ON A WEAKLY UNKNOTTED 2-SPHERE IN
A SIMPLY-CONNECTED 4-MANIFOLD

Takao MATUMOTO

Dedicated to Professor Minoru Nakaoka on his sixtieth birthday

Introduction

The purpose of this note is to present the following criterion for unknotting in a weak sense which gives us a simple geometric proof of Theorem of Kawauchi stated below.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a smooth 1-connected 4-manifold and S^2 a smoothly embedded 2-sphere in M. Suppose that $\pi_1(M - S^2) \cong Z$ and $S^2 \simeq 0$ in M. Then, S^2 is unknotted in $M \sharp (\sharp_n S^2 \times S^2)$ for some $n \geq 0$.*

Here S^2 is called unknotted if there is a smoothly embedded D^3 which is bounded by S^2. As a corollary we shall give a proof of Theorem of Kawauchi. His original proof uses the partial Poincaré duality associated to infinite cyclic covering (see [3], [4] and Suzuki [9, Th. 8.6]). Other proofs are founded in [1], [8] and [10].

Corollary (Theorem of Kawauchi). *Let S^2 be a smoothly embedded 2-sphere in the 4-sphere S^4. Suppose that $\pi_1(S^4 - S^2) \cong Z$. Then, it is algebraically unknotted, i.e. $S^4 - S^2 \simeq S^1$.*

Is a smooth 2-knot with $\pi_1(S^4 - S^2) \cong Z$ unknotted? This is a unsolved question. We stabilize the problem by making connected sum of the ambient manifold with $\sharp(S^2 \times S^2)$ and another stabilization may be done by making connected sum of the embedded manifold S^2 with trivially embedded $\sharp(S^1 \times S^1)$. There is a result due to [2].

Theorem 2 (Hosokawa-Kawauchi [2]). *Under the same assumption of Theorem 1, S^2 surgered by attaching n trivially embedded 1-handles is unknotted in M for some $n \geq 0$.*

We refer the reader to [ibid] for the precise meaning of trivial (=trivially embedded) 1-handles and unknottedness of surfaces. We shall give also a

proof of Theorem of Kawauchi using this theorem in the last section.

1. Proof of Theorem 1

Since $S^2 \cong 0$ in M, we have $S^2 \times D^2 \subset M$. And $* \times \partial D^2 \subset M - S^2$ gives a generator of $\pi_1(M - S^2) \cong Z$. This implies that there exists a map $f: M - S^2 \times \mathring{D}^2 \to S^1$ which is an extension of the projection $S^2 \times \partial D^2 \to S^1$. We make f transversely regular at a point of S^1 and get a connected smooth 3-manifold $N \subset M$ such that $\partial N = S^2$ in M.

