Title	Cryogenic deuterium target experiments with the GEKKO XII, green laser system
Author(s)	Tanaka, Kazuo A.; Yamanaka, Tatsuhiko; Nishihara, Katsunobu et al.
Citation	Physics of Plasmas. 2(6) p.2495-p.2503
Issue Date	1995-06
oaire:version	VoR
URL	https://hdl.handle.net/11094/3377
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

Cryogenic deuterium target experiments with the GEKKO XII, green laser system*

Kazuo A. Tanaka,[†] Tatsuhiko Yamanaka, Katsunobu Nishihara, Takayoshi Norimatsu, Noriaki Miyanaga, Hiroyuki Shiraga, Mitsuo Nakai, Yoneyoshi Kitagawa, Ryosuke Kodama, Tadashi Kanabe,^{a)} Hiroshi Azechi, Manabu Heya, Takahisa Jitsuno, Masataka Kado, Kunioki Mima, Masahiro Nakatsuka, Akio Nishiguchi, Hideaki Takabe, Masaru Takagi, Kouji Tsubakimoto, Masahiro Tsukamoto, Yoshiaki Kato, Yasukazu Izawa, and Sadao Nakai^{a)}

Institute of Laser Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565 Japan

(Received 14 November 1994; accepted 6 March 1995)

A series of experiments were conducted using cryogenic deuterium targets to study fundamental physics and implosion dynamics with the GEKKO XII glass laser system [IEEE J. Quantum Electron. **QE-17**, 1639 (1981)]. Preheat sources were found to be due to a shock wave and hot electrons. A new method to measure the fuel ρR using proton spectra was employed. Measured in detail were the implosion dynamics of cryogenic deuterium foam with a plastic ablator and a CH shell with a controlled pressure of deuterium gas targets. Under current experimental conditions sources of nonuniformity were discussed in terms of Rayleigh–Taylor instability. © 1995 American Institute of Physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Laser inertial confinement fusion ignition or reactor level target implosion typically requires the convergence ratios R_i/R_f (R_i : the initial outer radius of the target and R_f is the final compressed radius of the fuel) to be 20–40, final compressed fuel densities of the order of 1000 times the deuterium–tritium (D–T) liquid density, density radius product of 2–3 g/cm², and several keV hot spark temperature. Since a candidate for these targets may have a thick D–T layer and plastic ablator,¹ it is necessary to develop the target fabrication and handling technique. By isentropically compressing the fuel of the ignition or high gain targets to a nearly degenerate state, the required laser energy is minimized. Any preheat in the fuel during the implosion alters this scenario and increases the required laser energy.² On the other hand, the preheat might help reduce the growth of Rayleigh–Taylor (RT) instability.³ Thus the detailed preheat database should be essential for the ignition target design. Implosion experiments at the laser wavelengths at 527 and 351 nm indicated that the compressed density could be reached as high as 200–600 times the liquid fuel density.⁴ The corresponding neutron yields have been observed to be less than the simulation prediction, which have been attributed to fluid instabilities such as Rayleigh–Taylor instability. It is not clear what the details of the final phase of the compressions are in experiments, since the final compression phase happens within a short time (<100 ps) and small spatial (<50 μ m) scales under current experimental conditions. This has been a limitation to the diagnostics. It is of critical importance to conduct implosion experiments using a cryogenic system to control deuterium fuel (D_2) pressure for studying (1) the fundamental physics and implosion performances of a thick fuel layer (>10 μ m) with a plastic ablator,

a candidate for ignition and high gain experiments, and (2) details of the final phase of the compression to reveal the effect of fluid instability, shock wave, and preheat.

We have conducted a series of experiments using cryogenic systems *in situ* at the target vacuum chamber using a 527 nm laser wavelength with random phase plates⁵ to study these issues. In planar target experiments a cryogenic deuterium foam (CDF) plate was irradiated by one or two green laser beams. At a 3×10^{14} W/cm² laser intensity, the major nonlinear instability in the corona region is two plasmon decay (TPD) instability. The temporally resolved observation of the blackbody emission on the rear side of planar CDF target shows the effect of hot electrons and shock heating. Proton spectra were shown to have a shift corresponding to the fuel ρR in spherical implosion experiments of CDF with a plastic ablator (CDFP) target. Implosion performance of CDFP targets shows that the implosion stays in one dimension until the shock wave released from the imploding shell wall collides at the target center and hits the shell again. Detailed x-ray measurements show that the core temperature is of the order of 1 keV at the initial phase of the compression and then become cold within a 50 ps time scale. CD shells containing several tens of atmospheres of deuterium gas have been imploded to study the details of the compression. A new method that has been employed is MIXS (multi-imaging x-ray streak camera), which is capable of taking 18 10 ps time frame images with a 10 ps time interval. For 40–50 atm D_2 pressure, the compressed cores show the effect of low modes ($l=4-6$) by changing the laser focusing condition. D–D neutron yield was $0.5-1 \times 10^8$, which corresponds to the simulated yield slightly (50–100 ps) after the convergence at the target center and then the reflected shock hitting the imploding shell for the first time. We then discuss possible modes in Rayleigh–Taylor instability for causing such a degradation of implosion performance.

