

Title	Equivariant K-ring of G-manifold $(U(n), \text{ad}_G)$ . I
Author(s)	Minami, Haruo
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 9(3) p.367-p.377
Issue Date	1972
oaire:version	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/12726">https://doi.org/10.18910/12726</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## EQUIVARIANT K-RING OF G-MANIFOLD $(U(n), \text{ad}_G)$ I

HARUO MINAMI

(Received October 21, 1971)

### 1. Introduction and statement of results

Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group and  $H$  a connected closed subgroup of  $G$ . We can consider  $G$  a differentiable  $H$ -manifold as follows. A differentiable  $H$ -action on  $G$   $ad_H: H \times G \rightarrow G$ , called the adjoint operation of  $H$  on  $G$ , is defined by

$$ad_H(h, g) = hgh^{-1} \quad h \in H, g \in G.$$

Then by  $(G, ad_H)$  we denote the manifold  $G$  together with the adjoint operation  $ad_H$ .

The purpose of this paper is to calculate  $K_H$ -group of  $(G, ad_H)$  for  $(G, ad_H) = (U(n), ad_H)$  and  $(SU(n), ad_H)$  when  $H$  is of maximal rank, where  $U(n)$  and  $SU(n)$  are the  $n$ -dimensional unitary group and special unitary group respectively.

Let  $G$  denote  $U(n)$  or  $SU(n)$  henceforth and  $V$  the standard  $n$ -dimensional  $G$ -module over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, when we regard the  $G$ -module  $V$  as an  $H$ -module, let  $\underline{V}$  denote a trivial  $H$ -vector bundle with a fibre  $V$  over  $G$  and  $\lambda^i(\underline{V})$  the  $i$ -th exterior power of  $\underline{V}$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then we can define an  $H$ -automorphism  $\theta_i^H$  of  $\lambda^i(\underline{V})$  by

$$\theta_i^H(g, z) = (g, \lambda^i(g)(z)) \quad g \in G, z \in \lambda^i(V)$$

which can be easily check to be compatible with the action of  $H$  on  $\lambda^i(\underline{V})$ . Hence  $\theta_i^H$  determines an element  $[\lambda^i(\underline{V}), \theta_i^H]$ , which we shall also write  $\theta_i^H$ , in  $K_H^1(G, ad_H)$  (See [3]). In particular,  $\theta_n^H = 0$  in case of  $(G, ad_H) = (SU(n), ad_H)$  because  $\lambda^n(g) = \det g = 1$  for any  $g \in SU(n)$  and so the automorphism  $\theta_n^H$  is the identity map of  $\lambda^n(\underline{V})$ .

In this note we prove the following

**Theorem 1.** *When  $(G, ad_H) = (U(n), ad_H)$  or  $(SU(n), ad_H)$  and  $H$  is a connected closed subgroup of  $G$  which is of maximal rank,*

$$K_H^*(G, ad_H) \cong \Lambda_{R(H)}(\theta_1^H, \theta_2^H, \dots, \theta_n^H)$$

*as an algebra over  $R(H)$  where  $\theta_n^H = 0$  in case of  $(G, ad_H) = (SU(n), ad_H)$  and  $R(H)$*

is the complex character ring of  $H$ .

L. Hodgkin [2] has stated a more general case of this theorem without proof.

In the following sections we discuss only the case of  $(G, ad_H) = (U(n), ad_H)$  as we can compute  $K_H^*(G, ad_H)$  analogously in case of  $(G, ad_H) = (SU(n), ad_H)$ .

**2.  $(T(n), \alpha, U(n-1))$ -bundle**

In this section, we prepare some results, which will be applied in §3.

