

Title	Measurable groupoids and associated von Neumann algebras
Author(s)	Ouchi, Motoo
Citation	大阪大学, 1984, 博士論文
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://hdl.handle.net/11094/34810">https://hdl.handle.net/11094/34810</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

Measurable groupoids  
and  
associated von Neumann algebras

Moto O'UCHI

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Ehime University  
Matsuyama, 790  
Japan

1984

## Acknowledgement

It is my pleasure to thank Professor Fujihiro Araki for his fruitful discussions and valuable suggestions.

Special thanks go to Professor Shûichirô Maeda for his warm encouragement and helpful suggestions.

But above all, my most sincere gratitude goes to Professor Osamu Takenouchi, my adviser, whose constant encouragement and instructive suggestions have been most invaluable.

## Abstract

In this thesis, we study von Neumann algebras associated with measurable groupoids of type  $II_1$ . The aim of our study is to give approaches to the study of factors associated with actions of subgroups of  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  on the  $n$ -torus by linear automorphisms. This thesis consists of three parts. The first part is devoted to preliminaries. In the second part, we introduce the notion of an action of a semigroup on a Borel space and construct a homomorphism of a semigroup into the semigroup of injective endomorphisms of the associated von Neumann algebra. We study conjugacy problems for subalgebras associated with the above homomorphism. As an application, we construct countably infinite non-conjugate subalgebras of the full factor of type  $II_1$  associated with the action of  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  on the  $n$ -torus. In the third part, we study full factors of type  $II_1$  associated with the actions of some subgroups of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  on the 2-torus. We construct minimal periodic automorphisms of these factors with various Connes' outer invariants. For every possible value of the invariant, we can find an automorphism with it among above automorphisms.

## Table of contents

	Page
Introduction.....	1
Chapter I. Preliminaries for measurable groupoids.....	6
1.1. Measurable groupoids.....	6
1.2. Measures on groupoids.....	9
1.3. Von Neumann algebras associated with groupoids..	12
1.4. Principal groupoids with countable orbits.....	14
1.5. 1.5. Von Neumann algebras.....	17
Chapter II. Subalgebras of von Neumann algebras.....	21
2.1. Actions of semigroups on Borel spaces.....	21
2.2. Conjugacy of subalgebras.....	32
2.3. An example.....	38
Chapter III. Connes' invariants of periodic automorphisms..	40
3.1. Construction of periodic automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}_n$ .....	41
3.2. Connes' invariants of certain automorphisms...	45

## Introduction.

The notion of measurable groupoids was first introduced by G. W. Mackey for the study of the ergodic theory [23]. Since then this notion has played important roles in various fields in mathematics, in particular, in the commutative ergodic theory and in the theory of operator algebras. In the theory of von Neumann algebras, the close relation to the ergodic theory has been known since Murray and von Neumann gave the group-measure space construction in [24]. This construction was generalized by W. Krieger [21], and then by J. Feldman and C. C. Moore [14]. In terms of the groupoid theory, they studied about principal measured groupoids with countable orbits. P. Hahn unified these constructions and showed the way to construct von Neumann algebras from more general measured groupoids [17]. By introducing the notion of transverse measures, A. Connes gave a new formulation for the groupoid theory [5].

In 1943, Murray and von Neumann showed the uniqueness of the hyperfinite factor of type  $II_1$  in [25]. Corresponding to their result, H. A. Dye showed that measure preserving ergodic actions of singly generated groups on a non-atomic probability space are weakly equivalent [10, 11]. It should be noted that equivalence relations associated with weakly equivalent actions are isomorphic as measured groupoids. Recently, A. Connes, J. Feldman and B. Weiss showed that, for any amenable nonsingular countable equivalence relation  $R \subset S \times S$ , there exists a nonsingular transformation  $T$  of  $S$  such that, up to a null set,  $R = \{(t, T^n t); t \in S, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  [6]. It follows that a

principal, amenable and ergodic measured groupoid of type  $II_1$  is unique up to isomorphism. As an opposite notion of amenability, K. Schmidt introduced the notion of strong ergodicity of measure-preserving actions of countable groups ([34], see also [7]). One of important examples of strongly ergodic actions is the action of  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  on the  $n$ -torus by linear automorphisms for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ . Let  $G_n$  be the associated measured groupoid of this action. R. J. Zimmer showed that  $\{G_n; n = 2, 3, \dots\}$  are not isomorphic with each other [38]. Let  $\mathcal{M}_n$  be the full factor of type  $II_1$  associated with  $G_n$ . It seems to be very difficult to answer whether  $\{\mathcal{M}_n; n = 3, 4, \dots\}$  are isomorphic or not. (By using Property T, it can be shown that  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_n$  for  $n \geq 3$ .) Actions of non-amenable subgroups of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  on the 2-torus are also strongly ergodic.[33]. It is not known whether groupoids associated with these actions are isomorphic or not. This thesis is an attempt to understand well these groupoids and factors.

The organization of this thesis is as follows: Chapter I is a preliminary part. We review well-known facts about groupoids and von Neumann algebras. In Chapter II, we study conjugacy problems for subalgebras of von Neumann algebras associated with measurable groupoids. In Section 2.1, we introduce the notion of an action of a semigroup on a Borel space. This notion generalizes that of normalizer of a full group of a groupoid. For a measure-preserving action of a countable group  $C$  on a measure space  $(S, \mu)$ , we consider a semigroup  $B$  containing  $C$  such that elements of  $B$  correspond to Borel maps of  $S$  onto itself normalizing, in a sense, an action of  $C$ . The normalizing

condition involves that an action of  $B$  is non-singular with respect to a transverse measure of a measurable groupoid associated with an action of  $C$ . Let  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  be a von Neumann algebra associated with an action of  $C$ . We construct a homomorphism  $\phi$  of a semigroup  $B$  into the semigroup of injective endomorphisms of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ . Thus we get a family  $\{\phi_b(\text{End}_\Lambda(H)); b \in B\}$  of subalgebras of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ . We shall study conjugacy problems for this family. We prove a necessary condition for  $\phi_{b_1}(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$  and  $\phi_{b_2}(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$  ( $b_1, b_2 \in B$ ) to be inner conjugate. This condition is stated in terms of orbits of  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . In Section 2.2, we impose a one more condition on elements of  $B$ . Under this condition, we can calculate the coupling constants of associated subalgebras and show a sufficient condition for two subalgebras not to be conjugate. In Section 2.3, we apply the general argument in above sections to the action of  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  on  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_n$  be the factor of type  $II_1$  obtained from this action by the group-measure space construction. Then we construct subalgebras  $\{\mathcal{N}_k^n; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  which are not conjugate with each other. For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_k^n$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_n$  and its relative commutant in  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is trivial. We remark that the index  $[\mathcal{M}_n: \mathcal{N}_k^n]$  defined by V. Jones in [20] is  $k^n$ .

In Chapter III, we construct periodic automorphisms with various Connes' outer invariants for full factors of type  $II_1$  associated with actions of certain subgroups of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  on the 2-torus. Outer invariants of periodic automorphisms of factors of type  $II_1$  were introduced by A. Connes and he showed that they are the complete invariants for the outer conjugacy classes of the periodic automorphisms of the hyperfinite factor of type  $II_1$  [4]. V. F. R. Jones developed



Connes' idea and gave a complete classification up to conjugacy of the actions of a finite group on the hyperfinite  $II_1$  factor [19].