*Paper 91A1, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **39**, 1750 (1994).

[†]Invited speaker.

^{a)}Also at the Faculty of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita 565 Japan.

II. EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

For fundamental physics studies planar targets were irradiated by several beams of the GEKKO XII glass laser system.⁶ Two beams of 527 nm laser light were focused on planar cryogenic deuterium foam (CDF) targets with an $f/3$ lens through random phase plates (RPP) for the shock and corona instability experiments. The typical laser intensity was 3.4×10^{14} W/cm² with a 600×700 μm oval focal spot and 1 ns at the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) pulse. The average density of CDF targets was 0.21 g/cm³ with solid deuterium and polystyrene [(C₈H₈)_n] foam. CDF targets were coated with sodium carboxyl-methyl-cellulose (CMC, $Z=4.2$) for comparing the effect of preheat.

When a shock wave breaks out of a plane target, the rear side of the target radiate a blackbody emission, depending on the temperature behind the shock. The rear side of the CDF target was observed by the combination of a Schwarzschild microscope and an S-20 streak camera with a 2 μm spatial resolution at the spectral region from 250 to 480 nm. The system was calibrated to give a brightness temperature of a blackbody emission. The hard x-ray spectra from hot electron bremsstrahlung emissions in a 8.5–90 keV photon range and time history of 20 keV x rays were monitored by a filter fluorescer x-ray detector and an x-ray streak camera. Stimulated Raman scattered (SRS) light was measured with the combination of a spectrometer and an S-1 streak camera. The three-half-harmonic (351 nm) light was also temporally resolved with a proper set of filters as a monitor of two plasmon decay instability.⁷

The neutron flight velocity is measured by a conventional time-of-flight method. Neutrons were measured by two scintillator–photomultiplier pairs. The secondary neutrons (due to the secondary D–T reaction) were measured by two scintillator–photomultiplier (BC422) pairs. A proton spectrometer using a ceramic dipole magnet ($B=7$ kG) measured the primary proton spectrum. The entrance slit of the spectrometer was 0.5×2 mm, located at 15.3 cm from the target. CR 39 plate was used for the detector of 2×40 mm size, covering the energy range from 1 to 3.5 MeV.

Implosion experiments were conducted using the 12 beams of the GEKKO XII Nd:glass laser system at 527 nm with energies from 4 to 12 kJ with a pulse shape of a Gaussian or stacked Gaussian between 1.5 and 2.5 ns pulsewidth at half-maximum. The typical energy balance among the beams were within 5%. For the recent implosion experiments the power balance of the 12 beams were controlled to within 5%. The laser light was focused via an $f/3$ lens with the RPP's. The focusing point was typically beyond the target by $D/R=5$, where D is the distance between the target center and the best focus and R is the spherical target radius. Estimated overall irradiation nonuniformity was less than 20% root mean square (RMS). Targets were either cryogenic deuterium foam with a plastic ablator (CDFP) or CH shell with 50 atm D₂ gas. Typical sizes of the CDFP are 4 μm ablator, 10 μm foam and deuterium layer, and 500–700 μm diameter. CH shells of 500 μm diameter have 7 μm thick walls with 50 atm deuterium gas. A new method utilizing an x-ray streak camera with multiple pinholes (multi-imaging x-ray streak camera: MIXS) and computer processing of data has

10 μm

FIG. 1. A cross section of foam with plastic ablator. The size of the foam structures is less than 200 nm.

been introduced to have 10 ps time frames for the two-dimensional (2-D) images of imploded cores with a 10 ps interval.⁸ A conventional x-ray streak camera with three pinholes was used to estimate the temperature of the core using different x-ray filters.

