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INTRODUCTION

While the fairly close association between cardiac enlargement and cardiovascular disease or hypertension is well known, standards for delineation of such enlargement of the heart are not necessarily clear. A quantitative expression for cardiac enlargement should, therefore, contribute much to the study of heart disease and hypertension. Heart size standards in various forms have been provided by many investigators and have been used clinically to diagnose cardiac enlargement. The cardio-thoracic ratio was among the first to be employed.\(^1\) Then, an attempt was made to describe the standard size of the transverse cardiac diameter as a function of weight, height, and age.\(^2,3\) The mean value of the transverse diameter of the normal heart may be expressed\(^4\) by a simple formula \(C = \frac{W}{H}\) where \(C\) is a numerical value.

Obviously, a standard developed for a particular population cannot be universally applied and therefore standards developed in other populations and racial groups are not applicable for the Japanese population. Japanese investigators have published certain data intended for application in the estimation of cardiac size from routine chest roentgenograms.\(^5\) However, the purpose of this study was to derive a standard for the population included in the Adult Health Study of the Atomic Bomb Casualty Commission (ABCC). A similar earlier investigation at ABCC was not completed.\(^6\) The representative samples of the Hiroshima and Nagasaki exposed and comparison groups under detailed clinical investigation at ABCC offer the opportunity to study sufficiently large numbers of subjects to permit reliable assessment of cardiac size in a Japanese population.

In this study, radiologically recorded heart size has been correlated with sex, age, height, and weight, cf subjects within defined clinical classifications.

DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLE

The sample for the ABCC Adult Health Study is composed of four age–sex balanced components:

Group 1 Proximal Exposed within 2,000 meters of the hypocenter; reported radiation symptoms;
Group 2 Proximal Exposed within 2,000 meters of the hypocenter; reported no radiation symptoms;
Group 3 Distal Exposed 3,000 to 3,499 meters of the hypocenter;
Group 4 Nonexposed Beyond 16,000 meters from the hypocenter or not in the city at the time of bomb.
The Hiroshima sample totals slightly more than 13,000 persons fifteen years of age or older at the time of examination in 1958 and 1959 who receive detailed clinical examinations at approximately two year intervals. The entire sample is equally divided into 24 examination groups, designated A through X. Each month, one of these alphabetically designated groups is scheduled for routine clinical examination at ABCC. This study utilizes data accumulated in 1958-1959 during routine examinations for 10 of the 24 groups.

Obviously, if accurate measurement of heart size is to be attempted, subjects with certain cardiac abnormalities must be excluded. Following are the criteria used for screening such subjects from this study:

**ABNORMALITIES EXCLUDED FROM THIS STUDY**

Developmental
- Pectus excavatum with cardiac shift
- Scoliosis, marked
- Kyphosis, marked
- Large apical fat pad with indistinct left cardiac border

Post-infection
- Pleural thickening, marked, causing distortion of cardiac silhouette
- Mediastinal, causing indistinct cardiac borders
- Lateral chest wall, with marked obliteration of costophrenic sulcus
- Parenchymal scarring, marked, with cardiac shift

Post-traumatic
- Fractures, costal and vertebral
- Pneumothorax with cardiac shift

Post-surgical
- Thoracoplasty, etc.

Neoplasms causing mediastinal and cardiac distortion
- Mediastinal
- Paratracheal
- Pleural

Other
- Pneumothorax, spontaneous, with mediastinal shift
- Diaphragmatic deformities, abnormal position (Phrenic nerve paralysis, eventration, large hiatus hernia, pneumoperitoneum, pregnancy, intra-abdominal mass or fluid)
- Pulmonary emphysema
- Technical difficulties prevented accurate interpretation of a few of the chest roentgenograms and films were excluded if any of the following were found:
  - Rotation of patient
  - Incomplete inspiration
  - Improper exposure
  - Superimposition of dorsal spine on right cardiac border rendering latter indistinct

Following these exclusions, the remaining subjects were divided into three clinical
Classifications:

Class 1 Without overt heart disease or hypertension
Class 2 Without overt heart disease but with hypertension, defined as blood pressure higher than 140/90
Class 3 With overt heart disease with or without hypertension.