Recently, A. Ocneanu gave the classification up to outer conjugacy of the actions of discrete amenable groups on the hyperfinite factor of type  $II_1$  [26]. In non-hyperfinite cases, however, the problem of classifying periodic automorphisms is still open. Let  $F_2(n)$  be the subgroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for a natural number  $n$ . Then,  $F_2(n)$  is isomorphic to the free group on two generators. We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_n$  the factor obtained by the crossed product from the action of  $F_2(n)$  on the 2-torus by linear automorphisms. Then,  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a full factor of type  $II_1$ . (It is not known whether  $\mathcal{M}_n$ 's are isomorphic or not.) In Section 3.1, we construct a periodic automorphism  $\sigma_{n,p}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  with period  $p$  if  $p$  is a divisor of  $2n$ . In Section 3.2, by making use of  $\sigma_{n,p}$ , we construct a minimal periodic automorphism  $\rho_{n,p,i}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  with Connes' outer invariant  $(p, \gamma^i)$ , where  $\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p)$ . Therefore, for each couple  $(p, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{C}$  with  $\gamma^p = 1$ , we can find a periodic automorphism with Connes' outer invariant  $(p, \gamma)$  in  $\bigcup \{\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M}_n); n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . It also follows that, if  $(p, i) \neq (p', i')$  for divisors  $p, p'$  of  $2n$  and  $i, i' = 0, \dots, p-1$ , then  $\rho_{n,p,i}$  and  $\rho_{n,p',i'}$  are not outer conjugate [4, Proposition 1.4]. Since  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is full,  $\mathcal{M}_n \otimes R$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , where  $R$  is the hyperfinite factor of type  $II_1$  [3, Corollary 2.3]. Therefore the construction of  $\rho_{n,p,i}$  are essentially different from that of  $s_p^Y$  discussed in [4, Proposition 1.6]. Finally we remark a few facts. Let  $F_n$  be the free group on  $n$  generators ( $n = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ ) and  $\lambda$  be its left regular representation. In [30], J. Phillips

constructed, for  $(p, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{C}$  with  $\gamma^p = 1$ , an automorphism  $\alpha_p^\gamma$  of  $\lambda(F_{p+1})''$  with Connes' outer invariant  $(p, \gamma)$ . He then defined an automorphism of  $\lambda(F_\infty)''$  with Connes' outer invariant  $(p, \gamma)$  for every  $(p, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{C}$  with  $\gamma^p = 1$ . His construction, however, does not apply to  $\lambda(F_2)''$ . We also remark that, if  $\lambda(F_2)''$  is considered canonically as a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , then  $\lambda(F_2)''$  is not globally invariant under  $\rho_{n,p,i}$ .

## Chapter I. Preliminaries for measurable groupoids.

In this chapter, we shall establish definitions and notations about measurable groupoids and associated von Neumann algebras. The notion of measurable groupoids was first introduced by G. W. Mackey in [23], and then studied by many authors. There have been changes in terminology and notations during the development of the theory. We shall mainly use terms and notations given by A. Connes in [5]. The expositions in this chapter are taken from the following: A. Ramsay [31], P. Hahn [16], J. Feldman, P. Hahn and C. C. Moore [12], J. Renault [32] and A. Connes [5].

## § 1.1. Measurable groupoids.

In this section, we shall establish basic definitions and notations about measurable groupoids.

Definition 1.1. ([16, Definition 1.1.]) A groupoid is a set  $G$ , together with a distinguished subset  $G^{(2)} \subset G \times G$ , and maps  $(\gamma, \gamma') \in G^{(2)} \mapsto \gamma\gamma' \in G$  (product) and  $\gamma \in G \mapsto \gamma^{-1} \in G$  (inverse) such that

$$(i) \quad (\gamma^{-1})^{-1} = \gamma,$$

$$(ii) \quad (\gamma, \gamma') \in G^{(2)} \text{ and } (\gamma', \gamma'') \in G^{(2)} \implies (\gamma\gamma', \gamma'') \in G^{(2)},$$

$$(\gamma', \gamma'\gamma'') \in G^{(2)} \text{ and } (\gamma\gamma')\gamma'' = \gamma(\gamma'\gamma''),$$

$$(iii) \quad (\gamma^{-1}, \gamma) \in G^{(2)} \text{ and if } (\gamma, \gamma') \in G^{(2)}, \text{ then } \gamma^{-1}(\gamma\gamma') = \gamma',$$

$$(iv) \quad (\gamma, \gamma^{-1}) \in G^{(2)} \text{ and if } (\gamma', \gamma) \in G^{(2)}, \text{ then } (\gamma'\gamma)\gamma^{-1} = \gamma'.$$

For  $\gamma \in G$ ,  $s(\gamma) = \gamma^{-1}\gamma$  is the source of  $\gamma$  and  $r(\gamma) = \gamma\gamma^{-1}$  is the range of  $\gamma$ . The pair  $(\gamma, \gamma')$  belongs to  $G^{(2)}$  if and only if  $s(\gamma) = r(\gamma')$ . As we have  $\gamma s(\gamma) = \gamma$  and  $r(\gamma)\gamma = \gamma$ , the set  $G^{(0)} = s(G) = r(G)$  is called the unit space of  $G$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are subsets of  $G$ , one may form the following subsets of  $G$ ;

$$A^{-1} = \{\gamma \in G; \gamma^{-1} \in A\},$$

$$AB = \{\gamma'' \in G; \gamma \in A, \gamma' \in B, \gamma'' = \gamma\gamma'\}.$$

A groupoid  $G$  is called principal if the map  $(r, s): \gamma \in G \mapsto (r(\gamma), s(\gamma)) \in G^{(0)} \times G^{(0)}$  is one-to-one, and it is called transitive if  $(r, s)$  is onto. Let  $G$  be a groupoid,  $E$  a subset of  $G^{(0)}$ . We set

$$G|E = \{\gamma \in G; r(\gamma) \in E \text{ and } s(\gamma) \in E\}.$$

Then  $G|E$  becomes a groupoid with units  $E$  if we define  $(G|E)^{(2)} = G^{(2)} \cap (G|E \times G|E)$ . The groupoid  $G|E$  is called the reduction of  $G$  by  $E$ .

For  $u, v \in G^{(0)}$ , we set  $G^u = r^{-1}(u)$ ,  $G_v = s^{-1}(u)$  and  $G_v^u = G^u \cap G_v$ . Two points  $u, v \in G^{(0)}$  are called equivalent with respect to  $G$ , if there exists  $\gamma \in G$  such that  $r(\gamma) = u$  and  $s(\gamma) = v$ . If  $u$  and  $v$  are equivalent we write  $u \sim v$ . This equivalence relation is just the equivalence relation  $(r, s)(G)$  on  $G^{(0)}$ . Its equivalence classes are called orbits (or  $G$ -orbits) and the orbit of  $u$  is denoted by  $[u]$ , that is,  $[u] = \{v \in G^{(0)}; u \sim v\}$ . For a subset  $E$  of  $G^{(0)}$ , the saturation  $[E]$  of  $E$  is the set  $\{v \in G^{(0)}; \text{for some } u \in E, v \sim u\}$ . If  $E = [E]$ , then  $E$  is said to be saturated.

Example 1.2. Let  $S$  be a set and  $R \subset S \times S$  be an equivalence relation on  $S$ . Let  $R^{(2)} = \{((t, u), (v, w)) \in R \times R; u = v\}$ . With

product  $(t, u)(u, w) = (t, w)$  and inverse  $(t, u)^{-1} = (u, t)$ ,  $R$  is a principal groupoid. The unit space  $R^{(0)} = \{(s, s); s \in S\}$  may be identified with  $S$ , and we have  $r(t, u) = t$  and  $s(t, u) = u$ .

Conversely if  $G$  is a principal groupoid, by identifying  $G$  with  $(r, s)(G)$ ,  $G$  may be considered as an equivalence relation on  $G^{(0)}$ .

Definition 1.3. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be groupoids. A map  $\rho: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a homomorphism if  $(\rho(\gamma), \rho(\gamma')) \in G_2^{(2)}$  and  $\rho(\gamma)\rho(\gamma') = \rho(\gamma\gamma')$  whenever  $(\gamma, \gamma') \in G_1^{(2)}$ . We denote by  $\rho^{(0)}$  the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $G_1^{(0)}$ . It is clear that  $\rho^{(0)}$  is a map into  $G_2^{(0)}$ .

