

Title	Connectedness of levels for moment maps on various classes of loop groups
Author(s)	Mare, Augustin-Liviu
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2010, 47(3), p. 609-626
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/12627
rights	
Note	

## Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

# CONNECTEDNESS OF LEVELS FOR MOMENT MAPS ON VARIOUS CLASSES OF LOOP GROUPS

Dedicated to Jost-Hinrich Eschenburg on his sixtieth birthday

#### AUGUSTIN-LIVIU MARE

(Received August 19, 2008, revised January 13, 2009)

#### Abstract

The space  $\Omega(G)$  of all based loops in a compact simply connected Lie group G has an action of the maximal torus  $T\subset G$  (by pointwise conjugation) and of the circle  $S^1$  (by rotation of loops). Let  $\mu\colon\Omega(G)\to(\mathfrak{t}\times i\mathbb{R})^*$  be a moment map of the resulting  $T\times S^1$  action. We show that all levels (that is, pre-images of points) of  $\mu$  are connected subspaces of  $\Omega(G)$  (or empty). The result holds if in the definition of  $\Omega(G)$  loops are of class  $C^\infty$  or of any Sobolev class  $H^s$ , with  $s\geq 1$  (for loops of class  $H^1$  connectedness of regular levels has been proved by Harada, Holm, Jeffrey, and the author in [3]).

#### 1. Introduction

Let G be a compact simply connected Lie group and  $T \subset G$  a maximal torus. The based loop group of G is the space  $\Omega(G)$  consisting of all smooth maps  $\gamma: S^1 \to G$  with  $\gamma(1) = e$ . The assignments

$$T \times \Omega(G) \to \Omega(G), (t, \gamma) \mapsto [S^1 \ni z \mapsto t\gamma(z)t^{-1}]$$

and

$$S^1 \times \Omega(G) \to \Omega(G), \quad (e^{i\theta}, \gamma) \mapsto [S^1 \ni z \mapsto \gamma(ze^{i\theta})\gamma(e^{i\theta})^{-1}]$$

define an action of  $T \times S^1$  on  $\Omega(G)$ . In fact, the latter space is an infinite dimensional smooth symplectic manifold and the action of  $T \times S^1$  is Hamiltonian. Let

$$\mu: \Omega(G) \to (\mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathbb{R})^*$$

denote a moment map, where  $\mathfrak{t} := \operatorname{Lie}(T)$  and  $i\mathbb{R} = \operatorname{Lie}(S^1)$ . Atiyah and Pressley [1] extended the celebrated convexity theorem of Atiyah and Guillemin–Sternberg and showed that the image of  $\mu$  is the convex hull of its singular values. Their proof's idea is to determine first the image under  $\mu$  of the subspace  $\Omega_{\operatorname{alg}}(G) \subset \Omega(G)$  whose

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D20, 22E67.

elements are restrictions of algebraic maps from  $\mathbb{C}^*$  to the complexification  $G^{\mathbb{C}}$  of G: they notice that  $\mu(\Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G))$  is a closed subspace of  $(\mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathbb{R})^*$ ; since  $\Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G)$  is dense in  $\Omega(G)$  (by a theorem of Segal), they deduce from the continuity of  $\mu$  that

$$\mu(\Omega(G)) = \mu(\Omega_{alg}(G)).$$

The goal of this paper is to extend to  $\Omega(G)$  the well-known result which says that all levels of the moment map arising from a Hamiltonian torus action on a compact symplectic manifold are connected. That is, we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** For any  $a \in \mu(\Omega(G))$ , the pre-image  $\mu^{-1}(a)$  is a connected topological subspace of  $\Omega(G)$ .

REMARKS. 1. A version of Theorem 1.1 has been proved in [3]. More specifically, instead of  $\Omega(G)$  the authors consider there the space  $\Omega_1(G)$  of all loops  $S^1 \to G$  of Sobolev class  $H^1$ . They prove that all *regular* levels of  $\mu: \Omega_1(G) \to (\mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathbb{R})^*$  are connected. It is not obvious how to adapt that proof for singular levels or/and for loops of class  $C^{\infty}$ .

2. One can easily see that our proof of Theorem 1.1 works for  $\mu \colon \Omega_1(G) \to (\mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathbb{R})^*$  (and even loops of Sobolev class  $H^s$ , with  $s \geq 1$ ) as well. In other words, we can prove that *all* levels of  $\mu \colon \Omega_1(G) \to (\mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathbb{R})^*$  are connected topological subspaces of  $\Omega_1(G)$ . We decided to deal here with  $\Omega(G)$  (smooth loops) rather than  $\Omega_1(G)$  because the former is discussed in detail in our main reference [11], and the reader can make the connections directly.

We will give here an outline of the paper. In Section 2 we present basic notions and results concerning loop groups. In Section 3 we define the key ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.1. This is a certain geometric invariant theory (shortly G.I.T.) quotient of  $\Omega(G)$  with respect to the complexification  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  of  $T \times S^1$ . To define this quotient, we face the difficulty that the  $S^1$  action on  $\Omega(G)$  mentioned above does not extend to a  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action (only the T action extends canonically to a  $T^{\mathbb{C}}$  action). However, for any  $\gamma \in \Omega(G)$  there is a natural way to define the loop  $u\gamma$  for any  $u \in \mathbb{C}$ which is contained in the exterior of a disk with center at 0 and radius smaller than 1 (which depends on  $\gamma$ ); if |u|=1 then  $u\gamma$  is given by the  $S^1$  action on  $\Omega(G)$  defined above. By putting  $\gamma \sim gu\gamma$ , where u is as before and  $g \in T^{\mathbb{C}}$  arbitrary, we obtain an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\Omega(G)$ . The G.I.T. quotient mentioned before is  $A/\sim$ , where A consists of all elements of  $\Omega(G)$  which are equivalent to elements of  $\mu^{-1}(a)$ . The main result of Section 3 is Proposition 3.5, which says that the natural map  $\mu^{-1}(a)/(T \times S^1) \to A/\sim$  is bijective (the idea of the proof belongs to Kirwan, see [5, Chapter 7]). In Section 4 we note that the image of  $(\mu^{-1}(a) \cap \Omega_{alg}(G))/(T \times S^1)$ under the map above is  $(A \cap \Omega_{alg}(G))/\sim$ . The former space is connected (by a result of [3]) and we prove that the latter is dense in  $A/\sim$  (see Proposition 4.2). Consequently,

 $A/\sim$  is a connected topological subspace of  $\Omega(G)/\sim$ . We deduce that  $\mu^{-1}(a)/T\times S^1$  is connected. Hence  $\mu^{-1}(a)$  is connected as well.