Overt heart disease was diagnosed clinically with the aid of electrocardiography according to the following International Statistical Code criteria:

**CRITERIA FOR CLASS 3**

- Rheumatic fever (400-402)
- Chronic rheumatic heart disease (410-416)
- Arteriosclerotic and degenerative heart disease (420-422)
- Other diseases of heart (430-434) excluding functional disease of heart (453) and unspecified disease of heart (434.4)
- Cardiovascular syphilis (023)
- Congenital malformations of circulatory system (754)

Electrocardiographic criteria for abnormalities:

- Frequent extrasystoles, supraventricular and ventricular
- Paroxysmal tachycardia, supraventricular and ventricular
- Atrial fibrillation and flutter
- Nodal rhythm
- Complete atrioventricular block
- Bundle branch block
- Intraventricular block, unclassified
- Wolfe-Parkinson-White syndrome
- Ventricular hypertrophy, left, right, or combined
- S-T depression and T wave abnormality
- Myocardial ischemia
- Myocardial infarction

Final composition of the sample is shown by age, sex, and clinical classification in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>CLINICAL CLASSIFICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CLASS 分類1 CLASS 分類2 CLASS 分類3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MALE</td>
<td>FEMALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70+</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL 計: 1108 161 1340 204 382 118 164
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METHOD

CARDIAC MEASUREMENT

In this study measurements are based on the frontal cardiac silhouette. Routine examination of the chest consisted of obtaining 14 × 17 inch posteroanterior and lateral chest roentgenograms at a target-film distance of 72 inches. The maximum transverse diameter of the heart which is obtained from the posteroanterior roentgenogram was considered most practical for deriving a standard which could be applied in routine roentgenographic examinations of the chest. The fact that data obtained from this investigation could have practical application in interpretation of routine chest roentgenograms of the Japanese population made other more complicated methods of measurement seem less desirable. This transverse cardiac diameter was applied, together with other related data obtained from clinical study, to devise formulae.

DERIVATION OF STANDARD

Analysis revealed no difference in heart size related to radiation exposure status; therefore the proximal, distal, and nonexposed groups were combined. Heart size data for clinical classifications 1 and 2 were tabulated according to sex, weight, height, and age. (Figure 1)

In general, the quantitative relations in Figure 1 show:
Heart size increases with weight
Heart size is not affected by height
Heart size increases with age
These trends are similar in clinical classifications 1 and 2, but with a constant
difference in the level for each age and weight class.

The intercorrelations of weight, height, and age were investigated as shown in Figure 2. Heart size data for weight, height, and age specific groups for clinical classifications 1 and 2 were plotted separately by sex for each of the tabulated variables.

Figure 2 illustrates these points:
Heart size increases with weight when height or age is specified;
Heart size decreases with height when weight is specified—a trend obscured in the previous figure considering heart size by height only;
Heart size increases with age when weight or height is specified;
The different lines on each chart, which represent different values for the variable being fixed, are generally parallel. Thus, in computing standards for heart size the following formula seems appropriate:
Heart size = weight factor + height factor + age factor

The variance of heart size may be partitioned into components representing each of the three factors shown in the formula plus a residual component which cannot be accounted for by these factors. An analysis of variance applied to a balanced subsample supported this partition of variability as well as the linearity of the relation. (Table 2)

Thus, the problem is reduced to the determination of constants \( x, \beta, \gamma, \) and \( \delta \) in the linear relation:
HD = αW + βH + γA + δ  \quad (1)

where HD, W, H and A denote heart size in transverse diameter, weight, height and age respectively while δ is a term specific to the individual. This is the same formula earlier adopted by Hodge and Eyster. \(^3\) Another form HD = Const. \(x\sqrt{W/H}\) has been proposed by Ungierleider. \(^4\) The heart size versus weight–height ratio curve shown in Figure 3 tests the fit of this formula.