III. FOAM SHELL FABRICATION AND TARGET HANDLING

In earlier plane geometry experiments, foam targets were made with a freeze dry method. A 2.5 wt.% 1,4-dioxane solution of polystyrene (PS) in a planar mandrel was quickly frozen by dipping it in liquid nitrogen to induce phase separation of the dioxane and PS. The frozen solution was placed in a vacuum chamber to leave a PS foam plate. The cell size and the density of the foam fabricated by this method were 4 μm and 40 mg/cc.⁹ Recently, foam shells have been fabricated with a density-matched emulsion method and droplet generator in a liquid phase.¹⁰ Trimethylpropane trimethacrylate (TMPT) was used as a foam network former in the oil phase. In the water the oil phase with 3 wt.% TMPT was mixed to make a W(water)/O (oil) emulsion. Then the W/O emulsion was again poured into a second water phase to make a W/O/W emulsion. This emulsion was heated at 70 °C to polymerize in the oil phase. After the polymerization, the shells were dried to obtain foam shells. In order to produce foam shells with controlled diameter and wall thickness, a dual nozzle in an orifice droplet generator in the liquid phase was used. Foam shells were plastic coated by a newly developed interfacial polycondensation technique.¹¹ This technique using hydroxyethyl-cellulose or poly-vinyl phenol had an excellent spherical uniformity as good as 98%. A cross section of a foam (10 μm thickness) shell with a 4 μm plastic ablator is shown in Fig. 1, where one can see that the cell size of the foam structure is less than 1 μm for 50 mg/cm³ density. A power spectrum of the surface of a plastic ablator is shown in Fig. 2, where the surface roughness is 3 nm RMS.

Two cryostats were used in these series of experiments. For the earlier planar experiments, a cryostat¹² containing a quartz pot to reserve liquid D₂ was installed in the target chamber of the GEKKO XII laser system. The plane foam suspended on a glass stalk was immersed into the liquid deuterium to one-third of the whole height. Since the foam could be wet with liquid deuterium, the foam plane was satu-

FIG. 2. A power spectrum of the surface of a plastic ablator coated on a spherical foam shell. The surface roughness is 3 nm RMS.

rated with liquid deuterium. After locating the foam plane at the firing position, the whole target was frozen. The target was exposed in vacuum for the laser irradiation by reducing the deuterium gas around the target and simultaneously increasing the cooling rate of the cryostat. The cryogenic shroud was retracted 20 ms prior to the laser irradiation. The sublimation of solid deuterium before the laser irradiation was estimated to be less than $2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ in thickness. The sublimation rate, which depends on both time history of the surrounding vapor pressure and temperature of the target, was determined experimentally by measuring the mass of the solid deuterium in a foam shell in a similar circumstance.¹³

A cryostat equipped with a high pressure fill chamber¹⁴ was employed for implosion experiments of spherical foam shells with a plastic ablator. A foam shell with a plastic ablator was held with a $2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ diameter polyester fiber attached to a glass stalk. The shell was pressurized with 100–130 atm deuterium gas in a cryostat at the vacuum target chamber. The fill pressure was determined so that the foam layer was slightly overfilled with D_2 at the temperature when it was fired. Then the cryostat was cooled down to form a liquid layer of D_2 in the foam structure at below 35 K. A schematic of the cryostat system is shown in Fig. 3. A upper pylon is to position the target at the laser focusing and a lower pylon is to fuel and cool the target. The lower cryostat consists of a gas-filling chamber and a cryogenic shroud retractable independently for the target shooting. An indium ring was used to seal the high pressure deuterium gas between the filling chamber and the target positioner. The filling rate was controlled within a quarter of the collapsing pressure so as not to break the target shell. A typical filling rate was 80 min for a $600\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ diameter foam shell with a $4\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ plastic ablator. After lowering the cryostat temperature to 40 K, the residual D_2 gas was evacuated from the filling chamber. The typical time to bring the target ready for the laser shot is 2 h after the D_2 filling. After the shell was cooled to the desired temperature, the uniformity of the D_2 layer was monitored with interference microscopes *in situ*. The shroud was retracted 20 ms before the laser irradiation. Estimated temperature rise on

FIG. 3. A schematic of the cryostat system used for the implosion experiment. (a) Filling mode, (b) target alignment, and (c) laser irradiation.

the target was less than 1.4 K from ambient blackbody radiation.

IV. SHOCK AND HOT ELECTRON PREHEAT

As mentioned in Sec. II Experimental conditions, the shock wave and other preheat were monitored by the rear side emission of planer targets. The front side of the CDF target was irradiated at $3.4 \times 10^{14}\text{ W/cm}^2$ and the rear side emission due to preheats is measured. Figure 4 shows that the rear side emissions were composed of mainly two components: a sharp rising shock wave and nonlocal heating due to hot electrons. The figure indicates that the shock wave

FIG. 4. Time-resolved rear emission of CDF target irradiated at $3.4 \times 10^{14}\text{ W/cm}^2$. The target thickness were 68 and $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$.

