



Title	A cochain complex associated to the Steenrod algebra
Author(s)	Shimada, Nobuo
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1994, 31(2), p. 455-471
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/12828">https://doi.org/10.18910/12828</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

Shimada, N.  
Osaka J. Math.  
31 (1994), 455-471

## A COCHAIN COMPLEX ASSOCIATED TO THE STEENROD ALGEBRA

In Memory of the late Professor José Adem

NOBUO SHIMADA

(Received November 19, 1992)

### 0. Introduction

In [8], the author introduced an acyclic, free resolution of the ground ring  $\mathbf{Z}$  of integers (resp. its localization  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$  for a prime  $p$ ) as the trivial module over the Landweber-Novikov algebra  $S$  (resp.  $S_{(p)} = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \otimes S$ ), which is considerably smaller than the bar resolution.

In this paper, the same method of construction is applied to the case of the mod  $p$  Steenrod algebra  $A$ . The resulted resolution  $X = A \otimes \bar{X} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbf{Z}/p$  has inductively defined differential  $d$  and contracting homotopy  $\sigma$ , and is naturally embedded in the bar resolution  $B(A)$  as a direct-summand subcomplex.

The apparent feature of this resolution is that it seems to be an immediate 'lift' of the May resolution [5], while the latter is a resolution over the associated graded algebra  $E^0 A$  for the augmentation filtration on the Steenrod algebra. In fact, the corresponding filtration on  $X$  leads to an equivalent of the May spectral sequence, of which  $E^1 X$  is isomorphic to the May resolution and  $E^r$ -terms are the same as those of the May spectral sequence for  $r \geq 2$ .

In the case  $p=2$ , the chain complex  $\bar{X}$  will be given as a polynomial ring  $P$ , and the dual cochain complex  $P^*$  has a non-associative product, which induces the usual associative product in its cohomology  $H^*(A) = \text{Ext}_A^*(\mathbf{Z}/2, \mathbf{Z}/2)$ , the  $E_2$ -term of the Adams spectral sequence [1,2].

May [5] studied extensively his spectral sequence and succeeded to obtain a great deal of information about  $H^*(A)$  (See also, Tangora [10] and Novikov [7].).

It is hoped that the present work could be useful for calculating the differentials in the May spectral sequence and the ring structure of  $H^*(A)$ .

In this paper we shall restrict ourselves to the case  $p=2$ . A parallel treatment for the odd prime case will be only suggested in the last section.

### 1. Notation and results

Let  $A_*$  be the dual Hopf algebra ([6],[9]) of the mod 2 Steenrod algebra  $A$ .  $A_*$  is given as the polynomial algebra  $\mathbf{Z}/2[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots]$  over  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  on indeterminates  $\xi_i (i \geq 1)$  of degree  $2^i - 1$ , with comultiplication

$$\psi \xi_k = \sum_{i=0}^k \xi_{k-i}^{2^i} \otimes \xi_i \quad (\xi_0 = 1).$$

Let  $e_{i,k} = (\xi_i^k)^*$  denote the dual element of  $\xi_i^k$  with respect to the monomial basis  $\{\xi_\omega = \xi_1^{k_1} \cdots \xi_n^{k_n}\}$  of  $A_*$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** (i) *The Steenrod algebra  $A$  is multiplicatively generated by the set  $\{e_{i,2^k}; i \geq 1, k \geq 0\}$ , (ii) the set  $\{1, e_{i_1,2^{k_1}} \cdots e_{i_n,2^{k_n}}; (i_1, k_1) < (i_2, k_2) < \cdots < (i_n, k_n)\} in the lexicographical order\}$  forms a  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -basis of  $A$ , of which elements  $e_I = e_{i_1,2^{k_1}} \cdots e_{i_n,2^{k_n}}$  are called admissible monomials.*

Let  $L$  denote the  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -submodule of  $A$  spanned by the set  $\{e_{i,2^k}; i \geq 1, k \geq 0\}$ , and  $sL = \mathbf{Z}/2\{\langle e_{i,2^k} \rangle; i \geq 1, k \geq 0\}$ , the suspension of  $L$ , with  $\text{bideg } \langle e_{i,2^k} \rangle = (1, 2^k(2^i - 1))$ . Denote by  $P = P(sL)$  the polynomial algebra (symmetric tensor algebra) on  $sL$ . We use the notation

$$\langle e_J \rangle = \langle e_{j_1,2^{l_1}}, \dots, e_{j_s,2^{l_s}} \rangle = \langle e_{j_1,2^{l_1}} \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle e_{j_s,2^{l_s}} \rangle$$

with the index sequence

$$J : (j_1, l_1) \leq (j_2, l_2) \leq \cdots \leq (j_s, l_s),$$

in the lexicographical order and call it a canonical monomial in  $P$ .

**Theorem 1.2.**  $X = A \otimes P$ , with an inductively defined differential  $d$  gives an acyclic  $A$ -free resolution of  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** There exist natural  $A$ -linear chain maps  $f: X \rightarrow B(A)$  and  $g: B(A) \rightarrow X$ , such that  $g \circ f = \text{id}$  and  $f(P) \subset \bar{B}(A) = \mathbf{Z}/2 \otimes_A B(A) \subset B(A)$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** The chain complex  $P$  with the induced differential  $\bar{d} = \mathbf{Z}/2 \otimes_A d$  has a comultiplication  $\Delta: P \rightarrow P \otimes P$  such that  $(\bar{d} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bar{d})\Delta = \Delta \bar{d}$ . This is not coassociative in general, but  $(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$  and  $(1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta$  are chain homotopic.

**Corollary 1.5.** The dual complex  $P^*$  of  $P$  with differential  $\delta = \bar{d}^*$  has a non-associative product, therein  $\delta$  is a derivation. This product induces the usual product in the cohomology  $H^*(P^*, \delta) = H^*(A)$ .

## 2. Preliminary

The lemma 1.1 may be well-known ([6],[4]), but we will recall its proof, since the resolution (Theorem 1.2) stems from the lemma.

