



Title	The structure of primitive gamma rings
Author(s)	Luh, Jiang
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1970, 7(2), p. 267-274
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/12482">https://doi.org/10.18910/12482</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## THE STRUCTURE OF PRIMITIVE GAMMA RINGS

JIANG LUH

(Received November 4, 1969)

### 1. Introduction

The notion of a  $\Gamma$ -ring was first introduced by Nobusawa [7]. The class of  $\Gamma$ -rings contains not only all rings but also all Hestenes ternary rings. In [7], Nobusawa generalized the Wedderburn-Artin Theorem for simple  $\Gamma$ -rings and for semi-simple  $\Gamma$ -rings. Barnes [1] obtained analogues of the classical Noether-Lasker theorems concerning primary representations of ideals for  $\Gamma$ -rings. The author [5] gave a characterization of primitive  $\Gamma$ -rings with minimal one-sided ideals by means of certain  $\Gamma$ -rings of continuous semilinear transformations. He [6] also established several structure theorems for simple  $\Gamma$ -rings having minimal one-sided ideals. Recently, Coppage and the author [2] introduced the notions of Jacobson radical, Levitzki radical, nil radical for  $\Gamma$ -rings and obtained some basic radical properties and inclusion relations for these radicals together with the prime radical defined by Barnes [1].

The object of this paper is to study the structure of primitive  $\Gamma$ -rings. One of its main results is a generalization of the Jacobson - Chevalley density theorem. This generalizes further a result given by Smiley and Stephenson for Hestenes ternary rings [8].

We refer to [4] for all notions relevant to ring theory.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  be two additive abelian groups. If for all  $x, y, z \in M$  and all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  the conditions

- (1)  $x\alpha y \in M$
- (2)  $(x+y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z,$   
 $x(\alpha+\beta)z = x\alpha z + x\beta z,$   
 $x\alpha(y+z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z,$
- (3)  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$

are satisfied then we call  $M$  a  $\Gamma$ -ring.

If these conditions are strengthened to

- (1')  $x\alpha y \in M, \alpha x \beta \in \Gamma$ ,  
 (2') the same as (2),  
 (3')  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x(\alpha y\beta)z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$   
 (4')  $x\alpha y = 0$  for all  $x, y \in M$  implies  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $M$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa.

Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. If  $S, T \subseteq M$ , we write  $ST$  for the set of finite sums  $\sum_i s_i t_i$  where  $s_i \in S, t_i \in T, \alpha_i \in \Gamma$ . A subgroup  $I$  of  $M$  is a left (right) ideal of  $M$  if  $M\Gamma I \subseteq I$  ( $I\Gamma M \subseteq I$ ). If  $I$  is both a left and a right ideal of  $M$ , then  $I$  is an ideal of  $M$ . A one-sided ideal  $I$  is strongly nilpotent if  $I^n = I\Gamma I \cdots \Gamma I = 0$  for some positive integer  $n$ . A non-zero right (left) ideal is minimal if the only right (left) ideals of  $M$  contained in  $I$  are 0 and  $I$  itself. It has been shown that every minimal right ideal which is not strongly nilpotent can be expressed as the form  $e\gamma M$ , where  $\gamma \in \Gamma, e \in M$  and  $e\gamma e = e$  (see [5] Theorem 3.2).

Let  $F$  be the free abelian group generated by the set of all ordered pairs  $(\alpha, x)$  where  $\alpha \in \Gamma, x \in M$ . Let  $K$  be the subgroup of elements  $\sum_i m_i (\alpha_i, x_i) \in F$ , where  $m_i$  are integers such that  $\sum_i m_i (x\alpha_i x_i) = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . Denote by  $R$  the factor group  $F/K$  and by  $[\alpha, x]$  the coset  $K + (\alpha, x)$ . Clearly every element in  $R$  can be expressed as a finite sum  $\sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i]$ . We define multiplication in  $R$  by

$$\sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i] \cdot \sum_j [\beta_j, y_j] = \sum_{i,j} [\alpha_i, x_i \beta_j y_j].$$

