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PREFACE

The origin of the solar system has been interesting historically for a long period of time. For the study of the early solar system, extraterrestrial materials such as meteorites play an important role, because some of them contain informations about the primordial solar nebula.

Recently, isotopic studies on meteorites have brought fruitful results, among which, the most striking is on the idea of the multi-component solar nebula. First evidence was found by Clayton et al. through the study of the Allende meteorite which fell in 1969. They found the excess of $^{16}O$ in the meteorite, which was interpreted as the result of the injection of new component into the early solar nebula.

This paper describes results of study, which strongly supports the above mentioned idea, through the discovery of $^{24}Mg$ anomaly in the Allende and Yamato-74191 meteorites.

This paper consists of six sections and an appendix. Section one is a historical view and an introduction to the investigation of isotopic abundance anomaly of magnesium. Section two is a description of samples of meteorites and terrestrial minerals. Section three is a description of apparatus used in the present investigation, which are ion microprobe mass analyzers. In section four and section five, experimental procedures, results and discussion for magnesium isotopic abundance ratio measurements are described. Conclusion is stated in section six. In the appendix, computer programs developed by the author himself for a precise isotopic ratio measurement are listed.
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ABSTRACT

Isotopic abundance ratios of magnesium have been measured in the Allende (C3), Yamato-74191 (L3) and Yamato-75028 (H3) meteorites. Three Al-rich inclusions in Allende have been analyzed and excess $^{26}$Mg due to the decay of now-extinct $^{26}$Al has been found in the two of them. One of them has excess $^{26}$Mg correlating with Al/Mg ratio. The other one has excess $^{26}$Mg, but it is likely to diffuse out to the boundary layer by the heating after the specimen was formed. Al-correlated excess $^{26}$Mg gives an estimate of $^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al ratio of $(2.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$ at the time when the minerals in the inclusion crystallized. This leads to the estimate of a time interval between the nucleosynthesis of $^{26}$Al and the crystallization of the minerals of $(1.3^{+1.6}_{-0.6}) \times 10^6$ years.

Excess $^{24}$Mg has been discovered for Al-poor and Mg-rich matrix areas of both Allende and Yamato-74191. The excess $^{24}$Mg is suggestive of the nucleosynthesis of almost pure $^{24}$Mg and its injection into the pre-existed solar nebula. And this almost pure $^{24}$Mg is expected to be synthesized in an explosive carbon burning process on the occasion of a supernova explosion.

These isotopic abundance anomalies of $^{24}$Mg and $^{26}$Mg experimentally found in this work together with $^{16}$O excess reported by Clayton et al. can be strong evidences to support the hypothesis that the primordial solar nebula was inhomogeneous and composed of at least two components.
1. HISTORICAL VIEW AND INTRODUCTION

Isotopic abundance anomalies in primitive meteorites play an important role in the understanding of the origin and the early history of the solar nebula.

Before 1960's it had been accepted that the primordial solar nebula was a single component and isotopically homogeneous.1-3) Meanwhile, isotopic abundance anomalies had long been searched in meteoritic and terrestrial samples in conjunction with the production of nuclei by the irradiation of energetic particles in the early history of the solar system.

In 1960, Reynolds4) reported that the large excess of $^{129}$Xe was ascribed to the radioactive decay of now extinct $^{129}$I whose half life is $1.7 \times 10^7$ years. It was concluded that $^{129}$I still existed at the time of the solidification of the chondrite. The time interval between the solidification and the nucleosynthesis was calculated to be $(3.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^8$ years for Richardton assuming that the ratio of $^{129}$I to $^{127}$I at the termination of nucleosynthesis, $(^{129}$I/$^{127}$I)$_0$, is equal to unity. This time interval has been called "formation interval".

Magnesium isotopic anomalies have been investigated especially for the excess of $^{26}$Mg due to the decay of now extinct $^{26}$Al (half life = $7.2 \times 10^5$ y), since $^{26}$Al was thought to be possible heat source in melting meteorite parent bodies.5) In spite of the search for $^{26}$Mg anomaly,
neither excess nor depletion exceeding statistical errors have been observed until 1972.

In 1964, Shima\textsuperscript{7)} reported no variation of magnesium isotopic abundance for three terrestrial and one chondritic samples.

In 1970, Clarke et al.\textsuperscript{9)} reported that Bruderheim and Kohr Temiki chondrites had 4 to 6 \% excess in $^{26}\text{Mg}$. For the same samples, however, Schramm et al.\textsuperscript{10)} observed no anomaly of $^{26}\text{Mg}$ in 1970.

The Allende meteorite, which fell in Mexico in 1969,\textsuperscript{11)} has brought an unexpected development in the investigation of the origin of the primordial solar nebula through isotopic anomaly studies. This meteorite has been classified into C3 carbonaceous chondrite, and much examined since its fall. It was confirmed mineralogically\textsuperscript{12,13)} and isotopically\textsuperscript{14-32)} to contain primordial materials. The isotopic evidence of primitiveness firstly found was the anomaly of $^{16}\text{O}$. The excess $^{16}\text{O}$ was observed for Ca-Al-rich white inclusions in Allende by Clayton et al.\textsuperscript{14)} They have described that the excess $^{16}\text{O}$ might be the result from the admixture of a component of almost pure $^{16}\text{O}$. They thought that this component might represent interstellar material with a separate history of nucleosynthesis. Furthermore, $^{16}\text{O}$ anomalies have been found even in an ordinary chondrite ALHA-76004 of the type LL3 by Mayeda et al.\textsuperscript{15)}

The attempts of searching for the excess $^{26}\text{Mg}$ which was a decay product from $^{26}\text{Al}$ have been successively carried
out for Allende by several workers. Gray and Compston\(^{16}\) showed in 1974 that the excess \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) was found to be 0.41 % in a chondrule with high Al/Mg ratio, and it was concluded to be due to the decay of \(^{26}\text{Al}\). In the same year, Lee and Papanastassiou\(^{17}\) reported the \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) anomalies, but the anomalies did not correlate with Al/Mg, and did not appear to be due to \(^{26}\text{Al}\) decay. Lee et al.\(^{18}\) reexamined in 1976 a Ca-Al-rich inclusion of Allende and observed the enrichment of \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) up to 1.3 %. This excess has correlated with Al/Mg ratio and would be due to the in situ decay of \(^{26}\text{Al}\). From the extent of the excess and Al/Mg ratio, the initial ratio of \(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}\) at the time of the solidification of the inclusion was estimated to be about \(6 \times 10^{-5}\). In 1979, Lee et al. further observed a larger excess of \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) up to 9.7 % for anorthite mineral separates by using their direct loading technique.\(^{19,20}\) Esat et al. also reported\(^{21}\) highly fractionated Mg and the negative anomaly of \(^{26}\text{Mg}\), which has been designated FUN anomaly, for two Allende inclusions. Stegmann and Begemann reported in 1981\(^{22}\) the \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) excess of 15 % correlating with Al concentration, and \(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}\) ratio at the time of solidification was estimated to be \(5.9 \times 10^{-5}\).

The investigation mentioned above have been performed by using the method of thermal ionization mass spectrometry.

An ion microprobe mass spectrometric technique has been also used for the investigation of magnesium isotopic
abundance anomaly. Bradley et al.\textsuperscript{23} reported the excess $^{26}\text{Mg}$ up to 40\% in an anorthite grain picked out of the Allende meteorite with an ion microprobe. The excess has correlated with Al/Mg ratio. Hutcheon et al.\textsuperscript{24} reported that two anorthite specimens in Allende had $^{26}\text{Mg}$ excess of 7-18\%. Shimizu et al.\textsuperscript{25} also reported that ca. 13\% excess $^{26}\text{Mg}$ was observed in an anorthite inclusion and 16\% excess in a hibonite inclusion from Leoville C3 carbonaceous chondrite. In 1979, Hutcheon et al.\textsuperscript{26} showed that melilite and hibonite crystals from Allende had distinct $^{26}\text{Mg}$ excesses.

These data have been obtained by the use of ion microprobe techniques.

The discoveries of $^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$ anomalies in Ca-Al-rich inclusions of the Allende and Leoville carbonaceous chondrites have led to the search for more anomalies of other elements in order to clarify the special features of the Allende chondrite. In both 1977 and 1978, isotopic abundance anomalies of such elements as S,\textsuperscript{27} Ba and Nd,\textsuperscript{28} Ca,\textsuperscript{29} Sr,\textsuperscript{30} Sm,\textsuperscript{31} and Ag,\textsuperscript{32} have been observed one after another in the Allende meteorite.

The excess of $^{26}\text{Mg}$ correlating with Al/Mg ratio has been concluded to be due to the in situ decay of $^{26}\text{Al}$, and a view that $^{26}\text{Al}$ existed at the time when the minerals in the inclusion crystallized has been generally accepted. This $^{26}\text{Al}$ has been thought to be synthesized shortly before the crystallization because of the short half life.
(7.2 x 10^5 y) of 26Al. The time interval between the synthesis of these isotopes and the crystallization has been estimated to be at most a few million years.\cite{19,33} This time interval is very much different from the 129I-129Xe formation interval of ca. 2 x 10^8 years observed for Allende inclusions.\cite{34,35} In order to explain this difference consistently, it has been considered that 26Al has a separate origin from 129I. This idea led to a hypothesis as follows:

Two separate nucleosynthetic events were considered. One of them would be around 2 x 10^8 years before the crystallization of minerals and the other, a few million years before. Both of the events have been considered to be supernova explosions, although these would have considerably different conditions. A supernova explosion which synthesized such r-process nuclei as 129I would be accompanied by larger amount of neutron flux, and another one which synthesized 26Al and 16O would be a supernova whose predominant nuclear process was an explosive carbon burning and the latter did not give so much neutron flux as 129I could be formed. Because, if the latter supernova formed enough 129I, 129I-129Xe and 26Al-26Mg formation intervals should be essentially the same values.

If such a latter supernova as in the above mentioned idea had exploded, this would have synthesized 20Ne, 23Na, 24Mg and 28Si together with 16O and 26Al, and these nuclei would be injected into the primordial solar nebula from the
theoretical considerations by Arnett\textsuperscript{37}) and Arnett and Truran.\textsuperscript{38})

As a result of the formation and injection of these isotopes, their remnants may be kept in the primitive meteorites as in the case of $^{16}O$ and $^{26}Mg$. The possibility led us to investigate not only $^{26}Mg$ isotopic abundance anomaly but also $^{24}Mg$ anomaly for primitive meteorites. We have investigated them with ion microprobe mass analyzers, because they are capable of an isotopic abundance measurement for a localized portion of the order of 100 \textmu m size. This capability is essentially important for the study of such specimens as chondrites that are usually complex aggregates of various kinds of fine minerals.

In order to confirm the anomaly of $^{26}Mg$ and to obtain distribution of the anomaly on the sample surface, line analyses of magnesium isotopic ratios across three inclusions of the Allende meteorite have been carried out firstly. Secondly, a search for an excess $^{24}Mg$, which has never been observed, was performed for many Al-poor and Mg-rich portions of matrix areas of a few primitive chondrites.
2. SAMPLES

Meteoritic samples used for the present investigation of isotopic abundance anomalies of $^{26}\text{Mg}$ and $^{24}\text{Mg}$ are listed in Table 2.1. Ten specimens of terrestrial mineral samples were also used and the list is in Table 2.2.

2.1 METEORITIC SAMPLES

Three meteoritic samples shown in Table 2.1 are chondrites. The classifications of those chondrites based on the categories proposed by Van Schmus and Wood\(^{39}\) are also listed in the second column of the table. C, L and H represent the classification based on Fe/Si ratio and the degree of oxidation. The degree of oxidation becomes lower in this order. C corresponds to carbonaceous chondrite, L, ordinary chondrite of low iron group, H, ordinary chondrite of high iron group. There are two other groups of E (enstatite chondrite) and LL (low iron and low metal ordinary chondrite), although chondrites of these two groups were not used in the present work. The number after the group name, 3 in this case, means the petrologic type proposed by Van Schmus and Wood.\(^ {39}\) The petrologic type ranges from 1 to 6 (or recently 7) and represents the degree of metamorphism. The higher the number of petrologic type is, the more the metamorphism proceeds. A chondrite belonging to the petrologic type less than 3 is unequilibrated and is generally accepted to contain primordial
material, almost unaltered, although types 1 and 2 in H, L and LL groups have not been found.

2.1.1 Allende

A photomicrograph of a cut surface of the Allende (C3) carbonaceous chondrite is shown in Fig. 2.1. Various kinds of inclusions, which are different in color, shape, size and texture, are embedded in black matrix. It has been reported that white inclusions are abundant in Al-rich refractory minerals, which are thought to have crystallized in the early stage of solidification from gaseous state\textsuperscript{42,43} and to be primitive in case of the Allende chondrite.\textsuperscript{12,13} Since white inclusions appear to have various thermal histories, judging from their profiles, the following three specimens with different shapes and textures were selected.

They are:

1. an amoeboid whitish inclusion,
2. a relatively small chondrule-like white inclusion surrounded by a ring-shaped boundary layer, and
3. a large round white inclusion.

Photomicrographs of these specimens are shown in Figs. 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, respectively. The specimen 1 may have been heated and once melted from the amoeboid shape. The specimen 2 has a ring-shaped boundary layer which has a microscopically different texture from the central inclusion part. The specimen 3 is similar in size and texture to the specimen investigated by Phinney et al.,\textsuperscript{44} which contain
refractory-rich inclusions. These three specimens are abbreviated as AL0, AL1 and AL2, respectively, in this paper.

2.1.2 Yamato-74191

A photomicrograph of a cut surface of the Yamato-74191 (L3) chondrite is shown in Fig. 2.5. As is seen in the picture, most part of the surface is occupied by chondrules and there is a little matrix area which exists in the narrow portion among the chondrules.

This chondrule has been reported to be unequilibrated, and to contain a large amount of trapped gases. Thus it is expected to contain primordial materials. This chondrite is abbreviated as Y-74191.

2.1.3 Yamato-75028

A photomicrograph of a cut surface of the Yamato-75028 chondrite is shown in Fig. 2.6. This chondrite itself is reported to be a breccia of H3 and L3 matter with H5 clasts. A specimen of H3 part of this chondrite was distributed by the National Institute of Polar Research. Since the petrologic type is 3, this specimen is thought to be less metamorphosed. This is abbreviated Y-75028.

2.2 TERRESTRIAL SAMPLES

Terrestrial mineral samples listed in Table 2.2 are all silicates. A forsterite in dunite from Ehime Pref., Japan was used as a laboratory standard. Four olivine samples in
lherzolite or spinel lherzolite were used as sub-standards. Using the samples of a hornblende, a vesuvianite, and a cordierite together with the forsterite, calibration curves for Al/Mg and Mg/Si ratios were formed in secondary ion mass spectrometry. An anorthite and a feldspar samples were used for the examination of the interference of sodium in mass spectra. The details of the examination will be separately described in the later section.

