



Title	On smooth $S^0_{p,q}$ -actions on $S^{p+q-1}$
Author(s)	Uchida, Fuichi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1989, 26(4), p. 775-787
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/12479">https://doi.org/10.18910/12479</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## ON SMOOTH $SO_0(p, q)$ -ACTIONS ON $S^{p+q-1}$

Dedicated to Professor Shōrō Araki on his 60th birthday

FUICHI UCHIDA\*)

(Received January 12, 1989)

### 0. Introduction

Consider the standard  $SO(p) \times SO(q)$ -action on  $S^{p+q-1}$ . This action has codimension one principal orbits with  $SO(p-1) \times SO(q-1)$  as principal isotropy group. Furthermore, the fixed point set of restricted  $SO(p-1) \times SO(q-1)$ -action is diffeomorphic to  $S^1$ .

In this paper, we shall study smooth  $SO_0(p, q)$ -actions on  $S^{p+q-1}$ , each of which is an extension of the above action, and we shall show that such an action is characterized by a pair  $(\phi, f)$  satisfying certain conditions, where  $\phi$  is a smooth one-parameter group on  $S^1$  and  $f: S^1 \rightarrow P_1(\mathbf{R})$  is a smooth function.

In his paper [1], T. Asoh has classified smooth  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ -actions on  $S^3$  topologically. In particular, he has introduced such a pair to study the case that the restricted  $SU(2)$ -action has codimension one orbits. We shall show that Asoh's method is useful to our problem.

### 1. Subgroups of $SO(p, q)$

Let  $SO(p, q)$  denote the group of matrices in  $SL(p+q, \mathbf{R})$  which leave invariant the quadratic form

$$-x_1^2 - \cdots - x_p^2 + x_{p+1}^2 + \cdots + x_{p+q}^2.$$

In particular,  $SO(p, q)$  contains  $S(O(p) \times O(q))$  as a maximal compact subgroup.

Put

$$I_{p,q} = \begin{bmatrix} -I_p & 0 \\ 0 & I_q \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $I_n$  denotes the unit matrix of order  $n$ . It is clear that for a real matrix  $g$  of order  $p+q$ ,  $g \in SO(p, q)$  if and only if  ${}^t g I_{p,q} g = I_{p,q}$  and  $\det g = 1$ .

---

\*) Partly supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, The Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

Let  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  denote the Lie algebra of  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$ . Then, for a real matrix  $X$  of order  $p+q$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad {}^tXI_{p,q} + I_{p,q}X = 0.$$

Writing  $X$  in the form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $X_1$  is of order  $p$  and  $X_4$  is of order  $q$ , we see that the condition (1.1) is equivalent to  $X_3 = {}^tX_2$  and  $X_1, X_4$  are skew-symmetric.

Here we consider the standard representations of  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$  and  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^{p+q}$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_{p+q}$  denote the standard basis of  $\mathbf{R}^{p+q}$ . Let  $H(a:b)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{h}(a:b)$ ) denote the isotropy group (resp. the isotropy algebra) at  $ae_1 + be_{p+1}$  for  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ . It is clear that  $\mathfrak{h}(a:b)$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  consisting of matrices in the form

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b^tU & 0 & b^tV \\ bU & * & -aU & * \\ 0 & -a^tU & 0 & a^tV \\ bV & * & -aV & * \end{pmatrix}; \quad U \in \mathbf{R}^{p-1}, V \in \mathbf{R}^{q-1}.$$

Moreover, we see  $H(1:0) = \mathbf{SO}(p-1, q)$  and  $H(0:1) = \mathbf{SO}(p, q-1)$ . Put

$$(1.3) \quad m(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \theta & & \sinh \theta & \\ & I_{p-1} & & \\ \sinh \theta & & \cosh \theta & \\ & & & I_{q-1} \end{pmatrix}; \quad \theta \in \mathbf{R}.$$

It is clear that  $m(\theta) \in \mathbf{SO}(p, q)$  and

$$(1.4) \quad m(\theta)(ae_1 + be_{p+1}) = a'e_1 + b'e_{p+1},$$

where  $a' = a \cosh \theta + b \sinh \theta$ ,  $b' = a \sinh \theta + b \cosh \theta$ . Let  $M(p, q)$  denote the subgroup of  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$  consisting of matrices  $m(\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ .

**Lemma 1.5.**  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q) = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{O}(p) \times \mathbf{O}(q))M(p, q)\mathbf{SO}(p-1, q)$   
 $= \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{O}(p) \times \mathbf{O}(q))M(p, q)\mathbf{SO}(p, q-1)$ .

The coset space  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)/\mathbf{SO}(p-1, q)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)/\mathbf{SO}(p, q-1)$ ) is homeomorphic

to  $S^{p-1} \times \mathbf{R}^q$  (resp.  $\mathbf{R}^p \times S^{q-1}$ ).