The standard maximal torus  $T(n)$  of  $U(n)$  is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \in U(n) \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbf{C}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Let  $\rho_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , be the 1-dimensional complex representations which are given by the  $i$ -th projection  $T(n) \rightarrow U(1)$  defined by

$$\rho_i \left( \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \right) = \lambda_i$$

and let us denote the representation space of  $\rho_1^{-1}\rho_2 \oplus \rho_1^{-1}\rho_3 \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_1^{-1}\rho_n$  by  $W$ .

We identify  $U(n-1)$  with a subgroup  $1 \times U(n-1)$  of  $U(n)$ . Then  $U(n-1)$  is a closed  $T(n)$ -invariant submanifold of  $(U(n), ad_{T(n)})$  and hence the homogeneous space  $U(n)/U(n-1)$  becomes a  $T(n)$ -manifold. When we denote the unit sphere of  $\mathbf{C} \oplus W$  by  $S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)$ , we can define a map

$$\pi : U(n) \rightarrow S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)$$

by  $\pi(A) = v_A$  for any  $A \in U(n)$ , where  $v_A$  is the 1<sup>st</sup> column vector of  $A$ . Then  $\pi$  is a  $T(n)$ -equivariant map and furthermore induces a  $T(n)$ -isomorphism

$$U(n)/U(n-1) \approx S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W).$$

Now if we define a homomorphism

$$\alpha : T(n) \rightarrow Aut(U(n-1))$$

by 
$$\alpha(t)(u) = tut^{-1} \quad t \in T(n), u \in U(n-1),$$

then we see easily the following

**Proposition 1** (See [4]).  $\pi : U(n) \rightarrow S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)$  is a  $(T(n), \alpha, U(n-1))$ -bundle

in the sense of T. tom Dieck.

Put

$$S(C \oplus W) = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in C^n \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2 = 1\}$$

and

$$D_1^\pm = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in S(C \oplus W) \mid (1 \pm 1)\pi \leq 2 \arg z_1 \leq (3 \pm 1)\pi\}$$

respectively. Then  $D_1^\pm$  are closed  $T(n)$ -invariant subspaces of  $S(C \oplus W)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad S(C \oplus W) = D_1^+ \cup D_1^-$$

and moreover since  $T(n)$  acts on the 1<sup>st</sup> vectors of  $n$ -tuples of  $S(C \oplus W)$  trivially,  $D_1^\pm$  are  $T(n)$ -contractible to  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$  respectively by  $T(n)$ -homotopies  $H^\pm : D_1^\pm \times I \rightarrow D_1^\pm$  defined by

$$H^\pm((z_1, \dots, z_n), t) = \begin{cases} (re^{\theta(t)i}, z_2, \dots, z_n) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ (\sqrt{1 - (2 - 2t)^2(1 - r^2)}, (2 - 2t)z_2, \dots, (2 - 2t)z_n) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $r = |z_1|$  and  $\theta(t) = (1 - 2t) \arg z_1 + (2\pi \pm 2\pi)t$ . Therefore the restrictions of  $\pi : U(n) \rightarrow S(C \oplus W)$  onto  $D_1^\pm$  are trivial  $(T(n), \alpha, U(n - 1))$ -bundles over  $D_1^\pm$  from the homotopy theorem of [4], §4 and so there exist isomorphisms of  $(T(n), \alpha, U(n - 1))$ -bundles

$$(2.2) \quad \delta^\pm : \pi^{-1}(D_1^\pm) \approx D_1^\pm \times U(n - 1).$$

Then we see that  $\delta^\pm$  induce isomorphisms

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{T(n)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^\pm)) &\cong K_{T(n)}^*(D_1^\pm \times U(n - 1)) \\ &\cong K_{T(n)}^*(D_1^\pm) \otimes_{R(T(n))} K_{T(n)}^*(U(n - 1)) \end{aligned}$$

by the  $T(n)$ -contractibility of  $D_1^\pm$ .