We shall take the dual basis  $\{\xi_\omega^*\}$  of  $A$  (See §1). By definition the product of basis elements is given by

$$\xi_\omega^* \cdot \xi_\sigma^* = \sum_{\tau} (\xi_\omega^* \otimes \xi_\sigma^*) (\psi \xi_\tau) \cdot \xi_\tau^*.$$

Define the height of  $\xi_\omega^*$  to be  $\sum k_i$ , the sum of exponents in the monomial  $\xi_\omega = \xi_1^{k_1} \cdots \xi_n^{k_n}$ . Then we have the equality

$$(2.1) \quad \xi_{\omega'}^* \cdot (\xi_n^{k_n})^* = \xi_\omega^* + \sum_{\sigma} \xi_{\sigma}^*,$$

where  $\xi_{\omega'} = \xi_1^{k_1} \cdots \xi_{n-1}^{k_{n-1}}$ ,  $\xi_\omega = \xi_{\omega'} \cdot \xi_n^{k_n}$ , and the second summand in the right hand side is a sum of suitable basis elements of height  $h(\xi_{\sigma}^*) < h(\xi_\omega^*)$ . In fact,  $\xi_{\sigma}$  are so chosen that  $\psi \xi_{\sigma}$  contain  $\xi_{\omega'} \otimes \xi_n^{k_n}$  as a summand, and such a  $\xi_{\sigma}$  must be of the form

$$(2.2) \quad \xi_{\sigma} = \xi_1^{u_1} \cdots \xi_{n-1}^{u_{n-1}} \xi_n^{v_0} \xi_{n+1}^{v_1} \cdots \xi_{2n-1}^{v_{n-1}},$$

with  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v_i = k_n$ ,  $u_i + 2^n \cdot v_i = k_i$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ).

Then

$$h(\xi_{\sigma}^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v_i = \sum_{i=0}^n k_i - 2^n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i < \sum_{i=0}^n k_i = h(\xi_\omega^*).$$

Now by induction on height we conclude that any basis element  $\xi_\omega^*$  of  $A$  can be expressed by a sum of products of  $e_{i,k} = (\xi_i^k)^*$ . But we can see easily that  $e_{i,k}$  with  $k$ , not a power of 2, is also decomposable into a sum of products of  $e_{i,2^t}$ . This proves (i) of Lemma 1.1.

Note further that

$$(2.3) \quad (\xi_i^k)^* \cdot (\xi_i^l)^* = \binom{k+1}{k} (\xi_i^{k+l})^* + \Sigma \text{ terms of lower height}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad [(\xi_i^k)^*, (\xi_j^l)^*] = \Sigma \text{ terms of lower height for } i \neq j.$$

It follows then (ii) of Lemma 1.1.

Here are a few examples of (2.3) and (2.4):

$$\begin{aligned}
 [e_{1,1}, e_{1,2}] &= e_{2,1}, \quad [e_{1,1}, e_{2,1}] = 0 \\
 [e_{1,1}, e_{2,2}] &= e_{3,1} = [e_{1,4}, e_{2,1}], \\
 [e_{1,2}, e_{2,2}] &= e_{1,1} \cdot e_{3,1}, \\
 e_{1,2} \cdot e_{1,2} &= e_{1,1} \cdot e_{2,1}, \\
 e_{1,4} \cdot e_{1,4} &= e_{1,2} \cdot e_{2,2}, \\
 e_{1,8} \cdot e_{1,8} &= e_{1,4} \cdot e_{2,4} + e_{2,1} \cdot e_{2,2} \cdot e_{3,1} \\
 [e_{1,1}, e_{1,64}] &= e_{1,62} \cdot e_{2,1} + e_{1,58} \cdot e_{3,1} + e_{1,50} \cdot e_{4,1} + e_{1,34} \cdot e_{5,1} + e_{1,2} \cdot e_{6,1} \\
 e_{i,1} \cdot e_{i,1} &= 0 \quad (i \geq 1), \text{ etc. (Cf. [4])}
 \end{aligned}$$

It will be another interesting problem to give the explicit formulae expressing (2.3) and (2.4) by *admissible monomials* in the sense of §1, like the Adem relations [3].

### 3. Resolution

In this section we shall give a detailed proof of Theorem 1.2, since we had remained in showing only a sketchy proof in [8] for the case of the Landweber-Novikov algebra. Clearly the set of canonical monomials  $\langle e_J \rangle$  forms a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -basis of  $P$ . Then  $P = \sum_{s \geq 0} P_s$ , where the submodule  $P_s$  is spanned by  $\langle e_J \rangle$  of length  $|J| = s$ . We call  $|J|$  also the homological dimension of  $\langle e_J \rangle$ .

We shall introduce in  $X = A \otimes P$  a boundary operator  $d = (d_s)$ :

$$d_s: X_s = A \otimes P_s \rightarrow X_{s-1}$$

and a contracting homotopy  $\sigma = (\sigma_s)$ :

$$\sigma_s: X_s \rightarrow X_{s+1},$$

so that  $X$  becomes an acyclic differential  $A$ -module (a chain complex) with augmentation  $\varepsilon: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$

First define an  $A$ -map  $d_1: X_1 = A \otimes sL \rightarrow X_0 = A$  by

$$(3.1) \quad d_1(a \langle e_{i,2^k} \rangle) = a \cdot e_{i,2^k} \quad (a \langle e_{i,2^k} \rangle \text{ means } a \otimes \langle e_{i,2^k} \rangle),$$

and a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map  $\sigma_0: X_0 \rightarrow X_1$  by

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma_0(1) = 0$$

$$\sigma_0(e_{i_1,2^{k_1}} \cdots e_{i_n,2^{k_n}}) = e_{i_1,2^{k_1}} e_{i_{n-1},2^{k_{n-1}}} \langle e_{i_n,2^{k_n}} \rangle$$

for admissible monomials. Thus we have a direct sum decomposition

$$(3.3) \quad X_1 = \text{Im } \sigma_0 \oplus \text{Ker } d_1, \quad \text{Ker } d_1 = \text{Im}(1 - \sigma_0 d_1),$$

$$\sigma_0 \eta = 0, \quad \varepsilon d_1 = 0 \text{ and } d_1 \sigma_0 + \eta \varepsilon = 1,$$

where  $\eta: \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow A$  is the unit. Then  $d_2$  is easily defined by

$$(3.4) \quad d_2 \langle e_{j_1, 2^{l_1}}, e_{j_2, 2^{l_2}} \rangle = (1 - \sigma_0 d_1)(e_{j_2, 2^{l_2}} \langle e_{j_1, 2^{l_1}} \rangle) \quad ((j_1, l_1) \leq (j_2, l_2)).$$

On the other hand, it is laborious to find and formulate a proper candidate of possible contracting homotopy  $\sigma_1$ . In order to overcome this difficulty, we begin with a careful observation of the construction  $X$ .

