambda \in V_0$ be a highest weight vector. Then $\operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{k}_{\frac{1}{2}}^C v_\lambda = \{0\}$ because of $[\mathfrak{k}_{\frac{1}{2}}^C, \mathfrak{p}_{+1}^C] = \{0\}$. Hence $\operatorname{ad} X_\alpha v_\lambda = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \sum_{\mathfrak{k}}^+$. We define V to be the $\boldsymbol{C}$-span of $\{\operatorname{Ad} k\, v_\lambda ; k \in K\}$ in $S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$. Then V is an irreducible K-submodule of $S_*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$ with the highest weight $\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$.

It is easy to see that each of the above correspondences $V \mapsto V_0$ and $V_0 \mapsto V$ is the inverse of the other. This proves assertions (i) and (ii).

(iii) We have $[\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i^*, X_{-\gamma_j}] = -\delta_{ij} X_{-\gamma_j}$ $(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant p)$ because of $(\frac{1}{2}\gamma_j^*, \gamma_i) = \delta_{ij}$ $(1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant p)$. It follows that for $H = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^p x_i (\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i^*) \in \mathfrak{a}$ we have

$$\operatorname{Ad}(\exp H) X_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^p \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\, x_i) X_{-\gamma_i} .$$

Thus we have

$$\Gamma(K_0, L_0) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^p \boldsymbol{Z}(\tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_i^*)$$

and

$$Z(K_0, L_0) = \sum_{i=1}^p \boldsymbol{Z}\gamma_i .$$

It follows from Lemma 2,2) that

$$D(K_0, L_0) = \{\sum_{i=1}^p n_i \gamma_i ;\ n_i \in \boldsymbol{Z}, n_1 \geqslant n_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant n_p\} .$$

Therefore λ is of the form

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^p n_i \gamma_i \qquad \text{with} \quad n_i \in \boldsymbol{Z}, n_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant n_p .$$

On the other hand, λ is of the form

$$\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in P_1} m_\alpha \alpha \qquad \text{with} \quad m_\alpha \in \boldsymbol{Z},\ m_\alpha \geqslant 0\,,$$

which implies that $n_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant n_p \geqslant 0$. If $V \subset S_\nu((\mathfrak{p}^C)^+)$, then $V_0 \subset S_\nu(\mathfrak{p}^C_{+1})$ and $\mathrm{ad}\, Z_0$ is the scalor operator ν on V_0, which equals $(\lambda, Z_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i$. q.e.d.

REMARK. In terms of polynomial functions $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$, for an irreducible K-submodule V of $S^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$, V_0 is obtained by restriction to $\mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}$ of functions in V.

Proof of Theorem A. Orthogonality relations for the $S^*_\lambda(D)$'s (resp. for the $S^*_\lambda(S)$'s) and the assertion that the restriction $S^*_\lambda(D) \to S^*_\lambda(S)$ is a similitude follow from Schur's lemma. So it suffices to show that the cardinalities of $\mathcal{S}^\nu(D)$ and $\mathcal{S}^\nu(K, L)$ are the same.

From the first argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1 (iii), we see that $\psi(\frac{1}{2}\gamma^*) = X_{-\gamma}(\gamma \in \Delta)$ for the L_0-equivariant isomorphism $\psi\colon \sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{s}_0 \to \mathfrak{p}_{-1}$. We put

$$\mathfrak{a}^- = \psi(\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}) = \{X_{-\gamma};\ \gamma \in \Delta\}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{-1}\,.$$