#### 2. Notions of loop groups

In this section we collect results about loop groups which will be needed later. The details can be found in Pressley and Segal [11] and/or Atiyah and Pressley [1].

Like in the introduction, G is a compact simply connected Lie group. We denote by L(G) the space of all smooth maps  $S^1 \to G$  (call them loops). The obvious multiplication makes it into a Lie group. By  $\Omega(G)$  we denote the space of all loops which map  $1 \in S^1$  to the unit e of G. It can be naturally identified with the homogeneous space L(G)/G. In fact, the presentation of  $\Omega(G)$  which is most appropriate for our goals is

(1) 
$$\Omega(G) = L(G^{\mathbb{C}})/L^{+}(G^{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Here  $G^{\mathbb{C}}$  is the complexification of G and  $L(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  the set of all (smooth) loops  $\alpha \colon S^1 \to G^{\mathbb{C}}$ ; by  $L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  we denote the subgroup of  $L(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  consisting of all  $\alpha$  as above which extend holomorphically for  $|\zeta| \leq 1$  (this notion is explained in detail at the beginning of the next section). Since  $L(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  is a complex Lie group and  $L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  a complex Lie subgroup, equation (1) shows that the manifold  $\Omega(G)$  has a complex structure. More precisely, the complex structure  $J_x$  at a point  $x \in \Omega(G)$  is induced by the multiplication by i in the tangent space  $T_{\alpha}L(G^{\mathbb{C}})$ , where  $\alpha \in L(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  is such that  $x = \alpha L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$ .

Let us embed G into some special unitary group SU(N). We consider the Hilbert space  $H:=L^2(S^1,\mathbb{C}^N)$  and the corresponding "Grassmannian" Gr(H). The latter consists of all closed vector subspaces of H which satisfy certain supplementary properties; it turns out that Gr(H) can be equipped with a Kähler (Hilbert) manifold structure (the details can be found in [11, Chapter 7]). An important subspace of Gr(H) is  $Gr_0(H)$ . For the goals of our paper it is sufficient to mention that  $Gr_0(H)$  contains  $H_+$ , which is the closed vector subspace of H spanned by  $S^1 \ni z \mapsto z^k v$ , with  $k \ge 0$  and  $v \in \mathbb{C}^N$ . Also, the connected component of  $H_+$  in  $Gr_0(H)$  consists of all vector subspaces W of H for which there exists  $n \ge 0$  such that

$$z^n H_+ \subset W \subset z^{-n} H_+$$

and

$$\dim[(z^{-n}H_+)/W] = \dim[W/(z^nH_+)].$$

In other words, if  $\mathcal{G}_n$  denotes the subspace of all W which satisfy the last two equations, then the connected component of  $H_+$  in  $Gr_0(H)$  is  $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{G}_n$ . It is important to note that via the map

$$\mathcal{G}_n \ni W \mapsto W/z^n H_+$$

the space  $\mathcal{G}_n$  can be identified with the Grassmannian  $Gr_{nN}(\mathbb{C}^{2nN})$  of all vector subspaces of dimension nN in

(2) 
$$\mathbb{C}^{2nN} = z^{-n} H_{+}/z^{n} H_{+}.$$

Also note that we have the chain of inclusions

$$\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_2 \subset \cdots.$$

Less obvious is the fact that for any  $n \ge 0$ , the canonical symplectic structure on the Grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_n$  makes this space into a symplectic submanifold of Gr(H). The role of the above construction is revealed by the following result.

**Proposition 2.1.** (a) The map

(4) 
$$\Omega(G) \to Gr(H), \quad \gamma \mapsto \gamma H_+$$

is an embedding, which induces on  $\Omega(G)$  a structure of symplectic manifold. Together with the complex structure J defined above, this makes  $\Omega(G)$  into a Kähler manifold. (b) The image of  $\Omega_{alg}(G)$  (see the introduction) under the embedding (4) is contained in  $\bigcup_{n>0} \mathcal{G}_n$ .

Based on point (b), we identify  $\Omega_{alg}(G)$  with a subspace of  $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{G}_n$ . The inclusions (3) induce the filtration

$$\Omega_{\text{alg}}(G) = \bigcup_{n>0} \Omega_n, \quad \Omega_0 \subset \Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \cdots,$$

where

$$\Omega_n := \Omega_{alg}(G) \cap \mathcal{G}_n$$
.

The space  $\Omega_n$  is a closed subvariety of the Grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . We refer to the topology on  $\Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G)$  induced by the filtration above as the *direct limit topology*. There is another natural topology on  $\Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G)$ , namely the subspace topology, induced by the inclusion  $\Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G) \subset \Omega(G)$ .

The following proposition can be proved with the same arguments as Proposition 2.1 of [3] (the result is also mentioned in [2, Section 2]).

**Proposition 2.2.** The direct limit topology on  $\Omega_{alg}(G)$  is finer than the subspace topology.

Let us consider again the  $T \times S^1$  action on  $\Omega(G)$  described at the beginning of the paper, and the corresponding moment map  $\mu \colon \Omega(G) \to (\mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathbb{R})^*$ . This is uniquely

determined up to an additive constant, which will be made more precise momentarily (a standard moment map is described explicitly in [1, Section 3], but we will not need that expression here). For the moment, we would like to deduce from Proposition 2.2 a result which will be useful later. Namely, let us take  $a \in \mu(\Omega(G))$ ; by [3, Proposition 3.4],  $\mu^{-1}(a) \cap \Omega_{\text{alg}}(G)$  is a connected subspace of  $\Omega_{\text{alg}}(G)$  with respect to the direct limit topology. We deduce:

**Proposition 2.3.** For any  $a \in \mu(\Omega_{alg}(G))$ , the space  $\mu^{-1}(a) \cap \Omega_{alg}(G)$  is a connected topological subspace of  $\Omega(G)$ .