Next we divide  $D_1^+ \cap D_1^-$  into two closed  $T(n)$ -contractible subspaces  $D_2^\pm$  where

$$D_2^\pm = \{(r, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in S(C \oplus W) \mid r \in R, \pm r \geq 0\}.$$

Then

$$(2.4) \quad D_1^+ \cap D_1^- = D_2^+ \cup D_2^- \quad \text{and} \quad D_2^- \cap D_2^- = S(W)$$

as  $T(n)$ -spaces. The restrictions of  $\delta^+$  (or  $\delta^-$ ) onto  $D_2^\pm$  and  $S(W)$  are  $T(n)$ -isomorphisms

$$\pi^{-1}(D_2^\pm) \approx D_2^\pm \times U(n - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^{-1}(S(W)) \approx S(W) \times U(n - 1)$$

and induce isomorphisms

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{T(n)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_2^\pm)) &\cong K_{T(n)}^*(D_2^\pm \times U(n-1)) \\ &\cong K_{T(n)}^*(D_2^\pm) \otimes_{R(T(n))} K_{T(n)}^*(U(n-1)) \end{aligned}$$

since  $D_2^\pm$  are  $T(n)$ -contractible.

Here we consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{T(n)}^*(P) \otimes_{R(T(n))} K_{T(n)}^*(U(n-1)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1*} \otimes 1} & K_{T(n)}^*(W) \otimes_{R(T(n))} K_{T(n)}^*(U(n-1)) \\ \xi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi_2 \\ K_{T(n)}^*(P \times U(n-1)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2*}} & K_{T(n)}^*(W \times U(n-1)) \end{array}$$

in which  $\varphi_{1*}$  and  $\varphi_{2*}$  are the Thom isomorphisms for trivial  $T(n)$ -vector bundles  $W \rightarrow P (= \text{a point})$  and  $W \times U(n-1) \rightarrow U(n-1)$  respectively and  $\xi_i, i=1, 2$ , the homomorphisms induced by the external products. Then, since the diagram is commutative and  $\xi_1$  is an isomorphism we see

$$(2.6) \quad \xi_2 : K_{T(n)}^*(W) \otimes_{R(T(n))} K_{T(n)}^*(U(n-1)) \rightarrow K_{T(n)}^*(W \times U(n-1))$$

is an isomorphism.

Finally we prove the following

**Lemma 1.**  $K_{T(n)}^*(S(\mathcal{C} \oplus W))$  is an exterior algebra over  $R(T(n))$  with one generator  $g$  satisfying

$$\pi^*(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \rho_1^{-i} \theta_i^{T(n)}.$$

Proof. We observe the exact sequence of the pair  $(D(\mathcal{C} \oplus W), S(\mathcal{C} \oplus W))$  where  $D(\mathcal{C} \oplus W)$  is the unit disk of  $\mathcal{C} \oplus W$ . Then we see that  $K_{T(n)}^1(S(\mathcal{C} \oplus W))$  is a free  $R(T(n))$ -module generated by  $\delta^{-1} \lambda_{\mathcal{C} \oplus W}$  from the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 = K_{T(n)}^1(D(\mathcal{C} \oplus W)) \rightarrow K_{T(n)}^1(S(\mathcal{C} \oplus W)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K_{T(n)}^0(\mathcal{C} \oplus W) \\ & & \uparrow \varphi_* \\ & & K_{T(n)}^0(P) \end{array}$$

$$\rightarrow \tilde{K}_{T(n)}^0(D(\mathcal{C} \oplus W)) = 0$$

where  $\delta$  is a coboundary homomorphism,  $\varphi_*$  the Thom isomorphism for the trivial  $T(n)$ -vector bundle  $\mathcal{C} \oplus W \rightarrow P (= \text{a point})$  and  $\lambda_{\mathcal{C} \oplus W} = \varphi_*(1)$ , and also we get

$$\tilde{K}_{T(n)}^0(S(\mathcal{C} \oplus W)) = 0$$

since  $\tilde{K}_{T(n)}^0(D(\mathcal{C} \oplus W)) = K_{T(n)}^1(\mathcal{C} \oplus W) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$(2.7) \quad K_{T(n)}^*(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)) \cong \Lambda_{R(T(n))}(\delta^{-1}\lambda_{\mathbf{C} \oplus W})$$

as an algebra over  $R(T(n))$ .

