



Title	Equivariant K-ring of G-manifold $(U(n), \text{ad}_G)$. I
Author(s)	Minami, Haruo
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1972, 9(3), p. 367-377
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/12726
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

EQUIVARIANT K-RING OF G-MANIFOLD $(U(n), \text{ad}_G)$ I

HARUO MINAMI

(Received October 21, 1971)

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and H a connected closed subgroup of G . We can consider G a differentiable H -manifold as follows. A differentiable H -action on G $ad_H: H \times G \rightarrow G$, called the adjoint operation of H on G , is defined by

$$ad_H(h, g) = hgh^{-1} \quad h \in H, g \in G.$$

Then by (G, ad_H) we denote the manifold G together with the adjoint operation ad_H .

The purpose of this paper is to calculate K_H -group of (G, ad_H) for $(G, ad_H) = (U(n), ad_H)$ and $(SU(n), ad_H)$ when H is of maximal rank, where $U(n)$ and $SU(n)$ are the n -dimensional unitary group and special unitary group respectively.

Let G denote $U(n)$ or $SU(n)$ henceforth and V the standard n -dimensional G -module over the complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Moreover, when we regard the G -module V as an H -module, let \underline{V} denote a trivial H -vector bundle with a fibre V over G and $\lambda^i(\underline{V})$ the i -th exterior power of \underline{V} for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$. Then we can define an H -automorphism θ_i^H of $\lambda^i(\underline{V})$ by

$$\theta_i^H(g, z) = (g, \lambda^i(g)(z)) \quad g \in G, z \in \lambda^i(V)$$

which can be easily check to be compatible with the action of H on $\lambda^i(\underline{V})$. Hence θ_i^H determines an element $[\lambda^i(\underline{V}), \theta_i^H]$, which we shall also write θ_i^H , in $K_H^1(G, ad_H)$ (See [3]). In particular, $\theta_n^H = 0$ in case of $(G, ad_H) = (SU(n), ad_H)$ because $\lambda^n(g) = \det g = 1$ for any $g \in SU(n)$ and so the automorphism θ_n^H is the identity map of $\lambda^n(\underline{V})$.

In this note we prove the following

Theorem 1. *When $(G, ad_H) = (U(n), ad_H)$ or $(SU(n), ad_H)$ and H is a connected closed subgroup of G which is of maximal rank,*

$$K_H^*(G, ad_H) \cong \Lambda_{R(H)}(\theta_1^H, \theta_2^H, \dots, \theta_n^H)$$

as an algebra over $R(H)$ where $\theta_n^H = 0$ in case of $(G, ad_H) = (SU(n), ad_H)$ and $R(H)$

is the complex character ring of H .

L. Hodgkin [2] has stated a more general case of this theorem without proof.

In the following sections we discuss only the case of $(G, ad_H) = (U(n), ad_H)$ as we can compute $K_H^*(G, ad_H)$ analogously in case of $(G, ad_H) = (SU(n), ad_H)$.

2. $(T(n), \alpha, U(n-1))$ -bundle

In this section, we prepare some results, which will be applied in §3.

The standard maximal torus $T(n)$ of $U(n)$ is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \in U(n) \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbf{C}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Let $\rho_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be the 1-dimensional complex representations which are given by the i -th projection $T(n) \rightarrow U(1)$ defined by

$$\rho_i \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_i$$

and let us denote the representation space of $\rho_1^{-1}\rho_2 \oplus \rho_1^{-1}\rho_3 \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_1^{-1}\rho_n$ by W .

We identify $U(n-1)$ with a subgroup $1 \times U(n-1)$ of $U(n)$. Then $U(n-1)$ is a closed $T(n)$ -invariant submanifold of $(U(n), ad_{T(n)})$ and hence the homogeneous space $U(n)/U(n-1)$ becomes a $T(n)$ -manifold. When we denote the unit sphere of $\mathbf{C} \oplus W$ by $S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)$, we can define a map

$$\pi : U(n) \rightarrow S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)$$

by $\pi(A) = v_A$ for any $A \in U(n)$, where v_A is the 1st column vector of A . Then π is a $T(n)$ -equivariant map and furthermore induces a $T(n)$ -isomorphism

$$U(n)/U(n-1) \approx S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W).$$

Now if we define a homomorphism

$$\alpha : T(n) \rightarrow Aut(U(n-1))$$

by $\alpha(t)(u) = tut^{-1} \quad t \in T(n), u \in U(n-1),$

then we see easily the following

Proposition 1 (See [4]). $\pi : U(n) \rightarrow S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)$ is a $(T(n), \alpha, U(n-1))$ -bundle

in the sense of T. tom Dieck.