There is also an action of  $T \times S^1$  on each  $\mathcal{G}_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , which can be described as follows. We fix a basis, say  $b_1, \ldots, b_N$ , of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , and consider the induced basis  $z^k b_j$ ,  $-n \le k \le n-1$ ,  $1 \le j \le N$ , of  $\mathbb{C}^{2nN}$  (see equation (2)). The action of T on  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is induced by

$$(5) t \cdot (z^k b_i) := z^k (t b_i),$$

for any  $t \in T$  and k, j as above; the action of  $S^1$  is induced by

(6) 
$$e^{i\theta} \cdot (z^k b_i) := (e^{i\theta} z)^k b_i = z^k e^{ik\theta} b_i$$

for all  $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$ . This  $T \times S^1$  action is the restriction of an obvious  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  action: namely, in equation (5) we take  $t \in T^{\mathbb{C}}$  and in equation (6) we replace  $e^{i\theta}$  by an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . The  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  action turns out to be linear with respect to the Plücker embedding of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  (see [1, Section 4]). Thus, the  $T \times S^1$  action is Hamiltonian. We pick

$$\mu_n \colon \mathcal{G}_n \to (\mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathbb{R})^*$$

a moment map, which is again uniquely determined up to an additive constant. We can arrange the constants in such a way that if m < n then

$$\mu_n|_{\mathcal{G}_m} = \mu_m$$
.

The reason is that  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is a  $T \times S^1$ -invariant symplectic submanifold of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . We obtain the map  $\tilde{\mu} : \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_n \to (\mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathbb{R})^*$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}|_{\mathcal{G}_n} = \mu_n$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ . The map  $\tilde{\mu}$  is uniquely determined up to an additive constant. The following proposition relates the moment maps  $\mu$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** We can choose  $\mu$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  such that

$$\mu|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G)} = \tilde{\mu}|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G)}.$$

Proof. The idea of the proof is that there exists a submanifold  $Gr_{\infty}(H)$  of Gr(H) acted on smoothly by  $T \times S^1$  and such that

- $Gr_0(H) \subset Gr_\infty(H)$  and the inclusion is  $T \times S^1$  equivariant
- there exists  $\hat{\mu}: Gr_{\infty}(H) \to \mathfrak{t} \oplus i\mathbb{R}$  which is a moment map for the  $T \times S^1$  action
- the image of  $\Omega(G)$  under the inclusion (4) is contained in  $Gr_{\infty}(H)$ .

It is worth noticing that Gr(H) does not admit a smooth action of  $T \times S^1$ ; only its subspace  $Gr_{\infty}(H)$  does (see [11, Section 7.6]). This is why we need to use the latter space in our proof.

We deduce that  $\hat{\mu}|_{\Omega(G)}$  differs from  $\mu$  by a constant; the same can be said about  $\hat{\mu}|_{\mathcal{G}_n}$  and  $\mu_n$ , for any  $n \geq 0$ . The result follows.

Let us consider again the  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  action on  $\mathcal{G}_n$  defined above. Any of the inclusions  $\mathcal{G}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  is equivariant. Thus, we have an action of  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  on  $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_n$ . The same group acts on  $\Omega_{\text{alg}}(G)$ , as follows. We take into account that

(7) 
$$\Omega_{\text{alg}}(G) = L_{\text{alg}}(G^{\mathbb{C}})/L_{\text{alg}}^{+}(G^{\mathbb{C}})$$

where  $L_{\rm alg}(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  is the space of all algebraic maps  $\alpha \colon \mathbb{C}^* \to G^{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $L_{\rm alg}^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  the subgroup consisting of those  $\alpha$  which can be extended holomorphically to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the action we are referring to is

$$(8) T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G) \ni (g, u, \alpha L_{\mathrm{alg}}^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})) \mapsto [\mathbb{C}^* \ni \zeta \mapsto g\alpha(u\zeta)]L_{\mathrm{alg}}^+(G^{\mathbb{C}}).$$

The following result will be needed later.

**Proposition 2.5.** The inclusion  $\Omega_{alg}(G) \subset \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{G}_n$  defined in Proposition 2.1 (b) is  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  equivariant.

Proof. Take  $\gamma \in \Omega_{alg}(G)$ , which is of the form

$$S^1\ni z\mapsto \gamma(z)=\sum_{-k_0\le k\le k_0}A_kz^k,$$

where  $k_0 \ge 0$ . Here  $A_k$  are  $N \times N$  matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The subspace  $\gamma H_+$  of H has the property that

$$z^n H_+ \subset \gamma H_+ \subset z^{-n} H_+,$$

for some  $n \ge 0$ . Any element v of  $H_+$  has a Fourier expansion of the form  $v = \sum_{m \ge 0} v_m z^m$ , where  $v_m \in \mathbb{C}^N$ , for all  $m \ge 0$ . Then

$$\gamma v = \sum_{m>0, k\in\mathbb{Z}} (A_k v_m) z^{k+m}.$$

The corresponding element of  $(\gamma H_+)/z^n H_+$  is

$$[\gamma v] = \gamma v \mod z^n H_+ = \sum_{m>0, -k_0 \le k \le k_0, k+m \le n-1} (A_k v_m) z^{k+m}.$$

In this sum we have  $m \le n - k - 1 \le n + k_0 - 1$ . Thus, to describe all elements of  $\gamma H_+/z^m H_+$ , it is sufficient to take v of the form

$$v = \sum_{0 \le m \le n + k_0 - 1} v_m z^m.$$

If  $t \in T^{\mathbb{C}}$ , then

$$t \cdot [\gamma v] = \sum_{m \ge 0, -k_0 \le k \le k_0, k+m \le n-1} t(A_k v_m) z^{k+m} = \sum_{m \ge 0, -k_0 \le k \le k_0, k+m \le n-1} (tA_k) v_m z^{k+m}$$
$$= [(t \cdot \gamma) v].$$

Consequently,  $t \cdot (\gamma H_+) = (t \cdot \gamma) H_+$ . If  $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , then

$$u \cdot [\gamma v] = \sum_{m \ge 0, -k_0 \le k \le k_0, k+m \le n-1} (A_k v_m) (uz)^{k+m} = \sum_{m \ge 0, -k_0 \le k \le k_0, k+m \le n-1} (u^k A_k u^m v_m) z^{k+m}.$$

This is the same as  $[(u \cdot \gamma)\tilde{v}]$ , where

$$\tilde{v} = \sum_{0 \le m \le n + k_0 - 1} u^m v_m z^m.$$

Consequently,  $u \cdot (\gamma H_+) = (u \cdot \gamma)H_+$ .