We now consider a Borel structure on a groupoid. By a Borel space we mean a set  $S$ , together with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  of subsets of  $S$ , called Borel sets. A map from one Borel space into another is itself called Borel if the inverse image of every Borel set is Borel. A one-to-one onto map which is Borel in both directions is called a Borel isomorphism. The Borel sets of a complete separable metric space are taken to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the open sets. A Borel space is called standard if it is Borel isomorphic to a Borel subset of a complete separable metric space. For a Borel space  $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ , if  $\{u\} \in \mathcal{B}(S)$  for all  $u \in S$ , we say that  $S$  is separated. If there exists a countable subfamily  $\{\sigma_i\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  which generates a separated sub  $\sigma$ -field of  $\mathcal{B}(S)$ , we say that  $S$  is countably separated, and if there exists  $\{\sigma_i\}$  as above separating  $S$  which also generates  $\mathcal{B}(S)$ , we say that  $S$  is countably generated. One says that  $S$  is analytic if it is countably generated and there exists a

standard space  $S'$  and a Borel map  $f$  of  $S'$  onto  $S$ . The Borel sets of any subset of a Borel space are taken to be the relative Borel sets. If  $f$  is a one-to-one Borel map of an analytic space  $S_1$  into a countably generated space  $S_2$ , then  $f$  is a Borel isomorphism of  $S_1$  onto  $f(S_1)$ . If  $S_1$  is standard, then  $f(S_1)$  is a Borel subset of  $S_2$  [1, Chapter I, Proposition 2.5].

Definition 1.4. Let  $G$  be a groupoid such that the underlying space is also endowed with a Borel structure. If  $G^{(2)}$  is a Borel set in the product structure on  $G \times G$ , and  $(\gamma, \gamma') \in G^{(2)} \mapsto \gamma\gamma' \in G$  and  $\gamma \in G \mapsto \gamma^{-1} \in G$  are Borel maps, then  $G$  is called a measurable groupoid.

Note that the maps  $r$  and  $s$  are Borel. Two measurable groupoids  $G$  and  $G'$  are said to be isomorphic if there exists a Borel isomorphism  $\rho$  of  $G$  onto  $G'$  such that  $\rho$  and  $\rho^{-1}$  are algebraically homomorphisms.

## §1.2. Measures on groupoids.

In this section, we define the notions of transverse functions and transverse measures which are introduced by A. Connes [5].

Definition 1.5. Let  $G$  be a measurable groupoid. A map  $\nu$  of  $G^{(0)}$  into the space of positive measures on  $G$  is called a transverse function on  $G$  if it satisfies the following properties;

- (i) for all  $u \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $\nu^u$  is supported by  $G^u$ ,
- (ii) for every Borel set  $A$  of  $G$ , a map  $u \mapsto \nu^u(A) \in [0, +\infty]$  is Borel,

(iii) for all  $\gamma \in G$ ,  $\gamma v^{s(\gamma)} = v^{r(\gamma)}$ ,

where  $\gamma v^{s(\gamma)}(A) = v^{s(\gamma)}(\gamma^{-1}A)$  for every Borel set  $A$  of  $G$ .

A transverse function  $v$  is called proper if  $G$  is a union of an increasing sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of Borel subsets of  $G$  such that the function  $u \in G^{(0)} \mapsto v^u(A_n)$  is bounded for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}^+ = \mathcal{E}^+(G)$  denote the space of proper transverse functions on  $G$ . The order in  $\mathcal{E}^+$  is defined by the following; for  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{E}^+$ ,  $v_1 \leq v_2$  if  $v_2^u - v_1^u$  is a positive measure for all  $u \in G^{(0)}$ . A map  $\lambda$  of  $G^{(0)}$  into the space of positive measures on  $G$  is called a kernel on  $G$  if it satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) of Definition 1.5. For a Borel space  $S$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^+(S)$  denotes the space of non-negative Borel functions on  $S$ .

Definition 1.6. ([5, Chapter II, Definition 1]) A linear map  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathcal{E}^+$  into  $[0, +\infty]$  is called a transverse measure of module  $\delta$  on  $G$  if it satisfies the following properties;

(a)  $\Lambda$  is normal, i.e.  $\Lambda(\sup v_n) = \sup \Lambda(v_n)$  for every increasing sequence  $\{v_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{E}^+$  for which there exists  $v \in \mathcal{E}^+$  such that  $v_n \leq v$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

(b)  $\Lambda$  is of module  $\delta$ , i.e. for every pair  $v, v' \in \mathcal{E}^+$  and every kernel  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda^u(G) = 1$  for all  $u \in G^{(0)}$

$$v * \delta v = v' \text{ implies } \Lambda(v) = \Lambda(v'),$$

where  $(v * \delta \lambda)^u(f) = \iint f(\gamma \gamma') \delta(\gamma') d\lambda^{s(\gamma)}(\gamma') dv^u(\gamma)$  for every  $u \in G^{(0)}$  and every  $f \in \mathcal{F}^+(G)$ .

A transverse measure  $\Lambda$  is called semifinite if, for all  $v \in \mathcal{E}^+$ ,

we have  $\Lambda(v) = \sup \{ \Lambda(v'); v' \leq v, \Lambda(v') < +\infty \}$ , and it is called  $\sigma$ -finite if there exists a faithful transverse function  $v$  of the form  $v = \sup v_n$ ,  $\Lambda(v_n) < +\infty$ . A transverse measure  $\Lambda$  is called unimodular if  $\delta = 1$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be a transverse measure on  $G$ . For  $v \in \mathcal{E}^+$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}^+(G^{(0)})$ , we define an element  $(f \circ s)v$  of  $\mathcal{E}^+$  by  $[(f \circ s)v]^u(g) = \int g(\gamma) f(s(\gamma)) dv^u(\gamma)$  for  $u \in G^{(0)}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{F}^+(G)$ . The equality  $\Lambda_v(f) = \Lambda((f \circ s)v)$  defines a positive measure  $\Lambda_v$  on  $G^{(0)}$ . Then we have the following important result due to A. Connes.

Theorem 1.7. ([5, Chapter II, Theorem 3]) Let  $v$  be a faithful proper transverse function on  $G$ . (A transverse function  $v$  is called faithful if  $v^u \neq 0$  for  $u \in G^{(0)}$ .) The map  $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda_v$  is a bijection between the set of transverse measures of module  $\delta$  on  $G$  and the set of positive measures  $\mu$  on  $G^{(0)}$  satisfying the following conditions;

$$\iint f(\gamma^{-1}) \delta(\gamma^{-1}) dv^u(\gamma) d\mu(\gamma) = \iint f(\gamma) dv^u(\gamma) d\mu(\gamma)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}^+(G)$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a Borel space  $S$ . The measure class of  $\mu$  is denoted by  $[\mu]$ . For a Borel subset  $E$  of  $S$ ,  $E$  is said to be null if  $\mu(E) = 0$  and it is said to be conull if  $\mu(S - E) = 0$ . The characteristic function of  $E$  is denoted by  $\chi_E$ .

Let  $v$  be a proper transverse function on a measurable groupoid  $G$  and  $\Lambda$  be a transverse measure of module  $\delta$  on  $G$ . We define a measure  $\lambda$  on  $G$  by

$$\lambda(f) = \iint f(\gamma) dv^u(\gamma) d\Lambda_v(u) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{F}^+(G).$$



The pair  $(G, [\lambda])$  is called a measured groupoid. For a  $\Lambda_\nu$ -conull set  $E$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $G|E$  is called an inessential reduction of  $(G, [\lambda])$ .