For the investigation of $^{26}\text{Mg}$ isotopic abundance anomaly, three inclusions of Allende were analyzed. For the study of $^{24}\text{Mg}$ anomaly, matrix parts of the three chondrites were analyzed.
3. APPARATUS

Two ion microprobe mass analyzers were used for magnesium isotopic analyses. One of them is a home-made apparatus and the other is a Hitachi IMA 2A apparatus.

3.1 ION MICROPROBE MASS ANALYZER

An ion microprobe mass analyzer has several merits. They are:

(1) Quantitative or semi quantitative elemental analysis of the localized portion of a solid sample is possible,
(2) Isotopic analysis is possible,
(3) No chemical treatment is necessary before the analysis except polishing and cleaning, and
(4) The consumption of a sample is extremely low compared with that by a wet chemical method.

These merits are expected to be advantageous to the isotopic analysis of chondritic materials, which are heterogeneous and are aggregates of various kinds of fine minerals, because the selection of analyzed area is quite easy.

Schematic diagrams of a home-made and a Hitachi's apparatus are shown in Figs. 3.1 and 3.2, respectively. These two apparatus are essentially the same constitutions. The apparatus consists of an ion source for producing primary ions, accelerating and focusing electrodes for primary ion beam, a sample holder and its moving device, accelerating and focusing electrodes for secondary ions, a double
focusing mass spectrometer, and pumping systems.

3.2 PRIMARY ION GUN AND FOCUSING SYSTEM

The primary ion gun is of a hollow cathode type. An example of the ion source of the home-made apparatus is illustrated in Fig. 3.3. Typical operating conditions of the ion guns of both apparatus are listed in Table 3.1.

The accelerating and focusing system for primary ion beam consists of a drawing out and accelerating electrodes, two sets of Einzel lenses (objective and condenser lenses) and deflecting electrodes.

Typical working conditions for magnesium isotopic analysis are tabulated in Table 3.2.

3.3 SAMPLE HOLDER

A sample mounting system of the home-made apparatus is illustrated in Fig. 3.4. A tantalum plate was used as a holder. Samples were mounted on the holder, and the surfaces of the samples were covered with a sheet of tantalum with a slit of about 2 mm wide and 20 mm long as shown in the figure. The holder was movable perpendicular to the primary ion beam in Z direction shown in Fig. 3.4. The incident angle of the primary ion beam to the surface of the sample was 45°, and the drawing out direction of the secondary ions was also 45° to the surface normal.

A sample mounting system of the Hitachi IMA 2A is shown in Fig. 3.5. Samples were mounted on a cylindrical holder
and were covered with a sheet of tantalum with apertures of 3 mm in diameter, through which samples were bombarded by primary ions. The incident direction of the primary ion beam is perpendicular to the sample surface, and the drawing out direction of the secondary ions was 45° to the surface normal.

3.4 COLD FINGER

For the precise isotopic ratio measurement, it is a problem that molecular ions may overlap the subject mass peak at the same mass number. Large part of molecular ions which may interfere the isotopic ratio measurement are originated from volatile gas adsorbed on the sample surface. In order to remove the volatile gas in the sample chamber and to decrease the interferences, a liquid nitrogen cold finger was put aside the sample holder in both the apparatus. A schematic diagram of the installation is shown in Fig. 3.6. The details of the examination of interferences will be described in a later section.

3.5 ELECTRON SPRAY

When a sample is an insulator, charge-up effect due to the positive ion bombardment causes the instability of secondary ion current. In order to avoid the charge-up, an electron spray was utilized. As the result, enough stable secondary ion current could be obtained. A chart showing the stability of secondary ion current is shown in Fig. 3.7. In the figure, the peak top of $^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ for a terrestrial
A forsterite sample was recorded for about one hour. A short period irregular fluctuation is found to be less than 0.4 % in this case, although a slow variation with time is observed to be about 1.5 % for one hour. Since the slow variation can be corrected by a data acquisition procedure, there is no problem about the isotopic ratio measurement.

3.6 MASS SPECTROMETER AND PUMPING SYSTEM

The mass spectrometer is of a double focusing type. Radii and deflection angles of electric and magnetic sectors are listed in Table 3.3. Width of each slit under the usual working conditions are also shown in the table. Resolutions of these mass spectrometers used in the present work are shown in the same table.

Two pumping systems of the same constitutions are used in the home-made apparatus. It consists of an oil diffusion pump with a liquid nitrogen cold trap followed by a rotary pump.

In case of the Hitachi's apparatus, two systems of a turbomolecular pump with a liquid nitrogen cold trap followed by a rotary pump, an oil diffusion pump followed by a rotary pump, and an ion pump are used as shown in Fig. 3.6.

3.7 DETECTING SYSTEM

Mass-analyzed secondary ions were amplified by a secondary electron multiplier followed by an amplifier and a pen recorder.
The secondary ion intensity has been measured as a peak height of a mass spectrum.

3.8 COMPUTER CONTROLLING SYSTEM

A computer controlling system has been developed with a microcomputer for a precise isotopic ratio measurement. The control of mass scannings as well as the calculations of isotopic ratios and the statistical procedures can be performed. A block diagram of this controlling system is shown in Fig. 3.8.

A CPU used in this system is 8080AFC. Interfaces constructed are:

1. to operate a power supply of an electromagnet,
2. to put on and off a recorder,
3. to read the output DC voltage of an amplifier into computer as 3.5 digit BCD code,
4. to control an AD converter,
5. to switch sensitivities of detecting system by switching a feedback resistor of the amplifier, and
6. to read out the data from the computer to a digital printer.

These interfaces are shown in Figs. 3.9, 3.10 and 3.11.

A software of this controlling system has been also developed by the author. This program consists of three parts. These are:

1. a program of setting initial conditions which are re-
quired for the isotopic ratio measurement by the dialog between a computer and an operator through a CRT screen,

(2) a program of controlling the interfaces, and

(3) a program of calculating isotopic ratios and of a statistical procedure.

Programs (1) and (3) are written with BASIC and (2) is written with assembler. These programs are listed in Appendix.

The computer controlling system has been mainly used in Hitachi IMA 2A apparatus. The details of the working of this system will be stated in the later section.
4. EXPERIMENTAL

Isotopic abundance ratios of magnesium have been measured for the three chondritic samples comparing with those for terrestrial samples.

4.1 SAMPLE PREPARATION

Most samples shown in Table 2.1 and 2.2 were polished with emery papers and ultrasonically washed and cleaned in acetone. For some samples, freshly spalled surfaces were used without polishing and cleaning procedures.

Those samples were set on a holder as shown in Figs. 3.4 and 3.5.

4.2 EXAMINATION OF INTERFERENCES

Molecular and multiply-charged ions are usually formed as secondary ions by ion bombardment of a sample surface. Among these, ionic species overlapping a subject mass peak interfere the isotopic ratio measurement. Possible interfering ionic species at mass 24, 25 and 26 of subject ionic species of $^{24}\text{Mg}^+$, $^{25}\text{Mg}^+$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}^+$ are listed in the second column of Table 4.1.

These overlaps can be avoided in principle by making a mass resolution high enough to resolve these interfering species from the subject mass peak. Resolutions necessary to resolve each interfering ionic species are listed in the third column of Table 4.1. However, even if a high resolu-
tion is attained, the interfering peaks are in most cases masked by a tailing of the subject mass peak and they can be hardly detected, since the intensity of almost all interfering species are expected to be less than \(1 \times 10^{-3}\) of the subject peaks in the present work. Adding this, it is necessary to take an ion intensity as high as possible to minimize an error due to the statistical fluctuation of the intensity.

Taking this situation into account, we have carried out the measurements with low resolution mode and extensively examined the contributions of the interferences in the following manner.

4.2.1 \(^{24}_{\text{Mg}}\text{H}^+\) and \(^{25}_{\text{Mg}}\text{H}^+\)

As described in the previous section, a liquid nitrogen cold finger was put aside the sample holder in order to decrease hydride ions. A remarkable effect of the cold finger was observed as shown in Table 4.2. The estimate was carried out according to eqs. (4.1) and (4.2) using the terrestrial forsterite and olivine samples.

\[
\frac{I_{25}}{I_{24}} = \frac{A_{25}}{A_{24}} (1-\beta) + x \quad \ldots \quad (4.1)
\]
\[
\frac{I_{26}}{I_{24}} = \frac{A_{26}}{A_{24}} (1-\beta)^2 + \frac{A_{25}}{A_{24}} x \quad \ldots \quad (4.2)
\]

where \(I_{m}\): secondary ion intensity at mass number \(m\),

\(A_m\): natural isotopic abundance of \(^m\text{Mg}\),
\( \beta \): mass discrimination factor,
\( x \): hydride ion formation ratio for Mg.

The values of \( x \) and \( \beta \) were obtained by solving these equations. The value of \( x \) is shown in Table 4.2, which is the maximum value of \( x \)'s for the samples of terrestrial forsterite and terrestrial olivines in four lherzolites. The value of \( \beta \) was 0.014 with a standard deviation of 0.002.

4.2.2 \( ^{23}\text{NaH}^+ \) and \( ^{23}\text{NaH}_2^+ \)

The contribution of \( ^{23}\text{NaH}^+ \) to the peak at mass 24 was estimated from mass spectra obtained for a terrestrial sodium-rich feldspar sample in granodiorite from Hyogo Pref., Japan and an anorthite sample from Hokkaido, Japan. Since the peak at mass 24 essentially consisted of two components, \( ^{24}\text{Mg}^+ \) and \( ^{23}\text{NaH}^+ \), in this case, \( ^{23}\text{NaH}^+ \) was evaluated by subtracting the contribution of \( ^{24}\text{Mg}^+ \). \( ^{24}\text{Mg}^+ \) was estimated as follows. Neglecting \( x \) in eqs. (4.1) and (4.2), and after eq. (4.2) is divided by the square of eq. (4.1), we can get

\[
\frac{I_{26} \cdot I_{24}}{(I_{25})^2} = \frac{A_{26} \cdot A_{24}}{(A_{25})^2} \quad \ldots \ldots \ (4.3)
\]

The right term of eq. (4.3) is constant. Therefore, if \( I_{25} \) and \( I_{26} \) are substituted into eq. (4.3), then we can get \( I_{24} \).

In almost all cases, no residue of the subtraction of
$^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ from the peak at mass 24 existed and the maximum value of $^{23}\text{NaH}^+/^{23}\text{Na}^+$ was found to be $5 \times 10^{-5}$. While $^{23}\text{Na}^+/^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ ratio was of the order of 1000 in case of the feldspar and anorthite samples, and the ratio was less than 0.05 in the usual case of chondrites, then the contribution of $^{23}\text{NaH}^+$ to $^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ was estimated to be less than $2.5 \times 10^{-6}$.

As for the contribution of $^{23}\text{NaH}_2^+$, even if the peak at mass 25 was all due to $^{23}\text{NaH}_2^+$ for the sodium-rich feldspar, the ratio of the intensity at mass 25 to that at mass 23 was less than $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$, although most part of the peak at mass 25 is observed to be originated from an impurity magnesium in the feldspar judging from the peak at mass 26 ($^{26}\text{Mg}^+$). Thus, $^{23}\text{NaH}_2^+/^{25}\text{Mg}^+$ in case of chondrite analysis was estimated to be much less than $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$.

4.2.3 $^{12}\text{C}_2^+$, $^{12}\text{C}\text{C}^{13}_2^+$, $^{13}\text{C}_2^+$, $^{12}\text{C}\text{N}^{14}_2^+$, $^{12}\text{C}\text{C}^{13}_2\text{CH}^+\text{and}$ $^{12}\text{C}_2\text{H}^+_2$

The contributions of these ionic species were estimated from mass spectra obtained for five carbon-rich samples. The samples used are all terrestrial and are listed in the first column of Table 4.3. The contribution of $^{12}\text{C}_2\text{H}^+$ will be described in section 4.2.4.

Secondary ion intensities at masses 12, 12.5, 24, 25 and 26 were used for the estimate. The intensity of $^{12}\text{C}^+$ was evaluated by subtracting $^{24}\text{Mg}^{2+}$ estimated based on the intensity at mass 12.5 ($^{25}\text{Mg}^{2+}$) from the intensity at mass 12.
The diatomic ion formation ratio for carbon isotopes is evaluated as
\[ \frac{1^{2}C^{2+}}{1^{2}C^{13}C^{+}} : \frac{1^{3}C^{2+}}{1^{2}C^{13}C^{+}} = 100 : 2.2 : 0.013 \] ...... (4.4)
from the arithmetic combination of the isotopic abundances of carbon. Assuming that
\[ \frac{1^{2}C^{2+}}{1^{2}C^{2+} + 1^{2}C^{14}N^{+} + 1^{2}C^{13}CH^{+} + 1^{2}C_{2}H_{2}^{+}} = 100 : y, \]
the following equations can be set up by neglecting the contributions of magnesium hydride ions as described in 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

\[ \frac{I_{25} - 2.2k}{I_{24} - 100k} = \frac{A_{25}}{A_{24}} (1 - \beta) \] ...... (4.5)

\[ \frac{I_{24} - yk}{I_{24} - 100k} = \frac{A_{26}}{A_{24}} (1 - \beta)^{2} \] ...... (4.6)

where \( I_{m} \): secondary ion intensity at mass \( m \),
\( A_{m} \): natural isotopic abundance of \( ^{m}Mg \),
\( \beta \): mass discrimination factor,
\( k \): proportional constant.

If we substitute the mass discrimination factor of 0.014 into \( \beta \), which was obtained for the terrestrial forsterite sample, then the simultaneous equations (4.5) and (4.6) for the variables \( k \) and \( y \) can be solved. Using the obtained values of \( k \) and \( y \), intensities of \( 1^{2}C^{2+} \), \( 1^{2}C^{13}C^{+} \) and \( (1^{3}C^{2+} + 1^{2}C^{14}N^{+} + 1^{2}C^{13}CH^{+} + 1^{2}C_{2}H_{2}^{+}) \) have been able to be evaluated. From the results, the molecular ion formation ratio of these ionic species to \( 1^{2}C^{+} \) have been obtained as shown in the second and third columns in Table 4.3.
In cases of magnesium isotopic measurements for chondrite samples analyzed so far, the upper limit of the secondary ion intensity ratio of $^{12}\text{C}^+/^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ was $7 \times 10^{-4}$. From this value and the maximum value among the ratios listed in Table 4.3, the maximum contributions of $^{12}\text{C}^+$, $^{12}\text{C}^{13}\text{C}^+$ and $(^{13}\text{C}_2^++^{12}\text{C}^{14}\text{N}^++^{12}\text{C}^{13}\text{CH}^++^{12}\text{C}_2^2\text{H}^+)$ to the respective subject peak were estimated as shown in Table 4.1.

