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Hiroyuki Yamane

Introduction.

0.1. In [2], Drinfeld introduced the notion of quasi-triangular Hopf-algebras from the point of view of the quantum inverse scattering method.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a (topological) Hopf algebra with coproduct $\Delta$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \sum_{i} a_i \otimes b_i \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ be an invertible element. We say that $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta, \mathcal{R})$ is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra if it satisfies the following properties:

$$\overline{\Delta}(x) = \mathcal{R} \cdot \Delta(x) \cdot \mathcal{R}^{-1} \quad (x \in \mathcal{H}),$$

$$(\Delta \otimes 1)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}_{13} \otimes 23, \quad (1 \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}_{13} \otimes 12,$$

where $\overline{\Delta} = \tau \cdot \Delta$, $\tau(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$ and $\mathcal{R}_{12} = \sum_{i} a_i \otimes b_i \otimes 1$

$\mathcal{R}_{13} = \sum_{i} a_i \otimes 1 \otimes b_i$, $\mathcal{R}_{23} = \sum_{i} 1 \otimes a_i \otimes b_i$.

The above $\mathcal{R}$ is called the universal $R$-matrix of $\mathcal{H}$. The importance of $\mathcal{R}$ lies in the fact that it satisfies the
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Yang-Baxter equation:

\[ R_{12} R_{13} R_{23} = R_{23} R_{13} R_{12}. \]

0.2. Drinfeld [2] (and Jimbo [3]) introduced a family of quasi-triangular Hopf algebras \( U_h(G) \) associated to complex simple Lie algebras \( G \). The Hopf algebras \( U_h(G) \) are called quantum groups or quantized enveloping algebras. Moreover Drinfeld [2] gave a method of constructing the universal \( R \)-matrix of \( U_h(G) \), which is called the quantum double construction. Several authors gave explicit formulas for the universal \( R \)-matrices of the Hopf algebras \( U_h(G) \) by using the quantum double construction. See [6],[8],[13].

In this paper, we introduce a new family of quasi-triangular Hopf algebras \( U_h^\sigma = U_h(\mathcal{G})^\sigma \) associated to complex simple Lie superalgebras \( \mathcal{G} \) of types \( A - G \). We shall also give explicit formulas for the universal \( R \)-matrices \( R \) of the Hopf algebras \( U_h^\sigma \) by using the quantum double construction.

0.3. Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a complex Lie superalgebra of type \( A - G \) and \( U(\mathcal{G}) \) the enveloping superalgebra of \( \mathcal{G} \). Let \( (\Phi, \Pi, p) \) be a root system of \( \mathcal{G} \), i.e., \( \Phi, \Pi = \{ \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \} \) and \( p : \Pi \rightarrow \{0,1\} \) are the set of roots, the set of simple roots and the parity function respectively. In this paper, we assume that \( (\Phi, \Pi, p) \) is of distinguished type (see [4]) if \( \mathcal{G} \) is of type \( F_4 \) or \( G_3 \). For each such \( (\Phi, \Pi, p) \), we introduce an \( h \)-adic topologically free \( \mathbb{C}[[h]] \)-Hopf superalgebra \( U_h = U_h(\mathcal{G}) = U_h(\Pi, p) \) such that
$U_h/hU_h$ is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as an $\mathbb{C}$-Hopf superalgebra. The Hopf superalgebra structure of $U_h(\Pi,p)$ depends on the choice of $(\Phi,\Pi,p)$ . (Note that two root systems of a simple Lie superalgebra are not necessarily isomorphic.)

0.4. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ be any Hopf superalgebra. Let $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be an involution defined by $\sigma(x) = (-1)^{\bar{x}}x$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1$. In the end of §1, we remark that $\mathfrak{g}^\sigma = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \langle \sigma \rangle (\mathfrak{z} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \sigma)$ has a Hopf algebra structure.

In this paper, we give an explicit formula for the universal R-matrix $\mathcal{R}$ of $U_h^\sigma$ by using the quantum double construction. In particular, we can show that $U_h^\sigma$ is quasi-triangular. As in "non-super" cases, our $\mathcal{R}$ is described in terms of q-root vectors and the q-exponential.

0.5. Here we explain the content of this paper in more detail. In §1, we explain the quantum double construction applied to $h$-adic topological $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$-Hopf algebras. In §2, for any pair $(\Pi,p)$ of a set of simple roots $\Pi$ and a parity function $p$ of any symmetrizable Kac-Moody type Lie superalgebra, we define an $h$-adic topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[h]]$-Hopf superalgebra $U_h(\Pi,p)$. We also show that, if $p(\alpha) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Pi$, then $U_h(\Pi,p)$ coincides with Drinfeld-Jimbo quantized enveloping algebra $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ defined for the Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with simple roots $\Pi$.

In §3-10, for the pair $(\Pi,p)$ satisfying the assumption in 0.3, we give the defining relations of $U_h(\Pi,p)$ and show $U_h(\Pi,p)/hU_h(\Pi,p) = U(\mathfrak{g})$. We remark that the defining relations are not just q-analogue of Serre relations; additional relations...
are also needed. In the end of §10, we give an explicit formula
for the universal $R$-matrix $\mathbb{R}$ of $U_h(\Pi, p)^\sigma$.

In doing these, our basic references are Lusztig's papers
[9] and [10]. By imitating the definition of $q$-root vectors
of $U_h(G)$ in [9] and [10], we introduce $q$-root vectors of
$U_h(\Pi, p)$.

The main result of this paper has been announced in [17].

§1. Quantum double construction

1.1. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[[h]]$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra of formal power
series. We explain briefly elementary facts concerning the
$h$-adic topological $R$-modules. For details, see [12].

Let $V$ be an $R$-module. Let $\nu_V : V \to \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ be the
$h$-valuation defined as follows; if $v \in h^i V \setminus h^{i+1} V$, put $\nu(v) = i$, and, if $v \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} h^i V$, put $\nu(v) = +\infty$. We can
regard $V$ as a topological space such that a fundamental system
of neighborhoods of $v \in V$ is given by $v + h^i V$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$).
This topology is called the $h$-adic topology. For $v, w \in V$, we put

$$d_V(v, w) = 2^{-\nu(v-w)}.$$

Then $d_V(, , )$ is a quasi-metric for the topological space $V$.
For a subset $S$ of $V$, the symbol $\overline{S}$ denotes the closure of
$S$. Note that any $R$-module homomorphism is continuous with
respect to the h-adic topology.

If any Cauchy sequence (with respect to \( d_V(\ ,\ ) \)) has a limit, then \( V \) is called complete. If \( (0) = (0) \), then \( V \) is called separated. If \( V \) is separated, then \( d_V(\ ,\ ) \) is a metric on \( V \). Note that, for a submodule \( W \), the quotient topology of \( V/W \) coincides with the h-adic topology of it. If \( V \) is complete, then \( V/W \) is also complete. If \( V \) is separated and \( W \) is closed, then \( V/W \) is separated.

It is well known that, for any h-adic topological \( R \)-module \( V \), there exists a pair \((\hat{V}, i)\) of an h-adic topological \( R \)-module \( \hat{V} \) and an \( R \)-homomorphism \( i : V \to \hat{V} \) satisfying: For any h-adic topological complete separated \( R \)-module \( W \), and, for any \( R \)-homomorphism \( \varphi : V \to W \), there exists uniquely an \( R \)-homomorphism \( \hat{\varphi} : \hat{V} \to W \) such that \( \varphi \circ i = \hat{\varphi} \). We note that \( \hat{V} \) is complete and that \( i(V) \) is dense in \( \hat{V} \). It is also well known that, if \( V \) is separated, then \( i \) is injective and the induced topology of \( V (\subset \hat{V}) \) coincides with the h-adic topology of \( V \).

If a complete separated h-adic \( R \)-module \( V \) has a submodule \( W \) such that \( W \) is a free \( R \)-module and \( V \) is the completion of \( W \), then we say that \( V \) is topologically free. A basis of \( W \) is called a topological basis of \( V \).

Example 1.1.1. Let \( V_0 \) be a \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector space and \( V = \mathbb{C} \otimes V_0 \). Let \( \hat{V} \) be the completion of \( V \). Then we have the following natural identifications:
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\[ V = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i a_i \mid a_i \in V_0 \right\}, \]

\[ V = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_ia_i \mid a_i \in V_0 \quad \dim \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C a_i \right) < \infty \right\} \]

where \( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_ia_i \) is a formal infinite sum.

Definition 1.1.2. We say that an \( R \)-module \( V \) has a **handy basis** \( \{v_i\}_{i \in I} \) if (i) \( V \) is a topologically free \( R \)-module with a topological basis \( \{v_i\}_{i \in I} \), (ii) \( I \) is a partially ordered set and (iii) there is an order homomorphism \( p : I \to \mathbb{Z}_+ \) such that, for each \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), \( p^{-1}(n) \) is a finite set.

Example 1.1.3. Retaining the notation in Definition 1.1.2. We have natural identifications:

\[ \hat{V} = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i v_i \mid \alpha_i \in R , \lim_{i \to \infty} \nu(\alpha_i) = +\infty \right\}, \]

\[ V = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i v_i \mid \alpha_i \in R , \nu(\alpha_i) \not= 0 \text{ for finitely many } i \text{'s} \right\} \]

where \( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i v_i \) is a formal infinite sum.

For \( R \)-modules \( V \) and \( W \), we denote the completion of
V ⊗ W by V ⊗ W. If V and W have handy bases \((v_i)_{i \in I}\)
and \((w_j)_{j \in J}\) respectively, then \((v_i \otimes w_j)_{(i,j) \in I \times J}\) is also
a handy basis of V ⊗ W. In particular, V ⊗ W and V ⊗ W are
separated.

1.2. Let \(A = (A, m, \eta, \Delta, S, \varepsilon)\) be an h-adic topological R-Hopf
algebra. Namely, the h-adic topological R-module A has a
topological R-Hopf algebra structure with the product
\(m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A\), the unit \(\eta : R \rightarrow A\), the coproduct
\(\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A\), the antipode \(S : A \rightarrow A\) and the counit
\(\varepsilon : A \rightarrow R\). Here the definition of the h-adic topological Hopf
algebras is given by replacing \(A \otimes A\), \(A \otimes A \otimes A\) in the
definition of the Hopf algebras by their completions \(\hat{A} \otimes A\),
\(\hat{A} \otimes A \otimes A\). For the definition of the ordinary Hopf algebras,
see [1].

Define \(\tau : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A\) by \(\tau(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a\). It is well
known that \(A^{\text{op}} = (A, m, \eta, \tau \circ \Delta, S^{-1}, \varepsilon)\) is also an h-adic
topological R-Hopf algebra. We call \(A^{\text{op}}\) the opposite Hopf
algebra of A.

Let \(\mathcal{I}\) be an ideal of the R-algebra A. We say that \(\mathcal{I}\) is
a bi-ideal if \(\mathcal{I}\) satisfies: \(\Delta(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{I} \otimes A + A \otimes \mathcal{I}\) and
\(\varepsilon(\mathcal{I}) = 0\). Moreover, if \(\mathcal{I}\) satisfies \(S(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}\), we say that
\(\mathcal{I}\) is a Hopf ideal.

1.3. Let \(A = (A, m, \eta, \Delta, S, \varepsilon)\) be an h-adic topological R-Hopf
algebra. In this subsection, we assume that \( A \) has a handy basis \((a_i)_{i \in I}\). Let \( A^* = \text{Hom}_R(A, R) \) be the dual space of the \( R \)-module \( A \). Define \( a^*_i \in A^* \) \((i \in I)\) by \( a^*_i(a_j) = \delta_{ij} \). Then we have a natural identification:

\[
A^* = \{ \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i a^*_i \mid \alpha_i \in R \}
\]

where \( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i a^*_i \) is a formal infinite sum. Then \( A^* \) is a torsion free complete separated \( R \)-module.

The \( R \)-module \( A^* \) has a two-sided \( A \)-module structure defined by:

\[
a.f.b(c) = f(bca) \quad (f \in A^*, \ a, b, c \in A).
\]

Put

\[A^0 = \{ f \in A^* \mid A.f.A \text{ is a finitely generated free } R\text{-module} \}.
\]

Similarly to the case of ordinary Hopf algebras (see [1]), it can be shown easily that

\[A^0 = \{ f \in A^* \mid A.f \text{ is a finitely generated free } R\text{-module} \}
\]

= \( \{ f \in A^* \mid f.A \text{ is a finitely generated free } R\text{-module} \} \),

and that \( A^0 = (A^0, \Delta, \varepsilon, m, S, \eta) \) is a non-topological \( R \)-Hopf
algebra where $t$ denotes the transpose. We call $A^\hat{}$ the dual 
Hopf algebra of $A$. Let $\hat{A}^\hat{}$ be the completion of $A^\hat{}$. It is 
obvious that $(\hat{A}^\hat{}, \hat{t}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{t}_m, \hat{t}_S, \hat{\eta})$ is an $h$-adic $R$-Hopf algebra. 
We note that $\hat{A}^\hat{}$ (resp. $\hat{A}^\hat{} \; \hat{\otimes} \; \hat{A}^\hat{}, \hat{A}^\hat{} \; \hat{\otimes} \; \hat{A}^\hat{} \; \hat{\otimes} \; \hat{A}^\hat{}$) is naturally 
identified with the closure $A^\hat{}$ of $A^\hat{}$ (resp. 
$A^\hat{} \; \hat{\otimes} \; A^\hat{}, A^\hat{} \; \hat{\otimes} \; A^\hat{} \; \hat{\otimes} \; A^\hat{}$) in $A^*$ 
(resp. $(A \; \hat{\otimes} \; A)^*, (A \; \hat{\otimes} \; A \; \hat{\otimes} \; A)^*$). Hence we shall denote 
$(\hat{A}^\hat{}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{t}_m, \hat{t}_S, \hat{\eta})$ by $A^\hat{}$.

1.4. Let $A = (A,m,\eta,\Delta,S,\varepsilon)$ be an $h$-adic $R$-Hopf algebra. 
For $i \geq 2$, $\Delta^{(i)}$ and $m^{(i)}$ denote $(\Delta^{(i-1)} \otimes \text{id}) \Delta$ and 
m \cdot (m^{(i-1)} \otimes \text{id})$ respectively.

Let $A = (A,m_A,\eta_A,\Delta_A,S_A,\varepsilon_A)$ and $B = (B,m_B,\eta_B,\Delta_B,S_B,\varepsilon_B)$ 
be $h$-adic topological $R$-Hopf algebras. Let $\langle , \rangle : A \otimes B \rightarrow R$ 
be an $R$-bilinear form. We say that $\langle , \rangle$ is a Hopf pairing if 
$\langle , \rangle$ satisfies:

(i) $\langle a_1,a_2,\delta \rangle = \langle a_1 \otimes a_2,\Delta_B(\delta) \rangle$

(ii) $\langle a,S_B(\delta) \rangle = \langle S_A(a),\delta \rangle$,

(iii) $\langle \eta_A(1),\delta \rangle = \varepsilon_B(\delta)$, $\langle a,\eta_B(1) \rangle = \varepsilon_A(a)$,

where $a, a_i \in A$ and $\delta, \delta_i \in B$.

Define an $R$-module homomorphism $\Phi : B \; \hat{\otimes} \; A \rightarrow A \; \hat{\otimes} \; B$ by
\[ \Phi(a \otimes b) = \sum_{i,j} \langle a^{(3)}_i, \epsilon^{(1)}_j \rangle \langle S_A^{-1}(a^{(1)}_i), \epsilon^{(3)}_j \rangle \cdot a^{(2)}_i \otimes \epsilon^{(2)}_j \]

where \( \Delta^{(2)}_A(a) = \sum_i a^{(1)}_i \otimes a^{(2)}_i \otimes a^{(3)}_i \) and
\[ \Delta^{(2)}_B(\epsilon) = \sum_j \epsilon^{(1)}_j \otimes \epsilon^{(2)}_j \otimes \epsilon^{(3)}_j. \]

In the following lemma, we define an h-adic topological R-Hopf algebra \( D(A, B^{0p}) \) which is called the quantum double of \( A \) and \( B \). The notion of quantum double was introduced by Drinfeld [2].

Proposition 1.4.1. Let \( A \) and \( B \) be h-adic R-Hopf algebras with a (possibly degenerate) Hopf pairing \( \langle , \rangle : A \hat{\otimes} B \rightarrow R \). Then there exists uniquely an h-adic topological R-Hopf algebra \( D(A, B^{0p}) \)
\[ = \langle D(A, B^{0p}), m_D, \eta_D, \Delta_D, S_D, \varepsilon_D \rangle \] satisfying:

(i) As an h-adic topological R-module, \( D(A, B^{0p}) \) is isomorphic to \( A \hat{\otimes} B \),

(ii) The R-module maps \( A \rightarrow D(A, B^{0p}) \) (\( a \rightarrow a \otimes 1 \)),
\( B^{0p} \rightarrow D(A, B^{0p}) \) (\( \epsilon \rightarrow 1 \otimes \epsilon \)) are h-adic topological R-Hopf algebra homomorphisms,

(iii) The multiplication \( m_D \) is given by
\[ m_D = (m_A \hat{\otimes} m_B) \cdot (\text{id}_A \hat{\otimes} \Phi \otimes \text{id}_B). \]

Proof. Here we prove the associativity of the multiplication \( m_D \) of \( D(A, B^{0p}) \) only.

Let \( a \otimes b, c \otimes d, e \otimes f \in D(A, B^{0p}) \). Put
\[ \Delta_B^{(2)}(b) = \sum_{u} b^{(1)}_u \otimes b^{(2)}_u \otimes b^{(3)}_u , \]
\[ \Delta_A^{(2)}(c) = \sum_{v} c^{(1)}_v \otimes c^{(2)}_v \otimes c^{(3)}_v . \]

By (iii), we have:

\[(a \otimes b) \cdot (c \otimes d) \]
\[= \sum_{u, v} \langle S_A^{-1}(c^{(1)}_v), b^{(3)}_u \rangle \langle c^{(3)}_v, b^{(1)}_u \rangle a c^{(2)}_v \otimes b^{(2)}_u d . \]

Put

\[ \Delta_B^{(2)}(b) = \sum_{\xi} b^{(1)}_\xi \otimes b^{(2)}_\xi \otimes b^{(3)}_\xi \otimes b^{(4)}_\xi \otimes b^{(5)}_\xi , \]
\[ \Delta_B^{(2)}(d) = \sum_{w} d^{(1)}_w \otimes d^{(2)}_w \otimes d^{(3)}_w , \]
\[ \Delta_A^{(2)}(e) = \sum_{x} e^{(1)}_x \otimes e^{(2)}_x \otimes e^{(3)}_x . \]

By (iii), we have:

\[ ((a \otimes b) \cdot (c \otimes d)) \cdot (e \otimes f) \]
\[(1.4.2) = \sum_{v, w, x, \xi} \langle S_A^{-1}(c^{(1)}_v), b^{(5)}_\xi \rangle \langle c^{(3)}_v, b^{(1)}_\xi \rangle \langle S_A^{-1}(e^{(1)}_x), b^{(4)}_\xi d^{(3)}_w \rangle \langle e^{(3)}_x, b^{(2)}_\xi d^{(1)}_w \rangle a c^{(2)}_v e^{(2)}_x \otimes b^{(3)}_\xi d^{(2)}_w f . \]

Putting

\[ \Delta_A^{(4)}(e) = \sum_{\xi} e^{(1)}_\xi \otimes e^{(2)}_\xi \otimes e^{(3)}_\xi \otimes e^{(4)}_\xi \otimes e^{(5)}_\xi , \]
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we have that (1.4.2) is equal to

\begin{equation}
\sum_{\nu, w, x, \xi, \xi} \langle S_A^{-1}(c^{(1)}_v), b^{(5)}_\xi \rangle \langle c^{(3)}_v, b^{(1)}_w \rangle \\
\langle S_A^{-1}(e^{(1)}_\xi), d^{(3)}_w \rangle \langle S_A^{-1}(e^{(2)}_\xi), b^{(4)}_\xi \rangle \langle e^{(4)}_x, b^{(2)}_\xi \rangle \langle e^{(5)}_x, d^{(1)}_w \rangle \\
a c^{(2)}_v e^{(2)}_\xi \otimes b^{(3)}_\xi d^{(2)}_w f.
\end{equation}

Similarly, we can show that \((a \otimes c) \cdot (b \otimes c) \cdot (d \otimes f)\) is equal to (1.4.3). Hence, we have:

\[(a \otimes c) \cdot (b \otimes c) \cdot (d \otimes f) = (a \otimes c) \cdot ((b \otimes c) \cdot (d \otimes f)).\]

1.5. Let \(V\) be a complete and separated \(R\)-module. Here, we define the notion the convergence of a multi-series in \(V\).

Let \((a_{i_1 \ldots i_u})_{i_1, \ldots, i_u} \in Z_+\) be a multi-sequence in \(V\). If there exists an element \(\alpha \in V\) such that, for any \(M \in Z_+\), there exists \(N \in Z_+\) satisfying that \(\nu(\alpha - a_{i_1 \ldots i_u}) > M\) for all \(i_1, \ldots, i_u > N\), then we say that \((a_{i_1 \ldots i_u})\) converge to \(\alpha\) as a multi-sequence. The element \(\alpha\) is denoted by

\[\lim_{i_1 \ldots i_u} \alpha_{i_1 \ldots i_u}.\]

The uniqueness of \(\alpha\) follows from the separatedness of \(V\). The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 1.5.1. Let \(V\) be a complete separated \(R\)-module. Let \((b_{i_1 \ldots i_u})_{i_1, \ldots, i_u} \in Z_+\) be a subset of \(V\). Assume that, for any \(M \in Z_+\), there exists \(N \in Z_+\) such that
\( \nu(b_{i_1 \ldots i_u}) > M \) if \( i_1 > N \) or, \ldots or \( i_u > N \). Then there exists the limit \( \beta = \lim_{i_1 \ldots i_u} \Sigma b_{i_1 \ldots i_u} \). Moreover, for any permutation \( \rho \) of \( (1,2,\ldots,u) \), it holds that

\[
\lim_{i_\rho(1)} \lim_{i_\rho(2)} \ldots \lim_{i_\rho(u)} (\lim_{i_1 \ldots i_u} (\Sigma b_{i_1 \ldots i_u})) = \beta.
\]

1.6. Let \( V \) be a complete separated \( h \)-adic topological \( R \)-module with a handy basis \( \{v_i\}_{i \in I} \). Let

\[
K = \mathbb{C}((h)) = \{ \Sigma a_i h^i \mid (n \in \mathbb{Z}) \} \text{ be the fraction field of } R = \mathbb{C}[[h]].
\]

The \( h \)-valuation \( \nu_K : K \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) is defined by putting \( \nu_K(\Sigma a_i h^i) = \min(i \mid a_i \neq 0) \). Let \( \nu^K = K \otimes V \) be the scalar extension. Then we have the following identification:

\[
\nu^K = \{ \Sigma \alpha_i v_i \mid \alpha_i \in K, \lim_{i \to +\infty} \nu(\alpha_i) = +\infty \}.
\]

The \( h \)-valuation \( \nu^K \) on \( \nu^K \) is given by putting

\[
\nu^K(\Sigma \alpha_i v_i) = \min(\nu_K(\alpha_i) \mid i \in I).
\]

Let \( A \) and \( B \) be complete separated \( h \)-adic topological \( R \)-Hopf algebras with a non-degenerate Hopf pairing \( \langle , \rangle : A \otimes B \to R \). We assume that \( A \) (resp. \( B \)) has a handy basis \( \{a_i\}_{i \in I} \) (resp. \( \{b_i\}_{i \in I} \)). Moreover we assume that

\[
\langle a_i, b_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} c_i \text{ for some } c_i \in R \setminus \{0\}.
\]

Let \( D = D(A,B^0) \) be
the quantum double.

Let $A^K$, $B^K$, $D^K$ be $h$-adic topological $K$-Hopf algebras which are obtained by the scalar extentions of $R$-modules $A$, $B$, $D$ respectively. Then $D^K \simeq A^K \hat{\otimes} B^K$ as an $h$-adic topological $K$-vector spaces. Moreover we consider $A^K$ (resp. $(B^K)^{op}$) as a topological $K$-Hopf subalgebra of $D^K$ by the embedding $A^K \to D^K$


to $a \to a \otimes 1$ (resp. $(B^K)^{op} \to D^K (\delta \to 1 \otimes \delta)$). We denote the Hopf pairing $K \otimes \langle , , \rangle : A^K \hat{\otimes} B^K \to R$ simply by $\langle , , \rangle$.

Let $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(e^{i})_{i \in I}$ be the subsets of $A^K$ and $B^K$ respectively such that $e_i \in \mathcal{K}a_i$, $e^i \in \mathcal{K}b_i$, and $\langle e_i, e^i \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. Let us define $m_{j_1 \cdots j_u}$, $\mu_{j_1 \cdots j_v}$, $\gamma_{j} \in K$ by

\[
\begin{align*}
    m^{(u-1)}_{A^K}(e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_u}) &= \sum m_{j_1 \cdots j_u} e_j, \\
    \Delta^{(v-1)}_{A^K}(e_j) &= \sum \mu_{j_1 \cdots j_v} e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_v}, \\
    S^{-1}_{A^K}(e_i) &= \sum \gamma_{j} e_{j_i}.
\end{align*}
\]

Using Hopf pairings $\langle , , \rangle$, we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \Delta^{(u-1)}_{B^K}(e_j) &= \sum m_{j_1 \cdots j_u} e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_u}, \\
    m^{(v-1)}_{B^K}(e^{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^{j_v}) &= \sum \mu_{j_1 \cdots j_v} e^{i}, \\
    S^{-1}_{B^K}(e^i) &= \sum \gamma_{j} e^j.
\end{align*}
\]

From Proposition 1.4.1, we obtain the following lemma. We
Lemma 1.6.1. In $D^K$, the following equations hold.

