



Title	A MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR THE EVALUATING OF QUALITY OF THE PLANTATION FOREST IN VIET NAM
Author(s)	Chu, Duc
Citation	Annual Report of FY 2002, The Core University Program between Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) and National Centre for Natural Science and Technology (NCST). 2003, p. 71-74
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://hdl.handle.net/11094/13225
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

A MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR THE EVALUATING OF QUALITY OF THE PLANTATION FOREST IN VIET NAM

Chu Duc

Department of Biomathematics-Environment, Hanoi University of Science, VNU, 334 Nguyen Trai Street, Hanoi, Vietnam

Abstract

We consider N ecosystems with m indicators.

A problem will be arisen which system is the best and which the systems are following. In the case of $m = 1$, it is easy to check, but if $m \geq 2$, it will be difficult to solve that. The solution of problem is obtained in this paper with the mathematical model. An example of the systems of plantation forest in Northern Vietnam is given for the illustration of the method.

Problem

We must plant a number of trees in the mountains of Vietnam with WFP. But which tree and which set of tree together will be choosed. There is many variants of the trees. The variant includes agricultur trees and forestry trees is called the combination agroforestry variant. In the passed years we planted many experiments of the combination agroforestry variants. Now a problem is apeared how to assess those variants. That means how to find the optimal variant and the hierarchical consequence of the variants. Then the optimal variant will be choosed to the demonstration variant for all country.

In recent years, there is many methods to evalue the variants. But they are depending on the experiences of the expert of ecology, agriculture, forestry and policy maker. Now we give a new method upon the mathematical models.

The Modelling

We consider N systems (that means N combination agroforestry variants), with m indicators. For example: the economical effect, the exchange of quality of soil, the erosion degree, and the microclimat improvement,.....

Data will be gathered in following table :

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & \dots & X_{1N} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & \dots & X_{2N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_{m1} & X_{m2} & \dots & X_{mN} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where X_{ji} is the varied value of indicator j of system i from begining to present, $j = 1 \rightarrow m$, $i \rightarrow N$.

Step 1 :

Set up a real transformation $Z(X_{ji})$ from $(R(-\infty, +\infty) \times \Omega)$ into $R(0,1)$, where $R(-\infty, +\infty)$ is the values of indicators, Ω is the dimension of indicators.

If the value $\max X_{ji}$ with $1 \leq i \leq N$ is the ideal case , then put the real transformation (see/5/)

$$ZJI = Z(Xji) = \frac{Xji - \min Xji}{\max Xji - \min Xji} \quad (2)$$

If the value $\min Xji$ with $1 \leq i \leq N$ is the ideal case, then put the real transformation

$$Zji = Z(Xji) = \frac{Xji - \max Xji}{\min Xji - \max Xji} \quad (3)$$

We have now the new table

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z11 & Z12 & \dots & Z1N \\ Z21 & Z22 & \dots & Z2N \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Zm1 & Zm2 & \dots & ZmN \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Step 2:

Calculate the weight of indicator j denoted α_j (the importance proportion coefficient of indicator j in m indicators of N systems) (see/6/)

$$R1 = (r1, h1) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (Xhi - Xh.) (Xji - Xj.)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N (Xhi - Xh.) * 2 \sum_{i=1}^N (Xji - Xj.) * 2 \right)^{1/2}} \quad (5)$$

then find the maximum of all following expressions with $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\max_{\substack{h=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \frac{\sum_{h=1}^m (r1, h_j) * 2}{(r1, jj)} := sm1 \quad (6)$$

and remark the location of indicator $m1$.

Then calculate matrix Rk with $k=2 \dots m$,

$$Rk = (r(k, h_j)) = (r(k-1, h_j)) - \frac{(r(k-1, hm1))((r(k-1, jml))}{(r(k-1, m1ml))} \quad (7)$$

then find the maximum of all following expressions with $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\max_{\substack{h=1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \frac{\sum_{h=1}^m (r(k, h_j) * 2)}{r(k, jj)} := smk \quad (8)$$

and remark the location of indicator mk .