Proof. Let  $g \in \mathbf{SO}(p, q)$  and  $ge_1 = u \oplus v \in \mathbf{R}^p \oplus \mathbf{R}^q$ . There exist  $k \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{O}(p) \times \mathbf{O}(q))$  and  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  such that

$$k^{-1}ge_1 = \|u\|e_1 + \varepsilon\|v\|e_{p+1}.$$

Since  $\|u\|^2 - \|v\|^2 = 1$ , there exists  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\|u\| = \cosh \theta, \quad \varepsilon\|v\| = \sinh \theta.$$

Then we see that  $m(-\theta)k^{-1}g \in \mathbf{SO}(p-1, q)$ , and hence we obtain the first equation. The correspondence  $g\mathbf{SO}(p-1, q) \rightarrow (\|u\|^{-1}u, v)$  gives a homeomorphism from  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)/\mathbf{SO}(p-1, q)$  onto  $S^{p-1} \times \mathbf{R}^q$ . The second half can be proved similarly by considering the orbit of  $e_{p+1}$ . q.e.d.

Let  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p, q)$  denote the identity component of  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$ . By the above lemma, we see that  $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$  has two connected components for  $p, q \geq 1$ . Writing  $g \in \mathbf{SO}(p, q)$  in the form

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A$  is of order  $p$  and  $D$  is of order  $q$ , we see that  $g \in \mathbf{SO}_0(p, q)$  if and only if  $\det A > 0$ .

Considering the orbit of  $ae_1 + be_{p+1}$ , we obtain

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbf{SO}(p, q) = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{O}(p) \times \mathbf{O}(q))M(p, q)H(a : b)$$

for each  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ . It is clear that

$$\bigcap_{(a,b)} H(a : b) = \mathbf{SO}(p-1, q-1),$$

where the intersection is taken over all  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** *Suppose  $p, q \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a proper subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  which contains  $\mathfrak{so}(p-1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(q-1)$ . If*

$$(*) \quad \dim \mathfrak{so}(p, q) - \dim \mathfrak{g} \leq p + q - 1.$$

then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}(a : b)$  for some  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$  or  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}(1 : \varepsilon) \oplus \theta^1$  for  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ , where the one-dimensional space  $\theta^1$  is generated by a matrix  $E_{1,p+1} + E_{p+1,1}$ .

Proof. By considering the adjoint representation of  $\mathbf{SO}(p-1) \times \mathbf{SO}(q-1)$  on  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ , we see first that  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains  $\mathfrak{so}(p-1, q-1)$  under the condition (\*). Next, we obtain the desired result by considering the bracket operations on  $\mathbf{SO}(p-1) \times \mathbf{SO}(q-1)$ -invariant subspaces. We omit the detail (cf. [4], §2).

q.e.d.

**2. Smooth  $SO_0(p, q)$  actions on  $S^{p+q-1}$**

Let  $\Phi_0: SO_0(p, q) \times S^{p+q-1} \rightarrow S^{p+q-1}$  denote the standard action defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi_0(g, u) = \|gu\|^{-1}gu .$$

Its restricted  $SO(p) \times SO(q)$ -action  $\psi$  is of orthogonal transformations and has codimension one principal orbits with  $SO(p-1) \times SO(q-1)$  as principal isotropy group. Moreover, the fixed point set of its restricted  $SO(p-1) \times SO(q-1)$ -action is one-dimensional. Put

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G &= SO_0(p, q), K = SO(p) \times SO(q), H = SO(p-1) \times SO(q-1), \\ \psi &= \Phi_0|_{K \times S^{p+q-1}}, F(H) = \{xe_1 + ye_{p+1} | x^2 + y^2 = 1\} , \end{aligned}$$

where  $F(H)$  is the fixed point set of the restricted  $H$ -action. In the following, we shall identify  $F(H)$  with the circle  $S^1$  by the natural diffeomorphism  $h: S^1 \rightarrow F(H)$  defined by  $h(x, y) = xe_1 + ye_{p+1}$ .

Let  $\Phi: G \times S^{p+q-1} \rightarrow S^{p+q-1}$  be a smooth  $G$ -action on  $S^{p+q-1}$  ( $p, q \geq 3$ ) such that its restricted  $K$ -action coincides with the action  $\psi$ , i.e.  $\Phi|_{K \times S^{p+q-1}} = \psi$ .

First, we shall show that there exists a smooth function  $f: F(H) \rightarrow P_1(\mathbf{R})$  uniquely determined by the condition

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{h}(f(z)) \subset \mathfrak{g}_z; \quad z \in F(H) ,$$

where  $P_1(\mathbf{R})$  is the real projective line,  $\mathfrak{g}_z$  is the isotropy algebra at  $z$  with respect to the given  $G$ -action  $\Phi$ , and  $\mathfrak{h}(f(z))$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  defined by (1.2).