(i) $e_t^s e_s^t = \sum \mu_{njk}^m \gamma_{klp}^n e_{ej}^l$.

(ii) $e_s^t e_t^s = \sum \mu_{kjn}^m \gamma_{plk}^n e_{ej}^l$.

1.7. In [2], Drinfeld introduced the following construction of a universal R-matrix, which are called the quantum double construction.

Proposition 1.7.1, (the quantum double construction).

Retaining the notation in 1.6. Let $C$ be a complete separated h-adic topological $R$-algebras with 1. Let $\Omega : D \to C$ be an $R$-algebra homomorphism. Denote the scalar extension $id_K \otimes \Omega : D^K \to C^K$ by again $\Omega$. Assume that $\mathcal{R} = \sum_{i \in I} \Omega(e_i) \otimes \Omega(e_i^1)$ converges in $C^K \otimes C^K$. Then $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies:

(i) The element $\mathcal{R}$ is invertible. The inverse $\mathcal{R}^{-1}$ is given by $\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \sum_{i \in I} \Omega(S(e_i)) \otimes \Omega(e_i^1)$.

(ii) $\mathcal{R}(\Omega \otimes \Omega(\Delta(x)))\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \Omega \otimes \Omega(\tau \Delta(x))$ for all $x \in D^K$.

(iii) $\sum_{i \in I} (\Omega \otimes \Omega(\Delta \otimes \id)(e_i \otimes e_i^1)) = \mathcal{R}_{13} \mathcal{R}_{23}^{-1}$,

$\sum_{i \in I} (\Omega \otimes \Omega(\id \Delta)(e_i \otimes e_i^1)) = \mathcal{R}_{13} \mathcal{R}_{12}^{-1}$.
Proof. Note that any multi-series below satisfies the assumption in Lemma 1.5.1. In the proof, we simply write $a \otimes b$ for $(\Omega \otimes \Omega)(a \otimes b)$. For all $i \in I$, we have:

$$
\mathcal{R} \cdot \Delta(e^i) = \sum_r \mu_{rs}^i e^r \otimes e^s \\
= \sum_r \mu_{rs}^i m_{x}^{r} n_{jk}^{x} t_{p}^{x} e^x \otimes e^j = \sum_r \mu_{njk}^i m_{x}^{r} n_{jk}^{x} t_{p}^{x} e^x \otimes e^j \\
= \sum_r \mu_{jk}^i m_{x}^{r} n_{jk}^{x} t_{p}^{x} e^x \otimes e^j = (\tau \cdot \Delta(e^i)) \cdot \mathcal{R}
$$

Similarly, we have $\mathcal{R} \cdot \Delta(e^i) = \tau \cdot \Delta(e^i) \cdot \mathcal{R}$ for all $i \in I$. Since $(a_i \cdot e^j = a_i \otimes e^j \in \text{Re}_i \cdot e^j)_{(i,j) \in I \times I}$ is a topological basis of $D^K$, we obtain (1). The equations (ii), (iii) can be proved similarly.

1.8. Here, we comment on the definition of an h-adic topological algebra with generators and relations.

Let $V$ be a free $R$-module with a basis $(x^l)_{l \in L}$. Let $\mathcal{F} = R \langle x^l | l \in L \rangle$ be the tensor algebra $T(V)$ of $V$ and let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{F}$. Let $P_\lambda (\lambda \in \Lambda)$ be the elements of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. Put $\mathcal{F} = \hat{\mathcal{F}}/(\sum_{\lambda} \mathcal{F} \cdot P_\lambda \cdot \mathcal{F})$. We say that the h-adic topological $R$-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ is h-adically generated by $(x^l | l \in L)$ with the relations $(P_\lambda (\lambda \in \Lambda))$.
1.9. Let \( \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_1 \) be a (topological) \( R \)-superalgebra. For \( i \in \{0,1\} \), define \( p_i : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}_i \) by \( p_i(x_0 + x_1) = x_i \) where \( x_k \in \mathcal{F}_k \) \((k \in \{0,1\})\). Let \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) be the cyclic group of order two with a generator \( \sigma \). Let \( R\langle \sigma \rangle \) be the group ring of \( \langle \sigma \rangle \) over \( R \). We define an \( R \)-algebra structure on an \( R \)-module \( \mathcal{F}^\sigma = \mathcal{F} \otimes_R R\langle \sigma \rangle \) by

\[
(x \otimes \sigma^c)(y \otimes \sigma^d) = x(p_0(y) + (-1)^c p_1(y)) \otimes \sigma^{c+d}.
\]

We write \( x\sigma^c \) for \( x \otimes \sigma^c \). Define \( r_\sigma : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}^\sigma \) (resp. \( l_\sigma : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}^\sigma \)) by \( r_\sigma(x) = x\sigma \) (resp. \( l_\sigma(x) = x\sigma \)) \((x \in \mathcal{F})\). From the axiom of Hopf superalgebras (see [14]), we can easily show:

**Proposition 1.9.1.** Let \( \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_1, \Delta, \varepsilon, \dot{S} \) be a (topological) \( R \)-Hopf superalgebra. Then the \( R \)-algebra \( \mathcal{F}^\sigma \) has a (topological) \( R \)-Hopf algebraic structure \((\mathcal{F}^\sigma, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)\) such that

(i) The coproduct \( \Delta : \mathcal{F}^\sigma \to \mathcal{F}^\sigma \otimes \mathcal{F}^\sigma \) is defined by \( \Delta(x) = ((\id \otimes p_0 + r_\sigma \otimes p_1) \cdot \dot{\Delta})(x) \) \((x \in \mathcal{F})\) and \( \Delta(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma \),

(ii) The counit \( \varepsilon : \mathcal{F}^\sigma \to R \) is defined by \( \varepsilon(x) = \dot{\varepsilon}(x) \) \((x \in \mathcal{F})\) and \( \varepsilon(\sigma) = 1 \),

(iii) The antipode \( S : \mathcal{F}^\sigma \to \mathcal{F}^\sigma \) is defined by \( S(x) = ((p_0 + l_\sigma \cdot p_1) \cdot \dot{S})(x) \) \((x \in \mathcal{F})\) and \( S(\sigma) = \sigma \).

Conversely, we can also show:
Proposition 1.9.2. Let \( \mathfrak{g} \) be a (topological) \( R \)-superalgebra. Assume that \( \mathfrak{g}^\sigma \) has a (topological) \( R \)-Hopf algebra structure \( (\mathfrak{g}^\sigma, \Delta, \varepsilon, S) \) satisfying:

(i) \( \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_0) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1 \sigma \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1 \),
\( \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_1) \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_0 \sigma \otimes \mathfrak{g}_1 \) and \( \Delta(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma \),

(ii) \( \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}_1) = (0) \) and \( \varepsilon(\sigma) = 1 \),

(iii) \( S(\mathfrak{g}_0) \subset \mathfrak{g}_0 \), \( S(\mathfrak{g}_1) \subset \sigma \mathfrak{g}_1 \) and \( S(\sigma) = \sigma \).

Then there uniquely exists a (topological) \( R \)-Hopf superalgebra structure \( (\mathfrak{g}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{S}) \) such that \( (\mathfrak{g}^\sigma, \Delta, \varepsilon, S) \) coincides with the Hopf algebra defined in Proposition 1.9.1 for \( (\mathfrak{g}, \hat{\Delta}, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{S}) \).
§2. Quantized enveloping (super) algebras

Notation. In §2-§10, the following notation will be used:

\((\delta, \pi, p) := \) a triple of an \(N\)-dimensional \(\mathbb{C}\)-vector space \(\delta\) with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form
\((, ) : \delta \times \delta \to \mathbb{C}\), a linearly independent finite subset
\(\pi = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)\) of \(\delta\) and a function \(p : \pi \to (0, 1)\) (see 2.1)
\(P_+ := Z_+\alpha_1 \oplus Z_+\alpha_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_+\alpha_n \subset \delta\) (see 2.3)
\(D = \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n) := \) a diagonal matrix of degree \(n\) whose matrix elements are half integers (see 2.1)
\(\mathcal{H} := \delta^*\) (see 2.1)
\(H_\lambda (\lambda \in \delta) := \) the element of \(\mathcal{H}\) defined by \(\mu(H_\lambda) = (\mu, \lambda)\)
\(\mu \in \delta\) (see 2.1)
\(R := \mathbb{C}[[h]]\), the ring of formal power series in an indeterminate \(h\)
\(q := e^h \in R\) (see 2.9)
\(\mathcal{N}_+ := \) a free \(R\)-algebra with generators \((E_i | 1 \leq i \leq n)\) (see 2.1)
\(\mathcal{N}_- := \) a free \(R\)-algebra with generators \((F_i | 1 \leq i \leq n)\) (see 2.1)
\(S[\mathcal{H}^R] := \) a symmetric \(R\)-algebra generated by \(\mathcal{H}^R = \mathcal{H} \otimes R\)
(see 2.1)
\(R\langle \sigma \rangle := \) a group ring over \(R\) of a cyclic group \(\langle \sigma \rangle\) of order two (see 2.1)
\(\tilde{U}_h^{\sigma} = \tilde{U}_h^{\sigma}(\delta, \pi, p, D) := \) an \(h\)-adic \(R\)-Hopf algebra, which is, as an \(R\)-module, isomorphic to \(\mathcal{N}_+ \otimes S[\mathcal{H}^R] \otimes R\langle \sigma \rangle \otimes \mathcal{N}_-\) (see Lemma 2.1.4)

\(R^* := \mathbb{C}[[\sqrt{h}]]\) (see 2.2)
$\bar{N}_+ := N_+ \otimes R^-$ (see 2.2)
$S[R^-] := S[R] \otimes R^-$ (see 2.2)
$R^\langle \sigma \rangle := R\langle \sigma \rangle \otimes R^-$ (see 2.2)

\[ \bar{U}_h^{-\sigma} := \bar{U}_h^{-\sigma}(\delta, \pi, p) := \text{an } \sqrt{h}\text{-adic } R^-\text{-Hopf algebra, which is}
\]
as an $R^-$-module, isomorphic to $N_+ \otimes S[R^-] \otimes R^\langle \sigma \rangle$; We put
\[ E_i^- = E_i \otimes 1 \otimes 1, \quad \sigma^- = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \sigma, \quad H^- = 1 \otimes H \otimes 1 \quad (H \in \mathbb{H}) \] usually we identify $E_i^-$ and $\sigma^-$ with $E_i$ and $\sigma$ (but not $H^-$ with $H$) (see 2.2)

$E_i^-, H^-\lambda^-, \sigma^- := \text{elements of } (\bar{U}_h^{-\sigma})^\mathbb{O}$ (see (2.3.1-3))

\[ \langle, \rangle : \bar{U}_h^{-\sigma} \times \bar{U}_h^{-\sigma} \rightarrow R^- := \text{a Hopf paring (see 2.4)} \]

\[ \mathcal{D}^- := D(\bar{U}_h^{-\sigma}, (\bar{U}_h^{-\sigma})^\text{op}) \] the quantum double defined with respect to $\langle, \rangle$ (see 2.5)

Since, as $R^-$-modules, \[ \mathcal{D}^- \cong \bar{U}_h^{-\sigma} \otimes \bar{U}_h^{-\sigma}, \] we write $X \in \mathcal{D}^-$ for $X \otimes 1$ and $X^\circ \in \mathcal{D}^-$ for $1 \otimes X$ where $X \in \bar{U}_h^{-\sigma}$ (see 2.5)

$\mathcal{D}^- := \text{D}(\bar{U}_h^{-\sigma}, (\bar{U}_h^{-\sigma})^\text{op})$ (see 2.6)

$\mathcal{D}^- := \text{D}(\bar{U}_h^{-\sigma}, (\bar{U}_h^{-\sigma})^\text{op})$ (see 2.7)

$\text{I}^-_{\mathcal{D}^-} := \text{Ker } \langle, \rangle$ (see 2.6)

$\bar{U}_h^{-\sigma}/\text{I}^-_{\mathcal{D}^-}$ (see 2.7)

$I_{\mathcal{D}^-} := \text{Ker } \langle, \rangle | N_+ \times N_+$ (see 2.6)

$N_+ := \bar{N}_+ / I_+$ (see 2.7)

$\mathcal{D}^- := D(\bar{U}_h^{-\sigma}, (\bar{U}_h^{-\sigma})^\text{op})$ the quantum double defined with respect to $\langle, \rangle$ (see 2.8)

Since, as $R^-$-modules, \[ \mathcal{D}^- \cong \bar{U}_h^{-\sigma} \otimes \bar{U}_h^{-\sigma}, \] we write $X \in \mathcal{D}^-$ for $X \otimes 1$ and $X^\circ \in \mathcal{D}^-$ for $1 \otimes X$ where $X \in \bar{U}_h^{-\sigma}$ (see 2.5)

$N_+ := \text{a unital } R\text{-subalgebra of } N_+ \text{ such that } N^-_+ = N_+ \oplus \sqrt{h}N_+$ (see Lemma 2.9.1)

$I_+ := I_+ \cap \bar{N}_+ ; I^-_+ = I_+ \oplus \sqrt{h}I_+, N_+ = \bar{N}_+ / I_+$ (see Lemma 2.9.1)
$U^\sigma_h = U^\sigma_h((\mathfrak{g},\Pi,p),D)$ := a topologically free $R$-Hopf algebra (see Theorem 2.9.4); if $\Pi$ is a set of a simple roots of a Kac-Moody Lie algebra $G$ (resp. a simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ in 3.1) and $p(\alpha_i) = 0$ for all $\alpha_i \in \Pi$, then, as a $C$-Hopf algebra, $U^\sigma_h/hU^\sigma_h \simeq U(G)^\sigma$ (resp. $U^\sigma_h/hU^\sigma_h \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})^\sigma$) (see Theorem 2.10.1 (resp. Theorem 10.5.1))

$\mathcal{J}_+ :=$ an ideal of $\bar{\mathcal{N}}_+$ generated by q-Serre relations and additional relations (see Definition 4.2)

$\mathcal{N}_+ := \mathcal{N}_+ / \mathcal{J}_+$ (see 4.3)

$\mathcal{J}_{6,+} :=$ an ideal of $\bar{U}^{-\sigma}_{h\sqrt{6}}$ generated by elements of $\mathcal{J}_+$ (see 4.3)

$\bar{U}^{-\sigma}_{h\sqrt{6}} := \bar{U}^{-\sigma}_{h\sqrt{6}} / \mathcal{J}_{6,+}$ (see 4.3)

In fact, it will be shown that $\mathcal{J}_+ = I_+, \mathcal{J}_{6,+} = I_{6,+}, \bar{U}^{-\sigma}_{h\sqrt{6}} = \bar{U}^{-\sigma}_{h\sqrt{6}}$ (see Proposition 10.4.1)

$\mathcal{N}_{+,\nu} :=$ a weight space of $\mathcal{N}_+$ of a weight $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_+$ (see 4.4)

2.1. In § 2, we construct quantized enveloping algebras associated with generalized symmetric Cartan matrices of Kac-Moody type Lie superalgebras.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an $N$-dimensional complex linear space with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(,): \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$. Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ be a finite linearly independent subset of $\mathfrak{g}$. 
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We call a function \( p : \pi \to \{0,1\} \) the parity function. We call \((\delta, \pi, p)\) a triple system. Let \( d_i \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \) \((1 \leq i \leq n)\). Define the diagonal matrix \( D \) by \( \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \). Put \( \mathcal{K} = \delta^* \). For \( \lambda \in \delta \), let us define \( H_\lambda \in \mathcal{K} \) by \( \mu(H_\lambda) = (\mu, \lambda) \) for all \( \mu \in \delta \).

Let \( \hat{U}_h^\sigma = \hat{U}_h^\sigma((\delta, \pi, p), D) \) be an \( h \)-adic topological \( R \)-algebra \( h \)-adically defined with generators \( E_1, F_1 \) \((1 \leq i \leq n)\), \( H \in \mathcal{K} \), \( \sigma \) and relations: (Here \([X,Y] \) denotes \( XY - YX \).)

\[
(2.1.1) \quad \sigma^2 = 1, \quad \sigma H = H \quad (H \in \mathcal{K}), \quad \sigma E_i \sigma = (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)} E_i, \quad \sigma F_i \sigma = (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)} F_i,
\]

\[
(2.1.2) \quad [H_1, H_2] = 0 \quad (H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{K}),
\]

\[
[H, E_i] = \alpha_i(H) E_i, \quad [H, F_i] = -\alpha_i(H) F_i \quad (H \in \mathcal{K}),
\]

\[
(2.1.3) \quad E_i F_j - (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)p(\alpha_j)} F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{sh(h H_{\alpha_i})}{sh(h d_i)}.
\]

Similarly to [15], we have:

**Lemma 2.1.4.** (the triangular decomposition of \( \hat{U}_h^\sigma \)) Let \( \hat{N}_+ \), (resp. \( \hat{U}(\mathcal{K}^R) \), \( R<\sigma> \) or \( \hat{N}_- \)) be the unital \( R \)-subalgebra of \( \hat{U}_h^\sigma \) algebraically generated by the elements \( (E_1, \ldots, E_n) \) (resp. \( (H_1, \ldots, H_n) \), \( (\sigma) \) or \( (F_1, \ldots, F_n) \)). Then \( \hat{N}_+ \) (resp. \( \hat{N}_- \)) is isomorphic to the free algebra \( R<\sigma > \) (resp. \( R<\sigma > \)) \( (E_1, \ldots, E_n) \). The algebra \( \hat{U}(\mathcal{K}^R) \) is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra \( S[\mathcal{K}^R] \) of the \( R \)-module \( \mathcal{K}^R = R \otimes \mathcal{K} \). The
R-module $R<\sigma>$ is isomorphic to $R\sigma \otimes R$. Moreover we have an isomorphism of h-adic topological $R$-modules:

$$\hat{\mathbb{N}}_+ \otimes S[\mathbb{R}] \otimes R<\sigma> \otimes \hat{\mathbb{N}}_- \to \hat{U}_h^\sigma (X \otimes Z \otimes \sigma^c \otimes Y \to X \cdot Z \cdot \sigma^c \cdot Y)$$

(c = 0, 1).

Proof. Let $R<x_1, \ldots, x_n>$ and $R<y_1, \ldots, y_n>$ be the tensor algebras of the free $R$-modules with bases $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ respectively. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_N$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{m}$. Put $V = \hat{\mathbb{N}}_+ \otimes S[\mathbb{R}] \otimes R<\sigma> \otimes \hat{\mathbb{N}}_-$. Note that the topological basis $(x_{i_1} \otimes z_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes z_{j_v})$ is a handy basis with $I = ((i_1, \ldots, i_u, a_1, \ldots, a_N, c, j_1, \ldots, j_v))$ and $p((i_1, \ldots, i_u, a_1, \ldots, a_N, c, j_1, \ldots, j_v))$

$$= i_1 + \ldots + i_u + a_1 + \ldots + a_N + c + j_1 + \ldots + j_v.$$  We can define a $\hat{U}_h^\sigma$-module structure on $V$ by the following formulas: (Here $p(i)$ denotes $p(\alpha_i)$.)

$$F_1 \cdot x_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{i_u} \otimes z_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes z_{j_v}$$

$$= \Sigma_{s=1}^n \delta_{i_s, i_1} (-1)^{p(i)} \cdot p(i_1) + \ldots + p(i_{s-1})$$

$$\cdot (h(H_{\alpha_i} - (\alpha_{i_1} + \ldots + \alpha_{i_s}) (H_{\alpha_i})))$$

$$x_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{i_u} \otimes z_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes z_{j_v}$$

$$\cdot \sigma^c \otimes y_{j_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes y_{j_v}$$

$$= (-1)^{p(i)} (p(i_1) + \ldots + p(i_u) + c)$$

$$x_{i_1} \otimes (z_1 + \alpha_i (z_1)) \otimes \ldots \otimes (z_N + \alpha_i (z_N))$$

$$a_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{i_u} \otimes \sigma^c \otimes y_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes y_{i_v}$$

$$= - 23 -$$
(1 \leq i \leq n),

\[ H \cdot x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_u} \cdot z_{a_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot z_{a_N} \cdot \sigma^c \cdot y_{j_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot y_{j_v} \]
\[ = x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_u} \cdot (H + (\alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_u}) H) \cdot z_{a_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot z_{a_N} \]
\[ \cdot \sigma^c \cdot y_{j_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot y_{j_v} \quad (H \in \mathcal{H}), \]

\[ \sigma \cdot x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_u} \cdot z_{a_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot z_{a_N} \cdot \sigma^c \cdot y_{j_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot y_{j_v} \]
\[ = (-1)^{p(i_1) + \cdots + p(i_u)} x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_u} \cdot z_{a_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot z_{a_N} \cdot \sigma^{c+1} \cdot y_{j_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot y_{j_v}, \]

\[ E_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot x_{i_u} \cdot z_{a_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot z_{a_N} \cdot \sigma^c \cdot y_{j_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot y_{j_v} \]
\[ = x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_u} \cdot z_{a_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot z_{a_N} \cdot \sigma^c \cdot y_{j_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot y_{j_v} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n). \]

On the other hand, by using (2.1.1) - (2.1.3), we can show that \( U_h^\sigma \) is generated by the elements

\[ E_{i_1} \cdots E_{i_u} \cdot z_{a_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot z_{a_N \cdot \sigma^c} \cdot F_{j_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot F_{j_v} \quad (a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \ c \in (0,1)) \]

as an h-adic topological \( R \)-module.

Hence we see that the \( R \)-module homomorphism \( U_h^\sigma \to V \) \((x \to x.1_v)\) is isomorphism. This completes the proof.
Defining the coproduct \( \Delta \), the antipode \( S \) and the counit \( \varepsilon \) by

\[
\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + \exp(hH_{\alpha_i}) \cdot \sigma^{p(\alpha_i)} \otimes E_i,
\]

\[
\Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes \exp(-hH_{\alpha_i}) + \sigma^{p(\alpha_i)} \otimes F_i,
\]

\[
\Delta(H) = H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H \quad (H \in \mathcal{H}), \quad \Delta(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma,
\]

\[
S(E_i) = -\exp(-hH_{\alpha_i}) \cdot \sigma^{p(\alpha_i)} E_i, \quad S(F_i) = -F_i \exp(hH_{\alpha_i}) \sigma^{p(\alpha_i)},
\]

\[
S(H) = -H \quad (H \in \mathcal{H}), \quad S(\sigma) = \sigma,
\]

\[
\varepsilon(E_i) = \varepsilon(F_i) = \varepsilon(H) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(\sigma) = 1,
\]

the algebra \( \mathcal{U}_h^\sigma \) becomes an Hopf algebra.

2.2. Let \( (\delta, \pi = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), p) \) be a triple system. Put

\[
R^- = \mathbb{C}[[\sqrt{h}]].
\]

Then \( R^- = R \otimes \sqrt{h}R \). Let

\[
\mathcal{U}_{\sqrt{h}}^{-\delta, \pi} = \mathcal{U}_{\sqrt{h}}^{-\delta, \pi} (\delta, \pi, p)
\]

be a \( \sqrt{h} \)-adic topological \( R \)-algebra with generators

\( E_i \) \( (1 \leq i \leq n) \), \( H^\cdot \in \mathcal{H} \), \( \sigma \) and relations: (in \( \mathcal{U}_{\sqrt{h}}^{-\delta, \pi} \), we write \( H^- \) for \( H \in \mathcal{H} \)).

(2.2.1) \( \sigma^2 = 1 \), \( \sigma H^\cdot \sigma = H^\cdot \quad (H^\cdot \in \mathcal{H}) \), \( \sigma E_i \sigma = (-1)^{p(\alpha_i)} E_i \),

(2.2.2) \( [H_1^\cdot, H_2^\cdot] = 0 \quad (H_1^\cdot, H_2^\cdot \in \mathcal{H}) \),

(2.2.3) \( [H^\cdot, E_i] = \sqrt{h} \alpha_i (H^\cdot) E_i \quad (H^\cdot \in \mathcal{H}) \).
A topological Hopf algebra structure of $\hat{U}^{\sigma, \sigma}_{h^+}$ introduced by defining the coproduct $\Delta^\sigma$, the antipode $S^\sigma$ and the counit $\varepsilon^\sigma$ defined as follows: (Here $H^\sigma$ denotes an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{H}$.)

(2.2.4) $\Delta^\sigma(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + \exp(\sqrt{h}H_{\alpha_i}^\sigma) \cdot p(\alpha_i) \otimes E_i$,

$\Delta^\sigma(H^\sigma) = H^\sigma \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H^\sigma (H^\sigma \in \mathcal{H})$,

$\Delta^\sigma(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma$,

(2.2.5) $S^\sigma(E_i) = -\exp(-\sqrt{h}H_{\alpha_i}^\sigma) \cdot p(\alpha_i) \otimes E_i$

$S^\sigma(H^\sigma) = -H^\sigma (H^\sigma \in \mathcal{H})$,

$S^\sigma(\sigma) = \sigma$,

(2.2.6) $\varepsilon^\sigma(E_i) = \varepsilon^\sigma(F_i) = \varepsilon^\sigma(H^\sigma) = 0$, $\varepsilon^\sigma(\sigma) = 1$.