Then  $R$  forms a ring. Furthermore,  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module with the definition

$$x \sum_i [\alpha_i, x_i] = \sum_i x \alpha_i x_i, \quad \text{for } x \in M.$$

We call the ring  $R$  the right operator ring of  $M$ . Similarly, we can define the left operator ring  $L$ . Every element in  $L$  can be expressed as a finite sum  $\sum_j [x_j, \beta_j]$  where  $x_j \in M, \beta_j \in \Gamma$ . These two operator rings play important roles in studying the structure of  $\Gamma$ -rings. We recall that a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is right primitive if (i)  $M\Gamma x = 0$  implies  $x = 0$  and (ii) the right operator ring  $R$  of  $M$  is a right primitive ring.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $M$  is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring, then the left operator ring of  $M$  is a right primitive ring.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  and  $L$  be respectively the right and left operator rings of  $M$ .

Let  $G$  be a faithful irreducible right  $R$ -module. Let  $A$  be the free abelian group generated by the set of ordered pairs  $(g, \gamma)$ , where  $g \in G, \gamma \in \Gamma$ , and let  $B$  be the subgroup of elements  $\sum_i m_i (g_i, \gamma_i) \in A$  where  $m_i$  are integers such that  $\sum_i m_i g_i [\gamma_i, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . Denote by  $H$  the factor group  $A/B$  and, without causing any ambiguity, by  $[g, \gamma]$  the coset  $B + (g, \gamma)$ . Every element in  $H$  therefore can be expressed as a finite sum  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i]$ .  $H$  forms a right  $L$ -module with the definition

$$\Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i] \cdot \Sigma_j[x_j, \beta_j] = \Sigma_{i,j}[g_i[\gamma_i, x_j], \beta_j]$$

for  $\Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i] \in H$  and  $\Sigma_j[x_j, \beta_j] \in L$ . We claim that  $H$  is a faithful irreducible right  $L$ -module. Assume  $H \Sigma_j[x_j, \beta_j] = 0$ . Then for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G$ , we have  $\Sigma_j[g[\gamma, x_j], \beta_j] = [g, \gamma] \Sigma_j[x_j, \beta_j] = 0$ , i.e.  $g \Sigma_j[\gamma, x_j] [\beta_j, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . By the faithfulness of the  $R$ -module of  $G$ ,  $[\gamma, \Sigma_j x_j \beta_j x] = \Sigma_j[\gamma, x_j] [\beta_j, x] = 0$ , so  $M \Gamma \Sigma_j x_j \beta_j x = 0$ . By the condition (i),  $\Sigma_j x_j \beta_j x = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . This means that  $\Sigma_j[x_j, \beta_j] = 0$  and  $H$  is faithful. To see that  $H$  is irreducible, let  $\Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i]$  be an arbitrary non-zero element in  $H$ . Then the set  $G' = \{\Sigma_i g_i[\gamma_i, x] : x \in M\}$  is a non-zero  $R$ -submodule of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is irreducible,  $G' = G$ . For any  $\Sigma_j[g_j', \gamma_j'] \in H$ , we may write  $g_j' = \Sigma_i g_i[\gamma_i, x_j]$  where  $x_j \in M$ . Thus  $\Sigma_j[g_j', \gamma_j'] = \Sigma_j[\Sigma_i g_i[\gamma_i, x_j], \gamma_j'] = \Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i] \Sigma_j[x_j, \gamma_j] \in \Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i] L$ . Hence  $H$  is irreducible and  $L$  is a right primitive ring.

### 3. Irreducible $\Gamma$ -rings of homomorphisms on groups

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be non-zero additive abelian groups. If  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  are respectively subgroups of  $\text{Hom}(H, G)$  and  $\text{Hom}(G, H)$  such that  $g\Gamma = H$  and  $hM = G$  whenever  $0 \neq g \in G$  and  $0 \neq h \in H$ , and moreover if  $x\alpha y \in M$  and  $\alpha x \beta \in \Gamma$  for all  $x, y \in M$ , then  $M$  forms a  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa under the composition of mappings. We shall call such a  $\Gamma$ -ring an irreducible  $\Gamma$ -ring of homomorphisms on groups.