Because  $\mathfrak{g}_z$  is a proper subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  which contains  $\text{Lie } H = \mathfrak{so}(p-1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(q-1)$ , there exists uniquely  $(a: b) \in P_1(\mathbf{R})$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad \mathfrak{h}(a: b) \subset \mathfrak{g}_z$$

by Lemma 1.7. It remains only to show the smoothness of  $f$ . By (1.2), (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b(E_{i1} - E_{1i}) - a(E_{i, p+1} + E_{p+1, i}) &\in \mathfrak{g}_z \quad (2 \leq i \leq p) , \\ b(E_{1, p+j} + E_{p+j, 1}) + a(E_{p+1, p+j} - E_{p+j, p+1}) &\in \mathfrak{g}_z \quad (2 \leq j \leq q) , \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} b\|E_{i1} - E_{1i}\|_z^2 - a\langle E_{i, p+1} + E_{p+1, i}, E_{i1} - E_{1i} \rangle_z &= 0 , \\ b\langle E_{1, p+j} + E_{p+j, 1}, E_{p+1, p+j} - E_{p+j, p+1} \rangle_z + a\|E_{p+1, p+j} - E_{p+j, p+1}\|_z^2 &= 0 , \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle , \rangle$  denotes the standard Riemannian metric on  $S^{p+q-1}$  and each element of  $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$  can be considered naturally as a smooth vector field on  $S^{p+q-1}$  (cf. [3], ch. II, Th. II). These equations assure the smoothness of  $f$ .

Comparing  $\mathfrak{h}(a: b)$  with isotropy algebras of the restricted  $K$ -action, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} f(\mathfrak{z}) = (1: 0) &\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{z} = \pm \mathbf{e}_1, \\ f(\mathfrak{z}) = (0: 1) &\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{z} = \pm \mathbf{e}_{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $m(\theta)$  be the matrix defined by (1.3). Then, the set  $F(H)$  is invariant under the transformation  $\Phi(m(\theta), -)$ , because  $m(\theta)$  commutes with each element of  $H$ . Let  $\phi: \mathbf{R} \times F(H) \rightarrow F(H)$  denote the smooth  $\mathbf{R}$ -action on  $F(H)$  defined by  $\phi(\theta, \mathfrak{z}) = \Phi(m(\theta), \mathfrak{z})$ . Then, we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad f(\mathfrak{z}) = (a: b) \Rightarrow f(\phi(\theta, \mathfrak{z})) = (a': b'),$$

where  $a' = a \cosh \theta + b \sinh \theta$ ,  $b' = a \sinh \theta + b \cosh \theta$ . This follows from (1.4), (2.3) and the definition of  $\mathfrak{h}(a: b)$ .

Let  $J_i: F(H) \rightarrow F(H)$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) denote involutions defined by  $J_1(x, y) = (-x, y)$  and  $J_2(x, y) = (x, -y)$ . Then, we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad f(\mathfrak{z}) = (a: b) \Rightarrow f(J_1(\mathfrak{z})) = f(J_2(\mathfrak{z})) = (a: -b).$$

This follows from the fact  $J_i(\mathfrak{z}) = \psi(j_i, \mathfrak{z})$  ( $i=1, 2$ ), where

$$(2.8) \quad j_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -I_2 & \\ & I_{p+q-2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad j_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I_p & \\ & -I_2 \\ & & I_{q-2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

There is a following relation of the involution  $J_i$  with the transformation  $\phi(\theta, -) = \Phi(m(\theta), -)$ :

$$(2.9) \quad J_i(\phi(\theta, \mathfrak{z})) = \phi(-\theta, J_i(\mathfrak{z})) \quad (i=1, 2).$$

This follows from the fact:  $j_i m(\theta) = m(-\theta) j_i$ .

Let  $\sigma: \mathbf{SO}_0(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbf{SO}_0(p, q)$  denote an automorphism defined by  $\sigma(g) = {}^t g^{-1} = I_{p,q} g I_{p,q}$ . We may give a new  $G$ -action  $\Phi^\sigma$  defined by  $\Phi^\sigma(g, u) = \Phi(\sigma(g), u)$ . It is clear that

$$\Phi^\sigma | K \times S^{p+q-1} = \Phi | K \times S^{p+q-1}.$$

Let  $f^\sigma, \phi^\sigma$  denote the smooth function  $f: F(H) \rightarrow P_1(\mathbf{R})$  and the smooth  $\mathbf{R}$ -action  $\phi: \mathbf{R} \times F(H) \rightarrow F(H)$ , respectively, with respect to the  $G$ -action  $\Phi^\sigma$ . Then we see that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi^\sigma(\theta, \mathfrak{z}) &= \phi(-\theta, \mathfrak{z}), \\ f(\mathfrak{z}) = (a: b) &\Rightarrow f^\sigma(\mathfrak{z}) = (a: -b). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Properties of $(\phi, f)$

Let  $P$  be a symmetric matrix of order  $p+q$ , and let  $U(P)$  denote a closed

subgroup of  $G = \mathbf{SO}_0(p, q)$  defined by

$$U(P) = \{g \in G \mid gP^t g = P\}.$$

Let  $f: F(H) \rightarrow P_1(\mathbf{R})$  be a smooth function. Let  $P(z)$  denote a symmetric matrix defined by

$$(3.1) \quad P(z) = (a^2 + b^2)^{-1}(ae_1 + be_{p+1})^t(ae_1 + be_{p+1})$$

for  $f(z) = (a: b)$ , and let  $U(z)$  denote the identity component of  $U(P(z))$ . Then, it is clear that (see §1)

$$(3.2) \quad U(z) = \text{the identity component of } H(a: b).$$

Let  $(\phi, f)$  be a pair of a smooth  $\mathbf{R}$ -action  $\phi$  on  $F(H)$  and a smooth function  $f: F(H) \rightarrow P_1(\mathbf{R})$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$(i) \quad J_i(\phi(\theta, z)) = \phi(-\theta, J_i(z)),$$

$$(ii) \quad f(z) = (a: b) \Rightarrow f(J_i(z)) = (a: -b),$$

where  $J_1, J_2$  are involutions on  $F(H)$  defined in §2,

$$(iii) \quad f(z) = (a: b) \Rightarrow f(\phi(\theta, z)) = (a': b'),$$

where  $a' = a \cosh \theta + b \sinh \theta$ ,  $b' = a \sinh \theta + b \cosh \theta$ ,

$$(iv) \quad f(z) = (1: 0) \Leftrightarrow z = \pm e_1; \quad f(z) = (0: 1) \Leftrightarrow z = \pm e_{q+1}.$$