In case M has a spin structure, we can restrict the spin structure of M on N and extend it over $N \cup D^3$, because the spin structure is determined by a framing of the stable tangent bundle over the 2-skelton (cf. Milnor [7]). Since the 3-dimensional spin cobordism group vanishes [ibid], we have a smooth spin cobordism $(W^4; N^3, D^3)$ relative to the boundary. We may assume that W^4 is the union of the elementary cobordisms consisting of one of 1-handles, 2-handles and 3-handles in this order. The elementary cobordism $N \times I \cup$ (1-handle) is easily embedded in M and the spin structure on the other boundary is compatible with that of M. By an inductive argument on the number of 1-handles, the level manifold N_1 just above all the 1-handles is embedded in M and $\partial N_1 = S^2$. Remark that the spin structure of $N_1 \subset W$ is compatible with that of $N_1 \subset M$. The elementary cobordism $N_1 \times I \cup$ (2-handle) cannot be embedded in M but can be embedded in $M \# (S^2 \times S^2)$. In fact, we take $S^1 \subset N_1$ which is the boundary of the axis of the 2-handle. Then, $S^1 \cong 0$ in $M - S^2$, because S^1 does not link with S^2 and $\pi_1(M - S^2) \cong Z$. The framing of $S^1 \times D^3$ is uniquely determined by the spin structure of N_1 and the surgery along this framed $S^1 \times D^3$ changes $M - S^2$ into $(M - S^2) \# (S^2 \times S^2)$ because of the choice of the spin structure. Of course, the spin structure on the other boundary is compatible with that of $M \# (S^2 \times S^2)$. The level manifold N_2 just above all the 2-handles is embedded in $M \# (\#_k S^2 \times S^2)$ and $\partial N_2 = S^2$, where k is equal to the number of the 2-handles of (W, N). We note that there is a diffeomorphism $h: (\#_l S^1 \times S^2 - \mathring{D}^3, \partial) \to (N_2, \partial)$, where l is the number of 3-handles of (W, N) i.e. 1-handles of (W, D^3). Take the component S^1 of $S^1 \times S^2$ and consider $h(S^1) \subset N_2 \subset M \# (\#_k S^2 \times S^2)$. As before, $h(S^1) \cong 0$ in $M \# (\#_k S^2 \times S^2) - S^2$. The spin structure of N_2 induces a framing of the tubular neighborhood of $h(S^1)$ so that the surgery along $h(S^1)$ changes $M \# (\#_k S^2 \times S^2) - S^2$ into $(M \# (\#_k S^2 \times S^2) - S^2) \# S^2 \times S^2$. Then $N_2' \cong (\#_{l-1} S^1 \times S^2 - \mathring{D}^3)$ is easily embedded in $M \# (\#_{k+1} S^2 \times S^2)$ such that $\partial N_2' = S^2$. By induction we get a smooth submanifold N_3 of $M \# (\#_{k+l} S^2 \times S^2)$ such that $\partial N_3 = S^2$ and N_3 is

diffeomorphic to D^3. This means that S^2 is unknotted in $M\#(\#S^2 \times S^2)$.

In the other case that $w_2(M) \neq 0$, we have only to remark that the surgery along the trivial circle with any framing gives us $M\#(S^2 \times S^2)$. Since the closed 3-manifold $N \cup D^3$ is orientable and the tangent bundle is trivial, there is a spin structure on $N \cup D^3$ and any choice of the spin structure on $N \cup D^3$ leads to the same proof as above.   q.e.d.

2. Proof of Corollary

Let $\tilde{E}$ be the universal covering space of $E = S^4 - S^2$. Then, $E\#(\#_n S^2 \times S^2)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times R^3 \#(\#_n S^2 \times S^2)$ by Theorem 1. Hence, we have $H_*(\tilde{E}; Z) = 0$ for $* \geq 3$ and there is an isomorphism as $Z[Z]$-modules, $\alpha: H_2(\tilde{E}; Z) \oplus (Z[Z])^{2n} \to (Z[Z])^{2n}$, where $Z[Z]$ is the group ring of Z over Z. (From this fact the argument in the last paragraph of [5] completes the proof. We present here a little modified one.) Let $\beta = p \circ \alpha^{-1}$ where $p: H(\tilde{E}; Z) \oplus (Z[Z])^{2n} \to (Z[Z])^{2n}$ is the projection onto the 2nd factor. Since β is a surjection onto a free $Z[Z]$-module, there exists a $Z[Z]$-module homomorphism γ such that $\beta \circ \gamma = \mathrm{id}$. But, since $Z[Z]$ can be embedded in a field $Q(t)$, the right inverse matrix γ over $Q(t)$ is also a left inverse of β. In particular, β is an injection and so is $p = \beta \circ \alpha$. Hence, $H_2(\tilde{E}; Z) = 0$, which implies that $\tilde{E}$ is contractible and E has the homotopy type of S^1.   q.e.d.