A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and a  $\Gamma'$ -ring  $M'$  are said to be isomorphic if there exist a group isomorphism  $\theta$  of  $M$  onto  $M'$  and a group isomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\Gamma$  onto  $\Gamma'$  such that  $(x\alpha y)\theta = (x\theta)(\alpha\phi)(y\theta)$  for all  $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . It is clear that  $M$  is right primitive if and only if  $M'$  is right primitive.

**Theorem 2.** *A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa if and only if it is isomorphic to an irreducible  $\Gamma$ -ring of homomorphisms on groups.*

**Proof.** Necessity. Let  $M$  be a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa with right operator ring  $R$  and left operator ring  $L$  and let  $G$  be a faithful irreducible right  $R$ -module, from the proof of Theorem 1, we can construct the faithful irreducible right  $L$ -module  $H$ . Now, for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  let  $\gamma\phi \in \text{Hom}(G, H)$  defined by  $g(\gamma\phi) = [g, \gamma]$ . Clearly  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism of  $\Gamma$  into  $\text{Hom}(G, H)$ . Moreover, if  $\gamma_1\phi = \gamma_2\phi$ , then  $[g, \gamma_1 - \gamma_2] = 0$  i.e.  $g[\gamma_1 - \gamma_2, x] = 0$  for all  $g \in G, x \in M$ . By the faithfulness of  $G$  as an  $R$ -module,  $[\gamma_1 - \gamma_2, x] = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . Consequently  $M(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)M = 0$  and, by the condition (4') in the definition of  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$ . Thus  $\phi$  is a group isomorphism of  $\Gamma$  onto  $\Gamma' = \Gamma\phi$ .

Likewise, for each  $x \in M$ , let  $x\theta$  be the mapping of  $H$  into  $G$  defined by  $\Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i](x\theta) = \Sigma_i g_i[\gamma_i, x]$ . It can be shown easily that  $x\theta \in \text{Hom}(H, G)$  and

that  $\theta$  is a group homomorphism of  $M$  into  $\text{Hom}(H, G)$ . We claim that  $\theta$  is one-to-one. Indeed, if  $x\theta=y\theta$ , where  $x, y \in M$ , then  $g[\gamma, x-y]=g[\gamma, x]-g[\gamma, y]=0$  for all  $g \in G, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Again by the faithfulness of  $G$ ,  $[\gamma, x-y]=0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , or equivalently that  $M\Gamma(x-y)=0$ . Hence  $x=y$  and  $\theta$  is a group isomorphism of  $M$  onto  $M'=M\theta$ . It is easy to see that the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is isomorphic to the  $\Gamma'$ -ring  $M'$ .

It remains to show that  $M'$  is an irreducible  $\Gamma'$ -ring of homomorphisms on groups. Let  $0 \neq g \in G$ . Since  $gR=G$ , every element in  $H$  can be expressed as  $\sum_j [g \sum_i [\gamma_{ij}, x_{ij}], \beta_j] = g(\gamma\phi)$  where  $\gamma_{ij}, \beta_j \in \Gamma, x_{ij} \in M$  and  $\gamma = \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij} x_{ij} \beta_j$ . Hence  $H = g\Gamma'$ . Now, let  $h$  be an arbitrary non-zero element in  $H$ . Then  $h = g(\gamma\phi) = [g, \gamma]$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . It follows that  $h(x\theta) = [g, \gamma](x\theta) = g[\gamma, x]$  for all  $x \in M$ . Thus  $hM'$  is a non-zero  $R$ -submodule of  $G$  and hence  $hM' = G$ .