$$\text{Now} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \oplus \lambda^{2i}(\mathbf{C} \oplus W) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \oplus \lambda^{2i+1}(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)$$

are isomorphic as  $T(n)$ -modules where  $\lambda^j(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)$  denotes the  $j$ -th exterior power of  $\mathbf{C} \oplus W$  for  $j=0, 1, \dots, n$ . Because,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the character of } \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} [\lambda^{2i}(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)] - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} [\lambda^{2i+1}(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)] \\ & = (1-1)(1-\rho_1^{-1}\rho_2)(1-\rho_1^{-1}\rho_3)\cdots(1-\rho_1^{-1}\rho_n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the brackets denote the isomorphism classes of  $T(n)$ -modules. So we identify the above two  $T(n)$ -modules and describe it  $M$ .

Let  $\rho : U(n) \rightarrow U(n)$  be the identity homomorphism and  $\lambda^i \rho$  the  $j$ -th exterior power of  $\rho$  for  $j=0, 1, \dots, n$ , and let us denote

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \oplus \lambda^{2i} \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \oplus \lambda^{2i+1} \rho : U(n) \rightarrow U(2^{n-1})$$

by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. Then we can define a map

$$\gamma : U(n)/U(n-1) \rightarrow U(2^{n-1})$$

$$\text{by} \quad \gamma(hU(n-1)) = \alpha(h)\beta(h)^{-1} \quad h \in U(n)$$

because  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  agree on  $U(n-1)$  and so a  $T(n)$ -automorphism  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of  $M$  by

$$\tilde{\gamma}(hU(n-1), v) = (hU(n-1), \gamma(h)(v)) \quad h \in U(n), v \in M.$$

Therefore  $\tilde{\gamma}$  determines an element  $[\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}]$  in  $K_{T(n)}^1(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W))$  since  $S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W) \cong U(n)/U(n-1)$ . This element satisfies the condition we require. Because if we denote by  $V'$  the representation space of  $\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_n$  of  $T(n)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^*[\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}] &= [\underline{M}, \tilde{\alpha}] - [\underline{M}, \tilde{\beta}] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} [\lambda^{2i}(\underline{\mathbf{C} \oplus W}), \tilde{\lambda}^{2i}(\rho)] - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} [\lambda^{2i+1}(\underline{\mathbf{C} \oplus W}), \tilde{\lambda}^{2i+1}(\rho)] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \rho_1^{-2i} [\lambda^{2i}(\underline{V'}), \tilde{\lambda}^{2i}(\rho)] - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \rho_1^{-2i-1} [\lambda^{2i+1}(\underline{V'}), \tilde{\lambda}^{2i+1}(\rho)] \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\theta_i^{T(n)} = [\lambda^i(\underline{V'}), \tilde{\lambda}^i(\rho)]$  by the definition of  $\theta_i^{T(n)}$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^*[\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}] &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \rho_1^{-2i} \theta_{2i}^{T(n)} - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \rho_1^{-2i-1} \theta_{2i+1}^{T(n)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \rho_1^{-i} \theta_i^{T(n)} \end{aligned}$$

where the definition of  $\tilde{\alpha}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}^i(\rho)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , are similar to that of  $\bar{\gamma}$ . Therefore a proof of  $[\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}] = \delta^{-1}\lambda_{\mathbf{C} \oplus W}$  concludes Lemma 1.