Let $\tilde{\Omega}^\sigma_+: \hat{U}^{\sigma, \sigma}_{h^+} \to R^\sigma \otimes \hat{U}^{\sigma}_{h^+}$ be a continuous $R^\sigma$-algebra homomorphism defined by putting $\tilde{\Omega}^\sigma_+(E_i) = E_i$, $\tilde{\Omega}^\sigma_+(H^\sigma) = \sqrt{h} H^\sigma (H^\sigma \in \mathcal{H})$, $\tilde{\Omega}^\sigma_+(\sigma) = \sigma$. Then $\tilde{\Omega}^\sigma_+$ is an $h$-adic topological Hopf algebra homomorphism. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2.1.4, we have:

Lemma 2.2.7. (i) $\tilde{\Omega}^\sigma_+$ is injective. (ii) Put $N^\sigma_+ = R^\sigma \otimes \hat{N}^\sigma_+ = R^\sigma \langle E_1, \ldots, E_n \rangle$, $S[\mathcal{H}^R] = R^\sigma \otimes S[\mathcal{H}^R]$ and $R^\sigma \langle \sigma \rangle = R^\sigma \otimes R\langle \sigma \rangle$. Then we have an isomorphism of $\sqrt{h}$-adic topological $R^\sigma$-modules:

$$\hat{N}^\sigma_+ \otimes S[\mathcal{H}^R] \otimes R^\sigma \langle \sigma \rangle \to \hat{U}^{\sigma, \sigma}_{h^+}$$
\((X \otimes Z^- \otimes \sigma^c \to X \cdot Z^- \cdot \sigma^c \; (c = 0, 1))\).

In particular, if \(H_{1}^{-}, \ldots, H_{N}^{-}\) are \(\mathbb{C}\)-basis of \(\mathcal{M}\), the elements

\[
(2.2.8) \quad E_{i_{1}} \cdots E_{i_{u}} H_{1}^{-}^{a_{1}} \cdots H_{N}^{-}^{a_{N}} \cdot \sigma^c
\]
\((1 \leq i_{1}, \ldots, i_{u} \leq n, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, c \in \{0, 1\})\)

form a topological basis of \(\mathcal{U}^{-}\).

2.3. For \(a \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\), let \(S_{a}^{-}\) be the submodule of homogeneous elements of degree \(a\) of \(S[\mathcal{M}^{-}]\). Then \(S[\mathcal{M}^{-}] = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} S_{a}^{-}\).

Put \(P_{+} = \mathbb{Z}_{+} \alpha_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{+} \alpha_{n} \in \mathfrak{S}\). For \(\lambda \in P_{+}\), we put \(\bar{N}_{+}^{-, \lambda} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_{+}} R^{a_{1}} E_{i_{1}} \cdots E_{i_{u}}^{-} \). Then \(\bar{N}_{+}^{-} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_{+}} \bar{N}_{+}^{-, \lambda} \).

We define the elements \(H_{\lambda}^{-}\) \((\lambda \in \mathfrak{S})\), \(E_{i}^{-}\) \((1 \leq i \leq n)\), \(\sigma^c \in (\mathcal{U}^{-})^{*}\) as follows: (Here \(X\) (resp. \(Z^{-}\)) denotes a non-zero element of \(\bar{N}_{+, \mu}^{-}\) (resp. \(S[\mathcal{M}^{-}]\)) and \(c \in \{0, 1\}\).)

\[
(2.3.1) \quad H_{\lambda}^{-}(X \cdot Z^- \cdot \sigma^c) = \begin{cases} \lambda(Z^{-}) & \text{if } X = 1 \text{ and } a = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \neq 0 \text{ or } a \neq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } X = E_{i}^{-} \text{ and } Z^{-} = 1, \end{cases}
\]

\[
(2.3.2) \quad E_{i}^{-}(X \cdot Z^- \cdot \sigma^c) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu \neq \alpha_{i} \text{ or } a \neq 0, \\ (-1)^{c} & \text{if } X = Z^{-} = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \neq 0 \text{ or } a \neq 0. \end{cases}
\]

\[
(2.3.3) \quad \sigma^c(X \cdot Z^- \cdot \sigma^c) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{c} & \text{if } X = Z^{-} = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu \neq 0 \text{ or } a \neq 0. \end{cases}
\]
Lemma 2.3.4. (i) $E^o_i, H^{-o}_\lambda, \sigma^o \in (\sqrt[\hbar]{\delta^o_+})^\circ$.  

(ii) There is a topological $R'$-Hopf algebra homomorphism

$$\theta : \sqrt[\hbar]{\delta^o_+} \rightarrow (\sqrt[\hbar]{\delta^o_+})^\circ$$

such that $\theta(H^{-o}_\lambda) = H^{-o}_\lambda$, $\theta(E^o_i) = E^o_i$, $\theta(\sigma) = \sigma^o$.

Proof. (i) We show $E^o_i \in (\sqrt[\hbar]{\delta^o_+})^\circ$ only. It can be easily shown that the basis elements $x$ of (2.2.8) satisfying $x.E^o_i \neq 0$ are $1, \sigma, E_i$ and $E_i \sigma$. Hence we have

$\text{rank } (\sqrt[\hbar]{\delta^o_+}).E^o_i \leq 4$, which implies $E^o_i \in (\sqrt[\hbar]{\delta^o_+})^\circ$. Similarly, we have $H^{-o}_\lambda, \sigma^o \in (\sqrt[\hbar]{\delta^o_+})^\circ$.

(ii) First we show that $\theta$ is an algebra map. We show that the elements $E^o_i, H^{-o}_\lambda$ satisfy (2.2.3). By the definition of the coproduct $\Delta^o$ and the definitions of $E^o_i, H^{-o}_\lambda, \sigma^o$, for the basis elements $x$ of (2.2.8), we have:

$$E^o_i \cdot H^{-o}_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 
(\lambda, \mu) & \text{if } x = E_i \cdot H^{-o}_\mu \cdot \sigma^C, \\
0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}$$

$$H^{-o}_\lambda \cdot E^o_i(x) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt[\hbar](\lambda, \alpha_i) & \text{if } x = E_i \cdot \sigma^C \\
(\lambda, \mu) & \text{if } x = E_i \cdot H^{-o}_\mu \cdot \sigma, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$

Hence it follows that $H^{-o}_\lambda \cdot E^o_i = E^o_i \cdot H^{-o}_\lambda + \sqrt[\hbar](\lambda, \alpha_i)E^o_i$, which is the relation (2.2.3). Similarly, we can show that the elements $E^o_i, H^{-o}_\lambda, \sigma^o$ satisfy the relations (2.2.1-2).

Next we show that $\theta$ is a Hopf algebra map. Let $m$ denote
the multiplication of $\tilde{U}_\sqrt{h}_+^\sigma$. We are going to show that

\[(2.3.5) \quad t_m(E_1^*) = E_1^* \otimes \varepsilon + \text{exp}(\sqrt{h}_{\alpha_1^*} \cdot (\sigma^*)^p(\alpha_1) \otimes E_1^*),\]

which is the one of (2.2.4). Let $x$ and $y$ be a pair of the basis elements (2.2.8). By (2.2.1-3), we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
t_m(E_1^*)(x \otimes y) &= \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x = E_1^c \sigma^c (c = 0, 1) \text{ and } y = \sigma^d (d = 0, 1), \\
(-1)^{p(\alpha_1)} c_1 \cdots c_N \cdot \alpha_1(H_1^c) \cdot \cdots \cdot \alpha_1(H_N^c) & \text{if } x = H_1^a \cdots H_N^a \sigma^c (a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{Z}_+, c = 0, 1), y = E_1^d, \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Here we note that, for the basis elements $x$ of (2.2.8),

\[(2.3.6) \quad (H_1^c \sigma^c)^a \cdot (\sigma^*)^c^c(x) = \begin{cases} 
a! \chi(H_1^c) \cdots \chi(H_N^c) (-1)^{cd} & \text{if } x = H_1^a \cdots H_N^a \sigma^c \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Hence we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
t_m(E_1^*) &= E_1^* \otimes \varepsilon + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{1}{a!} \chi(H_1^c) \cdot (H_1^c)^a \cdot (\sigma^*)^p(\alpha_1) \otimes E_1^*, \\
in \tilde{U}_\sqrt{h}_+^\sigma \otimes \tilde{U}_\sqrt{h}_+^\sigma. \text{ The above is nothing else but (2.3.5).}
\end{align*}
\]
Similarly, we can prove that $E_i^\circ$, $H_\lambda^\circ$, $\sigma^\circ$ satisfy (2.2.4-6). This completes the proof.

2.4. We define a symmetric topological $R^-$-Hopf algebra pairing $\langle , \rangle : \hat{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}^\circ} \times \hat{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}^\circ} \to R^-$ by $\langle x, y \rangle = \Theta(x)(y)$. By (2.3.1-3) and (2.3.6), we have:

Lemma 2.4.1. Let $E_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$) be a $C$-basis of $\mathfrak{e}$ such that $(E_i, E_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Let $X \in \hat{N}_+^\circ$, $\lambda$ and $Y \in \hat{N}_+^\circ$, $\mu$. Then we have:

$$\langle X \cdot H^{-a_1 \cdots H^{-a_N \cdot \sigma^c} \cdot Y \cdot H^{-b_1 \cdots H^{-b_N \cdot \sigma^d} \cdot E_1} \rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{cd} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\delta_{a_i b_i} \cdot a_i!) \cdot \delta_{\lambda \mu} \langle X, Y \rangle .$$

2.5. Let $\mathcal{D}^- = D(\hat{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}^\circ}, (\hat{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}^\circ})^{op})$ be the quantum double defined in §1. Then $\mathcal{D}^- \simeq \hat{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}^\circ} \otimes \hat{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}^\circ}$ as $R^-$-modules. For an element $X \in \hat{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}^\circ}$, we write $X$ for $X \otimes 1$ and $X^\circ$ for $1 \otimes X$.

Lemma 2.5.1. The $h$-adic topological $R^-$-algebra $\mathcal{D}^-$ is $h$-adically defined with the generators $E_i^\circ$, $E_1^\circ$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) $H$, $H^\circ$ ($H^\circ \in \mathfrak{h}$), $\sigma$, $\sigma^\circ$ and the relations:

(2.5.2) The elements $\sigma$, $\sigma^\circ$, $H^\circ$, $H^\circ^\circ$ are mutually commutative.
\[ \sigma E_1 \sigma = (-1)^{p(\alpha_1)} E_1, \quad \sigma E_1^* \sigma = (-1)^{p(\alpha_1)} E_1^* \]
\[ \sigma^* E_1 \sigma^* = (-1)^{p(\alpha_1)} E_1^*, \quad \sigma^* E_1^* \sigma^* = (-1)^{p(\alpha_1)} E_1 \]
\[ [H^-, E_1] = \sqrt{h} \alpha_i (H^-) E_1, \quad [H^-, E_1] = -\sqrt{h} \alpha_i (H^-) E_1 \]
\[ [H^-, E_1^*] = \sqrt{h} \alpha_i (H^-) E_1^*, \quad [H^-, E_1^*] = -\sqrt{h} \alpha_i (H^-) E_1^* \]
\[ E_1 E_1^* - E_1^* E_1 = \delta_{ij} \left( \exp(\sqrt{h} \alpha_i) \sigma \right) p(\alpha_i) - \exp(\sqrt{h} \alpha_i) \sigma \]

Proof. Put \[ L_i = \exp(\sqrt{h} \alpha_i) \sigma \] and 
\[ L_i^* = \exp(\sqrt{h} \alpha_i) \sigma \]
. First we show that (2.5.5) holds in \( \mathbb{U}^- \). By Proposition 1.4.1 and (2.3.1-3), we have:

\[ E_1 E_1^* = \Phi(E_1 \Theta E_1) \]
\[ = \langle L_i^*, 1 \rangle \langle 1, S^{-1} L_1 \rangle E_1 E_1^* + \langle E_1^*, E_1 \rangle < 1, S^{-1} (L_1) > L_1 \]
\[ + \langle L_j, L_1 \rangle \langle E_j^*, S^{-1} (E_1) > L_j \]
\[ = - E_1 E_1^* + \delta_{ij} L_i^* - \delta_{ij} L_j^* \]

Similarly, we can show (2.5.2-4). On the other hand, it can be easily shown that the \( R^- \)-algebra defined by the relations (2.5.2-5) is generated by the elements

\[ E_{i_1} \cdots E_{i_u} H_{j_1}^{a_1} \cdots H_{N}^{a_N} c E_{j_1} \cdots E_{j_v} H_{j_1}^{-b_1} \cdots H_{N}^{-b_N} d \]

as an \( R^- \)-module where \( (H_1^-, \ldots, H_N^-) \) is a basis of \( \mathcal{K} \) and \( 1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_u, j_1, \ldots, j_v \leq N, \ a_1, \ldots, a_N, b_1, \ldots, b_N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), \( c, d \in (0, 1) \). Since \( \mathbb{U}^- = \mathbb{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}-\sigma} \oplus \mathbb{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}+} \), this completes the
proof.

2.6. Let $I'_\delta_+ \subset \tilde{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}^\delta^\sigma}$ (resp. $I'_\delta \subset \tilde{N}^-_+$) be the kernel of \( \langle , \rangle \) (resp. \( \langle , \rangle |_{\tilde{N}^-_+ \times \tilde{N}^-_+} \)). The following lemma is useful.

Lemma 2.6.1. If $J^-$ is an bi-ideal of $\tilde{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}^\delta^\sigma}$ such that $J^- \subset \sum_i \sum_j E_i E_j \tilde{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}^\delta^\sigma}$, then $J^- \subset I'_\delta_+ \cdot$

Proof. By (2.3.1-3), the generators $E_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$, $H (H \in \mathcal{H})$, $\sigma$ are orthogonal to $J^-$. Hence the lemma follows.

2.7. Put $U^-_{\sqrt{h}^\delta^\sigma} = \tilde{U}^-_{\sqrt{h}^\delta^\sigma} / I'_\delta_+$ and $N^-_+ = \tilde{N}^-_+ / I'_\delta_+$.

Put $I_{+^\lambda} = I_+ \cap \tilde{N}^-_+, \lambda$ and $N_{+^\lambda} = \tilde{N}^-_+ / I_{+^\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in P_+$.

Lemma 2.7.1. (i) $N_{+^\lambda}$ is a free $R^-$-module of finite rank.

\[ N^-_+ = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+} N^-_{+^\lambda}. \]

(ii) $I^\lambda_\delta = I^\lambda_+ \cdot S[R^R^-] \cdot R^- \langle \sigma \rangle$. (iii) As topological $R^-$-modules, $U^-_{\sqrt{h}^\delta^\sigma} \simeq N^-_+ \otimes S[R^R^-] \otimes R^- \langle \sigma \rangle$

\[(X \cdot Z^- \cdot \sigma^C \leftarrow X \otimes Z^- \otimes \sigma^C)\). In particular, $U^-_{\sqrt{h}^\delta^\sigma}$ is a topologically free $R^-$-Hopf algebra.

Proof. Let $c \in R^- \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in \tilde{N}^-_+, \lambda$. If $cx \in I^\lambda_+ \subset \tilde{N}^-_+, \lambda$, then $c\langle x, y \rangle = \langle cx, y \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in \tilde{N}^-_+, \lambda$. Hence $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ which
implies \( x \in I^+_\lambda \). Hence the freeness of \( N^+_\lambda \) follows.

From Lemma 2.4.1, we obtain:

\[
\langle , \rangle \simeq \langle , \rangle |_{N^+_\lambda} \otimes \tilde{N}^-_\lambda \otimes \langle , \rangle |_{S[\mathcal{H}^R]} \otimes S[\mathcal{H}^R] \otimes \langle , \rangle |_{R^-<\sigma>} \otimes R^-<\sigma>
\]

and \( \tilde{N}^- = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} \tilde{N}^-_\lambda \) (Here \( \oplus \) denotes the orthogonal direct sum).

Then we have (i) and (ii). We immediately obtain (iii) from (ii).

2.8. Let \( \mathcal{D}^- = \mathcal{D}(U^-_{\sqrt{\hbar^+}}, (U^-_{\sqrt{\hbar^+}})^{OP}) \simeq U^-_{\sqrt{\hbar^+}} \otimes (U^-_{\sqrt{\hbar^+}})^{OP} \) be the quantum double defined in §1. For an element \( X \in U^-_{\sqrt{\hbar^+}} \), we write \( X \) for \( X \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{D}^- \) and \( X^* \) for \( 1 \otimes X \in \mathcal{D}^- \). For a subset \( M \) of \( U^-_{\sqrt{\hbar^+}} \) (resp. \( U^-_{\sqrt{\hbar^+}} \)), we write \( M \) for \( \{ m \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{D}^- \ (\text{resp. } \mathcal{D}^-) \mid m \in M \} \) and \( M^* \) for \( \{ 1 \otimes m \in \mathcal{D}^- \ (\text{resp. } \mathcal{D}^-) \mid m \in M \} \).

By the definitions of the quantum doubles \( \mathcal{D}^+ \) and \( \mathcal{D}^- \) (see Proposition 1.4.1), we have:

Lemma 2.8.1. (i) As \( R^- \)-modules,

\[
(2.8.2) \quad \mathcal{D}^- \simeq \tilde{N}^- \otimes S[\mathcal{H}^R] \otimes R^-<\sigma> \otimes N^- \otimes S[\mathcal{H}^R] \otimes R^-<\sigma>,
\]

\[
(2.8.3) \quad \mathcal{D}^- \simeq N^- \otimes S[\mathcal{H}^R] \otimes R^-<\sigma> \otimes \tilde{N}^- \otimes S[\mathcal{H}^R] \otimes R^-<\sigma>.
\]
(ii) Let \( \Psi : \mathbb{D}^- \to \mathbb{D}^- \) be a natural epimorphism defined by 
\( \Psi(X \otimes Y) = X \otimes Y \) where \( X = \check{X} + I_{\delta^+}^\check{} \) and \( Y = \check{Y} + I_{\delta^+}^\check{} \). Then

\[
\ker \Psi = I_{\check{\mathbb{F}}}^\check{} \cdot S[\mathbb{R}^\check{} \cdot R^\check{} < \sigma > \bigoplus (\check{U}^-_{\mathbb{F}} \delta^+)^\check{} \cdot \check{U}^-_{\mathbb{F}} + \check{U}^-_{\mathbb{F}} \delta^+ \cdot \check{I}^\check{} \cdot S[\mathbb{R}^\check{} \cdot R^\check{} < \sigma >].
\]

2.9. Let \( N_+ \) (resp. \( \check{N}_+ \)) be the unital \( R \)-subalgebra of \( N_+ \) (resp. \( \check{N}_+ \)) generated by the elements \( E_i \) (1 \( \leq i \leq n \)). Since \( N_+ \) is free (see 2.7.1), \( N_+ \) is a free \( R \)-module. Let \( I_+ = I_+ \cap \check{N}_+ \).

For \( \lambda \in P_+ \), we put \( \check{N}_+ , \lambda = \check{N}_+ , \lambda \cap N_+ \), \( N_+ , \lambda = N_+ , \lambda \cap N_+ \) and \( I_+ , \lambda = I_+ , \lambda \cap N_+ \).

Lemma 2.9.1. (i)
\[
\check{N}_+ = N_+ \oplus \sqrt{h} N_+ , \quad I_+ = I_+ \oplus \sqrt{h} I_+ , \quad N_+ = \check{N}_+ / I_+ ,
\]
\[
\check{N}_+ , \lambda = N_+ , \lambda \oplus \sqrt{h} N_+ , \lambda , \quad I_+ , \lambda = I_+ , \lambda \oplus \sqrt{h} I_+ , \lambda ,
\]
\[
N_+ , \lambda = \check{N}_+ , \lambda / I_+ , \lambda .
\]

where \( \lambda \in P_+ \).

(ii) For \( \lambda \in P_+ \), there exists a free \( R \)-module \( L_+ , \lambda \) such that \( \check{N}_+ , \lambda = I_+ , \lambda \oplus L_+ , \lambda \).

Proof. (i) We have \( I_+ , \lambda = I_+ , \lambda \oplus \sqrt{h} I_+ , \lambda \), since \( \langle X, Y \rangle \in R \) for all \( X, Y \in \check{N}_+ \). The rests follow easily from this.
(ii) By Lemma 2.7.1, $\mathcal{N}^-,\lambda_+$ is a free $R^-$-module of finite rank. Hence, by (i), $\mathcal{N}_+,\lambda$ is a free $R$-module of finite rank. Since $\mathcal{N}_+,\lambda = \mathcal{N}_+^-,\lambda/I_+^-,\lambda$, choosing representatives $x_1,\ldots,x_u \in \mathcal{N}_+,\lambda$ such that $x_1,\ldots,x_u$ form a basis of $\mathcal{N}_+,\lambda$ (modulo $I_+,\lambda$), we have $\mathcal{N}_+,\lambda = I_+,\lambda \oplus (Rx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rx_u)$. Hence, putting $L_+,\lambda = Rx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rx_u$, the part (ii) follows.

Lemma 2.9.2. Put $K_i^\pm = \exp(\sqrt{n}H^-_{\alpha_i}), K_i^\sigma = \exp(\sqrt{n}H^\sigma_{\alpha_i}) \in D^-$ (1≤i≤n). Let $T$ (resp. $T^\sigma$) be the $R$-subalgebra of $D^-$ generated by the elements $K_i^\pm$ (resp. $K_i^\sigma$) (1≤i≤n). Let $U$ be the $R$-subalgebra of $D^-$ algebraically generated by the elements $\sigma^\pm, \sigma^\sigma, \sigma^\sigma$ and $K_i^\pm, K_i^\sigma, E_i, E_i^\sigma$ (1≤i≤n). Then

(i) $U$ is a (non-topological) $R$-Hopf subalgebra of $D^-$. 

(ii) As $R$-modules, $U \cong \mathcal{N}_+ \otimes T \otimes R<\sigma> \otimes \mathcal{N}_+^\sigma \otimes T^\sigma \otimes R<\sigma^\sigma>

\left( X^c \otimes Y^d \otimes \sigma^a \otimes \sigma^b \right) \left( X \in \mathcal{N}_+, t \in T, c \in (0, 1), Y \in \mathcal{N}_+^\sigma, \sigma^a \in T^\sigma, d \in (0, 1) \right)$. The elements

$K_1^a \cdots K_n^a \left( \text{resp. } K_1^a \cdots K_n^a \right) (l_1, \ldots, l_n \in \mathbb{Z})$ form an $R$-basis of $T$ (resp. $T^\sigma$).

Proof. (i) By (2.2.4-6) and Proposition 1.4.1, we have (i).

(ii) By (2.2.1-3), (2.5.2-5), we have

$U = \mathcal{N}_+ \cdot T \cdot R<\sigma> \cdot \mathcal{N}_+^\sigma \cdot T^\sigma \cdot R<\sigma^\sigma>$. 
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By Lemma 2.8.1 (1), we can easily show that

\[ N_+ \cdot K_1 \ldots K_n \cdot R^{<\sigma>} \cdot N_+ \cdot K_1 \ldots K_n \cdot R^{<\sigma>} \]

\[ \simeq N_+ \otimes K_1 \ldots K_n \otimes R^{<\sigma>} \otimes N_+ \otimes K_1 \ldots K_n \otimes R^{<\sigma>} \]

holds in \( D' \) for each \( l_1, \ldots, l_n, m_1, \ldots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z} \). Therefore, it is enough to show

(2.9.3) \( U \simeq \bigoplus_{l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}} N_+ \cdot K_1 \ldots K_n \cdot R^{<\sigma>} \cdot N_+ \cdot K_1 \ldots K_n \cdot R^{<\sigma>} \)

Take \( \alpha_{n+1}, \ldots, \alpha_N \in \delta \) such that \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \ldots, \alpha_N \) form a basis of \( \delta \). For \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), define \( R^- \)-module maps \( \vartheta_i : D^- \to D^- \) and \( \vartheta_i^* : D^- \to D^- \) by:

\[
\vartheta_i (X \otimes H_{\alpha_1}^{a_1} \ldots H_{\alpha_N}^{a_N} \otimes \sigma^c \otimes Y^o \otimes H_{\alpha_1}^{b_1} \ldots H_{\alpha_N}^{b_N} \otimes \sigma^d)
\]

\[
= a_i \cdot X \otimes H_{\alpha_1}^{a_1} \ldots H_{\alpha_i}^{a_i-1} \ldots H_{\alpha_N}^{a_N} \otimes \sigma^c \otimes Y^o \otimes H_{\alpha_1}^{b_1} \ldots H_{\alpha_N}^{b_N} \otimes \sigma^d,
\]

\[
\vartheta_i^* (X \otimes H_{\alpha_1}^{a_1} \ldots H_{\alpha_N}^{a_N} \otimes \sigma^c \otimes Y^o \otimes H_{\alpha_1}^{b_1} \ldots H_{\alpha_N}^{b_N} \otimes \sigma^d)
\]

\[
= b_i \cdot X \otimes H_{\alpha_1}^{a_1} \ldots H_{\alpha_i}^{a_i} \ldots H_{\alpha_N}^{a_N} \otimes \sigma^c \otimes Y^o \otimes H_{\alpha_1}^{b_1} \ldots H_{\alpha_N}^{b_N} \otimes \sigma^d
\]

where the elements

\[ X \otimes H_{\alpha_1}^{a_1} \ldots H_{\alpha_N}^{a_N} \otimes \sigma^c \otimes Y^o \otimes H_{\alpha_1}^{b_1} \ldots H_{\alpha_N}^{b_N} \otimes \sigma^d \]

are basis elements of
\( \mathcal{D}^\ast \cong N^* \otimes S[\mathbb{H}^R] \otimes R^{<\sigma>} \otimes N^* \otimes S[\mathbb{H}^R] \otimes R^{<\sigma>} \)
(see (2.8.3)). Then we have:

\[
\partial_i (X \otimes K_1 \cdots K_n \otimes \sigma \otimes Y \otimes K_1 \cdots K_n \otimes \sigma^d) = l_i \sqrt{n} \cdot (X \otimes K_1 \cdots K_n \otimes \sigma \otimes Y \otimes K_1 \cdots K_n \otimes \sigma^d),
\]

\[
\partial_i^* (X \otimes K_1 \cdots K_n \otimes \sigma \otimes Y \otimes K_1 \cdots K_n \otimes \sigma^d) = m_i \sqrt{n} \cdot (X \otimes K_1 \cdots K_n \otimes \sigma \otimes Y \otimes K_1 \cdots K_n \otimes \sigma^d).
\]

Hence (2.9.3) is the eigenspace decomposition of \( U \) with respect to \( \partial_i \) and \( \partial_i^* \).