Since the Weyl group W_{S_0} of S_0 is isomorphic with the group of permutations of Δ by Lemma 2,2), the "Weyl group" $W^-_{S_0} = N_{L_0}(\mathfrak{a}^-)/Z_{L_0}(\mathfrak{a}^-)$, where $N_{L_0}(\mathfrak{a}^-)$ (resp. $Z_{L_0}(\mathfrak{a}^-)$) is the normalizer (resp. centralizer) of $\mathfrak{a}^-$ in L_0, is isomorphic with the group of permutations of $\{X_{-\gamma};\ \gamma \in \Delta\}$. On the other hand, since $S^*_{L_0}(\mathfrak{s}_0)$ is isomorphic with $S^*_{W_{S_0}}(\mathfrak{a})$ by Theorem 2.2, $S^*_{L_0}(\mathfrak{p}_{-1})$ is isomorphic with $S^*_{W^-_{S_0}}(\mathfrak{a}^-)$. Hence $S^*_{L_0}(\mathfrak{p}^C_{-1})$ is isomorphic with $S^*_{W^-_{S_0}}((\mathfrak{a}^-)^C)$. It follows from Theorem 3.1, (ii), 2) that the cardinality of $\mathcal{S}^\nu(D)$ is equal to $\dim S^\nu_{L_0}(\mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}) = \dim S^\nu_{W^-_{S_0}}((\mathfrak{a}^-)^C) =$ the number of linearly independent symmetric polynomials in p-variables with degree ν, which is known to be the cardianlity of $\mathcal{S}^\nu(K, L)$. q.e.d.

4. Normalizing factor h_λ

Let $\hat{A} = \mathrm{Ad}A(X_0)$, denoting by A the connected subgroup of K_0 generated by $\mathfrak{a}$. $\hat{A}$ has a natural group structure induced from that of $\mathfrak{a}$. Let

$$T = \{t \in \boldsymbol{C}^*;\ |t| = 1\}$$

be the 1-dimensional torus. Under the identification in Introduction of $(\mathfrak{a}^-)^C$ with $\boldsymbol{C}^p$, $\mathfrak{a}^-$ is identified with $\boldsymbol{R}^p$ and $\hat{A}$ with T^p. We see that the latter identification is compatible with group structures and complex conjugations, in view of the expression of $\mathrm{Ad}(\exp H)X_0$ in the proof of Theorem 3.1, (iii). Moreover, under the same identification we have (Moore [8])

$$D \cap \mathfrak{a}^- = \{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^p;\ |x_i| < 1\ \ (1 \leqslant i \leqslant p)\}\,,$$

denoting by z_i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant p)$ the i-th component of $z \in \boldsymbol{C}^p$. By means of this

identification we define a measure on $\mathfrak{a}^-$ by

$$dH = dx_1 \cdots dx_p$$

and a function $D(H)$ on $\mathfrak{a}^-$ by

$$D(H) = \prod_{i=1}^{p} (2x_i)x_i^{2s} \prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p} ((x_i+x_j)(x_i-x_j))^r \qquad \text{for} \quad H\in\mathfrak{a}^-,$$

where r, $2s$ are multiplicities defined in Introduction. Then we have the following

Lemma 1. *There exists a constant $c'>0$ such that*

$$\int_D f(X)d\mu(X)=c'\int_{D\cap\mathfrak{a}^-} f(H)\,|\,D(H)\,|\,dH$$

for any integrable K-invariant function f on D.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Ad}\,cH=H$ for any $H\in\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathrm{Ad}\,c\gamma^*=X_\gamma-X_{-\gamma}\in\mathfrak{p}$ for any $\gamma\in\Delta$. Put

$$\mathfrak{a}^0 = \mathrm{Ad}\,c(\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}) = \{X_\gamma-X_{-\gamma};\ \gamma\in\Delta\}_R\,,$$
$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Ad}\,c(\mathfrak{b}\oplus\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{b}\oplus\mathfrak{a}^0$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h}_R=\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{b}\oplus\mathfrak{a}^0\,.$$

Then $\mathfrak{a}^0$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{a}^0$ and $\mathfrak{h}_R$ is the real part of the complexification $\mathfrak{h}^C$ of $\mathfrak{h}$. We define lienear forms h_i $(1\leqslant i\leqslant p)$ on $\mathfrak{a}^0$ by

$$h_i(X_{\gamma_j}-X_{-\gamma_j}) = \delta_{ij} \qquad (1\leqslant i, j\leqslant p)\,.$$