By (1.4), (3.1) and the condition (iii), we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad m(\theta)P(z)m(\theta) = \lambda(\theta, z)P(\phi(\theta, z)),$$

where  $\lambda(\theta, z)$  is a positive real number defined by

$$\lambda(\theta, z) = (a^2 + b^2)^{-1}\{(a \cosh \theta + b \sinh \theta)^2 + (a \sinh \theta + b \cosh \theta)^2\},$$

for  $f(z) = (a: b)$ . By the condition (iv), we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad K \cap U(z) = K_z,$$

where  $K_z$  denotes the isotropy group at  $z \in F(H)$  with respect to the  $K$ -action  $\psi$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose  $kP(z)^t k = P(w)$  for some  $k \in K$  and  $z, w \in F(H)$ . Put  $f(z) = (a: b)$ .*

(1) *If  $ab \neq 0$ , then  $f(z) = f(w)$  and  $k \in H \cup j_1 j_2 H$ , or  $f(z) = f(J_i(w))$  and  $k \in j_1 H \cup j_2 H$ .*

(2) *If  $ab = 0$ , then  $f(z) = f(w)$  and  $k \in U(z) \cup j_1 j_2 U(z)$ .*

**Proof.** The result follows by a routine work from the fact that  $X^t X = Y^t Y$  implies  $X = \pm Y$  for column vectors  $X, Y$ . So we omit the detail. *q.e.d.*

**Lemma 3.6.** *Put  $f(z) = (a: b)$ . If  $f(\phi(\theta, z)) = f(J_i(z))$ , then  $|a| \neq |b|$ ,*

$\phi(\theta, z) = J_1(z)$  for  $|a| < |b|$ , and  $\phi(\theta, z) = J_2(z)$  for  $|a| > |b|$ .

Proof.  $f(J_i(z)) = (a: -b)$  by the condition (ii). On the other hand, if  $|a| = |b|$ , then  $f(\phi(\theta, z)) = f(z) = (a: b) \neq (a: -b)$  by the condition (iii). Hence we obtain  $|a| \neq |b|$ . Suppose  $|a| < |b|$ . Then  $z = \phi(\tau, \varepsilon e_{p+1})$  for some  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  by the conditions (iii), (iv). Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(z) &= \phi(-\tau, \varepsilon e_{p+1}), & J_2(z) &= \phi(-\tau, -\varepsilon e_{p+1}), \\ f(J_i(z)) &= (-\tanh \tau: 1), & \phi(\theta, z) &= \phi(\theta + \tau, \varepsilon e_{p+1}), \\ f(\phi(\theta, z)) &= (\tanh(\theta + \tau): 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\tau = -\theta/2$  and  $\phi(\theta, z) = J_1(z)$ . The remaining case is similarly proved. q.e.d.

**Lemma 3.7.** Put  $f(z) = (a: b)$ . If  $j_i m(\theta) \in U(z)$ , then  $|a| \neq |b|$ ,  $i=1$  for  $|a| < |b|$ , and  $i=2$  for  $|a| > |b|$ .

Proof. By (3.2) and our assumption, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cosh \theta & \sinh \theta \\ \sinh \theta & \cosh \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} a \\ -b \end{bmatrix}.$$

This implies  $(-1)^i(a^2 + b^2) = (a^2 - b^2) \cosh \theta$ . Hence we obtain the desired result. q.e.d.

#### 4. Construction of $SO_0(p, q)$ -actions

**4.1.** Let  $(\phi, f)$  be a pair of a smooth  $\mathbf{R}$ -action  $\phi$  on  $F(H)$  and a smooth function  $f: F(H) \rightarrow P_1(\mathbf{R})$  satisfying the four conditions in §3. We shall show how to construct a smooth  $G = SO_0(p, q)$ -action on  $S^{p+q-1}$  from the pair  $(\phi, f)$ . We use the notations (2.2), (2.8).

By (1.6), (3.2), we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad G = KM(p, q)U(z)$$

for each  $z \in F(H)$ . Take  $(g, p) \in G \times S^{p+q-1}$ . Let us choose

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} k \in K, z \in F(H): p &= \psi(k, z), \\ k' \in K, \theta \in \mathbf{R}, u \in U(z): gk &= k'm(\theta)u, \end{aligned}$$

and put

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi(g, p) = \psi(k', \phi(\theta, z)) \in S^{p+q-1}.$$

We shall show that  $\Phi$  is a smooth  $G$ -action on  $S^{p+q-1}$ . To show this, we prepare the followings.