FIG. 5. Temporal shapes of SRS three-half harmonic, and 20 keV x rays.

component is delayed with increasing the target thickness from $68\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ to $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, but the intensity did not change much. The peak temperature of the shock was estimated to be 35–40 eV based on the assumption that the emission is a blackbody. This brightness temperature was calibrated using an aluminum target shot with the known SESAME database. The other component in front of the shock wave signal did not show such delay as the one seen in the shock signals and the intensity was reduced with increasing the target thickness. It is estimated that this level of heating would be possible with hot electrons with about 15 keV temperature. In this experiment heating due to x rays has been excluded because of the low atomic number of the CDF target. One of the sources of hot electrons was identified due to TPD instability at the quarter-critical plasma density as shown in Fig. 5. TPD is a nonlinear parametric instability, where the incident laser photon decays into two electron plasma waves at the quarter-critical plasma density. The generated plasma waves could create hot electrons corresponding to the phase velocity of the plasma waves. Figure 5 shows the convective SRS, three-half harmonic due to TPD, and 20 keV hard x-ray temporal histories. The similarity between the three-half harmonic and 20 keV hard x rays indicates that the hard x-ray component is related to the TPD instability, not to the convective SRS. Actually in this experiment the level of SRS was reduced by more than 10^2 with the use of RPP's, while the level of three-half harmonic as a monitor of TPD was not affected by the RPP's. This result is consistent with the ones in Ref. 15. The three-half-harmonic intensity was well correlated with hot electron fraction as shown in Fig. 6. The data were taken for CDF, plastic (CH), sodium carboxyl-methyl-cellulose (CMC), and aluminum (Al) planar targets at laser intensities between 1.8 and $3.4 \times 10^{14}\text{ W/cm}^2$, indicating that the TPD fraction decreases with increasing the atomic number. The hot electron contents were estimated from the hard x-ray time-integrated spectral measurement, whose observation range was from 8.5 keV to 90 keV.¹⁶ The spectra above 20 keV photon energy were well represented with a Maxwellian distribution. The fraction of hot electrons to the incident laser energy was about $4\% \pm 2\%$ with a hot electron temperature of 15 keV for the CDF target at $3.4 \times 10^{14}\text{ W/cm}^2$. This fraction was reduced by a factor of 5 for the CMC targets. We could obtain the best fit of the experimentally observed emission due to the hot electron and shock

FIG. 6. Hot electron fraction versus three harmonic intensity. Hot electron is well correlated with three-half-harmonic intensity (two plasmon decay instability).

wave with our Fokker–Planck code, HIMICO,¹⁷ simulation, corresponding to 4% of the incident laser energy converted to 14 keV temperature and the thermal tail electrons, consistent with the experimentally observed values. The fitting was shown in Fig. 7, where the width shown in the simulation is due to the numbers read at the first and second layer of the simulation.

FIG. 7. Fitting by Fokker–Planck code simulation to the observed hot electron heating. This simulation fit corresponds to 4% of the incident energy converted to 14 keV hot electrons and thermal tail electrons.

FIG. 8. Proton spectra for (a) glass microballoon shell and (b) CDFP target. Low density implosions associated with GMB show almost no shift, while the CDFP implosion shows a clear shift from its original position.

V. FUSION PRODUCT

As a new and alternative way to measure the fuel ρR to a secondary reaction method,¹⁸ proton spectra were measured. 3 MeV protons produced in the D–D fusion reaction go through the surrounding high density fuel layer and are detected by the proton spectrometer. The proton spectrum is shifted because of the energy loss of the compressed fuel layer. Advantages of this method over the secondary method are that the absolute count of neutrons is not necessary for the measurement and γ -ray noise problem at the secondary neutron method could be avoided. In the secondary neutron method γ rays generated at the diagnostics by both primary and secondary neutrons often obscure the time-resolved signals.¹⁸ Figures 8(a) and 8(b) show proton spectra from a simple D₂ filled (11 atm) glass microballoon (GMB) target (621 μm diameter) and a CDF with a 4 μm plastic (CDFP) ablator target (590 μm diameter). The foam layer thickness was 10 μm filled with cryogenic D₂, which corresponds to 100 atm D₂ gas at room temperature. The laser intensity was $4 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2$ for the GMB and the CDFP target with a 2 ns pulse duration with 8 kJ laser energies. For the CDFP shot, the deuterium temperature was 20 K, where the D₂ layer was kept in a liquid phase. Comparing these two spectra, the spectrum from the GMB [Fig. 8(a)] shows little shift from its original position of 3 MeV, while the one from the CDFP target indicates the peak shift at around 1.8 MeV. The energy spread observed in Fig. 8(a) indicates the spectrometer reso-

FIG. 9. ρR values versus calculated convergence ratio for CDFP targets. Also plotted are the ones estimated with the secondary neutron method. Multiplying 0.4 to the proton values to take account the plastic foam density, the fuel ρR values are in good agreement with the secondary neutron method.