If h_i is identified with an element of $\mathfrak{a}^0$ by means of the Killing form, we have $\mathrm{Ad}\,c(\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i)=h_i$ $(1\leqslant i\leqslant p)$. The linear order on $\mathfrak{h}_R$ induced by $\mathrm{Ad}\,c$ from the order $>$ on $\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{t}$ is a compatible order for $\mathrm{Ad}\,c\sum$ with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{h}_R=\sqrt{-1}\,\mathfrak{b}\oplus\mathfrak{a}^0$. This follows from **3**, Lemma 2,1). Thus positive restricted roots on $\mathfrak{a}^0$ of the symmetric space $D=G/K$ are

$$\{h_i\pm h_j;\ 1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p,\ 2h_i;\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant p\} \qquad \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}=\phi\,,$$
$$\{h_i\pm h_j;\ 1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p,\ 2h_i,\ h_i;\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant p\} \qquad \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}\neq\phi\,.$$

The multiplicity of $h_i\pm h_j$ $(1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p)$, i.e. the number of roots in $\mathrm{Ad}\,c\sum$ projecting to $h_i\pm h_j$, is the same as that of $\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i\pm\gamma_j)$. Since the Weyl group W_D on $\mathfrak{a}^0$ of $D=G/K$ is generated by reflections with respect to $h_1-h_2, \cdots, h_{p-1}-h_p, h_p$, hence transitive on the set $\{\pm h_i\pm h_j;\ 1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p\}$, it follows that

multiplicities of these roots are the same r. By the same reason, multiplicities of h_i $(1\leqslant i\leqslant p)$ are the same $2s$, which is even from the results of Harish-Chandra mentioned in **3**. In the same way we know that multiplicities of $2h_i$ $(1\leqslant i\leqslant p)$ are 1. Thus the product D^0 of positive restricted roots (multiplicity counted) is given by

$$D^0(H^0)=\prod_{i=1}^{p} 2h_i(H^0)h_i(H^0)^{2s}\prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p}((h_i+h_j)(H^0)(h_i-h_j)(H^0))^r \qquad \text{for} \quad H^0\in\mathfrak{a}^0.$$

Let dX (resp. dH^0) denote the Euclidean measure of $\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. of $\mathfrak{a}^0$) induced from the Killing form $(\ ,\ )$, and dk the normalied Haar measure of K. Then (cf. Helgason [4]) under the surjective map $K\times\mathfrak{a}^0\to\mathfrak{p}$ defined by $(k, H^0)\mapsto \operatorname{Ad} kH^0$, these measures are related as follows:

$$dX=c''|D^0(H^0)|\,dk\,dH^0 \quad \text{with some constant} \quad c''>0\,.$$

Now we define a K-equivariant $\boldsymbol{R}$-isomorphism $j\colon \mathfrak{p}\to(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$ by

$$j(X)=\tfrac{1}{2}(X-[Z, X]) \qquad \text{for} \quad X\in\mathfrak{p}\,.$$

It is easy to see that $j(X_\gamma-X_{-\gamma})=-X_{-\gamma}$ for any $\gamma\in\Delta$, hence $j\mathfrak{a}^0=\mathfrak{a}^-$. Since K acts irreducibly on $\mathfrak{p}$, the map j is a similitude with respect to inner products $(\ ,\ )$ and the real part of $(\ ,\ )_\tau$. Therefore under the surjective map $K\times\mathfrak{a}^-\to(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$ defined by $(k, H)\mapsto \operatorname{Ad} kH$, we have

$$d\mu(X)=c'|D(H)|\,dk\,dH \quad \text{with some constant} \quad c'>0\,.$$

Seeing $\operatorname{Ad} K(D\cap\mathfrak{a}^-)=D$, we get the proof of Lemma 1. q.e.d.

Take a form $\lambda\in\mathcal{S}^*(K, L)$. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{u_i;\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\lambda\}$ of $S^*_\lambda((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ with respect to $(\ ,\ )_\tau$ such that $\{u_i;\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant d_{\lambda,0}\}$ spans $S^*_\lambda((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)\cap S^*(\mathfrak{p}^C_{-1})$ and u_1 is L-invariant. Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^i_j(k)&=(\operatorname{Ad} ku_j, u_i)_\tau && \text{for } k\in K \quad (1\leqslant i, j\leqslant d_\lambda)\,,\\
\varphi'_i(k)&=\overline{\rho^i_1(k)} && \text{for } k\in K \quad (1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\lambda)\,,\\
f'_i&=\sqrt{d_\lambda}\,\varphi_i' && (1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\lambda)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The arguments in **2** show that $\{f_i';\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant d_\lambda\}$ form an orthonormal basis of $S^*_\lambda(S)$ with respect to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ and φ_1' is the zonal spherical function ω_λ for (K, L) belonging to λ, identifying $C^\infty(S)$ with the space of right L-invariant C^∞-functions on K. The zonal spherical polynomial Ω_λ for D belonging to λ defined in Introduction is characterized by that its restriction to S coincides with ω_λ. Ω_λ restricted to $\mathfrak{p}^C_{-1}$ is the zonal spherical polynomial for D_0 belonging to λ and ω_λ restricted to S_0 is the zonal spherical function for (K_0, L_0) belonging to λ. Ω_λ