Put

$$S(C \oplus W) = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in C^n \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2 = 1\}$$

and

$$D_1^\pm = \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in S(C \oplus W) \mid (1 \pm 1)\pi \leq 2 \arg z_1 \leq (3 \pm 1)\pi\}$$

respectively. Then D_1^\pm are closed $T(n)$ -invariant subspaces of $S(C \oplus W)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad S(C \oplus W) = D_1^+ \cup D_1^-$$

and moreover since $T(n)$ acts on the 1st vectors of n -tuples of $S(C \oplus W)$ trivially, D_1^\pm are $T(n)$ -contractible to $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ respectively by $T(n)$ -homotopies $H^\pm : D_1^\pm \times I \rightarrow D_1^\pm$ defined by

$$H^\pm((z_1, \dots, z_n), t) = \begin{cases} (re^{\theta(t)i}, z_2, \dots, z_n) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ (\sqrt{1 - (2 - 2t)^2(1 - r^2)}, (2 - 2t)z_2, \dots, (2 - 2t)z_n) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

where $r = |z_1|$ and $\theta(t) = (1 - 2t) \arg z_1 + (2\pi \pm 2\pi)t$. Therefore the restrictions of $\pi : U(n) \rightarrow S(C \oplus W)$ onto D_1^\pm are trivial $(T(n), \alpha, U(n - 1))$ -bundles over D_1^\pm from the homotopy theorem of [4], §4 and so there exist isomorphisms of $(T(n), \alpha, U(n - 1))$ -bundles

$$(2.2) \quad \delta^\pm : \pi^{-1}(D_1^\pm) \approx D_1^\pm \times U(n - 1).$$

Then we see that δ^\pm induce isomorphisms

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{T(n)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^\pm)) &\cong K_{T(n)}^*(D_1^\pm \times U(n - 1)) \\ &\cong K_{T(n)}^*(D_1^\pm) \otimes_{R(T(n))} K_{T(n)}^*(U(n - 1)) \end{aligned}$$

by the $T(n)$ -contractibility of D_1^\pm .

Next we divide $D_1^+ \cap D_1^-$ into two closed $T(n)$ -contractible subspaces D_2^\pm where

$$D_2^\pm = \{(r, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in S(C \oplus W) \mid r \in R, \pm r \geq 0\}.$$

Then

$$(2.4) \quad D_1^+ \cap D_1^- = D_2^+ \cup D_2^- \quad \text{and} \quad D_2^- \cap D_2^- = S(W)$$

as $T(n)$ -spaces. The restrictions of δ^+ (or δ^-) onto D_2^\pm and $S(W)$ are $T(n)$ -isomorphisms

$$\pi^{-1}(D_2^\pm) \approx D_2^\pm \times U(n - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^{-1}(S(W)) \approx S(W) \times U(n - 1)$$

and induce isomorphisms

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{T(n)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_2^\pm)) &\cong K_{T(n)}^*(D_2^\pm \times U(n-1)) \\ &\cong K_{T(n)}^*(D_2^\pm) \otimes_{R(T(n))} K_{T(n)}^*(U(n-1)) \end{aligned}$$

since D_2^\pm are $T(n)$ -contractible.