### Table 2: Analysis of Variance for Heart Size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variance</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sum of Squares</td>
<td>Degrees of Freedom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HEIGHT (M)</strong></td>
<td>7224.44</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>504.03</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W(^2)</td>
<td>115.46</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W(^3)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HEIGHT (H)</strong></td>
<td>185.77</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_1)</td>
<td>115.56</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)</td>
<td>61.19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AGE (A)</strong></td>
<td>583.76</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A(_1)</td>
<td>945.80</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A(_2)</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A(_3)</td>
<td>25.52</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W \times H</strong></td>
<td>331.61</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W \times A</td>
<td>191.50</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A \times W</td>
<td>362.12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W \times H \times A</strong></td>
<td>126.71</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residual</strong></td>
<td>3496.63</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5049.26</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- Heights significant at \(P < 0.1\)
- Significant at \(P < 0.05\)
- W, M, A; components linear to W, H, A.
- W, H, A; components quadratic to W, H, A.
- W, M, A; components cubic to W, H, A.
- W, H, A; components to W, H, A.

### Figure 3: Heart Size in Relation to Weight/Height Ratio for Fixed Weight Classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight Class</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50-100 lb</td>
<td>110-120 lb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-120 lb</td>
<td>130-140 lb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130-140 lb</td>
<td>150 lb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Discrepancies between curves for various weight values suggest that the affects of weight and height cannot be completely accounted for by a single combined variable as expressed in the previously mentioned formula $C_{\sqrt{W/H}}$. Some modification to reduce discrepancies may be possible but was not attempted.

Another current method is use of the cardio:horacic ratio. While this may have an advantage in that it is less affected by error in measurement, it does not take into consideration differences in body build. The linear form, therefore, was adopted here.

In addition to fitting the linear formula (1) to the data for Class 1 subjects, it appeared desirable to apply the formula to Class 2 subjects, with some appropriate modification. Since variations in heart size in hypertensive Class 2 subjects parallel variations in Class 1 subjects as shown in Figure 1, the term $\varepsilon B$ may be added to describe the variations in Class 1 and Class 2 simultaneously, with $\varepsilon$ a constant to be determined from the data while $B = 0$ for Class 1 and $B = 1$ for Class 2. Thus, the curve (2) may be applied:

$$HD = \alpha W + \beta H + \gamma A + \delta + \varepsilon B \quad (II)$$

In determining values for the constants $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$, $\delta$, and $\varepsilon$ in equation (II) differences between observed and computed cardiac diameter were minimized as follows:

$$\phi = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\text{obs comp}} (\text{HD} - \text{HD})^2 \quad N = \text{Number of cases}$$

The usual least squares computation procedure provided the following result:

- For Males: $135.9 + 0.5109W - 1.2620H + 0.2408A + 4.427B$
- For Females: $124.7 + 0.4650W - 0.0680H + 0.2612A + 3.239B$

where the unit for weight is pounds; for height, inches; years for age; and millimeters for heart diameter. If kilogram and centimeter values are to be used for weight and height, respectively, the computation may be presented as follows:

- For Males: $135.9 + 1.1265W - 0.4365H + 0.2408A + 4.427B$
- For Females: $124.7 + 1.0253W - 0.43047H + 0.2612A + 3.289B$

Again, the value of $B = 0$ for Class 1 and for hypertensive Class 2, $B = 1$. The standard deviation of observed heart size minus standard heart size, or the minimum value of $\phi$, is estimated as $(9.4\,\text{mm})^2$ for males and $(8.7\,\text{mm})^2$ for females.

Total variance for transverse heart diameter was $(12.4\,\text{mm})^2$. The values for $\phi$ shown above are approximately half this figure. The residual variance for heart diameter after eliminating the effects of age, weight, and height was $(8.2\,\text{mm})^2$ ....very near the values for $\phi$ shown above. This comparison shows that the proposed formula provided a good fit. The adequacy of the proposed formula also was checked for various specified subgroups. In Figure 4, the deviations between observed and computed values are plotted against weight, height, and age. No residual trend is apparent with regard to any of the three variables.