restricted to $(\mathfrak{a}^-)^C$ is a symmetric polynomial since it is $W_{S_0}^-$-invariant. Let $f_i \in S_\lambda^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_\lambda)$ be the unique polynomial such that its restriction to S is f_i'. Then $\{f_i;\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_\lambda\}$ form an orthogonal basis of $S_\lambda^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-)$ with respect to $(\ ,\ )_\tau$ such that $\{f_i;\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_{\lambda,0}\}$ form an orthogonal basis of $S_\lambda^*((\mathfrak{p}^C)^-) \cap S^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^C)$. They satisfy relations

$$f_i(\mathrm{Ad}\, k^{-1} X) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_\lambda} \rho_i^j(k) f_j(X) \qquad \text{for} \quad k \in K,\ X \in (\mathfrak{p}^C)^- \ (1 \leqslant i \leqslant d_\lambda)\,.$$

We put

$$\Phi_\lambda(X) = \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\lambda} |f_i(X)|^2 \qquad \text{for} \quad X \in (\mathfrak{p}^C)^-\,.$$

Then for any $k \in K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\lambda(\mathrm{Ad}\, k^{-1} X) &= \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_i \Big(\sum_j \rho_i^j(k) f_j(X)\Big)\Big(\sum_k \overline{\rho_i^k(k)}\, \overline{f_k(X)}\Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{j,k} \Big(\sum_i \rho_i^j(k)\, \overline{\rho_i^k(k)}\Big) f_j(X) \overline{f_k(X)} \\
&= \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{j,k} \delta_{jk} f_j(X) \overline{f_k(X)} = \Phi_\lambda(X) \qquad \text{for} \quad X \in (\mathfrak{p}^C)^-,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. Φ_λ is a K-invariant C^∞-function on $(\mathfrak{p}^C)^-$. Note that

$$\Phi_\lambda(X) = \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_{\lambda,0}} |f_\alpha(X)|^2 \qquad \text{for} \quad X \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}^C\,.$$

Lemma 2.

$$h_\lambda = c' \int_{D \cap \mathfrak{a}^-} \Phi_\lambda(H)\, |D(H)|\, dH$$

Proof.

$$\int_D \Phi_\lambda(X) d\mu(X) = \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\lambda} \langle\!\langle f_i, f_i \rangle\!\rangle = \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\lambda} h_\lambda \langle f_i', f_i' \rangle = h_\lambda\,.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1 we have

$$\int_D \Phi_\lambda(X) d\mu(X) = c' \int_{D \cap \mathfrak{a}^-} \Phi_\lambda(H)\, |D(H)|\, dH\,. \qquad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Proof of Theorem B. Making use of the complex conjugation $X \mapsto \bar{X}$ of $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^C$ defined in **3**, we define $\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda \in S^*(\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^C)$ by

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(X) = \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_{\lambda,0}} f_\alpha(X) \overline{f_\alpha(\bar{X})} \qquad \text{for} \quad X \in \mathfrak{p}_{-1}^C\,.$$