### 4.2.4 $^{12}\text{C}_2^2\text{H}^+$

From the mass spectra obtained for the carbon-rich samples listed in Table 4.3, even if the peak appearing at mass 25 were all $^{12}\text{C}_2^2\text{H}^+$, the ratio of this intensity to $^{12}\text{C}^+$ was estimated to be less than $2 \times 10^{-3}$. Thus $^{12}\text{C}_2^2\text{H}^+/^{25}\text{Mg}^+$ was estimated to be less than $1 \times 10^{-5}$ for the chondrite analysis.

### 4.2.5 $^{48}\text{Ca}^{2+}$

Calcium has six stable isotopes of masses 40, 42, 43, 44, 46 and 48. The doubly-charged ions of $^{40}\text{Ca}^{2+}$ appears at mass 20. The intensity ratios of $^{40}\text{Ca}^{2+}/^{40}\text{Ca}^+$ was observed to be less than 0.015 for the chondrite samples used. Since $^{48}\text{Ca}^{2+}/^{48}\text{Ca}^+$ would be equal to $^{40}\text{Ca}^{2+}/^{40}\text{Ca}^+$, the contribution of $^{48}\text{Ca}^{2+}$ to $^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ was calculated to be less than $2 \times 10^{-5}$ from the intensities at masses 24 and 48.

### 4.2.6 $^{48}\text{Ti}^{2+}$ and $^{50}\text{Ti}^{2+}$

Titanium has five stable isotopes of masses 46, 47, 48,
49 and 50. Doubly-charged ions of $^{47}\text{Ti}^{2+}$ and $^{49}\text{Ti}^{2+}$ appear at mass numbers 23.5 and 24.5, respectively. In cases of the analyzed chondrites, no peaks exceeding the noise levels at those mass numbers were observed when the sensitivities at mass numbers 23.5 and 24.5 were $10^3$ times higher than that at mass 24. Therefore, the noise levels were taken as maximum intensities of $^{47}\text{Ti}^{2+}$ and $^{49}\text{Ti}^{2+}$. Thus, $^{48}\text{Ti}^{2+}/^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ and $^{50}\text{Ti}^{2+}/^{25}\text{Mg}^+$ were estimated to be less than $7 \times 10^{-5}$ and $4 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively.

4.2.7 $^{50}\text{Cr}^{2+}$ and $^{52}\text{Cr}^{2+}$

Chromium has four stable isotopes of masses 50, 52, 53 and 54. Doubly-charged ions of $^{53}\text{Cr}^{2+}$ appears at mass 26.5. No peak could be detected even with $10^3$ times higher sensitivity than that at mass 24. From the noise level at mass 26.5, $^{50}\text{Cr}^{2+}/^{25}\text{Mg}^+$ and $^{52}\text{Cr}^{2+}/^{26}\text{Mg}^+$ were estimated to be less than $1 \times 10^{-6}$ and $5 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively.

4.2.8 Summary

The estimate of the contribution of doubly-charged and molecular ions was done for the Hitachi IMA 2A apparatus as mentioned above. For the home-made apparatus, the same way of the estimate was used. The maximum contribution of these interfering ionic species to the subject peak are summarized in the fourth and fifth columns in Table 4.1. From the results, the extent of the interferences is found to be less than 1 permil as a whole for each subject peak of $^{24}\text{Mg}^+$, $^{25}\text{Mg}^+$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}^+$.
4.3 CALIBRATION CURVES FOR Al/Mg AND Mg/Si CONCENTRATION RATIOS

In order to estimate the concentration ratios of Al/Mg and Mg/Si from the secondary ion intensity ratios of $^{27}\text{Al}^+ / ^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ and $^{24}\text{Mg}^+ / ^{28}\text{Si}^+$, calibration curves for these elemental concentration ratios have been prepared. Samples used were terrestrial forsterite, hornblende, vesuvianite and cordierite. These four minerals are all silicates and their localities are listed in Table 2.2. The concentrations of Mg, Al and Si determined by the analysis of atomic absorption spectrometry were tabulated in Table 4.4 with their chemical formulae.

Figures 4.1 and 4.2 are the graphs of secondary ion intensity ratios versus concentration ratios. Plotted points fall well on a straight line with the slope of unity. These lines can be used to determine concentration ratios of Al/Mg and Mg/Si.

Al/Mg ratio can be a measure for aluminum concentration and Mg/Si ratio can be used in order to select a forsterite-rich portion.

4.4 MAGNESIUM ISOTOPIC ANALYSIS

Magnesium isotopic analyses have been carried out for the chondritic samples described in section 2. Mass scanings were repeated 40 to 90 times for one probed portion over the mass range from mass 24 to 26. A peak at mass 27 has also been taken as a measure of Al concentration at
least once in a run. Examples of mass spectra are shown in Figs. 4.3 and 4.4. Figure 4.3 shows a mass spectrum obtained for the white inclusion of AL2 with the home-made apparatus, and Fig. 4.4, for a portion of the matrix of Y-74191 with the Hitachi IMA 2A apparatus.

Because the contributions of the interfering peaks to mass 24, 25 and 26 were found to be less than 1 permil as described in section 4.2, and to be negligibly small, raw secondary ion intensity ratios of magnesium were calculated from the mass spectra.

4.4.1 Definition of the deviation of an isotopic ratio

The deviation of an isotopic ratio of $^{m}\text{Mg} / ^{n}\text{Mg}$ for a sample from the ratio for a reference is usually defined as eq. (4.7).

$$\Delta_{m/n} = \left( \frac{(^{m}\text{Mg} / ^{n}\text{Mg})_s}{(^{m}\text{Mg} / ^{n}\text{Mg})_r} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \quad \ldots \ldots (4.7)$$

where m and n are mass numbers of magnesium isotopes, and subscripts s and r represent "sample" and "reference", respectively.

The terrestrial forsterite (FO) in dunite from Ehime Pref., Japan was used to obtain the reference values of the isotopic ratios.

4.4.2 Automation of isotopic measurement by a microcomputer

The isotopic ratio has been measured automatically by
using a microcomputer. The hardware of the measuring system has been already described in section 3.6. Therefore, the details of the working of the system will be stated here mainly as to the software.

The working contains:

(1) Switching the scan speed, slow or fast, at the peak top and bottom, independently. In the usual case, the top was scanned slowly and the bottom, fastly.

(2) Reading the data into the memory by ten-point running mean method.

(3) Evaluating the peak height by the calculation of a top value minus a bottom value.

(4) Correcting the slow intensity variation with time during the scannings.

(5) Calculating the peak height ratios and Δ values. The formula of the calculation was preset in the computer.

(6) Taking statistical procedures that are the calculation of a mean, a standard deviation (σ) and a standard deviation of a mean (σm), the exclusion of data exceeding ±2σ, the recalculation of a mean and errors, and the iteration of these procedures.

(7) Printing the data with a digital printer.

A flow chart of the procedure is shown in Fig. 4.5.

4.4.3 Analysis of terrestrial standard

In both the apparatus, the laboratory standard sample of terrestrial forsterite (FO) has been intermittently ana-
lyzed over about one year in order to check the reproducibility for magnesium isotopic ratio measurements in both the apparatus.

$\Delta_{25/24}$ and $\Delta_{26/24}$ values obtained with the home-made apparatus are plotted in Fig. 4.6 in the chronological order. The weighted means of all the data of $^{m}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ were evaluated to be 0.12495 for $m=25$ and 0.13666 for $m=26$, and these values were used as reference values in eq. (4.7). The reproducibilities were evaluated to be $\pm 3.5\%$ for $\Delta_{25/24}$ and $\pm 5.5\%$ for $\Delta_{26/24}$ as $\pm 2\sigma$. These values are shown as error bars for the closed circles which correspond to the laboratory standard values mentioned above as weighted means.

In Fig. 4.7, are replotted these $\Delta$ values as a three isotope plot. A straight line with the slope of 1/2 in the figure corresponds to the normal mass fractionation line. The plotted points are along the straight line. This means that the scattering of the data was originated by the normal mass fractionation, and that these data can be corrected by the normalization for the mass fractionation.

In Fig. 4.7, two points symbolized by a closed triangle and a closed square are also plotted. These two points correspond to the absolute isotopic abundance ratios of magnesium reported by Catanzaro et al.\cite{47} (CMGS 66) and Schramm et al.\cite{9} (STW 70).

The weighted mean of our data (closed circle) deviates from these two data points by about 13 % in $\Delta_{25/24}$. This
deviation can be thought to be mainly due to a mass discrimination effects. It is not necessary to correct the mass discrimination in comparing the isotopic abundance ratios obtained by one apparatus under the same experimental conditions with each other.

In order to clarify the anomaly of $\Delta_{26/24}$, it had better be corrected for the normal mass fractionation. For the correction, the isotopic ratios for the laboratory standard of the terrestrial forsterite (FO) was used as a reference values. The following equations were used for the calculation of a normalized isotopic abundance ratio of $(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_n$ and its deviation, $\delta_{26/24}$, from the reference value:

$$\frac{^{26}\text{Mg}}{^{24}\text{Mg}}_n = \frac{(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_s}{(1-\alpha)^2}$$

$$1-\alpha = \frac{(^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_s}{(^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_r} = 1 + \frac{\Delta_{25/24}}{1000}$$

$$\delta_{26/24} = \left(\frac{(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_n}{(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_r} - 1\right) \times 1000$$

where $\alpha$ is the mass fractionation factor. A subscript of $n$ denotes "after normalization", $s$, "sample", and $r$, "reference".

The $\delta_{26/24}$ values for the same data as those plotted in Fig. 4.6 are plotted in Fig. 4.8 also in the chronological order. The reproducibility for $\delta_{26/24}$ was found to be $\pm 4.5 \%$ as $\pm 2\sigma$. 
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$\Delta_{24/25}$ and $\Delta_{26/25}$ values obtained by the Hitachi IMA 2A apparatus are plotted in Fig. 4.9 in the chronological order. These data were also obtained by the analysis of the FO sample. From these data, weighted means of $^{m}\text{Mg}/^{25}\text{Mg}$ values were calculated to be 8.0178 for $m=24$ and 1.0872 for $m=26$. Error bars for the closed circles in the figure represent reproducibilities as ±2σ. Those are ±2.8 % for both the Δ values as shown in the figure.

The absolute isotopic abundance ratios of $^{24}\text{Mg}/^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{25}\text{Mg}$ are 7.89702 and 1.10404, respectively. The weighted means of the ratios obtained with the Hitachi apparatus also deviate from the absolute ones. This is again caused mainly by mass discrimination effects. Although the correction for the mass discrimination is possible, it is not necessary to correct in the case that only the deviations of the isotopic ratios are compared with each other. It is enough to take a correction for the normal mass fractionation in the same sense as stated above.

Therefore, the values shown in Table 4.5 obtained for the sample of the FO were used as the reference values.

Moreover, four other terrestrial olivines, SL45, SL46, MM and OK listed in Table 2.2 were analyzed as sub-standards with the Hitachi IMA 2A apparatus. The data normalized to the reference values for FO are plotted in Fig. 4.10. In the figure, a normal mass fractionation line whose slope is -1 through the origin is illustrated.

The plotted points for those terrestrial sub-standards
fall well along the normal mass fractionation line. These points deviate by 3 - 6 % from the reference value. An explanation for the deviation guessed is as follows. The FO sample was taken from a rock of dunite and the four olivine samples were picked out of rocks of lherzolite or spinel lherzolite, and the localities of the rocks are all different. Because the differences of the types and the localities of rocks, the extent of mass fractionation may differ from one to another.

If the data for four olivine samples are corrected for the normal mass fractionation, the corrected values become consistent with that of FO standard within errors.

4.4.4 Search for $^{26}$Mg anomaly

In order to search for $^{26}$Mg anomaly, three specimens in the Allende carbonaceous chondrite, AL0, AL1 and AL2, have been used. There are descriptions about these three specimens. They all contain white or whitish inclusions which are expected to be composed of Al-rich refractory minerals such as hibonite, melilite and spinel.

Sketches of these inclusions are illustrated in Figs. 4.11, 4.12 and 4.13. The probed line for the magnesium isotopic analysis is shown in each figure. Photomicrographs of these inclusions have already been shown in section 2.

$\Delta_m/24$ values were calculated according to eq. (4.7) from raw secondary ion intensities. $^{27}$Al$^+/^{24}$Mg$^+$ ratio was also calculated. Furthermore, in order to obtain the extent
of $^{26}\text{Mg}$ anomaly, the normal mass fractionation was corrected by using the isotopic ratio for FO sample as the reference value. This correction was done by eqs. (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10).

4.4.5 Search for $^{24}\text{Mg}$ anomaly

In order to search for $^{24}\text{Mg}$ anomaly, the specimens of AL, Y-74191 and Y-75028 have been used. Al-poor and Mg-rich portions of matrix areas were analyzed in order to avoid the contribution from excess $^{26}\text{Mg}$ due to the decay of $^{26}\text{Al}$. About 100 portions of matrix area were randomly analyzed for each specimen. An example of the probed portion is shown on the photograph in Fig. 2.1 by a red cross mark. Not only mass peaks at mass 24, 25 and 26 but also those at masses 27 and 28 were recorded at every probed portion.

$\Delta_{m/25}$ values were calculated by eq. (4.7). $^{27}\text{Al}^+ / ^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ and $^{24}\text{Mg}^+ / ^{28}\text{Si}^+$ ratios were also calculated. From Al/Mg ratio, portions with low aluminum concentrations could be found, and from Mg/Si ratio, forsterite-rich portions could be easily selected.
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 $^{26}\text{Mg}$ ANOMALY

$\delta^{26/24}$ values obtained for the inclusions in the three specimens (AL0, AL1 and AL2) of the Allende chondrite are plotted in the chronological order in Figs. 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4. The definition of the $\delta^{26/24}$ has appeared in the previous section and the $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ ratio for FO was taken as the reference value.

The data in Fig. 5.3 correspond to the line analysis along AA' of the AL2 specimen in Fig. 4.13, and the data in Fig. 5.4, along BB' in the same specimen. In the lower part of Fig. 5.3, the data obtained for a terrestrial hornblende (HO) and vesuvianite (VE) are also shown.

These data for Allende are replotted in Figs. 5.5, 5.6, 5.7 and 5.8 as a function of the probed portion. $^{27}\text{Al}^+/^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ ratio for each probed portion is also shown as a measure of aluminum to magnesium concentration ratio.