Finally, let us pick  $B \subset G^{\mathbb{C}}$  a Borel subgroup with  $T \subset B$ . The presentation (7) of  $\Omega_{alg}(G)$  allows us to define on the latter space a natural action of the group

$$\mathcal{B}_+ := \{ \alpha \in L_{\mathrm{alg}}^+(G^{\mathbb{C}}) \colon \alpha(0) \in B \}$$

on  $\Omega_{alg}(G)$ . The orbit decomposition is

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \check{T}} C_{\lambda},$$

where the union is disjoint and

$$C_{\lambda} := \mathcal{B}_{+}\lambda$$

is called a *Bruhat cell*. Here  $\check{T}$  denotes the lattice of group homomorphisms  $S^1 \to T$ . The space  $C_{\lambda}$  is really a (finite dimensional) cell, being homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^r$  for some r. In this paper, by  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$  we will always mean the closure of  $C_{\lambda}$  in the direct limit topology (see above). The following property of the Bruhat cells will be needed later.

**Proposition 2.6.** For any  $\lambda \in \check{T}$ , there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{G}_n$  as a  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant closed subvariety.

This can be proved as follows. There exists  $n \ge 1$  such that  $C_\lambda \subset \mathcal{G}_n$ , because  $\mathcal{B}_+$  leaves each  $\Omega_k$ ,  $k \ge 0$ , invariant (see [6, Lemma 3.3.2]). The space  $C_\lambda$  is a locally Zariski closed subspace of  $\Omega_{\rm alg}(G)$  (see [8, Proposition 2.13 and Theorem 3.1]), thus also of  $\Omega_n$  and of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Consequently, the closures of  $C_\lambda$  in the Zariski, respectively differential topology of  $\mathcal{G}_n$  are equal.

Another result concerning the Bruhat cells is the following proposition (cf. [1, Section 1], see also [3, Proof of Proposition 3.4]).

**Proposition 2.7.** For any  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \check{T}$  there exists  $\lambda \in \check{T}$  such that

$$C_{\lambda_1} \subset \overline{C_{\lambda}}$$

and

$$C_{\lambda}, \subset \overline{C_{\lambda}}.$$

Consequently, for any  $x, y \in \Omega_{alg}(G)$  there exists  $\lambda \in \check{T}$  such that both x and y are in  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$ .

#### 3. The equivalence relation $\sim$

We begin with the following definition. Take  $0 < r \le 1$ . We say that a free loop  $S^1 \to G^{\mathbb{C}}$  extends holomorphically for  $|\zeta| \ge r$  if it is the restriction of a map

$$\alpha: \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty \colon |\zeta| \ge r\} \to G^{\mathbb{C}}$$

which is continuous, holomorphic on  $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty \colon |\zeta| > r\}$  and smooth on  $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \colon |\zeta| = r\}$ ; the same terminology is adopted if we take  $r \ge 1$  and replace " $\ge$ " and ">" by " $\le$ ", respectively "<" (and also  $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$  by  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

Let  $L^-(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  denote the subspace of  $L(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  consisting of those  $\alpha$  which extend holomorphically for  $|\zeta| \geq 1$  in the sense of the definition above. One knows that any  $\alpha \in L(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  can be written as

$$\alpha = \alpha_{-}\lambda\alpha_{+}$$

where  $\alpha_- \in L^-(G^{\mathbb{C}})$ ,  $\alpha_+ \in L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$ , and  $\lambda$  is a group homomorphism  $S^1 \to T$  (see [11, Theorem 8.1.2]). By using the presentation (1), the elements of  $\Omega(G)$  are cosets of the form  $\alpha_-\lambda L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$ , where  $\alpha_-$  and  $\lambda$  are as above. The following lemma will be used later.

**Lemma 3.1.** Take  $\alpha_-$ ,  $\beta_-$  in  $L^-(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  and  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu \colon S^1 \to T$  group homomorphisms such that

$$\alpha_{-}\lambda L^{+}(G^{\mathbb{C}}) = \beta_{-}\mu L^{+}(G^{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Let r be a strictly positive real number.

- (a) Assume that r < 1. If  $\alpha_-$  extends holomorphically for  $|\zeta| \ge r$  then  $\beta_-$  extends holomorphically for  $|\zeta| \ge r$  as well.
- (b) Assume that  $r \ge 1$  or r < 1 and  $\alpha_-$  extends holomorphically for  $|\zeta| \ge r$ . For any  $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$  with  $|u| \ge r$  we have

$$[S^1 \ni z \mapsto \alpha_-(uz)]\lambda L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}}) = [S^1 \ni z \mapsto \beta_-(uz)]\mu L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Proof. We have

$$\alpha_{-}\lambda = \beta_{-}\mu\alpha_{+},$$

where  $\alpha_+ \in L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$ .

(a) The loops  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are one-parameter subgroups in T, thus they have obvious (holomorphic) extensions to group homomorphisms  $\mathbb{C}^* \to T^{\mathbb{C}}$ . From (9) we deduce that  $\beta_-$  is the restriction of a function holomorphic on the annulus

$$\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : r < |\zeta| < 1\}$$

and continuous on the closure of this space. Consequently, the map  $\xi \mapsto \beta_-(1/\xi)$  extends holomorphically for  $|\xi| \leq 1/r$ , that is,  $\beta_-$  extends holomorphically for  $|\zeta| \geq r$ . Indeed, let us consider again the embedding  $G \subset SU(N)$ , as in Section 2. The resulting embedding  $G^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \operatorname{Mat}^{N \times N}(\mathbb{C})$  is holomorphic. We use the following claim:

**Claim.** If  $f: \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}: |\xi| \le 1/r\} \to \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous function which is holomorphic on  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}: |\xi| < 1/r, |\xi| \ne 1\}$ , then f is holomorphic on  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}: |\xi| < 1/r\}$ .

This can be proved by comparing the Laurent series of f on  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : |\xi| < 1\}$ , respectively  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |\xi| < 1/r\}$ . The series are equal, since the coefficients of both of them are equal to  $(1/(2\pi i)) \int_{|\xi|=1} f(\xi)/\xi^k d\xi$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (by a uniform continuity argument). Thus, the radius of convergence of the first of the two series (which is actually the Taylor series of f around 0) is at least equal to 1/r. The claim is proved.