lution limited by the Doppler broadening. According to the energy loss calculation including the Fermi degeneracy,¹⁹ a 1.2 MeV peak shift corresponds to ρR about $12 \pm 4 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ assuming the ion and electron temperatures are similar and to be 0.1–1.0 keV. The error originates from the spectral broadening. Here 0.3 keV was assumed for the temperature since the main fuel layer temperature was 0.3 keV in a one-dimensional (1-D) hydrodynamic code simulation. The calculated ρR values are a function of the temperature within a factor 2 between 0.1 and 1 keV and are indicative that the measurement is valid to $\rho R = 40 \text{ mg/cm}^3$ at 1 keV temperature for solid or liquid D₂ density. The ρR values are plotted with the ones estimated with the secondary neutron scheme in Fig. 9. The difference seen in the figure between the proton and the secondary neutron methods is attributed to the additional stopping caused by foam plastic. Taking into account the foam density in the D₂ and foam layer, the fuel ρR values should be 0.4 times the values in the graph for the proton measurement. Thus both methods are in good agreement. This indicates that the proton spectrum could be used as an alternative way to estimate the ρR values of compressed fuel.

VI. IMPLOSION EXPERIMENT

Implosion experiments were conducted using the experimental conditions mentioned earlier. Two types of cryogenic targets were used: cryogenic deuterium foam with a plastic ablator (CDFP) and a CH shell with deuterium gas pressurized up to 50 atm. A time history and MIXS images of the imploded cores are shown in Figs. 10(a) and 10(b) for #15253 CDFP target shot. The observed photon energy was 1–2 keV, mainly from the bremsstrahlung emission of the fuel. The target diameter, plastic ablator thickness, and deuterium-in-foam layer thickness were 655 μm , 4 μm , and 10 μm , respectively. The laser energy and intensity were 3.8 kJ in a 2.5 ns pulse (stacked Gaussian shape) and $7.3 \times 10^{13} \text{ W/cm}^2$. Measured D–D neutrons and fuel ρR were 7×10^6 and 8 mg/cm^2 . In Fig. 10(a), the temperature history of the

FIG. 10. (a) Temperature history and (b) MIXS images for CDFP targets. Time frame has about 10 ps opening with a 10 ps time interval. While low mode structures are seen, the core temperature drops suddenly.

core is plotted. The temperature has been deduced from another x-ray streak camera measurement with the combination of multiple pinholes and different x-ray filters. The core 2-D images of Fig. 10(b) show low ($l=4$ or so) mode distortions and the intensity increases until the 4276 ps time frame. However, the temperature of the core starts decreasing at 4150 ps and a sharp drop is seen until 4200 ps from 1.5 keV to 800 eV. This timing corresponds to the shock first bounce against the shell.²⁰ After that time, the temperature decreases rather slowly to a 400 eV level at the 4344 ps frame. Comparing these two figures, the intensity of the 2-D images still increases when the temperature starts decreasing. The observed photon in the 2-D image is above 1 keV. Since the temperature was deduced from 1 keV and 2 keV photon windows, the temperature decrease corresponds to the reduction of the 2 keV window x rays. The core structures showing low modes do not change much between the frames, while the temperature is already reduced.

CH shells with pressurized deuterium fuel were also imploded to study further details of implosions, using the same cryogenic system to prevent the target from exploding before the laser shot. Typically the ambient cryogenic temperature around the target was 35 K. Time histories of x rays from imploded cores were shown in Figs. 11(a) and 11(c) for the focusing conditions $D/R=4$ (#15591) and 5 (#15580). In these shots x rays came mainly from 0.3 atm Ar seed gas mixed with the deuterium fuel. The target diameter, shell thickness, laser energy, and laser intensity were 486, μm 7.23 μm , 5.7 kJ, and 5.7×10^{14} W/cm² for #15580 and 503 μm , 6.87 μm , 5.6 kJ, 5.2×10^{14} W/cm² for #15591. Deuterium pressure was 50 atm for both shots. In this experiment the power balance of 12 laser beams was controlled within 5% as well as the 5% energy balance. Observed neutrons were 5×10^7 for #15580 and 9.3×10^7 for #15591. Simultaneously the radius-time ($R-T$) diagrams from HISHO 1-D simulations were attached for comparison in Figs. 11(b) and 11(d). The observed and the predicted time histories were shown as a line and hatched lines in Figs. 11(a) and 11(c). The observed line shows a relatively good fit until 2.31 ns