Here we consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{T(n)}^*(P) \otimes_{R(T(n))} K_{T(n)}^*(U(n-1)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1*} \otimes 1} & K_{T(n)}^*(W) \otimes_{R(T(n))} K_{T(n)}^*(U(n-1)) \\ \xi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi_2 \\ K_{T(n)}^*(P \times U(n-1)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2*}} & K_{T(n)}^*(W \times U(n-1)) \end{array}$$

in which φ_{1*} and φ_{2*} are the Thom isomorphisms for trivial $T(n)$ -vector bundles $W \rightarrow P (= \text{a point})$ and $W \times U(n-1) \rightarrow U(n-1)$ respectively and $\xi_i, i=1, 2$, the homomorphisms induced by the external products. Then, since the diagram is commutative and ξ_1 is an isomorphism we see

$$(2.6) \quad \xi_2 : K_{T(n)}^*(W) \otimes_{R(T(n))} K_{T(n)}^*(U(n-1)) \rightarrow K_{T(n)}^*(W \times U(n-1))$$

is an isomorphism.

Finally we prove the following

Lemma 1. $K_{T(n)}^*(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W))$ is an exterior algebra over $R(T(n))$ with one generator g satisfying

$$\pi^*(g) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \rho_1^{-i} \theta_i^{T(n)}.$$

Proof. We observe the exact sequence of the pair $(D(\mathbf{C} \oplus W), S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W))$ where $D(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)$ is the unit disk of $\mathbf{C} \oplus W$. Then we see that $K_{T(n)}^1(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W))$ is a free $R(T(n))$ -module generated by $\delta^{-1} \lambda_{\mathbf{C} \oplus W}$ from the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 = K_{T(n)}^1(D(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)) \rightarrow K_{T(n)}^1(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K_{T(n)}^0(\mathbf{C} \oplus W) \\ & & \uparrow \varphi_* \\ & & K_{T(n)}^0(P) \end{array}$$

$$\rightarrow \tilde{K}_{T(n)}^0(D(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)) = 0$$

where δ is a coboundary homomorphism, φ_* the Thom isomorphism for the trivial $T(n)$ -vector bundle $\mathbf{C} \oplus W \rightarrow P (= \text{a point})$ and $\lambda_{\mathbf{C} \oplus W} = \varphi_*(1)$, and also we get

$$\tilde{K}_{T(n)}^0(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)) = 0$$

since $\tilde{K}_{T(n)}^0(D(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)) = K_{T(n)}^1(\mathbf{C} \oplus W) = 0$. Therefore,

$$(2.7) \quad K_{T(n)}^*(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)) \cong \Lambda_{R(T(n))}(\delta^{-1}\lambda_{\mathbf{C} \oplus W})$$

as an algebra over $R(T(n))$.

$$\text{Now} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \oplus \lambda^{2i}(\mathbf{C} \oplus W) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \oplus \lambda^{2i+1}(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)$$

are isomorphic as $T(n)$ -modules where $\lambda^j(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)$ denotes the j -th exterior power of $\mathbf{C} \oplus W$ for $j=0, 1, \dots, n$. Because,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the character of } \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} [\lambda^{2i}(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)] - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} [\lambda^{2i+1}(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)] \\ & = (1-1)(1-\rho_1^{-1}\rho_2)(1-\rho_1^{-1}\rho_3)\cdots(1-\rho_1^{-1}\rho_n) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the brackets denote the isomorphism classes of $T(n)$ -modules. So we identify the above two $T(n)$ -modules and describe it M .

Let $\rho : U(n) \rightarrow U(n)$ be the identity homomorphism and $\lambda^i \rho$ the j -th exterior power of ρ for $j=0, 1, \dots, n$, and let us denote

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \oplus \lambda^{2i} \rho \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \oplus \lambda^{2i+1} \rho : U(n) \rightarrow U(2^{n-1})$$

by α and β respectively. Then we can define a map

$$\gamma : U(n)/U(n-1) \rightarrow U(2^{n-1})$$

$$\text{by} \quad \gamma(hU(n-1)) = \alpha(h)\beta(h)^{-1} \quad h \in U(n)$$

because α and β agree on $U(n-1)$ and so a $T(n)$ -automorphism $\tilde{\gamma}$ of M by

$$\tilde{\gamma}(hU(n-1), v) = (hU(n-1), \gamma(h)(v)) \quad h \in U(n), v \in M.$$