(b) From equation (9) we deduce that  $\alpha_+$  extends holomorphically to  $\mathbb{C}$ . The reason is that the entries of the  $N\times N$  matrix  $\alpha_+=\mu^{-1}\beta_-^{-1}\alpha_-\lambda$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions which are continuous on  $\mathbb{C}$  and holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{\xi\in\mathbb{C}\colon|\xi|=1\}$ ; by the same argument as in the claim above, they are holomorphic on the whole  $\mathbb{C}$ . Again from equation (9), we deduce that

$$\alpha_{-}(uz)\lambda(uz) = \beta_{-}(uz)\mu(uz)\alpha_{+}(uz),$$

for all  $z \in S^1$ . The map  $S^1 \ni z \mapsto \alpha_+(uz)$  is in  $L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$ . We only need to notice that

$$\lambda(uz) = \lambda(z)\lambda(u), \quad \mu(uz) = \mu(z)\mu(u).$$

DEFINITION 3.2. (a) Take  $x \in \Omega(G)$  and  $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . We say that the pair (u, x) is admissible if

•  $|u| \geq 1$ 

or

• |u| < 1 and  $x = \alpha_- \lambda L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$ , where  $\alpha_- \in L^-(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  extends holomorphically for  $|\zeta| \ge |u|$  and  $\lambda \colon S^1 \to T$  is a group homomorphism. If (u, x) is as above and  $g \in T^{\mathbb{C}}$ , then

$$gux := g[S^1 \ni z \mapsto \alpha_-(uz)]\lambda L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$$

is an element of  $\Omega(G)$ .

(b) Take  $x, y \in \Omega(G)$ . We say that

$$x \sim y$$

if there exist  $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $g \in T^{\mathbb{C}}$  such that (u, x) is an admissible pair and y = gux.

REMARK. We can also express gux as

$$gux := g[S^1 \ni z \mapsto (\alpha_-\lambda)(uz)]L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}}),$$

because  $\lambda$  is a group homomorphism  $\mathbb{C}^* \to T^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Note that by Lemma 3.1, the definition of gux in part (a) is independent of the choice of the representative  $\alpha_{-}\lambda$  of  $x \in L(G^{\mathbb{C}})/L^{+}(G^{\mathbb{C}})$ . The following lemma shows that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.

**Lemma 3.3.** (a) If  $x \in \Omega(G)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $g \in T^{\mathbb{C}}$  such that (u, x) is admissible, then  $(u^{-1}, gux)$  is admissible and we have

$$g^{-1}u^{-1}(gux) = x.$$

(b) If  $x \in \Omega(G)$ ,  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , and  $g_1, g_2 \in T^{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $(u_1, x)$  and  $(u_2, g_1u_1x)$  are admissible, then  $(u_1u_2, x)$  is admissible and

$$(g_1g_2)(u_1u_2)x = g_2u_2(g_1u_1x).$$

- Proof. (a) We can assume that g=1. We write  $x=\alpha_-\lambda L^+(G^\mathbb{C})$ . Assume first that  $|u|\geq 1$ . The loop  $S^1\ni z\mapsto \alpha_-(uz)$  extends holomorphically for  $|\zeta|\geq 1/|u|$  by  $\zeta\mapsto \alpha_-(u\zeta)$ . The case |u|<1 is even easier to analyze. Verifying that  $u^{-1}(ux)=x$  is equally easy.
- (b) We can assume that  $g_1=g_2=1$ . Again we write  $x=\alpha_-\lambda L^+(G^\mathbb{C})$ . It is sufficient to analyze the case when  $|u_1u_2|<1$ . Thus, at least one of the numbers  $|u_1|$  and  $|u_2|$  is strictly less than 1. We distinguish the following two cases.

CASE 1.  $|u_2| < 1$ . The loop  $S^1 \ni z \mapsto \alpha_-(u_1z)$  is well defined and extends holomorphically for  $|\xi| \ge |u_2|$ . Let  $\tilde{\alpha} : \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty : |\xi| \ge |u_2|\} \to G^{\mathbb{C}}$  be an extension of this loop. The map  $\hat{\alpha} : \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty : |\zeta| \ge |u_1u_2|\} \to G^{\mathbb{C}}$  given by

$$\hat{\alpha}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\alpha}(u_1^{-1}\zeta), & \text{if} \quad |u_1u_2| \le |\zeta| \le |u_1|, \\ \alpha_-(\zeta), & \text{if} \quad |u_1| \le |\zeta| \end{cases}$$

is the desired extension of  $\alpha_-$  for  $|\zeta| \ge |u_1u_2|$  (note that  $\hat{\alpha}$  is holomorphic on  $|\zeta| > |u_1u_2|$ , since it is continuous and is holomorphic on the complement of the circle  $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| = |u_1|\}$ ).

CASE 2.  $|u_2| \ge 1$ . This implies  $|u_1| < 1$ . We notice that the pair  $(u_1, u_2x)$  is admissible: indeed, by hypothesis, the loop  $S^1 \ni z \mapsto \alpha_-(u_2z)$  extends holomorphically for  $|u_2\zeta| \ge |u_1|$ , hence also for  $|\zeta| \ge |u_1|$ . The pair  $(u_2, x)$  is admissible too. From the result proved in Case 1 we deduce that  $(u_1u_2, x)$  is admissible.

The equation  $u_2(u_1x) = (u_1u_2)x$  is straightforward.

The following result relates the equivalence relation  $\sim$  to the  $T \times S^1$  action on  $\Omega(G)$  (see Section 1).

**Lemma 3.4.** Take  $\gamma \in \Omega(G)$ . If  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the pair  $(e^{i\theta}, \gamma)$  is admissible. If  $t \in T$ , then the loop  $te^{i\theta}\gamma$  given by Definition 3.2 (b) can be expressed as

$$te^{i\theta}\gamma = t\gamma^{\theta}t^{-1}.$$

Here the right-hand side is given by

$$(t\gamma^{\theta}t^{-1})(z) = t\gamma(ze^{i\theta})\gamma(e^{i\theta})^{-1}t^{-1},$$

for all  $z \in S^1$ .

Proof. There exist  $\alpha_- \in L^-(G^\mathbb{C})$  and  $\lambda \colon S^1 \to T$  a group homorphism such that the image of  $\gamma$  under the isomorphism (1) is  $\alpha_- \lambda L^+(G^\mathbb{C})$ . This means that

$$\alpha_{-}\lambda = \gamma \alpha_{+}$$

for some  $\alpha_+ \in L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$ . We deduce that for any  $z \in S^1$  we have

$$[t\alpha_{-}(ze^{i\theta})\lambda(z)]\lambda(e^{i\theta}) = [t\gamma^{\theta}(z)t^{-1}]t\gamma(e^{i\theta})\alpha_{+}(ze^{i\theta}).$$

In other words, via the isomorphism (1), to  $t\gamma^{\theta}t^{-1}$  corresponds the coset of

$$t[S^1 \ni z \mapsto \alpha_-(ze^{i\theta})]\lambda$$
,

which is the same as  $te^{i\theta}(\alpha_{\lambda}L^{+}(G^{\mathbb{C}}))$ .