Let  $E$  be a saturated Borel set of  $G^{(0)}$ . If  $E$  is  $\Lambda_\nu$ -null for all  $\nu \in \mathcal{E}^+$ , then  $E$  is called a  $\Lambda$ -null set. Let  $\nu \in \mathcal{E}^+$  be faithful. For a saturated Borel set  $E$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $E$  is  $\Lambda_\nu$ -null if and only if  $E$  is  $\Lambda$ -null [5, Chapter II, Proposition 8]. A saturated Borel set  $E$  of  $G^{(0)}$  is called a  $\Lambda$ -conull set if  $G^{(0)} - E$  is  $\Lambda$ -null.

### §1.3. Von Neumann algebras associated with groupoids.

In this section, we define regular representations of measurable groupoids and then construct von Neumann algebras from them. All results in this section are due to A. Connes [5].

Let  $G$  be a measurable groupoid and  $H$  be a measurable field of Hilbert spaces on  $G^{(0)}$ .

Definition 1.8. A representation  $U$  of  $G$  in  $H$  is the object such that, for all  $\gamma \in G$ ,  $U(\gamma)$  is an isometry of  $H_{s(\gamma)}$  onto  $H_{r(\gamma)}$  satisfying;

(a)  $U(\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma_2) = U(\gamma_1)^{-1}U(\gamma_2)$  for all  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in G$ ,  $r(\gamma_1) = r(\gamma_2)$ ,

(b) for every pair  $\xi, \eta$  of Borel sections of  $H$ , the function  $(\xi, \eta)$  on  $G$  defined below is Borel;

$$(\xi, \eta)(\gamma) = \langle \xi_{r(\gamma)}, U(\gamma)\eta_{s(\gamma)} \rangle \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in G.$$

Let  $\nu \in \mathcal{E}^+$  be a transverse function on  $G$ . For every  $u \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $L^2(G^u, \nu^u) = H_u$  is a Hilbert space and, for  $\gamma \in G$ , we define an isometry  $L^\nu(\gamma)$  of  $H_{s(\gamma)}$  onto  $H_{r(\gamma)}$  by

$$(L^\nu(\gamma)f)(\gamma') = f(\gamma^{-1}\gamma') \quad \text{for } f \in H_{s(\gamma)} \text{ and } \gamma' \in G^{r(\gamma)}.$$

The field  $H^\nu = (H_u)_{u \in G^{(0)}}$  is endowed with the unique measurable structure for which the following sections are measurable;  $u \mapsto f|_{G^u}$ , where  $f$  is a Borel function on  $G$  such that  $\int |f|^2 dv^u < \infty$  for all  $u \in G^{(0)}$  and  $f|_{G^u}$  is the restriction of  $f$  to  $G^u$ . The representation  $(H^\nu, L^\nu)$  defined above is called the left regular representation associated with  $\nu$ .

Let  $(H, U)$  and  $(H', U')$  be two representations of  $G$ . Let  $T = (T_u)_{u \in G^{(0)}}$  be a measurable family of bounded operators  $T_u$  of  $H_u$  into  $H'_u$  ( $u \in G^{(0)}$ ). A family  $T$  is called an intertwining operator of  $(H, U)$  to  $(H', U')$  if it satisfies the following conditions;

- 1)  $\sup_{u \in G^{(0)}} \|T_u\| < \infty$ ,
- 2) for all  $\gamma \in G$ ,  $U'(\gamma)T_{s(\gamma)} = T_{r(\gamma)}U(\gamma)$ .

We denote by  $\text{End}_G(H)$  the vector space of intertwining operators of  $(H, U)$  to itself.

Let  $(H, U)$  and  $(H', U')$  be representations of  $G$ . If  $H'_u$  is a closed subspace of  $H_u$  for all  $u \in G^{(0)}$  and the restriction of  $U(\gamma)$  to  $H'_{s(\gamma)}$  is  $U'(\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma \in G$ , then  $(H', U')$  is called a sub-representation of  $(H, U)$ . The direct sum  $(H \oplus H', U \oplus U')$  of representations  $(H, U)$  and  $(H', U')$  is defined by  $(H \oplus H')_u = H_u \oplus H'_u$  for  $u \in G^{(0)}$  and  $(U \oplus U')(\gamma) = U(\gamma) \oplus U'(\gamma)$  for  $\gamma \in G$ . Two representations  $(H, U)$  and  $(H', U')$  are called equivalent if there exists an intertwining operator  $T$  of  $(H, U)$  to  $(H', U')$  such that  $T_u$  is an isometry of  $H_u$  onto  $H'_u$  for all  $u \in G^{(0)}$ . Let  $\nu \in \mathcal{E}^+$  be a faithful transverse function on  $G$ . A representation  $(H, U)$  is said to be

square-integrable if it is equivalent to a subrepresentation of the direct sum of countably infinite copies of  $(H^\vee, L^\vee)$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be a transverse measure on  $G$ .

Definition 1.9. Let  $(H, U)$  be a square-integrable representation of  $G$ . Two elements  $T, T' \in \text{End}_G(H)$  are said to be equivalent if there exists a  $\Lambda$ -conull set  $E$  of  $G^{(0)}$  such that  $T_u = T'_u$  for all  $u \in E$ . The vector space of equivalence classes of  $\text{End}_G(H)$  is denoted by  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ . Elements of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  is called random operators.

For  $T \in \text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ , we set  $\|T\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_\Lambda \|T_u\|$ . This definition has a sense because the function  $u \mapsto \|T_u\|$  is measurable and constant on every orbits of  $G^{(0)}$ .

Theorem 1.10. ([5, Chapter V, Theorem 2]) For every square-integrable representation  $(H, U)$  of  $G$ , the involutive normed algebra  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  is a von Neumann algebra.

#### §1.4. Principal groupoids with countable orbits.

In the following chapters we only consider principal groupoids with countable orbits, so we recall facts about them.

A groupoid  $G$  is said to have countable orbits if the orbit of  $u$  is a countable set for all  $u \in G^{(0)}$ . In the following, we suppose that  $G$  is a principal measurable groupoid with countable orbits and that  $G$  and  $G^{(0)}$  are standard spaces. We set  $S = G^{(0)}$ . Since  $(r, s)$  is a

one-to-one Borel map of  $G$  into  $S \times S$ , it follows from §1 that  $(r, s)$  is a Borel isomorphism of  $G$  onto  $(r, s)(G)$ . Therefore we may consider  $G$  as an equivalence relation on  $S$ , which is a groupoid as in Example 1.2. Let  $\nu$  be a transverse function on  $G$  such that  $\nu^t(\{(t, u)\}) = 1$  for all  $t \in S$  and  $(t, u) \in G^t$ . We call such a transverse function the transverse function of counting measures.

Let  $\Lambda$  be a transverse measure on  $G$ . We set  $\mu = \Lambda_\nu$  and define a measure  $\lambda$  on  $G$  by  $\lambda = \int \nu^s d\mu(s)$ . We mean by a transformation of a Borel space  $S$  a Borel isomorphism of  $S$  onto itself. A transformation  $g$  of a measure space  $(S, \mu)$  is said to be non-singular if, for every Borel set  $E$  of  $S$ ,  $\mu(E) = 0 \iff \mu(gE) = 0$ . The full group  $[G]$  of a measured groupoid  $(G, [\lambda])$  is the group of non-singular transformations  $g$  of  $(S, \mu)$  such that  $[gt] = [t]$  for almost all  $t \in S$ . The normalizer  $N[G]$  of  $[G]$  is the group of non-singular transformations  $g$  of  $(S, \mu)$  such that  $[gt] = g[t]$  for almost all  $t \in S$ .

The following result is important.

Theorem 1.11. ([13, Theorem 1]) Let  $G$  be a principal measurable groupoid with countable orbits. Suppose that  $G$  and  $G^{(0)}$  are standard spaces. Then there exists a countable group  $C$  of transformations of  $G^{(0)}$  such that  $G$  and the equivalence relation  $\{(t, gt); t \in G^{(0)}, g \in C\}$  on  $G^{(0)}$  are isomorphic as measurable groupoids.