Therefore $\tilde{\gamma}$ determines an element $[\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}]$ in $K_{T(n)}^1(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W))$ since $S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W) \cong U(n)/U(n-1)$. This element satisfies the condition we require. Because if we denote by V' the representation space of $\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_n$ of $T(n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^*[\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}] &= [\underline{M}, \tilde{\alpha}] - [\underline{M}, \tilde{\beta}] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} [\lambda^{2i}(\underline{\mathbf{C} \oplus W}), \tilde{\lambda}^{2i}(\rho)] - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} [\lambda^{2i+1}(\underline{\mathbf{C} \oplus W}), \tilde{\lambda}^{2i+1}(\rho)] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \rho_1^{-2i} [\lambda^{2i}(\underline{V'}), \tilde{\lambda}^{2i}(\rho)] - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \rho_1^{-2i-1} [\lambda^{2i+1}(\underline{V'}), \tilde{\lambda}^{2i+1}(\rho)] \end{aligned}$$

and since $\theta_i^{T(n)} = [\lambda^i(\underline{V'}), \tilde{\lambda}^i(\rho)]$ by the definition of $\theta_i^{T(n)}$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^*[\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}] &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \rho_1^{-2i} \theta_{2i}^{T(n)} - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \rho_1^{-2i-1} \theta_{2i+1}^{T(n)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \rho_1^{-i} \theta_i^{T(n)} \end{aligned}$$

where the definition of $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}^i(\rho)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, are similar to that of $\bar{\gamma}$. Therefore a proof of $[\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}] = \delta^{-1}\lambda_{\mathbf{C} \oplus W}$ concludes Lemma 1.

Let $f : K_{T(n)}^1(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W)) \rightarrow K^1(S(\mathbf{C} \oplus W))$ be the forgetful homomorphism and $j^* : K_{T(n)}(\mathbf{C} \oplus W) \rightarrow K_{T(n)}(\mathbf{C})$ a homomorphism induced by the natural inclusion map $j : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \oplus W$. When we forget the action of $T(n)$, we have

$$\delta^{-1}\lambda_{C\oplus W} = [\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}]$$

from [1], p. 115. Namely

$$f(\delta^{-1}\lambda_{C\oplus W}) = f([\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}]).$$

Hence, since $K_{T(n)}^1(S(C\oplus W))$ is a free $R(T(n))$ -module generated by $\delta^{-1}\lambda_{C\oplus W}$ according to (2.7), there exists an element r of $R(T(n))$ satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad r(\delta^{-1}\lambda_{C\oplus W}) = [\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}]$$

and
$$r = 1 \pmod{\tilde{R}(T(n))}$$

where $\tilde{R}(T(n))$ is the reduced character ring of $T(n)$.

Next we consider the j^* -image of the two elements $\lambda_{C\oplus W}$ and $\delta([\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}])$. If we compute $j^*\lambda_{C\oplus W}$ and $j^*\delta([\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}])$ directly by using the technique of the proof of [1], Lemma 2.6.10, then we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} j^*\lambda_{C\oplus W} &= j^*\delta([\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}]) \\ &= -\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \lambda^i(W) \lambda_C \end{aligned}$$

where λ_C is the Thom element for the trivial $T(n)$ -vector bundle $C \rightarrow a$ point. Therefore

$$(r-1) \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \lambda^i(W) \lambda_C = 0$$

follows from (2.8) and (2.9). Now, since $K_{T(n)}(C)$ is a free $R(T(n))$ -module generated by λ_C and

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \lambda^i(W) = (1 - \rho_1^{-1} \rho_2) \cdots (1 - \rho_1^{-1} \rho_n)$$

is non zero element of $R(T(n))$, we get

$$r = 1.$$

This shows

$$\delta^{-1}\lambda_{C\oplus W} = [\underline{M}, \tilde{\gamma}].$$

q. e. d.

3. $K_{T(n)}^*(U(n), ad_{T(n)})$

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1 in case of $H=T(n)$ by induction on n . For convenience we denote $(U(n), ad_{T(n)})$ by $(U(n), ad)$ and $\theta_j^{T(n)}$ by $\theta_j(n)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then the theorem is as follows.

Theorem 2. $K_{T(n)}^*(U(n), ad) \cong \Lambda_{R(T(n))}(\theta_1(n), \theta_2(n), \dots, \theta_n(n))$ as an algebra over $R(T(n))$.