5.1.1 Amoeboid whitish inclusion in AL0

As shown in Fig. 5.5, Al/Mg ratio is high in the area of this whitish inclusion. The anomaly of $^{26}\text{Mg}$ is not clear, although the mean value of $\delta^{26/24}$'s seems to be positive a little. The variation of $\delta^{26/24}$ does not correlate with that of Al/Mg ratio. Therefore, it was concluded that the excess $^{26}\text{Mg}$ could be hardly detected for this inclusion.
5.1.2 Chondrule-like white inclusion in AL1

The excess \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) was observed rather in the ring-shaped boundary layer than in the white inclusion. There seems to be an anticorrelation between variations of \(\delta_{26/24}\) and Al/Mg ratio except the data for the matrix area. From the variation of \(\delta_{26/24}\) shown in Fig. 5.6, it may be considered that the white inclusion particle with \(^{26}\text{Al}\) was firstly formed and after the formation of the particle, it was surrounded by the boundary layer. Then it was heated to enough high temperature not to melt but to recrystallize. During the recrystallization process, \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) might be segregated toward the ring-shaped boundary layer. As the result of this segregation, excess \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) was thought to be concentrated in the boundary layer.

5.1.3 Large white inclusion in AL2

This large white inclusion was analyzed along two different probed lines approximately perpendicular with each other. In both the analyses, excess \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) more than 20 % was observed, and the data showed the correlation with the Al/Mg ratio as shown in Figs. 5.7 and 5.8.

Figures 5.9 and 5.10 are three isotope plots of magnesium for the white inclusion along the probed lines of AA' and BB', respectively. The data for the matrix area are omitted in the figures. The ordinate represents \(\Delta_{25/24}\) and the abscissa, \(\Delta_{26/24}\), calculated according to eq. (4.7). In each figure, a normal mass fractionation line with the slope
of 1/2 is illustrated through the origin which was determined from the data for the laboratory standard (F0). All the data are found to clearly fall in the right hand side of the normal mass fractionation line.

The deviation in the direction of the abscissa between each data point and the normal mass fractionation line corresponds to the $\delta_{26/24}$ value corrected for the normal mass fractionation. The deviation to the right side clearly shows the positive anomaly of $^{26}\text{Mg}$.

In order to quantify a correlation between the excess $^{26}\text{Mg}$ and Al/Mg ratio, these data are replotted as shown in Fig. 5.11. The upper graph of $\Delta_{26/24}$ versus Al/Mg clearly shows the correlation that $\Delta_{26/24}$ increases with the increase of Al/Mg ratio. On the contrary, $\Delta_{25/24}$ shows no systematic variation in a whole range of Al/Mg in this figure.

5.2 Al-CORRELATED EXCESS $^{26}\text{Mg}$

the Al-correlated excess $^{26}\text{Mg}$, which was observed in the large white inclusion in AL2 specimen of the Allende chondrite as shown in Fig. 5.11, can be thought to be originated from the in situ decay of $^{26}\text{Al}$. Using the plot in Fig. 5.11, the $^{26}\text{Al} / ^{27}\text{Al}$ ratio at the time of the crystallization of Al-containing minerals from the remnant materials of the exploded supernova as stated in sections 1 and 2 can be estimated.
5.2.1 $^{26}$Al at the time of primordial mineral crystallization

If the excess $^{26}$Mg due to the in situ decay of $^{26}$Al is written as $^{26}$Mg*, $^{26}$Mg existed in a specimen can be expressed in the following equation.

\[(^{26}{\text{Mg}})_p = (^{26}{\text{Mg}})_o + ^{26}{\text{Mg}}^* \quad \cdots \quad (5.1)\]

where subscript p represents "present", and o, "original" which means the initial magnesium originally existed.

When eq. (5.1) is divided by $(^{24}{\text{Mg}})_o$,

\[
\frac{(^{26}{\text{Mg}})_p}{(^{24}{\text{Mg}})_o} = \frac{(^{26}{\text{Mg}})_o}{(^{24}{\text{Mg}})_o} + \frac{^{26}{\text{Mg}}^*}{(^{24}{\text{Mg}})_o} \quad \cdots \quad (5.2)
\]

Since $(^{24}{\text{Mg}})_o$ would be equal to $(^{24}{\text{Mg}})_p$ in this case, eq. (5.2) can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{^{26}{\text{Mg}}}{^{24}{\text{Mg}}_o} = \frac{^{26}{\text{Mg}}}{^{24}{\text{Mg}}_o} + \frac{^{26}{\text{Mg}}^*}{^{24}{\text{Mg}}_o} \quad \cdots \quad (5.3)
\]

From eq. (5.3),

\[
\frac{(^{26}{\text{Mg}}^*/^{24}{\text{Mg}})_p}{(^{26}{\text{Mg}} / ^{24}{\text{Mg}})_o} \frac{(^{26}{\text{Mg}} / ^{24}{\text{Mg}})_p}{(^{26}{\text{Mg}} / ^{24}{\text{Mg}})_o} - 1 \quad \cdots \quad (5.4)
\]

is derived. The right side of eq. (5.4) is the same form as the definition of $\Delta_{26/24}$ and if this is put by $(\Delta_{26/24})_p$, then

\[
\frac{(^{26}{\text{Mg}}^*/^{24}{\text{Mg}})_p}{(^{26}{\text{Mg}} / ^{24}{\text{Mg}})_o} = \frac{(\Delta_{26/24})_p}{1000} = (\Delta_{26/24}')_p \quad \cdots \quad (5.5)
\]

Since $^{26}$Mg* was originally $^{26}$Al at the time of the primor-
dial material crystallization,

\[ 26Mg^* = (26\text{Al})_C \]  

where subscript \( c \) means "crystallization".

Using eqs. (5.5) and (5.6),

\[ (\Delta_{26/24}')_P = \frac{(26\text{Al})_C/(24\text{Mg})_P}{(26\text{Mg}/24\text{Mg})_o} \]

\[ = \frac{(26\text{Al}/27\text{Al})_C/(27\text{Al})_C/(24\text{Mg})_P}{(26\text{Mg}/24\text{Mg})_o} \]

Since \( 27\text{Al} \) is constant, so \( (27\text{Al})_C = (27\text{Al})_P \), then

\[ (\Delta_{26/24}')_P = \frac{(26\text{Al}/27\text{Al})_C}{(26\text{Mg}/24\text{Mg})_o} \times \frac{27\text{Al}}{24\text{Mg}}_P \]  

(5.7)

As \( (27\text{Al}/24\text{Mg})_P \) can be expressed as \( (27\text{Al}/24\text{Mg})_s \), where subscript \( s \) means "specimen". Therefore eq. (5.7) becomes:

\[ (\Delta_{26/24}')_P = \frac{(26\text{Al}/27\text{Al})_C}{(26\text{Mg}/24\text{Mg})_o} \times \frac{27\text{Al}}{24\text{Mg}}_s \]  

(5.8)

By the calibration curve in Fig. 4.1, the concentration ratio of \( (27\text{Al}/24\text{Mg})_s \) can be related to the secondary ion intensity ratio as

\[ (27\text{Al}/24\text{Mg})_s = (27\text{Al}^+/24\text{Mg}^+)_s \times 0.71 \]  

(5.9)

When eq. (5.9) is substituted into eq. (5.8), we can get

\[ (\Delta_{26/24}')_P = \frac{(26\text{Al}/27\text{Al})_C}{(26\text{Mg}/24\text{Mg})_o} \times \frac{27\text{Al}^+}{24\text{Mg}^+}_s \times 0.71 \]  

(5.10)

thus, using eqs. (5.5) and (5.10),
\[(\Delta_{26/24})_p = k \times \frac{(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_C}{(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_o} \times \frac{^{27}\text{Al}^+}{^{24}\text{Mg}^+}_s \quad \ldots (5.11)\]

where \(k = 7.1 \times 10^2\).

In this equation, \(k \times (^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_C/(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_o\) is the slope of the straight line in \(\Delta_{26/24}\) vs \((^{27}\text{Al}^+/^{24}\text{Mg}^+)_s\) plot of Fig. 5.11. If we put this slope \(g\), then

\[g = k \times \frac{(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_C}{(^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_o} \quad \ldots (5.12)\]

and we can get an equation

\[\frac{^{26}\text{Al}}{^{27}\text{Al}}_C = \frac{g}{k} \times \frac{^{26}\text{Mg}}{^{24}\text{Mg}}_o \quad \ldots (5.13)\]

where \((^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_o\) means the absolute isotopic abundance ratio of magnesium, and this \((^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg})_o\) can be replaced by the ratio reported by Catanzaro et al. \(^{47}\) Then

\[\frac{^{26}\text{Al}}{^{27}\text{Al}}_C = \frac{0.13932}{7.1 \times 10^2} g \quad \ldots (5.14)\]

can be given.

From Fig. 5.11, the slope of \(\Delta_{26/24}\) vs \((^{27}\text{Al}^+/^{24}\text{Mg}^+)_s\) plot, \(g\), is evaluated to be \(1.4 \pm 1.1\) by the least square fit. The obtained straight line by the least square fit is illustrated in the upper graph of Fig. 5.11 with a solid line. In the figure, the upper and lower limits obtained from the error of standard deviation are also illustrated with dashed lines.
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The value of \( \gamma \) and eq. (5.14) give the result that

\[
\frac{^{26}\text{Al}}{^{27}\text{Al}}_c = (2.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4} \quad \text{.... (5.15)}
\]

Lee et al.\(^{18}\) reported that \((^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_c\) is about \(0.5 \times 10^{-4}\). This value is a little different from the present result, but in consideration of the error limit of our data, these two are barely consistent with each other.

If our \(2.8 \times 10^{-4}\) is valid for the white inclusion in AL2, it is about 4.5 times higher than that by Lee et al. A possible explanation for this discrepancy is that the minerals investigated at present had crystallized about \(1.6 \times 10^6\) years prior to the crystallization of the minerals for which Lee et al. have obtained their data.

5.2.2 Chronology from the viewpoint of \(^{26}\text{Al}-^{26}\text{Mg}\)

The result stated in section 5.2.1 shows that \(^{26}\text{Al}\) existed at the time of primordial mineral crystallization. Since the half-life of \(^{26}\text{Al}\) is \(0.72 \times 10^6\) years, it can be considered that the time interval between the nucleosynthesis of \(^{26}\text{Al}\) and the crystallization of Al-rich minerals was comparable with the half life. This time interval can be estimated by the same method as the case of \(^{129}\text{I}-^{129}\text{Xe}\) chronology.

If we denote \(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}\) ratio at the time of nucleosynthesis as \((^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0\), then
\[
\frac{^{26}{\text{Al}}}{^{27}{\text{Al}}}c = \frac{^{26}{\text{Al}}}{^{27}{\text{Al}}}o \exp(-\lambda \Delta t) \quad \ldots \quad (5.16)
\]

where \( \lambda \): decay constant of \(^{26}\text{Al} \)

\( \Delta t \): time interval between the nucleosynthesis and the crystallization.

From eq. (5.16), we can get the following equation:

\[
\Delta t = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{^{26}{\text{Al}}}{^{27}{\text{Al}}}o \right) - \ln \left( \frac{^{26}{\text{Al}}}{^{27}{\text{Al}}}c \right) \right] \quad \ldots \quad (5.17)
\]

\( \left( \frac{^{26}{\text{Al}}}{^{27}{\text{Al}}} \right)_o \) ratio has been reported by many workers based on theoretical considerations. The reported ratios are listed in Table 5.1 in the order of the year of publication.

Four recent reports give the ratios around \( 1 \times 10^{-3} \). These theoretical data have been obtained by the consideration of an explosive burning nucleosynthesis with various nuclidian composition, temperature and density. Assuming that \( \left( \frac{^{26}{\text{Al}}}{^{27}{\text{Al}}} \right)_o = 1 \times 10^{-3} \), and substituting this value into eq. (5.17), \( \Delta t \) is evaluated as

\[
\Delta t = (1.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^6 \text{ years.}
\]

This time interval is of the order of \( 10^5 \) to \( 10^6 \) years anyhow, and differs from what is called formation interval of \( 2 \times 10^8 \) years estimated by \(^{129}\text{I}-^{129}\text{Xe} \) chronology. These two different time intervals suggest that at least two different nucleosynthetic events had formed those extinct isotopes. And they were injected into the primordial solar nebula.
5.3 $^{24}\text{Mg}$ ANOMALY

5.3.1 Yamato-75028

Data for matrix areas of Y-75028 chondrite are shown in Fig. 5.12. These data were taken in portions where the concentration ratio of Al/Mg was less than 0.13 in consideration that aluminum concentration is enough low to avoid the contribution of $^{26}\text{Mg}^*$. The plotted points concentrate around the origin and distribute near the normal mass fractionation line. From the results, it is judged there is no anomaly of magnesium.

5.3.2 Allende

Plot of $\Delta_{26/25}$ versus $\Delta_{24/25}$ for matrix portions of Allende is shown in Fig. 5.13. Plotted points correspond to the data for the portions where the concentration ratio of Al/Mg was less than 0.13 and Mg/Si ratio was in the range of 1.5 to 2.5. About 20 percent of the analyzed portions satisfied these ranges of concentration ratios.

Anomaly of $\Delta_{24/25}$ is distinctly shown in the figure. The maximum excess of $^{24}\text{Mg}$ is about 20 $\%$.

5.3.3 Yamato-74191

The data for Y-74191 are shown in Fig. 5.14. These data were obtained for narrow matrix portions among chondrules, because the Y-74191 chondrite is almost occupied with chondrules as shown in the picture of Fig. 2.5. Al/Mg concentration ratios were less than 0.13 for all portions plotted as data. Two kinds of data are included based on the difference of Mg/Si ratios. One of them is for the portions where Mg/Si ratio is less than 1.3 and the other,
Mg/Si ratio is higher than 1.5

Plotted points for the higher Mg/Si portions show the clear excess of \(^{24}\text{Mg}\). For lower Mg/Si portions, some points show the clear anomaly of \(^{24}\text{Mg}\), but, as a whole, the anomaly is not remarkable compared with that for the higher Mg/Si portions.

5.3.4 Excess \(^{24}\text{Mg}\)

The excess of \(^{24}\text{Mg}\) observed for Mg-rich and Al-poor portions of matrices in AL and Y-74191 can be explained in two ways. One of them is as the result of the addition of practically pure \(^{24}\text{Mg}\) to the pre-existing solar nebula, the other is as the result of the depletions of \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) by nearly the same fractions. The latter case is considered to be less possible to happen, because any nuclear processes which decrease both \(^{25}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) by the same fractions can be rarely expected. Therefore, only the former case will be discussed in the following.