Take the set of elements

$$(3.5) \quad e_I \langle e_J \rangle = e_{i_1, 2^{k_1}} \cdots e_{i_n, 2^{k_n}} \langle e_{j_1, 2^{l_1}}, \cdots e_{j_s, 2^{l_s}} \rangle$$

with the index sequences  $I = (i_1, k_1) < \cdots < (i_n, k_n)$  and  $J: (j_1, l_1) \leq \cdots \leq (j_s, l_s)$  in the lexicographical order, and call it canonical basis of  $X = A \otimes P$ .

Classify the canonical basis elements (c.b.e.'s) into the following types:

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Type 1: } \max I < \max J \quad (\text{i.e. } (i_n, k_n) < (j_s, l_s))$$

and

$$\text{Type 2: } \max I \geq \max J.$$

Put

$$(3.7) \quad C_{1,s} = \mathbb{Z}/2 \{ \text{c.b.e. of Type 1 in } X_s \}$$

and

$$C_{2,s} = \mathbb{Z}/2 \{ \text{c.b.e. of Type 2 in } X_s \}$$

Then we have

$$(3.8) \quad X_s = C_{1,s} \oplus C_{2,s},$$

as a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -module, with obvious isomorphisms

$$C_{1,s} \xrightarrow{\tau_s} C_{2,s-1}, \quad \sigma'_{s-1} = \tau_s^{-1},$$

defined by

$$(3.9) \quad \tau_s(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) = e_{I+(j_s, l_s)} \langle e_{J-(j_s, l_s)} \rangle \text{ for } e_I \langle e_J \rangle \in C_{1,s},$$

$$\sigma'_{s-1}(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) = e_{I-(i_n, k_n)} \langle e_{J+(i_n, k_n)} \rangle \text{ for } e_I \langle e_J \rangle \in C_{2, s-1}.$$

We shall introduce here a partial order in the set of index sequences  $J$  of the same length  $|J|=s$  as follows:

$$(3.10) \quad J' \leq J \text{ if } (j'_i, l'_i) \leq (j_i, l_i) \text{ for all } i, \text{ and}$$

$$J' < J \text{ if, moreover, } (j'_i, l'_i) < (j_i, l_i) \text{ for at least one } i.$$

Now assume that  $(d_i, \sigma_{i-1})$  are defined for  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$  and satisfy the following conditions (for convenience, put  $d_0 = \varepsilon$  and  $\sigma_{-1} = \eta$ ):

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (A_i) \quad & \sigma_{i-1} \sigma_{i-2} = 0 \text{ and } \text{Im } \sigma_{i-1} = C_{1,i}, \\ (B_i) \quad & X_i = \text{Im } \sigma_{i-1} \oplus \text{Ker } d_i, \\ (C_i) \quad & d_i \sigma_{i-1} + \sigma_{i-2} d_{i-1} = 1 \text{ and } d_{i-1} d_i = 0, \\ (D_i) \quad & \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \text{There is a } \mathbb{Z}/2\text{-isomorphism } \varphi_i: C_{2,i} \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_i, \text{ defined} \\ & \text{by } \varphi_i(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) = e_{I'} \cdot (l - \sigma_{i-1} d_i)(e_{i_n, 2^{k_n}} \langle e_J \rangle) \text{ for } e_I \langle e_J \rangle \in C_{2,i} \text{ and } e_I = e_{I'} \cdot e_{i_n, 2^{k_n}}, \\ (ii) \quad & \text{Further, we have } \varphi_i(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) = e_I \langle e_J \rangle + \sum_{\alpha} e_{I_{\alpha}} \langle e_{J_{\alpha}} \rangle, \\ & \text{where } e_{I_{\alpha}} \langle e_{J_{\alpha}} \rangle \text{ are suitable c.b.e.'s with conditions } J_{\alpha} > J \text{ and } \max J_{\alpha} \geq \max I \text{ (See (3.10)).} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

We temporally assume  $(D_1)$ , of which proof is reasonably postponed.

Under this induction hypothesis  $(3.11)_{s-1}$  we shall define  $(d_s, \sigma_{s-1})$  as follows.

First define  $d_s: X_s \rightarrow X_{s-1}$ , as an  $A$ -map, by

$$(3.12) \quad d_s \langle e_J \rangle = \varphi_{s-1} \cdot \tau_s \langle e_J \rangle = (1 - \sigma_{s-2} d_{s-1}) \cdot e_{j_s, 2^{l_s}} \langle e_{J-(j_s, l_s)} \rangle$$

where  $|J|=s$  and  $(j_s, l_s) = \max J$ .

It follows immediately, from  $(C_{s-1})$

$$d_{s-1} d_s = 0.$$

Next define

$$(3.13) \quad \sigma_{s-1} = 0 \text{ on } \text{Im } \sigma_{s-2} = C_{1,s-1}.$$

To define  $\sigma_{s-1}$  on  $\text{Ker } d_{s-1}$ , take the set  $\{\varphi_{s-1}(e_I \langle e_J \rangle); e_I \langle e_J \rangle \text{ c.b.e. of Type 2 in } X_{s-1}\}$  as a fixed basis of  $\text{Ker } d_{s-1}$ , by virtue of  $(D_{s-1})$ , and put

$$(3.14) \quad \sigma_{s-1}(\varphi_{s-1}(e_I \langle e_J \rangle)) = \sigma'_{s-1}((e_I \langle e_J \rangle)) = e_{I'} \langle e_{J+(i_n, k_n)} \rangle$$

where  $(i_n, k_n) = \max I$  (See (3.9)). Then  $\sigma_{s-1}$  is naturally extended to a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map and gives an isomorphism

$$(3.15) \quad \sigma_{s-1}: \text{Ker } d_{s-1} \xrightarrow{\cong} C_{1,s} = \text{Im } \sigma_{s-1}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_s &= \begin{cases} \varphi_{s-1} \tau_s & \text{on } C_{1,s} \\ 0 & \text{on } \text{Ker } d_s \end{cases} \\ \sigma_{s-1} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } C_{1,s-1} \\ \sigma'_{s-1} \varphi_{s-1}^{-1} & \text{on } \text{Ker } d_{s-1} \end{cases} \\ d_s \sigma_{s-1} + \sigma_{s-2} d_{s-1} &= 1 \text{ on } X_{s-1} \\ X_s &= \text{Im } \sigma_{s-1} \oplus \text{Ker } d_s, \quad \text{Ker } d_s = \text{Im } (1 - \sigma_{s-1} d_s), \end{aligned}$$

