ON THE COHOMOLOGY OF FINITE GROUPS AND THE APPLICATIONS TO MODULAR REPRESENTATIONS

Hiroaki KAWAI

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group and k be a field of prime characteristic p. All modules considered here are assumed to be finite dimensional over k. In [2], Carslon introduced a certain condition on the cohomology ring of G to study the structure of periodic modules by homological techniques. Let us denote it by $C(n)$, where n is a positive integer. If G satisfies $C(n)$, then there are homogeneous elements of degree n having an interesting property releted to his notion of rank variety (see Section 3 for details). For a p-group P, he showed that there exists an integer $n(P)$ such that P satisfies $C(2n(P))$. And using this, he showed that the period of a periodic kP-module divides $2n(P)$.

The purpose of this paper is to extend Carlson's results to an arbitrary finite group G. In doing so, we shall give a stronger version of the condition $C(n)$, with a couple of equivalent conditions to it. Concerning Carlson's number $n(G)$ which can as well be defined for an arbitrary G, we shall prove that there exist cohomology elements of degree $2n(G)$ satisfying our new condition, so that G satisfies $C(2n(G))$. As an application of this result, we shall show that the period of a periodic kG-module divides $2n(G)$. As another application, we also give a homoligical criterion for a kG-module to be projective. A similar criterion has been given by Donovan [6], in response to a problem of Schultz [9].

2. Preliminaries

In this section we mention some preliminary facts needed in later arguments. For a kG-module M, set $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{kG}(M, M) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Ext}^n_{kG}(M, M)$. If H is a subgroup of G, then M_H denotes the restriction of M to a kH-module. First of all, we prove the following general fact.

Proposition 2.1. Let $0 \to N_1 \to M \xrightarrow{f} L \to 0$ and $0 \to N_2 \to M \xrightarrow{g} L \to 0$ be exact

sequences of kG-modules.   If f-g factors through a projective kG-module Q, then $N_1 \cong N_2$.

Proof.   Suppose that $\alpha\colon M \to Q$ and $\beta\colon Q \to L$ give a factoriaztion of $f\text{-}g$ through the projective module Q.   Then we have the following two pull-back diagrams:

$$0 \to N_1 \to S \to Q \to 0$$
$$\|\qquad \downarrow\quad \downarrow\beta$$
$$0 \to N_1 \to M \underset{f}{\to} L \to 0\,,$$

where $S = \{(x, y) \in M \oplus Q \mid f(x) = \beta(y)\}$,

$$0 \to N_2 \to T \to Q \to 0$$
$$\|\qquad \downarrow\quad \downarrow\beta$$
$$0 \to N_2 \to M \underset{g}{\to} L \to 0\,,$$

where $T = \{(x, y) \in M \oplus Q \mid g(x) = \beta(y)\}$.   Since $f\text{-}g = \beta \cdot \alpha$, we can define $u\colon S \to T$ by $u\colon (x, y) \to (x, y - \alpha(x))$.   Then it is easy to see that u is a kG-isomorphism.   Hence from $S \cong N_1 \oplus Q$ and $T \cong N_2 \oplus Q$, we have that $N_1 \cong N_2$.

It is well-known that there is a natural isomorphism between $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{kG}(k, k)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{kG}(\Omega^n(k), k)$.   So, for an element ψ in $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{kG}(k, k)$, we denote by $\hat{\psi}$ the corresponding kG-homomorphism of $\Omega^n(k)$ into k.

Let $\langle a \rangle$ be a cyclic p-group.   Define the $k\langle a \rangle$-homomorphisms $\hat{\xi}\colon \Omega^2(k) = k \to k$ by $\hat{\xi}\colon 1 \mapsto 1$, and $\hat{\zeta}\colon \Omega(k) = \mathrm{Rad}\, k\langle a \rangle \to k$ by $\hat{\zeta}\colon (a-1) \mapsto 1$.   Then we have the following (see, e.g., [5]):

(2.2) $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{k\langle a \rangle}(k, k) = k[\xi] \otimes \Lambda(\zeta)\,,$

where $k[\xi]$ is the polynomial ring and $\Lambda(\zeta) = k + k\zeta$.   If $|\langle a \rangle| > 2$, then $\zeta^2 = 0$. On the other hand, if $|\langle a \rangle| = 2$, then $\zeta^2 = \xi$ and so $1 \otimes \zeta^2 = \xi \otimes 1$.