5.4 Consistent explanation of excesses of \(^{24}\text{Mg}\) and \(^{26}\text{Mg}\)

It is necessary to explain both excesses of \(^{26}\text{Mg}\) in a white inclusion of Allende and of \(^{24}\text{Mg}\) in matrix areas of Allende and Y-74191 consistently. As was described in section 5.2, \(^{26}\text{Al}\), which resulted in the excess of \(^{26}\text{Mg}\), has been considered successfully to be formed in a nucleosynthesis on the occasion of a supernova explosion. And this supernova is estimated to have exploded a few million years prior to the crystallization of the primordial minerals based on the ratio of \((^{26}\text{Al} / ^{27}\text{Al})_c\) and the half life of \(^{26}\text{Al} (7.2 \times 10^5 \text{y})\).
The formation interval from $^{129}\text{I}$-$^{129}\text{Xe}$ was $2 \times 10^8$ years, and for the same meteorite, $^{26}\text{Al}$-$^{26}\text{Mg}$ formation interval was $1-3 \times 10^6$ years. These two kinds of formation intervals are quite different with each other. If $^{129}\text{I}$ was formed in the late nucleosynthetic event, these two formation intervals should be the same values. Therefore, $^{129}\text{I}$ was not expected to be synthesized at the same time as the formation of $^{26}\text{Al}$. The restriction leads to a prospect that neutron flux would be enough low in the case of the late nucleosynthetic event not to synthesize such r-process nuclei as $^{129}\text{I}$. On the contrary, the explosion event of the previous supernova had synthesized $^{129}\text{I}$ through r-process under a condition of high neutron flux.

From the existence of the excess $^{24}\text{Mg}$ discovered for the matrices of Allende and Y-74191, it is thought that almost pure $^{24}\text{Mg}$ has been synthesized and injected into the pre-existed solar nebula.

This almost pure $^{24}\text{Mg}$ would be estimated to be synthesized through an explosive carbon burning process at the late supernova explosion according to the theoretical consideration by Arnett. Possible conditions of the supernova is as follows:

Composition: 50 % $^{12}\text{C}$, 50 % $^{16}\text{O}$

Temperature: $1.8 \times 10^9$ K

Density: $10^7$ g/cm$^3$

Among these conditions, temperature is the critical one. If the temperature is a little higher than this, for example $2 \times 10^9$ K, $^{24}\text{Mg}$, $^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$ would have been formed in the abundances almost the same as the natural isotopic ones. Therefore, the discovery of the excess $^{24}\text{Mg}$ seems to rest-
rict the condition of the temperature of the late supernova. Moreover, the formations of almost pure $^{24}\text{Mg}$ and little $^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$ lead to the estimate that the late supernova explosion was of the type of low neutron flux, because the high neutron flux supernova must form not only $^{24}\text{Mg}$ but also $^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $^{26}\text{Mg}$.

This low neutron condition is consistent with the aspect that r-process nucleus, $^{129}\text{I}$, would not be synthesized in the late supernova explosion.

5.5 $^{20}\text{Ne}$, $^{23}\text{Na}$, and $^{28}\text{Si}$

If the late supernova was exploded, $^{20}\text{Ne}$, $^{23}\text{Na}$ and $^{28}\text{Si}$ would be also synthesized together with $^{16}\text{O}$, $^{26}\text{Al}$ and $^{24}\text{Mg}$. Among the elements of Ne, Na and Si, isotopic abundance anomaly of Si has been examined for the sample of Allende inclusions by Clayton and Mayeda, but only normal mass fractionations have been observed.

Since Na has only one stable isotope, it is impossible to detect the isotopic anomaly.

In case of Ne, it is possible to detect the anomalous $^{20}\text{Ne}$ in principle. But because the wide range variety of the abundance of $^{20}\text{Ne}$ has been observed in many chondrites, it would be much difficult to detect the anomalous $^{20}\text{Ne}$, which might be injected together with $^{24}\text{Mg}$ and $^{16}\text{O}$, of the order of permil.
6. CONCLUSION

The results stated in the previous section give the following points.

(1) Excess $^{26}\text{Mg}$ due to the in situ decay of now extinct $^{26}\text{Al}$ (half life = $7.2 \times 10^5$ y) has been detected in the Al-rich white inclusion in the Allende chondrite. The excess correlates with the concentration ratio of Al/Mg, and from the correlation, the ratio of $^{26}\text{Al} / ^{27}\text{Al}$ at the primordial mineral crystallization could be determined. The value of $(^{26}\text{Al} / ^{27}\text{Al})_c$ was found to be $(2.8 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{-4}$ for this inclusion.

(2) The initial ratio of $(^{26}\text{Al} / ^{27}\text{Al})_o$ at the time of nucleosynthesis was estimated to be approximately $1 \times 10^{-3}$ based on the theoretical considerations by Arnett, Truran and Cameron, and Arnett and Wefel. Using the ratios of $(^{26}\text{Al} / ^{27}\text{Al})_c$ and $(^{26}\text{Al} / ^{27}\text{Al})_o$, a formation interval between the nucleosynthesis and the crystallization of the minerals could be estimated to be $(1.3 \pm 1.6) \times 10^6$ years. This value is distinctly different from the $^{129}\text{I} - ^{129}\text{Xe}$ formation interval which is $2 \times 10^8$ years.

(3) These results together with those reported by other workers on excess $^{26}\text{Mg}$ lead us to an explanation that at least two nucleosynthetic events had happened near the early solar nebula. The late event is considered to occur a few million years prior to the primordial mineral crystallization.
(4) The supernova explosion event would have formed $^{16}\text{O}$, $^{20}\text{Ne}$, $^{24}\text{Mg}$, $^{26}\text{Al}$ and $^{28}\text{Si}$ through an explosive carbon burning process under a low neutron flux.

(5) Excess $^{24}\text{Mg}$ has been firstly found in Mg-rich and Al-poor portions in matrix areas of the Allende carbonaceous chondrite and the Yamato-74191 (L3) chondrite in the present work. The maximum excess of about 20% has been observed in case of Allende.

(6) This excess of $^{24}\text{Mg}$ can be explained as the result of the addition of practically pure $^{24}\text{Mg}$ formed in the late supernova event to the pre-existed solar nebula.

(7) The excess of $^{24}\text{Mg}$ discovered in the present work, together with the excess of $^{16}\text{O}$ found by Clayton et al. and that of $^{26}\text{Mg}$ found by the author and the other workers, can be strong evidences which support the hypothesis that the primordial solar nebula was inhomogeneous and composed of at least two components.
APPENDIX

Software developed by the author himself for a precise isotopic ratio measurement is described. This software consists of three parts. One is for controlling a power supply of electromagnet, relays to switch high registers of an amplifier through interfaces. This is written by assembler. The second is for setting various initial conditions for measurement, and the third is for calculating isotopic ratios and for taking statistical procedures. These are written by BASIC. The lists of these programs are from the next page.
Disassembling list of the controlling program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Instruction 1</th>
<th>Instruction 2</th>
<th>Instruction 3</th>
<th>Instruction 4</th>
<th>Instruction 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>CDA467 M0 CALL IPR</td>
<td>6080 320481 STA SPPNT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6003</td>
<td>CDB767 CALL IIF</td>
<td>6083 E60F ANI 0FH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6006</td>
<td>CDC267 CALL ITM1</td>
<td>6085 FE07 CPI 07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6009</td>
<td>CD8067 CALL OFREC</td>
<td>6087 CA9960 JZ R7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600C</td>
<td>CD7562 CALL SLOW</td>
<td>608A FE08 CPI 08H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600F</td>
<td>AF CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>608C CAA160 JZ R8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6010</td>
<td>3202B1 STA SPPNT</td>
<td>608F FE09 CPI 09H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6013</td>
<td>210058 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>6091 CA960 JZ R9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6016</td>
<td>2210B1 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>6094 FE0A CPI 0AH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6019</td>
<td>21005C CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>6096 CAB160 JZ R10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601C</td>
<td>221281 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>6099 CD6663 R7 CALL ON7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601F</td>
<td>CDF167 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>609C 1602 MVI D,02H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6022</td>
<td>CD7A62 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>609E C3B660 JMP T3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6025</td>
<td>1605 MVI D,05H</td>
<td>60A1 CD6C63 CALL ON8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6027</td>
<td>3E00 TO MVI D,06H</td>
<td>60A2 1603 MVI D,03H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6029</td>
<td>CD7B63 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60A3 C3B660 JMP T3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>602C</td>
<td>15 MVI D,07H</td>
<td>60A9 CD7163 R9 CALL ON9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>602D</td>
<td>C22760 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60AC 1603 MVI D,03H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6030</td>
<td>CDD267 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60AE C3B660 JMP T3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6033</td>
<td>AF CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60B1 CD7663 R10 CALL ON10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6034</td>
<td>320381 STA SPPNT</td>
<td>60B4 1604 M2 MVI D,04H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6037</td>
<td>3A0281 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60B6 AF T3 XRA A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>603A</td>
<td>3C MVI D,05H</td>
<td>60B7 CD7B63 CALL TIM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>603B</td>
<td>3202B1 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60BA 15 DCR D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>603E</td>
<td>CD5162 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60BB C2B660 JMP T3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6041</td>
<td>3A0381 M11 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60BE CD3762 CALL ADHL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6044</td>
<td>3C MVI D,05H</td>
<td>60C1 CDDC62 CALL BTB20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6045</td>
<td>320381 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60C4 110800 LXI D,0008H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>604B</td>
<td>2A14B1 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60C7 19 DAD D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>604C</td>
<td>2A16B1 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60CB 220A81 SHLD BARGU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>604F</td>
<td>CDDE62 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60CB CD2D67 CALL IREG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6052</td>
<td>19 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60CE AF XRA A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6053</td>
<td>EB CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60D1 CD6C63 CALL MNNX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6054</td>
<td>2A10B1 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60D2 3A0481 STA UDPNT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6057</td>
<td>72 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60D5 E620 MVI D,06H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605B</td>
<td>23 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60D7 CC7062 MVI D,07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6059</td>
<td>73 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60DA C47562 MVI A,07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605A</td>
<td>23 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60DD 3E07 M4 MVI A,07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605B</td>
<td>2210B1 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60DF 320B71 STA COUNT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605C</td>
<td>2A18B1 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60E1 CD3762 M5 CALL ADHL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605D</td>
<td>EB CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60E2 CD2D67 CALL BTB20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605E</td>
<td>3A0381 M11 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60E3 CD6C62 CALL MNNX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605F</td>
<td>2A12B1 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60E8 CD1C63 XCHG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6060</td>
<td>72 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60E9 EB LHLDB ARGU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6061</td>
<td>EB CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60EB LHLDB INV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6062</td>
<td>2A12B1 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60EC 2A0A81 CALL MNNX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6065</td>
<td>72 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60EF CDDE62 CALL INV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6066</td>
<td>73 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60F2 19 DAD D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6067</td>
<td>73 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60F3 7C MOV A,H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6068</td>
<td>23 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60F4 E680 ANI B0H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6069</td>
<td>2212B1 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60F6 C2D60 JNZ M4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>606A</td>
<td>3A0381 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60F7 3A07B1 MVI A,07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>606C</td>
<td>3A0381 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60F8 3A07B1 MVI A,07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>606F</td>
<td>6F CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60F9 3A07B1 MVI A,07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6070</td>
<td>3A0081 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60FB 3A07B1 MVI A,07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6073</td>
<td>BD CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60FC 3A07B1 MVI A,07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6074</td>
<td>DAE561 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60FD 320B71 MVI A,07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6077</td>
<td>26B0 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60FE 320B71 MVI A,07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6079</td>
<td>23 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>60FF 320B71 MVI A,07H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>607A</td>
<td>7E CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>6103 3E20 M60 CALL ADHL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>607B</td>
<td>320C81 CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>6105 320B71 CALL BTB20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>607E</td>
<td>2B CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>6108 CD3762 M6 CALL BTB20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>607F</td>
<td>7E CALL SPPNT</td>
<td>610B CD6C62 CALL BTB20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6112 C5
6113 0611
6115 0E00
6117 CD8562
611A C1
611B CDDE62
611E 19
611F 7C
6120 E680
6122 CA0862
6125 D5
6126 2A0A81
6129 111200
612C 19
612D CDDE62
6130 D1
6131 19
6132 7C
6133 E680
6135 C29F61
6138 2A2A81
613B CDDE62
613E 19
613F 7C
6140 E680
6142 C26C61
6145 7C
6146 FE00
6148 C20B61
614B 7D
614C E6E0
614E C20B61
6151 3A05B1 M13
6154 FE01
6156 CA0861
6159 3A04B1
615C E610
615E CC7062
6161 C47562
6164 3E01
6166 320B61
6169 C50B61
616C D5 M7
616D 2A14B1
6170 EB
6171 2A16B1
6174 CDDE62
6177 19
6178 0604
617A CD5D63 M9
617D 05
617E C27A61
6181 EB
6182 2A0A81
6185 19
6186 CDDE62
6189 D1
618A 19
618B 7C
618C E680
618E CA0361
6191 3A0C61
6194 E620
6196 CC7062
6199 C47562
619C 3C0B61
619F 3A05B1 M8
61A2 FE00
61A4 CAC6F61
61A7 3A0C61
61AA E620
61AC CC7062
61AF C47562
61B2 2A0A81
61B5 CDDE62
61BB 19
61B9 7C
61BA E680
61BC CA0361
61BF CD7562
61C2 3A0781
61C5 3D
61C6 320B61
61C9 C20B61
61CC C34160
61CF 2A2A81 M10
61D2 CDDE62
61D5 19
61D6 7C
61D7 E680
61D9 C26C61
61DC C30B61
61DF 00
61E0 00
61E1 00
61E2 00
61E3 00
61E4 00
61E5 3A01B1 E0
61E8 47
61E9 3A02B1
61EC BB
61ED CAFE61
61F0 CD3162
61F3 CA0362
61F6 3AFC7D
61F9 3E03
61FB C30A62
61FE 3E00 E1
6200 C30A62
6203 3E01 E2
6205 C30A62
6208 3E02 D0
620A 320B61 E3
620D CD7163
6210 CD7562
6213 3A06B1
6216 FE02