Proof. In case of $n=1$, since $(U(1), ad)$ is trivial $T(1)$ -space, we have

$$K_{T(1)}^*(U(1), ad) \cong R(T(1)) \otimes K^*(U(1))$$

from [3], Proposition 2.2 and since $K^*(U(1))$ is the exterior algebra with one generator $\theta_1(1)$, we get

$$K_{T(1)}^*(U(1), ad) \cong \Lambda_{R(T(1))}(\theta_1(1)).$$

Suppose the assertion is true for $n=k-1$. When we put $T(k) = U(1) \times T(k-1)$, the action of $U(1)$ on $U(k-1) (= 1 \times U(k-1))$ is trivial. So we have

$$K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1)) \cong R(U(1)) \otimes K_{T(k-1)}^*(U(k-1))$$

(This is shown by a parallel argument to the proof of [3], Proposition 2. 2). This formula and the inductive hypothesis imply

$$(3.1) \quad K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1)) = \Lambda_{R(T(k))}(\theta_1(k-1), \theta_2(k-1), \dots, \theta_{k-1}(k-1)).$$

As (3.1) shows that $K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1))$ is a free $R(T(k))$ -module, $K_{T(k)}^*(X) \otimes_{R(T(k))} K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1))$ becomes an equivariant cohomology theory for $T(k)$ -spaces X . We denote this cohomology theory by $h_{T(k)}^*(X)$. $K_{T(k)}^*(X \times U(k-1))$ is another equivariant cohomology theory. So we observe a natural transformation

$$\xi : h_{T(k)}^*(X) \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(X \times U(k-1))$$

of equivariant cohomology theories induced by the external products.

If we apply the five lemma to the exact sequences for the pair of the unit disk $D(W)$ and the unit sphere $S(W)$ of W in the two cohomology theories $h_{T(k)}^*(X)$ and $K_{T(k)}^*(X \times U(k-1))$, then it follows from (2.6) that

$$(3.2) \quad \xi : h_{T(k)}^*(S(W)) \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(S(W) \times U(k-1))$$

is an isomorphism.

Here we consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \rightarrow & h_{T(k)}^*(D_2^+ \cup D_2^-) & \longrightarrow & h_{T(k)}^*(D_2^+) \oplus h_{T(k)}^*(D_2^-) & \rightarrow \\ & \downarrow \xi & & \downarrow \xi \oplus \xi & \\ \rightarrow & K_{T(k)}^*((D_2^- \cup D_2^+) \times U(k-1)) & \rightarrow & K_{T(k)}^*(D_2^+ \times U(k-1)) \oplus K_{T(k)}^*(D_2^- \times U(k-1)) & \rightarrow \\ & & & h_{T(k)}^*(D_2^+ \cap D_2^-) & \rightarrow \\ & & & \downarrow \xi & \\ & & & K_{T(k)}^*((D_2^+ \cap D_2^-) \times U(k-1)) & \rightarrow \end{array}$$

where the rows are the Mayer-Vietoris sequences for the pair (D_2^+, D_2^-) . Then

(2.5) and (3.2) shows that the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} homomorphisms $\xi \oplus \xi$ and ξ are isomorphisms respectively since $D_2^+ \cap D_2^- = S(W)$ by (2.4). So applying the five lemma, we see that the 1^{st} homomorphism ξ is an isomorphism and so since $D_1^+ \cap D_1^- = D_2^+ \cup D_2^-$ by (2.4)

$$(3.3) \quad \xi : K_{T(k)}^*(D_1^+ \cap D_1^-) \otimes_{R(T(k))} K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1)) \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*((D_1^+ \cap D_1^-) \times U(k-1))$$

is an isomorphism.

Let $j : U(k-1) \rightarrow U(k)$ be the canonical inclusion of $U(k-1)$ and $j^* : K_{T(k)}^*(U(k)) \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1))$ the homomorphism induced by j . Then we get

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} j^*\theta_1(k) &= \theta_1(k-1) \\ j^*\theta_i(k) &= \theta_i(k-1) + \rho_1\theta_{i-1}(k-1), \quad k-1 \geq i \geq 2 \\ j^*\theta_k(k) &= \rho_1\theta_{k-1}(k-1) \end{aligned}$$

easily.