**Lemma 4.4.** Suppose  $km(\theta)u = k'm(\theta')u'$  for  $k, k' \in K$  and  $u, u' \in U(z)$ .

Then,  $\psi(k, \phi(\theta, z)) = \psi(k', \phi(\theta', z))$ .

Proof. We obtain

$$km(\theta)P(z)m(\theta)^t k = k'm(\theta')P(z)m(\theta')^t k'.$$

Then, by (3.3)

$$\lambda(\theta, z)kP(\phi(\theta, z))^t k = \lambda(\theta', z)k'P(\phi(\theta', z))^t k'.$$

Comparing traces of both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\theta, z) &= \lambda(\theta', z), \\ kP(\phi(\theta, z))^t k &= k'P(\phi(\theta', z))^t k'. \end{aligned}$$

By the second equation, Lemma 3.5 and the conditions (i), (iii), we obtain the following possibilities:

- (a)  $f(\phi(\theta - \theta', z)) = f(z)$ , or
- (b)  $f(\phi(\theta + \theta', z)) = f(J_i(z))$ .

Put  $f(z) = (a : b)$ . We see that if  $|a| = |b|$  (resp.  $|a| \neq |b|$ ), then the equation  $\lambda(\theta, z) = \lambda(\theta', z)$  (resp.  $f(\phi(\theta - \theta', z)) = f(z)$ ) implies  $\theta = \theta'$ . Suppose  $\theta = \theta'$ . Then

$$k^{-1}k' = m(\theta)uu'^{-1}m(\theta)^{-1} \in m(\theta)U(z)m(\theta)^{-1} = U(\phi(\theta, z))$$

by (3.3), and hence  $\psi(k^{-1}k', \phi(\theta, z)) = \phi(\theta, z)$  by (3.4). Therefore, if  $\theta = \theta'$  then  $\psi(k, \phi(\theta, z)) = \psi(k', \phi(\theta', z))$ .

Finally, we consider the case (b). Then  $k^{-1}k' \in j_i H \cup j_2 H$  by Lemma 3.5, and hence  $k' = kj_i h$  for some  $i$  and  $h \in H$ . Then

$$m(\theta)u = j_i h m(\theta') u' = j_i m(\theta') h u' = m(-\theta') j_i h u',$$

and hence  $j_i m(\theta + \theta') = h u' u^{-1} \in U(z)$ . Therefore, we obtain  $|a| \neq |b|$ ,  $i=1$  for  $|a| < |b|$ , and  $i=2$  for  $|a| > |b|$  by Lemma 3.7. On the other hand, the equation (b) implies  $\phi(\theta + \theta', z) = J_1(z)$  for  $|a| < |b|$  and  $\phi(\theta + \theta', z) = J_2(z)$  for  $|a| > |b|$  by Lemma 3.6. Therefore, we obtain  $k' = kj_i h$  and  $\phi(\theta + \theta', z) = J_i(z)$  for some  $i$  and  $h \in H$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(k', \phi(\theta', z)) &= \psi(kj_i h, \phi(\theta', z)) \\ &= \psi(k, J_i \phi(\theta', z)) = \psi(k, \phi(-\theta', J_i(z))) \\ &= \psi(k, \phi(-\theta', \phi(\theta + \theta', z))) = \psi(k, \phi(\theta, z)). \end{aligned} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

**Proposition 4.5.**  $\Phi$  of (4.3) defines an abstract  $G$ -action on  $S^{\rho+q-1}$  such that  $\Phi|_{K \times S^{\rho+q-1}} = \psi$ .

Proof. For  $(g, p) \in G \times S^{\rho+q-1}$ , let us choose as in (4.2);

$$p = \psi(k_1, z_1) = \psi(k_2, z_2),$$

$$gk_i = k'_i m(\theta_i) u_i, u_i \in U(z_i).$$

By the first equation, we obtain  $z_1 = J_1^s J_2^t(z_2)$  for some integers  $s, t$ . Then,  $k_2^{-1} k_1 j_1^s j_2^t \in K_{z_2} \subset U(z_2)$  by (3.4). Therefore,  $k_2 = k_1 j_1^s j_2^t u'_2$  for some  $u'_2 \in U(z_2)$ . Then, we obtain

$$k'_2 m(\theta_2) u_2 = gk_2 = gk_1 j_1^s j_2^t u'_2 = k'_1 m(\theta_1) u_1 j_1^s j_2^t u'_2$$

$$= (k'_1 j_1^s j_2^t) m((-1)^{s+t} \theta_1) (j_1^s j_2^t u_1 j_1^s j_2^t) u'_2.$$

It is clear  $k'_2 = k'_1 j_1^s j_2^t \in K$ , and we see

$$(j_1^s j_2^t u_1 j_1^s j_2^t) u'_2 \in U(z_2)$$

by the equation  $P(J_i(z)) = j_i P(z) j_i$ . Then,

$$\psi(k'_2, \phi(\theta_2, z_2)) = \psi(k'_2, \phi((-1)^{s+t} \theta_1, z_2))$$

by Lemma 4.4. On the other hand,

$$\psi(k'_2, \phi((-1)^{s+t} \theta_1, z_2)) = \psi(k'_1, J_1^s J_2^t \phi((-1)^{s+t} \theta_1, z_2))$$

$$= \psi(k'_1, \phi(\theta_1, J_1^s J_2^t(z_2))) = \psi(k'_1, \phi(\theta_1, z_1)).$$

This shows that  $\Phi$  of (4.3) is a well-defined mapping.