Let A be an abelian p-group and $A = \langle a_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_n \rangle$ be a direct product of cyclic subgroups.   It is well-known that $\Theta\colon \mathrm{Ext}^*_{k\langle a_1 \rangle}(k, k) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^*_{k\langle a_n \rangle}(k, k) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^*_{kA}(k, k)$ as k-algebras (see [4]).   Let $\xi_i = \Theta(I \otimes \cdots \oplus \xi'_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I)$ and $\zeta_i = \Theta(I \otimes \cdots \otimes \zeta'_i \otimes \cdots \otimes I)$, where ξ'_i and ζ'_i are generators of $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{k\langle a_i \rangle}(k, k)$ as in (2.2). Then we have the following:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{kA}(k, k) = k[\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n] \otimes \Lambda(\zeta_1, \cdots, \zeta_n)\,.$$

Let E be the unique maximal elementary abelian subgroup of A,   According to the decomposition of A, we decompose E into the form $E = \langle x_1, \cdots, x_n \rangle$ with $x_i \in \langle a_i \rangle$.   From this decomposition, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Ext}^*_{kE}(k, k) = k[\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_n] \otimes \Lambda(\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_n)\,,$$

wjere ρ_i and η_i are defined similarly as ξ_i and ζ_i in the above. Then since $\Omega^2(k)=k$, we see that $\mathrm{res}_{\langle a_i\rangle,\langle x_i\rangle}(\xi_i)=\rho_i$. Regarding $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{k\langle a_i\rangle}(k,k)$ as $\mathrm{Hom}(\langle a_i\rangle,k)$, we see that if $|\langle a_i\rangle|>p$, then $\mathrm{res}_{\langle a_i\rangle,\langle x_i\rangle}(\zeta_i)=0$. Hence, using the argument of the tensor product of complexes (see [4]), we have the following.

Lemma 2.3. *With the above notations, we have that* $\mathrm{res}_{A,E}(\xi_i)=\rho_i$ *for* $i=1$, $\cdots$, n, $\mathrm{res}_{A,E}(\zeta_i)=0$ *for* $|\langle a_i\rangle|>p$ *and that* $\mathrm{res}_{A,E}(\zeta_i)=\eta_i$ *for* $|\langle a_i\rangle|=p$.

Here we recall the notion of a Bockstein element (see [8]). Suppose that H is a normal subgroup of a finite group G of index p. A Bokcstein element corresponding to H is an element β in $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{kG}(k,k)$ with $\inf_{G/H,G}(\mathrm{Ext}^2_{k(G/H)}(k,k))=k\cdot\beta$. Note that β is unique up to scalar multiples.

REMARK 2.4. Let $0\to k\to k_H{}^G\to k_H{}^G\to k\to 0$ be the part of the minimal projective $k(G/H)$-resolution of the trivial $k(G/H)$-module to the second syzygy. Then the above 2-extension represents a canonical generator in $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{k(G/H)}(k,k)$. Thus the Bockstein element β can be represented by $0\to k\to k_H{}^G\to k_H{}^G\to k\to 0$ as a sequence of kG-modules. Furthermore, it is known that β can be defined as the image under the Bockstein homomorphism of an element in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{kG}(k,k)$ which vanishes under the restriction map $\mathrm{res}_{G,H}$. If G is an elementary abelian p-subgroup and $G=\langle x\rangle\times H$, then β can also be seen as a generator of the polynomial subring of $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{kG}(k,k)$ which coiresponds to $\langle x\rangle$ in the decomposition $G=\langle x\rangle\times H$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let A be an abelain p-group and E be the unique maximal elementary ablian subgroup of A. Let H be a maximal subgroup of E and τ be a Bockstein element corresponding to H. Then there exists an element σ in $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{kA}(k,k)$ such that* $\mathrm{res}_{A,E}(\sigma)=\tau$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.8 in [4], we have that, with the notation of Lemma 2.3, τ belongs to $k[\rho_1,\cdots,\rho_n]$. So the result is clear by Lemma 2.3.

