

Title	Evaluation of specific heat for superfluid helium between 0 - 2.1 K based on nonlinear theory
Author(s)	Sasaki, Shosuke
Citation	Journal of Physics: Conference Series. 2009, 150(3), p. 32091
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://hdl.handle.net/11094/27141
rights	Published under licence in Journal of Physics: Conference Series by IOP Publishing Ltd. CC-BY Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

Evaluation of specific heat for superfluid helium between 0 - 2.1 K based on nonlinear theory

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2009 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 150 032091

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/150/3/032091>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 133.1.91.151

This content was downloaded on 28/03/2014 at 01:02

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Evaluation of specific heat for superfluid helium between 0 - 2.1 K based on nonlinear theory

Shosuke Sasaki

Shizuoka Institute of Science and Technology, Fukuroi, Shizuoka, 437-8555, Japan

E-mail: sasaki@ns.sist.ac.jp

Abstract. The specific heat of liquid helium was calculated theoretically in the Landau theory [1]. The results deviate from experimental data in the temperature region of 1.3 - 2.1 K. Many theorists subsequently improved the results of the Landau theory by applying temperature dependence of the elementary excitation energy [2], [3]. As well known, many-body system has a total energy of Galilean covariant form. Therefore, the total energy of liquid helium has a nonlinear form for the number distribution function. The function form can be determined using the excitation energy at zero temperature and the latent heat per helium atom at zero temperature. The nonlinear form produces new temperature dependence for the excitation energy from Bose condensate. We evaluate the specific heat using iteration method. The calculation results of the second iteration show good agreement with the experimental data in the temperature region of 0 - 2.1 K, where we have only used the elementary excitation energy at 1.1 K.

1. Nonlinear form of total energy

Liquid helium system has a total Hamiltonian as

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} a_{\mathbf{p}}^* a_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}} g(\mathbf{k}) a_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}}^* a_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}}^* a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{q}} \quad (1)$$

where m is the mass of a helium atom, $a_{\mathbf{p}}^*$ and $a_{\mathbf{p}}$ respectively signify the creation and annihilation operators. We examine the general form of the total energy via the unitary transformation U diagonalizing the Hamiltonian H . All eigenstates are described as | eigenstate $\rangle = U a_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* a_{\mathbf{p}_2}^* a_{\mathbf{p}_3}^* \cdots a_{\mathbf{p}_N}^* | 0 \rangle$ where $| 0 \rangle$ denotes the vacuum state. New creation and annihilation operators are defined as

$$A_{\mathbf{p}}^* = U a_{\mathbf{p}}^* U^{-1}, A_{\mathbf{p}} = U a_{\mathbf{p}} U^{-1} \quad (2)$$

which indicate the creation and annihilation operators of a quasi-particle. We designate this quasi-particle as a "dressed boson". The dressed boson number operator is defined as

$$n_{\mathbf{p}} = A_{\mathbf{p}}^* A_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (3)$$

The total number conservation and the total momentum conservation are expressed as

$$N = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{q}}^* a_{\mathbf{q}} = U \sum_{\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{q}}^* a_{\mathbf{q}} U^{-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} n_{\mathbf{q}} \text{ and } \mathbf{Q} = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{q} a_{\mathbf{q}}^* a_{\mathbf{q}} = U \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{q} a_{\mathbf{q}}^* a_{\mathbf{q}} U^{-1} = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{q} n_{\mathbf{q}} \quad (4)$$

That is to say, the total number of helium atoms is equal to the total number of dressed bosons and the total momentum of helium atoms is equal to the total momentum of dressed bosons.