Take  $g, g' \in G$  and  $p \in S^{p+q-1}$ . Let us choose as in (4.2);

$$p = \psi(k, z), \quad gk = k' m(\theta) u, \quad g'k' = k'' m(\theta') u',$$

where  $u \in U(z)$  and  $u' \in U(\phi(\theta, z))$ . Then,

$$\Phi(g', \Phi(g, p)) = \Phi(g', \psi(k', \phi(\theta, z)))$$

$$= \psi(k'', \phi(\theta', \phi(\theta, z)))$$

$$= \psi(k'', \phi(\theta + \theta', z)) = \Phi(g'g, p).$$

Because

$$g'gk = g'k'm(\theta)u = k''m(\theta')u'm(\theta)u$$

$$= k''m(\theta + \theta')(m(-\theta)u'm(\theta))u,$$

and  $m(-\theta)u'm(\theta) \in U(z)$  by (3.3). This shows that  $\Phi$  of (4.3) is an abstract  $G$ -action.

Finally, take  $(k, p) \in K \times S^{p+q-1}$  and put  $p = \psi(k', z)$  as in (4.2). Then,

$$\Phi(k, p) = \psi(kk', z) = \psi(k, \psi(k', z)) = \psi(k, p). \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

Notice that the continuity of  $\Phi$  is unknown in this stage. In the remaining of this section, we shall show the smoothness of the  $G$ -action  $\Phi$ .

**4.2.** Put  $f(z)=(a: b)$  and  $z=(x, y)$ . It is clear that  $ab \neq 0$  if and only if  $xy \neq 0$  by the condition (iv). To simplify the following discussion, we add a condition on the pair  $(\phi, f)$

$$(v) \quad xy > 0 \Rightarrow ab > 0.$$

Notice that the condition (v) is inessential, by (2.10).

Define

$$S_+ = \{z = (x, y) \in F(H) \mid x > 0, y > 0\}.$$

By the condition (v), there is a smooth positive valued function  $\beta$  on  $S_+$  such that  $f(z)=(1: \beta(z))$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** For  $(\theta, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times S_+$ ,  $\phi(\theta, z) \in S_+$  if and only if

$$(4.6) \quad (1 + \beta(z) \tanh \theta) (\beta(z) + \tanh \theta) > 0.$$

*Proof.*  $f(\phi(\theta, z)) = (1 + \beta(z) \tanh \theta : \beta(z) + \tanh \theta)$  by the condition (iii). Then, only if part is clear. Suppose (4.6). Then,

$$\phi(\theta, z) \in S_+ \cup J_1 J_2(S_+)$$

and we see that  $\phi(\theta, z) \notin J_1 J_2(S_+)$  by considering orbits of the  $\mathbf{R}$ -action  $\phi$ .  
q.e.d.

Define

$$D_+ = \{(\theta, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times S_+ \mid \phi(\theta, z) \in S_+\},$$

$$W_+ = \{(g, z) \in G \times S_+ \mid \pm \text{trace}(gP(z)^t g) \neq (1 - \beta(z)^2)(1 + \beta(z)^2)^{-1}\}.$$

**Lemma 4.7.** For any  $(g, z) \in W_+$ , there exist uniquely  $kH \in K/H$  and  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$(4.7) \quad g = km(\theta)u; u \in U(z), (\theta, z) \in D_+.$$

Furthermore, the correspondence  $\Delta: W_+ \rightarrow K/H \times D_+$  defined by  $\Delta(g, z) = (kH, (\theta, z))$  is smooth.

*Proof.* First, we show the uniqueness of the decomposition (4.7). Suppose

$$g = km(\theta)u = k'm(\theta')u'$$

for  $k, k' \in K$ ,  $u, u' \in U(z)$  and  $(\theta, z), (\theta', z) \in D_+$ . Then,  $\psi(k, \phi(\theta, z)) = \psi(k', \phi(\theta', z))$  by Lemma 4.4. Since  $\phi(\theta, z)$  and  $\phi(\theta', z)$  are contained in  $S_+$ , we see  $\phi(\theta, z) = \phi(\theta', z)$ . Then,  $k^{-1}k' \in K_{\phi(\theta, z)} = H$ , and hence  $kH = k'H$ . Furthermore, we obtain  $\theta = \theta'$  by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.4.