3. Carlson's condition

Let G be a finite group and k be a field of characteristic $p>0$. Let ψ be an element in $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{kG}(k,k)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{kG}(\Omega^n(k),k)$. Following Carlson, we let L_ψ be the kernel of $\psi:\Omega^n(k)\to k$ for $\psi\neq 0$. If $\psi=0$, let $L_\psi=\Omega^n(k)\oplus\Omega(k)$. Carlson's condition is the following (which was originally defined in the case of p-groups):

Carlson's condition: Let n be a positive integer. We say that G satisfies *condition $C(n)$*, provided that for any maximal elementary abelian p-subgroup $E=\langle x_1,\cdots,x_r\rangle$ of G and for any element $u_\alpha=1+\sum_{j=1}^{r}\alpha_j(x_j-1)$ $(\alpha=(\alpha_j)\neq 0\in k^r)$, there exists an element ψ in $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{kG}(k,k)$ whose kernel L_ψ is free as a $k\langle u_\alpha\rangle$-module.

REMARK 3.1. (1) The kernel L_ψ of ψ is free as a $k\langle u_\alpha\rangle$-module if and only if $\mathrm{res}_{G,\langle u_\alpha\rangle}(\psi)\neq 0$ (Lemma 3.9 in [4]).

(2) We may assume that k is an algebraically closed field. For, let K be an algebraic closure of k. If G satisfies condition $C(n)$ over K, then for any element u_α as above, there exists ψ in $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{KG}(K,K)$ with $\mathrm{res}_{KG,K\langle u_\alpha\rangle}(\psi)\neq 0$. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{kG}(k,k)\otimes K\cong\mathrm{Ext}^n_{KG}(K,K)$, we may write $\psi=\sum_i\psi_i\otimes x_i$ with $\psi_i\in\mathrm{Ext}^n_{kG}(k,k)$ and $x_i\in K$. Then since $\mathrm{res}_{KG,K\langle u_\alpha\rangle}(\psi)=\sum_i\mathrm{res}_{kG,k\langle u_\alpha\rangle}(\psi_i)\otimes x_i$, there exists ψ_i such that $\mathrm{res}_{kG,k\langle u_\alpha\rangle}(\psi_i)\neq 0$. That is, G satisfies condition $C(n)$ over k.

(3) The condition $C(n)$ does not depend on the choices of generators of E (cf. Section 6 in [3]).

Now, we consider the following stronger condition than Carlson's one. Let $\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_t$ be elements in $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{kG}(k,k)$. We say that G satisfies condition $C(n)$ with $\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_t$, provided that for any element u_α as above, there exists ψ_i in $\{\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_n\}$ whose kernel L_{ψ_i} is free as a $k\langle u_\alpha\rangle$-module.

Before proceeding further, we put down here necessary results for the "cohomology variety". For a comprehensive treatment, we refer to [1] and [4].

Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$. Let $H^*(G,K)=\sum_{i\geq 0}\mathrm{Ext}^i_{KG}(K,K)$ if $p=2$ and $H^*(G,K)=\sum_{i\geq 0}\mathrm{Ext}^{2i}_{KG}(K,K)$ if $p>2$. Then $H^*(G,K)$ has an associated affine variety $V_G(K)=\mathrm{Max}(H^*(G,K))$, which is the set of all maximal ideals of $H^*(G,K)$. Let M be a KG-module and $J_G(M)$ be the annihilator in $H^*(G,K)$ of $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{KG}(M,M)$. The variety $V_G(M)$ of M is defined as the subvariety of $V_G(K)$ associated to $J_G(M)$.

(3.2)   Let $E=\langle x_1,\cdots,x_r\rangle$ be an elementary abelian p-group and $u_\alpha=1+\sum_{j=1}^r\alpha_j(x_j-1)$, $\alpha=(\alpha_j)\in K^r$. For a KE-module M, let $V_r(M)=\{0\}\cup\{\alpha\in K^r\mid M_{\langle u_\alpha\rangle}$ is not free as a $K\langle u_\alpha\rangle$-module$\}$. Then $V_r(M)$ is a subvariety of K^r, and via $V_E(K)\cong K^r$, we have that $V_E(M)\cong V_r(M)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let M and N be KG-modules.*

(a) $V_G(M)=\{0\}$ *if and only if M is projective.*

(b) $V_G(M\otimes N)=V_G(M)\cap V_G(N)$.