Let \mathfrak{M}^* be the free Z_2 -graded module over $R(T(k))$ generated by

$$1 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{i_1}(k)\theta_{i_2}(k)\cdots\theta_{i_s}(k), \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq k-1.$$

Then from (3.1) and (3.4) we see

(3.5) \mathfrak{M}^* is isomorphic to $K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1))$ as an $R(T(k))$ -module by the correspondence

$$\theta_1(k) \rightarrow \theta_1(k-1) \text{ and } \theta_i(k) \rightarrow \theta_i(k-1) + \rho_1\theta_{i-1}(k-1), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, k-1.$$

Now we can define a homomorphism

$$\lambda : K_{T(k)}^*(X) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(X))$$

by
$$\lambda(x \otimes v) = \pi^*(x)i^*(v) \quad x \in K_{T(k)}^*(X), v \in \mathfrak{M}^*$$

for any closed $T(k)$ -invariant subspace X of $S(C \oplus W)$ where $i : \pi^{-1}(X) \rightarrow U(k)$ is the inclusion of $\pi^{-1}(X)$. In particular we see

(3.6) When $X = D_1^\pm$ or $D_1^+ \cap D_1^-$, λ is an isomorphism.

A proof of (3.6) is as follows: We consider the following diagram for $X = D_1^\pm$ or $D_1^+ \cap D_1^-$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{T(k)}^*(X) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(X)) \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes \mu & & \uparrow \tau \\ K_{T(k)}^*(X) \otimes_{R(T(k))} K_{T(k)}^*(U(k-1)) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & K_{T(k)}^*(X \times U(k-1)) \end{array}$$

where μ denotes the isomorphism of (3.5) and τ the isomorphism induced by δ^+ or δ^- , and first we show the commutativity of this diagram. We have

$$\lambda^*(x \otimes 1) = \tau\xi(x \otimes 1) \quad \text{for any } x \in K_{T(k)}^*(X)$$

since δ^\pm are the bundle homomorphisms and

$$\lambda(1 \otimes v) = \tau\xi(1 \otimes \mu(v)) \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathfrak{M}^*$$

in case of $X = D_1^\pm$ from the $T(k)$ -contractibility of D_1^\pm and also when we observe the restriction of this formula to $K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^+ \cap D_1^-))$, we get the same formula in case of $X = D_1^+ \cap D_1^-$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x \otimes v) &= \lambda((x \otimes 1)(1 \otimes v)) \\ &= \lambda(x \otimes 1)\lambda(1 \otimes v) \\ &= \tau\xi(x \otimes 1)\tau\xi(1 \otimes \mu(v)) \\ &= \tau\xi(x \otimes \mu(v)) \\ &= \tau\xi(1 \otimes \mu)(x \otimes v) \quad x \in K_{T(k)}^*(X), v \in \mathfrak{M}^*. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the above diagram is commutative. Therefore we obtain (3.6) from (2.3) and (3.3).

Thus, by applying the five lemma in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(D_1^+ \cup D_1^-) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* & \rightarrow & K_{T(k)}^*(D_1^+) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* \oplus K_{T(k)}^*(D_1^-) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* \\ \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda \oplus \lambda \\ \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^+ \cup D_1^-)) & \longrightarrow & K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^+)) \oplus K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^-)) \\ & & \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(D_1^+ \cap D_1^-) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* \rightarrow \\ & & \downarrow \lambda \\ & & \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(\pi^{-1}(D_1^+ \cap D_1^-)) \rightarrow \end{array}$$

where the rows are the Mayer-Vietoris sequences for the pairs $(\pi^{-1}(D_1^+), \pi^{-1}(D_1^-))$ and (D_1^+, D_1^-) respectively, we see that the 1st homomorphism λ is an isomorphism and since $S(C \oplus W) = D_1^+ \cup D_1^-$ by (2.1) we see

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda : K_{T(k)}^*(S(C \oplus W)) \otimes_{R(T(k))} \mathfrak{M}^* \rightarrow K_{T(k)}^*(U(k))$$

is an isomorphism.