Let  $f : K_{T(n)}^1(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)) \rightarrow K^1(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W))$  be the forgetful homomorphism and  $j^* : K_{T(n)}(\mathbf{C} \oplus W) \rightarrow K_{T(n)}(\mathbf{C})$  a homomorphism induced by the natural inclusion map  $j : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \oplus W$ . When we forget the action of  $T(n)$ , we have

$$\delta^{-1}\lambda_{C\oplus W} = [\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}]$$

from [1], p. 115. Namely

$$f(\delta^{-1}\lambda_{C\oplus W}) = f([\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}]).$$

Hence, since  $K_{T(n)}^1(S(C\oplus W))$  is a free  $R(T(n))$ -module generated by  $\delta^{-1}\lambda_{C\oplus W}$  according to (2.7), there exists an element  $r$  of  $R(T(n))$  satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad r(\delta^{-1}\lambda_{C\oplus W}) = [\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}]$$

and 
$$r = 1 \pmod{\tilde{R}(T(n))}$$

where  $\tilde{R}(T(n))$  is the reduced character ring of  $T(n)$ .

Next we consider the  $j^*$ -image of the two elements  $\lambda_{C\oplus W}$  and  $\delta([\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}])$ . If we compute  $j^*\lambda_{C\oplus W}$  and  $j^*\delta([\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}])$  directly by using the technique of the proof of [1], Lemma 2.6.10, then we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} j^*\lambda_{C\oplus W} &= j^*\delta([\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}]) \\ &= -\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \lambda^i(W) \lambda_C \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_C$  is the Thom element for the trivial  $T(n)$ -vector bundle  $C \rightarrow a$  point. Therefore

$$(r-1) \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \lambda^i(W) \lambda_C = 0$$

follows from (2.8) and (2.9). Now, since  $K_{T(n)}(C)$  is a free  $R(T(n))$ -module generated by  $\lambda_C$  and

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \lambda^i(W) = (1 - \rho_1^{-1} \rho_2) \cdots (1 - \rho_1^{-1} \rho_n)$$

is non zero element of  $R(T(n))$ , we get

$$r = 1.$$

This shows

$$\delta^{-1}\lambda_{C\oplus W} = [\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}].$$

q. e. d.

### 3. $K_{T(n)}^*(U(n), ad_{T(n)})$

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1 in case of  $H=T(n)$  by induction on  $n$ . For convenience we denote  $(U(n), ad_{T(n)})$  by  $(U(n), ad)$  and  $\theta_j^{T(n)}$  by  $\theta_j(n)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then the theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 2.**  $K_{T(n)}^*(U(n), ad) \cong \Lambda_{R(T(n))}(\theta_1(n), \theta_2(n), \dots, \theta_n(n))$  as an algebra over  $R(T(n))$ .

Proof. In case of  $n=1$ , since  $(U(1), ad)$  is trivial  $T(1)$ -space, we have

$$K_{T(1)}^*(U(1), ad) \cong R(T(1)) \otimes K^*(U(1))$$

from [3], Proposition 2.2 and since  $K^*(U(1))$  is the exterior algebra with one generator  $\theta_1(1)$ , we get

$$K_{T(1)}^*(U(1), ad) \cong \Lambda_{R(T(1))}(\theta_1(1)).$$

Suppose the assertion is true for  $n=k-1$ . When we put  $T(k) = U(1) \times T(k-1)$ , the action of  $U(1)$  on  $U(k-1) (= 1 \times U(k-1))$  is trivial. So we have

$$K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1)) \cong R(U(1)) \otimes K_{T(k-1)}^*(U(k-1))$$

(This is shown by a parallel argument to the proof of [3], Proposition 2. 2). This formula and the inductive hypothesis imply

$$(3.1) \quad K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1)) = \Lambda_{R(T(k))}(\theta_1(k-1), \theta_2(k-1), \dots, \theta_{k-1}(k-1)).$$

As (3.1) shows that  $K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1))$  is a free  $R(T(k))$ -module,  $K_{T(k)}^*(X) \otimes_{R(T(k))} K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1))$  becomes an equivariant cohomology theory for  $T(k)$ -spaces  $X$ . We denote this cohomology theory by  $h_{T(k)}^*(X)$ .  $K_{T(k)}^*(X \times U(k-1))$  is another equivariant cohomology theory. So we observe a natural transformation

$$\xi : h_{T(k)}^*(X) \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(X \times U(k-1))$$

of equivariant cohomology theories induced by the external products.