(c) *For $\psi\in H^i(G,K)$, $V_G(L_\psi)=V(\psi)$, where $V(\psi)$ is the variety of the ideal $H^*(G,K)\cdot\psi$. That is, $\sqrt{J_G(L_\psi)}=\sqrt{H^*(G,K)\cdot\psi}$.*

Proposition 3.4. *Let n be a positive integer and $\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_t$ be elements in $\mathrm{Ext}^{2n}_{KG}(K,K)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) G *satisfies condition $C(2n)$ with $\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_t$.*

(2) $L_{\psi_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes L_{\psi_t}$ *is projective.*

(3) $\sqrt{(\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_t)}=\sum_{i>0}\mathrm{Ext}^i_{KG}(K,K)$, where $\sqrt{(\psi_1,\cdots,\psi_t)}=\{\psi\in\mathrm{Ext}^*_{KG}(K,K)\mid\psi^c\in\sum_{i=1}^t\mathrm{Ext}^*_{KG}(K,K)\psi_i$ for some $c>0\}$.

Proof. By Chouinard's theorem ([4]), (2) holds if and only if $(L_{\psi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\psi_t})_E$ is projective for every maximal elementary abelian p-subgroup E of G. Noting Lemma 3.3 and (3.2), we see that $(L_{\psi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\psi_t})_E$ is projective for every E if and only if (1) holds.

By Lemma 3.3, (2) holds if and only if $V(\psi_1) \cap \cdots \cap V(\psi_t) = \{0\}$. We recall the fact that the point 0 in $V_G(K)$ is the maximal ideal $\sum_{i>0} \mathrm{Ext}^i_{KG}(G, K)$ ($\sum_{i>0} \mathrm{Ext}^{2i}_{KG}(K, K)$ for $p>2$) and that if $p>2$, the elements of odd degree in $\mathrm{Ext}^*_{KG}(K, K)$ are nilpotent. Then we see that $V(\psi_1) \cap \cdots \cap V(\psi_t) = \{0\}$ if and only if (3) holds.

REMARK 3.5. If $p=2$, then for any positive integer n, the above proposition is also true for elements $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_t$ of degree n.

4. The main theorem

As before, G is a finite group and k is a field of characteristic $p>0$. The following definition is due to Carlson [2]:

DEFINITION 4.1. Let E be a maximal elementary abelian p-subgroup of G. Let A_E be an abelian p-subgroup of G which contains E and which has maximal order among such subgroups. Define $n(E) = |G : A_E|$ and $n(G) = L.C.M._{E \in \Gamma} \{n(E)\}$, where Γ is the set of all maximal elementary abelian p-subgroups of G.

The next theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a finite group and k be a field of characteristic $p>0$. Then there exist $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_t$ in $\mathrm{Ext}^{2n(G)}_{kG}(k, k)$ such that $L_{\psi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\psi_t}$ is a projective kG-module.*

We shall prove the theorem with a series of lemmas. The first one is an analogue of a result of Quillen (see, e.g., Lemma 2.26.5 in [1]).

For $g \in G$ and a subgroup H of G, we write ${}^gH = gHg^{-1}$ and let ${}^g\gamma$ be the conjugation con_H, ${}_{g_H}(\gamma) \in \mathrm{Ext}^n_{k({}^gH)}(k, k)$ for $\gamma \in \mathrm{Ext}^n_{kH}(k, k)$. Let A be an abelian p-subgroup of G, E be the unique maximal elementary abelian subgroup of A and F be a elementary abelian subgroup of A.