From Lemma 1 and (3.7), it follows that $K_{T(k)}^*(U(k), ad)$ is an exterior algebra over $R(T(k))$ generated by $\theta_1(k), \theta_2(k), \dots, \theta_k(k)$ as required. This completes that induction. q.e.d.

4. $K^*(X)^{W(H)}$

Let H be a compact connected Lie group and $i : T \rightarrow H$ the inclusion of a maximal torus. Then from [3], Proposition 3. 8 we see that $i^* : K_H^*(X) \rightarrow K_T^*(X)$ is injective for any compact H -space X .

Here we define an action of the Weyl group $W(H)(=N(T)/T)$ on $K_T^*(X)$ where $N(T)$ is a normalizer of T . Let $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ be a T -vector bundle over an H -space X . For each $n \in N(T)$, n^*E admits a T -vector bundle structure if we regard n as a continuous map $n : X \rightarrow X$ by its action on X . Namely we can define a T -action on $n^*E : T \times n^*E \rightarrow n^*E$ by

$$(t, (x, u)) \rightarrow (tx, ntn^{-1}(u)) \quad \text{for } t \in T, x \in X \text{ and } u \in E_{nx}.$$

In particular, if $n \in T$, then n^*E and E are isomorphic by a T -isomorphism n^{-1} . So the operation of $W(H)$ on $K_T(X) : W(H) \times K_T(X) \rightarrow K_T(X)$ is defined by $(nT, [E]) \rightarrow [n^*E]$. Further if E is an H -vector bundle, then n^*E admits an H -vector bundle structure, and n^*E and E are isomorphic by an H -isomorphism n^{-1} . Similarly we can define the operation of $W(H)$ on $K_T^1(X) : W(H) \times K_T^1(X) \rightarrow K_T^1(X)$ by $(nT, [E, \alpha]) \rightarrow [n^*E, n^*\alpha]$ and if (E, α) is a pair of an H -vector bundle over X and an H -automorphism of it, then $(n^*E, n^*\alpha)$ and (E, α) are isomorphic by an H -isomorphism n^{-1} . Thus we see

Lemma 2. $i^* : K_H^*(X) \rightarrow K_T^*(X)$ is an injection into $K_T^*(X)^{W(H)}$ which is a submodule of $K_T^*(X)$ consisting of invariant elements under the action of $W(H)$.

Proof of Theorem 1: Let T be a maximal torus of H . Since the rank of H is n , T is conjugate to $T(n)$ in $U(n)$. Therefore we have

$$K_T^*(G, ad_T) \cong K_{T(n)}^*(G, ad_{T(n)})$$

and so

$$K_T^*(G, ad_T) \cong \Lambda_{R(T)}(\theta_1^T, \theta_2^T, \dots, \theta_n^T)$$

from Theorem 2. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} K_T^*(G, ad_T)^{W(H)} &\cong \Lambda_{R(T)^{W(H)}}(\theta_1^T, \theta_2^T, \dots, \theta_n^T) \\ &\cong \Lambda_{R(H)}(\theta_1^T, \theta_2^T, \dots, \theta_n^T). \end{aligned}$$

From this formula and Lemma 2, we see that $K_H^*(G, ad_H)$ and $K_T^*(G, ad_T)^{W(H)}$ are isomorphic because of $i^*\theta_j^H = \theta_j^T$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This shows that Theorem 1 is true. q.e.d.

REMARK. In particular, we see that if $G = U(n)$ or $SU(n)$, $H = G$ and $K_T^*(X)$ is torsion free for a compact G -space X , then $K_G^*(X)$ and $K_T^*(X)^{W(G)}$ are isomorphic.

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

References

[1] M.F. Atiyah: *K-Theory*, W.A. Benjamin, Inc., 1967.

- [2] L. Hodgkin: *An Equivariant Künneth formula in K-theory*, University of Warwick preprint, 1968.
- [3] G.B. Segal: *Equivariant K-theory*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci, Publ. Math. **34** (1968), 129–151.
- [4] T. tom Dieck: *Faserbündel mit Gruppenoperation*, Arch. Math. **XX** (1969), 136–143.