If we apply the five lemma to the exact sequences for the pair of the unit disk  $D(W)$  and the unit sphere  $S(W)$  of  $W$  in the two cohomology theories  $h_{T(k)}^*(X)$  and  $K_{T(k)}^*(X \times U(k-1))$ , then it follows from (2.6) that

$$(3.2) \quad \xi : h_{T(k)}^*(S(W)) \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(S(W) \times U(k-1))$$

is an isomorphism.

Here we consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \rightarrow & h_{T(k)}^*(D_2^+ \cup D_2^-) & \longrightarrow & h_{T(k)}^*(D_2^+) \oplus h_{T(k)}^*(D_2^-) & \rightarrow \\ & \downarrow \xi & & \downarrow \xi \oplus \xi & \\ \rightarrow & K_{T(k)}^*((D_2^- \cup D_2^+) \times U(k-1)) & \rightarrow & K_{T(k)}^*(D_2^+ \times U(k-1)) \oplus K_{T(k)}^*(D_2^- \times U(k-1)) & \rightarrow \\ & & & h_{T(k)}^*(D_2^+ \cap D_2^-) & \rightarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \xi & \\ & & & K_{T(k)}^*((D_2^+ \cap D_2^-) \times U(k-1)) & \rightarrow \end{array}$$

where the rows are the Mayer-Vietoris sequences for the pair  $(D_2^+, D_2^-)$ . Then



(2.5) and (3.2) shows that the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  homomorphisms  $\xi \oplus \xi$  and  $\xi$  are isomorphisms respectively since  $D_2^+ \cap D_2^- = S(W)$  by (2.4). So applying the five lemma, we see that the  $1^{st}$  homomorphism  $\xi$  is an isomorphism and so since  $D_1^+ \cap D_1^- = D_2^+ \cup D_2^-$  by (2.4)

$$(3.3) \quad \xi : K_{T(k)}^*(D_1^+ \cap D_1^-) \otimes_{R(T(k))} K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1)) \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*((D_1^+ \cap D_1^-) \times U(k-1))$$

is an isomorphism.

Let  $j : U(k-1) \rightarrow U(k)$  be the canonical inclusion of  $U(k-1)$  and  $j^* : K_{T(k)}^*(U(k)) \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1))$  the homomorphism induced by  $j$ . Then we get

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} j^*\theta_1(k) &= \theta_1(k-1) \\ j^*\theta_i(k) &= \theta_i(k-1) + \rho_1\theta_{i-1}(k-1), \quad k-1 \geq i \geq 2 \\ j^*\theta_k(k) &= \rho_1\theta_{k-1}(k-1) \end{aligned}$$

easily.

Let  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  be the free  $Z_2$ -graded module over  $R(T(k))$  generated by

$$1 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{i_1}(k)\theta_{i_2}(k)\cdots\theta_{i_s}(k), \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq k-1.$$

Then from (3.1) and (3.4) we see

(3.5)  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  is isomorphic to  $K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1))$  as an  $R(T(k))$ -module by the correspondence

$$\theta_1(k) \rightarrow \theta_1(k-1) \text{ and } \theta_i(k) \rightarrow \theta_i(k-1) + \rho_1\theta_{i-1}(k-1), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, k-1.$$

Now we can define a homomorphism

$$\lambda : K_{T(k)}^*(X) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(X))$$

by 
$$\lambda(x \otimes v) = \pi^*(x)i^*(v) \quad x \in K_{T(k)}^*(X), v \in \mathfrak{M}^*$$

for any closed  $T(k)$ -invariant subspace  $X$  of  $S(C \oplus W)$  where  $i : \pi^{-1}(X) \rightarrow U(k)$  is the inclusion of  $\pi^{-1}(X)$ . In particular we see

(3.6) When  $X = D_1^\pm$  or  $D_1^+ \cap D_1^-$ ,  $\lambda$  is an isomorphism.