Let g be an element in $G - N_G(F)$. We consider the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & {}^gE & & \\
& \nearrow & & \nwarrow & \\
{}^gF({}^gA \cap F) & & & & W \\
\nearrow & & \nwarrow \quad \nearrow & & \nearrow \\
{}^gF & & & X & \\
& \nwarrow & \quad \nearrow & & \nwarrow \\
& & {}^gF \cap X & & {}^gA \cap F,
\end{array}
$$

where X is a maximal subgroup of ${}^{g}F({}^{g}A \cap F)$ which contains ${}^{g}A \cap F$, and W/X is a complement to ${}^{g}F({}^{g}A \cap F)/X$ in ${}^{g}E/X$. Let $M = {}^{g^{-1}}W$ and $L = {}^{g^{-1}}({}^{g}F \cap X)$. Then M and L are maximal subgroups of E and F. Now let $\tau \in \mathrm{Ext}^2_{kE}(k, k)$ be a Bockstein element corresponding to M. Then we know that $\nu = \mathrm{res}_{E,F}(\tau) \in \mathrm{Ext}^2_{kF}(k, k)$ is a Bockstein element corresponding to L.

Lemma 4.3. *Let A be an abelian p-subgroup of G and F be an elementary abelian subgroup of A. Then there exists an element ψ in $\mathrm{Ext}^{2|G\,:\,A|}_{kG}(k, k)$ such that $\mathrm{res}_{G,F}(\psi)$ is a product of Bockstein elements.*

Proof. We write $N = N_G(F)$ and let $\{g_1, g_2, \cdots, g_n\}$ be a set of representatives for the right cosets of N in G, with $g_1 = 1$.

As before, let E be the unique maximal elementary abelian subgroup of A. Let L_1 be a maximal subgroup of F and M_1/L_1 be the complement to F/L_1 in E/L_1. For the maximal subgroup M_1 of E, let $\tau_1 \in \mathrm{Ext}^2_{kE}(k, k)$ be a Bockstein element corresponding to M_1. Then we see that $\nu_1 = \mathrm{res}_{E,F}(\tau_1) \in \mathrm{Ext}^2_{kF}(k, k)$ is a Bockstein element corresponding to L_1. For each $g_i (i > 1)$, we denote by $\tau_i \in \mathrm{Ext}^2_{kE}(k, k)$ and $\nu_i \in \mathrm{Ext}^2_{kF}(k, k)$ the Bockstein elements corresponding to M_i and L_i respectively. Then by Lemma 2.5, there exists an element σ_i in $\mathrm{Ext}^2_{kA}(k, k)$ such that $\mathrm{res}_{A,E}(\sigma_i) = \tau_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Now, define $\sigma \in \mathrm{Ext}^{2|G\,:\,N|}_{kA}(k, k)$ by $\sigma = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n$. Then, for g_i $(i > 1)$, we have that

$$\mathrm{res}_{{}^{g_i}A, {}^{g_i}A \cap F}({}^{g_i}\sigma_i) = \mathrm{res}_{{}^{g_i}E, {}^{g_i}A \cap F} \mathrm{res}_{{}^{g_i}A, {}^{g_i}E}({}^{g_i}\sigma_i)$$
$$= \mathrm{res}_{{}^{g_i}E, {}^{g_i}A \cap F}({}^{g_i}\tau_i)$$
$$= \mathrm{res}_{{}^{g_i}M_i, {}^{g_i}A \cap F} \cdot \mathrm{res}_{{}^{g_i}E, {}^{g_i}M_i}({}^{g_i}\tau_i)$$
$$= \mathrm{res}_{{}^{g_i}M_i, {}^{g_i}A \cap F}({}^{g_i}\mathrm{res}_{E,M_i}(\tau_i))$$
$$= 0.$$

Let x be an element in $G - N$. So $x = ug_i$ $(u \in N, i > 1)$ and we have

$$\mathrm{res}_{{}^{x}A, {}^{x}A \cap F}({}^{x}\sigma) = \mathrm{res}_{{}^{ug_i}A, {}^{ug_i}A \cap F}({}^{ug_i}\sigma)$$
$$= {}^{u}(\mathrm{res}_{{}^{g_i}A, {}^{g_i}A \cap F}({}^{g_i}\sigma))$$
$$= 0.$$

Therefore by the Mackey decomposition theorem for the norm map (Proposition 2 in [7]), we have

$$\mathrm{res}_{G,F} \mathrm{norm}_{A,G}(1 + \sigma) = \prod_{x \in F \backslash G / A} \mathrm{norm}_{{}^{x}A \cap F, F} \mathrm{res}_{{}^{x}A, {}^{x}A \cap F}(1 + {}^{x}\sigma)$$
$$= \prod_{x \in N/A} \mathrm{res}_{{}^{x}A, F}(1 + {}^{x}\sigma).$$