and verify (A<sub>s</sub>), (B<sub>s</sub>) and (C<sub>s</sub>) for (d<sub>s</sub>, σ<sub>s-1</sub>). From (3.11), (D<sub>s-1</sub>) and (3.14), it follows that

$$(3.16) \quad \sigma_{s-1}(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) = e_{I'} \langle e_{J + \max I} \rangle + \sum_{\substack{J_\alpha > J, \max J_\alpha \geq \max I \\ \max I_\alpha \geq \max J_\alpha}} \sigma_{s-1}(e_{I_\alpha} \langle e_{J_\alpha} \rangle) \\ \text{for } e_I \langle e_J \rangle \in C_{2,s-1},$$

where the added conditions on the summand come from those of  $e_{I_\alpha} \langle e_{J_\alpha} \rangle \in C_{2,s-1}$ , and as well

$$(3.17) \quad d_s \langle e_J \rangle = e_{j_s, 2l_s} \langle e_{J'} \rangle + \sum_{J_\gamma > J', \max J_\gamma \geq (j_s, l_s) = \max J} e_{I_\gamma} \langle e_{J_\gamma} \rangle.$$

### Lemma 3.18.

$$\sigma_{s-1}(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) = e_{I'} \langle e_{J + \max I} \rangle + \sum_{J_\alpha > J + \max I} e_{I_\alpha} \langle e_{J_\alpha} \rangle \quad \text{for } e_I \langle e_J \rangle \in C_{2,s-1}$$

or, we write simply

$$\sigma_{s-1}(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) = e_{I'} \langle e_{J + \max I} \rangle + \Sigma \text{ higher terms.}$$

Proof. In the right hand side of (3.16), using itself again, we have

$$\sigma_{s-1}(e_{I_\alpha} \langle e_{J_\alpha} \rangle) = e_{I'_\alpha} \langle e_{J_\alpha + \max I_\alpha} \rangle + \sum_{\substack{J_\beta > J_\alpha, \max J_\beta \geq \max I_\alpha \\ \max I_\beta \geq \max J_\beta}} \sigma_{s-1}(e_{I_\beta} \langle e_{J_\beta} \rangle)$$

here  $J_\alpha > J$  and  $\max I_\alpha \geq \max J_\alpha \geq \max I$  so that  $J_\alpha + \max I_\alpha > J + \max I$ . Repeating this process, we obtain Lemma 3.18.

Now  $\varphi_s: C_{2,s} \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_s$  will be defined just as before:

$$(3.19) \quad \varphi_s(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) = e_{I'} (1 - \sigma_{s-1} d_s)(e_{i_n, 2^{k_n}} \langle e_J \rangle).$$

To prove (D<sub>s</sub>), (ii) it is sufficient to consider the special case  $|I|=1$ :

$$\varphi_s(e_{i, 2^k} \langle e_J \rangle) = (1 - \sigma_{s-1} d_s)(e_{i, 2^k} \langle e_J \rangle) \quad ((i, k) \geq \max J).$$

In view of (3.17), we have

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_s(e_{i, 2^k} \langle e_J \rangle) &= e_{i, 2^k} \langle e_J \rangle + \sigma_{s-1}(e_{i, 2^k} \cdot d_s \langle e_J \rangle) \\ &= e_{i, 2^k} \langle e_J \rangle + \sigma_{s-1}(e_{i, 2^k} e_{j_s, 2^{l_s}} \langle e_J \rangle) \\ &\quad + \sum_{J_\gamma > J', \max J_\gamma \geq \max J} \sigma_{s-1}(e_{i, 2^k} \cdot e_{I_\gamma} \langle e_{J_\gamma} \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting  $e_{i, 2^k} e_{j_s, 2^{l_s}}$  and  $e_{i, 2^k} \cdot e_{I_\gamma}$  in the admissible form:

$$e_{i, 2^k} e_{j_s, 2^{l_s}} = \sum_{\max I_\epsilon \geq (i, k)} e_{I_\epsilon}$$

$$e_{i, 2^k} \cdot e_{I_\gamma} = \sum_{\max I_{\gamma, \delta} \geq (i, k)} e_{I_{\gamma, \delta}}$$

we have, from Lemma 3.18,

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_s(e_{i, 2^k} \langle e_J \rangle) &= e_{i, 2^k} \langle e_J \rangle + \sum_{\max I_\epsilon \geq (i, k)} \sigma_{s-1}(e_{I_\epsilon} \langle e_{J'} \rangle) + \sum_{\substack{J_\gamma > J', \max J_\gamma \geq \max J \\ \max I_{\gamma, \delta} \geq (i, k)}} \sigma_{s-1}(e_{I_{\gamma, \delta}} \langle e_{J_\gamma} \rangle) \\ &= e_{i, 2^k} \langle e_J \rangle + \sum_{\max I_\epsilon \geq (i, k)} \left( e_{I'_\epsilon} \langle e_{J' + \max I_\epsilon} \rangle + \Sigma \text{ higher terms} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{J_\gamma + \max I_{\gamma, \delta} > J' + (i, k) \geq J} \left( e_{I'_{\gamma, \delta}} \langle e_{J_\gamma + \max I_{\gamma, \delta}} \rangle + \Sigma \text{ higher terms} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have in general

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_s(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) &= e_{I'} \cdot \varphi_s(e_{i_n, 2^{k_n}} \langle e_J \rangle) \\ &= e_I \langle e_J \rangle + \sum_{\substack{J_\alpha > J \\ \max J_\alpha \geq \max I}} e_{I_\alpha} \langle e_{J_\alpha} \rangle \quad \text{for c.b.e. } e_I \langle e_J \rangle \in C_{2,s}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved (3.11), (D<sub>s</sub>), (ii).

To show (D<sub>s</sub>), (i), first note that  $\varphi_s(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) \in \text{Ker } d_s$  and the set  $\{\varphi_s(e_I \langle e_J \rangle); \text{ c.b.e. } e_I \langle e_J \rangle \in C_{2,s}\}$  are linearly independent in virtue of (3.22). This means that  $\varphi_s$  is injective. To show the surjectivity of  $\varphi_s$ , we replace each higher term  $e_{I_\alpha} \langle e_{J_\alpha} \rangle$  of Type 2 in (3.22) by  $\varphi_s(e_{I_\alpha} \langle e_{J_\alpha} \rangle)$ . Repeating this process, we should finally obtain

$$(3.23) \quad \varphi_s(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) = e_I \langle e_J \rangle + \sum \varphi_s(e_{I_\beta} \langle e_{J_\beta} \rangle) + u_{I,J},$$

where  $u_{I,J} \in C_{1,s}$  and  $e_I \langle e_J \rangle + u_{I,J} \in \text{Im } \varphi_s$ .