3. Further discussions

3.1. In Theorem 1, $\pi_1(M - S^2) \cong Z$ implies $S^2 \simeq 0$ in M if M is a closed manifold. In fact, $[f(S^2)] \cap [S^2] = 0$ for any immersion $f: S^2 \to M$, because we can assume that $f(S^2)$ and S^2 intersect transversally and hence the algebraic intersection number times generator of $\pi_1(M - S^2) = H_1(M - S^2)$ is zero. By the fact that $\pi_2(M) = H_2(M)$ and the Poincaré duality this means $S^2 \simeq 0$ in M.

3.2. Theorem of Kawauchi is valid for the locally flat topological 2-knot S^2 if it has a normal micro-bundle. In this case $S^2 \times D^2$ is embedded in S^4 so that the interior of $\bar{E} = S^4 - S^2 \times \mathring{D}^2$ is homeomorphic to E. Then, Kawauchi's proof can be applied to $\bar{E}$ to get $E \simeq S^1$. Our method is also applicable. In fact, we consider an embedding of S^1 parallel to ∂D^2 in Int $\bar{E}$. Since $H^i(\bar{E} - S^1, \partial\bar{E}) = H^i(S^1 \times S^2 \times [0, +\infty), S^1 \times S^2 \times 0) = 0$ for any i, the non-compact 4-manifold $\bar{E} - S^1$ admits a smooth structure relative to the boundary $\partial\bar{E}$ (see [6, V. 1.4.1]). So we get a smooth embedding of S^2 into a 1-connected smooth 4-manifold M which is homeomorphic to $S^4 - S^1$ such that $\pi_1(M - S^2) \cong Z$ and $S^2 \simeq 0$ in M^4. By Theorem 1 S^2 is unknotted in $M\#(\#_n S^2 \times S^2)$. Then $E\#(\#_n S^2 \times S^2)$ is homeomorphic to $S^1 \times R^3 \#(\#_n S^2 \times S^2)$. This implies $\bar{E} \simeq S^1$ by the argument of §2.

3.3. Proof of Corollary by using Theorem 2: Let $T(n)$ be S^2 surgered by attaching n trivially embedded 1-handles in S^4. Then by Theorem 2 we can assume that $T(n)$ is unknotted. By 3.3 of [5], $S^4 - T(n)$ has the homotopy type of $S^1 \vee (\bigvee_{2n} S^2)$. On the other hand we see in the same way that $S^4 - T(n) \simeq E \vee (\bigvee_{2n} S^2)$. Now the same argument as in §2 leads to the conclusion of Corollary.

References

[1] P. Hirshhorn and J. Ratcliff: *A simple proof of the algebraic unknotting of spheres in codimension two*, Amer. J. Math. **102** (1980), 489–491.

[2] F. Hosokawa and A. Kawauchi: *Proposals for unknotted surfaces in four-spaces*, Osaka J. Math. **16** (1979), 233–248.

[3] A. Kawauchi: *On partial Poincaré duality and higher dimensional knots with $\pi_1 = Z$*, Master Thesis, Kobe Univ., 1974

[4] ————: *A partial Poincaré duality theorem for infinite cyclic coverings*, Quart. J. Math. **26** (1975), 437–458.

[5] A. Kawauchi and T. Matumoto: *An estimate of infinite cyclic coverings and knot theory*, Pacific J. Math. **90** (1980), 99–103.

[6] R. Kirby and L. Siebenmann: Foundational essays on topological manifolds, smoothings, and triangulations, Ann. Math. Studies 88 (1977).

[7] J. Milnor: *Spin structures on manifolds*, Enseign. Math. **9** (1963), 198–203.

[8] D. Sumners: *On asphericity of knots of S^2 in S^4*, Preprint.

[9] S. Suzuki: *Knotting problems of 2-spheres in 4-space*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. **4** (1976), 241–371.

[10] G. Swarup: *An unknotting criterion*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **6** (1975), 291–296.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Hiroshima University
Hiroshima 730, Japan