So, if ψ denotes the homogeneous part of highest degree of $\mathrm{norm}_{A,G}(1 + \sigma)$, we have

$$\mathrm{res}_{G,F}(\psi) = \prod_{x \in \mathcal{N}/A} \mathrm{res}_{x_{A,F}}(^x\sigma) = \prod_{x \in \mathcal{N}/A} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{res}_{x_{E,F}}(^x\tau_i)$$

$$= \prod_{x \in \mathcal{N}/F} \prod_{i=1}^{n} {}^x\nu_i \ .$$

Here ${}^x\nu_i$ is a Bockstein element corresponding to ${}^xL_i$, and ψ belongs to $\mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^{2|G \,:\, A|}$ (k, k). This completes the proof of the lemma.

The next result is Lemma 4.2 in Okuyama-Sasaki [8]. For the convenience of the reader, we give here a proof to it.

Lemma 4.4. *Let H be a normal subgroup of G of index p and β be a non zero Bockstein element corresponding to H. If a kG-module M is projective as a kH-module, then $L_\beta \otimes M$ is a projective kG-module.*

Proof. By Remark 3.6, we see that β can be represented by $0 \to k \to k_H{}^G \to$ $k_H{}^G \to k \to 0$. Then we have a commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 \to & & L_\beta & \to & \mathrm{Ker}\,\lambda_1 & \to & \mathrm{Ker}\,\lambda_0 & \to 0 & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 \to & \Omega^2(k) & \to & P_1 & \to & P_0 & \to k \to 0 & \\
 & \downarrow\beta & & \downarrow\lambda_1 & & \downarrow\lambda_0 & & \| & \\
0 \to & k & \to & k_H{}^G & \to & k_H{}^G & \to k \to 0 & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & , &
\end{array}
$$

where P_0 and P_1 are the projective covers of k and $\Omega(k)$. By tensoring this diagram with M, we find readily that $L_\beta \otimes M$ is projective, since $k_H{}^G \otimes M \cong M_H{}^G$ is projective.

Lemma 4.5. *Let M be a kG-module. For $\gamma_1 \in \mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^n(k, k)$ and $\gamma_2 \in \mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^m$ (k, k), suppose that $L_{\gamma_1} \otimes M$ and $L_{\gamma_2} \otimes M$ are projective kG-modules. Then $L_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \otimes M$ is a projective kG-module.*

Proof. If γ_1 and γ_2 are non-zero, then, as is given in the proof of Theorem 8.5 in [4], there exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \Omega^n(L_{\gamma_2}) \to L_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \oplus (\text{projective } kG\text{-module}) \to L_{\gamma_1} \to 0 \ .$$

Tensoring this sequence with M, we see that $L_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \otimes M$ is projective. If $\gamma_1 = 0$ or $\gamma_2 = 0$, then the assertion is immediate from the definition of L_{γ_i}.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\psi \in \mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^n(k, k)$ and H be a subgroup of G. Then $(L_\psi)_H \cong$ $L_{\mathrm{res}_{G,H}(\psi)} \oplus (\text{projective } kH\text{-module}).$*

Proof. We have $\Omega^n(k)_H = \Omega^n(k_H) \oplus Q$ with a projective kH-module Q. If $r = \mathrm{res}_{G,H}(\psi) \neq 0$, then let $h \colon \Omega^n(k)_H = \Omega^n(k_H) \oplus Q \to k_H$ be the kH-homomorphism defined by $(w, w') \mapsto \hat{\gamma}(w)$ for $w \in \Omega^n(k_H)$, $w' \in Q$. By the definition of the restriction map, $\psi_{\Omega^n(k_H)} = \hat{\gamma}$. Thus $\psi - h$ is a projective kH-map and so by Proposition 2.1, $(L_\psi)_H \cong L_{\mathrm{res}_{G,H}(\psi)} \oplus Q$. If $\mathrm{res}_{G,H}(\psi) = 0$, it follows from Lemma 8.1 in [4] that $(L_\psi)_H \cong \Omega^n(k_H) \oplus \Omega(k_H) \oplus (\text{projective } kH\text{-module})$. This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. It suffices to show that given an elementary abelian p-subgroup F of G, there exist $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_r$ in $\mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^{2n(G)}(k, k)$ such that $(L_{\psi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\psi_r})_F$ is a projective kF-module. For, if this is shown, then consider all those $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_t \in \mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^{2n(G)}(k, k)$ taken over the elementary abelian p-subgroups of G. Then by Chouinard's theorem, we have that $L_{\psi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\psi_t}$ is a projective kG-module.