The total energy of the system is a sum of the kinetic energy K of the center of mass and Galilean invariant terms X : $K = \frac{\mathbf{Q}^2}{2M}$ where M is the total mass of liquid helium.

$$H = K + X = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p} n_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{q} n_{\mathbf{q}}}{2M} + X = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} n_{\mathbf{p}} - \frac{1}{2M} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})^2 n_{\mathbf{p}} n_{\mathbf{q}} + X \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} n_{\mathbf{p}} + (\text{Galilean invariant terms}) \quad (6)$$

where Galilean invariant terms are described only by relative momenta of dressed bosons:

$$(\text{Galilean invariant terms}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} f_2(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) n_{\mathbf{p}} n_{\mathbf{q}} + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}} f_3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) n_{\mathbf{p}} n_{\mathbf{q}} n_{\mathbf{k}} + \dots \quad (7)$$

Substitution of Eq.(7) into Eq.(6) yields

$$E = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} n_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}} f(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) n_{\mathbf{p}} n_{\mathbf{q}} \quad (8)$$

where we neglect higher terms because three-particle collision is a rare case for diluteness of liquid helium. The single excitation state has a distribution of $\{n_0 = N - 1, n_{\mathbf{p}} = 1\}$ and therefore its total energy is derived from Eq.(8) as follows:

$$E = f(0)N + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + 2(f(\mathbf{p}) - f(0)) \quad (9)$$

where we have used $1/N \approx 0$ and the spherical symmetric property of the function $f(\mathbf{p})$. Therein, the latent heat at zero Kelvin is equal to $-Nf(0)$. Accordingly the elementary excitation energy at zero Kelvin is given by $\epsilon_p^0 = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + 2(f(\mathbf{p}) - f(0))$. This relation engenders a function form of the nonlinear term as [4]

$$f(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_p^0 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}) + f(0). \quad (10)$$

2. Coupled equation determining distribution of dressed bosons

The energy of one dressed boson is an increment value of the total energy when one dressed boson is added to the system. Accordingly the dressed boson energy is defined as $\omega_{\mathbf{p}} = \delta E / \delta n_{\mathbf{p}}$. The calculation result for the derivative of Eq. (8) shows

$$\omega_{\mathbf{p}}(T) = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} f(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) n_{\mathbf{q}} - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}} f(\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{t}) n_{\mathbf{s}} n_{\mathbf{t}} \quad (11)$$

where we have used $f(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) = f(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p})$. The distribution function is determined as

$$n_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{\exp((\omega_{\mathbf{p}}(T) - \mu)/(k_B T)) - 1} \quad (12)$$

We can obtain approximate solutions of the coupled equations of (11) and (12) via the iteration method [4]. We adopt the Landau distribution function as the zero-th step distribution:

$$n_0(\mathbf{p}, T) = \frac{1}{\exp(\epsilon_p^0/(k_B T)) - 1} \quad (13)$$

The j-th step solutions are derived from the (j-1)-th distribution function as follows:

$$\omega_j(\mathbf{p}, T) = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} f(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) n_{j-1}(\mathbf{q}, T) - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}} f(\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{t}) n_{j-1}(\mathbf{s}, T) n_{j-1}(\mathbf{t}, T) \quad (14)$$

This j-th energy form produces the j-th distribution function:

$$n_j(\mathbf{p}, T) = \frac{1}{\exp((\omega_j(\mathbf{p}, T) - \omega_j(0, T))/(k_B T)) - 1} \quad (15)$$

Therein the excitation energy from the Bose-Einstein condensate of dressed bosons is expressed as

$$\epsilon_j(\mathbf{p}, T) = \omega_j(\mathbf{p}, T) - \omega_j(0, T). \quad (16)$$

We can evaluate the second step solutions $\epsilon_2(p, T)$ and $n_2(p, T)$ via the iteration processes from the zero-th step distribution.