Then $\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda = \Phi_\lambda$ on $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}$ and we have for any $k \in K_0$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(\mathrm{Ad}\, kX_0) &= \frac{1}{d_\lambda}\sum_\alpha f_\alpha(\mathrm{Ad}\, kX_0)\overline{f_\alpha(\mathrm{Ad}\, kX_0)}\\ &= \frac{1}{d_\lambda}\sum_\alpha f_\alpha(\mathrm{Ad}\, kX_0)\overline{f_\alpha(\mathrm{Ad}\, \theta(k)X_0)}\\ &= \frac{1}{d_\lambda}\sum_\alpha f_\alpha'(k)\overline{f_\alpha'(\theta(k))} = \sum_\alpha \varphi_\alpha'(k)\overline{\varphi_\alpha'(\theta(k))}\\ &= \sum_\alpha \overline{\rho_1^\alpha(k)}\,\rho_1^\alpha(\theta(k)) = \sum_\alpha \overline{\rho_1^\alpha(k)\,\rho_\alpha^1(\theta(k)^{-1})}\\ &= \overline{\rho_1^1(\theta(k)^{-1}k)} = \omega_\lambda(\theta(k)^{-1}k)\,.\end{aligned}$$

In particular for any $a\in A$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(\mathrm{Ad}\, aX_0) = \omega_\lambda(a^2)\,,$$

i.e. for any $\hat{a}\in\hat{A}$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(\hat{a}) = \omega_\lambda(\hat{a}^2) = \Omega_\lambda(\hat{a}^2)\,.$$

Since $\hat{A}=T^p$ is a compact real form of $\boldsymbol{C}^{*p}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}^{*p}$ is open in $\boldsymbol{C}^p=(\mathfrak{a}^-)^C$, we have

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(z_1, \cdots, z_p) = \Omega_\lambda(z_1^2, \cdots, z_p^2) \qquad \text{for any } z\in\boldsymbol{C}^p = (\mathfrak{a}^-)^C\,.$$

By Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}h_\lambda &= c'\int_{D\cap\mathfrak{a}^-}\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(H)\,|D(H)|\,dH\\ &= c'\int_{|x_i|<1(1\leqslant i\leqslant p)}\Omega_\lambda(x_1^2, \cdots, x_p^2)\,|\prod_{i=1}^p(2x_i)x_i^{2s}\prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p}((x_i+x_j)(x_i-x_j))^r\,|\,dx_1\cdots dx_p\\ &= c(D)\int_{0\leqslant y_i<1\,(1\leqslant i\leqslant p)}\Omega_\lambda(y_1, \cdots, y_p)\,|\prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p}(y_i-y_j)^r\,|\prod_{i=1}^p y_i^s\,dy_1\cdots dy_p\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c(D)>0$, which does not depend on λ. Inparticular, for $\lambda=0$

$$\mu(D) = h_0 = c(D)\int_{0\leqslant y_i<1\,(1\leqslant i\leqslant p)}|\prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant p}(y_i-y_j)^r\,|\prod_{i=1}^p y_i^s\,dy_1\cdots dy_p,$$

since $\Omega_0\equiv 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem B. q.e.d.

REMARK. It can be proved that $\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda$ is an L_0-invariant polymomial on $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}^C$.

The multiplicities r, s are given as follows.

D	rank D	r	s
$(\mathrm{I})_{p,q}$ $(p\leqslant q)$	p	2	$q-p$
$(\mathrm{II})_n$	$[n/2]$	4	$\begin{cases}2 & n \text{ odd}\\ 0 & n \text{ even}\end{cases}$
$(\mathrm{III})_n$	n	1	0
$(\mathrm{IV})_n$ $(n\geqslant 3)$	2	$n-2$	0
(EIII)	2	6	4
(EVII)	3	8	0

The zonal spherical polynomial Ω_λ is given as follows.

For integers $n_1, \cdots, n_p$ we define the *Schur function* $\{n_1, \cdots, n_p\}$ on the p-dimensional torus T^p by

$$\{n_1, \cdots, n_p\}(t) = \frac{\det(t_i^{n_j+p-j})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant p}}{\det(t_i^{p-j})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant p}} \qquad \text{for} \quad t = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ \vdots \\ t_p \end{bmatrix} \in T^p \subset \boldsymbol{C}^p .$$

$\{n_1, \cdots, n_p\}$ is symmetric in variables $t_1, \cdots, t_p$ and it is a polynomial in $t_1, \cdots, t_p$ if and only if $n_i \geqslant 0$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant p)$. For an element $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{p} n_i \gamma_i \in \sum_{i=1}^{p} \boldsymbol{Z}\gamma_i = Z(K_0, L_0)$, the i-th coefficient n_i will be denoted by $n_i(\lambda)$.
Then we have

Theorem 4.1. *The zonal spherical polynomial Ω_λ for D belonging to $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*(K, L)$ is determined on $(\mathfrak{a}^-)^C$ by the relation*

$$\Omega_\lambda(t) = \sum_{\mu \in D_\lambda} c_\lambda^\mu \{n_1(\mu), \cdots, n_p(\mu)\}(t) \qquad \text{for any } t \in T^p = \hat{A} \subset (\mathfrak{a}^-)^C ,$$

where the c_λ^μ's are coefficients in Theorem 2.5 for the symmetric pair (K_0, L_0).