Now we state the main theorem of \( \S 2 \). We put \( q = e^h \).

**Theorem 2.9.4.** Let \( (\mathcal{E}, \Pi = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), p) \) be a triple system and \( D = \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \) \((d_i \in \mathbb{Z}/\{0\})\). Put \( q_i = q^{d_i} \in R \). Then there exists a unique topologically free \( R \)-Hopf algebra \( \mathcal{U}^\sigma_h = \mathcal{U}^\sigma_h((\mathcal{E}, \Pi, p), D) \) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) The \( R \)-algebra \( \mathcal{U}^\sigma_h \) contains \( S[\mathbb{H}^R], R^{<\sigma>}, N^+_+, N^*_+ \) as \( R \)-subalgebras. Here \( N^*_+ \) is another algebra isomorphic to \( N^+_+ \). For \( Y \in N^+_+ \), we denote the corresponding element of \( N^*_+ \).
by $Y^\circ$. As topological $R$-modules,

$$U^\sigma_h = N_+ \otimes S[\mathcal{H}^R] \otimes R^{<\sigma>} \otimes N_+^\circ \quad (X \cdot P \cdot \sigma^C \cdot Y^\circ \rightarrow X \otimes P \otimes \sigma^C \otimes Y^\circ).$$

(ii) There is a topological $R^-$-Hopf algebra homomorphism \( \Omega^- : D^- \rightarrow U^\sigma_h \otimes R^- \) such that

\[
(2.9.5) \quad \Omega^-(E_i) = E_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \quad \Omega^-(H^-) = - \Omega^-(H^-) = \sqrt{n} H \quad (H \in \mathcal{H}).
\]

\[
\Omega^-(\sigma) = \Omega^-(\sigma^C) = \sigma, \quad \Omega^-(E_i^*) = (q_i^{-1} - q_i)E_i^* \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).
\]

Proof. Step I. Put \( E_i^* = P_i \sigma^{p(\alpha_i)} \in U^\sigma_h \) (1 \leq i \leq n). By Lemma 2.5.1, we see that there exists a topological $R^-$-Hopf algebra homomorphism \( \Omega^- : D^- \rightarrow U^\sigma_h \otimes R^- \) satisfying the conditions (2.9.5). Let \( N_+^\circ \) be the unital subalgebra of \( U^\sigma_h \) algebraically generated by the elements \( E_i^* \) (1 \leq i \leq n). By Lemma 2.1.4, it is clear that

\[
(2.9.6) \quad U^\sigma_h = N_+ \otimes S[\mathcal{H}^R] \otimes R^{<\sigma>} \otimes N_+^\circ.
\]

Step II. We construct \( U^\sigma_h \) as a quotient of \( U^\sigma_h \). Set \( J_1 = 1_+ \cdot S[\mathcal{H}^R] \cdot R^{<\sigma>} \cdot N_+^\circ \) and \( J_2 = N_+ \cdot S[\mathcal{H}^R] \cdot R^{<\sigma>} \cdot I_+^\circ \) where \( I_+^\circ = \{ Y^\circ \mid Y \in I_+ \} \). We claim:

\[
(2.9.7) \quad J_1 \text{ and } J_2 \text{ are Hopf ideals of } U^\sigma_h.
\]
Assume this fact for a moment. We define the Hopf algebra $U_h^\sigma$ by \( U_h^\sigma / (J_1 + J_2) \). By Lemma 2.8.1, there exists an $R^-$-Hopf algebra homomorphism $\Omega^- : \mathcal{D}^- \to U_h^\sigma \otimes R^-$ naturally induced from $\Omega^- : \mathcal{D}^- \to U_h^\sigma \otimes R^-$ of Step 1. By (2.9.6) and the definition of $U_h^\sigma$, it is clear that $U_h^\sigma \cong N_+ \otimes S[H^R_\sigma] \otimes R^{<\sigma>} \otimes N_+^\sigma$ as $R$-modules. In particular, $U_h^\sigma$ is topologically free. Since $h(U_h^\sigma \otimes R^-) \subset \text{Im} \, \Omega^-$, the product in $U_h^\sigma$ is uniquely determined by $\Omega^-$. Hence the uniqueness of $U_h^\sigma$ follows.

It remains to prove (2.9.7). We shall prove this only for $J_1 \cap J_2$ can be treated similarly.

First we prove that $J_1$ is a two-sided ideal of the algebra $U_h^\sigma$. Evidently, $J_1$ is a right ideal and $E_i \cdot J_1 \subset J_1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $H \cdot J_1 \subset J_1$ for $H \in \mathcal{H}$, and $\sigma \cdot J_1 \subset J_1$. Recall $I_+ = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+} I_{+,\lambda}$. By Lemma 2.9.1, $J_1$ is a direct summand of $U_h^\sigma$. Hence it is enough to show that

\[
(2.9.8) \quad (q_1^{-1} - q_i)E_i \cdot I_{+,\lambda} \subset I_{+,\lambda} \cdot E_i \quad \quad p(\alpha_i) \]

\[
+ I_{+,\lambda} \cdot (\exp(-hH_{\alpha_i}^\sigma) + \exp(hH_{\alpha_i}^\sigma)) \quad \quad p(\alpha_i)
\]

Let $X$ be an element of $I_{+,\lambda}$. By Lemma 2.5.1, we have that

\[
(2.9.9) \quad E_i \cdot X =
X \cdot E_i + X^{(1)} \cdot (\exp(\sqrt{h} H_{\alpha_i}^\sigma) \exp(p(\alpha_i)) + X^{(2)} \cdot \exp(\sqrt{h} H_{\alpha_i}^\sigma) \exp(p(\alpha_i))
\]

with some $X^{(1)}, X^{(2)} \in \hat{N}_{+,\lambda} - \alpha_i$ in the algebra $\mathcal{D}^\sigma$. Let
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\( \Psi : \mathcal{G}^* \to D^* \) be the Hopf algebra homomorphism defined in Lemma 2.8.1. By Lemma 2.9.2, \( X^{(1)}, X^{(2)} \in \text{Ker } \Psi \). Hence, by Lemma 2.8.1, \( X^{(1)}, X^{(2)} \in I_+, \lambda - \alpha_i \). If we let operate \( \mathcal{G}^* \) on the left and right hand sides of (2.9.9), we obtain:

\[
(q_i^{-1}q_i)E_i^*X = (q_i^{-1}q_i)X.E_i^* + X^{(1)} \cdot \exp(-h\alpha_i^*)^\sigma P(\alpha_i^*) + X^{(2)} \cdot \exp(h\alpha_i^*)^\sigma P(\alpha_i^*).
\]

Hence (2.9.9) follows. Thus we showed that \( J_1 \) is a two-sided ideal.

Noting that \( \text{Ker } \Psi \) is a Hopf ideal, and using an argument similar to the above, we have

\[
\Delta(X) = \sum_{\mu, \nu \in P_+} (X^{(1)}_\mu \cdot \exp(h\nu)^\sigma P(\nu) \otimes Y^{(1)}_{\nu,\mu} + Y^{(2)}_{\nu,\mu} \cdot \exp(h\nu)^\sigma P(\nu) \otimes X^{(2)}_{\nu,\mu}), \quad X \in I_+, \lambda ,
\]

with some \( X^{(1)}_\mu \in I_+, \mu, X^{(2)}_{\nu,\mu} \in I_+, \nu, Y^{(1)}_{\nu,\mu} \in N_+, \nu \), \( Y^{(2)}_{\nu,\mu} \in N_+, \mu \). Hence \( \Delta(J_1) \subset J_1 \otimes \mathcal{U}_h^\sigma + \mathcal{U}_h^\sigma \otimes J_1 \). Similarly we have:

\[
S(I_+, \lambda) \subset I_+, \lambda \cdot \exp(h\lambda)^\sigma P(\lambda).
\]

Hence \( S(J_1) \subset J_1 \). It is clear that \( \varepsilon(J_1) = 0 \). Hence (2.9.7) is proved. This completes the proof.
By Proposition 1.7.1 and Lemma 2.4.1, we have:

Lemma 2.9.10. Let $\Omega^- : D^- \to U_h^\sigma \otimes R^-$ be the Hopf algebra homomorphism defined in Theorem 2.9.4. Let $K^- (\text{resp. } K)$ be the fraction field of $R^- (\text{resp. } R)$. Denote the scalar extension $\Omega^- \otimes \text{id}_{K^-} : D^- \otimes K^- \to U_h^\sigma \otimes K^-$ of $\Omega^-$ again by $\Omega^-$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}_+$, put $p_\lambda = \text{rank } N_{+\lambda}$, and let $(e_{(\lambda,i)})_{1 \leq i \leq p_\lambda}$ be bases of $N_{+\lambda} \otimes K$ such that $\langle e_{(\lambda,i)}, e_{(\lambda,j)}^{(\lambda)} \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Let $(\varepsilon_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ be a basis of $\delta$ such that $(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Put $t_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_i \otimes \varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. If the element

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{P}_+} \sum_{i=1}^{p_\lambda} \Omega^-(e_{(\lambda,i)}) \otimes \Omega^-(e_{(\lambda,i)})$$

$$\cdot \exp(-ht_0) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c,d=0}^{cd} (-1)^{c+d} \sigma^c \otimes \sigma^d \right)$$

converges in $U_h^\sigma \otimes U_h^\sigma \otimes K^-$ and $\mathcal{R} \in U_h^\sigma \odot U_h^\sigma$, then $(U_h^\sigma, \Delta, \mathcal{R})$ is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra.

Remark 2.9.11. By Proposition 1.9.2, for $U_h^\sigma$, we define an h-adic topologically free $R$-Hopf superalgebra $U_h$. In Introduction, we wrote $U_h(\Pi, p)$ for this $U_h$.
2.10. Here we show that, if \( \Pi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \) is the set of simple roots of a symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra \( G \) and \( p(\alpha_i) = 0 \) (1 \( \leq \) i \( \leq \) n), then \( U_h^\sigma / U_h^\sigma (\sigma - 1) \) is isomorphic to the quantized enveloping algebra \( U_h^\sigma (G) \) introduced by Drinfeld [2] and Jimbo [3]. More precisely, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.10.1.** Suppose \( p(\alpha_i) = 0 \) for all \( i \). Assume that \( (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) > 0 \) (1 \( \leq \) i \( \leq \) n), \( (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) \leq 0 \) (i \( \neq \) j) and

\[
a_{ij} = 2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) / (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Let \( d_i = \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2} \) (1 \( \leq \) i \( \leq \) n) and \( D = \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \). Then \( I_+ \) is the ideal of \( \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_+ \) generated by the elements

\[
\sum_{\nu = 0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^\nu \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1-a_{ij} \\ \nu \end{array} \right] q_i^\nu E_i^\nu E_j E_i^{1-a_{ij}-\nu}
\]

(2.10.2)

where \( q_i = \exp(h d_i) \) and

\[
\left[ \begin{array}{c} 1-a_{ij} \\ \nu \end{array} \right] = \prod_{s = 1}^{\nu} q_i^{2-a_{ij}-s} - q_i^{a_{ij}+s-2} q_i^{-s} \quad \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

**Proof.** Let us denote \( y_{ij} \in \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_+ \) the element (2.10.2). By a direct computation, we see that

\[
\Delta'(y_{ij}) = y_{ij} \otimes 1 + \exp(\sqrt{\hbar} \frac{(1-a_{ij}) \alpha_i + \alpha_j}{2}) \otimes y_{ij}.
\]

Hence the ideal of \( \tilde{U}_h^{\sigma\sigma} \) generated by the elements \( y_{ij} \) is the bi-ideal.
Hence, by Lemma 2.6.1, \( \psi_{ij} \in I_+ \). Put \( U = U_h/hU_h \) where \( U_h = U^g_i \in U_+ \) is defined by the Serre relations, there exists a natural epimorphism \( \psi : U(G) \rightarrow U \).

Let \( U(G) = U(n_+) \oplus U(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus U(n_-) \) be the triangular decomposition. Put \( N_+ = N_+ / hN_+ \). Let \( U(n_+)_\gamma \) and \( N_+,\gamma \) denote the weight space of a weight \( \gamma \in \mathfrak{p}_+ \). Let \( \mathcal{V}(\lambda) \) and \( \mathcal{V}(\gamma) \) denote the irreducible highest weight module with highest weight \( \lambda \) of \( U(G) \) and \( U \) respectively. Let \( \mathcal{V}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\gamma} \subset \mathcal{V}(\lambda) \) and \( \mathcal{V}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\gamma} \subset \mathcal{V}(\lambda) \) be the weight spaces of weight \( \lambda-\gamma \). From a well-known fact in the representation theory of \( G \) (see the formula (10.4.6) in [4]), we can see that, if \( \lambda \) is sufficiently large as compared with \( \gamma \in \mathfrak{p}_+ \),

\[
\dim U(n_+)_\gamma = \dim \mathcal{V}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\gamma} \text{.}
\]

On the other hand, using \( \psi : U(G) \rightarrow U \), we can regard \( \mathcal{V}(\lambda) \) as an irreducible \( U(G) \)-module isomorphic to \( \mathcal{V}(\lambda) \). Hence we have:

\[
\dim U(n_+)_\gamma = \dim \mathcal{V}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\gamma} = \dim \mathcal{V}(\lambda)_{\lambda-\gamma} \geq \dim N_+,\gamma \geq \dim N_+,\gamma \text{.}
\]

Since \( \psi \) is an epimorphism, \( \dim U(n_+)_\gamma \geq \dim N_+,\gamma \). Hence we have

\[
(2.10.3) \quad \dim U(n_+)_\gamma \geq \dim N_+,\gamma \text{.}
\]

Note that \( \tilde{N}_+,\lambda \) is a free \( R \)-module of finite rank and \( I_+,\lambda \) is a direct summand of \( \tilde{N}_+,\lambda \) (see Lemma 2.9.1). Hence, if \( w_u (1 \leq u \leq \text{rank } I_+,\lambda) \) are elements of \( I_+,\lambda \) such that \( \{w_u + hI_+,\lambda\} \) is a \( \mathbb{C} \)-basis of \( I_+,\lambda/hI_+,\lambda \), then \( \{w_u\} \) is an
$R$-basis of $I_{+}, \lambda$. In particular, by (2.10.3), we can put

$$w_u = E_{u_1} \cdots E_{u_{k-1}} y_{u_k} E_{u_{k+1}} \cdots E_{u_p} \in I_{+}, \lambda$$

$$(\lambda = -a_{u_k, u_{k+1}, u_k} \sum_{t=1}^{p} \alpha_{u_t}).$$

Hence the theorem follows.

Remark 2.10.3. We remark that the above theorem can also be proved by using Tanisaki's result; as an immediately consequence of Proposition 2.4.1 in [16], we can show the non-degeneracy of the Hopf pairing $\langle , \rangle : U_h(n_+) \times U_h(n_+) \to R$ where

$$U_h(n_+) = \mathbb{N}_+/\langle y_{i,j} \mid (1 \leq i \neq j \leq n) \rangle.$$ Hence $I_+ = \langle y_{i,j} \rangle$.
§3. Root systems of simple Lie superalgebras.

3.1. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ be a finite dimensional complex simple Lie superalgebra of type A-G. Let $(\Phi, \delta_\mathbb{R})$ be a root system of $\mathfrak{g}$. For terminologies related to simple Lie superalgebras, see [5]. Here $\delta_\mathbb{R}$ is an $N$-dimensional real vector space with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ ) : \delta_\mathbb{R} \times \delta_\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\Phi (\subset \delta_\mathbb{R})$ is the set of roots. Put $\delta = \mathbb{C} \oplus \delta_\mathbb{R}$. Let $\Pi = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ be a set of simple roots and $p : \Pi \to (0,1) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ the parity function. Put $P = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_n (\subset \delta)$. We extend $p$ the function $p : P \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ additively. We assume that the triple $(\delta, \Pi, p)$ is of distinguished type if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $F_4$ or $G_3$. We do not treat $(\delta, \Pi, p)$ of type $D(2,1;\alpha)$. That case is easy. However we need some unpleasant notation for type $D(2,1;\alpha)$. To fix notation, we list below Dynkin diagrams, systems of simple roots $\Pi = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, the set of positive roots $\Phi_+$ and parity function $p : \Pi \to (0,1)$ of triples $(\delta, \Pi, p)$ of type A - G. We put $N = n + 1$ if $(\Phi, \Pi, p)$ is of type A, and $N = n$ otherwise. Let $(\overline{e}_i (1 \leq i \leq N))$ be a fixed orthogonal basis of $\delta_\mathbb{R}$; the values of $(\overline{e}_i, \overline{e}_i)$ are given below. The element of $\delta_\mathbb{R}$ written under the dot with the i-th label is the simple root $\alpha_i$. Note that the numbering of $\alpha_i$'s is not the standard one for types $F_4$ and $G_3$. In the following diagram, the parity function $p : \Pi \to (0,1)$ is defined as follows. The dot $\times$ at the i-th label stands for the dot $\bigcirc$ (resp. $\bigotimes$) if $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \neq 0$ (resp. $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 0$). If the i-th dot is $\bigcirc$, $\bigotimes$ or $\bullet$, then we define $p(\alpha_i) = 0, 1, 1$ respectively. We also give the diagonal
matrix \( D = \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n) \) such that \( A = D^{-1}[\alpha_i, \alpha_j] \) is the Cartan matrix of \((\Phi, \Pi)\).

(i) **Types** A, B, C or D. For types A-D, we put
\[
(\Phi, \Pi) = \pm \delta_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N),
\]
where we can arbitrarily choose the signs of \( (\Phi, \Pi) \).

\[
(A_{N-1}) \quad \frac{1}{N-1} \times \frac{2}{N-1} \times \cdots \times \frac{N-1}{N-1} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{2}{N-1} \times \frac{3}{N-1} \times \cdots \times \frac{N-1}{N-1} \quad D = \text{diag}(1, \ldots, 1),
\]
\[
\Phi_+ = (\bar{e}_1 \pm \bar{e}_j \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N),
\]
\[
(B_N) \quad \frac{1}{N-1} \times \frac{2}{N-1} \times \cdots \times \frac{N-1}{N-1} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{N-1} \times \frac{2}{N-1} \times \cdots \times \frac{N-1}{N-1} \quad D = \text{diag}(1, 1, \frac{1}{2}),
\]
\[
\Phi_+ = (\bar{e}_i \pm \bar{e}_j \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N),
\]
\[
(C_N) \quad \frac{1}{N-1} \times \frac{2}{N-1} \times \cdots \times \frac{N-1}{N-1} \quad \text{if} \quad (\bar{e}_{N-1}, \bar{e}_{N-1}) = (\bar{e}_N, \bar{e}_N),
\]
\[
\Phi_+ = (\bar{e}_i \pm \bar{e}_j \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq N),
\]
\[
(D_N) \quad \frac{1}{N-1} \times \frac{2}{N-1} \times \cdots \times \frac{N-1}{N-1} \quad \text{if} \quad (\bar{e}_{N-1}, \bar{e}_{N-1}) = -(\bar{e}_N, \bar{e}_N),
\]
\[
D = \text{diag}(1, \ldots, 1).
\]

(ii) **Types** \( F_4 \) and \( G_2 \).
\[(F_4)\]
\[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\
\overline{e_2-e_3} & \overline{e_3-e_4} & \overline{e_4} & \frac{1}{2}(\overline{e_1-e_2-e_3-e_4})
\end{array}\]

\[[(\overline{e_1}, \overline{e_j})] = \text{diag}(6, -2, -2, -2) , \quad D = \text{diag}(2, 1, 1, 2) .\]

\[\Phi_+ = (n_1 \alpha_1 + n_4 \alpha_4 + n_3 \alpha_3 + n_2 \alpha_2 | (n_1, n_4, n_3, n_2) = (1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 2, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 2, 0), (1, 1, 2, 1), (1, 2, 3, 1), (1, 2, 3, 2), (0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2, 1), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0)) .\]

\[(G_3)\]
\[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 3 & 2 \\
\overline{e_1-e_2} & \frac{1}{2}(\overline{e_2-e_3}) & \overline{e_3}
\end{array}\]

\[[(\overline{e_1}, \overline{e_j})] = \text{diag}(-2, 2, 6) , \quad D = \text{diag}(1, 3, 1) .\]

\[\Phi_+ = (n_1 \alpha_1 + n_3 \alpha_3 + n_2 \alpha_2 | (n_1, n_4, n_3) = (1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1), (1, 3, 1), (1, 3, 2), (1, 4, 2), (2, 4, 2), (0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1), (0, 3, 2), (0, 2, 1), (0, 3, 1), (0, 1, 0)) .\]

3.2. Let \( \Phi_+ \) be the set of positive roots with respect to \( \Pi \). Put \( \Phi^\text{red}_+ = \{ \beta \in \Phi_+ | \frac{1}{2} \beta \in \Phi_+ \} \). We define the partial order \( \prec \) on \( \Phi^\text{red}_+ \) as follows. Given \( \beta = c_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + c_n \alpha_n \in \Phi^\text{red}_+ \), we define integers \( \text{ht}(\beta) , g(\beta) , c_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) by

\[\text{ht}(\beta) = c_1 + \cdots + c_n , \quad g(\beta) = \min(i | c_i \neq 0) , \quad c_\beta = c_{g(\beta)} \]

respectively. Define a half integer \( \text{ht}^\circ(\beta) \) by

\[\text{ht}^\circ(\beta) = \text{ht}(\beta)/c_\beta .\]

Let \( \alpha, \beta \) be elements of \( \Phi^\text{red}_+ \). We say
that $\alpha < \beta$ if they satisfy one of the following

(i) $g(\alpha) < g(\beta)$,

(ii) $g(\alpha) = g(\beta)$ and $ht^{-}(\alpha) < ht^{-}(\beta)$

or

(iii) $\phi^{\text{red}}_{+}$ is of type $D_{N}$, $p(\overline{e}_{1} - \overline{e}_{N}) = 0$ and $\alpha = \overline{e}_{1} - \overline{e}_{N}$, $\beta = 2\overline{e}_{1}$ or $\alpha = 2\overline{e}_{1}$, $\beta = \overline{e}_{1} + \overline{e}_{N}$, or, $\alpha = \overline{e}_{1} - \overline{e}_{N}$, $\beta = \overline{e}_{1} + \overline{e}_{N}$.

For $\alpha, \beta \in \phi^{\text{red}}_{+}$, let $\phi^{\text{red}}_{+}(\langle \alpha \rangle) = (\gamma \in \phi^{\text{red}}_{+} | \gamma < \alpha)$, $\phi^{\text{red}}_{+}(\alpha \lessdot \beta) = (\gamma \in \phi^{\text{red}}_{+} | \alpha < \gamma < \beta)$, $\phi^{\text{red}}_{+}(\beta \lessdot \gamma) = (\gamma \in \phi^{\text{red}}_{+} | \beta < \gamma)$. Let $\phi^{\text{red}}_{+}, i = \{ \beta \in \phi^{\text{red}}_{+} | g(\beta) = i \}$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \phi^{\text{red}}_{+}$, let $\phi^{\text{red}}_{+}, i(\langle \alpha \rangle) = \phi^{\text{red}}_{+} \cap \phi^{\text{red}}_{+}(\langle \alpha \rangle)$, $\phi^{\text{red}}_{+}, i(\alpha \lessdot \beta) = \phi^{\text{red}}_{+} \cap \phi^{\text{red}}_{+}(\alpha \lessdot \beta)$, $\phi^{\text{red}}_{+}, i(\beta \lessdot \gamma) = \phi^{\text{red}}_{+} \cap \phi^{\text{red}}_{+}(\beta \lessdot \gamma)$.

§4. Defining relations of $N_{+}$

4.1. Keep the notation in §2-3. Assume that the triple system $(\mathcal{E}, \pi = (\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n})$, $p)$ satisfies the assumption in 3.1.

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = D^{-1}(\langle \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{j} \rangle)$ be the corresponding Cartan matrix. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\sqrt{n}}^{+} = N_{+} \otimes S[\mathfrak{R}^{+}] \otimes R^{-}\langle \sigma \rangle$ be the topologically free $R^{-}$-Hopf algebra defined in 2.2 for the triple $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, p)$. The purpose of this section is to define an ideal $\mathcal{J}_{+}(\subseteq I_{+})$ of $\mathcal{N}_{+}$ with an explicit set of generators. In §10, we will show $\mathcal{J}_{+} = I_{+}$.
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4.2. We define the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-graded algebra structure on \( \mathbb{N}_+ \) such that the parity of \( E_i \) is \( p(\alpha_i) \). Denote the parity of \( X \in \mathbb{N}_+ \) by \( p(X) \). Put \( [X,Y]_v = XY - (-1)^{p(X)p(Y)}vYX \) and \( [X,Y]_{\alpha} = [X,Y]_v \) where \( p(X) \) and \( p(Y) \) are the parities of \( X \) and \( Y \). Set \( \begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\left( t^{m+n-1-t-m-n+i} / (t^{i+1} - t^i - 1) \right)}{E_i \varepsilon_i} \in \mathbb{C}[t] \).