Example 1.12. Let  $C$  be a countable group of transformations of a standard space  $S$ . We set  $G = \{(t, gt); t \in S, g \in C\}$ . Then  $G$  is a principal measurable groupoid with countable orbits such that  $G^{(0)} = S$ .

Since  $\{(t, gt); t \in S\}$  is a Borel subset of  $S \times S$  for each  $g \in C$  [1, Chapter I, Proposition 2.2],  $G$  is a Borel subset of  $S \times S$ . It follows that  $G$  is a standard space. The groupoid  $G$  is called the orbit groupoid of an action of  $C$  on  $S$ . Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $S$ . Suppose that elements of  $C$  preserve the measure  $\mu$ , i.e.  $\mu(gE) = \mu(E)$  for every Borel subset  $E$  of  $S$  and  $g \in C$ . For the transverse function  $\nu$  of counting measures on  $G$ , it follows from Theorem 1.7 that there exists a unique unimodular transverse measure  $\Lambda$  on  $G$  such that  $\mu = \Lambda_\nu$ .

Now, let  $G$  be an arbitrary principal measurable groupoid with countable orbits such that  $G$  and  $G^{(0)}$  are standard. Let  $\nu$  be the transverse function of counting measures on  $G$  and  $\Lambda$  be a unimodular transverse measure on  $G$  such that  $\mu = \Lambda_\nu$  is a probability measure on  $S = G^{(0)}$ . We set  $\lambda = \int \nu^t d\mu(t)$ . In this case, every element of the full group  $[G]$  of  $(G, [\lambda])$  preserves  $\mu$  [13, Corollary 1 of Proposition 2.2]. Let  $L^2(G, \lambda)$  be the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions on  $G$  with respect to  $\lambda$ . We set  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(G, \lambda)$ . The von Neumann algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . We define a homomorphism  $U$  of  $[G]$  into the unitary group of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  by

$$(U(g)\xi)(s, t) = \xi(s, g^{-1}t)$$

for  $g \in [G]$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\lambda$ -a.a.  $(s, t) \in G$ . We also define a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi$  of the von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(S, \mu)$  into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  by

$$(\pi(f)\xi)(s, t) = f(t)\xi(s, t)$$

for  $f \in L^\infty(S, \mu)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $(s, t) \in G$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  be a von Neumann

algebra on  $\mathcal{H}$  generated by  $\{U(g); g \in [G]\}$  and  $\{\pi(f); f \in L^\infty(S, \mu)\}$ . We set  $\mathcal{A}(G) = \{\pi(f); f \in L^\infty(S, \mu)\}$ , which is a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}(G)$ . We shall call  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  the von Neumann algebra associated with  $(G, [\lambda])$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is finite because  $\Lambda$  is unimodular and  $\mu$  is finite. Let  $(H^\nu, L^\nu)$  be the left regular representation of  $G$  associated with  $\nu$ . By a standard argument, one can show that  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H^\nu)$ .

If, for every saturated Borel set  $A$  of  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $A$  is  $\Lambda$ -null or  $\Lambda$ -conull, then  $(G, \Lambda)$  is called ergodic. If this is the case,  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  is a factor for every square-integrable representation  $(H, L)$  [5, §V, Corollary 8]. In the situation of the previous paragraph,  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  is a factor of type  $\text{II}_1$  if  $(G, \Lambda)$  is ergodic and  $\mu$  is non-atomic.

We set  $C^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| = 1\}$ . A Borel map  $a: G \rightarrow C^1$  is called a cocycle on  $G$  if there exists an inessential reduction  $G'$  of  $(G, [\lambda])$  such that  $a(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = a(\gamma_1) a(\gamma_2)$  for every  $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G'^{(2)}$ . A cocycle  $a$  is called a coboundary if there exists a Borel map  $b: G^{(0)} \rightarrow C^1$  such that  $a(s, t) = b(s) \overline{b(t)}$  for  $\lambda$ -a.a.  $(s, t) \in G$ . We denote by  $Z^1(G, C^1)$  the group of cocycles on  $G$  into  $C^1$  and by  $B^1(G, C^1)$  the group of coboundaries on  $G$ . Two functions on  $G$  are identified if they coincide  $\lambda$ -almost everywhere.

### §1.5. Von Neumann algebras.

In this section, we recall some facts about Takesaki's duality theorem and Connes' invariants for periodic automorphisms. The

expositions in this section are taken from [37] and [4].

1. Takesaki's duality theorem.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra acting on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $C$  be a locally compact abelian group whose Haar measure is denoted by  $dg$ . The group of all  $*$ -automorphisms on  $\mathcal{M}$  is denoted by  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$ . A continuous action of  $C$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is a homomorphism  $\alpha: C \ni g \mapsto \alpha_g \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{M})$  such that for each fixed  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ , the map;  $g \in C \mapsto \alpha_g(x) \in \mathcal{M}$  is  $\sigma$ -strongly\* continuous. Let  $L^2(C, \mathcal{H})$  denote the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions of  $C$  into  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to  $dg$ . For a continuous action  $\alpha$  of  $C$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , we define representations  $\pi^\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $U^\alpha$  of  $C$  on  $L^2(C, \mathcal{H})$  as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi^\alpha(x)\xi)(h) &= \alpha_h^{-1}(x)\xi(h), \\ (U^\alpha(g)\xi)(h) &= \xi(g^{-1}h) \end{aligned}$$

for  $h, g \in C$  and  $\xi \in L^2(C, \mathcal{H})$ . The von Neumann algebra on  $L^2(C, \mathcal{H})$  generated by  $\pi^\alpha(\mathcal{M})$  and  $U^\alpha(C)$  is called the crossed product of  $\mathcal{M}$  by the action  $\alpha$  of  $C$ , and denoted by  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}, \alpha)$ .

Let  $\hat{C}$  be the dual group of  $C$ . We fix Haar measures  $dg$  in  $C$  and  $dp$  in  $\hat{C}$  so that the Plancherel formula holds. Define a unitary representation  $\hat{U}$  of  $\hat{C}$  on  $L^2(C, \mathcal{H})$  by

$$\hat{U}(p)\xi(g) = \overline{\langle g, p \rangle} \xi(g) \quad \text{for } \xi \in L^2(C, \mathcal{H}), g \in C, p \in \hat{C},$$

where  $\langle g, p \rangle$  denotes the value of  $p \in \hat{C}$  at  $g \in C$ . We can define a continuous action  $\hat{\alpha}$  of  $\hat{C}$  on  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}, \alpha)$  by

$$\hat{\alpha}_p(x) = \hat{U}(p)x\hat{U}(-p) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}, \alpha), p \in \hat{C}.$$

We call  $\hat{\alpha}$  the dual action of  $\hat{C}$  on  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}, \alpha)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\alpha}_p(U^\alpha(g)) &= \overline{\langle g, p \rangle} U^\alpha(g) && \text{for } g \in \mathcal{C}, p \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}, \\ \hat{\alpha}_p(x) &= x && \text{for } x \in \mathcal{M}, p \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.13. ([37, Theorem 4.5]) The crossed product  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}, \alpha), \alpha)$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to the tensor product  $\mathcal{M} \otimes (L^2(\mathcal{C}))$ , where  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathcal{C}))$  is the von Neumann algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $L^2(\mathcal{C})$ .