3. Evaluation of specific heat

In our iteration method, ϵ_p^0 means the elementary excitation energy at zero temperature. We have used the experimental values of excitation energy at 1.1 K for ϵ_p^0 because the temperature dependences are extremely small in the temperature region lower than 1.1K. Using the excitation energy $\epsilon_2(p, T)$ and the distribution function $n_2(p, T)$ in the second step of the iteration, we can calculate the second step approximation values of specific heat as follows [4];

$$C_P = T k_B \frac{4\pi}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \left\{ \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} \right\}_P \int_0^\infty \left\{ \log(1 + n_2(p, T)) + \frac{\epsilon_2(p, T)}{k_B T} n_2(p, T) \right\} p^2 dp + \frac{4\pi V}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int_0^\infty (n_2(p, T))^2 \exp(\epsilon_2(p, T)/(k_B T)) \left\{ \left\{ \frac{\epsilon_2(p, T)}{k_B T} \right\}^2 k_B - \frac{\epsilon_2(p, T)}{k_B T} \left\{ \frac{\partial \epsilon_2(p, T)}{\partial T} \right\}_P \right\} p^2 dp \quad (17)$$

The evaluated results are shown in Fig.1 and Fig.2.




Figure 1. C_P derived from nonlinear theory. The vertical scale indicates $\log_{10} C_P$ where C_P is measured by the unit of [J/(g K)]




Figure 2. The curve expresses the calculated values. The dots colored with red indicate experimental data [5].




Figure 3. The horizontal axis indicates $\log_{10}((T_{\lambda} - T)/T_{\lambda})$. The large dots colored with blue indicate the experimental data [5], and the small dots with red are measured by Lipa et al [7]. BD theory indicates the results in the reference of [3]. BCY theory indicates the results in the reference of [2]. The curve of the nonlinear theory is the results of the reference [6]

Figure 1 and 2 indicate the second step results of specific heat via the nonlinear theory. The curves express the calculated values. The dots with red indicate experimental data [5]. As shown in Fig.1 and Fig.2, the theoretical values of the second step are in good agreement with the experimental data for $T < 2.1\text{K}$. It is noteworthy that the present calculation uses the experimental values of excitation energy only for the temperature 1.1 K. Of course the iteration method is insufficient in close vicinity of the λ transition temperature. We have discussed origin of the logarithmic divergence at the λ point in the previous paper [6]. It is clarified that the logarithmic divergence is caused by the nonlinear mechanism of the total energy. The calculation results are shown in Fig. 3.

Thus the nonlinear theory has well explained the temperature dependence of the specific heat of superfluid helium for all temperature region. Accordingly the nonlinear mechanism of total energy is important for understanding the properties of liquid helium.

4. References

- [1] Landau L D 1941 *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **11** 592; Landau L D 1941 *J. Phys. Moscow* **5** 71; *ibid.* 1947 **11** 91. Khalatnikov I M 1965 *An Introduction to the theory of Superfluidity* (W. A. Benjamin Inc. New York, Amsterdam).
- [2] Bendt P J, Cowan R D and Yarnell J L 1959 *Phys. Rev.* **113** 1386.
- [3] Brooks J S and Donnelly R J 1977 *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **6** 51. Donnelly R J 1972 *Phys. Lett. A* **39** 221. Brooks J S and Donnelly R J 1974 *LT-13 Vol.1*, Brooks J S and Donnelly R J 1973 *Phys. Lett.* **46A** 111.
- [4] Sasaki S and Hori H 2008 *Bose-Einstein Condensation and Superfluidity* (JAIST Press). Sasaki S 1987 *Proc. Int. Conf. on Low Temp. Phys., J. J. A. P.* **26** (1987) 23. Sasaki S 1990 *Physica B* **165** 507. Sasaki S 2003 *Physica B* **329** 232. Sasaki S 2007 *Journal of Low Temperature Physics* **148** 103. Sasaki S 1994 *Physica B* **194** 503. Sasaki S 1989 *Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences* **79** 160. Sasaki S 1994 *Physica B* **194** 497.
- [5] Ahlers G 1971 *Phys. Rev. A* **3** 696. Buckingham M J, Fairbank W F in *Progress in Low Temperature Physics* vol. **3** ed. by C. J. Gorter (Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1961) p. 80.
- [6] Sasaki S 2007 *Journal of Low Temperature Physics* **148** 97
- [7] Lipa J A, Nissen J A, Stricker D A, Swanson D R and Chui T C P 2003 *Phys. Rev. B* **68** 174518.