Put \( q = e^h \), \( v_1 = q_i \) and \( q_1 = q_i \).

Definition 4.2.1. Let \( \mathfrak{g}_+ \) be the ideal of \( \mathbb{N}_+ \) generated by the following elements:

(i) \( [E_i, E_j] \) for \( 1 \leq i, j \leq n \) such that \( a_{ij} = 0 \),
\[ 1 + |a_{ij}| \sum_{v=0}^{1+|a_{ij}|} q_i \varepsilon_j E_i E_j \] for \( 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \)

such that \( p(\alpha_i) = 0 \),

(ii) \( [\sum_{v=0}^{1+|a_{ij}|} q_i \varepsilon_j E_i, E_j ] \) for \( 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \)

(iii) \( [[E_i, E_j]_{\alpha}, E_k]_{\alpha} \) with \( \times \rightarrow \times \) (\( i < j < k \)),

(iv) \( [[[E_{N-1}, E_N]_{\alpha}, E_N]_{\alpha}, E_N]_{\alpha} \) with \( \times \rightarrow \bullet \)

if \( A \) is of type \( B_N \),

(v) \( [[[E_{N-2}, E_{N-1}]_{\alpha}, E_N]_{\alpha}, E_{N-1}]_{\alpha}, E_N]_{\alpha} \) with \( \times \rightarrow \bullet \) if \( A \) is of type \( D_N \),

(vi) \( [[[E_{N-2}, E_{N-1}]_{\alpha}, E_N]_{\alpha}, E_{N-1}]_{\alpha}, E_N]_{\alpha} \) (resp.,

\( [[[E_{N-3}, E_{N-2}]_{\alpha}, E_{N-1}]_{\alpha}, E_N]_{\alpha}, E_N]_{\alpha} \)
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for \( \otimes \otimes \) (resp. \( \times \otimes \)) if \( A \) is of type \( C_N \).

4.3. The following proposition will be used in proving \( \mathcal{J}_+ = I_+ \).

Proposition 4.3.1. The \( R^- \)-submodule

\[ \mathcal{J}^-_+ = (\mathcal{J}_+ \otimes R^-) \cdot S[R^-] \cdot R^-<\sigma> \]

is a bi-ideal of \( U_{\sqrt{h}}^{\mathcal{J}_+} \).

Proof. Here we consider the case of the triple \((\mathcal{E}, \Pi, p)\) given by \( \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 \). In this case, the ideal \( \mathcal{J}_+ \) is generated by the elements

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{J}_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3} &= [E_1, E_3], \\
\mathcal{J}_{2\alpha_2} &= E_2^2, \\
\mathcal{J}_{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} &= E_1^2 E_2 - (q+q^{-1}) E_1 E_2 E_1 + E_2 E_1^2, \\
\mathcal{J}_{\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3} &= E_2 E_3^2 - (q+q^{-1}) E_3 E_2 E_3 + E_3 E_2^2
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[ t_{1223} = [w_{123}, E_2] \]

where \( w_{123} = [(E_1, E_2)_v, E_3]_v \).

By an easy computation, it follows
\[
\Delta^\prime(\sigma_v) = \sigma_v \otimes 1 + \exp(\sqrt{h} H_v) \cdot \sigma^p(v) \otimes \sigma_v
\]

for any \( \sigma_v \). We also have:

\[
\Delta^\prime(w_{123}) = w_{123} \otimes 1 + (v_2^{-1} - v_2)[E_2, E_3] v_3 \cdot \exp(\sqrt{h} H_{E_1 - E_2}) \otimes E_1
\]
\[
+ (v_3^{-1} - v_3) E_3 \cdot \exp(\sqrt{h} H_{E_1 - E_2}) \sigma \otimes [E_1, E_2] v_2
\]
\[
\exp(\sqrt{h} H_{E_1 - E_4}) \cdot \sigma \otimes w_{123}.
\]

Since the ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) generated by the element \( \sigma_2 \alpha_2 \) is a bi-ideal, we have:

\[
[[E_1, E_2] v_2, E_2] v_2 \equiv [E_2, [E_2, E_3] v_3] v_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{I}}.
\]

Therefore we have:

\[
\Delta^\prime(t_{1223}) = \Delta^\prime([(w_{123}, E_2)]
\]
\[
= \{\Delta^\prime(w_{123}), E_2 \otimes 1 + \exp(\sqrt{h} H_{E_2 - E_3}) \cdot \sigma \otimes E_2\}
\]
\[
\equiv (t_{1223} \otimes 1 + 0) +
\]
\[
(0 + (v_2^{-1} - v_2)[E_2, E_3] v_3 \cdot \exp(\sqrt{h} H_{E_1 - E_3}) \cdot \sigma \otimes [E_1, E_2] v_2)
\]
\[
+ ((v_3^{-1} - v_3)[E_2, E_3] v_3 \cdot \exp(\sqrt{h} H_{E_1 - E_2}) \cdot \sigma \otimes [E_1, E_2] v_2 + 0)
\]
\[
+ (0 + \exp(\sqrt{h} H_{E_1 - E_4}) \cdot \sigma \otimes t_{1223})
\]
\[
\pmod{\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{U} \delta^\sigma \otimes \mathcal{U} \delta^\sigma \otimes \mathcal{I}}
\]
\[
= t_{1223} \otimes 1 + \exp(\sqrt{h} H_{E_1 - E_4}) \cdot \sigma \otimes t_{1223}.
\]
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Hence $\Delta^-(\mathcal{F}_+^+ \subset \mathcal{F}_+ \otimes \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{\sqrt{h} \mathcal{F}_+^+} - \bar{\mathcal{U}}_{\sqrt{h} \mathcal{F}_+^+} \otimes \mathcal{F}_+^+$. This implies that $\mathcal{F}_+^+$ is a bi-ideal of $\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{\sqrt{h} \mathcal{F}_+^+}$. The other cases can be proved similarly.

Denote the $h$-adic topological $R^-$-bialgebra $\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{\sqrt{h} \mathcal{F}_+^+} / \mathcal{F}_+^+$ by $\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{\sqrt{h} \mathcal{F}_+^+}$. Put $\mathcal{N}_+ = \bar{\mathcal{N}}_+ / \mathcal{F}_+^+$ and $\mathcal{N}_- = \mathcal{N}_+ \otimes R^-$ ($= \bar{\mathcal{N}}_+ / \mathcal{F}_+^+$). Then we have:

$\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{\sqrt{h} \mathcal{F}_+^+} \cong \mathcal{N}_+ \otimes \hat{S}[hR^-] \otimes R^-<\sigma>$.

4.4. Put $\mathcal{N}_+,\nu = \bar{\mathcal{N}},\nu / (\mathcal{F}_+ \cap \bar{\mathcal{N}},\nu)$ ($\subset \mathcal{N}_+$) for $\nu \in P_+$. For $\nu, \mu \in P_+$ and $X_\nu \in \mathcal{N}_+,\nu, X_\mu \in \mathcal{N}_+,\mu$, we put

$[X_\nu, X_\mu] = [X_\nu, X_\mu]_{q^{-}}(\nu, \mu)$.

Let $X_\nu \in \mathcal{N}_+,\nu, X_\mu \in \mathcal{N}_+,\mu, X_\eta \in \mathcal{N}_+,\eta$ ($\nu, \mu, \eta \in P_+$). In §6-§9, we shall frequently use the following identities:

\[(4.4.1) \quad [X_\nu \cdot X_\mu, X_\eta] = X_\nu \cdot [X_\mu, X_\eta] + (-1)^{p(\mu)p(\eta)} q^{-}(\mu, \eta) [X_\nu, X_\mu] \cdot X_\eta.\]
\[ (4.4.2) \quad [[[X_\nu, X_\mu], X_\eta], X_\chi] - [X_\nu, [X_\mu, X_\eta]] \]
\[ = (-1)^p(\mu)p(\eta)q^{-}(\mu, \eta)[X_\nu, X_\eta, X_\mu] - (-1)^p(\nu)p(\mu)q^{-}(\nu, \mu)[X_\mu, X_\nu, X_\eta]. \]

If \( X_\mu^2 = 0 \), we have:

\[ (4.4.3) \quad [[[X_\nu, X_\mu], X_\mu], X_\mu] = 0, \]
\[ [X_\mu, [[X_\mu, X_\mu], X_\nu]] = 0. \]

\[ (4.4.4) \quad [[[X_\nu, X_\mu], X_\eta], X_\chi] \]
\[ = [X_\nu, [[[X_\mu, X_\eta], X_\chi], X_\mu]] \]
\[ + (-1)^p(\mu+\eta)p(\chi)q^{-}(\mu+\eta, \chi)[X_\nu, X_\chi, X_\mu, X_\eta] \]
\[ - (-1)^p(\nu)p(\mu+\eta)q^{-}(\nu, \mu+\eta)[X_\mu, X_\eta, X_\chi, X_\nu] \]
\[ + (-1)^p(\mu)p(\eta)q^{-}(\mu, \eta)[X_\nu, X_\eta, X_\mu, X_\chi] \]
\[ + (-1)^p(\mu)p(\mu+\chi)q^{-}(\mu, \mu+\chi)[[[[X_\nu, X_\eta], X_\chi], X_\mu], X_\mu] \]
\[ - (-1)^p(\nu)p(\mu)q^{-}(\nu, \mu)[X_\mu, [[[X_\nu, X_\eta], X_\chi], X_\mu]] \]
\[ - (-1)^p(\nu)p(\mu, \nu)(-1)^p(\nu+\eta)p(\chi)q^{-}(\nu+\eta, \chi)[X_\mu, X_\chi, X_\nu, X_\eta]. \]

In particular, if \( \chi = \eta \), we have:

\[ (4.4.5) \quad [[[X_\nu, X_\mu], X_\eta], X_\eta] \]
\[ = [X_\nu, [[[X_\mu, X_\eta], X_\eta], X_\eta]] \]
\[ + (1+(-1)^p(\eta)q^{-}(\eta, \eta))( (-1)^p(\mu)p(\eta)q^{-}(\mu, \eta)[X_\nu, X_\eta][[X_\mu, X_\eta] \]
\[ - (-1)^p(\nu)p(\mu+\eta)q^{-}(\nu, \mu+\eta)[X_\mu, X_\eta][[X_\nu, X_\eta] \}) \]
\[ + q^{-}(\mu, 2\eta)[[[[X_\nu, X_\eta], X_\eta], X_\mu]] \]
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- (-1)\(P(\nu)p(\mu)q^{-\nu,\mu}|x_{\mu},[[x_{\nu},x_{\eta}],x_{\eta}]\).

§5. Root vectors of \(\mathcal{N}_{\ast}\).

5.1. Here we define \(q\)-root vectors \(E_{\alpha} (\alpha \in \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}})\) of \(\mathcal{N}_{\ast}\).

Definition 5.1. For \(\beta \in \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}}\), we define the element \(E_{\beta} \in \mathcal{N}_{\ast}\) as follows. (For type \(F_{4}\) (resp. \(G_{3}\)), we write \(E_{abcd}\) and \(E_{abc}^{-}\) (resp. \(E_{abc}\) and \(E_{abc}^{-}\)) for \(E_{a_{1}b_{1}a_{4}c_{3}c_{2}}\) and \(E_{a_{1}b_{1}a_{4}c_{3}c_{2}}^{-}\) (resp. \(E_{a_{1}b_{1}a_{4}c_{3}c_{2}}\) and \(E_{a_{1}b_{1}a_{4}c_{3}c_{2}}^{-}\)).

(i) We put \(E_{\alpha_{i}} = E_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)\).

(ii) Let \(\alpha \in \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}}\) and \(1 \leq i \leq n\) be such that \(g(\alpha) < i\) (see 3.2 for the definition of \(g(\alpha)\)) and \(\alpha + \alpha_{i} \in \Phi\). We put \(E_{\alpha + \alpha_{i}}^{-} = [E_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha_{i}}]_{q^{-\alpha,\alpha_{i}}}^{-}\). If \(A\) is of type \(B_{N}\), \(i = N\) and \(\alpha = \alpha_{i} \leq j \leq N-1\), let \(E_{\alpha + \alpha_{N}}^{-} = (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2})^{-1}E_{\alpha + \alpha_{N}}^{-}\). If \(A\) is of type \(D_{N}\), \(i = N\) and \(\alpha = \alpha_{N-1}\), let \(E_{\alpha + \alpha_{N}}^{-} = (q + q^{-1})^{-1}E_{\alpha + \alpha_{N}}^{-}\).

If \(A\) is of type \(F_{4}\), let \(E_{1120}^{-} = (q + q^{-1})^{-1}E_{1120}^{-}\) and \(E_{1232}^{-} = (q^{2} + q^{-2})^{-1}E_{1232}^{-}\). If \(A\) is of type \(G_{3}\), let \(E_{121}^{-} = (q + q^{-1})^{-1}E_{121}^{-}, E_{021}^{-} = (q + q^{-1})^{-1}E_{021}^{-}\) and \(E_{031}^{-} = (q^{2} + q^{-2})^{-1}E_{031}^{-}\). Otherwise, put \(E_{\alpha + \alpha_{i}}^{-} = E_{\alpha + \alpha_{i}}^{-}\).

(iii) For \(\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}}\) such that \(g(\alpha) = g(\beta), \alpha < \beta, \) \(ht(\beta) - ht(\alpha) \leq 1\) and \(\alpha + \beta \in \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}}\), we put \(E_{\alpha + \beta}^{-} = [E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}]_{q^{-\alpha,\beta}}^{-}\). If \(A\) is of type \(C_{N}\) (resp. \(D_{N}, F_{4}\) or \(G_{3}\)), then \(E_{\alpha + \beta}^{-}\) is defined by \((q + q^{-1})^{-1}E_{\alpha + \beta}^{-}\) (resp. - 54 -
\[(q+q^{-1})^{-1}E_{\alpha+\beta}^-, \quad (q^2+q^{-2})^{-1}E_{\alpha+\beta}^- \quad \text{or} \quad (q^2+1+q^{-2})^{-1}E_{\alpha+\beta}^- \].

5.2. The following lemma will play key role in proving our main results (Theorem 10.6.1).

Lemma 5.2.1. (i) Let \( \alpha \in \Phi_{+,i}^{\text{red}} \) (see 3.2 for this definition) and \( j > i \). Then we have:

\[ [E_{\alpha'}, E_j] - (\alpha, \alpha_j) = \sum_{q} c_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_u} \in \Phi_{+,i}^{\text{red}(\alpha<)} \gamma_1 \ldots, \gamma_u E_{\gamma_1} \ldots E_{\gamma_u} \]

for some \( c_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_u} \in \mathbb{R} \).

(ii) Let \( \alpha, \beta \in \Phi_{+,i}^{\text{red}} \). If \( \alpha < \beta \), then we have:

\[ [E_{\alpha'}, E_{\beta}] - (\alpha, \alpha) = \sum_{q} c_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_u} \in \Phi_{+,i}^{\text{red}(\alpha<\beta)} \gamma_1 \ldots, \gamma_u E_{\gamma_1} \ldots E_{\gamma_u} \]

for some \( c_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_u} \in \mathbb{R} \).

(iii) \( E_{\alpha}^2 = 0 \) if \( (\alpha, \alpha) = 0 \).

Remark 5.2.2. In some special cases, we can show more detailed results than Lemma 5.2.1.
(i) Let $\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}$ satisfy $c_\alpha = 1$. Take $\alpha_i \in \Pi$ such that $i > g(\alpha)$ and $\alpha + \alpha_i \not\in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}$. Then we have:

$$[E_\alpha, E_i] - (\alpha, \alpha_i) = 0.$$ 

(ii) Assume that $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}$ satisfies $\alpha \not\leq \beta$ and $\beta \not\leq \alpha$. Then we have:

$$[E_\alpha, E_\beta] = 0.$$ 

5.3. Let $\Pi^{\alpha} \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}$ denote the product taken with respect to a total order on $\Phi^+_{\text{red}}$ compatible with the partial order $\leq$.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.2.1, we have:

Proposition 5.3.1. The $R$-module $M_+$ is generated by the elements

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}} E_\alpha^{n_\alpha} \quad (n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ if } (\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0, \quad n_\alpha = 0, 1 \text{ if } (\alpha, \alpha) = 0).$$

5.4. The purpose of §6-9 below, is to prove Lemma 5.2.1 and Remark 5.2.2.
In §10, we shall prove that the monomials
\[ \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}} E^\alpha \]
form an orthogonal basis of \( \mathcal{M}_+ \), which implies \( \mathcal{I}_+ = I_+ \).

§6. Commutator relations of root vectors of \( \mathcal{M}_+ \)
(type \( A_{N-1} \), \( B_N \), \( C_N \) or \( D_N \)).

6.1. In this section, we assume that \( \mathcal{M}_+ \) is of type \( A_{N-1} \),
\( B_N \), \( C_N \) or \( D_{N-1} \). Put \( \overline{a}_i = (\overline{e}_i, \overline{e}_1) \in \{1, -1\} \) \((1 \leq i \leq N)\).

Lemma 6.1.1. The following identities hold in \( \mathcal{M}_+ \).

(i) \[ [E_{\alpha_i + \alpha_j + \alpha_k} , E_j] = 0 \quad \text{for } \,
\begin{array}{c}
\times \quad \times \quad \times
\end{array} \quad (i < j < k),
\]

(ii) \[ [E_{\alpha_i + \alpha_j} , E_j] q^{-\overline{d}_j} = [E_1 , E_{\alpha_i + \alpha_j}] q^{-\overline{d}_i} = 0 \quad \text{for } \,
\begin{array}{c}
\times \quad \times
\end{array} \quad \#
\]

(iii) \[ [E_{\alpha_N-2 + \alpha_N-1 + \alpha_N} , E_{N-1}] q^{-\overline{d}_{N-1}} = 0 \quad \text{for type } D_N.
\]

(iv) \[ [E_{\alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N} , E_{N-1}] q^{-\overline{d}_{N-1}} = [E_{\alpha_{N-1} + 2\alpha_N} , E_N] q^{-\overline{d}_N} = 0 \quad \text{for type } B_N.
\]

(v) \[ [E_{\alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N} , E_{N-1}] q^{-\overline{d}_N} = 0 ;
\[ [E_{N-1} , E_{2\alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N}] q^{-\overline{d}_{N-1}} = 0 \quad \text{for type } C_N.
\]

(vi) \[ [E_{\alpha_N-2 + 2\alpha_N-1 + \alpha_N} , E_{N-1}] q^{-\overline{d}_{N-1}} = 0 \quad \text{for type } C_N.
\]

(vii) \[ [E_{2\alpha_{N-2} + 2\alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N} , E_{N-1}] = 0 \quad \text{for type }
\]
(vii) \([E_{\alpha_{N-3}}+2\alpha_{N-2}+2\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_N, E_{N-1}] = 0\) for type \(C_N\).

Proof. (ii)-(v) These can be proved by direct computations. We omit the details.

(i) This is the defining relation (iii) of Definition 4.2.1 if \(p(\alpha_j) = 1\). If \(p(\alpha_j) = 0\), by Definition 4.2.1 (ii), and the formula (ii), we have:

\[
0 = [E_j, E_k^2] - (q+q^{-1})E_jE_k E_j + E_k^2
= (E_{\alpha_i}\alpha_j + q^{j}E_j\alpha_i + \alpha_j)E_k - (q+q^{-1})E_{\alpha_i}\alpha_j E_k E_j
- (q+q^{-1})\overline{d}_j E_j E_k E_{\alpha_i} + \alpha_j + E_k (E_{\alpha_i}\alpha_j E_j + q^{j}E_j E_{\alpha_i} + \alpha_j)
= (q+q^{-1})E_j E_{\alpha_i} + \alpha_j E_k - (q+q^{-1})E_{\alpha_i} + \alpha_j E_k E_j
- (q+q^{-1})q^{j}E_j E_k E_{\alpha_i} + \alpha_j + q^{j}(q+q^{-1})E_k E_{\alpha_i} + \alpha_j E_j
= - (q+q^{-1})[E_{\alpha_i}\alpha_j + \alpha_k, E_j].
\]

(vi) The case \(p(\alpha_{N-1}) = 1\) follows from (4.4.3) since, in this case, we have \(E_{N-1}^2 = 0\). Assume \(p(\alpha_{N-1}) = 0\). By using the facts \(p(\alpha_{N-1}) = p(\alpha_N) = 0\) and \(\overline{d}_{N-1} = \overline{d}_N\), and, by using Definition 4.2.1 (i-ii), (4.4.1) and (ii), we have:

\[
0 = [E_{N-2}, (E_{N-1}^3E_N - (q^2+q^{-2})E_{N-1}^2E_N E_{N-1} \\
+ (q^2+q^{-2})E_{N-1}E_N E_{N-1}^2 - E_N^3 E_{N-1}^3)]
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\]
= (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2}) (q^{-1} E_{N-2}^2 \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} E_N^2 E_N^{-1} - q^{2d_{N-1}} E_{N-1}^2 E_N \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1})

- (q + q^{-1}) E_{N-1} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} E_N E_{N-1} + q^{2d_{N-1}} (q + q^{-1}) E_{N-1} E_N \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} E_{N-1}

+ E_{N-1} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} E_N E_{N-1}^2 - q^{2d_{N-1}} E_N E_{N-2} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} E_{N-1}^2

= (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2}) (E_{N-1}^2 \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1})

- (q + q^{-1}) E_{N-1} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1} + E_{N-1} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1}^2

= (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2}) [E_{N-2}^2 + 2 \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1}]

(vii) This is the defining relation (vi) of Definition 4.2.1 if \( p(\alpha_{N-1}) = 1 \). Assume \( p(\alpha_{N-1}) = 0 \). By (ii), we easily see \( [E_{N-2}, E_{N-2} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N] = 0 \). By (vi), similarly to the proof of (i), we have:

\[
0 = [E_{N-2}, [E_{N-2}, E_{N-2} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1}]]
\]

\[
= [E_{N-2}, (E_{N-2} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1})^2 - (q + q^{-1}) E_{N-1} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1} + E_{N-1} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1}]
\]

\[
= (q + q^{-1}) (E_{N-1} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1} - E_{N-1} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1} + E_{N-1} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1})
\]

\[
= -(q + q^{-1})^2 [E_{N-2} \alpha_{N-2} \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N E_{N-1}]
\]

(viii) If \( p(\alpha_{N-2}) = 0 \) and \( p(\alpha_{N-1}) = 1 \), then (viii) is

- 59 -
defining relation (vi) of Definition 4.2.1. Next, we assume
\[ p(\alpha_{N-1}) = 0. \] Note that, by (i) and (vi), we have

\begin{align*}
(6.1.2) \quad &[E_{\alpha_{N-3}+\alpha_{N-2}+\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_N}, E_{N-2}] = 0, \\
(6.1.3) \quad &[E_{\alpha_{N-3}+\alpha_{N-2}+2\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_N}, E_{N-1}] = 0
\end{align*}

respectively. Hence, by (4.4.5) and (ii), we have:

\[
0 = \left\{ \left\{ E_{\alpha_{N-3}+\alpha_{N-2}+\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_N}, E_{N-2} \right\}, E_{N-1} \right\}_q \ 
\times \ p(\alpha_{N-1}) \left( -d_{N-1} - d_N \right) \\
= (1 + (-1)) \ p(\alpha_{N-1}) \left( q^{-1} d_{N-1} - d_N \right) \\
+ \left\{ E_{\alpha_{N-3}+\alpha_{N-2}+2\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_N}, E_{N-2} + \alpha_N \right\}_q \\
- (-1) \ p(\alpha_{N-3}+\alpha_{N-2}+\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_N) p(\alpha_{N-2}+\alpha_{N-1}) \left( -d_{N-1} - d_N \right) \\
E_{\alpha_{N-2}+\alpha_{N-1}} E_{\alpha_{N-3}+\alpha_{N-2}+2\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_N}
\}
\]

Using \( p(\alpha_{N-1}) = 0 \) and \( d_{N-1} = d_N \), the right hand side equals

\[
(q + q^{-1}) \left\{ E_{\alpha_{N-3}+\alpha_{N-2}+2\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_N}, [E_{N-2}, E_{N-1}] \right\}_q
\]

Here we used the identity \( E^{\alpha_{N-2}+\alpha_{N-1}} = [E_{N-2}, E_{N-1}] \). Hence, by (4.4.2) and (6.1.3), this equals

\begin{align*}
(6.1.4) \quad & (q + q^{-1}) \left\{ E_{\alpha_{N-3}+2\alpha_{N-2}+2\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_N}, E_{N-1} \right\}_q
\end{align*}
Finally, we assume $p(\alpha_{N-2}) = p(\alpha_{N-1}) = 1$. By (i) and (ii), we have:

$$[E_{\alpha_{N-3} + \alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}}, E_{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}}] = 0.$$  

From this, we have:

$$[E_{N-3}, E_{2\alpha_{N-2} + 2\alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_{N}}]$$

$$= (q + q^{-1})^{-1} [E_{N-3}, (E^{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}}, E_{2\alpha_{N-1}} + q 2d_{N}^{} E_{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}}]$$

$$= (q + q^{-1})^{-1} ((q + q^{-1}) E_{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}} E_{\alpha_{N-3} + \alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}} E_{N}$$

$$- (q + q^{-1}) q \overline{d}_{N} E_{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}} + \overline{d}_{N} E_{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}}$$

$$- (q + q^{-1}) q \overline{d}_{N-2} + \overline{d}_{N} E_{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}} E_{N} E_{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_{N}}$$

$$+ (q + q^{-1}) q 2\overline{d}_{N-2} + \overline{d}_{N} E_{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}} E_{N} E_{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_{N}}$$

$$= [E_{\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1}}, E_{\alpha_{N-3} + \alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_{N}}]$$

Hence, by Definition 4.2.1 (vi), Lemma 6.1.1 (ii) and (4.4.2), we have:

$$0 = [E_{N-3}, [E_{2\alpha_{N-2} + 2\alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_{N}}, E_{N-1}]]$$

$$= [[E_{N-3}, E_{2\alpha_{N-2} + 2\alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_{N}}], E_{N-1}]]$$
\[
= [[E_{\alpha_{N-2}+\alpha_{N-1}}, E_{\alpha_{N-3}+\alpha_{N-2}+\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_{N}}], E_{N-1}]
\]

\[
= - [E_{\alpha_{N-3}+\alpha_{N-2}+\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_{N}}, E_{\alpha_{N-2}+\alpha_{N-1}}]
\]

But, by (6.1.3) and (4.4.2), this equals

\[
[ E_{\alpha_{N-3}+2\alpha_{N-2}+2\alpha_{N-1}+\alpha_{N}}, E_{N-1} ]
\]

Hence we get the desired formula.