## 2. Connes' invariants for automorphisms.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a factor and  $\alpha$  be a  $*$ -automorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$ . The outer period  $p_o(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha$  is a natural number such that  $\alpha^i$  is outer for  $i = 1, \dots, p_o(\alpha) - 1$  and  $\alpha^{p_o(\alpha)}$  is inner. The outer period of  $\alpha$  is zero if all the non-zero powers of  $\alpha$  are outer. Let  $U$  be a unitary operator in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\alpha^{p_o(\alpha)} = \text{Ad } U$ , where  $\text{Ad } U(x) = UxU^*$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then, the complex number  $\gamma(\alpha)$  is defined by  $\alpha(U) = \gamma(\alpha)U$ . We see that  $\gamma(\alpha)$  is a complex number of modulus 1, independent of the choice of  $U$  such that  $\alpha^{p_o(\alpha)} = \text{Ad } U$ , and satisfying  $\gamma(\alpha)^{p_o(\alpha)} = 1$ . Two automorphisms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  are called outer conjugate if there exists a  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\beta$  and  $\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1}$  have the same image in  $\text{Out } \mathcal{M} = \text{Aut } \mathcal{M} / \text{Int } \mathcal{M}$ , where  $\text{Int } \mathcal{M}$  denotes the group of all inner automorphisms of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are outer conjugate then  $p_o(\alpha) = p_o(\beta)$ ,  $\gamma(\alpha) = \gamma(\beta)$ . The pair  $(p_o(\alpha), \gamma(\alpha))$  is called Connes' outer invariant of  $\alpha$ .

In the following,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a factor of type  $\text{II}_1$  with canonical trace  $\tau$  ( $\tau(1) = 1$ ). Let  $\alpha = \text{Ad } U$  be a periodic inner automorphism



with period  $p$ . As  $U^p$  is a scalar  $\lambda_0$ ,  $U$  is a finite linear combination of its spectral projections corresponding to the  $p$ th roots  $a_j$  of  $\lambda_0$ , say  $U = \sum_{j=1}^p a_j e_j$ , where  $e_j$  is the spectral projection of  $U$  corresponding to  $\{a_j\}$ . We define now the inner invariant  $\varepsilon(\alpha)$  to be the probability measure  $\sum \tau(e_j) \varepsilon_{a_j}$ , determined up to rotation on  $C^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| = 1\}$ , where  $\varepsilon_a$  is the Dirac measure at a point  $a$ . For a periodic automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  with outer invariant  $(p_0, \gamma)$ , we put  $p_m = p_0 \cdot \text{Order } \gamma$  and we put  $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \varepsilon(\alpha^{p_m})$ . This  $\varepsilon(\alpha)$  is called Connes' inner invariant of  $\alpha$ . The number  $p_m(\alpha) = p_0(\alpha) \cdot \text{Order } \gamma(\alpha)$  is called the minimal period of  $\alpha$ . For a periodic automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , if the period of  $\alpha$  is equal to the minimal period of  $\alpha$ , then  $\alpha$  is called a minimal periodic automorphism.

## Chapter II. Subalgebras of von Neumann algebras.

By a subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , we mean a weakly closed  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$  containing the identity of  $\mathcal{M}$ . For subalgebras  $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2$  are called to be conjugate if there exists a  $*$ -automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\alpha(\mathcal{N}_1) = \mathcal{N}_2$ , and they are called to be inner conjugate if there exists an inner automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\alpha(\mathcal{N}_1) = \mathcal{N}_2$ . In this chapter, we study conjugacy problems for certain subalgebras of von Neumann algebras associated with measurable groupoids. In particular we show that, for some full factor  $\mathcal{M}$  of type  $II_1$ , there exists a countable family of subalgebras of  $\mathcal{M}$ , which are  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that they are not conjugate with each other. All results in this chapter are taken from [27].

## § 2.1. Actions of semigroups on Borel spaces.

In this section, we introduce the notion of an action of a semigroup on a Borel space and study an inner conjugacy problem for certain subalgebras of von Neumann algebras associated with actions of semigroups.

Let  $A$  be a group,  $B$  be a sub-semigroup of  $A$  and  $C$  be a countable discrete normal subgroup of  $A$  which is contained in  $B$ . For a standard Borel space  $S$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  denotes the set of Borel maps of  $S$  onto itself which send Borel subsets of  $S$  to Borel subsets of  $S$ . For  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{B}(S)$ , the  $\overset{0}{\text{pr}}_{\lambda}$ duct  $\phi \circ \psi$  of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  is defined by  $\phi \circ \psi(x) = \psi(\phi(x))$

$(x \in S)$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  becomes a semigroup. Let  $\alpha$  be a homomorphism of the semigroup  $B$  into the semigroup  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  such that  $\alpha(e)$  is the identity map on  $S$ , where  $e$  is the unit of  $A$ . We write  $xb$  instead of  $\alpha(b)(x)$  ( $x \in S, b \in B$ ). Then  $S$  is a Borel  $C$ -space with respect to the restriction of the above action to  $C$  and  $\overline{\bigwedge}^{G=} S \times C$  becomes a measurable groupoid, that is,  $((x, c_1), (y, c_2)) \in G^{(2)}$  if and only if  $y = xc_1$  and we have  $(x, c_1)(xc_1, c_2) = (x, c_1c_2)$ . Note that  $G^{(0)}$  is identified with  $S$ . We assume that the action of  $C$  on  $S$  is free, that is, for every  $x \in S, \{c \in C; xc = x\} = \{e\}$ . Note that the orbit  $[x]$  of  $x$  is  $\{xc; c \in C\}$ . The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 2.1. If  $b$  is an element of  $B$ , then  $[xb] = [x]b$  for every  $x \in S$ .

We define an equivalence relation  $\sim_b$  on  $S$  by the following; for  $x, y \in S, x \sim_b y$  if and only if  $xb \sim yb$ , where  $\sim$  denotes the equivalence relation with respect to  $G$ . Put  $G \cdot b = \{(x, y) \in S \times S; x \sim_b y\}$ . As in Example 1.2,  $G \cdot b$  becomes a measurable groupoid. Note that  $G$  can be considered as a subgroupoid of  $G \cdot b$  by the injection  $(x, c) \mapsto (x, xc)$  ( $(x, c) \in G$ ). The saturation of  $E$  with respect to  $G \cdot b$  is denoted by  $[E]_b$ .

Lemma 2.2. (i) If  $E$  is a saturated set with respect to  $G$ , then for every  $b, Eb$  and  $Eb^{-1}$  are saturated with respect to  $G$ , where  $Eb^{-1} = \{x \in S; xb \in E\}$ .

(ii) For  $b \in B$  and  $x \in S$ , put  $F = \{y \in S; yb = xb\}$ . Then  $[x]_b$  is a disjoint union of  $\{[y]\}_{y \in F}$ .

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.1, it is clear that  $[Eb] = Eb$ . For  $x \in [Eb^{-1}]$ , there exists  $y \in Eb^{-1}$  such that  $x \sim y$ . As we have  $xb \sim yb$  and  $yb \in E$ ,  $xb$  belongs to  $E$ . It follows that  $[Eb^{-1}] = Eb^{-1}$ .

(ii) It is clear that  $\bigcup_{y \in F} [y] \subset [x]_b$ . For  $y \in [x]_b$ , there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $xb = ybc$ . Then, for some  $c_1 \in C$ , we have  $xb = yc_1b$  and  $yc_1$  belongs to  $F$ . Thus we have  $[x]_b \subset \bigcup_{y \in F} [y]$ . Now, suppose that, for  $y_1, y_2 \in F$ ,  $y_1$  belongs to  $[y_2]$ . There exist  $c_1, c_2 \in C$  such that  $y_1 = y_2c$  and  $cb = bc_1$ . We have

$$xb = y_1b = y_2bc_1 = xbc_1.$$

Since the action of  $C$  is free, this implies that  $c = c_1 = e$ . It follows that  $y_1 = y_2$ , and this means that  $\{[y]\}_{y \in F}$  are disjoint. Q.E.D.