Sufficiency. We may assume that  $M$  is an irreducible  $\Gamma$ -ring of homomorphisms on groups, and that  $0 \neq \Gamma \subseteq \text{Hom}(G, H), 0 \neq M \subseteq \text{Hom}(H, G)$  where  $H$  and  $G$  are abelian groups with the property that for any  $0 \neq g \in G$  and  $0 \neq h \in H$ ,  $g\Gamma = H$  and  $hM = G$ . Clearly,  $M\Gamma x = 0$  for  $x \in M$  implies  $x = 0$ . For  $g \in G$  and  $\sum_i [\gamma_i, x_i] \in R$ , the right operator ring of  $M$ , we define composition

$$g \sum_i [\gamma_i, x_i] = \sum_i (g\gamma_i)x_i.$$

This composition is well defined. For if  $\sum_j [\gamma_j, x_j] = \sum_j [\beta_j, y_j]$  in  $R$ , then  $\sum_i x\gamma_i x_i - \sum_j x\beta_j y_j = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . By noting that  $g \in g\Gamma M$ , we obtain  $\sum_i (g\gamma_i)x_i - \sum_j (g\beta_j)y_j = g(\sum_i \gamma_i x_i - \sum_j \beta_j y_j) \in g\Gamma M(\sum_i \gamma_i x_i - \sum_j \beta_j y_j) = 0$ , so  $g \sum_i [\gamma_i, x_i] = g \sum_j [\beta_j, y_j]$ . Clearly  $G$  forms an irreducible right  $R$ -module. Moreover, if  $\sum_i [\gamma_i, x_i] \in R$  and if  $G \sum_i [\gamma_i, x_i] = 0$ , then  $HM \sum_i [\gamma_i, x_i] = G\Gamma M \sum_i [\gamma_i, x_i] = G \sum_i [\gamma_i, x] = 0$ , and hence  $M \sum_i [\gamma_i, x_i] = 0$ . Consequently,  $\sum_i [\gamma_i, x_i] = 0$  and  $G$  is a faithful  $R$ -module. Thus,  $R$  is a right primitive ring and  $M$  is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa.

Observe the definition of irreducible  $\Gamma$ -rings of homomorphisms on groups. We can easily see that  $M$  is irreducible  $\Gamma$ -rings of homomorphisms on groups if and only if  $\Gamma$  is a irreducible  $\Gamma'$ -ring of homomorphisms on groups, where  $\Gamma' = M$ . Thus from Theorem 2, we immediately have the following

**Corollary.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Then  $M$  is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa if and only if  $\Gamma$  is a right primitive  $\Gamma'$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa, where  $\Gamma' = M$ .*

#### 4. Chevalley-Jacobson density theorem

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be non-zero right vector spaces over division rings  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  respectively, and let  $\sigma$  be an isomorphism of  $\Delta$  onto  $\Delta'$ . A group  $N$  of semilinear transformations (associated with  $\sigma$ ) of  $G$  into  $H$  is said to be dense if, for every positive integer  $n$  and every  $n$  linearly independent elements  $g_1, g_2,$

$\dots, g_n$  in  $G$  and every  $n$  elements  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n$  in  $H$ , there exists  $x \in N$  such that  $g_i x = h_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Now, if  $\Gamma$  is a dense group of semilinear transformations (associated with  $\sigma$ ) of  $G$  into  $H$  and  $M$  is a dense group of semilinear transformations (associated with  $\sigma^{-1}$ ) of  $H$  into  $G$ , and if the compositions of mappings  $\alpha\gamma\beta \in M$  and  $\alpha x\beta \in \Gamma$  for all  $x, y \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then  $M$  forms a  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa under the composition of mappings. We shall call such a  $\Gamma$ -ring a dense  $\Gamma$ -ring of semilinear transformations.

Following is a generalization of the well known Chevalley-Jacobson density theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Then  $M$  is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa if and only if it is isomorphic to a dense  $\Gamma$ -ring of semilinear transformations.*

**Proof.** Sufficiency. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2, since a dense  $\Gamma$ -ring of semilinear transformations evidently is an irreducible  $\Gamma$ -ring of homomorphisms on groups.