6.2. Similarly to the proof of the formula (i) of Lemma 6.1.1, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2.1. For \( \circ - \circ - \circ - \circ \) (i<j<k), \( \circ - \circ - \circ - \circ \) or \( \circ - \circ - \circ - \circ \), the following identities hold:

\[
[[[E_i, E_j] (\alpha_i, \alpha_j), E_k] (\alpha_i+\alpha_j, \alpha_k), E_j] = 0,
\]

\[
E_{\alpha_i+\alpha_j} [E_j, E_k] (\alpha_j, \alpha_k) = 0.
\]

6.3. Lemma 6.3.1. For \( 1 \leq i \leq N-1 \), we have the following identities:

(i) For type \( B_N \), we have:
\[ [E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N}}, E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon_{N}} - \overline{d_i} q = 0. \]

(ii) For type \( C_N \), we have:

\[ [E_{2\overline{\varepsilon_1}}, E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon_{N}} - 2\overline{d_i} q = 0 \quad (p(\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N}) = 0). \]

In the formulas (iii) - (ix) below, we assume that \( A \) is of type \( D_N \).

(iii) \[ [E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N}}, E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon_{N}}] = 0 \quad (p(\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N}) = 0), \]

(iv) \[ [E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N}}, E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon_{N-1}}] - \overline{d_i} q = 0, \]

(v) \[ [E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon_{N}}, E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon_{N-1}}] - \overline{d_i} q = 0, \]

(vi) \[ [E_{2\overline{\varepsilon_1}}, E_{\overline{\varepsilon_{N-1}}}] = 0 \quad (p(\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N}) = 1), \]

(vii) \[ [E_{2\overline{\varepsilon_1}}, E_{\varepsilon_N}] = \overline{d_i} (q - q^{-1}) E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N-1}} E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon_{N}} \quad (p(\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N}) = 1), \]

(viii) \[ [E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N}}, E_{2\varepsilon_1}] - 2\overline{d_i} q = 0 \quad (p(\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N}) = 1), \]

(ix) \[ [E_{2\overline{\varepsilon_1}}, E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon_{N}}] - 2\overline{d_i} q = 0 \quad (p(\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N}) = 1). \]

Proof. (i) By Lemma 6.1.1 (i),(ii),(iv), we can easily show

\[ [E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_{N}}, E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1}}] = 0, \]

\[ [E_{\overline{\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon_{N}}, E_{\varepsilon_1}] = 0. \]
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By (4.4.5), we have:

\[
0 = \left\{ [E_{\bar{\xi}_1 \bar{\xi}_N}^- , E_{\bar{\xi}_1}^- ] , E_N^- \right\} , E_N^{-} \right\} \\
= (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) \left( (1 + (-1) \begin{array}{c} p(\bar{\xi}_N^-) - d_N^- \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} p(\bar{\xi}_i^-) \end{array} p(\bar{\xi}_N^-) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} E_{\bar{\xi}_1^- + \bar{\xi}_N^-}^- \end{array} \right) \\
- (-1) \begin{array}{c} p(\bar{\xi}_i^- \bar{\xi}_N^-) p(\bar{\xi}_i^- \bar{\xi}_N^-) - d_i^- + d_N^- \end{array} E_{\bar{\xi}_1^- + \bar{\xi}_N^-}^- \end{array} \right) \\
+ \begin{array}{c} E_{\bar{\xi}_1^- + \bar{\xi}_N^-}^- \end{array} \begin{array}{c} E_{\bar{\xi}_1^- + \bar{\xi}_N^-}^- \end{array} \end{array} \right) \\
= (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) \left( (1 + (-1) \begin{array}{c} p(\bar{\xi}_i^- \bar{\xi}_N^-) - d_i^- \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} p(\bar{\xi}_N^-) - d_N^- \end{array} \end{array} \right) \\
- (-1) \begin{array}{c} p(\bar{\xi}_i^- \bar{\xi}_N^-) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} E_{\bar{\xi}_1^- + \bar{\xi}_N^-}^- \end{array} \end{array} \right) \\
+ \left( (1 + (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) \begin{array}{c} p(\bar{\xi}_i^- \bar{\xi}_N^-) - d_i^- \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} p(\bar{\xi}_i^- \bar{\xi}_N^-) \end{array} \right) \\
- (-1) \begin{array}{c} p(\bar{\xi}_i^- \bar{\xi}_N^-) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} E_{\bar{\xi}_1^- + \bar{\xi}_N^-}^- \end{array} \right) \\
= \begin{array}{c} p(\bar{\xi}_i^- \bar{\xi}_N^-) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} E_{\bar{\xi}_1^- + \bar{\xi}_N^-}^- \end{array} \right) \\
(6.3.2) \begin{array}{c} 0 = \left\{ [E_{\bar{\xi}_1 \bar{\xi}_N}^- , E_{\bar{\xi}_1}^- ] , E_{\bar{\xi}_1 \bar{\xi}_N}^- \right\} \\
(6.3.3) \begin{array}{c} 0 = \left\{ [E_{\bar{\xi}_1 \bar{\xi}_N}^- , E_{\bar{\xi}_1}^- ] , E_{\bar{\xi}_1 \bar{\xi}_N}^- \right\} \\
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If \( p(\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_{N-1}) = 0 \) (resp. \( p(\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N) = 1 \)), by Definition 4.2.1. (i)-(ii) (resp. (v)), we have:

\[
0 = [E_{\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N}, E_{N-1}] - [E_{\bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_N}, E_{N-1}].
\]

Hence, by (6.3.2-3) and (4.4.2), we have:

\[
0 = [E_{\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N}, E_{N-1}] - [E_{\bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_N}, E_{N-1}]
\]

\[
= ((-1)^{-1}) \cdot \left[ p(\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_{N-1})p(\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N) - \frac{\bar{d}_i}{q} \right]
\]

\[
+ (-1)^{-1} p(\bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_N)p(\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N) \frac{\bar{d}_N}{q} E_{\bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_N} E_{\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N}
\]

\[
- ((-1)^{-1}) p(\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N)p(\bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_N) \frac{\bar{d}_i}{q} E_{\bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_N} E_{\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N} - \frac{\bar{d}_i}{q} E_{\bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_N} E_{\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N}.
\]

Since \( p(\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N) = p(\bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_N) = 0 \) and \( \bar{d}_i = \bar{d}_N \), this equals

\[(q+q^{-1})[E_{\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N}, E_{\bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_N}], \]

which implies (iii).

(iv) By Lemma 6.1.1 (ii), we can easily show:

\[(6.3.4) \ [E_{\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N}, E_{N-1}] = 0.\]

If \( p(\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N) = 0 \), by (iii), (6.3.4) and (4.4.2), we have:

\[
0 = [[E_{\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N}, E_{\bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_N}], E_{N-1}] = [E_{\bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_N}, E_{\bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_N}].
\]
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By (6.3.2) and (6.3.4), we have:

\[ 0 = \left[ E_{\bar{\rho}i} - E_N, E_{\bar{\rho}j} + E_N \right] . \]

If \( p(\bar{\rho}i - \bar{\rho}j) = 1 \), this equals \( (1+q^2)E_{\bar{\rho}i} - E_N \). Hence, by (4.4.3), we have (iv).

The formula (v) can be proved quite similarly to (iv).

The formula (vi)-(ix) can be easily proved by using (iii)-(v).

6.4. Lemma 6.4.1. The following identities hold in \( \mathcal{N}_+ \).

(i) \([E_{\alpha_{N-3}} + 2\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N, E_N] = 0 \) for type \( D_N \).

(ii) \([E_{\alpha_{N-3}} + 2\alpha_{N-2} + \alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N, E_{N-1}] = 0 \) for type \( D_N \).

(iii) \([E_{\alpha_{N-2}} + 2\alpha_{N-1} + 2\alpha_N, E_N] = 0 \) for type \( B_N \).

(iv) \([E_{\alpha_{N-2}} + 2\alpha_{N-1} + \alpha_N, E_N] = 0 \) for type \( C_N \).

Proof. In the proof, we may assume that \( N = 4 \). Put \( E_{abcd} = E_{a \alpha_1} + b \alpha_2 + c \alpha_3 + d \alpha_4 \). Denote \( p(\alpha_1) \) by \( p(i) \).

(i) We are in one of the following three cases.
(1) \( p(3) = p(4) = 0 \),

(2) \( p(2) = 0 \),

(3) \( p(2) = p(3) = p(4) \).

First consider the case (1). From (4.4.5), we have:

\[
0 = [[[E_{1110}, E_2], E_4], E_4]
= [E_{1110}, [E_{0101}, E_4]]
+ (1+(-1)p(4)q\overline{d}_3\overline{d}_4)((-1)p(2)p(4)q\overline{d}_3E_{1111}E_{0101}
- (-1)p(123)p(24)q\overline{d}_4E_{0101}E_{1111}
+ 2\overline{d}_4[E_{1111}, E_4]E_2
- (-1)p(123)p(2)E_2[E_{1111}, E_4].
\]

By Definition 4.2.1, we can easily show \([E_{1111}, E_4] = 0\). Since 
\( p(3) = p(4) = 0 \) and \( \overline{d}_3 = \overline{d}_4 \), the right hand side equals

\((q+q^{-1})[E_{1111}, E_{0101}]\).

Using (4.4.2) and Lemma 6.1.1 (iii), we have:

(6.4.2) \([E_{1111}, E_{0101}] = [E_{1111}, [E_2, E_4]]_q\overline{d}_3\overline{d}_4 = [E_{1211}, E_4].\)

Hence we have \([E_{1211}, E_4] = 0\).

The case (2); by Lemma 6.3.1 (v), \([E_{0101}, E_{0111}]_q\overline{d}_2 = 0\).

Similarly to the case (1), by (4.4.2) and (4.4.4), we have:
\[ 0 = [[[E_{1101},E_2],E_3],E_4] - [E_1,[[E_{0101},E_{0111}]]] \]
\[ = ([E_{1101},E_{0111}] + (-1)p(23)p(4)q_4E_{0110}^{4}[E_{1101},E_4]E_{0110} \]
\[ - (-1)p(124)p(23)q_4E_{0110}^{4}[E_{1101},E_4] \]
\[ + (-1)p(2)p(3)q_3E_{1111}^{3}E_{0101} + (-1)p(2)p(34)q_3^{2d_3}E_{1111}^{3}E_{4}E_2 \]
\[ - (-1)p(124)p(2)^{2}E_2^{2}[E_{1111},E_4] \]
\[ - (-1)p(124)p(2)^{2}(-1)p(1234)p(4)q^{2d_3}E_{0101}^{2}E_{1111} \}
\[ - ([E_{1101},E_{0111}] - (-1)p(24)p(234)q^{2d_2}E_{1111}^{3}E_{0101} \]
\[ + (-1)p(1)p(24)\bar{d}_2E_{0101}^{2}E_{1111} \} . \]

By Definition 4.2.1, it can be easily shown that

\[ [E_{1101},E_4] = [E_{1111},E_4] = 0 . \]

Since \( p(2) = 0 \), \( p(3) = p(4) \) and \( \bar{d}_3 = \bar{d}_2 \), the above equals

\[ (q\bar{d}_2E_{1111}^{3}E_{0101} - (-1)p(1)p(4)q\bar{d}_2E_{0101}^{2}E_{1111} \}
\[ + (q\bar{d}_2E_{0111}^{2}E_{0101} + (-1)p(1)p(4)\bar{d}_2E_{0101}^{2}E_{1111} \}
\[ = (q+q^{-1})[E_{1111},E_{0101}] . \]

Hence, similarly to (6.4.2), we have \([E_{1211},E_4] = 0\). Finally assume that we are in the case (3). We can easily show that \( E_{0101}^{2}E_1 - (q+q^{-1})E_{0101}^{2}E_{1}E_{0101} + E_1E_{0101}^{2} = 0 \) and
\( E_{0101} E_3 - (q+q^{-1}) E_{0101} E_3 E_{0101} + E_3 E_{0101}^2 = 0 \). Then, similarly to Lemma 6.1.1 (i), we can prove our formula.

(ii) The proof of (ii) is quite similar to that of (i).

(iii) The proof is similarly to the case (2) in the proof of (i). By Lemma 6.4.1 (i), we have \([E_{0011},E_{0012}] = 0\). Hence, by (4.4.2) and (4.4.5), we have:

\[
0 = [[E_{0111}, E_3], E_4] \overline{q}_4 E_4 \\
- (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) [E_2, [E_{0011}, E_{0012}]] \\
= [[E_{0111}, (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) E_{0012}]
+ (1+(-1)p(4)\overline{a}_4)((-1)p(3)p(4)\overline{d} E_{0112} E_{0011} \\
- (-1)p(234)p(34)(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) E_{0011} E_{0112} \\
+ q^{2\overline{a}_4}(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) [E_{0112}, E_4] E_3 \\
+ (-1)p(234)p(3)(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) [E_{0112}, E_4] E_3 \\
- (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) [[E_{0111}, E_{0012}], (-1)p(34)p(344)q^3 E_{0112} E_{0011} \\
+ (-1)p(2)p(34)\overline{d}_3 E_{0011} E_{0112}]
\]

By Lemma 6.1.1 (iv), we can easily show \([E_{0112}, E_4] = 0\). Hence the above equals

\[
((1+(-1)p(4)\overline{a}_4)((-1)p(3)p(4)\overline{d}_4(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) E_{0112} E_{0011} \\
- (-1)p(234)p(34)(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2}) E_{0011} E_{0112} ) \\
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\]
\[-(q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2})(-1)p(34)p(3)q^3 E_{0112} E_{0011} = (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2})(-1)p(3)p(4)(q^4 + (1)p(4) + (-1)p(4)q^3 E_{0112} E_{0011} \]

\[-(1)p(234)p(34)(q^4 + (1)p(4) + (-1)p(3)q^3 E_{0112} E_{0011} \]

Hence, similarly to (6.4.2), we have \([E_{0122}, E_4] = 0 \).

(iv) By Lemma 6.1.1 (v), we have

\([E_{0011}, E_4] q^{-2d_4} = [E_{0111}, E_4] q^{-2d_4} = 0 \). Therefore, using (4.4.5), we have:

\[-0 = [[[E_{0110}, E_3], E_4], E_4] = (1 + (-1)p(4)q^{-4d_4})(-1)p(3)p(4)q^{2d_4} E_{0111} E_{0011} \]

\[-(1)p(234)p(34)q^4 E_{0011} E_{0111} \).

Since \(p(4) = 0\), this equals

\[(q^2 + q^{-2})[E_{0111}, E_{0011}] \).

Hence, by Lemma 6.1.1 (v) and (4.4.2), we have
0 = [E_{0111}, E_{0011}] = [E_{0111}, [E_3, E_4]] = [E_{0121}, E_4].

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.4.1.

6.5. Lemma 6.5.1. Let \( N \) be of type \( C_N \). Let \( i \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\} \). We have:

(i) \[ [E_{E_{i}+E_{N-1}}, E_{E_{i}+E_{N}}] = 0 \] (1 \( i \leq N-3 \)) .

(ii) \[ [E_{E_{i}}, E_{E_{N-1}}] = 0 \] (1 \( i \leq N-2 \)) if \( p(E_{i}-E_{N-1}) = 0 \).

Proof. (i) If \( i = N-2 \), this is proved easily by using Lemma 6.1.1 (ii), (vii). Assume \( i \leq N-3 \). By Lemma 6.1.1 (i)-(ii) (resp. (viii)), we can easily show that

\[(6.5.2) \quad 0 = [E_{E_{i}+E_{N-2}}, E_{E_{i}+E_{N-1}}] \]

(resp.

\[(6.5.3) \quad 0 = [E_{E_{i}+E_{N-2}}, E_{E_{N-1}}] \).)

Using (4.4.2), from (6.5.3), we obtain:

\[0 = [E_{E_{i}+E_{N-1}}, E_{E_{N-2}+E_{N}}].\]
Hence, using this and (6.5.2), we have (i).

(ii) By Lemma 6.1.1 (ii), we can easily show that
\[ [E_{\overline{E}_1}^{-}, E_{\overline{E}_N}^{-}, E_{N-1}] = 0. \] From this and the formula (i), we can immediately prove (ii).

6.6. Lemma 5.2.1 (and Remark 5.2.2) for \( \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}} \) of type \( A_{N-1} \), \( B_N \), \( C_N \) or \( D_N \) can be proved using lemmas in 6.1 - 6.5. In 6.7 - 6.9 below, this will be done only in some special cases. In the remaining cases, the proof can be done similarly and more easily.

6.7. Proof of Lemma 5.2 (i) for \( \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}} \) of type \( A_{N-1} \), \( B_N \), \( C_N \) or \( D_N \). Here we give a proof in the case when \( \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}} \) is of type \( B_N \) and \( \alpha = \overline{E}_1 + \overline{E}_k \) \( (i+1 < k < N-1) \). The other case can be treated similarly.

Since \( [E_i, E_j] = \text{if } |i-j| \geq 2 \), by (4.4.2), we have

\[
(6.7.1) \quad E_{\overline{E}_i}^{-} = \left\{ [E_{\overline{E}_i}^{-}, E_{\overline{E}_{i-1}}^{-}, E_{\overline{E}_{i-2}}^{-}, \ldots, E_{\overline{E}_{i+1}}^{-}, E_{\overline{E}_{i+2}}^{-}] \right\}_{\overline{u}^{-} \overline{u+1}^{-} \overline{u+2}^{-} \overline{u+3}^{-}} \quad \text{if } i < u < N.
\]

Hence, by Lemma 6.1.1 (i), we have:

\[
(6.7.2) \quad [E_{\overline{E}_i}^{-}, E_{\overline{E}_u}^{-}] = 0 \quad \text{if } i < u < N.
\]

Hence, putting \( E_{\overline{E}_k}^{\text{red}} = [\ldots]^{-} \cdot [E_{N-1}^{-}, E_{N-2}^{-}, \ldots, E_{k+1}^{-}] \right\}_{\overline{d}^{-} \overline{d+1}^{-} \overline{d+2}^{-} \overline{d+3}^{-}} \)
we have:

\[(6.7.3) \quad E_{E_i + E_k} = (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2})^{-1} [E_{E_i} - E_{E_k}] .\]

Similarly to (6.7.2), using Lemma 6.2.1 (i), we have:

\[(6.7.4) \quad [E_{E_k}^{-}, E_u] = 0 \text{ if } k < u < N .\]

Hence, we have:

\[(6.7.5) \quad [E_{E_i + E_k}^{-}, E_u] = 0 \text{ if } i < u, k - 1 \text{ or } k < u < N .\]

By Definition 5.1.1, we have:

\[ [E_{E_i + E_k}^{-}, E_{k-1}]^{-} = E_{E_i + E_{k-1}}^{-} .\]

By Definition 4.2.1 (i), (ii), it can be easily shown that

\[ [E_{E_k}^{-}, E_{k}]^{-} = 0 .\]

Hence, we have:

\[(6.7.6) \quad [E_{E_i + E_k}^{-}, E_k]^{-} = 0 .\]

By (6.7.2), putting
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\[ E_{\kappa \rightarrow \kappa-1}^\nu = [\ldots [E_{N-1}^\rightarrow, E_{N-2}^\rightarrow, \ldots, E_{k+1}^\rightarrow, E_k^\rightarrow, E_k^\rightarrow]_{\omega_{k+2}^\rightarrow} \ldots, E_{k+1}^\rightarrow]_{\omega_{k+1}^\rightarrow} E_k^\rightarrow \cdot \]

we have:

\[ E_{i \rightarrow \kappa}^\nu = [E_{i \rightarrow \kappa-1}^\nu, E_{k-1}^\nu, E_{k-1}^\nu, E_{N-1}^\nu]_{\omega_{k-1}^\rightarrow} [E_{k-1}^\rightarrow, E_{N-1}^\rightarrow, E_{N-1}^\rightarrow]_{\omega_{N-1}^\rightarrow} E_{N-1}^\rightarrow \cdot \]

Hence, by Lemma 6.4.1 (iii), we have:

\[ (6.7.7) \quad [E_{i \rightarrow \kappa}^\nu, E_N^\rightarrow] = 0 , \]

as required. Remark 5.2.2 (i) also follows from this and (6.7.5).

6.8. Proof of Lemma 5.2 (ii) for \( \Phi^\text{red} \) of type \( A_{N-1}, B_N, C_N \)
or \( D_N \). Here we give a proof in the case when \( \Phi^\text{red} \) is of type

\( B_N \) and \( \beta = E_{i \rightarrow \kappa}^\nu \) (i+1 < k < N-1).

By Lemma 6.1.1 (ii) and (6.7.2), we have:

\[ (6.8.1) \quad [E_{i \rightarrow \kappa}^\nu, E_{u \rightarrow \kappa}^\nu]_{\omega_{i \rightarrow \kappa}} = 0 \text{ if } i < u \leq N . \]

Similarly to (6.7.1), we have

\[ E_{i \rightarrow \kappa}^\nu = [E_{u \rightarrow \kappa}^\nu, E_{k-1}^\nu, E_{k-1}^\nu, E_{k+1}^\nu, E_{k+1}^\nu, E_{u \rightarrow \kappa}^\nu]_{\omega_{k+1}^\rightarrow} \cdot \]

\[ E_{\kappa \rightarrow \kappa+2}^\nu = [E_{\kappa \rightarrow \kappa+2}^\nu, E_{\kappa+1}^\nu, E_{\kappa+1}^\nu]_{\omega_{\kappa+2}^\rightarrow} \cdot \]

if \( i < k < u \leq N \).
Hence, by Lemma 6.2.1 (ii) and (6.7.4), we have:

\[(6.8.2) \quad [E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i - E_{u}}, E_{-\bar{\varepsilon}_k}] = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad k < u \leq N.\]

By (6.7.3) and (6.8.1-2), we have:

\[(6.8.3) \quad [E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i - E_u}, E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i + E_k} q^{-d_i} - d_i = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad i < u < k-1 \quad \text{or} \quad k < u \leq N.\]

If \( \alpha = \bar{\varepsilon}_i - \bar{\varepsilon}_k \), then we can inductively show the formula by using the following fact.

\[
\begin{align*}
&[E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i - \bar{\varepsilon}_k}, E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_k} q^{-d_i} - d_i] \\
&= [E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i - \bar{\varepsilon}_k}, [E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_k} q^{-d_i} - d_i]] \\
&= \left\{ p(\bar{\varepsilon}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_k) p(\bar{\varepsilon}_i - \bar{\varepsilon}_k) \right\} (q^{-d_i} - d_i) \\
&= (-1) p(\bar{\varepsilon}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_k) p(\bar{\varepsilon}_i - \bar{\varepsilon}_k) q^{-d_i} - d_i] \\
&= (-1) p(\bar{\varepsilon}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_k) q^{-d_i} - d_i]] \\
&= (-1) p(\bar{\varepsilon}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_k) q^{-d_i} - d_i]] \\
&= \left\{ E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i - \bar{\varepsilon}_k}, E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_k} q^{-d_i} - d_i \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i} = [E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i - \bar{\varepsilon}_N}, E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_N} q^{-d_N}] \), by (6.7.7) and (6.8.3), we have:

\[(6.8.4) \quad [E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i}, E_{\bar{\varepsilon}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_k} q^{-d_i} = 0.\]

By (6.7.5), (6.7.7) and (6.8.4), we have
(6.8.5) \[ \left[ E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} + \bar{E}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} , E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} + \bar{E}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} \right] q^{-d_i} = 0 \text{ if } k < u \leq N . \]

Other cases can be shown similarly.

6.9. Proof of Lemma 5.2 (iii) for \( \Phi_+^{\text{red}} \) of type A\(_{N-1}\), B\(_N\), C\(_N\) or D\(_N\). Here we give a proof in the case when \( \Phi_+^{\text{red}} \) is of type B\(_N\) and \( \alpha = \bar{E}_i + \bar{E}_k \) \((1+1 < k < N-1)\).

By Definition 5.1.1 and (6.7.6), (6.8.5), we have:

\[
0 = \left[ [ E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} , E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} \right] q^d \bar{E}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} \bar{E}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} q^{-d_i} \\
= [ E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} , E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} + E_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} \right] - \bar{d}_i \\
= (1 - (1)) p(\bar{E}_i + \bar{E}_k) q^{-d_i} \bar{d}_i \bar{E}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} \bar{E}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}}^2 .
\]

Since \( p(\bar{E}_i + \bar{E}_k) = 1 \), we have \( \bar{E}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}} \bar{E}_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\beta}}^2 = 0 \).