Definition 2.3. Let  $(A, B, G)$  be as above and  $\Lambda$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite transverse measure on  $G$ . A quartet  $(A, B, G, \Lambda)$  is called an action of  $B$  on  $S$  if it satisfies the following condition; for a  $G$ -saturated Borel set  $E$  of  $S$ , if  $E$  is  $\Lambda$ -null, then  $Eb$  and  $Eb^{-1}$  are  $\Lambda$ -null for every  $b \in B$ .

From now on we assume that  $(A, B, G, \Lambda)$  is an action of  $B$  on  $S$ . Let  $\nu$  be the transverse function of counting measures on  $G$  and  $(H, L) = (H^\nu, L^\nu)$  be the left regular representation of  $G$ . We construct the von Neumann algebra  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  as in §1.3. We sometimes consider elements of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  as elements of  $\text{End}_G(H)$ . Let  $b$  be an element of  $B$ . For every  $x \in S$ , define an isometry  $\phi_{xb, x} = \phi_{xb, x}^b$  of  $H_x$  onto  $H_{xb}$  by

$$\phi_{xb,x}(f)(xb, c) = f(x, bcb^{-1})$$

for every  $f \in H_x$  and  $(xb, c) \in G^{xb}$ .

Lemma 2.4. If  $c$  is an element of  $C$ , then

$$L(xb, b^{-1}cb) \circ \phi_{xcb,xc} = \phi_{xb,x} \circ L(x, c)$$

for every  $x \in S$ .

Proof. Note that, as  $C$  is a normal subgroup of  $A$ ,  $bcb^{-1}$  and  $b^{-1}cb$  belong to  $C$  for every  $b \in B$ . Since we have

$$(xb)(b^{-1}cb) = x(bb^{-1}cb) = xcb,$$

we have  $s(xb, b^{-1}cb) = xcb$ . For every  $f \in H_{xc}$  and  $c' \in C$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(xb, b^{-1}cb) \circ \phi_{xcb,xc}(f)(xb, c') \\ = f(xc, c^{-1}bc'b^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \phi_{xb,x} L(x, c)(f)(xb, c'). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Proposition 2.5. For an element  $T = (T_x)_{x \in S}$  of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ , put

$$\Phi_b(T)_x = \phi_{xb,x}^{-1} \circ T_{xb} \circ \phi_{xb,x} \quad \text{for every } x \in S,$$

then  $\Phi_b(T) = (\Phi_b(T)_x)_{x \in S}$  is an element of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ .

Proof. Define a Borel structure for the field  $H' = (H_{xb})_{x \in S}$  of Hilbert spaces by the following (c.f. [8, p.142, Definition 1]); if  $\xi^i = (\xi_x^i)_{x \in S}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is a fundamental sequence for the Borel structure of  $H$ , then  $(\xi_{xb}^i)_{x \in S}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is a fundamental sequence for  $H'$ . Let  $f$  be a Borel function on  $G$  such that  $\int |f|^2 dv^x < +\infty$  for all  $x \in S$ . The restriction of  $f$  to  $G^x$  is denoted by  $f_x$ . Note that  $(f_x)$  is a Borel section of  $H$  and the Borel

structure of  $H$  is determined by the set of sections of this form (see §1.3). Then  $(\phi_{xb,x}(f_x))_{x \in S}$  is a Borel section of  $H'$ . For Borel sections  $(f_x)$  and  $(g_x)$  of  $H$  of the above form, we have

$$\langle \phi_b(T)_x f_x, g_x \rangle = \langle T_{xb} \phi_{xb,x}(f_x), \phi_{xb,x}(g_x) \rangle.$$

This implies that  $\phi_b(T)$  is a Borel field of operators for  $H$ .

Let  $\gamma = (x, c_0)$  be an element of  $G$ . For  $(x, c) \in G$  and  $f \in H_{xc_0}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (L(\gamma)\phi_b(T)_{xc_0} f)(x, c) \\ &= (T_{xc_0 b} \circ \phi_{xc_0 b, xc_0}(f))(xc_0 b, b^{-1}c_0^{-1}cb) \\ &= (L(xb, b^{-1}c_0 b) \circ T_{xc_0 b} \circ \phi_{xc_0 b, xc_0}(f))(xb, b^{-1}cb) \\ &= (T_{xb} \circ L(xb, b^{-1}c_0 b) \circ \phi_{xc_0 b, xc_0}(f))(xb, b^{-1}cb). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (L(\gamma)\phi_b(T)_{xc_0} f)(x, c) \\ &= T_{xb} \circ \phi_{xb,x} \circ L(x, c_0)(f)(xb, b^{-1}cb) \\ &= (\phi_b(T)_x \circ L(\gamma)f)(x, c). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\phi_b(T)$  is an intertwining operator of  $(H, L)$  to itself. If  $T$  and  $T'$  are intertwining operators of  $(H, L)$  which coincide with each other  $\Lambda$ -almost everywhere, then  $\phi_b(T)$  and  $\phi_b(T')$  coincide with each other  $\Lambda$ -almost everywhere by the assumption of  $(A, B, G, \Lambda)$ . Therefore  $\phi_b(T)$  is well-defined as an element of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ . Q.E.D.

In the above proposition, we have constructed a map  $\phi_b$  of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  into itself. The following lemma shows that  $\phi_b$  is an injective endomorphism of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  and that the map  $b \mapsto \phi_b$  is a homomorphism

of the semigroup  $B$  into the semigroup of endomorphisms of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ .

Lemma 2.6. (i) If  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are element<sup>s</sup> of  $B$ , then, for every  $T \in \text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ ,  $\phi_{b_1} \circ \phi_{b_2}(T) = \phi_{b_1 b_2}(T)$ .

(ii) If  $c$  is an element of  $C$ ,  $\phi_c$  is an inner automorphism of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ .

(iii) For  $b \in B$ , the map  $\phi_b$  is an isometric  $*$ -homomorphism of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  into  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ .

Proof. (i) It is clear by an easy calculation.

(ii) Define a unitary operator  $U_x$  on  $H_x$  by

$$(U_x f)(x, c') = f(x, c'c^{-1}) \quad \text{for } f \in H_x \text{ and } (x, c') \in G^x.$$

Then  $U = (U_x)$  is a unitary element of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  and we have  $\phi_c(T) = U^{-1}TU$  for every  $T \in \text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ .

(iii) It is clear that  $\phi_b$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. Let  $T$  be an element of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we set  $E_\alpha(T) = \{x \in S; \|T_x\| > \alpha\}$ , which is a saturated Borel set. We have  $E_\alpha(\phi_b(T)) = E_\alpha(T)b^{-1}$  by the equation  $\|\phi_b(T)_x\| = \|T_{xb}\|$ . Thus  $E_\alpha(T)$  is  $\Lambda$ -null if and only if  $E_\alpha(\phi_b(T))$  is  $\Lambda$ -null. Recall that the norm of  $T$  is defined by

$$\|T\|_\infty = \inf \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+; E_\alpha(T) \text{ is } \Lambda\text{-null}\}.$$

Hence we have  $\|\phi_b(T)\|_\infty = \|T\|_\infty$ . Q.E.D.

If  $(x, y)$  is an element of  $G \cdot b$ , there exists a unique pair  $(x_0, c) \in S \times C$  such that  $x_0 b = yb$  and  $x = x_0 c$  by Lemma 2.2. Define an isometry  $L(x, y) = L^b(x, y)$  of  $H_y$  onto  $H_x$  by

$$L(x, y) = L(x_0, c)^{-1} \circ \phi_{x_0 b, x_0}^b \circ \phi_{yb, y}^b.$$

Then we have the following:

Proposition 2.7. If  $b$  is an element of  $B$ , then  $(H, L^b)$  is a representation of  $G \cdot b$ .