The difference  $(1 - \sigma_{s-1} d_s)(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) - (e_I \langle e_J \rangle + u_{I,J})$  belongs to  $\text{Ker } d_s \cap \text{Im } \sigma_{s-1} = 0$ . Therefore we have

$$(3.24) \quad (1 - \sigma_{s-1} d_s)(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) = e_I \langle e_J \rangle + u_{I,J} \in \text{Im } \varphi_s.$$

Since  $(1 - \sigma_{s-1} d_s)(C_{1,s}) = 0$  and  $(1 - \sigma_{s-1} d_s)(C_{2,s}) = (1 - \sigma_{s-1} d_s)(X_s)$ , we have  $\text{Im } \varphi_s = \text{Im } (1 - \sigma_{s-1} d_s) = \text{Ker } d_s$ .

This proves (3.11), (D<sub>s</sub>), (i).

Now, for the remaining case of  $n=1$ , a proof of (D<sub>1</sub>) can be performed in a literally parallel way as just described, so it will be omitted. Thus we have completed the induction process and a proof of the theorem 1.2.

Here we shall show some simple examples of boundaries and contracting homotopies:

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} d \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,1} \rangle &= e_{1,1} \langle e_{1,1} \rangle \\ d \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,2} \rangle &= e_{1,2} \langle e_{1,1} \rangle + e_{1,1} \langle e_{1,2} \rangle + \langle e_{2,1} \rangle \\ d \langle e_{j,2^l}, e_{j,2^l} \rangle &= e_{j,2^l} \langle e_{j,2^l} \rangle + \sigma_0(e_{j,2^l} \cdot e_{j,2^l}) \\ d \langle e_{i,2^k}, e_{j,2^l} \rangle &= e_{j,2^l} \langle e_{i,2^k} \rangle + e_{i,2^k} \langle e_{j,2^l} \rangle + \sigma_0[e_{i,2^k}, e_{j,2^l}] \text{ for } (i,k) < (j,l), \end{aligned}$$

where  $[,]$  means the commutator.

$$\begin{aligned} d \langle e_{1,2}, e_{1,2}, e_{1,2} \rangle &= e_{1,2} \langle e_{1,2}, e_{1,2} \rangle + e_{1,1} \langle e_{1,2}, e_{2,1} \rangle + \langle e_{2,1}, e_{2,1} \rangle \\ \sigma(e_{i,2^k} \langle e_J \rangle) &= \langle e_{J+(i,k)} \rangle \quad \text{for } (i,k) \geq \max J \\ \sigma(e_{2,2} \cdot e_{3,1} \langle e_{1,4} \rangle) &= e_{2,2} \langle e_{1,4}, e_{3,1} \rangle + e_{2,1} \langle e_{3,1}, e_{3,1} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the last example shows that  $\sigma_i \neq \sigma'_i$  in general.

#### 4. Chain complex $P$ and its dual

The construction  $P$  defined in §1 with the induced differential

$$(4.1) \quad \bar{d} = \mathbb{Z}/2 \otimes d: P \rightarrow P$$

becomes a chain complex.

Define natural  $A$ -linear chain maps  $f: X \rightarrow B(A)$  and  $g: B(A) \rightarrow X$  in the usual way ([2]), using contracting homotopy  $\sigma$  of  $X$  resp.  $S$  of  $B(A)$ :

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f_0 &= \text{id.} : X_0 = A \rightarrow A = B(A)_0, \\ f_s \langle e_J \rangle &= S f_{s-1} d \langle e_J \rangle \quad \text{for } s \geq 1, \\ f_s (e_I \langle e_J \rangle) &= e_I \cdot f_s \langle e_J \rangle \\ &\text{and similar for } g. \end{aligned}$$

By induction on dimension, we see easily that

$$(4.3) \quad g \circ f = \text{id} \quad \text{on } X \quad \text{and} \quad f_s \langle e_J \rangle \in \bar{B}(A).$$

This proves Prop. 1.3.

Similarly define a diagonal  $\psi: X \rightarrow X \otimes X$  by

$$(4.4) \quad \psi_0: X_0 = A \rightarrow A \otimes A = (X \otimes X)_0, \quad \text{the diagonal of } A$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i.e. } \psi_0(e_{i,k}) &= \sum_j e_{i,k-j} \otimes e_{i,j}, \\ \psi_s \langle e_J \rangle &= \tilde{\sigma} \psi_{s-1} d \langle e_J \rangle \quad \text{for } s \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma \otimes 1 + \varepsilon \otimes \sigma$  is the induced contracting homotopy of  $X \otimes X$ .

This  $\psi$  is a chain map, and there is a natural chain homotopy:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\psi \otimes 1) \psi - (1 \otimes \psi) \psi &= d^{(3)} H + H d, \\ \text{with} \quad d^{(3)} &= d \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes d, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H: X \rightarrow X \otimes X \otimes X$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -map of degree  $(1,0)$ .

The following example shows non-coassociativity of  $\psi$ .

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi \langle e_{1,4} \rangle &= \langle e_{1,4} \rangle \otimes 1 + e_{1,1} \langle e_{1,2} \rangle \otimes e_{1,1} + \langle e_{1,2} \rangle \otimes e_{1,2} + \langle e_{1,1} \rangle \otimes e_{1,3} \\ &\quad + 1 \otimes \langle e_{1,4} \rangle \\ ((\psi \otimes 1) \psi - (1 \otimes \psi) \psi) \langle e_{1,4} \rangle &= e_{1,1} \otimes \langle e_{1,2} \rangle \otimes e_{1,1}. \end{aligned}$$

The diagonal  $\psi$  induces a diagonal  $\Delta: P \rightarrow P \otimes P$ ,

$$(4.7) \quad \Delta = (\rho \otimes \rho) \circ \psi, \quad \rho = \varepsilon_A \otimes 1_P: X \rightarrow P, \quad \text{with}$$

$$\bar{d}^{(2)} \Delta = \Delta \bar{d}.$$

From (4.5), it follows that  $\Delta$  is also homotopy coassociative.