ANI 80H
JZ M60
LDA SPPND
ANI 20H
CZ FAST
CNZ SLOW
JMP M6
LDA UDPPNT
CPI 00H
JZ M10
LDA SPPND
ANI 20H
CZ FAST
CNZ SLOW
LHLD BARGU
CALL INV
DAD D
MOV A,H
ANI 80H
JZ 00
LHLD DARGU
LXI D,0012H
DAD D
CALL INV
POP D
DAD D
MOV A,H
ANI 80H
JNZ M8
LHLD TRRGB
CALL INV
DAD D
MOV A,H
ANI 80H
JNZ M7
MOV A,H
CPI 00H
JNZ M6
MOV A,L
ANI EOH
JNZ M6
LDA UDPPNT
CPI 01H
JZ M6
LDA SPPNT
ANI 10H
CZ FAST
CNZ SLOW
MVI A,01H
STA UDPPNT
JMP M6
PUSH D
LHLD MXREG
XCHG
LHLD MNREG
CALL INV
DAD D
MOV B,04H
CALL HALF
DCHR B
JNZ M9
XCHG
LHLD BARGU
DAD D
CALL INV
POP D
DAD D
MOV A,H

continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6218</td>
<td>CA2562</td>
<td>JZ 01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621B</td>
<td>1606</td>
<td>MVI D,06H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621D</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>XRA A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>621E</td>
<td>CD7B63</td>
<td>CALL TIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6221</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DCR D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6222</td>
<td>C21B62</td>
<td>JNZ T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6225</td>
<td>CD6162</td>
<td>CALL SCAOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6228</td>
<td>3A0681</td>
<td>LDA EPNT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622B</td>
<td>FE01</td>
<td>CPI 01H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>622D</td>
<td>C48062</td>
<td>CNZ OFREC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6230</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>RET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6231</td>
<td>3AFE7D KEY</td>
<td>LDA 7DFEH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6234</td>
<td>E620</td>
<td>ANI 20H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6236</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>RET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6237</td>
<td>CD4362</td>
<td>CALL ADON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623A</td>
<td>3E10</td>
<td>MVI A,10H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623C</td>
<td>CD7B63</td>
<td>CALL TIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623F</td>
<td>2A047C</td>
<td>LHLD 7CO4H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6242</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>RET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6243</td>
<td>3E0B</td>
<td>STA 7CO7H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6245</td>
<td>32077C</td>
<td>CALL TIMB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6248</td>
<td>CD8963</td>
<td>MVI A,0AH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>624B</td>
<td>3E0A</td>
<td>STA 7CO7H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6250</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>RET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6251</td>
<td>3E09</td>
<td>MVI A,09H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6253</td>
<td>32037C</td>
<td>STA 7CO3H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6256</td>
<td>3E50</td>
<td>MVI A,50H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6258</td>
<td>CD7B63</td>
<td>CALL TIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625B</td>
<td>3E0B</td>
<td>MVI A,08H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625D</td>
<td>32037C</td>
<td>STA 7CO3H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6260</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>RET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6261</td>
<td>3E0B</td>
<td>MVI A,0BH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6263</td>
<td>32037C</td>
<td>STA 7CO3H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6266</td>
<td>3E50</td>
<td>MVI A,50H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6268</td>
<td>CD7B63</td>
<td>CALL TIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626B</td>
<td>3E0A</td>
<td>MVI A,OAH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626D</td>
<td>C35D62</td>
<td>JMP SCA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6270</td>
<td>3E0D</td>
<td>MVI A,0DH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6272</td>
<td>C35D62</td>
<td>JMP SCA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6275</td>
<td>3E0C</td>
<td>SLOW MVI A,0CH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6277</td>
<td>C35D62</td>
<td>JMP SCA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>627A</td>
<td>3E09</td>
<td>ONREC MVI A,09H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>627C</td>
<td>32077C</td>
<td>STA 7CO7H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>627F</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>RET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6280</td>
<td>3E0B</td>
<td>MVI A,0BH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6282</td>
<td>C37C62</td>
<td>JMP REC1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6285</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>BCTBI PUSH B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6286</td>
<td>D5</td>
<td>PUSH D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6287</td>
<td>F5</td>
<td>PUSH PSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628B</td>
<td>210000</td>
<td>LXI H,0000H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628B</td>
<td>3E02</td>
<td>MVI A,02H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628D</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>STC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628E</td>
<td>F5</td>
<td>BTB1 PUSH PSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>628F</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>MOV A,B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6290</td>
<td>D29762</td>
<td>JNC BTB2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6293</td>
<td>0F</td>
<td>RRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6294</td>
<td>0F</td>
<td>RRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6295</td>
<td>0F</td>
<td>RRC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>62E7</td>
<td>CE00</td>
<td>ACI 0OH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62E9</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>MOV H,A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62EA</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>RET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62EB</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>SUM</td>
<td>PUSH B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62EC</td>
<td>D5</td>
<td>SUM</td>
<td>PUSH D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62ED</td>
<td>210000</td>
<td></td>
<td>LXI H,0000H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62F0</td>
<td>011CB1</td>
<td></td>
<td>LXI B,TRRG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62F3</td>
<td>3EOA</td>
<td></td>
<td>MVI A,0AH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62F5</td>
<td>F5</td>
<td>SUM1</td>
<td>PUSH PSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62F6</td>
<td>0A</td>
<td></td>
<td>LDAX B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62F7</td>
<td>5F</td>
<td></td>
<td>INX B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62F9</td>
<td>0A</td>
<td></td>
<td>MOV D,A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62FA</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>INX B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62FC</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>DAD D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62FE</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td></td>
<td>POP PSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62FF</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td></td>
<td>DCR A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6302</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td></td>
<td>JNZ SUM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6303</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td></td>
<td>POP D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6304</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td></td>
<td>RET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6305</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td></td>
<td>ROTRG PUSH B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6306</td>
<td>D5</td>
<td></td>
<td>PUSH D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6307</td>
<td>E5</td>
<td></td>
<td>PUSH H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6308</td>
<td>0612</td>
<td></td>
<td>MVI B,12H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630A</td>
<td>211CB1</td>
<td></td>
<td>LXI H,TRRG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630D</td>
<td>111EB1</td>
<td></td>
<td>LXI D,TRRG2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6310</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td></td>
<td>ROTR1 LDAX D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6311</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td>MOV M,A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6312</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>INX H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6313</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>INX D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6314</td>
<td>05</td>
<td></td>
<td>DCR B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6315</td>
<td>C21063</td>
<td></td>
<td>JNZ ROTR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6318</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td></td>
<td>POP H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6319</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td></td>
<td>POP D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631A</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td></td>
<td>POP B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631B</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td></td>
<td>RET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631C</td>
<td>E5</td>
<td></td>
<td>MNMX PUSH H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631D</td>
<td>CD0563</td>
<td></td>
<td>CALL ROTRG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6320</td>
<td>222E01</td>
<td></td>
<td>SHLD TRRGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6323</td>
<td>CDEC62</td>
<td></td>
<td>CALL SUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6326</td>
<td>EB</td>
<td></td>
<td>XCHG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6327</td>
<td>2A16B1</td>
<td></td>
<td>LHLD MNREG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632A</td>
<td>CDDE62</td>
<td></td>
<td>CALL INV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632D</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>DAD D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632E</td>
<td>7C</td>
<td></td>
<td>MOV A,H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632F</td>
<td>E680</td>
<td></td>
<td>ANI 80H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6331</td>
<td>C3A63</td>
<td></td>
<td>JZ MNMX1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6334</td>
<td>EB</td>
<td></td>
<td>XCHG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6335</td>
<td>2216B1</td>
<td></td>
<td>SHLD MNREG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6338</td>
<td>E1</td>
<td></td>
<td>POP H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6339</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td></td>
<td>RET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>633A</td>
<td>2A1481</td>
<td></td>
<td>LHLD MXREG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>633D</td>
<td>CDDE62</td>
<td></td>
<td>CALL INV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6340</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>DAD D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6341</td>
<td>7C</td>
<td></td>
<td>MOV A,H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6342</td>
<td>E680</td>
<td></td>
<td>ANI 80H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6344</td>
<td>C25B63</td>
<td></td>
<td>JNZ MNMX2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
continued

66FC 2A10B1 K0  LHLI PHPNT
66FF 2230B1 K00  SHLD PHTMP
6702 C9  RET
6703 2A12B1 L0  LHLII TMPNT
6706 C3FF66  JMP K00
6709 2A30B1 K1  LHLI PHTMP
670C 2B  DCX
670D 7E  MOV A, M
670E 3233B1  STA PHTM2
6711 2B  DCX
6712 7E  MOV A, M
6713 3232B1  STA PHTM1
6716 2230B1  SHLD PHTMP
6719 C9  RET
671A F5  1  NOLF 1  PUSH PSW
671B 3A79B4  LDA  PRPNT
671E FE00  CPI ODH
6720 CA2B67  JZ NOLF1
6723 FE0A  CPI OAHI
6725 CA2B67  JZ NOLF1
6728 CD2F67  CALL LPRI
672B F1  1  NOLF1  POP PSW
672C C9  RET
672D 3E0A  LFPR  MVI A, OAH
672F 320B81 LPR1  STA  PRPNI1
6732 3A127C PRINT  LDA  7C12H
6735 E604  ANI  04H
6737 C23267  JNZ  PRINT
673A 3A0B81  LDA  PRPNI1
673D 32107C  STA  7C10H
6740 3E0C  MVI A, OCH
6742 32137C  STA  7C13H
6745 3C  INR A
6746 32137C  STA  7C13H
6749 C9  RET
674A F5  1  HDCPY  PUSH PSW
674B 3A79B4  LDA  PRPNT
674E FE0D  CPI ODH
6750 CA5667  JZ HDCPY1
6753 CD2F67  CALL LPRI
6756 F1  1  HDCPY1  POP PSW
6757 C9  RET
6758 00  NOP
6759 00  NOP
675A 00  NOP
675B 00  NOP
675C 3E07  BEL  MVI A, 07H
675E C32F67  JMP LPRI
6761 3E08  BS  MVI A, 08H
6763 C32F67  JMP LPRI
6766 3E0B  VT  MVI A, O8H
6768 C32F67  JMP LPRI
676B 3E0C  FF  MVI A, OCH
676D C32F67  JMP LPRI
6770 3E0D  CR  MVI A, ODH
6772 C32F67  JMP LPRI
6775 3E0E  SD  MVI A, OEH
6777 C32F67  JMP LPRI
677A 3E0F  SI  MVI A, OFH
677C C32F67  JMP LPRI
677F 3E11  DC1  MVI A, 11H
6781 C32F67  JMP LPRI
6784 3E12  DC2  MVI A, 12H
6786 C32F67  JMP LPRI
6789 3E13  DC3  MVI A, 13H
678B C32F67  JMP LPRI
678E 3E14  DC4  MVI A, 14H
6790 C32F67  JMP LPRI
6793 3E1B  ESC  MVI A, 1BH
6795 C32F67  JMP LPRI
6798 00  NOP
6799 00  NOP
679A 00  NOP
679B 00  NOP
679C CD9367 ESC  CALL ESC
679F 3E45  MVI A, 45H
67A1 C32F67  JMP LPRI
67A4 211A67  IPR  LXI H, NOLF
67A7 3ECC  11  MVI A, C3H
67A9 32E0B4  STA B4CH
67AC 22ED84  SHLD 84EDH
67AF 21137C  LXI H, 7C13H
67B2 3681  MVI M, 81H
67B4 360D  MVI M, ODH
67B6 C9  RET
67B7 3E81  1IF  MVI A, 81H
67B9 32037C  STA  7C03H
67BC 3E93  MVI A, 93H
67BE 32077C  STA  7C07H
67BF 32107C  STA  7Cl0H
67C1 C9  RET
67C2 2107C  ITM1  LXI H, 7C0F
67C5 3634  MVI M, 34H
67C7 3674  MVI M, 74H
67C9 36B4  MVI M, B4H
67CB C9  RET
67CC 214A67 CHNG  LXI H, HDCPY
67CF 3A767  MVI M, 767
67D2 160A  IREG  MVI D, 0AH
67D4 CD3762 12  CALL ADHL
67D7 CDCC62  CALL BTB20
67DA CD0563  CALL TRG
67DD 222EB1  SHLD TRRGA
67E0 15  DCR
67E1 C2A467  MVI M, 467
67E4 CDEB62  CALL D
67E7 221481  JNZ  D
67EA 21FF0F  CALL SUM
67ED 221681  JNZ  D
67F0 C9  RET
67F1 2107C  ITM2  LXI H, 7C0EH
67F4 AF  XRA A
67F5 77  MOV M, A
67F6 77  MOV M, A
67F7 2B  DCX
67F8 3632  MVI M, 32H
67FA 77  MOV M, A
67FB 2B  DCX
67FC 77  MOV M, A
67FD 3650  MVI M, 50H
67FF C9  RET
List of BASIC controlling program

5 CALL 17A4H: CALL 17B7H:
10 DATA TI,HO654,5,46,7.99,47,7.32,48,7.99,49,5.46,50,5.25
20 DATA SI,TAB(7),3,28,92.23,29,4.67,30,3.1
30 DATA MG,STW70,3,24,1.25,1.26,139805,CU,TAB(7),2,63,69.2,65,30.8
40 DATA NI,BAR73,5,58,68.274,60,26.095,61,1.134,62,3.593,64,.904
50 DATA CR,ICA80,4,50,4.35,52,83.79,53,9.5,54,2.36
60 DATA FE,JAM79,4,54,5.8,56,91.77,57,2.15,58,.25
70 DATA GE,TAB(7),5,70,20.5,72,27.4,73,7.8,74,36.5,76,7.8
80 DATA MO,TAB(7),5,94,9.3,95,15.9,96,16.7,97,9.6,98,24.1
90 DATA AG,TAB(7),2,107,51.83,109,48.17,X,1,1,1
110 DIM P(25,5),Q(25,5),S(5),T(25,5),U(25,5),X(50,5),Y(50,5),Z(50,5)
120 LET A=1,B=0,D=A+A: CLEAR: PRINT "(AUTO SCAN>" : PRINT
130 IF A$="Y" THEN POKE 80Ah,Et
140 LET Et$="C",V=Et,EO=-120,PO=2.5,X3=5933,X4=34348,N4=PEEK(S0AOH)
150 IF A$<"Y" THEN INPUT "DATE"N$
160 INPUT "NAMEI"D$: IF B$="N" THEN 830
170 INPUT "ELEMENT"F$: RESTORE
190 IF E$<>F$ THEN 190
200 IF E$<>F$ THEN 190
210 LET JI=N
220 CLEAR: PRINT G$: FOR I=A TO N: PRINT J(I);E$: PRINT ":",L(I): NEXT I: GOSUB 146
230 IF A$="Y" THEN LET Nl=N: GOTO 260
240 IF E$>D THEN LET F$=LEFT(F$,D)
250 IF E$>D THEN LET F$=LEFT(F$,D)
260 IF E$>D THEN LET F$=LEFT(F$,D)
270 FOR I=A TO N: INPUT "MASS"J(I),"ABUNDANCE"L(I): NEXT I: INPUT "REF."G$: GOTO 20
280 PRINT "SELECT FROM (7.8,9,10):" : FOR I=A TO N: IF T=J(I) THEN LET E=I
290 NEXT I: LET E$=F$: FOR I=A TO N: LET K(I)=L(I)/L(E): NEXT I: FOR I=A TO N: IF T="S" THEN 830
300 IF T="S" THEN 830
310 LET C=32768+I,A(I)=N: POKE C,N: NEXT I
320 GOSUB 1460: IF A$="Y" THEN 280
continued