Necessity. We assume that  $M$  is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa. According to the proof of Theorem 1 we can construct a faithful irreducible right  $R$ -module  $G$  and a faithful irreducible right  $L$ -module  $H$ , where  $R$  and  $L$  are respectively the right operator ring and the left operator ring of  $M$ . Set  $\Delta = \text{Hom}_R(G, G)$  and  $\Delta' = \text{Hom}_L(H, H)$ . By Schur's Lemma,  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  are division rings.

First, we shall show that  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  are isomorphic. For  $\delta \in \Delta$ , we define the mapping  $\delta^\sigma: H \rightarrow H$  by

$$(\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i])\delta^\sigma = \sum_i [g_i\delta, \gamma_i]$$

for  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i] \in H$ . Here  $\delta^\sigma$  is well defined. For, if  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i] = \sum_j [g_j', \gamma_j']$  then for all  $x \in M$ ,  $\sum_i g_i[\gamma_i, x] = \sum_j g_j'[\gamma_j', x]$ , and hence  $\sum_i (g_i\delta)[\gamma_i, x] = (\sum_i g_i[\gamma_i, x])\delta = (\sum_j g_j'[\gamma_j', x])\delta = \sum_j (g_j'\delta)[\gamma_j', x]$ . Thus  $\sum_i [g_i\delta, \gamma_i] = \sum_j [g_j'\delta, \gamma_j']$  as we desired. Clearly,  $\delta^\sigma$  preserves addition. Moreover, for  $\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i] \in H$  and  $\sum_j [x_j, \beta_j] \in L$ , we have  $(\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i]\sum_j [x_j, \beta_j])\delta^\sigma = (\sum_{i,j} [g_i[\gamma_i, x_j], \beta_j])\delta^\sigma = (\sum_{i,j} [g_i, \gamma_i x_j \beta_j])\delta^\sigma = \sum_{i,j} [g_i\delta, \gamma_i x_j \beta_j] = \sum_{i,j} [g_i\delta, \gamma_i][x_j, \beta_j] = (\sum_i [g_i, \gamma_i]\delta^\sigma)\sum_j [x_j, \beta_j]$ . Hence  $\delta^\sigma \in \Delta'$ . It can be easily verified that  $\sigma: \delta \rightarrow \delta^\sigma$  is a monomorphism of  $\Delta$  into  $\Delta'$ . To show that  $\sigma$  is an onto mapping, we note that since  $H$  is a faithful irreducible right  $L$ -module and  $G$  is a faithful irreducible right  $R$ -module there exist  $g_0 \in G$  and  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  such that  $\{[g_0, \gamma]: \gamma \in \Gamma\} = H$  and  $\{g_0[\gamma_0, x]: x \in M\} = G$ . Let  $\delta'$  be an arbitrary element in  $\Delta'$  and  $[g_0, \gamma_0]\delta' = [g_0, \gamma_1]$ , where  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\delta: G \rightarrow G$  be defined by  $(g_0[\gamma_0, x])\delta = g_0[\gamma_1, x]$  for  $x \in M$ . This is well defined. In fact, if  $g_0[\gamma_0, x] = g_0[\gamma_0, y]$ , then, for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $[g_0, \gamma_1][x, \gamma] = ([g_0, \gamma_0]\delta')[x, \gamma] = ([g_0, \gamma_0][x, \gamma])\delta' = [g_0\gamma, \gamma_0][y, \gamma]\delta' = ([g_0, \gamma_1]\delta')[y, \gamma] = [g_0, \gamma_0][y, \gamma]$

and hence, by the construction of  $H$ ,  $g_0[\gamma_1 x \gamma, z] = g_0[\gamma_1 y \gamma, z]$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $z \in M$ . It follows that  $(g_0[\gamma_1, x] - g_0[\gamma_1, y])R = 0$ . Since  $G$  is a faithful irreducible right  $R$ -module,  $g_0[\gamma_1, x] = g_0[\gamma_1, y]$ . Clearly  $\delta \in \Delta$  and  $\delta^\sigma = \delta'$ . Therefore  $\Delta \simeq \Delta'$ .