We now prove the above assertion by induction on $|F|$. If F is cyclic, then our assertion has been proved in Lemmas 4.3 and 4.6. So we may assume that F is non-cyclic and that there exist elements $\psi_2, \cdots, \psi_r$ in $\mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^{2n(G)}(k, k)$ such that $(L_{\psi_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\psi_r})_L$ is projective for every maximal subgroup L of F. Now, Lemma 4.3 implies that there exists an element ψ_1 in $\mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^{2n(G)}(k, k)$ such that $\mathrm{res}_{G,F}(\psi_1)$ is a product of Bockstein elements. Then by our assumptions and Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, we see that $L_{\mathrm{res}_{G,F}(\psi_1)} \otimes (L_{\psi_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\psi_r})_F$ is a projective kF-module. So from Lemma 4.6, we see that $(L_{\psi_1} \otimes L_{\psi_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\psi_r})_F$ is projective.

5. Applications

Let G be a finite group, k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and K be an algebraic closure of k. Let $n(G)$ be the integer given in Definition 4.1. Then Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 4.2 yield:

Corollary 5.1 (Periodicity of periodic modules). *The period of a periodic kG-module divides $2n(G)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, there exist $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_t \in \mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^{2n(G)}(k, k)$ such that $L_{\psi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\psi_t}$ is projective, so that $V_G(L_{\psi_1}{}^K \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\psi_t}{}^K) = V_G(L_{\psi_1 \otimes I} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\psi_t \otimes I}) = \{0\}$. Then the assertion is followed by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 8.7 in [4].

Corollary 4.7 (Criterion for a module to be projective). *A kG-module M is projective if and only if $\mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^{2n(G)}(M, M) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^{2n(G)}(M, M) = \{0\}$, then $\mathrm{Ext}_{KG}^{2n(G)}(M^K, M^K) = \{0\}$. Taking $\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_t \in \mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^{2n(G)}(k, k)$ as in Theorem 4.2, we have from the assumption that $\psi_1 \otimes I, \cdots, \psi_t \otimes I \in \mathrm{Ext}_{KG}^{2n(G)}(K, K)$ annihilate $\mathrm{Ext}_{KG}^*(M^K, M^K)$, so that $\sqrt{J_G(M^K)} \supset \sqrt{(\psi_1 \otimes I, \cdots, \psi_t \otimes I)}$. Then from Proposition 3.4, we see that $\sqrt{J_G(M^K)} =$

$\sum_{i>0} H^i(G, K)$, that is, $V_G(M^K) = \{0\}$. Therefore by Lemma 2.3, M^K is projective and so M is projective.

References

[1] D.J. Benson: Modular Representation Theory: New Trends and Methods, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1081, Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[2] J.F. Carlson: *The structure of periodic modules over modular group algebras,* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **22** (1981), 43–56.

[3] J.F. Carlson: *The varieties and the cohomology ring of a module,* J. Algebra **85** (1983), 104–143.

[4] J.F. Carlson: Module Varieties and Cohomology Rings of Finite Groups, Essen University, Essen, 1985.

[5] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg: Homological Algebra, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1956.

[6] P.W. Donovan: *A criterion for a modular representation to be projective,* J. Algebra **117** (1988), 434–436.

[7] L. Evens: *A generalization of the transfer map in the cohomology of groups,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 54–65.

[8] T. Okuyama and H. Sasaki: *Periodic modules of large periods for metacyclic p-groups,* (to appear).

[9] R. Schultz: *Boundedness and periodicity,* J. Algebra **101** (1986), 450–469.

Kumamoto National College of Technology,
Nishigoshi-machi, Kumamoto, 861-11
Japan