Next, we show the existence of the decomposition (4.7). Choose  $k \in K$ ,

$\theta \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $u \in U(z)$  such that  $g = km(\theta)u$ . Then,

$$(*) \quad \text{trace}(gP(z)^t g) = \cosh 2\theta + 2\beta(z)(1 + \beta(z)^2)^{-1} \sinh 2\theta.$$

Suppose  $(\theta, z) \notin D_+$ . If  $\beta(z) = 1$ , then  $\phi(\theta, z) \in S_+$  for any  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ . Hence we see  $\beta(z) \neq 1$ . (i) Suppose  $0 < \beta(z) < 1$ . We can find  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfying  $z = \phi(\tau, e_1)$  and  $\beta(z) = \tanh \tau$ . The assumption  $\phi(\theta, z) \notin S_+$  implies  $\tanh(\theta + \tau) \leq 0$  by Lemma 4.6, and hence  $\theta + \tau \leq 0$ . If  $\theta + \tau = 0$ , then we obtain

$$\text{trace}(gP(z)^t g) = (1 + \beta(z)^2)^{-1}(1 - \beta(z)^2).$$

This is a contradiction to  $(g, z) \in W_+$ , and hence  $\theta + \tau < 0$ . Then,

$$\phi(-\theta - 2\tau, z) = \phi(-\theta - \tau, e_1) = J_2 \phi(\theta + \tau, e_1)$$

and  $\phi(-\theta - 2\tau, z) \in S_+$  by Lemma 4.6. Furthermore,

$$j_2 m(-2\tau) = m(\tau) j_2 m(-\tau) \in U(z),$$

by  $j_2 \in U(e_1)$ . Then

$$g = km(\theta)u = (kj_2)m(-\theta - 2\tau)(j_2 m(-2\tau)u),$$

where  $kj_2 \in K$ ,  $j_2 m(-2\tau)u \in U(z)$  and  $(-\theta - 2\tau, z) \in D_+$ . (ii) Suppose  $\beta(z) > 1$ . We can find  $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$  satisfying  $z = \phi(\tau, e_{p+1})$  and  $\beta(z)^{-1} = \tanh \tau$ . Then we obtain similarly

$$g = km(\theta)u = (kj_1)m(-\theta - 2\tau)(j_1 m(-2\tau)u),$$

where  $kj_1 \in K$ ,  $j_1 m(-2\tau)u \in U(z)$  and  $(-\theta - 2\tau, z) \in D_+$ .

Finally, we shall show the smoothness of  $\Delta$ . Put  $\theta = \theta(g, z)$  and  $kH = \delta(g, z)$  for  $\Delta(g, z) = (kH, (\theta, z))$ , and we shall show the smoothness of  $\theta(g, z)$  and  $\delta(g, z)$ .

Consider the smooth function  $\gamma$  on  $W_+ \times \mathbf{R}$  defined by

$$\gamma(g, z, \theta) = \cosh 2\theta + 2\beta(z)(1 + \beta(z)^2)^{-1} \sinh 2\theta - \text{trace}(gP(z)^t g).$$

Then,  $\gamma(g, z, \theta(g, z)) = 0$  by (4.7) and (\*). Furthermore, if  $\gamma(g, z, \theta) = 0$ , then

$$\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \theta}(g, z, \theta) = 2 \cosh 2\theta (\tanh 2\theta + 2\beta(z)(1 + \beta(z)^2)^{-1}) \neq 0$$

by the definition of  $W_+$ . Then, we see that the function  $\theta(g, z)$  is smooth by Lemma 4.6 and the implicit function theorem.

Consider the smooth function  $\delta_1: W_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{p+q}$  defined by

$$\delta_1(g, z) = (1 + \beta(z)^2)^{-1/2} g(e_1 + \beta(z)e_{p+1}).$$

Put  $\Delta(g, z) = (kH, (\theta, z))$ , and define

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (1 + \beta(z)^2)^{-1/2}(\cosh \theta + \beta(z) \sinh \theta), \\ y &= (1 + \beta(z)^2)^{-1/2}(\sinh \theta + \beta(z) \cosh \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we see that  $\delta_1(g, z) = k(xe_1 + ye_{p+1})$  and  $x > 0, y > 0$  by Lemma 4.6. Since the correspondence of  $kH$  to  $k(e_1 + e_{p+1})$  defines an embedding of  $K/H$  into  $\mathbf{R}^{p+q}$ , we see that the function  $\delta(g, z)$  is smooth, by considering a correspondence of  $u \oplus v (u \neq 0, v \neq 0)$  to  $\|u\|^{-1}u \oplus \|v\|^{-1}v$ . q.e.d.

**4.3.** Define

$$S_0(\Phi) = \{\Phi(g, e_1) \mid g \in G\}, \quad S_0(\Phi_0) = \{\Phi_0(g, e_1) \mid g \in G\}$$

for the  $G$ -action  $\Phi$  of (4.3) and the standard  $G$ -action  $\Phi_0$  of (2.1), respectively. By (4.3) and the conditions of  $(\phi, f)$ , there exists a positive real number  $r < 1$  such that

$$S_0(\Phi) = \{u \oplus v \in S(\mathbf{R}^p \oplus \mathbf{R}^q) \mid \|v\| < r\}.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$S_0(\Phi_0) = \{u \oplus v \in S(\mathbf{R}^p \oplus \mathbf{R}^q) \mid \|u\| > \|v\|\}.$$

**Lemma 4.8.** *The restriction of  $\Phi$  to  $G \times S_0(\Phi)$  is smooth.*

Proof. Put  $D^q(\delta) = \{v \in \mathbf{R}^q \mid \|v\| < \delta\}$ , and define a diffeomorphism  $\alpha: S^{p-1} \times D^q(1) \rightarrow S_0(\Phi_0)$  by

$$\alpha(u, v) = (1 + \|v\|^2)^{-1/2}(u \oplus v).$$

Let us define a diffeomorphism  $F_0: S_0(\Phi) \rightarrow S_0(\Phi_0)$  by  $F_0(u \oplus v) = \alpha(\|u\|^{-1}u, F(v))$ , where  $F: D^q(r) \rightarrow D^q(1)$  is a diffeomorphism not yet introduced.