Other cases can be proved similarly.
§7. Braid group action on the quantized enveloping algebra $U_h(G)$ of a simple Lie algebra $G$.

7.1. In this section, we briefly explain the braid group action on $U_h(G)$ introduced by Lusztig [9] and [10].

Let $(\delta, \Pi = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), p)$ be a triple system. Assume that $\Pi$ is the set of the simple roots of a complex simple Lie algebra $G$ and that $p(\alpha_i) = 0$ for any $\alpha_i$. Put $d_i = (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n$) and $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$. Let $s_i \in GL(\delta)$ be such that $s_i^2 = 1$ and $s_i^2 = \frac{2(\alpha_i, x)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)^{\alpha_i}} (x \in \delta)$. Let $W$ be the Weyl group, i.e., the group generated by the elements $s_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Let $U_h^\sigma = U_h^\sigma((\delta, \Pi, p), D)$ be the $h$-adic $R$-Hopf algebra defined in Theorem 2.9.4. Put $F_i = E_i^\sigma$. Then Drinfeld's [2] $U_h(G)$ is equal to the unital subalgebra of $U_h^\sigma$ $h$-adically generated by the elements $E_i, F_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), $H \in \mathcal{H}$ (see Theorem 2.10.1). We have $U_h^\sigma = U_h(G) \oplus R \langle \sigma \rangle$. We put

$U_h((\delta, \Pi)) = U_h(G)$.

Let $d_i = \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n$) and $q_i = \exp(hd_i)$. Put $K_i = \exp(hH_{\alpha_i})$ and $E_i^{(r)} = E_i^{r}/[r] q_i^!$, $F_i^{(r)} = F_i^{r}/[r] q_i^!$

where $[r] q_i^! = \prod_{v = 1}^{r} q_i^v - q_i^{-v}$. In [9] and [10], Lusztig introduced a braid group action on $U_h((\delta, \Pi))$.

Proposition 7.1.1. ([9] and [10]) (i) For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, there is a unique algebra automorphism $T_i$ (resp. $T_i^{-1}$) on $U_h(G)$ such that
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\[ T_i(E_i) = -F_iK_i, \quad (\text{resp. } T_i^{-1}(E_i) = -K_i^{-1}F_i), \]
\[ T_i(F_i) = -K_i^{-1}E_i, \quad (\text{resp. } T_i^{-1}(F_i) = -E_iK_i), \]
\[ T_i(E_j) = \sum (-1)^{r+s}q_i^{-s}E_i^rE_j^sE_i^r = 0, \quad \text{if } r+s = -a_{ij} \]
\[ (\text{resp. } T_i^{-1}(E_j) = \sum (-1)^{r+s}q_i^{-s}E_i^rE_j^sE_i^r = 0) \quad (i \neq j), \]
\[ T_i(F_j) = \sum (-1)^{r+s}q_i^{-s}F_i^rE_j^sE_i^r = 0, \quad \text{if } r+s = -a_{ij} \]
\[ (\text{resp. } T_i^{-1}(F_j) = \sum (-1)^{r+s}q_i^{-s}E_i^rE_j^sE_i^r = 0) \quad (i \neq j). \]
\[ T_i(H_\lambda) = H_{s_i}(\lambda) \quad (\text{resp. } T_i^{-1}(H_\lambda) = H_{s_i}(\lambda)) \quad (\lambda \in \delta). \]

(ii) \( T_i \) s satisfy the braid relations:

\[ T_iT_jT_i \cdots = T_iT_jT_i \cdots \quad (1 \leq i \neq j \leq n) \]
\[ (m_{ij}) \quad (m_{ij}) \]

where \( m_{ij} = 2 + 4(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)^2/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) \). In particular, for any \( w \in W \), there is a unique element \( T_w \) such that \( T_w = T_i \cdots T_i \) for any reduced expression \( w = s_i \cdots s_i \).

7.2. Let \( \Phi (\subset \delta) \) be the set of the roots of \( G \) and \( \Phi_+ \) the set of the positive roots with respect to \( \Pi \). Put \( N_- = N_+ \).

Lusztig proved:

Proposition 7.2.1. ([9] and [10]) (i) If \( w \in W \) and
\[ \alpha_i \in \Pi \text{ satisfies } w(\alpha_i) \in \Phi_+, \text{ then } T_w(E_i) \in N_+ \text{ and } T_w(F_i) \in N_. \]

(ii) If \( w \in W \) and \( \alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \Pi \) satisfies \( w(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j \),
then \( T_w(E_i) = E_j \) and \( T_w(F_i) = F_j \).

§8. Commutator relations for root vectors of \( N_+ \) (type \( F_4 \)).

8.1. First, in the subsections 8.1-3, we treat

\( N_+ \subset U_h(G(F_4)) \) associated to the complex simple Lie algebra
\( G(F_4) \) of type \( F_4 \). We denote this \( N_+ \) by \( N_+ \). In §8, the
symbols \( \Pi, \alpha_i, I_+, E_i, \ldots \) respectively mean \( \Pi, \alpha_i, I_+, E_i, \ldots \) defined for the simple Lie algebra \( G(F_4) \). So, for
example, \( \Pi \) is the set of simple roots of \( G(F_4) \) in an
Euclidean space \( \delta \). Namely \( \Pi = (\check{\alpha}_1, \check{\alpha}_2, \check{\alpha}_3, \check{\alpha}_4) \) with
\[ \check{\alpha}_1 = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3, \check{\alpha}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4), \check{\alpha}_3 = \varepsilon_4, \]
\[ \check{\alpha}_4 = \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 \text{ where } \varepsilon_i (1 \leq i \leq 4) \text{ is a basis of } \delta \text{ satisfying } (\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = \delta_{ij}. \]
The Dynkin diagram of \( (\delta, \Pi) \) is given by:

\[ \begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\
\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 & \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 & \varepsilon_4 & \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4) \\
\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 & & & & & & &
\end{array} \]

8.2. Let \( \Phi_+ \) be the set of positive roots of \( G(F_4) \). Put
\[ \Phi_{+,1} = (\check{\beta} = \check{\Phi}_+ | \check{\beta} = \check{\alpha}_1 + n_1 \check{\alpha}_4 + n_3 \check{\alpha}_3 + n_2 \check{\alpha}_2 ). \]
Then the number of elements \( \Phi_{+,1} \) is equal to 15. Define \( w_1 \in \Pi \) by the following
reduced expression:

\[ - 79 - \]
\[(8.2.1) \quad w_1 = s_1s_2s_3s_4s_1s_3s_2s_1s_4s_2s_3s_2s_1 \]

The following lemma can be verified directly.

**Lemma 8.2.2.** For \(1 \leq t \leq 15\), let \(s_{i_t}\) be the \(t\)-th generator in the reduced expression \((8.2.1)\) of \(w_1\). We put
\[\beta_t = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{t-1}} (\bar{\alpha}_t) .\]
Then \(\Phi_{+,1} = \{\beta_t (1 \leq i \leq 15)\}\).

For \(\beta_t = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{t-1}} (\bar{\alpha}_t) \in \Phi_{+,1}\), put
\[e_{\beta_t} = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{t-1}} (E_{\bar{\alpha}_t}).\]
By Proposition 7.2.1, we see that \(e_{\beta_t} \in N_+\).

8.3. By using Proposition 7.2.1, and reducing to rank 2 cases, we can obtain the following identities. Here we put
\[e_{abcd} = e_{a\bar{\alpha}_1} + b\bar{\alpha}_4 + c\bar{\alpha}_3 + d\bar{\alpha}_2.\] See also [10].

**Lemma 8.3.1.** The following identities hold.

\[-[e_{1110}, E_2]_{q-1} = e_{1111}, \quad [e_{1111}, e_{1120}] = 0, \]
\[-[e_{1120}, E_2]_{q-2} = e_{1121}, \]
\[-[e_{1111}, E_4] = 0, \quad -[e_{1111}, E_3]_{q-1} = e_{1121}.\]
\[-[e_{1220}, E_2]_q^{-2} = e_{1221}, \quad [e_{1121}, e_{1220}] = 0, \]
\[-[e_{1121}, E_4]_q^{-2} = e_{1221}, \quad [e_{1121}, E_3]_q = 0, \]
\[\quad [e_{1221}, E_4]_q = 0, \quad [-e_{1221}, E_3]_q = e_{1231}, \]
\[\quad [e_{1231}, E_4] = 0, \quad [-e_{1231}, E_3]_q = 0. \]

8.4. For type $F_4$, we use the following fact.

Lemma 8.4.1. Let $\mathcal{N}_+$ be the $R$-algebra defined for the distinguished triple system $(\delta, \pi, p)$ of type $F_4$ (see §4). Let
\[\nu = n_1\alpha_1 + n_4\alpha_4 + n_3\alpha_3 + n_2\alpha_2 \in P_+ \] be such that $n_2 = 0$ or $1$.
Then there exists an $R$-module isomorphism $j_\nu : N_+, \nu \to N_+, \nu$ such that
\[i_\nu(E_{11} \cdots E_{iu}) = E_{i1} \cdots E_{iu} \] for any monomial
\[\quad (\alpha_{i1} + \cdots + \alpha_{iu} = \nu) \] in $N_+, \nu$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.10.1, $I_+ = (y_{ij} \mid i \neq j)$ where $y_{ij} \mid i \neq j$ are elements given in (2.10.2). Let $\mathfrak{I}_+$ be the ideal of $\bar{N}_+$ defined in Definition 4.2.1 for type $F_4$. Then, for
\[\nu \in P_+, \quad \bar{N}_+, \nu = \bar{N}_+, \nu / (I_+ \cap \bar{N}_+, \nu), \quad N_+, \nu = N_+, \nu / (\mathfrak{I}_+ \cap \bar{N}_+, \nu). \] The lemma now follows by observing that $I_+ \cap \bar{N}_+, \nu = \mathfrak{I}_+ \cap \bar{N}_+, \nu$ if
\[\nu = n_1\alpha_1 + n_4\alpha_4 + n_3\alpha_3 + n_2\alpha_2 \in P_+ \] with $n_2 = 0$ or $1$.

8.5. By Lemma 8.3.1, we can easily show:

Lemma 8.5.1. Let
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$$\alpha = a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_4 + c\alpha_3 + d\alpha_2 \in \Phi_{+,1}^{\text{red}}(\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_2).$$ Then we have

$$j_{\nu}(e^{a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_4 + c\alpha_3 + d\alpha_2}) = (-1)^{a+b+c+d}E_{a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_4 + c\alpha_3 + d\alpha_2}.$$  

8.6. Proof of Lemma 5.2.1 (i) for $\Phi_{+,1}^{\text{red}}$ of type $F_4$. Here we put $E_{abcd} = E_{a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_4 + c\alpha_3 + d\alpha_2}$. By Lemma 8.3.1, Lemma 8.4.1 and Lemma 5.2.1 (i) for type $B_3$ and $C_3$ (see §6), it is enough to show:

(8.6.1) $[E_{-\alpha}, E_{\alpha}]_q = 0$

for $E_\alpha = E_{1111}, E_{1121}, E_{1221}, E_{1232}, E_{2232}, E_{2321}, E_{3212}, E_{3221}, E_{3311}, E_{3321}$, and

(8.6.2) $[E_{1232}, E_4] = [E_{1232}, E_3] = 0$.

Since $E_2^2 = 0$ and $E_\alpha \in R[E_{\alpha - \alpha_2}, E_2]_q = (\alpha - \alpha_2, \alpha_2)$, the formulas (8.6.1) follow from (4.4.3).

Since $E_{1232} = (q^2+1+q^{-2})^{-1}[E_{1231}, E_2]_q - 3$, by Lemma 8.3.1 and Lemma 8.4.1, we have $[E_{1232}, E_4] = 0$. By Lemma 8.3.1, Lemma 8.4.1, (8.6.1) and (4.4.5), we have:

$$0 = [[[E_{1221}, E_2]_{-2}, E_3]_{-2}, E_3] = (q+q^2)(q^{-1}E_{1231}E_{0011} + q^{-3}E_{0011}E_{1231})$$
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\[(q+q^{-1})[E_{1231},E_{0011}]_q^{-2} = (q+q^{-1})[E_{1232},E_3]_q.\]

8.7. Proof of Lemma 5.2.1 (iii) for type $F_4$. By Lemma 5.2.1 (iii) for type $C_3$ (see §5), it is enough to show:

\[(8.7.1) \quad E_\alpha^2 = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha \in \Phi_{+,1}^{\text{red}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha, \alpha) = 0.\]

We show (8.7.1) by the induction on \(\text{ht}(\alpha)\).

Since \(E_2^2 = 0\) and \(E_{1111} = [E_{1110},E_2]_q^{-1}\),
\([E_{1111},E_2]_q^{-1} = 0\). Hence, by Lemma 8.3.1 and Lemma 8.4.1,

\[0 = [[E_{1110},E_2]_q^{-1},E_{1111}]_q^2 = (1+q^2)E_{1111}^2.\]

If \(\text{ht}(\alpha) > 4\), \(E_\alpha = [E_\beta,E_i]_q-(\alpha,\alpha_i)\) for some \(i \in \{2,3,4\}\) and \(\beta \in \Phi_{+,1}^{\text{red}}\) such that \((\beta, \beta) = 0\). In this case,
\([E_\alpha,E_i]_q-(\alpha,\alpha_i) = 0\) by Lemma 8.3.1 and Lemma 8.4.1, and
\([E_\beta,E_\alpha]_q-(\alpha,\beta) = 0\) since \(E_\beta^2 = 0\) and (4.4.3). Hence we have:

\[0 = [[E_\beta,E_i]_q-(\beta,\alpha_i),E_\alpha]_q^{-(\alpha,\beta)+(\alpha,\alpha_i)} \cdot E_\alpha^2.\]

8.8. Proof of Lemma 5.2.1 (ii) for type $F_4$. By Lemma 5.2.1 (ii) for type $B_3$ and $C_3$ (see §6), it is enough to show:
(8.8.1) \[ [E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}]_q^{(\alpha, \beta)} = 0 \text{ if } \alpha, \beta \in \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}}. \]

By Lemma 8.3.1, Lemma 8.4.1 and (8.7.1), (4.4.3), we can easily show:

\[ [E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}]_q = 0 \text{ if } \alpha, \beta \in \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}} \text{ and } \text{ht}(\beta) = \text{ht}(\alpha), \]

and

\[ [E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}]_q^{-(\alpha, \beta)} = 0 \text{ if } \alpha, \beta \in \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}} \text{ and } \text{ht}(\beta) - \text{ht}(\alpha) = 1. \]

In the case of \( \text{ht}(\beta) - \text{ht}(\alpha) \geq 2 \), we can choose the elements \( \gamma \in \Phi_{+}^{\text{red}} \) and \( \alpha_i \in \Pi \) in such a way that \( E_{\beta} = [E_{\gamma}, E_{\alpha_i}]_q^{-(\gamma, \alpha_i)} \) holds for some \( \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha} \in R^X \). By (4.4.2), we see:

\[
[E_{\alpha}, [E_{\gamma}, E_{\alpha_i}]_q^{-(\gamma, \alpha_i)}]_q^{-(\alpha, \gamma + \alpha_i)}
\]
\[= [[E_{\alpha}, E_{\gamma}]_q^{-(\alpha, \gamma)}, E_{\alpha_i}]_q^{-(\gamma + \alpha, \alpha_i)}
\]
\[+ (-1)^p(\alpha)p(\gamma) [E_{\gamma}, E_{\alpha_i}]_q^{-(\alpha, \gamma)}E_{\gamma} [E_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha_i}]_q^{-(\alpha, \alpha_i)}
\]
\[- (-1)^p(\gamma)p(\alpha_i) [E_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha_i}]_q^{-(\gamma, \alpha_i)} E_{\gamma}. \]

Since \( \alpha < \gamma < \beta \), we finish the proof using part (i) of Lemma 5.2.1.

§9. Commutator relations for root vectors of \( \mathfrak{g}_+ \) (type \( G_3 \))
9.1. Let \((\delta, \pi = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), p)\) be the distinguished triple system of type \(G_3\) (see \(\S 3\)). Let \(\mathcal{U}_h = \mathcal{U}_h(\mathfrak{G}(G_3))\) be an \(h\)-adic \(R\)-algebra with generators \(E_i, F_i (1 \leq i \leq 3), H \in \mathfrak{H}\) and relations:

\begin{align*}
(9.1.1) \quad [H_1, H_2] &= 0 \quad (H_1, H_2 \in \mathfrak{H}), \\
(9.1.2) \quad [H, E_i] &= \alpha_i(H)E_i \quad (H, F_i) = -\alpha_i(H)F_i \quad (H \in \mathfrak{H}), \\
(9.1.3) \quad E_iF_j - (-1)^{\delta_{ij}}F_jE_i &= \frac{p(\alpha_i)p(\alpha_j)}{sh(h_{H_i})} \\
(9.1.4) \quad E_i^2 &= 0, \\
1 + |a_{ij}| &\sum_{\nu=0}^{1+|a_{ij}|} (-1)^{\nu} \left(1 + |a_{ij}| - \nu \right)^{\nu} \frac{E_i}{\nu} E_j E_i = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j \quad \text{and} \\
p(\alpha_i) = 0, \\
(9.1.5) \quad F_i^2 &= 0, \\
1 + |a_{ij}| &\sum_{\nu=0}^{1+|a_{ij}|} (-1)^{\nu} \left(1 + |a_{ij}| - \nu \right)^{\nu} \frac{F_i}{\nu} F_j F_i = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j \quad \text{and} \\
p(\alpha_i) = 0.
\end{align*}

Let \(\mathcal{M}_+\) be the \(R\)-algebra with generators \(E_1, E_2, E_3\) which was defined in \(\S 4\) for the distinguished triple system \((\delta, \pi, p)\) of type \(G_3\). Then it is obvious that there exists an \(R\)-algebra map \(i_+: \mathcal{M}_+ \to \mathcal{U}_h\) (resp. \(i_-: \mathcal{M}_- \to \mathcal{U}_h\)) such that \(i_+(E_i) = E_i\) (resp. \(i_+(E_i) = F_i\)) \((i = 1, 2, 3)\). Let \(\mathcal{M}_- = i_-(\mathcal{M}_+)\) and \(\mathcal{M}_-\), \(\alpha = i_-(\mathcal{M}_+, \alpha)\) \((\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}_+)\).

Put \(\mathcal{U}_h(\mathfrak{G}(G_2)) = \mathcal{U}_h(\mathfrak{C}_{\alpha_2} \oplus \mathfrak{C}_{\alpha_3}, (\alpha_3, \alpha_2))\) (see 7.1).
Similarly to Theorem 1 (iii)-(iv) of [15], we have:

Lemma 9.1.1. (The triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{U}_h(\mathfrak{g}(G_3))$)

(i) The maps $i_+$ and $i_-$ are injective. As $h$-adic topological $R$-modules,

$$\mathfrak{U}_h \cong N_+ \otimes_{S[N^R]} N_-.$$  

(ii) There exists an injective $h$-adic topological algebra map $f : U_h(G(G_2)) \to \mathfrak{U}_h$ such that $f(E_1) = E_1$, $f(F_i) = F_i$ ($i = 3, 2$) and $f(H_\lambda) = H_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}$).

We omit the proof.

9.2. We shall extend the braid group action on $U_h(G(G_2))$ in §7 to the one on $U_h(\mathfrak{g}(G_3))$ ($\Rightarrow \mathfrak{U}_h(G(G_2))$). By direct computations, we can show the following lemma. We omit the proof.

Lemma 9.2.1. Let $T_i, T_i^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(U_h(G(G_2)))$ ($i = 3, 2$) be of Proposition 7.2.1, Then $T_i, T_i^{-1}$ ($i = 3, 2$) can be extended to automorphisms of $\mathfrak{U}_h(\mathfrak{g}(G_3))$ such that

\[
\begin{align*}
T_3(E_1) &= -E_3E_1 + q^{-1}E_1E_3, 
T_2(E_1) &= E_1, \\
T_3^{-1}(E_1) &= -E_1E_3 + q^{-1}E_3E_1, 
T_2^{-1}(E_1) &= E_1, \\
T_3(F_1) &= -qF_3F_1 + F_1F_3, 
T_2(F_1) &= F_1, \\
T_3^{-1}(F_1) &= -qF_1F_3 + F_3F_1, 
T_2^{-1}(F_1) &= F_1
\end{align*}
\]
\[ T_i(H_{\lambda}) = H_{s_i}(\lambda) \quad \text{(resp.} \quad T_i^{-1}(H_{\lambda}) = H_{s_i}(\lambda) \quad \text{(} \lambda \in \mathcal{S} \)} \]

\((i = 3, 2)\).  

9.3. Put

(9.3.1) \[ e_{110} = T_3(E_1), \quad e_{111} = T_2 T_3(E_1), \quad e_{131} = T_3 T_2 T_3(E_1), \]

(9.3.2) \[ e_{121} = (q + q^{-1})^{-1} [e_{111}, E_3] \quad \text{(see 4.4 for the notation)} \]

(9.3.3) \[ e_{132} = T_2 T_3 T_2 T_3(E_1), \quad e_{142} = T_2 T_3 T_2 T_3 T_2 T_3(E_1). \]

Lemma 9.3.4. We have:

\[ [e_{abc}, E_1] = 0 \]

if \( i \in \{3, 2\} \) and \( a_{\alpha_1} + b_{\alpha_3} + c_{\alpha_2} + \alpha_i \notin \Phi_+^{\text{red}} \).

Proof. We are in one of the following three cases.

(i) \((a, b, c, i) = (1, 1, 0, 3), (1, 1, 1, 2), (1, 3, 1, 3), (1, 4, 2, 2)\),

(ii) \((a, b, c, i) = (1, 2, 1, 1)\),

(iii) \((a, b, c, i) = (1, 4, 2, 3)\).

(i) In this case, if we write \( e_{abc} = T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_u}(E_1) \) as in
(9.3.1-3), then, by Proposition 7.1.1 and Proposition 7.2.1, we have:

\[(T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_u})^{-1}(E_i) = -\exp(-h\gamma) X\]

where \(\gamma = (s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_u})^{-1}(\alpha_i)\) and

\[X = (T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_u})^{-1}(F_i) \in N_{-\gamma} \gamma\]. Hence we have (9.3.5) in this case.

(ii) By (4.4.2) and (i), we have:

\[\{e_{121}, E_2\} = (q+q^{-1})^{-1}\{\{e_{111}, E_3\}, E_2\}\]
\[= (q+q^{-1})^{-1}\{e_{111}, [E_3, E_2]\} = (q+q^{-1})^{-1}\{T_2 T_3 (E_1), -T_2 (E_3)\}\]
\[= -(q+q^{-1})^{-1}\{e_{110}, E_3\} = 0\].

(iii) By Proposition 7.2.1 and (i), we have:

\[\{e_{142}, E_3\} = \{T_2 T_3 T_2 T_3 (E_1), T_2 T_3 T_2 T_3 (E_3)\}\]
\[= \{e_{110}, E_3\} = 0\]

This completes the proof.

Lemme 9.3.5. We have:

(i) \(e_{110} = q^{-1}\{E_1, E_3\}\).
(ii) \( e_{142} = q^{-1}[e_{132},E_3] \).

(iii)

(9.3.6) \( e_{111} = q^{-3}[e_{110},E_2] \), \( e_{131} = q^{-2}[e_{121},E_3] \),
\( e_{132} = q^{-3}[e_{131},E_2] \).

In particular, \( e_{abc} \in \mathcal{N}_+ \).

Proof. (i) Clear.

(ii)

\[
e_{142} = T_{2}T_{3}T_{2}T_{3}T_{2}(E_1) = T_{2}T_{3}T_{2}T_{3}T_{2}(e_{110})
= \frac{1}{q-1}[T_{2}T_{3}T_{2}T_{3}(E_1),T_{2}T_{3}T_{2}T_{3}(E_3)]
\].

By Proposition 7.2.1, \( T_{2}T_{3}T_{2}T_{3}T_{2}(E_3) = E_3 \). Hence

\[
e_{142} = q^{-1}[e_{132},E_3] \).

(iii) The formulas (9.3.6) can be verified by direct computations. We sketch the proof. We write
\( e_{1bc} = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_u} (E_1) \) as in (9.3.1-3). Then

\[
e_{1bc} = q^{-1}T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_u} ([E_1,E_3]) = q^{-1}[e_{1yz},T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_u} (E_3)]
\].

Here, if \( (b,c) = (1,1) \) (resp. (3,1), (3,2)), then
\( (y,z) = (0,0) \) (resp. (1,0), (1,1)). By Proposition 7.2.1 (ii),
\( T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_u} (E_3) \in \mathcal{N}_+, (b-y)\alpha_3 + (c-z)\alpha_2 \).
In fact, by direct computations, we can show that
\[ T_2(E_3) = q^{-3}[E_3,E_2] \text{ (resp. } T_3 T_2(E_3) = q^{-4}(q+q^{-1})^{-1}[E_2,E_3], \]
\[ T_2 T_3 T_2(E_3) = q^{-4}(q+q^{-1})^{-1}[E_3,E_2] \text{ if } (b,c) = (1,1) \text{ (resp. } (3,1), (3,2)). \]
By the formulas in Lemma 9.3.1 and the formulas which we have already shown in this lemma, and by using the formula (4.4.2) repeatedly, we have the formulas (iii). For example,
\[
\begin{align*}
e_{1111} &= T_2 T_3(E_3) = T_2(e_{110}) = q^{-1}[E_1,T_2(E_3)] \\
&= q^{-4}[E_1,E_3], E_2 = q^{-3}[e_{110},E_2].
\end{align*}
\]
This proves the first formula. The second (resp. third) formula can be proved similarly using the first one (resp. the first and the second ones).