We now denote by A the set of all  $x \in \Omega(G)$  with  $x \sim y$ , for some  $y \in \mu^{-1}(a)$ . We are interested in the quotient space  $A/\sim$  and the (natural) map  $\mu^{-1}(a)/(T \times S^1) \to A/\sim$  which assigns to the coset of  $x \in \mu^{-1}(a)$  the equivalence class of x. By Lemma 3.4, this map is well defined.

#### **Proposition 3.5.** The natural map

$$\mu^{-1}(a)/(T \times S^1) \to A/\sim$$

is bijective.

Proof. Only the injectivity has to be proved. We have to show that if  $x, y \in \mu^{-1}(a)$  with  $x \sim y$ , then  $y = te^{i\theta}x$ , where  $(t, e^{i\theta}) \in T \times S^1$ . By Definition 3.2 we have

$$y = gux$$

for some  $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $g \in T^{\mathbb{C}}$ . We write  $g = \exp(w_1) \exp(i w_2)$  and  $u = e^{i\alpha} e^{-\beta}$ , where  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{t}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  (here we see  $-\beta$  as  $i(i\beta)$ ). Since the pair (u, x) is admissible and  $|u| = |e^{-\beta}|$ , the pair  $(e^{-\beta}, x)$  is admissible too. By Lemma 3.3 (b) we have

$$y = \exp(w_1)e^{i\alpha}(\exp(iw_2)e^{-\beta}x).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to assume that

$$y = \exp(i w_2) e^{-\beta} x$$
.

Moreover, without loss of generality we assume that

$$\beta > 0$$
,

because if contrary we write  $x = \exp(-iw_2)e^{\beta}y$  (by Lemma 3.3 (a)). Let us consider the function  $h: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$h(s) = [\mu(\exp(isw_2)e^{-s\beta}x) - a](w_2, i\beta),$$

where  $0 \le s \le 1$ . Notice that h(s) is well defined for any s with  $0 \le s \le 1$ : indeed, the pair  $(e^{-\beta}, x)$  is admissible hence, because  $e^{-s\beta} \ge e^{-\beta}$ , the pair  $(e^{-s\beta}, x)$  is admissible too. Since  $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$ , we have h(0) = h(1) = 0. Consequently, there exists  $s_0$  in the interval (0, 1) such that  $h'(s_0) = 0$ . We denote

$$(10) x_0 := \exp(is_0 w_2) e^{-s_0 \beta} x.$$

Claim. We have

$$\frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{s_0} \exp(is\,w_2)e^{-s\beta}x = J_{x_0}((w_2,i\,\beta)\,.\,x_0),$$

where  $J_{x_0}$  is the complex structure at  $x_0$  (see Section 2) and

$$(w_2, i\beta) \cdot x_0 := \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_0 [\exp(sw_2)e^{is\beta}x_0]$$

arising from the infinitesimal action of  $T \times S^1$  on  $\Omega(G)$ .

The claim can be proved as follows. Write  $x_0 = \alpha_- \lambda L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}})$ , where  $\alpha_- \in L^-(G^{\mathbb{C}})$  and  $\lambda \colon S^1 \to T$  is a group homomorphism. By using Lemma 3.4 and the remark following Definition 3.2, we have

$$\exp(isw_2)e^{-s\beta}x = \exp(i(s-s_0)w_2)e^{-(s-s_0)\beta}x_0 = \exp(i(s-s_0)w_2)(\alpha_-\lambda)_{-(s-s_0)}L^+(G^{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Here we have denoted

$$(\alpha_{-}\lambda)_{-(s-s_0)}(z) := (\alpha_{-}\lambda)(e^{-(s-s_0)\beta}z)$$

for all s in the interval (0, 1) and all  $z \in S^1$ . By the definition of the complex structure J (see Section 2), it is sufficient to prove that

(11) 
$$\frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{s_0} \left[ \exp(i(s-s_0)w_2)(\alpha_-\lambda)_{-(s-s_0)} \right] = i \frac{d}{ds} \bigg|_{s_0} \left[ \exp(sw_2)(\alpha_-\lambda)_{is} \right],$$

where

$$(\alpha_{-}\lambda)_{is}(z) := (\alpha_{-}\lambda)(e^{is\beta}z)$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $z \in S^1$ . By using the Leibniz rule, the left-hand side of (11) is

$$\frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{0} [\exp(isw_2)(\alpha_{-}\lambda)_{-s}] = i\frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{0} [\exp(sw_2)](\alpha_{-}\lambda) + i\frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{0} [(\alpha_{-}\lambda)_{is}].$$

Here we have used that

$$\frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{0} [\exp(isw_2)] = iw_2 = i\frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{0} [\exp(sw_2)]$$

and also that

$$\frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{0}(\alpha_{-}\lambda)(e^{-s\beta}z)=i\frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{0}(\alpha_{-}\lambda)(e^{is\beta}z),$$

for all  $z \in S^1$  (the last equation follows from the fact that  $\alpha_-\lambda$  is holomorphic on the exterior of a closed disk with center at 0 and radius strictly smaller than 1). The claim is proved.