We shall show a few examples of  $\Delta \langle e_J \rangle$ :

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta \langle e_{i,2^k} \rangle &= \langle e_{i,2^k} \rangle \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \langle e_{i,2^k} \rangle \\ \Delta \langle e_{1,2}, e_{1,2} \rangle &= \langle e_{1,2}, e_{1,2} \rangle \otimes 1 + \langle e_{1,2} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{1,2} \rangle + 1 \otimes \langle e_{1,2}, e_{1,2} \rangle \\ \Delta \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,4} \rangle &= \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,4} \rangle \otimes 1 + \langle e_{1,1} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{1,4} \rangle + \langle e_{1,4} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{1,1} \rangle \\ &+ 1 \otimes \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,4} \rangle + \underline{\langle e_{1,2} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{2,1} \rangle} \\ \Delta \langle e_{1,4}, e_{2,2}, e_{3,1} \rangle &= \langle e_{1,4}, e_{2,2}, e_{3,1} \rangle \otimes 1 + \langle e_{1,4} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{2,2}, e_{3,1} \rangle \\ &+ \langle e_{2,2} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{1,4}, e_{3,1} \rangle \\ &+ \langle e_{3,1} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{1,4}, e_{2,2} \rangle + \langle e_{2,2}, e_{3,1} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{1,4} \rangle + \langle e_{1,4}, e_{3,1} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{2,2} \rangle \\ &+ \langle e_{1,4}, e_{2,2} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{3,1} \rangle + 1 \otimes \langle e_{1,4}, e_{2,2}, e_{3,1} \rangle + \underline{\langle e_{2,1}, e_{3,1} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{3,1} \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

and, in general

$$\Delta \langle e_J \rangle = \text{shuffle} + \Sigma \text{ extra terms},$$

where an extra term  $\langle e_{J_1} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{J_2} \rangle$ , with  $\langle e_{J_1} \rangle \cdot \langle e_{J_2} \rangle \neq \langle e_J \rangle$ , is indicated by the underline.

Now the dual cochain complex  $P^*$ , with differential  $\delta = \bar{d}^*$ , has a product  $\Delta^*: P^* \otimes P^* \rightarrow P^*$ , which is 'homotopy associative' and  $\delta$  is a derivation there.

The product  $\Delta^*$  of  $P^*$  induces the usual associative product in the cohomology  $H^*(P^*) = \text{Ext}_A^*(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$  as stated in Corollary 1.5.

A few examples of boundaries are given by

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{d} \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,4}, e_{1,4} \rangle &= \langle e_{2,2}, e_{2,1} \rangle \\ \bar{d} \langle e_{1,2}, e_{1,4}, e_{2,1} \rangle &= \langle e_{3,1}, e_{1,2} \rangle + \langle e_{2,2}, e_{2,1} \rangle \\ \bar{d} \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,2}, e_{2,2} \rangle &= \langle e_{3,1}, e_{1,2} \rangle + \langle e_{2,2}, e_{2,1} \rangle \\ \delta \langle e_{2,2}, e_{2,1} \rangle^* &= \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,4}, e_{1,4} \rangle^* + \langle e_{1,2}, e_{1,4}, e_{2,1} \rangle^* + \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,2}, e_{2,2} \rangle^* \\ \delta \langle e_{3,1}, e_{1,2} \rangle^* &= \langle e_{1,2}, e_{1,4}, e_{2,1} \rangle^* + \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,2}, e_{2,2} \rangle^* \\ \delta(\langle e_{2,2}, e_{2,1} \rangle^* + \langle e_{3,1}, e_{1,2} \rangle^*) &= \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,4}, e_{1,4} \rangle^* \\ \bar{d} \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,1}, e_{1,4} \rangle &= \langle e_{2,1}, e_{2,1} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{d}\langle e_{1,2}, e_{1,2}, e_{1,2} \rangle &= \langle e_{2,1}, e_{2,1} \rangle \\ \bar{d}\langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,2}, e_{2,1} \rangle &= 0 \\ \delta\langle e_{2,1}, e_{2,1} \rangle^* &= \langle e_{1,1}, e_{1,1}, e_{1,4} \rangle^* + \langle e_{1,2}, e_{1,2}, e_{1,2} \rangle^*, \text{ etc.}\end{aligned}$$

## 5. Spectral sequence

We shall define a filtration on  $X$  which corresponds to May's filtration on  $B(A)$  ([5]). This leads to a spectral sequence, essentially the same as the May spectral sequence.

Define a weight function  $w$  on  $X$  by

$$(5.1) \quad w(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) = \sum_{h=1}^n i_h + \sum_{m=1}^s j_m, \text{ for a c.b.e. } e_I \langle e_J \rangle,$$

where  $I = \{(i_1, k_1) < \dots < (i_n, k_n)\}$  and  $J = \{(j_1, l_1) \leq \dots \leq (j_s, l_s)\}$ , and put  $w(x+y) = \max(w(x), w(y))$ .

Define a filtration  $F_u$  on  $X$ , for  $u \leq 0$ , by

$$(5.2) \quad e_I \langle e_J \rangle \in F_u, \quad \text{if } |J| - w(e_I \langle e_J \rangle) \leq u.$$

Then we have

$$X = F_0 \supset F_{-1} \supset \dots \supset F_u \supset F_{u-1} \supset \dots$$

$$(5.3) \quad \text{and}$$

$$dF_u \subset F_u.$$

Putting  $Z'_u = \text{Ker}(F_u \xrightarrow{d} F_{u-1} \rightarrow F_u/F_{u-r})$  for  $r \geq 0$ , we get a spectral sequence  $\{E'_u\}$ :

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned}E'_u &= Z'_u + F_{u-1}/dZ'_{u+r-1} + F_{u-1}, \\ d: E'_u &\rightarrow E'_{u-r}, \quad \text{induced by } d.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned}E^0 X &= \sum_{u \leq 0} F_u/F_{u-1} \cong E^0 A \otimes E^0 P, \\ d^0 &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Here  $E^0 A$  is the primitively generated Hopf algebra, isomorphic to the enveloping algebra  $V(E^0 L)$  of restricted Lie algebra  $E^0 L$  (in [5] and [10],

$E^0 L$  is simply denoted by  $L$ .

From (5.5), we have

$$(5.6) \quad E^1 X = E^0 X \quad \text{as } E^0 A\text{-module,}$$

$$d^1 \langle e_J \rangle = \sum_{(j,l)} e_{j,2^l} \langle e_{J-(j,l)} \rangle,$$

where  $(j,l)$  run over the index sequence  $J$  without dublication.