**Lemma 9.3.7.** We have:

(i) \( e_{1bc}^2 = 0 \) if \( (b,c) \neq (2,1) \).

(ii) \([e_{1bc},e_{1yz}] = 0 \) if \( b+c-y-z = 1 \).

**Proof.** (i) This is obvious from (9.3.1) and (9.3.3).

(ii) If \( (b,c) \neq (3,1) \), then, by Lemma 9.3.6,
\[ e_{1bc} \in R[e_{1yz},E_i] \text{ for some } i \in (3,2). \] By (i), \( e_{1yz}^2 = 0 \). Hence, by (4.4.3), we have (ii). If \( (b,c) = (3,1) \), then \( (y,z) = (2,1) \).
Since \([e_{111}, e_{121}] = 0\), we have

\[
0 = [[[e_{111}, e_{121}], E_3], E_3]
= (1+q^{-2})e_{121}((q^4 e_{131}) - (-1)q^4((q^{-2} e_{131})e_{121})
+ (q^2 e_{131})e_{121} - (-1)q^2 e_{121}(q^2 e_{131})
= q^2(q^{-2}+1+q^2)[e_{121}, e_{131}]
\]

by (4.4.5). Hence we get (ii).

For \(\alpha = a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_3 + c\alpha_2 \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}\), put \(E_{abc} = E_{a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_3 + c\alpha_2}\).

The next lemma easily follows from Lemma 9.3.6.

Lemma 9.3.8. We have:

\[
E_{110} = q e_{110}, \ E_{111} = q^2 e_{111}, \ E_{121} = q^4 e_{121},
E_{131} = q^6 e_{131}, \ E_{132} = q^9 e_{132}, \ E_{142} = q^{10} e_{142}.
\]

By Lemma 9.3.7 and Lemma 9.3.8, and an argument similar to that in 8.8, we have:

Lemma 9.3.9. Let \(\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}\), \(\alpha < \beta\) be such that \(\alpha < \beta\). Then we have: 
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\[ [E_\alpha, E_\beta]_q^{-(\alpha, \beta)} = \sum_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_u} \phi_{+,1}^{\text{red}_{\alpha<\beta}} c_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_u} E_{\gamma_1} \cdots E_{\gamma_u} \]

for some \( c_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_u} \in R \).

9.4. By the definition of \( \mathcal{U}_h \) (see 9.1), we can easily see that there is a \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra isomorphism \( r : \mathcal{U}_h \to \mathcal{U}_h \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
    r(E_1) &= E_1, \quad r(F_i) = F_i, \quad r(H) = H \quad (H \in \mathcal{N}), \quad r(h) = -h.
\end{align*}
\]

Put

\[
\begin{align*}
    e_{010} &= E_3, \quad e_{011} = T_2(E_3), \quad e_{032} = T_2 T_3(E_2), \\
    e_{021} &= T_2 T_3 T_2(E_3), \quad e_{031} = T_2 T_3 T_2 T_3(E_2), \quad e_{001} = E_2.
\end{align*}
\]

By Proposition 7.2.1, we see that the above elements belong to \( \mathcal{N}_+ \).

By direct computations, we can get commutator relations for the above elements. For example, such commutator relations are found in Section 5 in [10]. From them, we have:

Lemma 9.4.1. We have:

(i) \( E_{010} = E_3, \quad E_{011} = -r(e_{011}), \quad E_{032} = -r(e_{032}), \quad E_{021} = r(e_{021}), \quad E_{031} = -r(e_{031}), \quad E_{001} = E_2. \)
(ii) The q-root vectors \( E_{010}, E_{011}, E_{032}, E_{021}, E_{031}, E_{001} \)
satisfy the commutator relations in Lemma 5.2.1 (i)-(ii).

9.5. By lemmas in 9.3-4, we can prove Lemma 5.2.1 and
Remark 5.2.2 for \( \mathcal{N}_+ \) of type \( G_3 \). We omit the details.

§10. Main results.

10.1. Let \( \langle \delta, \Pi = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), p \rangle \) be the triple system
satisfying the assumption in 3.1.

Lemma 10.1.1. Let \( \alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}} \). Then, in the \( h \)-adic topological
\( R^- \)-Hopf algebra \( \mathfrak{U}_{\sqrt{h}}^{\delta_+^\sigma} \), we have:

\[
(10.1.2) \quad \Delta^-(E_{\alpha}) = (E_{\alpha} \otimes 1 + \exp(\sqrt{h} \, H_\alpha) \sigma^p(\alpha) \otimes E_{\alpha})
\epsilon \sum_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_u \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}, g(\alpha)(\langle \alpha \rangle)} \mathfrak{U}_{\sqrt{h}}^{\delta_+^\sigma} \otimes E_{\gamma_1} \cdots E_{\gamma_u}.
\]

Proof. We use the induction with respect to the order \( < \)
on \( \Phi_+^{\text{red}}, g(\alpha) \). Then, by using Definition 5.1.1 and Lemma 5.2.1,
we can show that

\[
(10.1.3) \quad \Delta^-(E_{\alpha}) = (E_{\alpha} \otimes 1 + \exp(\sqrt{h} \, H_\alpha) \sigma^p(\alpha) \otimes E_{\alpha})
\epsilon \sum_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_u \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}, g(\alpha)(\langle \alpha \rangle)} \]
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\[ X_{\alpha-\gamma_1-\cdots-\gamma_u} \exp(\sqrt{\hbar} \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_u) \sigma^{p(\gamma_1) \cdots p(\gamma_u)}_\gamma E_{\gamma_1} \cdots E_{\gamma_u} \]

for some \( X_{\alpha-\gamma_1-\cdots-\gamma_u} \in \mathcal{X}^+, \alpha-\gamma_1-\cdots-\gamma_u \) where \( u = c_\alpha \) (see 3.2 for the notation \( c_\alpha \)).

10.2. Put \( \Psi_n(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i \in \mathbb{C}[t] \). Let \( q = e^h \). As an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.2.1 and Lemma 10.1.1, we have:

**Lemma 10.2.1**

\[
(10.2.2) \quad \left< \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} E_\alpha \right| \left< \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} E_\alpha \right>_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} \delta_{m_\alpha, n_\alpha} \psi((-1)^{p(\alpha)} q(\alpha, \alpha)) \left< E_\alpha, E_\alpha \right>_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}}^{n_\alpha}.
\]

(See 5.3 for the notation \( \left< \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} \right> \).)

Proof. Note that \( \left< \ , \right> \) is symmetric. Let \( \gamma \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}} \) be such that \( m_\gamma + n_\gamma \neq 0 \) and \( m_\gamma^- = n_\gamma^- = 0 \) for all \( \gamma^- > \gamma \). Assume \( m_\gamma \geq n_\gamma \). By Lemma 5.2.1 and Lemma 10.1.1, we have:

\[
\left< \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} E_\alpha \right| \left< \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} E_\alpha \right>_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}} \delta_{m_\alpha, n_\alpha} \psi((-1)^{p(\alpha)} q(\alpha, \alpha)) \left< E_\alpha, E_\alpha \right>_{\alpha \in \Phi_+^{\text{red}}}^{n_\alpha}.
\]
\[
\langle \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} \frac{m^\gamma}{E_{\alpha}^\gamma} \overline{E_{\gamma}} \rangle \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} m_{\gamma - 1} = \langle \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} \frac{m^\gamma}{E_{\alpha}^\gamma} \overline{E_{\gamma}} \rangle \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} m_{\gamma - 1}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&= \langle \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} \frac{m^\gamma}{E_{\alpha}^\gamma} \overline{E_{\gamma}} \rangle \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} m_{\gamma - 1} \\
&= \langle \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} \frac{m^\gamma}{E_{\alpha}^\gamma} \overline{E_{\gamma}} \rangle \\
&\cdot \left( \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} \frac{m^\gamma}{E_{\alpha}^\gamma} \overline{E_{\gamma}} \right) \\
&= \langle \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} \frac{m^\gamma}{E_{\alpha}^\gamma} \overline{E_{\gamma}} \rangle \\
&\cdot \left( \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} \frac{m^\gamma}{E_{\alpha}^\gamma} \overline{E_{\gamma}} \right) \\
&= \langle \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} \frac{m^\gamma}{E_{\alpha}^\gamma} \overline{E_{\gamma}} \rangle \\
&\cdot \left( \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}}(\langle \gamma \rangle)} \frac{m^\gamma}{E_{\alpha}^\gamma} \overline{E_{\gamma}} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

where we regarded \( E_{\gamma}^{-1} \) as 0.

Iterating this procedure, we can prove the lemma.

10.3. Here we determine the values \( \langle E_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha} \rangle \) (\( \alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}} \)).

Define \( d_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z} \) (\( \alpha \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}} \)) by

\[
d_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } (\alpha, \alpha) = 0 , \\
2 & \text{if } \Phi^+_{\text{red}} \text{ is of type } G_3 \text{ and } \alpha = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 , \\
\frac{|(\alpha, \alpha)|}{2} & \text{otherwise} .
\end{cases}
\]

For \( \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \alpha_i \in \Phi^+_{\text{red}} \), put

\[
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\[
b(\alpha) = (q^{\alpha} - q^{-\alpha}) \langle E_\alpha, E_\alpha \rangle / \prod_{i=1}^{n} (q^{d_i} - q^{-d_i}) \ c_i \in K
\]

Lemma 10.3.1. For any \( \alpha \in \Phi^\text{red} \), \( b(\alpha) \) can be written as

\[
b(\alpha) = (-1)^a q^b
\]

for some \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \). More precisely, for each type of \( \Phi^\text{red} \), \( b(\alpha) \) \( (\alpha \in \Phi^\text{red}_+) \) are given by:

(i) (Type \( A_{N-1} \))

\[
b(\overline{\epsilon}_i - \overline{\epsilon}_j) = \prod_{i < l < j} d_l q^{d_l} \quad (i < j).
\]

(ii) (Type \( B_N \))

\[
b(\overline{\epsilon}_i - \overline{\epsilon}_j) = \prod_{i < l < j} d_l q^{d_l} \quad (i < j), \quad b(\overline{\epsilon}_i) = \prod_{i < l \leq N} d_l q^{d_l},
\]

\[
b(\overline{\epsilon}_i + \overline{\epsilon}_j) = (-1)^{p(\alpha)} q^{N-1} \prod_{i < l \leq j, j < l \leq N} d_l q^{d_l} \quad (i < j).
\]

(iii) (Type \( C_N \))

\[
b(\overline{\epsilon}_i - \overline{\epsilon}_j) = \prod_{i < l < j} d_l q^{d_l} \quad (i < j), \quad b(2\overline{\epsilon}_i) = \prod_{i < l \leq N} d_l q^{d_l} \quad (p(\overline{\epsilon}_i - \overline{\epsilon}_N) = 0),
\]

\[
b(\overline{\epsilon}_i + \overline{\epsilon}_j) = q^{N-1} \prod_{i < l \leq j, j < l \leq N} d_l q^{d_l} \quad (i < j).
\]

(iv) (Type \( D_N \))
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\[ b(\bar{\varepsilon}_1 - \bar{\varepsilon}_j) = \prod_{i < j} \bar{d}_i q^\frac{d_i}{2} (i < j), \quad b(2\bar{\varepsilon}_i) = \prod_{i < l \leq N} \bar{d}_i q^{2\bar{d}_i} (p(\bar{\varepsilon}_i - \bar{\varepsilon}_N) = 0), \]

\[ b(\bar{\varepsilon}_i + \bar{\varepsilon}_j) = \prod_{i < l \leq j} \bar{d}_l q^{2\bar{d}_l} (i < j). \]

(v) (Type $F_4$) Here $b_{abcd}$ denotes $b(a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_4 + c\alpha_3 + d\alpha_2)$.

\begin{align*}
  b_{1000} &= 1, \quad b_{1100} = -q^{-2}, \quad b_{1110} = q^{-4}, \quad b_{1120} = -q^{-4}, \\
  b_{1111} &= -q^{-5}, \quad b_{1120} = q^{-6}, \quad b_{1121} = q^{-6}, \quad b_{1221} = -q^{-8}, \\
  b_{1231} &= q^{-9}, \quad b_{1232} = -q^{-12}, \\
  b_{0001} &= 1, \quad b_{0011} = -q^{-1}, \quad b_{0111} = q^{-3}, \quad b_{0121} = -q^{-4}, \\
  b_{0010} &= 1, \quad b_{0110} = -q^{-2}, \quad b_{0120} = q^{-2}, \quad b_{0100} = 1.
\end{align*}

(vi) (Type $G_3$) Here $b_{abc}$ denotes $b(a\alpha_1 + b\alpha_3 + c\alpha_2)$.

\begin{align*}
  b_{100} &= 1, \quad b_{110} = q, \quad b_{111} = q^4, \quad b_{121} = q^6, \\
  b_{131} &= q^6, \quad b_{132} = q^9, \quad b_{142} = q^{10}, \\
  b_{001} &= 1, \quad b_{011} = q^3, \quad b_{021} = q^4, \quad b_{032} = q^6, \\
  b_{031} &= q^3, \quad b_{010} = 1.
\end{align*}

Proof. Here we sketch how to calculate $\langle E_\alpha', E_\alpha \rangle$ ($\alpha \in \Phi^\text{red}$). Put $L_\alpha = \exp(\sqrt{h_\alpha^\text{red}}) \sigma^P(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Phi^\text{red}_+$. We are in one of the cases (1) $c_\alpha = 1$ and (2) $c_\alpha = 2$. Firstly assume that we are in case (1). Suppose $\text{ht}(\alpha) \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in \Phi^\text{red}_+, i$ and in this case, there exists $\alpha_j \in \Pi$ such that $\alpha - \alpha_j \in \Phi^\text{red}_+, i$. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that $\alpha - u\alpha_j \in \Phi^\text{red}_+, i$ ($0 \leq u \leq r$) and $\alpha - (r+1)\alpha_j \notin \Phi^\text{red}_+, i$.

Put $\beta = \alpha - \alpha_j$ and $\gamma = \alpha - r\alpha_j$. By the definition of
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\( E_\alpha \) (see Definition 5.1.1), \( E_\beta = y[[E_\gamma, E_j], E_j, \ldots, E_j] \) and \( E_\beta = x[E_\gamma, E_j] \) for some \( x, y \in R^X \). By (10.1.3), we have:

\[
\langle E_\alpha, E_\alpha \rangle = x \langle E_\beta \circ E_j \rangle (-1) \circ \frac{p(\beta)p(\alpha_j) - (\beta, \alpha_j)}{q} \circ E_j \circ E_\beta, \Delta'(E_\alpha)
\]

\[
x^2 \langle E_\beta \circ E_j \rangle (-1) \circ \frac{p(\beta)p(\alpha_j) - (\beta, \alpha_j)}{q} \circ E_j \circ E_\beta, \Delta'(E_\alpha), \Delta'(E_j), \ldots, \Delta'(E_j)
\]

By direct computations, we see that this equals

\[
x^2 (-1) \circ \frac{p(\beta)p(\alpha_j) - (\beta, \alpha_j)}{q} \circ E_j \circ E_\beta,
\]

\[
(\gamma)p(\alpha_j) \circ (\gamma, \alpha_j), (r-1)p(\alpha_j) - (2\gamma + (r-1)\alpha_j, \alpha_j)
\]

\[
(1-(-1)) \circ \frac{rp(\alpha_j) \circ r(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)}{q} \circ E_j \circ E_\beta
\]

\[
1-(-1) \circ \frac{p(\alpha_j) \circ (\alpha_j, \alpha_j)}{q} \circ E_j \circ E_\beta
\]

\[
x^2 (-1) \circ \frac{(r-1)p(\alpha_j) - (r-1)(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)}{q}
\]

\[
1-(-1) \circ \frac{rp(\alpha_j) \circ r(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)}{q} \circ E_j \circ E_\beta
\]

\[
1-(-1) \circ \frac{p(\alpha_j) \circ (\alpha_j, \alpha_j)}{q} \circ E_j \circ E_\beta
\]

Hence we can calculate \( \langle E_\alpha, E_\alpha \rangle \) by the induction on \( \text{ht}(\alpha) \).

Next assume that we are in case (2). Suppose \( \alpha \in \Phi^{\text{red}}_+ \). By the definition of \( E_\alpha \) (see Definition 5.1.1), there exist \( \beta, \gamma \in \Phi^{\text{red}}_+ \) such that \( \alpha = \beta + \gamma \), \( \text{ht}(\gamma) - \text{ht}(\beta) \leq 1 \) and \( E_\alpha = z[E_\beta, E_\gamma] \) for some \( z \in R^X \). If \( \text{ht}(\gamma) - \text{ht}(\beta) = 1 \), then \( E_\gamma = w[E_\beta, E_{\gamma-\beta}] \) for some \( w \in R^X \). In this case, since \( c_\gamma = 1 \), similarly to the proof in (1), we have:
\[
\langle E_{\gamma, \beta} \otimes E_{\beta}, \Delta^{-}(E_{\gamma}) \rangle = -w^{-1}(-1)^{p(\gamma, \beta)} p(\beta) q(\gamma, \beta) \langle E_{\gamma}, E_{\gamma} \rangle .
\]

By (10.1.3), we have:

\[
\langle E_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha} \rangle = z \langle E_{\beta} \otimes E_{\gamma} \rangle - (-1)^{p(\beta)} p(\gamma) q^{-}(\beta, \gamma) \langle E_{\gamma} \otimes E_{\beta}, \Delta^{-}(E_{\alpha}) \rangle
\]
\[
= z^2 \langle E_{\beta} \otimes E_{\gamma} \rangle - (-1)^{p(\beta)} p(\gamma) q^{-}(\beta, \gamma) \langle E_{\gamma} \otimes E_{\beta} \rangle .
\]

\[
\langle \{ E_{\beta} \otimes 1 + L_{\beta} \otimes E_{\beta} \}, (E_{\gamma} \otimes 1 - \delta_{ht(\gamma), ht(\beta)+1} w^{-1}(-1)^{p(\beta)} p(\gamma, \beta) q(\beta, \gamma) \langle E_{\gamma}, E_{\gamma} \rangle \langle E_{\beta}, E_{\beta} \rangle^{-1}
\]
\[
\{ E_{\gamma} \otimes \beta, L_{\gamma} \otimes E_{\gamma} \} \rangle
\]
\[
= -z^2(-1)^{p(\beta)} p(\gamma) q^{-}(\beta, \gamma)(-1)^{p(\beta)} p(\gamma)(q(\beta, \gamma)q^{-}(\beta, \gamma))
\]
\[
= -\delta_{ht(\gamma), ht(\beta)+1} w^{-2}(-1)^{p(\beta)} p(\gamma, \beta) q(\beta, \gamma) \langle E_{\gamma}, E_{\gamma}, \langle E_{\beta}, E_{\beta} \rangle^{-1}
\]
\[
\langle E_{\gamma} \otimes E_{\beta}, E_{\gamma} \rangle
\]
\[
= -z^2((1-q^{-2}(\beta, \gamma)) \langle E_{\gamma}, E_{\gamma} \rangle \langle E_{\beta}, E_{\beta} \rangle
\]
\[
- \delta_{ht(\gamma), ht(\beta)+1} w^{-2}(-1)^{p(\beta)} q^{-}(\beta, \beta) \langle E_{\gamma}, E_{\gamma} \rangle^2 .
\]

Since \( c_{\gamma} = c_{\beta} = 1 \), using results in case (1), we can get
\[
\langle E_{\alpha}, E_{\alpha} \rangle .
\]

10.4.

Proposition 10.4.1. (The Pon tracé-Birkhoff-Witt theorem for \( N_{+} \) and \( N_{+} \))

(i) The \( R \)-module \( N_{+} \) is a free module with a basis

\[
\langle \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_{+}} E_{\alpha} \rangle \quad (n_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \text{ if } (\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0, \quad n_{\alpha} = 0,1 \text{ if } (\alpha, \alpha) = 0) .
\]
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(ii) Let $N_+$ and $I_+$ (resp. $J_+$ and $J_+$) be the $R$-algebra and the ideal defined in 2.9 (resp. 4.2) respectively. Then $N_+ = J_+$ and $I_+ = J_+$.

Proof. By Lemma 10.3.1, $\langle E_\alpha, E_\alpha \rangle \neq 0$. Therefore, from Proposition 5.3.1 and Lemma 10.2.1, the proposition follows.

10.5. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.9.4 and Proposition 10.4.1, we have:

Theorem 10.5.1. Let $(\delta, \pi = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), p)$ and $D$ be the triple system and the diagonal matrix of type $X_N$ described in 3.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the complex simple Lie superalgebra of type $X_N$. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the enveloping superalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $U_h$ be the $R$-Hopf superalgebra defined for the $R$-Hopf algebra $U^\sigma_h((\delta, \pi, p), D)$ (see 1.9). Let $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ be an ideal of $U_h$ $h$-adically generated by the elements $(H \in \mathfrak{h}|\alpha_i(H) = 0 \ (1 \leq i \leq n))$. Then an $R$-Hopf superalgebra $\hat{U}_h(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by $\hat{U}_h(\mathfrak{g}) = U_h/\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ is topologically free. Moreover, as $C$-Hopf superalgebras, $U(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U_h(\mathfrak{g})/hU_h(\mathfrak{g})$.

10.6. Here we give the main theorem. For $\alpha \in \Phi^+_\text{red}$, put $F_\alpha = E^\sigma p(\alpha) \in U^\sigma_h$. Let $E_\alpha$'s be basis elements of $\delta$ such that $(E_i, E_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Put $t_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n H_{E_1} \otimes H_{E_1} \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathfrak{h}$. Let
\( e(u; t) = \sum \left( u^n/\Psi_n(t) \right) \) be the formal power series called the "q-exponential". Put \( u(\alpha) = (-1)^{ht(\alpha)}b(\alpha) - 1 \).

Theorem 10.6.1. (Universal \( R \)-matrix of \( U_h^\sigma \)) Let \( R \) be an element of \( U_h^\sigma \otimes U_h^\sigma \) defined by

\[
R = \left\{ \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+_\text{red}} e^{\left( q^{-d_\alpha} - q^{d_\alpha} \right) u(\alpha)E_{\alpha} \otimes F_{\alpha} \sigma^P(\alpha); (-1)^{P(\alpha)} q(\alpha, \alpha)} \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c, d \in (0, 1)} (-1)^{cd} \sigma^c \otimes \sigma^d \cdot \exp(-ht_0).
\]

Then \( (U_h^\sigma, \Delta, R) \) is a quasi-triangular Hopf algebra.

Proof. Use Lemma 2.9.10, Lemma 10.2.1, Lemma 10.3.1 and Proposition 10.4.1. Here we note the facts \( \Omega^-(E_\alpha) = E_\alpha \), \( \Omega^-(F_\alpha) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \left( q^{-d_i} - q^{d_i} \right) \right) F_\alpha \) for \( \alpha = c_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + c_n\alpha_n \in \Phi^+_\text{red} \).

Appendix

A.1. We use the notation in 2.1. Let \( I_+ \) be an ideal of \( \mathbb{N}_+ \) generated by the elements of Definition 4.2.1 (i)-(iv). Put \( \mathbb{N}_+ = \mathbb{N}_+/I_+ \). We define an ideal \( I_- \) of \( \mathbb{N}_- \) in a similar way. Let \( I \) be the ideal of \( U_h^\sigma \) h-adically generated by the elements in \( I_+ \cup I_- \). Put \( U_h^\sigma = U_h^\sigma/I \).
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Lemma A.1.1. Let \( i_+ : \mathbb{N}_+ \to U^\sigma_h \) be an \( R \)-algebra map defined by \( x + I_+ \to x + L \). Then \( i_+ \) is injective.

Proof. By direct computations, we see that
\[ F_i I_+ \subset I_+ F_i + I_+ \quad (1 \leq i \leq n). \]
Hence \( L_+ = I_+ S(\kappa^R) R<\sigma>I_- \) is an ideal of \( U^\sigma_h \). Similarly, we see that \( L_- = \tilde{N}_+ S(\kappa^R) R<\sigma>I_- \) is also an ideal of \( U^\sigma_h \). Hence \( L = L_+ + L_- \). In particular, we see that \( L \cap \tilde{N}_+ = I_+ \). This completes the proof.

A.2. For \( \nu \in P_+ \), let \( N_+ \nu = \tilde{N}_+ \nu + I_+ \subset N_+ \) and \( I_+ \nu = \tilde{N}_+ \nu \cap I_+ \). Then \( N_+ \nu = \tilde{N}_+ \nu / I_+ \nu \).

If \( \alpha \in \Lambda \), then \( I_+ \alpha_0^{N-2} \alpha_{N-1}^{N-1} \alpha_N = (0) \).

In particular, rank \( N_+ \alpha_{N-1} + 2 \alpha_1 + \alpha_{N+1} = 6 \). Hence Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt type theorem can not hold for \( U^\sigma_h \).

A.3. Let \( U^+_h \) be the Hopf superalgebra called the "quantized Kac-Moody superalgebra" in [7]. Here we understand that \( U^+_h \) is defined as an \( h \)-adic \( R \)-Hopf superalgebra. Even if we take the Note added in proof in [7] into account, we can show that there exists a natural epimorphism \( (U^+_h) \sigma \to U^\sigma_h \) of Hopf algebras. Hence, for \( U^+_h \), a P.B.W. type theorem can not hold contrary to their assertion (Proposition 3.3 and Remark under it) in [7].
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