From the claim we deduce as follows:

$$h'(s_0) = (d\mu)_{x_0} \left( \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s_0} (\exp(isw_2)e^{-s\beta}x) \right) (w_2, i\beta)$$

$$= \omega_{x_0} \left( \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s_0} (\exp(isw_2)e^{-s\beta}x), (w_2, i\beta) \cdot x_0 \right)$$

$$= \omega_{x_0} (J_{x_0}((w_2, i\beta) \cdot x_0), (w_2, i\beta) \cdot x_0)$$

$$= \langle (w_2, i\beta) \cdot x_0, (w_2, i\beta) \cdot x_0 \rangle,$$

where  $\omega$  denotes the symplectic form and  $\langle , \rangle$  the Kähler metric on  $\Omega(G)$  (see Proposition 2.1). We deduce that

$$(w_2, i\beta) \cdot x_0 = 0$$

which, according to the claim above, implies that

$$\frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_0 \exp(isw_2)e^{-s\beta}x_0 = 0.$$

From this we deduce that

$$\exp(isw_2)e^{-s\beta}x_0 = x_0$$

for all  $s \le 0$  (note that for any such s, the pair  $(e^{-s\beta}, x_0)$  is admissible, since  $e^{-s\beta} \ge 1$ ). Indeed, by using Lemma 3.4 we deduce that for any  $s_1 \le 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s_1} \exp(isw_2) e^{-s\beta} x_0 &= \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s_1} (\exp(is_1 w_2) e^{-s_1 \beta}) \exp(i(s-s_1) w_2) e^{-(s-s_1)\beta} x_0 \\ &= d(\exp(is_1 w_2) e^{-s_1 \beta})_{x_0} \left( \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{0} (\exp(isw_2) e^{-s\beta} x_0) \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the (differential of the) map  $\exp(is_1w_2)e^{-s_1\beta}$ :  $\Omega(G) \to \Omega(G)$  given by

$$\gamma \mapsto \exp(is_1w_2)e^{-s_1\beta}\gamma$$
,

which is well defined, since  $e^{-s_1\beta} \ge 1$ .

By Lemma 3.3 (a), equation (12) implies that the pair  $(e^{-s\beta}, x_0)$  is admissible for any  $s \ge 0$ ; moreover, equation (12) holds for all  $s \ge 0$  as well. We make  $s = -s_0$  in (12) and deduce  $x = x_0$ ; then we make  $s = 1 - s_0$  and deduce  $y = x_0$ . We conclude

$$x = y$$

and the proof is finished.

REMARK. Let M be a compact Kähler manifold acted on by a complex Lie group G, which is the complexification of a compact Lie group K, in such a way that the action of K on M is Hamiltonian. Kirwan has proved that if  $x, y \in M$  have the same image under the moment map and are on the same G orbit, then they are on the same K-orbit (see [5, Lemma 7.2]). We have used above the idea of her proof. Kirwan's result cannot be used directly in our context: first,  $\Omega(G)$  is not a compact manifold; second, and most importantly, the  $T \times S^1$  action on  $\Omega(G)$  does not extend in any reasonable way to a  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  action. We are substituting this action by the equivalence relation  $\sim$ .

### 4. Connectedness of $A/\sim$ and of $\mu^{-1}(a)$

We start with the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** (a) If  $x \in \Omega_{alg}(G)$  then the pair (u, x) is admissible (in the sense of Definition 3.2) for any  $u \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . The map

$$T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G) \to \Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G), \quad (g, u, x) \mapsto gux$$

is the action of  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  on  $\Omega_{alg}(G)$  defined in Section 2 (see equation (8)). (b) The image of  $(\mu^{-1}(a) \cap \Omega_{alg}(G))/(T \times S^1)$  under the map in Proposition 3.5 is  $(A \cap \Omega_{alg}(G))/\sim$ . The latter space is a connected topological subspace of  $\Omega(G)/\sim$ .

Proof. Point (a) follows from equations (7) and (8) and the remark following Definition 3.2. To prove the first assertion of (b), we only need to note that if  $x \in \Omega_{\text{alg}}(G)$  and  $y \in \Omega(G)$  such that  $x \sim y$ , then  $y \in \Omega_{\text{alg}}(G)$ . To prove the second assertion of (b), we note that the natural map

$$(\mu^{-1}(a) \cap \Omega_{\text{alg}}(G))/(T \times S^1) \to \Omega(G)/\sim$$

is continuous. We use Proposition 2.3.

The key result of this section is

**Proposition 4.2.** The subspace  $(A \cap \Omega_{alg}(G))/\sim$  of  $A/\sim$  is dense (both spaces have the topology of subspace of  $\Omega(G)/\sim$ ).

Combined with Proposition 4.1 (b), this implies

**Corollary 4.3.** The space  $A/\sim$  is a connected topological subspace of  $\Omega(G)/\sim$ .

In turn, this implies the main result of the paper, as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The natural map

(13) 
$$\mu^{-1}(a)/(T \times S^1) \to \Omega(G)/\sim$$

is continuous, one-to-one, and its image is  $A/\sim$  (by Proposition 3.5). Since  $A/\sim$  is connected (see the previous corollary), we deduce that  $\mu^{-1}(a)/(T\times S^1)$  is connected as well. Consequently,  $\mu^{-1}(a)$  is a connected topological subspace of  $\Omega(G)$ .

The rest of the section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 4.2. First, if  $\lambda \in \check{T}$ , we say that a point  $x \in \overline{C_{\lambda}}$  is  $(\mu - a)$ -semistable if

(14) 
$$\overline{(T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*)x} \cap (\mu^{-1}(a) \cap \overline{C_{\lambda}}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Here the closure is taken in  $\Omega_{\text{alg}}(G)$  with respect to the direct limit topology. We may assume that  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$  is contained in the Grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_n$  as a  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant closed subvariety (see Proposition 2.6). Then x is  $(\mu - a)$ -semistable if and only if it is  $(\mu_n - a)$ -semistable in the usual sense, that is, if

$$\overline{(T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*)x} \cap (\mu_n^{-1}(a) \cap \overline{C_{\lambda}}) \neq \emptyset$$

(see for instance [5, Chapter 7]). This follows immediately from the fact that  $\mu$  and  $\mu_n$  coincide on  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$ , by Proposition 2.4. We denote by  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}^{ss}$  the set of all semistable points in  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$ . We also consider the set  $\mathcal{G}_n^{ss}$  of all  $(\mu_n - a)$ -semistable points in  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . We have

$$\overline{C_{\lambda}}^{ss} = \overline{C_{\lambda}} \cap \mathcal{G}_{n}^{ss}.$$

The following description of the semistable set of  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$  will be needed later.

Lemma 4.4. We have

$$A \cap \overline{C_{\lambda}} = \overline{C_{\lambda}}^{ss}$$
.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1 (b), we have

$$A \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G) = (T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*)(\mu^{-1}(a) \cap \Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G)).$$

Consequently, a point  $x \in \Omega(G)$  is in  $A \cap \overline{C_{\lambda}}$  if and only if  $x \in [(T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*)\mu^{-1}(a)] \cap \overline{C_{\lambda}}$ . The latter set is obviously equal to  $(T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*)(\mu^{-1}(a) \cap \overline{C_{\lambda}})$ , which is the same as  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}^{ss}$  (by [5, Theorems 7.4 and 8.10], applied for the Grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_n$  which contains  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$  as a  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant closed subvariety, as indicated above).