**INTERPRETATION OF THE TERM "WEIGHT"**

In this formulation the body weight has been interpreted as a part of normal change relative to the individual body build. However, it is apparent that weight beyond a certain range may be interpreted as obesity, and it is possible to introduce an idea of a standard
weight, presumably as a function of height and age. Thus, cardiac enlargement due to obesity is considered abnormal and the formula for deriving standard heart size, can be modified by substituting standard weight value for actual weight.

For such modification, the height-specific mean value of weight is shown below for the same sample without detailed discussion:

In the pound-inch system,
\[ W_s = 3.32H - 90.92 \text{ for Males} \quad 3.21H - 81.04 \text{ for Females} \]

In the kilogram-centimeter system,
\[ W_s = 0.593H - 41.234 \text{ for Males} \quad 0.573H - 36.763 \text{ for Females} \]

This formula is, of course, merely descriptive, and makes no attempt to distinguish between cardiac enlargement associated with obesity, and cardiac enlargement associated with a large frame.

**NOMOGRAPh FOR STANDARD HEART SIZE AND DEVIATION**

The nomograph devised for computing standard heart size for each sex utilizes five parallel vertical lines labeled height, weight, height plus age, age, and standard heart size. Height in centimeters and inches, age in years, and weight in kilograms and pounds are registered on the vertical scales so designated.

The first connecting line is drawn between the points registered on the individual height and age scales, thus providing an intersecting point on the height plus age scale. The second connecting line is drawn from the point registered for weight through the point registered on the height plus age scale and extended to intersect the vertical scale labeled standard heart size.

The nomograph for computing per cent deviation from standard heart size utilizes an "N" shaped diagram composed of three scales labeled observed heart size, per cent deviation, and standard heart size.
The observed heart size and the previously computed standard heart size are registered on the appropriate scales. The line drawn between these two points intersects the oblique line to provide registration of per cent deviation from standard heart size.

This nomograph procedure is illustrated in Figure 5.

**HEART SIZE RELATED TO HYPERTENSION AND HEART DISEASE**

The use of heart size data is illustrated in Figure 6 where the range of cardiac enlargement is related to hypertension and overt heart disease.

The association of cardiac enlargement with hypertension and heart disease is found in subjects of all ages, as shown in Table 3, and prevalence appears to become greater with
advancing age

Table 3: Prevalence of Hypertension and Heart Disease by Relative Heart Size, Age, and Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>PREVALENCE OF HYPERTENSION</th>
<th>PREVALENCE OF HEART DISEASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RELATIVE HEART SIZE</td>
<td>RELATIVE HEART SIZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>5-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALE</td>
<td>18-21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28-41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMALE</td>
<td>18-21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-41</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Blood pressure higher than 140/90.

Figure 7 shows the relationship of heart size and blood pressure for subjects with and without overt heart disease.

For subjects without heart disease, heart size increases linearly with blood pressure. However, for subjects with overt heart disease the points are located above the straight line in Figure 7, indicating there are at least two factors associated with heart enlargement: one, high blood pressure; another something specific to overt heart disease.

Comparison with Other Data

Heart sizes reported for various populations were compared, although differences in deriving the standards do not allow precise comparison.

Table 4 appears to suggest larger heart sizes in normal Japanese than in the United
SUMMARY

Utilizing the information obtained from the detailed clinical examinations of subjects seen at the Atomic Bomb Casualty Commission, standard heart size determinations in the Hiroshima population are provided. A formula has been devised to express the normal transverse cardiac diameter, obtained from the routine posteroanterior chest roentgenogram, in relation to weight, height, and age. The analysis was made for each sex separately. Values computed by using this formula are regarded as standard heart size. Deviations from the standard are interpreted as individual variability in the normal group, with a standard deviation of 9 mm. When this standard was applied to abnormal subjects, a high correlation between the relative heart size and the blood pressure or the presence of overt heart disease was evident. For this reason, the relative heart size for this standard should prove useful, together with other related factors, in the diagnosis and investigation of cardiovascular disease.

A nomograph has been devised for practical use. A copy of this nomograph is available from the Editorial Department, ABCC, Hiroshima.
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