Finanly obtains α_{mk} , $k=1 \rightarrow m$:

$$\alpha_{mk} := \frac{smk}{\sum_{k=1}^m smk} \quad (9)$$

Step 3

Calculate the score of the systems $i=1 \rightarrow N$: (see/1/,/2/)

$$Zi = \sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha_j) (Zji) \quad (10)$$

Step 4

We make the rank of all systems according to the score of each system

$$Z1 \geq Z2 \geq Z3 \geq \dots \geq ZN \quad (11)$$

Then $Z1$ is the optimal system in N systems. The following systems are $Z2, Z3, \dots, ZN$.

The uniform optimal criterion

The consequence (11) is deduced according to the scores of all systems.

We consider not yet the role of the indicators. May be there is the optimal system, however it has the very bad indicator. So we develop the new concept uniform optimal criterion.(see/3/,/4/)

Definition

The system $i1$ is called the optimal degree γ ($1 \leq \gamma \leq N$), if satify

$$Zi1 = \max Zi, 1 \leq i \leq N, \quad (12)$$

with the conditions $Zji1 \geq \gamma ; \forall j ; 1 \leq j \leq m$.

Proposition

Let N systems with m indicators finite. Then there exists always an algorithm to find the uniform optimal system and the hierarchizing consequence of rest systems.

The algorithm is as follow:

Step 1 :

We make the order for the indicator j in all systems $i : 1 \leq i \leq N$.

For exampl $Xj1 \geq Xj2 \geq Xj3 \geq \dots \geq XjN$.

The order of indicator j of system i is denoted by Vji .

Then we have the matrix of orders :

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} & \dots & V_{1N} \\ V_{21} & V_{22} & \dots & V_{2N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ V_{m1} & V_{m2} & \dots & V_{mN} \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

By the integer transformation from $R(1,N)$ to $R(N,1)$

$$Gji = N - Vji + 1 \quad (14)$$

is obtained the following new matrix of integer values

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} & \dots & G_{1N} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} & \dots & G_{2N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ G_{m1} & G_{m2} & \dots & G_{mN} \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

Step2 :

Calculate α_j ; $1 \leq j \leq m$ (see 2)

Step 3: calculate the score of system i , $i=1,..N$:

$$H_i = \sum_{j=1}^m (\alpha_j) G_{ji} \quad (16)$$

Step 4:

The first let $\gamma = N$ then find the optimal H_{i1} ,

The second let $\gamma = N-1$ find the optimal H_{i2} among the rest systems.

Continue to the end with $\gamma = 1$.

Then we have the uniform optimal consequence:

$H_{i1}, H_{i2}, \dots, H_{iN}$,

where H_{i1} is the best, then follow $H_{i2}, H_{i3}, \dots, H_{iN}$.

Application

With the same soil we consider 6 variants $E1, E2, \dots, E6$ with 6 species of tree :

$E1$: Australian Eucalyptus,

$E2$: Nghiabinh Eucalyptus,

$E3$: Excerta Eucalyptus,

$E4$: Acacia auriculiformis,

$E5$: Acacia mangium,

$E6$: Manglitia.

The problem is how to find the best rational specie for this region.

Indicators are choosed as follow

Indicator 1 : $P2O5$

Indicator 2 : Hidrelie Acidity

Indicator 3 : Height of tree

Indicator 4 : Diameter of tree.

We have the data table :

Tree	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6
Indicator						
1	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.15
2	11.4	6.4	9.1	12.7	15.3	14.2
4	2.01	1.14	1.14	1.21	1.59	1.63
4	1.0	1.14	2.0	1.0	3.6	1.9

The weight α_j are calculated as follow:

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0296 \\ 0.1396 \\ 0.3062 \\ 0.5246 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

* By the method of uniform optimal criterion we have the following matrix:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 5 & 2 & 6 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 5 \\ 6 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 6 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$