We are now ready to prove Proposition 4.2.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. We show that in any open subset V of  $A/\sim$  there exists an element of  $(A \cap \Omega_{alg}(G))/\sim$ . Since  $A/\sim$  is equipped with the topology of subspace of  $\Omega(G)/\sim$ , we can write

$$V = (A/\sim) \cap (U/\sim) = (A \cap U)/\sim.$$

Here U is an open subspace of  $\Omega(G)$  with the property that for any  $x \in U$ , we have

$${y \in \Omega(G): y \sim x} \subset U.$$

The subspace  $U \cap \Omega_{\rm alg}(G)$  of  $\Omega_{\rm alg}(G)$  is open in the direct limit topology (because the direct limit topology on  $\Omega_{\rm alg}(G)$  is finer than the subspace topology, see Proposition 2.2) and non-empty (because  $\Omega_{\rm alg}(G)$  is dense in  $\Omega(G)$ , see [11, Section 3.5]). For any  $x \in U \cap \Omega_{\rm alg}(G)$  we have

(16) 
$$(T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*)x = \{ y \in \Omega_{alg}(G) \colon y \sim x \} \subset U \cap \Omega_{alg}(G),$$

which follows from Proposition 4.1 (a). There exists  $\lambda \in \check{T}$  such that  $\overline{C_{\lambda}} \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mu^{-1}(a) \cap \overline{C_{\lambda}} \neq \emptyset$ . Indeed, we can pick  $x \in \Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G) \cap U$  (the intersection is non-empty, see above) and  $y \in \Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G) \cap \mu^{-1}(a)$  (the intersection is non-empty, since  $a \in \mu(\Omega(G)) = \mu(\Omega_{\mathrm{alg}}(G))$ ); by Proposition 2.7, there exists  $\lambda \in \check{T}$  such that x and y are both in  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$ .

**Claim.** If  $\lambda \in \check{T}$  is as above, then  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}^{ss}$  is a dense subspace of  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$  (here  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$  is equipped with the direct limit topology it inherits from  $\Omega_{alg}(G)$ ).

To prove the claim, we consider again a Grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_n$  which contains  $\overline{C_\lambda}$  as a  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant closed subvariety. By the main theorem of [4], there exists on  $\mathcal{G}_n$  a  $T^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant very ample line bundle L such that  $\mathcal{G}_n^{ss} = \mathcal{G}_n^{ss}(L)$ . The latter space consists of all L-semistable points in  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , that is points  $x \in \mathcal{G}_n$  such that there exists  $k \geq 1$  and  $s: X \to L^{\otimes k}$  equivariant holomorphic section with  $s(x) \neq 0$  (cf. e.g. [10]). Consequently,  $\mathcal{G}_n^{ss}$  is a Zariski open subspace of  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Since  $\overline{C_\lambda}^{ss} = \mathcal{G}_n^{ss} \cap \overline{C_\lambda}$ , we deduce that  $\overline{C_\lambda}^{ss}$  is a Zariski open subspace of  $\overline{C_\lambda}$ . The space  $\overline{C_\lambda}^{ss}$  is non-empty, since  $\mu^{-1}(a) \cap \overline{C_\lambda} \subset \overline{C_\lambda}^{ss}$ . Thus  $\overline{C_\lambda}^{ss}$  is dense in  $\overline{C_\lambda}$  with respect to the usual differential topology on the latter space: this can be deduced by using [9, Theorem 2.33] for  $\overline{C_\lambda}$ , which is an irreducible projective variety (cf. [8, p. 360]).

From the claim we deduce that the intersection  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}^{ss} \cap U$  is non-empty (since  $\overline{C_{\lambda}} \cap U$  is a non-empty subspace of  $\overline{C_{\lambda}}$  which is open with respect to the direct limit topology). By Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\overline{C_{\lambda}}^{ss} \cap U = A \cap \overline{C_{\lambda}} \cap U,$$

thus

$$U \cap A \cap \Omega_{alg}(G) \neq \emptyset$$
.

By equation (16), the quotient  $(U \cap A \cap \Omega_{alg}(G))/\sim$  is a (non-empty) subspace of  $\Omega(G)/\sim$ . It is contained in both  $V=(U \cap A)/\sim$  and  $(A \cap \Omega_{alg}(G))/\sim$ . Consequently, the intersection  $V \cap [(A \cap \Omega_{alg}(G))/\sim]$  is non-empty. This finishes the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I would like to thank Jost Eschenburg for discussions about the topics of this paper. I am also grateful to the referee for carefully reading the manuscript and suggesting many improvements.

#### References

- M.F. Atiyah and A.N. Pressley: Convexity and loop groups; in Arithmetic and Geometry, vol. II, Progr. Math. 36, Birkhäuser, Boston, Boston, MA, 33–63, 1983.
- [2] M.A. Guest and A.N. Pressley: Holomorphic curves in loop groups, Comm. Math. Phys. 118 (1988), 511–527.
- [3] M. Harada, T.S. Holm, L.C. Jeffrey and A.-L. Mare: Connectivity properties of moment maps on based loop groups, Geom. Topol. 10 (2006), 1607–1634.
- [4] P. Heinzner and L. Migliorini: Projectivity of moment map quotients, Osaka J. Math. 38 (2001), 167–184.
- [5] F.C. Kirwan: Cohomology of Quotients in Symplectic and Algebraic Geometry, Mathematical Notes 31, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.
- [6] R.R. Kocherlakota: Integral homology of real flag manifolds and loop spaces of symmetric spaces, Adv. Math. 110 (1995), 1–46.
- [7] S.A. Mitchell: A filtration of the loops on SU(n) by Schubert varieties, Math. Z. 193 (1986), 347–362.
- [8] S.A. Mitchell: Quillen's theorem on buildings and the loops on a symmetric space, Enseign. Math. (2) 34 (1988), 123–166.
- [9] D. Mumford: Algebraic Geometry, I, Complex projective varieties, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 221, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [10] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan: Geometric Invariant Theory, third edition, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2) 34, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [11] A. Pressley and G. Segal: Loop Groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Regina Regina SK, S4S 0A2 Canada

e-mail: mareal@math.uregina.ca