340 LET C=C+A: POKE C, B: IF B$="Z" THEN B30
350 INPUT "SCAN NO. (1<N<25)" N2: GOSUB 1460: IF A$<"Y" THEN 350
360 LET N=N2+A: POKE B101H,N: POKE B102H,B: IF B$="X" THEN B30
370 INPUT "RUN NO." N5: GOSUB 1460: IF A$<"Y" THEN 370
380 IF B$="Y" THEN B30
390 PRINT "INTERPO.AT"; FOR I=A TO N1: PRINT J(I);E$: NEXT I: GOSUB 1490: FOR
I=A TO N1: IF N=J(I) THEN LET E1=I
400 NEXT I: IF B$="I" THEN B30
410 PRINT "ON-LINE": GOSUB 1460: LET N3=A: IF A$<"Y" THEN LET N3=B
420 PRINT "GRAPH": GOSUB 1460: LET N7=A: IF A$<"Y" THEN LET N7=D
430 PRINT "INITIAL SCAN POINT": GOSUB 1460: IF A$<"Y" THEN 430
440 CLEAR: GOSUB 1850: PRINT ": PRINT "--START": GOSUB 1460: IF A$<"Y" THEN
670
450 IF V=B THEN LET N6=A: GOTO 470
460 IF N6>A THEN LET N6=N6-A
470 IF V>D THEN LET N4=N4+A: POKE 800AH,N4
480 FOR J=B TO N1: LET X(N4,J)=B,Y(N4,J)=B: NEXT J: LET N(N4)=B: IF N3=B THEN
560
490 CALL 1784H: POKE X4,55H: CALL 1775H: GOSUB 1570: CALL X3: CALL 17A4H
500 CALL 17CCH: GOSUB 1470: PRINT ": PRINT ": GOSUB 1480: IF N1>D+D THEN
call 177AH
510 PRINT E$,"":" FOR I=A TO N1: PRINT #9,L(I): NEXT I: FOR I=A TO N1: IF I<>
E THEN PRINT #12,K(I)
520 NEXT I: CALL X3: CALL X3: PRINT ": #": FOR I=A TO N1: PRINT #9,J(I): NE
530 PRINT J(I);"/:J(E);: IF J(I)>99 THEN PRINT ": GOTO 550
540 PRINT ": "
550 NEXT I: CALL X3: CALL X3: POKE X4,56H
560 CLEAR: GOSUB 1570: PRINT ": PRINT ": GOSUB 1470: CURSOR 10,11: PRINT "< TCTAL > <
NOW >" 570 CURSOR A,13: PRINT ": RUN > ":,S,N5," ":,N6
580 CURSOR A,15: PRINT ": SCAN > ":,N5,N2: GOSUB 1500: IF V=B THEN CALL 1000
H: GOTO 560
590 CALL 1022H
600 LET V=PEEK(B106H): IF V=D THEN POKE B102H,W: GOTO 650
610 IF N3=A THEN GOSUB 850
620 IF V=A+D THEN B30
continued

630 IF V=B THEN 940
640 GOSUB 1500: CALL 1030H: GOTO 600
650 CLEAR : PRINT "--OVER FLOW, DOWN EMT VOLT": PRINT
660 IF V<>B THEN PRINT "<C>CONT."
670 PRINT "<R>REPEAT": PRINT "<B>BUFFERING": PRINT "<S>STAT.": GOSUB 1850
680 PRINT "<L>LIST": PRINT "<U>SUMMARY": PRINT "<E>END": INPUT "WHICH"I$
690 FOR Q=A TO LEN(I$): LET B$=MID(I$,Q,A): IF B$="C" THEN 560
700 IF B$="R" THEN LET P0=2.5, E0=-120: POKE 8102H, B: GOTO 450
710 IF B$="B" THEN LET N(N4)=A: GOTO 830
720 IF B$="X" THEN 350
730 IF B$="Z" THEN 280
740 IF B$="N" THEN 170
750 IF B$="S" THEN 950
760 IF B$="T" THEN 180
770 IF B$="Y" THEN 370
780 IF B$="I" THEN 390
790 IF B$="U" THEN 1330
800 IF B$="L" THEN 1330
810 IF B$="E" THEN STOP
820 CLEAR : GOTO 660
830 IF V<>B THEN 820
840 NEXT Q: GOTO 820
850 CALL 16FCH: FOR I=N1 TO A STEP -A: GOSUB 1450: LET P(W,I)=(P1*256+P2)*INT(10^((10-A(I))+.5)): NEXT I
860 CALL 1703H: FOR I=N1 TO A STEP -A: GOSUB 1450: LET T(W,I)=P1*256+P2: NEXT I
870 IF W=B THEN RETURN
880 LET E2=W: IF E1<>A THEN LET E2=W-A
890 FOR I=A TO N1
910 POKE X4,55H: PRINT #5,W: FOR I=A TO N1: PRINT #9,INT(U(W,I)+.5): NEXT I
920 FOR I=A TO N1: IF I<>E THEN GOSUB 1000
930 NEXT I: CALL X3: POKE X4,55H: RETURN
940 IF N3=B THEN 1310
950 CLEAR : PRINT "--STATISTICS, WAIT A MINUTE"
960 FOR I=A TO W: FOR J=A TO N1: LET P(I,J)=(Q(I,J)/K(J)-A)*1000: NEXT J: NEXT
continued

970 FOR I=A TO W: LET F(I)=B: NEXT I: LET L=B, Y=A
980 FOR J=A TO N1: LET S(J)=B, C(J)=B, K=B: FOR I=A TO W: IF F(I)=B THEN LET S(J)=S(J)+Q(I,J), K=K+A
990 NEXT I: LET L=B, Y=A
980 FOR J=A TO N1: FOR I=A TO W: IF F(I)=B THEN LET C=Q(I,J)-M(A,J), C(J)=C(J)+C*C
1000 NEXT I: LET M(A,J)=S(J)/K, M(A+D,J)=(M(A,J)/K(J)-A)*1000: NEXT J
1010 FOR J=A TO N1: FOR I=A TO W: IF F(I)=B THEN LET C=Q(I,J)-M(A,J), C(J)=C(J)+C*C
1030 LET M(D+D,J)=M(D,J)/K(J)*1000: NEXT J: IF L=K THEN 1170
1040 POKE X4,55H: IF N1>D+D THEN CALL 177AH
1050 PRINT "NO.OF DATA =", #4, K, "---": IF Y=A THEN 1070
1060 PRINT "("; FOR I=A TO W: IF F(I)=Y-A THEN PRINT ";"
1070 CALL X3: FOR J=A TO D+D: GOSUB 1540: ON J GOSUB 1510, 1520, 1530, 1520
1080 FOR I=A TO N1: IF I<>E THEN PRINT #12, M(J,I)
1090 NEXT J: CALL X3: NEXT J: IF L=B THEN 1170
1100 FOR J=A TO N1: IF B(J)<M(D+D,J) THEN 1170
1110 NEXT J
1120 FOR I=A TO W: IF F(I)>B THEN 1160
1130 FOR J=A TO N1: IF Q(I,J)<H(J) THEN IF Q(I,J)=I(J) THEN 1150
1140 LET F(I)=Y
1150 NEXT J
1170 GOSUB 1480: IF N6<N5 THEN IF V=B THEN CALL 127AH
1180 LET I=N4, M0=120, M1=-120: FOR J=A TO N1
1190 LET X(I,J)=M(A+D,J), Y(I,J)=M(D+D,J): IF M(A+D,J)<M0 THEN LET M0=M(A+D,J)
1200 IF M(A+D,J)>M1 THEN LET M1=M(A+D,J)
1210 LET Z(I,J)=J(J): NEXT J: LET X(I,B)=N1, Y(I,B)=E, P=2.5
1220 IF 10*P<M1-M0 THEN LET P=D*P: GOTO 1220
1230 IF P0<P THEN LET P0=P
1240 LET E3=P*D-INT(M0/P): IF E3>E0 THEN LET E0=E3
1250 IF M7=D THEN 1300
1260 GOSUB 1530: CALL X3: CALL 177AH: GOSUB 1580: GOSUB 1590
1270 FOR I=A TO W: CALL 16E7H: FOR J=A TO N1: LET Z=P(I,J), C=64+J: IF F(I)<>B THEN LET C=63
1290 GOSUB 1590: GOSUB 1580: POKE X4,56H: CALL 176BH
continued

1300 IF V=A+D THEN B30
1310 IF N6>=N5 THEN B20
1320 LET N6=N6+A,V=B: POKE 8102H,B: GOTO 470
1330 INPUT "$START "$N9,"$END "$N8: CALL 177AH: LET E3=E0,P=P0
1340 POKE X4,55H: CALL 1775H: IF B$="U" THEN PRINT "$SUMMARY "$: GOTO 1360
1350 PRINT "$LIST "$,
1360 PRINT "$N$: CALL X3: GOSUB 1620: IF B$="L" THEN 1400
1370 FOR I=N9 TO N8: CALL 16E7H: LET N0=N1,N1=X(I,B),E4=E,E=Y(I,B): GOSUB 1410: LET N1=N0,E=E4
1380 IF N(I)=A THEN GOSUB 1590
1390 NEXT I: GOSUB 1590: GOSUB 1580: CALL 1784H: GOSUB 1530: CALL X3
1400 POKE X4,56H: CALL 176BH: GOTO 830
1410 FOR J=A TO N1: IF J=E THEN 1440
1430 GOSUB 1600: LET Z=Z+P*.1: IF Z=X(I,J)+Y(I,J) THEN 1430
1440 NEXT J: FOR J=A TO N1: LET Z=X(I,J),C=64+J: GOSUB 16DOH: RETURN
1450 CALL 1709H: LET Pl=PEEK(8132H),P2=PEEK(8133H): RETURN
1460 INPUT "$Y/N":A#: RETURN
1470 FOR I=A TO N1: PRINT J(I);E#:"= 10 E",#4,A(I): NEXT I: RETURN
1480 CALL 1784H: FOR I=A TO 13: PRINT "$--*--": NEXT I: CALL X3: RETURN
1490 INPUT "$WHICH ONE":N: RETURN
1500 LET W=PEEK(8102H): CURSOR 22,15: PRINT #10,W: CURSOR 30,15: RETURN
1510 PRINT "$ MEAN ": RETURN
1520 PRINT "$ERROR ": RETURN
1530 PRINT "$DELTA %": RETURN
1540 LET F=9*N1-A-D
1550 FOR G=A TO F: PRINT "$": NEXT G: RETURN
1560 LET C=32768+I,A5=PEEK(C),B5=A5+N*16: POKE C,B5: RETURN
1570 PRINT N#",""":"":NEXT N#: RETURN
1580 FOR I=B TO 12*P STEP P: PRINT #10,1-E3: NEXT I: CALL X3: RETURN
1590 FOR J=A TO 13: PRINT "$--*--": NEXT J: CALL X3: RETURN
1600 LET N=32785+INT(10*(Z+E3)/P+.5): IF N>=32785 THEN IF N<=32917 THEN POKE N,C
1610 RETURN
1620 GOSUB 1590: LET I0=N9: FOR H=N9 TO N8: LET S=X(H,B),E5=Y(H,B): IF I0<>H THEN 1690
1630 PRINT "$A TO D$: GOSUB 1530: PRINT "$+-": GOSUB 1520: PRINT "$
continued

1640 NEXT I: CALL X3: PRINT "; FOR I=A TO S: IF I=E5 THEN 1680
1650 PRINT Z(H,I);";Z(H,E5);: IF Z(H,I))}>99 THEN LET F=15: GOTO 1670
1660 LET F=17
1670 GOSUB 1550
1680 NEXT I: CALL X3: CALL X3
1690 PRINT #B,H: FOR J=A TO S: IF J<>E5 THEN PRINT #12,X(H,J),Y(H,J)," "
1700 NEXT J: CALL X3: IF B$="L" THEN IF N(H)=A THEN GOSUB 1730
1710 NEXT H: LET H=H-A: IF N(H)=B THEN GOSUB 1730: CALL X3
1720 RETURN
1730 CALL X3: PRINT "G MEAN "; FOR J=A TO S: LET S(J)=B,C(J)=B: NEXT J
1740 FOR J=A TO S: IF J=E5 THEN 1780
1750 LET K=B: FOR R=10 TO H: LET S(J)=S(J)+X(R,J),K=K+A: NEXT R: LET M=S(J)/K
1760 FOR R=10 TO H: LET C=X(R,J)-M,C(J)=C(J)+C*C: NEXT R
1770 LET O=SQR(C(J)/K/n~2),X(B,J)=M,Y(B,J)=O: PRINT #12,M,O," "
1780 NEXT J: CALL X3: GOSUB 1590: LET I0=H+A: RETURN
1790 PRINT H$+" SPEED": PRINT "<0>ALL FAST": PRINT "<1>ALL SLOW": PRINT "<2>EA
CH SET"
1800 GOSUB 1490: IF N>D THEN 1790
1810 IF N<>D THEN LET N=A1*N: FOR I=A TO N1: GOSUB 1560: NEXT I: RETURN
1820 CLEAR: PRINT "<0>FAST": PRINT "<1>SLOW": FOR I=A TO N1: IF A1=D THEN PRI
NT J(I)-A;"-";
1830 NEXT J: PRINT J(I): INPUT "SPEED=";N: IF N=D THEN 1820
1850 PRINT "<T>ELEMENT (";E$;")": PRINT "<N>NAME ": PRINT "<X>SCAN #
(";N2;")"
1860 PRINT "<Y>RUN # (";N5;")": PRINT "<Z>SENS. (";: FOR I=A TO N1: PRINT A
(I): NEXT I: PRINT ")"
1870 PRINT "<I>INTERPO. (";E1;")": RETURN
1880 FOR I=A TO N
1890 INPUT "MASS"JO: FOR J=A TO J1: IF JO=D(J) THEN LET J(I)=JO,L(I)=E(J): GOTO
1910 NEXT J: PRINT J0;"IS MISTAKE": GOTO 1890
1910 NEXT I: RETURN
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Table 2.1 Meteoritic samples used in the present work with their classifications and abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allende</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>AL0, AL1, AL2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamato-74191</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>Y-74191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamato-75028</td>
<td>H3</td>
<td>Y-75028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2 Terrestrial samples used in the present work with their localities and abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forsterite in Dunite</td>
<td>Ehime Pref. Japan</td>
<td>FO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine in Lherzolite</td>
<td>Salt Lake, Hawaii</td>
<td>SL46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine in Lherzolite</td>
<td>Oki Island, Japan</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine in Lherzolite</td>
<td>McMurdo, Antarctica</td>
<td>MM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine in Spinel Lherzolite</td>
<td>Salt Lake, Hawaii</td>
<td>SL45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende</td>
<td>Fukui Pref., Japan</td>
<td>HO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesuvianite</td>
<td>Chihuahua, Hawaii</td>
<td>VE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordierite</td>
<td>Kyoto Pref., Japan</td>
<td>CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorthite</td>
<td>Hokkaido, Japan</td>
<td>AN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feldspar in Granodiorite</td>
<td>Hyogo Pref., Japan</td>
<td>FE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.1 Typical operating conditions of the hollow cathode ion gun