In the proof of Theorem 2 we have known already that the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is isomorphic to a  $\Gamma'$ -ring  $M'$ , where  $\Gamma'$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Hom}(G, H)$  and  $M'$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Hom}(H, G)$ . More precisely, two group isomorphisms  $\theta: M \rightarrow M'$  and  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$  exist such that  $\Sigma_i[g_i, \gamma_i](x\theta) = \Sigma_i g_i[\gamma_i, x]$  and  $g(\gamma\phi) = [g, \gamma]$  for all  $g_i, g \in G$ ,  $\gamma_i, \gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $x \in M$ .

Now we consider  $G$  and  $H$  as right  $\Delta$ -vector space and right  $\Delta'$ -vector space respectively. For any  $g \in G$ ,  $\delta \in \Delta$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have  $(g\delta)(\gamma\phi) = [g\delta, \gamma] = [g, \gamma]\delta^\sigma = (g(\gamma\phi))\delta^\sigma$  and  $([g, \gamma]\delta^\sigma)(x\theta) = [g\delta, \gamma](x\theta) = g\delta[\gamma, x] = (g[\gamma, x])\delta = ([g, \gamma](x\theta))\delta$ . Thus  $\gamma\phi$  and  $x\theta$  are semilinear transformations (associated with  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{-1}$  respectively).

It remains to show the density property for  $\Gamma'$ . The density property for  $M'$  can be obtained similarly. We shall show that for any  $n$   $\Delta$ -independent elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G$  and any  $n$  elements  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n \in H$  there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $g_i(\gamma\phi) = h_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We proceed by induction on  $n$ .

From Theorem 2, the assertion is obviously true for  $n = 1$ . Now we assume that the assertion is true for  $n - 1$ . We want first to show the existence of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $g_i(\gamma\phi) = 0$  for  $i < n$  and  $g_n(\gamma\phi) \neq 0$ . Suppose such a  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  does not exist. Then, for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $g_i(\gamma\phi) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , implies  $g_n(\gamma\phi) = 0$ . Thus for any  $h \in H$ , by the induction hypothesis, there exists  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  such that  $g_1(\gamma_0\phi) = h$  and  $g_i(\gamma_0\phi) = 0$ ,  $1 < i \leq n - 1$ . If also  $g_1(\gamma_1\phi) = h$  and  $g_i(\gamma_1\phi) = 0$ ,  $1 < i \leq n - 1$ , for some  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ , then since  $g_i((\gamma_0 - \gamma_1)\phi) = 0$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  it follows that  $g_n((\gamma_0 - \gamma_1)\phi) = 0$ , i.e.  $g_n(\gamma_0\phi) = g_n(\gamma_1\phi)$ . Hence the mapping  $\psi: H \rightarrow H$  defined by  $h\psi = g_n(\gamma_0\phi)$  whenever  $g_1(\gamma_0\phi) = h$  and  $g_i(\gamma_0\phi) = 0$  for  $1 < i < n$ , is well defined. It is easy to see that  $\psi$  preserves addition. Let us recall that  $g_0$  is an element in  $G$  with  $\{[g_0, \gamma]: \gamma \in \Gamma\} = H$ . Let  $[g_0, \gamma] \in H$  and  $\Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i] \in L$ . Then  $[g_0, \gamma]\psi = g_n(\gamma_0\phi)$  for some  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ , where  $g_1(\gamma_0\phi) = [g_0, \gamma]$  and  $g_i(\gamma_0\phi) = 0$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Thus,  $([g_0, \gamma]\psi) \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i] = (g_n(\gamma_0\phi)) \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i] = [g_n, \gamma_0] \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i] = g_n(\gamma_1\phi)$ , where  $\gamma_1 = \Sigma_i \gamma_0 x_i \gamma_i$ . On the other hand, since  $g_1(\gamma_1\phi) = [g_0, \gamma] \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i]$  and  $g_i(\gamma_1\phi) = 0$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , by the definition of  $\psi$ ,  $([g_0, \gamma] \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i])\psi = g_n(\gamma_1\phi)$ . Consequently,  $([g_0, \gamma] \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i])\psi = ([g_0, \gamma]\psi) \Sigma_i[x_i, \gamma_i]$  and hence  $\psi \in \Delta'$ . Let  $\psi = \delta^\sigma$  where  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Since  $g_1\delta - g_n, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1}$  are  $\Delta$ -linearly independent, by the induction hypothesis, there exists  $\gamma' \in \gamma$  such that  $(g_1\delta - g_n)(\gamma'\phi) \neq 0$  and  $g_i(\gamma'\phi) = 0$  for  $1 < i < n$ . But by the definition of  $\psi$ ,  $(g_1\delta - g_n)(\gamma'\phi) = (g_1\delta)(\gamma'\phi) - g_n(\gamma'\phi) = (g_1(\gamma'\phi))\psi - g_n(\gamma'\phi) = 0$ , a contradiction. This proves the existence of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $g_n(\gamma\phi) \neq 0$  and  $g_i(\gamma\phi) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ . Since  $g_n(\gamma\phi)L = H$ , there exists  $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$  such that  $g_n(\gamma_n\phi) = h_n$ , and  $g_i(\gamma_n\phi) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ .

Likewise, there exist  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that  $g_i(\gamma_i \phi) = h_i$  and  $g_j(\gamma_i \phi) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Now let  $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \cdots + \gamma_n$ . Then  $g_i(\gamma \phi) = h_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  as we desired. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We recall the definition of Hestense ternary rings. Let  $G$  and  $H$  be additive abelian groups.  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  be subgroups of  $\text{Hom}(H, G)$  and  $\text{Hom}(G, H)$  respectively. If there is a mapping  $*$  of  $M$  onto  $\Gamma$  such that a  $b^* c \in M$  whenever  $a, b, c \in M$  then  $M$  is called a Hestense ternary ring. The set of all finite sums  $\sum_i a_i^* b_i$  with  $a_i, b_i \in M$  form a ring  $R$  and the set of all finite sums  $\sum_i c_i d_i^*$  with  $c_i, d_i \in M$  form a ring  $L$ . Clearly  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module and is a left  $L$ -module. If  $M$  is irreducible as a  $R$ -module and as an  $L$ -module then  $M$  is called an irreducible Hestense ternary ring. Evidently, if  $M$  is an irreducible Hestense ternary ring then  $M$  is a right primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa and the rings  $R$  and  $L$  are respectively the right operator ring and the left operator ring of  $M$ . Therefore Theorem 3 generalizes further the extension of the Chevalley-Jacobson density theorem given by Smiley and Stephenson (see [8, 9]).

## 5. Primitive $\Gamma$ -rings with non-zero socles

In [6], we have introduced the notion of socles for  $\Gamma$ -rings. The right (left) socle  $S_r(S_l)$  of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is the sum of all minimal right (left) ideals of  $M$ . In the case  $M$  has no minimal right (left) ideals, the right (left) socle of  $M$  is defined to be 0. It has been shown that if  $M$  is an one-sided primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring having minimal one-sided ideals then  $M$  is a two sided primitive and its right socle and left socle coincide (see [5, Theorem 4.2] and [6, Theorem 4.3]).

In this section we shall present a characterization for primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring with non-zero socle which is different from the one given in [5].

**Theorem 4.** *A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  in the sense of Nobusawa is primitive with non-zero socle if and only if it is isomorphic to a dense  $\Gamma'$ -ring  $M'$  of semi-linear transformations containing non-zero semilinear transformations of finite rank. Moreover, the socle of  $M'$  is the set of semilinear transformations of finite rank contained in  $M'$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity. Assume that  $M$  is a primitive  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa with non-zero socle. According to Theorem 3,  $M$  can be regarded as a dense  $\Gamma$ -ring of semilinear transformations. Let  $G$  and  $H$  be vector spaces over division rings  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$ ,  $\sigma: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$  be an isomorphism,  $M$  be a dense group of semilinear transformations of  $H$  into  $G$  (associated with  $\sigma^{-1}$ ) and  $\Gamma$  be a dense group of semilinear transformations of  $G$  into  $H$  (associated with  $\sigma$ ). Let  $e\gamma M$  be a minimal right ideal of  $M$ , where  $e \in M$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $e\gamma e = e$ . We claim that  $e$  is a rank 1, for otherwise, there would exist  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  such that  $h_1 e$  and  $h_2 e$  are  $\Delta$ -linearly independent. By the density property of  $\Gamma$  and  $M$ , there would exist  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  such that  $h_1 e \gamma_0 = 0$  and  $h_2 e \gamma_0 \neq 0$  and  $h_2 e \gamma_0 M = G$ .