Thus we have an isomorphism:

$$(5.7) \quad (E^1 P, \bar{d}^1 = E^1(\bar{d})) \cong (\Gamma(sE^0 L), d),$$

the May complex (being divided polynomial algebra)

as a commutative DGA-coalgebra, in which  $\langle e_{j,2^l} \rangle^n = \langle e_{j,2^l}, \dots, e_{j,2^l} \rangle$  corresponds to  $\gamma_n(\bar{F}_j) \in \Gamma(sE^0 L)$ . Thus we have  $E^1 X \cong E^0 A \otimes \Gamma(sE^0 L)$ , the May resolution.

Dualizing the above things, we shall have a filtration  $\mathcal{F}_u$  on  $X^* = A_* \otimes P^*$  such that

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_u &= (X/F_{u-1})^*, \quad \text{for } u \leq 0, \\ 0 &= \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_{-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_u \subset \mathcal{F}_{u-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_{-\infty} = X^*, \\ \delta \mathcal{F}_u &\subset \mathcal{F}_u, \\ Z_r^u &= \text{Ker}(\mathcal{F}_u \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{F}_u \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_u / \mathcal{F}_{u+r}), \\ E_r^u &= Z_r^u + \mathcal{F}_{u+1} / \delta Z_{r-1}^{u+1} + \mathcal{F}_{u+1}, \\ \delta_r &: E_r^u \rightarrow E_r^{u+r}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} E_0 X^* &= E_0(A_*) \otimes E_0(P^*), \quad \delta_0 = 0, \\ E_1 X^* &= E_0 X^* \text{ as a module,} \\ E_1(P^*) &\cong \Gamma(sE^0 L)^* = \mathfrak{R} \text{ as a DGA-polynomial algebra ([5],[10])}, \\ E_2 X^* &\cong H^*(E^0 A), \end{aligned}$$

and  $E_r X^*$  coincide with those of the May spectral sequence for  $r \geq 2$ . Here  $\langle e_J \rangle^* \in E_1(P^*)$  corresponds to  $R_{j_1}^{l_1} \cdots R_{j_s}^{l_s} \in \mathfrak{R}$  ([5],[10]).

Returning to the complex  $P^*$ , we denote  $\langle e_{j,2^l} \rangle^*$  by  $\varepsilon_{j,2^l}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \varepsilon_{j,2^i} &= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \varepsilon_{j-i,2^{i+1}} \cdot \varepsilon_{i,2^i}, \quad [\varepsilon_{j-i,2^{i+1}}, \varepsilon_{i,2^i}] = 0, \\
(5.10) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle e_J \rangle^* &= \varepsilon_{j_s,2^{l_s}} \cdot \langle e_{J'} \rangle^*, \quad \text{for } J = J' + (j_s, l_s) \\
\text{and} \quad (j_s, l_s) &= \max J,
\end{aligned}$$

because  $\langle e_{j_s,2^{l_s}} \rangle \otimes \langle e_{J'} \rangle$  appears, with non-zero coefficient, only in  $\Delta \langle e_J \rangle$ , and not in  $\Delta \langle e_{\tilde{J}} \rangle$  for other  $\tilde{J}$ .

$P^*$  has no zero-divisor and contains the polynomial ring  $\mathbf{Z}/2[\varepsilon_{1,2^i}; i \geq 1]$ .

## 6. Appendix

Consider the case of the mod  $p$  Steenrod algebra  $A$  for an odd prime  $p$ . We shall sketch similar argument as in the preceeding sections.

**Lemma 6.1.** (i)  $A$  is multiplicatively generated by  $\{e_{i,p^k}, f_j; i \geq 1, k \geq 0$  and  $j \geq 0\}$ ,  $e_{i,p^k} = (\zeta_i^{p^k})^*$  (resp.  $f_j = \tau_j^*$ ) the dual element  $\zeta_i^{p^k}$  (resp.  $\tau_j$ ) with respect to the Milnor monomial basis of the dual Hopf algebra  $A_*$  of  $A$ . (ii) The set  $\{1, e_I^L \cdot f_J = e_{i_1,p^{k_1}}^{l_1} \cdots e_{i_m,p^{k_m}}^{l_m} \cdot f_{j_1} \cdots f_{j_n};$  with index sequences  $I: (i_1, k_1) < \cdots < (i_m, k_m)$ ,  $L = (l_1, \cdots, l_m)$  with  $1 \leq l_i < p$ , and  $J: j_1 < \cdots < j_n\}$  forms a basis of  $A$ .

Put  $L^+ = \mathbf{Z}/p\{e_{i,p^k}; (i,k) \geq (1,0)\}$ ,  $L^- = \mathbf{Z}/p\{f_j\}$ . Let  $sL^+ = \mathbf{Z}/p\{\langle e_{i,p^k} \rangle\}$ ,  $sL^- = \mathbf{Z}/p\{\langle f_j \rangle\}$  be the suspensions with bideg  $\langle e_{i,p^k} \rangle = (1, 2p^k(p^i - 1))$ , bideg  $\langle f_j \rangle = (1, 2p^j - 1)$  respectively. And let  $s^2\pi L^+$  denote a vector space  $\mathbf{Z}/p\{y_{i,p^k}; (i,k) \geq (1,0)\}$  spanned by indeterminates  $y_{i,p^k}$  of bidegree  $(2, 2p^{k+1}(p^i - 1))$ .

Define

$E(sL^+) =$  the exterior algebra on  $sL^+$ ,

$P(sL^-) =$  the polynomial algebra on  $sL^-$ ,

and

$P(s^2\pi L^+) =$  the polynomial algebra on  $s^2\pi L^+$ .

**Theorem 6.2.** The  $A$ -module  $X = A \otimes E(sL^+) \otimes P(s^2\pi L^+) \otimes P(sL^-)$  with an inductively defined differential  $d$  gives an acyclic,  $A$ -free resolution of  $\mathbf{Z}/p$ :  $X \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbf{Z}/p$ .

**Corollary 6.3.** A suitable filtration on  $X$  induces a spectral sequence in which  $E^1 \bar{X} \cong E(sE^0 L^+) \otimes \Gamma(sE^0 L^-) \otimes \Gamma(s^2\pi E^0 L^+)$ , the May's construction,

as a cocommutative DGA-coalgebra ([5]) and the  $E^r$ -terms are the same as those of May S.S. ( $r \geq 2$ ).