compared to that predicted. After that time the predicted x-ray intensity increases rapidly, while the observed decreases. The simulated $R-T$ diagram at around the maximum compression shows the shock released into the deuterium fuel gas moves ahead of the imploding shell and collides at the target center. Then the shock wave starts oscillating between the imploding shell and the target center and heat the fuel and seed gas, resulting in the x-ray intensity increase. The timing of observed x-ray intensity decrease corresponds to when the shock comes into the target center for the second time in the simulation. This timing is also consistent with the simulated time of the observed neutron yield. Temporal features seen for $D/R=4$ in Fig. 11(a) was reproduced for the $D/R=5$ shot as shown in Fig. 11(c). The timing of the observed x-ray decrease also corresponds to the time for the second shock arrival to the target center in the simulation. The MIXS images at their maximum intensities were shown for $D/R=4$ and $D/R=5$ in Figs. 12(a) and 12(b). One notes that Fig. 12(a) of the core image shows somewhat a square feature, while Fig. 12(b) shows a more round symmetric core. It is important to point out that these detailed features were detected only with improved time resolutions made possible by the MIXS. Conventional x-ray framing cameras with an 80 ps time window showed no difference for the laser focusing condition such as the ones used here because of a time blurring effect even within the 80 ps time frame.

For CDFP and CH with 50 atm D₂ gas target shots, core structures were seen in the MIXS images and the neutron and ρR values were not as high as the ones predicted by the HISHO simulation. If these are due to nonuniformities of laser irradiation and/or target structures and subsequent Rayleigh-Taylor instability, it is important to discuss what kind of nonuniformities could be responsible. Sources of nonuniformities could be the surface roughness of plastic ablator (20 nm RMS for a CDFP shell and 4 nm RMS for a CH shell), the density deviations in the foam and deuterium fuel layer (typical foam cell size is of the order of 200 nm), the laser beam power balance, the finite beam number effect,

FIG. 11. (a) Observed and predicted x-ray intensity time histories, (b) flow diagram from 1-D HISHO simulation for #15591 with $D/R=4$. (c) Observed and predicted x-ray intensity time histories, (d) flow diagram from 1-D HISHO simulation for #15580 with $D/R=5$.

FIG. 12. MIXS images for (a) $D/R=4$ and (b) $D/R=5$. (b) $D/R=4$ shows low mode structures, which is consistent with the expected irradiation nonuniformity for mode 6.

and the structures in the beam spot (RPP typically creates a Gaussian-like focal spot pattern with many $5\text{--}6\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ sharp intensity spikes). On the other hand, the irradiation nonuniformities with very small wavelengths might be smoothed out by thermal electrons in plasmas as $\exp(-kD)$, where k is the wave number ($2\pi/\lambda$) of the perturbation and D is the separation distance between the ablation front and laser absorption region.²¹ For example, irradiation nonuniformity with a $5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ wavelength could be 1/100 with a $5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ separation distance. Similarly the RT growth would be reduced by ablation stabilization for short wavelength nonuniformities as the growth rate, $\gamma = \alpha(kg)^{1/2} - \beta kv_a$, where α is the Atwood number, γ is the acceleration, β is an adjusting factor expected to be 3–4, and v_a is the ablation velocity. For a mode 100 and $g = 10^{16}\text{ cm/s}^2$, the ablation velocity would be required to be close to 10^6 cm/s for the effective reduction of the RT growth rate.

In our calculations it was known that the $D/R=4$ and $D/R=5$ conditions create 22% and 14% nonuniformities for mode 6 on the irradiation target surface, indicating that there might be 1.6 times improvement for this low mode for $D/R=5$ [Fig. 12(b)] than $D/R=4$ [Fig. 12(a)]. This improvement is reflected in the MIXS pictures in Figs. 12(a) and 12(b), but not in the x-ray temporal histories in Figs. 11(a) and 11(c). In other words the MIXS images certainly show the effect of improvement of the low mode illumination uniformity. However the x-ray intensity, which is a function of the core plasma temperature, is affected by the modes not observable in the MIXS pictures. Figure 13 shows Rayleigh–Taylor growth results from HISHO simulation²² for the above two CH shell target shots. In the simulation a forced RT equation for the ablation surface distortions ξ as $d^2\xi_k/dt^2 = \gamma_k^2\xi_k + \delta g_k$, where δg_k is the gravity perturbation and γ_k is the RT growth rate for the wave number k , which is related to the Legendre mode number l and the instantaneous radius of the ablation front R_a as $kR_a = [l(l+1)]^{1/2}$. For the RT growth, γ_k , the Takabe formula²³ was used. Initial imprint was taken into account by using the quasistational deflagration model.²⁴ The mode saturation form was taken from Ref. 25. For the thermal smoothing the cloudy day model was assumed.²¹ The growth was calculated until the shell acceleration was over. In the low mode area, the dominant modes are 2 and 6 for both target shots. Mode 2 comes from the measured laser spot pattern taken into account in the