A proof of (3.6) is as follows: We consider the following diagram for  $X = D_1^\pm$  or  $D_1^+ \cap D_1^-$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{T(k)}^*(X) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(X)) \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes \mu & & \uparrow \tau \\ K_{T(k)}^*(X) \otimes_{R(T(k))} K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1)) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & K_{T(k)}^*(X \times U(k-1)) \end{array}$$

where  $\mu$  denotes the isomorphism of (3.5) and  $\tau$  the isomorphism induced by  $\delta^+$  or  $\delta^-$ , and first we show the commutativity of this diagram. We have

$$\lambda^*(x \otimes 1) = \tau\xi(x \otimes 1) \quad \text{for any } x \in K_{T(k)}^*(X)$$

since  $\delta^\pm$  are the bundle homomorphisms and

$$\lambda(1 \otimes v) = \tau\xi(1 \otimes \mu(v)) \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathfrak{M}^*$$

in case of  $X = D_1^\pm$  from the  $T(k)$ -contractibility of  $D_1^\pm$  and also when we observe the restriction of this formula to  $K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^+ \cap D_1^-))$ , we get the same formula in case of  $X = D_1^+ \cap D_1^-$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x \otimes v) &= \lambda((x \otimes 1)(1 \otimes v)) \\ &= \lambda(x \otimes 1)\lambda(1 \otimes v) \\ &= \tau\xi(x \otimes 1)\tau\xi(1 \otimes \mu(v)) \\ &= \tau\xi(x \otimes \mu(v)) \\ &= \tau\xi(1 \otimes \mu)(x \otimes v) \quad x \in K_{T(k)}^*(X), v \in \mathfrak{M}^*. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the above diagram is commutative. Therefore we obtain (3.6) from (2.3) and (3.3).

Thus, by applying the five lemma in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(D_1^+ \cup D_1^-) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* & \rightarrow & K_{T(k)}^*(D_1^+) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* \oplus K_{T(k)}^*(D_1^-) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* \\ \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda \oplus \lambda \\ \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^+ \cup D_1^-)) & \longrightarrow & K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^+)) \oplus K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^-)) \\ & & \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(D_1^+ \cap D_1^-) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* \rightarrow \\ & & \downarrow \lambda \\ & & \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^+ \cap D_1^-)) \rightarrow \end{array}$$

where the rows are the Mayer-Vietoris sequences for the pairs  $(\pi^{-1}(D_1^+), \pi^{-1}(D_1^-))$  and  $(D_1^+, D_1^-)$  respectively, we see that the 1<sup>st</sup> homomorphism  $\lambda$  is an isomorphism and since  $S(C \oplus W) = D_1^+ \cup D_1^-$  by (2.1) we see

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda : K_{T(k)}^*(S(C \oplus W)) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(U(k))$$

is an isomorphism.

From Lemma 1 and (3.7), it follows that  $K_{T(k)}^*(U(k), ad)$  is an exterior algebra over  $R(T(k))$  generated by  $\theta_1(k), \theta_2(k), \dots, \theta_k(k)$  as required. This completes that induction. q.e.d.

#### 4. $K_*(X)^{W(H)}$

Let  $H$  be a compact connected Lie group and  $i : T \rightarrow H$  the inclusion of a maximal torus. Then from [3], Proposition 3. 8 we see that  $i^* : K_H^*(X) \rightarrow K_T^*(X)$  is injective for any compact  $H$ -space  $X$ .