Since  $e\gamma M$  is minimal and  $h_1 e\gamma(e\gamma_0 M) = h_1 e\gamma_0 M = 0$ , the right ideal  $\{x \in e\gamma M : h_1 x = 0\} = e\gamma M$ , i.e.  $h_1 e\gamma M = 0$ . Particularly,  $h_1 e = h_1 e\gamma e = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $M$  contains non-zero semilinear transformations of finite rank. In addition, since the socle  $S$  of  $M$  is the sum of minimal right ideals, every element in  $S$  is of finite rank.

**Sufficiency.** Assume that  $M$  is a dense  $\Gamma$ -ring of semilinear transformations on vector spaces  $G$  and  $H$  described above, and assume that  $M$  contains semilinear transformations of finite rank. By density property,  $M$  contains semilinear transformations of rank 1. Let  $a \in M$  be of rank 1, and let  $Ha = \langle g_1 \rangle$ , the subspace of  $G$  generated by  $g_1$ . Consider  $I = \{x \in M : Hx \subseteq \langle g_1 \rangle\}$ , a left ideal of  $M$ . We claim that  $I$  is minimal. Let  $0 \neq x_1 \in I$ . Then  $Hx_1 = \langle g_1 \rangle$  and  $h_1 x_1 = g_1$  for some  $h_1 \in H$ . By the density property of  $\Gamma$ , there exists  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$  such that  $g_1 \gamma_1 = h_1$ . Thus  $g_1 = g_1 \gamma_1 x_1$ . Now let  $x$  be an arbitrary element in  $I$ . For any  $h \in H$ , there exists  $\delta \in \Delta$  such that  $hx = g_1 \delta = (g_1 \gamma_1 x_1) \delta = (g_1 \delta) \gamma_1 x_1 = hx \gamma_1 x_1$ . Hence  $x = x \gamma_1 x_1 \in M \Gamma x_1$ , so  $I = M \Gamma x_1$  for every  $0 \neq x_1 \in I$ . Therefore  $I$  is a minimal left ideal containing  $a$ ,  $a$  is in the socle of  $M$ , and  $M$  has a non-zero socle  $S$ .

The argument just used shows that every element in  $M$  of rank 1 is in  $S$ . But the density property of  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  insures that every element in  $M$  of finite rank is a sum of finitely many elements in  $M$  of rank 1. Therefore  $S$  contains all elements in  $M$  of finite rank. This completes the proof.

NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY AT RALEIGH

---

### References

- [1] W.E. Barnes: *On the  $\Gamma$ -rings of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), 411–422.
- [2] W.E. Coppage and J. Luh: *Radicals of gamma rings* (to appear).
- [3] M.R. Hestenes: *A ternary algebra with applications to matrices and linear transformations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **11** (1962), 138–194.
- [4] N. Jacobson: *Structure of Rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. 37, Providence, 1964.
- [5] J. Luh: *On primitive  $\Gamma$ -rings with minimal one-sided ideals*, Osaka J. Math. **5** (1968), 165–173.
- [6] ———: *On the theory of simple  $\Gamma$ -rings*, Michigan Math. J. **16** (1969), 65–75.
- [7] N. Nobusawa: *On a generalization of the ring theory*, Osaka J. Math. **1** (1964), 81–89.
- [8] M.F. Smiley: *An introduction to Hestenes ternary rings*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 245–248.
- [9] R.A. Stephenson: *Jacobson structure theory for Hestenes ternary rings*, Ph. D. dissertation, University of California, Riverside, 1968.