FIG. 13. Rayleigh–Taylor growth results for (a) #15591 and (b) #15580 shots. Two major mode areas are seen: one at low mode 6 and the other at modes between 30 and 40.

simulation, while mode 6 comes from the focusing condition and the 12 beam effect. Figure 13(a) for $D/R=4$ actually shows that the displacement growth at mode 6 is large compared to Fig. 13(b) the for $D/R=5$ case, consistent with Fig. 12 of the MIXS pictures. In the mid-mode range other major peaks at mode 30 and 40 are expected as shown in the Fig. 13. Considering the facts that during the implosion of the CDFP target the core temperature drops suddenly while the overall core shape in the MIXS did not change much with low modes, and the CH shell with gaseous D_2 shots shows low mode structures according to the focusing conditions, but still shows similar performances for the neutron product (which is a function of core temperature) and x-ray intensity histories, it might be reasonable to say that the implosion performances such as neutrons and temperature are affected not by the low modes, but rather modes not observable in the MIXS images such as the modes peaking between 20 and 50 as shown in Fig. 13. Using a spectrally dispersed amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) mode or partially coherent laser light in the laser system,²⁶ it could be possible to reduce these modes drastically. For the same shot condition as the #15580 [Fig. 11(d)] but employing the laser intensity distribution of an ASE beam, it is expected to reduce these mid to high modes by almost an order of magnitude as shown in Fig. 14. Only low modes resulting from the finite beam num-

FIG. 14. For the condition of #15580 but the laser light. Spectrally dispersed amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) or a partially coherent light focused pattern was used. With improved mid to high mode illumination uniformity RT growth at these modes could be much reduced.

ber effect should remain for the shell displacements larger than $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ with the uniformity improved by the ASE laser beams.

VII. SUMMARY

Using a cryogenic system *in situ* at the target chamber a number of different experiments have been conducted. Target fabrication techniques and surface roughness data were summarized.

Preheat was studied using planar CDF targets, measuring scattered light, hard x rays, and shock waves. Measurements of scattered light and hard x rays show a clear relation between two plasmon decay (TPD) instability and hot electrons of $T_e < 20 \text{ keV}$. When the shock wave breakout was measured on the rear side of the CDF targets, shock and other preheat components prior to the shock were observed. The other components were best reproduced by a high energy tail of thermal and TPD hot electrons in a Fokker–Planck simulation.

The primary proton spectrum was measured and was shown to have a clear dependence on the compressed density of imploding pellets. The obtained ρR values are consistent with the ones measured with a conventional secondary neutron method.

Cryogenic deuterium foam with a plastic ablator and CH shell with 50 atm D_2 gas targets were used to study the details of the implosion. The MIXS with the 10 ps time frame could show low modes structures on these shots. The core temperature was observed to drop within 50 ps, while the overall core image did not change much over a much longer time scale. CH shell shots with different focusing conditions show similar implosion performances in the neutron production and x-ray intensity, even though the MIXS images show the corresponding low mode core structures. Rayleigh–Taylor instability growth was calculated by HISHO and it was indicated that the middle range modes between 20 and 50 could be responsible for the implosion performance degradation. It is suggested that these middle modes could be reduced by introducing an ASE or partially coherent laser light into implosion experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the superior experimental support by the diagnostics, laser, target, and simulation groups.