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hitachi IMA 2A</th>
<th>Home-made</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ionic species</strong></td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>Oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discharge voltage</strong></td>
<td>400 V</td>
<td>1600 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discharge current</strong></td>
<td>75 mA</td>
<td>30 mA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2 Typical working conditions for magnesium isotopic analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hitachi IMA 2A</th>
<th>Home-made</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary ion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy</strong></td>
<td>12-17 keV</td>
<td>8-9 keV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beam diameter</strong></td>
<td>70-200 μm</td>
<td>100-200 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beam current</strong></td>
<td>0.2-2 μA</td>
<td>0.2-0.8 μA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary ion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accelerating voltage</strong></td>
<td>3 kV</td>
<td>1 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pressure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ultimate</strong></td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-5}$ Pa</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{-5}$ Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>during measurement</strong></td>
<td>$8 \times 10^{-5}$ Pa</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.3 Geometric parameters of mass spectrometers and resolutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hitachi IMA 2A</th>
<th>Home-made</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrostatic sector</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius</td>
<td>15 cm</td>
<td>10 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deflection angle</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>63.6°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Magnetic sector</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius</td>
<td>12.5 cm</td>
<td>10 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deflection angle</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main slit</td>
<td>equal to beam dia.</td>
<td>0.3 $\times$ 4 mm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ slit</td>
<td>0.5 $\times$ 6 mm$^2$</td>
<td>1.0 $\times$ 6 mm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collector slit</td>
<td>0.5 $\times$ 8 mm$^2$</td>
<td>0.5 $\times$ 4 mm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution*</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Resolutions shown here are those for magnesium isotopic analysis.
Table 4.1 Interferences of possible molecular and doubly-charged ions to the subject ionic species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject ionic species</th>
<th>Possible interfering ionic species</th>
<th>Resolving* power</th>
<th>Maximum contribution to the subject peak</th>
<th>Home-made</th>
<th>Hitachi IMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{24}$Mg*</td>
<td>$^{12}$C$_2^+$</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{23}$NaH$_2^+$</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{48}$Ca$_2^+$</td>
<td>1730</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{48}$Ti$_2^+$</td>
<td>2170</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{25}$Mg*</td>
<td>$^{12}$C$_{13}$C*</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$C$_2$H$_2^+$</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{23}$NaH$_2^+$</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{50}$Ti$_2^+$</td>
<td>1860</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{50}$Cr$_2^+$</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{24}$MgH$_2^+$</td>
<td>3560</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{26}$Mg*</td>
<td>$^{13}$C$_2^+$</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$C$_{14}$N*</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$C$_{13}$CH$_2^+$</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{12}$C$_2$H$_2^+$</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{52}$Cr$_2^+$</td>
<td>2110</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{25}$MgH$_2^+$</td>
<td>2350</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Resolving power necessary to resolve an interfering ionic species from the subject one.
Table 4.2 Hydride ion formation ratio in cases without and with a cold finger of liquid nitrogen aside the sample holder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liq. N₂</th>
<th>( \frac{^{24}\text{MgH}^+}{^{24}\text{Mg}^+} ) (=x)</th>
<th>( \frac{^{24}\text{MgH}^+}{^{25}\text{Mg}^+} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>without</td>
<td>( \approx 4 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>( \approx 3 \times 10^{-2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with</td>
<td>( &lt; 5 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( &lt; 4 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3 Secondary ion formation ratios of \( \frac{^{12}\text{C}_2^+}{^{12}\text{C}^+} \) and \( \frac{^{12}\text{C}_2^+}{^{12}\text{C}^+}(\frac{^{13}\text{C}_2^+}{^{12}\text{C}^+})^2 \) for five carbon-containing terrestrial samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( \frac{^{12}\text{C}_2^+}{^{12}\text{C}^+} )</th>
<th>( Y^* /^{12}\text{C}^+ )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon carbide</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite</td>
<td>( \approx 0 )</td>
<td>( \approx 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( Y^* = \frac{^{13}\text{C}_2^+ +^{12}\text{C}^{14}\text{N}^+ +^{12}\text{C}^{13}\text{CH}^+ +^{12}\text{C}_2\text{H}_2^+}{^{12}\text{C}_2^+} \)
Table 4.4 Concentrations of Mg, Al and Si in four mineral samples determined by atomic absorption analysis (wt.%) and chemical formulae of the minerals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forsterite</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>Mg$_2$SiO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornblende</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>(Ca,Na)$_{2-3}$ (Mg,Fe,Al)$_5$ [OH·(Si,Al)$<em>4$O$</em>{11}$]$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesuvianite</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>Ca$_{10}$ (Mg,Fe)$_2$ Al$_4$ [(OH)$_4$·(SiO$_4$)$_5$·(Si$_2$O$_7$)$_2$]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordierite</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>Mg$_2$Al$_3$ [AlSi$<em>5$O$</em>{18}$]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.5 Isotopic ratios of magnesium obtained for the sample of terrestrial forsterite (FO) and used as the reference values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Home-made</th>
<th>Hitachi IMA 2A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$</td>
<td>0.12495</td>
<td>0.12472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$</td>
<td>0.13666</td>
<td>0.13560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{24}\text{Mg}/^{25}\text{Mg}$</td>
<td>8.0032</td>
<td>8.0178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{26}\text{Mg}/^{25}\text{Mg}$</td>
<td>1.0937</td>
<td>1.0872</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1 $^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}$ ratio at the time of nucleosynthesis, $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_o$, based on theoretical investigations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_o$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>R.A. Fish et al. (1960)$^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3.3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>W.A. Fowler et al. (1962)$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6.6 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>H. Reeves and J. Audouze (1968)$^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$9.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>W.D. Arnett (1969)$^{37}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>W.D. Arnett (1969)$^{37}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.4-2.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>J.W. Truran and A.G.W. Cameron (1978)$^{48}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 - 2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>W.D. Arnett and J.P. Wefel (1978)$^{49}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2.1 Photomicrograph of a cut surface of the Allende carboaceous chondrite.
Fig. 2.2 Photomicrograph of a cut surface of Allende including an amoeboid whitish inclusion.
Fig. 2.3 Photomicrograph of a cut surface of Allende including a chondrule-like white inclusion surrounded by ring-shaped boundary layer.
Fig. 2.4 Photomicrograph of a cut surface of Allende including a large white inclusion.
Fig. 2.5 Photomicrograph of a cut surface of Yamato-74191 (L3) chondrite.
Fig. 2.6 Photomicrograph of a cut surface of Yamato-75028 brecciated chondrite. H3 part is taken in this picture.
Fig. 3.1 Schematic diagram of a home-made ion microprobe mass analyzer.

Fig. 3.2 Schematic diagram of a Hitachi IMA 2A ion microprobe mass analyzer.
Fig. 3.3 Schematic diagram of a primary ion gun and accelerating and focusing system for the primary ion beam and for the secondary ions.

1. hollow cathode
2. ferrite permanent magnet
3. intermediate electrode
4. anode
5. drawing out and accelerating electrode
6. Einzel lens
7. deflector
8. sample holder
Fig. 3.4 Sample mounting system of the homemade apparatus. This is movable in the Z direction.

Fig. 3.5 Sample mounting system of the Hitachi IMA 2A apparatus.
Fig. 3.6 Schematic diagram of the installation of a cold finger of liquid nitrogen, and pumping systems.
RP: Oil rotary pump, DP: Oil diffusion pump, TMP: Turbo-molecular pump, IP: Ion pump
Fig. 3.7 Chart recording the peak top of $^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ for a terrestrial forsterite sample. This shows the stability of secondary ion current.
Fig. 3.8 Block diagram of a controlling system using a microcomputer.

μCOM: microcomputer with 8080AFC as CPU

EMT: secondary electron multiplier

MS: mass spectrometer
Fig. 3.9 Interfaces for a magnet power supply and a chart recorder.

Fig. 3.10 Diagram of connections between an AD converter and a microcomputer.
Fig. 3.11 Circuit for switching sensitivities of detecting system by switching a feedback resistor of an amplifier (K3021).
Fig. 4.1 Calibration curve for Al/Mg ratio. Elemental concentration was measured by atomic absorption analysis. The localities and the chemical formulae of the minerals are shown in Tables 2:2 and 4:4.

Fig. 4.2 Calibration curve for Mg/Si ratio. Elemental concentration was measured by atomic absorption analysis. The localities and the chemical formulae of the minerals are shown in Tables 2:2 and 4:4.
Fig. 4.3 Mass spectrum obtained for the white inclusion AL2 with the home-made apparatus. The sensitivities are shown in the upper part.
Fig. 4.4 Mass spectrum obtained for a portion of the matrix of Yamato-74191 chondrite with the Hitachi IMA 2A apparatus.
Fig. 4.5 Flow chart of obtaining isotopic ratios by using a microcomputer.

[Diagram of the flow chart showing the process steps involving print, run number, off, repeat, end, fast, bottom, peak number, ratio calculation, print, statistics, start, peak, over flow, top, slow, down, 60% of P.H., and other related decision points.]
Fig. 4.6 Δ values obtained for the laboratory standard sample of terrestrial forsterite (FO). These data are plotted in the chronological order. The definition of Δ appears in the text. Values aside the closed circles are the weighted means of all these data, and these values were used as reference values in evaluating Δ values. Error bars for the closed circles represent twice the standard deviations.
Fig. 4.7 Three isotope plot of the data for the terrestrial forsterite (FO). A straight line with the slope of 1/2 corresponds to the normal mass fractionation line. The absolute abundance ratios reported by Catanzaro et al. (CMGS 66) and Schramm et al. (STW 70) are also marked.
Fig. 4.8 Deviations, $\delta_{26/24}$, after the correction for the normal mass fractionation. These were obtained for the F0 sample. The definition of $\delta_{26/24}$ appears in the text. These are plotted in the chronological order. The error bar for the closed circle represents the reproducibility.
Fig. 4.9 $\Delta$ values for the FO sample. These data are plotted in the chronological order. The definition of $\Delta$ appears in the text. Values aside the closed circles are the weighted mean values of all these data, and these values were used as reference values in evaluating $\Delta$ values. Error bars for the closed circles represent twice the standard deviations.
Fig. 4.10 Three isotope plot of magnesium obtained for four terrestrial olivines. These were analyzed as sub-standards. FO: forsterite (Ehime Pref.), SL46: olivine (Hawaii) SL45: olivine (Hawaii), MM: olivine (Antarctica) OK: olivine (Oki Island)
Fig. 4.11 Sketch of the amoeboid whitish inclusion in AL0.

Fig. 4.12 Sketch of the chondrule-like white inclusion in AL1.

Fig. 4.13 Sketch of the comparatively large white inclusion in AL2.
Fig. 5.1  $\delta_{26/24}$ values obtained for ALO including the amoeboid whitish inclusion. The definition of $\delta_{26/24}$ appears in the text.

ALO(WI): whitish inclusion in ALO

ALO(M): matrix in ALO

FO: terrestrial forsterite (laboratory standard)
Fig. 5.2 $\delta_{26/24}$ values obtained for AL1 including the chondrule-like white inclusion surrounded by the boundary layer. The definition of the $\delta_{26/24}$ appears in the text.

- AL1(WI): white inclusion in AL1
- AL1(B): boundary layer surrounding the inclusion in AL1
- AL1(M): matrix in AL1
- FO: terrestrial forsterite (laboratory standard)
Fig. 5.3 \(\delta_{26/24}\) values obtained for AL2 including the large white inclusion. The definition of \(\delta_{26/24}\) appears in the text.

AL2-A(WI): white inclusion in AL2 (analysis along AA')
AL2-A(GI): dark gray part in AL2
AL2-A(M): matrix in AL2
FO: terrestrial forsterite (laboratory standard)
HO: terrestrial hornblende, VE: terrestrial vesuvianite
Fig 5.4 $\delta_{26/24}$ values obtained for AL2 including the large white inclusion. The definition of $\delta_{26/24}$ appears in the text.

AL2-B(WI): white inclusion in AL2 (analysis along BB')

AL2-B(GI): dark gray part in AL2

AL2-B(M): matrix in AL2
Fig. 5.5 $\delta_{26/24}$ and $^{27}\text{Al}^+/^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ as a function of probed position along the probed line for the ALO. Symbols for the plot of $\delta_{26/24}$ are the same as shown in Fig.5.1.
Fig. 5.6 $\delta_{26/24}$ and $^{27}\text{Al}^{+}/^{24}\text{Mg}^{+}$ as a function of probed position along the probed line for the ALI. Symbols for the plot of $\delta_{26/24}$ are the same as shown in Fig.5.2.
Fig. 5.7 $\delta_{26/24}$ and $^{27}\text{Al}^{+}/^{24}\text{Mg}^{+}$ as a function of probed position along the probed line AA' for the AL2. Symbols for the plot of $\delta_{26/24}$ are the same as shown in Fig.5.3.
Fig. 5.8 $\delta_{26/24}$ and $^{27}\text{Al}^{+}/^{24}\text{Mg}^{+}$ as a function of probed position along the probed line BB' for the AL2. Symbols for the plot of $\delta_{26/24}$ are the same as shown in Fig.5.4.
Fig. 5.9 Three isotope plot of magnesium for the white inclusion in the AL2. These data were obtained along the probed line AA'. A straight line with the slope of 1/2 represents the normal mass fractionation line.
Fig. 5.10 Three isotope plot of magnesium for the white inclusion in the AL2. These data were obtained along the probed line BB'. A straight line with the slope of 1/2 represents the normal mass fractionation line.
Fig. 5.11 $\Delta_{26/24}$ and $\Delta_{25/24}$ versus Al/Mg ratio showing the correlation between $\Delta_{26/24}$ and Al/Mg. These data are the same as plotted in both Figs. 5.9 and 5.10.
Fig. 5.12 Three isotope plot of magnesium for the matrix portions of Y-75028. The plotted data were obtained for the portions where the concentration ratio of Al/Mg is lower than 0.13.
Fig. 5.13 Three isotope plot of magnesium for the matrix portions of Allende. The data were obtained for the portions where the concentration ratio of Al/Mg is less than 0.13.
Fig. 5.14 Three isotope plot of magnesium for the matrix portions of Y-74191. The data were obtained for the portions where the concentration ratio of Al/Mg is less than 0.13.