We can prove this theorem quite similarly as in the mod 2 case, although we need here a more fine classification of the canonical basis elements  $e_I^L \cdot f_J \cdot \langle e_G \rangle \cdot y_M \cdot \langle f_K \rangle$  as follows.

Introduce first the following notation on the index sequences:

$$(6.4) \quad a_1(I) = \max I = (i_m, k_m) \quad \text{for } I = (i_1, k_1) < \dots < (i_m, k_m),$$

and  $a_1(\phi) = (0,0)$ ,  $\phi$  being the empty set.

$$b(G) = \max G \quad \text{for } G = (g_1, h_1) < \dots < (g_t, h_t),$$

and  $b(\phi) = (0,0)$ ,

$$c(M) = \max M \quad \text{for } M = (m_1, q_1) \leq \dots \leq (m_u, q_u),$$

and  $c(\phi) = (0,0)$ ,

and

$$a_2(J) = \max J \quad \text{for } J = (j_1 < \dots < j_n),$$

and  $a_2(\phi) = -1$ ,

$$d(K) = \max K \quad \text{for } K = (k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_v),$$

and  $d(\phi) = -1$ .

A c.b.e.  $e_I^L \cdot f_J \cdot \langle e_G \rangle \cdot y_M \cdot \langle f_K \rangle$  belongs to one of the following types:

Provided that  $J = K = \phi$  the empty set,

$$\begin{cases} I_1: a_1 \leq b \geq c \text{ and,} \\ \text{if } a_1 = b, l_m < p-1, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} II_1: b < a_1 \geq c, \\ II_2: a_1 = b \geq c, \text{ and } l_m = p-1, \end{cases}$$

$$(6.5) \quad I_2: a_1 < c > b, \quad II_3: a_2 \geq d.$$

Otherwise, if  $J$  or  $K \neq \phi$ , put

$$I_3: a_2 < d, \quad II_3: a_2 \geq d.$$

Thus we have a direct sum decomposition

$$(6.6) \quad X = C_I \oplus C_{II}, \quad C_I = C_{I_1} \oplus C_{I_2} \oplus C_{I_3}, \quad C_{II} = C_{II_1} \oplus C_{II_2} \oplus C_{II_3},$$

where

$C_{I_i} = \mathbf{Z}/p\{c.b.e. \text{ of type } I_i\}$  and  $C_{II_i} = \mathbf{Z}/p\{c.b.e. \text{ of type } II_i\}$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,

with linear isomorphisms

$$C_{I_i, s} \xrightarrow{\tau_s} C_{II_i, s-1}$$

defined by

$$(6.7) \quad \tau_s(e_I^L \langle e_G \rangle y_M) = (-1)^{|G|-1} e_I^L \cdot e_{g_t, p^{h_t}} \langle e_{G-(g_t, h_t)} \rangle y_M$$

on c.b.e. of type  $I_1$  ( $(g_t, h_t) = \max G$ )

$$\tau_s(e_I^L \langle e_G \rangle y_M) = e_I^L \cdot e_{m_u, p^{q_u}}^{p-1} \langle e_{G+(m_u, q_u)} \rangle y_{M-(m_u, q_u)}$$

on c.b.e. of type  $I_2$  ( $(m_u, q_u) = \max M$ )

$$\tau_s(e_I^L f_J \langle e_G \rangle y_M \langle f_K \rangle) = (-1)^{|G|+|K|-1} e_I^L \cdot f_J \cdot f_{k_v} \cdot \langle e_G \rangle \cdot y_M \langle f_{K-(k_v)} \rangle$$

on c.b.e. of type  $I_3$  ( $k_v = \max K$ )

where  $|G|$  denotes the length of the index sequence  $G$  and similarly for others, and  $s = |G| + 2|M| + |K|$  the homology dimension.

The inverse  $\sigma'_{s-1}$  of  $\tau_s$  will be defined obviously.

Then, starting from

$$d_1 \langle e_{j, p^l} \rangle = e_{j, p^l}, \quad d_1 \langle f_j \rangle = f_j,$$

$$\sigma_0(e_I^L)' \cdot \langle e_{i_m, p^{k_m}} \rangle, \text{ with } (i_m, k_m) = \max I \text{ and}$$

$$(e_I^L)' = \begin{cases} e_{i_1, p^{k_1}}^{l_1} \cdots e_{i_m, p^{k_m}}^{l_m-1} & \text{if } l_m > 1 \\ e_{i_1, p^{k_1}}^{l_1} \cdots e_{i_{m-1}, p^{k_{m-1}}}^{l_{m-1}-1} & \text{if } l_m = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_0(e_I^L \cdot f_J) = e_I^L \cdot f_J \langle f_{j_n} \rangle \quad \text{with } j_n = \max J \quad \text{and} \quad J' = J - \{j_n\},$$

we could define differential  $d$  and contracting homotopy  $\sigma$  inductively in  $X$  as before, and as well carry out all the parallel discussion.

---

### References

- [1] J.F. Adams: *On the structure and applications of the Steenrod algebra*, Comment. Math. Helv. **32** (1958), 180–214.
- [2] J.F. Adams: *On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*, Ann. of Math. **72** (1960), 20–104.
- [3] J. Adem: *The iteration of the Steenrod squares in algebraic topology*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **38** (1952), 720–726.
- [4] H.R. Margolis: *Spectra and the Steenrod Algebra*, North-Holland, 1983.
- [5] J.P. May: *The cohomology of restricted Lie algebras and of Hopf algebras*,

Application to the Steenrod algebra, Dissertation, Princeton Univ. 1964.

- [6] J. Milnor: *The Steenrod algebra and its dual*, Ann. of Math. **67** (1958), 150–171.
- [7] S.P. Novikov: *On the cohomology of the Steenrod algebra* (Russian), Doklady Acad. Nauk, SSSR **131** (1959), 893–895.
- [8] N. Shimada: *Some resolutions for the Landweber-Novikov algebra*, Q. & A. in General Topology **8** (1990), Special issue, 201–206.
- [9] N.E. Steenrod and D.B.A. Epstein: Cohomology operations, Ann. of Math. Studies 50, Princeton, 1962.
- [10] M.C. Tangora: *On the cohomology of the Steenrod algebra*, Math. Z. **116** (1970), 18–64.

Okayama University of Science  
Ridaicho 1–1, Okayama 700  
Japan