There is a smooth real valued function  $h$  on  $(-r, r)$  such that  $f((1 - y^2)^{1/2}, y) = (1: h(y))$ . It is clear that  $h(y) > 0$  for  $0 < y < r$  by the condition (v). Furthermore,  $h$  is a diffeomorphism from  $(-r, r)$  onto  $(-1, 1)$  by the conditions (iii), (iv). Since

$$(1: h(-y)) = f((1 - y^2)^{1/2}, -y) = f(J_2((1 - y^2)^{1/2}, y)) = (1: -h(y)),$$

we obtain  $h(-y) = -h(y)$ , and hence  $y \rightarrow y^{-1}h(y)$  is a smooth even function. Therefore,  $v \rightarrow \|v\|^{-1}h(\|v\|)$  is a smooth function on  $D^q(r)$  (cf. [2], ch. VIII, § 14, Problem 6-c). Then we can define  $F(v) = \|v\|^{-1}(h\|v\|)v$ .

Now we shall show that the diffeomorphism  $F_0: S_0(\Phi) \rightarrow S_0(\Phi_0)$  is  $G$ -equivariant. It is clear that  $F_0$  is  $K$ -equivariant. By definition of  $h$  and the conditions (iii), (iv), we obtain

$$F_0(\phi(\theta, e_1)) = \Phi_0(m(\theta), e_1); \theta \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Take  $g \in G$  and put  $g = km(\theta)u$  for  $k \in K, u \in U(e_1) = \mathbf{SO}_0(p-1, q)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(\Phi(g, e_1)) &= F_0(\psi(k, \phi(\theta, e_1))) = \Phi_0(k, F_0(\phi(\theta, e_1))) \\ &= \Phi_0(k, \Phi_0(m(\theta), e_1)) = \Phi_0(km(\theta), e_1) = \Phi_0(g, e_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the diffeomorphism  $F_0$  is  $G$ -equivariant, and hence the restriction  $\Phi|G \times S_0(\Phi)$  is smooth. q.e.d.

Now we can prove the smoothness of  $\Phi$ . By Lemma 4.8 and a similar argument, we see that the restrictions of  $\Phi$  to

$$G \times \{\Phi(g, e_1) | g \in G\} \quad \text{and} \quad G \times \{\Phi(g, e_{p+1}) | g \in G\}$$

are smooth. Define  $W(\Phi) = \{(g, \psi(k, z)) | (gk, z) \in W_+\}$ . Then, we see that  $W(\Phi)$  is an open set of  $G \times S^{p+q-1}$ , since  $W_+$  is an open set of  $G \times S_+$ . Furthermore, we see that  $\Phi|W(\Phi)$  is smooth, since  $\Delta$  is smooth by Lemma 4.7. Consequently, we obtain the smoothness of  $\Phi$  on  $G \times S^{p+q-1}$ , because three open sets  $G \times \{\Phi(g, e_1) | g \in G\}$ ,  $G \times \{\Phi(g, e_{p+1}) | g \in G\}$  and  $W(\Phi)$  cover  $G \times S^{p+q-1}$ .

### 5. Conclusion

**Theorem.** *Suppose  $p \geq 3, q \geq 3$ . Then, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of smooth  $SO_0(p, q)$ -actions  $\Phi$  on  $S^{p+q-1}$  whose restricted  $SO(p) \times SO(q)$ -action is the standard orthogonal action and the set of pairs  $(\phi, f)$  satisfying the conditions (i) to (iv) in §3, where  $\phi$  is a smooth one-parameter group on  $S^1$  and  $f: S^1 \rightarrow P_1(\mathbf{R})$  is a smooth function.*

Proof. The correspondence of  $\Phi$  to  $(\phi, f)$  is given in §2, and its reversed correspondence of  $(\phi, f)$  to  $\Phi$  is given in §4. q.e.d.

By Asoh's consideration (cf. [1], §9–§11), we can show that there are infinitely many topologically distinct smooth  $SO_0(p, q)$ -actions on  $S^{p+q-1}$  whose restricted  $SO(p) \times SO(q)$ -action is the standard orthogonal action. We omit the proof.

---

### References

- [1] T. Asoh: *On smooth  $SL(2, C)$  actions on 3-manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **24** (1987), 271–298.
- [2] J. Dieudonné: *Foundations of modern analysis*, Pure and Applied Math. **10**, Academic Press, 1960.
- [3] R.S. Palais: *A global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups*, Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1957).
- [4] F. Uchida: *Real analytic  $SL(n, R)$  actions on spheres*, Tôhoku Math. J. **33** (1981), 145–175.

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science, Yamagata University  
Koshirakawa, Yamagata 990, Japan