Here we define an action of the Weyl group  $W(H)(=N(T)/T)$  on  $K_T^*(X)$  where  $N(T)$  is a normalizer of  $T$ . Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  be a  $T$ -vector bundle over an  $H$ -space  $X$ . For each  $n \in N(T)$ ,  $n^*E$  admits a  $T$ -vector bundle structure if we regard  $n$  as a continuous map  $n : X \rightarrow X$  by its action on  $X$ . Namely we can define a  $T$ -action on  $n^*E : T \times n^*E \rightarrow n^*E$  by

$$(t, (x, u)) \rightarrow (tx, ntn^{-1}(u)) \quad \text{for } t \in T, x \in X \text{ and } u \in E_{nx}.$$

In particular, if  $n \in T$ , then  $n^*E$  and  $E$  are isomorphic by a  $T$ -isomorphism  $n^{-1}$ . So the operation of  $W(H)$  on  $K_T(X) : W(H) \times K_T(X) \rightarrow K_T(X)$  is defined by  $(nT, [E]) \rightarrow [n^*E]$ . Further if  $E$  is an  $H$ -vector bundle, then  $n^*E$  admits an  $H$ -vector bundle structure, and  $n^*E$  and  $E$  are isomorphic by an  $H$ -isomorphism  $n^{-1}$ . Similarly we can define the operation of  $W(H)$  on  $K_T^1(X) : W(H) \times K_T^1(X) \rightarrow K_T^1(X)$  by  $(nT, [E, \alpha]) \rightarrow [n^*E, n^*\alpha]$  and if  $(E, \alpha)$  is a pair of an  $H$ -vector bundle over  $X$  and an  $H$ -automorphism of it, then  $(n^*E, n^*\alpha)$  and  $(E, \alpha)$  are isomorphic by an  $H$ -isomorphism  $n^{-1}$ . Thus we see

**Lemma 2.**  $i^* : K_H^*(X) \rightarrow K_T^*(X)$  is an injection into  $K_T^*(X)^{W(H)}$  which is a submodule of  $K_T^*(X)$  consisting of invariant elements under the action of  $W(H)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1:* Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $H$ . Since the rank of  $H$  is  $n$ ,  $T$  is conjugate to  $T(n)$  in  $U(n)$ . Therefore we have

$$K_T^*(G, ad_T) \cong K_{T(n)}^*(G, ad_{T(n)})$$

and so

$$K_T^*(G, ad_T) \cong \Lambda_{R(T)}(\theta_1^T, \theta_2^T, \dots, \theta_n^T)$$

from Theorem 2. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} K_T^*(G, ad_T)^{W(H)} &\cong \Lambda_{R(T)^{W(H)}}(\theta_1^T, \theta_2^T, \dots, \theta_n^T) \\ &\cong \Lambda_{R(H)}(\theta_1^T, \theta_2^T, \dots, \theta_n^T). \end{aligned}$$

From this formula and Lemma 2, we see that  $K_H^*(G, ad_H)$  and  $K_T^*(G, ad_T)^{W(H)}$  are isomorphic because of  $i^*\theta_j^H = \theta_j^T$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . This shows that Theorem 1 is true. q.e.d.

**REMARK.** In particular, we see that if  $G = U(n)$  or  $SU(n)$ ,  $H = G$  and  $K_T^*(X)$  is torsion free for a compact  $G$ -space  $X$ , then  $K_G^*(X)$  and  $K_T^*(X)^{W(G)}$  are isomorphic.

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

---

**References**

- [1] M.F. Atiyah: *K-Theory*, W.A. Benjamin, Inc., 1967.

- [2] L. Hodgkin: *An Equivariant Künneth formula in K-theory*, University of Warwick preprint, 1968.
- [3] G.B. Segal: *Equivariant K-theory*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci, Publ. Math. **34** (1968), 129–151.
- [4] T. tom Dieck: *Faserbündel mit Gruppenoperation*, Arch. Math. **XX** (1969), 136–143.