Proof. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem B, Ω_λ is determined on $(\mathfrak{a}^-)^C$ by

$$\Omega_\lambda(t) = \omega_\lambda(t) \qquad \text{for any} \quad t \in T^p = \hat{A} .$$

By Theorem 2.5, ω_λ has an expression

$$\omega_\lambda(t) = \sum_{\mu \in D_\lambda} c_\lambda^\mu \overline{\chi_\mu(t)} \qquad \text{for} \quad t \in T^p = \hat{A} .$$

Since the Weyl group W_{S_0} acts on $Z(K_0, L_0)$ by the group of permutations of $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_p$, W_{S_0}-invariant characters χ_λ of $\hat{A}$ are nothing but Schur functions. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 3.1, (iii), the i-th component of $\mathrm{Ad}(\exp H)X_0 \in T^p = \hat{A}$ is $\exp(-(\gamma_i, H))$ for any $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. It follows that

$$\chi_\mu(t) = \{n_1(\mu), \cdots, n_p(\mu)\}(\bar{t}) \qquad \text{for} \quad t \in T^p = \hat{A} .$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\lambda(t) &= \sum_{\mu \in D_\lambda} c_\lambda^\mu \overline{\{n_1(\mu), \cdots, n_p(\mu)\}(\bar{t})} \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in D_\lambda} c_\lambda^\mu \{n_1(\mu), \cdots, n_p(\mu)\}(t) \qquad \text{for} \quad t \in T^p = \hat{A} . \qquad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

In the case of the domain D of type $(\mathrm{I})_{p,q}$ $(p \leqslant q)$, S_0 is the unitary group $U(p)$ of degree p. We have in view of Example in **2** that

$$\Omega_\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{d_\lambda} \{n_1(\lambda), \cdots, n_p(\lambda)\}(t) \qquad \text{for} \quad t \in T^p = \hat{A} ,$$

where d_λ is the degree of the irreducible representation of $U(p)$ with the signature $(n_1(\lambda), \cdots, n_p(\lambda))$. In the case of the domain D of type $(\mathrm{IV})_n$, S_0 is the Lie sphere and Ω_λ can be described in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials, which are zonal spherical functions for the sphere. So our integral formula in Theorem B clarifies the meaning of integrals of Hua [6].

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

References

[1] E. Cartan: *Sur la détermination d'un système orthogonal complet dans un espace de Riemann symétrique clos*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **53** (1929), 217–252.

[2] H. Cartan: *Les foncitons de deux variables complexes et le problème de la représentation analytique*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **10** (1931), 1–114.

[3] Harish-Chandra: *Representations of semi-simple Lie groups* VI, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 564–628.

[4] S. Helgason: Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1962.

[5] ———: *A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations*, Advances in Math. **5** (1970), 1–154.

[6] L.K. Hua: Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in the Classical Domains, Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., 1963.

[7] A. Korányi and J.A. Wolf: *Realization of hermitian symmetric spaces as generalized half-planes*, Ann. of Math. **81** (1965), 265–288.

[8] C.C. Moore: *Compactifications of symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 201–218, 358–378.

[9] S. Murakami: Cohomology Groups of Vector-valued Forms on Symmetric Spaces, Lecture Note, Univ. of Chicago, 1966.

[10] I. Satake: *On representations and compactifications of symmetric Riemannian spaces*, Ann. of Math. **71** (1960), 77–110.

[11] W. Schmid: *Die Randwerte holomorpher Funktionen auf hermitesch symmetrischen Räumen*, Invent. Math. **9** (1969), 61–80.

[12] M. Sugiura: *Representations of compact groups realized by spherical functions on symmetric spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. **38** (1962), 111–113.