

Title	Errata : The theory of construction of finite semigroups. I
Author(s)	Tamura, T.
Citation	Osaka Mathematical Journal. 1957, 9(2), p. 241-241
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/12861
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

ERRATA, VOL. 8

- T. Tamura: *Indecomposable completely simple semigroups except groups*.
 p. 38, line 15. (Lemma 7). For " \bar{D} " read " D ", for " D_E " read " \bar{D}_E ".
 p. 38, line 25. (Lemma 8). For " (λ_1, μ_1) ", read " (λ, μ) ".
 p. 40, line 24. For "is not" read "goes".
- T. Tamura: *The theory of construction of finite semigroups. I*.
 p. 247, line 25. For " $= \bigvee_{\alpha} \bar{\varphi}_{\alpha}$ " read " $= \bigvee_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha}$ ".
 p. 254, line 30. For " u " read " z ".
 p. 254, line 31. For " z " read " u ".
 p. 257, line 12. For "6" read "9".
 p. 260, line 21. (§ 11). For "idempotent" read "unipotent".

REMARK

On page 253, we defined a monomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of x_1, \dots, x_n , in which we wrote "we must contain a variable at least", but this is to be excluded. (See Example 12, p. 254) However, we must add, "When monomials are used in an equality $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, one at least of both sides must contain a variable at least".

H. Noguchi: *On regular neighbourhoods of 2-manifolds in 4-Euclidean Space. I*,

Theorem 1 (p. 229) is false. But it holds if we restrict the concept of regular neighbourhood as follows:

By a regular neighbourhood of K in M^n which has no boundary we shall mean a subcomplex $U(K, M^n)$ of M^n , such that $|U(K, M^n)|$ is an n -manifold having $|K|$ in its interior and $|U(K, M^n)|$ contracts geometrically into $|K|$.

For "oriented" read "orientable oriented", lines 23, 29 page 230; lines 3, 12 page 231; line 17 page 237; line 34 page 238; lines 11, 36 page 240; lines 27, 28 page 241; lines 3, 11, 17 page 242.

I withdraw the eight-th line of page 231.

The proof of Lemma n in 4.2 (pp. 234-235) is not correct. Hence all the proofs in sections 4, 5 and 6 are erroneous.

Lemma 5.8 (pp. 239–240) is false. In fact, therein each point o_i is a double point, using the notation of the Lemma, $D \cap o_i = o_i$ for each i . Hence $D \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^k D_i) \cup D_0$ is not a 2-sphere. Furthermore the assertion of this Lemma contradicts the unpublished result obtained by R. H. Fox and J. W. Milnor. This invalidates Theorem 4 (p. 240).

I thank Professor V. K. A. M. Gugenheim who pointed out errors and Professors R. H. Fox and J. W. Milnor who communicated their unpublished results to me.

ERRATA, VOL. 9.

- T. Tamura: *The theory of construction of finite semigroups II*
- p. 7, line 26. For “0 in U^* ” read “ 0^* in U ”.
 - p. 8, line 21. For “ $g(\alpha)$ ” read “ $\eta(\alpha)$ ”.
 - p. 14, line 28. (Theorem 10). For “*a finite*” read “*an*”.
 - p. 15, line 26. For “semilattice” read “semigroup”.
 - p. 17, line 22. For “*lattice*” read “*semilattice*”.
 - p. 21, line 2. For “defined” read “denoted”.
 - p. 21, line 33. For “ H ” read “ S ”.
 - p. 27, line 7. Insert “ $n \geq 2$ ” between “ $n - 1$ ” and “are”.
 - p. 28, line 12. For “ $\varphi_\alpha(y) = y$ ” read “ $\varphi_\alpha(y) = y \neq 0$ ”.
 - p. 31, line 17. For “23” read “24”.
 - p. 31, line 32. Insert “for certain minimal element” next to “holds”.
 - p. 34, line 8. For “ τ' ” read “ τ ”.
 - p. 34, line 22. Delete “if exists”.
 - p. 34, line 23. Insert “*if exists*” between “ S ” and “*causes*”.
 - p. 37, line 12. For “ $S'_{\sigma_{p(i)}}$ ” read “ S'_{σ_1} ”.
 - p. 41, line 6 (the case of $\psi_e = abbb$ and $\varphi_e = bbbb$ of the table). For “*none*” read “*isomorphic to 1048₅*”.