- ¹H. Takabe, K. Mima, K. Nishihara, A. Nishiguchi, M. Murakami, Y. Fukuda, H. Azechi, and S. Nakai, "Numerical studies on stability and mixing in laser driven implosion," in *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research* Seville, 26 September–1 October 1994 (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, in press), Paper IAEA-CN-60/B-P-9.
- ²S. Bodner, *J. Fusion Energy* **1**, 221 (1981).
- ³J. Kilkeny, S. G. Gledning, S. W. Haan, B. A. Hammel, J. D. Lindl, D. Munro, B. A. Remington, S. V. Weber, J. P. Knauer, and C. P. Verdon, *Phys. Plasmas* **1**, 1379 (1994).
- ⁴R. J. Marshall, S. A. Letzring, C. P. Verdon, S. Skupsky, R. L. Keck, J. P. Knauer, R. L. Kremens, D. K. Bradley, T. Kessler, J. Delettrez, H. Kim, J. M. Soures, and R. L. McCrory, *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 2547 (1989); H. Azechi, T. Jitsuno, T. Kanabe, M. Katayama, K. Mima, N. Miyanaga, M. Nakai, S. Nakai, H. Nakaishi, M. Nakatsuka, A. Nishiguchi, P. A. Norreys, Y. Set-suhara, M. Takagi, and M. Yamanaka, *Laser Part. Beams* **9**, 193 (1991).
- ⁵Y. Kato, K. Mima, N. Miyanaga, S. Arinaga, Y. Kitagawa, M. Nakatsuka, and C. Yamanaka, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 1057 (1984).
- ⁶C. Yamanaka, Y. Kato, Y. Izawa, K. Yoshida, T. Yamanaka, T. Sasaki, M. Nakatsuka, T. Mochizuki, J. Kuroda, and S. Nakai, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **QE-17**, 1639 (1981).
- ⁷J. Meyer and Y. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 2915 (1993).
- ⁸H. Shiraga, M. Heya, A. Fujishima, O. Maekawa, K. Shimada, Y. Kato, T. Yamanaka, and S. Nakai, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **66**, 722 (1995).
- ⁹C. Chen, T. Norimatsu, M. Takagi, H. Katayama, T. Yamanaka, and S. Nakai, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **9**, 340 (1991).
- ¹⁰M. Takagi, T. Norimatsu, T. Yamanaka, and S. Nakai, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **9**, 820 (1991).
- ¹¹M. Takagi, M. Ishihara, T. Norimatsu, T. Yamanaka, Y. Izawa, and S. Nakai, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **11**, 2837 (1993).
- ¹²T. Norimatsu, H. Katayama, T. Mano, M. Takagi, R. Kodama, K. A. Tanaka, Y. Kato, T. Yamanaka, S. Nakai, Y. Nishino, M. Nakai, and C. Yamanaka, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **6**, 3144 (1988).
- ¹³H. Katayama, T. Norimatsu, C. Chen, T. Yamanaka, and S. Nakai, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **9**, 2140 (1991).
- ¹⁴T. Norimatsu, H. Ito, C. Chen, M. Yamamoto, M. Tsukamoto, K. A. Tanaka, T. Yamanaka, and S. Nakai, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **63**, 3378 (1992).
- ¹⁵T. A. Peyser, C. K. Manka, S. P. Obenschain, and K. J. Kearney, *Phys. Fluids B* **3**, 1479 (1991).
- ¹⁶R. P. Drake, R. E. Turner, B. F. Lasinski, E. A. Williams, K. G. Estabrook, W. L. Kruer, E. M. Campbell, and T. W. Johnston, *Phys. Fluids B* **1**, 3219 (1989).
- ¹⁷T. Yabe and C. Yamanaka, *Comments Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion* **9**, 169 (1985).
- ¹⁸H. Azechi, N. Miyanaga, R. O. Stapf, K. Itogawa, H. Nakaishi, M. Yamanaka, H. Shiraga, R. Tsuchi, S. Ido, K. Nishihara, Y. Izawa, T. Yamanaka, and C. Yamanaka, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **49**, 555 (1986).
- ¹⁹H. Furukawa and K. Nishihara, *Phys. Rev. A* **46**, 6596 (1992).
- ²⁰A. Richard, K. A. Tanaka, T. Kanabe, Y. Kitagawa, M. Nakai, K. Nishihara, T. Norimatsu, T. Yamanaka, Y. O. Fukuda, M. Katayama, M. Kado, T. Kawashima, C. Chen, M. Tsukamoto, and S. Nakai, *Phys. Rev. A* **49**, 1520 (1994).
- ²¹W. M. Manheimer, D. G. Colombat, and J. H. Gardner, *Phys. Fluids* **25**, 1644 (1982); M. Kado, K. A. Tanaka, H. Yamamoto, D. W. Vick, M. Tsukamoto, N. Miyanaga, H. Azechi, A. Nishiguchi, K. Mima, and S. Nakai, *J. Plasma Fusion Res.* **70**, 877 (1994).
- ²²K. Nishihara, M. Murakami, H. Azechi, T. Jitsuno, T. Kanabe, M. Katayama, N. Miyanaga, M. Nakai, M. Nakatsuka, K. Tsubakimoto, and S. Nakai, *Phys. Plasmas* **1**, 1653 (1994).
- ²³H. Takabe, K. Mima, L. Montierth, and R. L. Morse, *Phys. Fluids* **28**, 3676 (1985).
- ²⁴H. Takabe, K. Nishihara, and T. Taniuchi, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **45**, 2001 (1978).
- ²⁵S. T. Haan, *Phys. Rev. A* **39**, 5812 (1989).
- ²⁶H. Nakano, K. Tsubakimoto, N. Miyanaga, M. Nakatsuka, T. Kanabe, H. Azechi, T. Jitsuno, and S. Nakai, *J. Appl. Phys.* **73**, 2122 (1993); H. Nakano, N. Miyanaga, K. Yagi, K. Tsubakimoto, T. Kanabe, M. Nakatsuka, and S. Nakai, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **63**, 580 (1993).