Proof. For  $(x, y) \in G \cdot b$  with  $x_0 b = yb$  and  $x = x_0 c$ , we write  $\psi(x, y)$  for  $c$ . We have, for  $f \in H_y$  and  $(x, c) \in G$ ,

$$(L^b(x, y)f)(x, c) = f(y, \psi(x, y)c).$$

Note that  $\psi^{-1}(\{c\})$  is a Borel subset of  $G \cdot b$  for every  $c \in C$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  be a Borel functions on  $G$  as in the proof of Proposition 2.5. Then the function  $(x, y, c) \mapsto f(y, \psi(x, y)c)g(x, c)$  is Borel on  $G \cdot b \times C$ .

Since we have

$$\langle L^b(x, y)f_y, g_x \rangle = \int f(y, \psi(x, y)c)g(x, c) dv^x(x, c),$$

the function  $(x, y) \mapsto \langle L^b(x, y)f_y, g_x \rangle$  is Borel on  $G \cdot b$ .

Let  $(x, y)$  and  $(y, z)$  be elements of  $G \cdot b$  such that  $x = x_0 \psi(x, y)$ ,  $y = y_0 \psi(y, z)$ ,  $x_0 b = yb$  and  $y_0 b = zb$ . We have

$$x_0 \psi(y, z)^{-1} b = (x_0 b) (b^{-1} \psi(y, z)^{-1} b) = y \psi(y, z)^{-1} b = zb.$$

By putting  $x_1 = x_0 \psi(y, z)^{-1}$ , we have  $x_1 b = zb$  and  $x_1 \psi(y, z) \psi(x, y) = x$ .

It follows that  $\psi(x, z) = \psi(y, z) \psi(x, y)$ . Similarly we have  $\psi(y, x) = \psi(x, y)^{-1}$ . The equation  $L^b((y, x)^{-1}(y, z)) = L^b(y, x)^{-1} L^b(y, z)$

follows immediately from the above equations. Q.E.D.

The following theorem characterizes the von Neumann algebra  $\Phi_b(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$ .



Theorem 2.8. Let  $b$  be an element of  $B$ . For  $T \in \text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ ,  $T$  belongs to  $\Phi_b(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$  if and only if there exists a  $G \cdot b$ -saturated  $\Lambda$ -null set  $E$  of  $S$  such that, for  $x, y \in S - E$ ,  $x \sim_b y$  implies that

$$L^b(x, y)T_y = T_x L^b(x, y).$$

Proof. Let  $T$  be an element of  $\Phi_b(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$  with  $T = \Phi_b(T')$  ( $T' \in \text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ ). For  $(x, y) \in G \cdot b$  with  $x_0 b = y b$  and  $x = x_0 c$ , we have

$$\phi_{x_0 b, x_0}^{-1} \circ \phi_{y b, y} \circ T_y = T_{x_0} \circ \phi_{x_0 b, x_0}^{-1} \circ \phi_{y b, y}.$$

This implies that  $L^b(x, y)T_y = T_x L^b(x, y)$  for every  $(x, y) \in G \cdot b$ .

Since  $S$  is a standard space, by [22, Theorem 6.3], there exist a  $\Lambda_\nu$ -null Borel set  $N$  of  $S$  and a Borel set  $S_0$  of  $S$  such that the restriction  $b_0$  of  $b$  to  $S_0$  is a one-to-one Borel map of  $S_0$  onto  $S - N$ . If we put  $N' = (\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} [N]b^n) \cup (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [N]b^{-n})$ , then  $N'$  is a  $\Lambda$ -null saturated Borel set and  $b_0$  is a one-to-one Borel map of  $S_0 - N'$  onto  $S - N'$ . Therefore we may assume that  $b_0$  is a one-to-one Borel map of  $S_0$  onto  $S$ . Note that the inverse map  $b_1 = b_0^{-1}$  of  $b_0$  is Borel. For  $x \in S$ , the image of  $x$  under  $b_1$  is denoted by  $x b_1$ . Now, suppose that an element  $T = (T_x)$  of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  and a  $\Lambda$ -null set  $E$  of  $S$  satisfy the condition of the theorem. We set

$$T'_x = \phi_{x, x b_1} \circ T_{x b_1} \circ \phi_{x, x b_1}^{-1} \quad \text{for } x \in S.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2.5, one can prove that  $T' = (T'_x)_{x \in S}$  is a Borel field of operators with respect to  $H$ . Moreover if  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are intertwining operators of  $(H, L)$  which coincide with each other  $\Lambda$ -almost everywhere, then  $T_1'$  and  $T_2'$  constructed as above

coincide with each other  $\Lambda$ -almost everywhere. Let  $x$  be an element of  $S - Eb$  and  $\gamma = (x, c)$  be an element of  $G$ . If  $c'$  is an element of  $C$  such that  $b^{-1}cb = c'$ , then we have

$$xb_1cb = xb_1bc' = xc',$$

and there exists  $y \in S_0$  such that  $xb_1cb = yb$  i.e.  $xc'b_1 = y$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$L(x, c') \circ \phi_{xc', xb_1c} = \phi_{x, xb_1} \circ L(xb_1, c).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, c') \circ \phi_{xc', xb_1c} \circ \phi_{yb, xb_1c}^{-1} \circ \phi_{yb, y} \\ = \phi_{x, xb_1} \circ L^b(xb_1, y). \end{aligned}$$

The equation  $\phi_{xc', xb_1c} \circ \phi_{yb, xb_1c}^{-1} \circ \phi_{yb, y} = \phi_{xc', y}$  implies that

$$L(x, c') \circ \phi_{xc', y} = \phi_{x, xb_1} \circ L^b(xb_1, y).$$

As  $x \notin Eb$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, c') T'_{xc'} \\ = \phi_{x, xb_1} \circ L^b(xb_1, y) \circ T'_y \circ \phi_{xc', y}^{-1} \\ = T'_x \circ L(x, c'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $T'$  can be considered as an element of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  as  $Eb$  is  $\Lambda$ -null. Note that  $L^b(x, xbb_1) = \phi_{xb, xbb_1}^{-1} \circ \phi_{xb, xbb_1}$ . Then, for  $x \notin E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_b(T')_x &= L^b(x, xbb_1) T'_{xbb_1} L^b(x, xbb_1)^{-1} \\ &= T'_x \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T$  belongs to  $\phi_b(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$ . Q.E.D.

For the transverse function  $\nu$  of counting measures on  $G$ , we set  $\lambda = \int \nu^x d\Lambda_\nu(x)$ ,  $\nu(H) = \int_S^\oplus H_x d\Lambda_\nu(x)$  and, for  $T \in \text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ ,  $\nu(T) =$

$\int_S^\oplus T_x \, d\Lambda_\nu(x)$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} = \nu(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$  is a von Neumann algebra on  $\nu(H)$  which is isomorphic to  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  [5, p.86, Theorem 4]. For  $b \in B$ , put  $\mathcal{N}_b = \nu(\Phi_b(\text{End}_\Lambda(H)))$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a uniformly separable  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{N}_b$  which is weakly dense in  $\mathcal{N}_b$ . The direct integral decomposition of the identity representation  $i$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is denoted by

$$i = \int_S^\oplus \hat{x} \, d\Lambda_\nu(x) \quad [9, \text{Lemma } 8.3.1].$$

Note that, for  $\nu(T) \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\hat{x}(\nu(T)) = T_x$  for  $\Lambda_\nu$ -a.a.  $x$ . As for the following proposition, compare with [18, 36].

Proposition 2.9. In the above situation, there exists a  $\Lambda$ -null set  $N$  of  $S$  such that, for  $x, y \in S - N$ ,  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  are unitary equivalent if and only if  $x \underset{b}{\sim} y$ .

Proof. Let  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a uniformly dense subset of  $\mathcal{C}$ . By Theorem 2.8, there exists a  $\Lambda$ -null set  $N_1$  such that, if  $x, y \in S - N_1$  and  $x \underset{b}{\sim} y$ , then  $L^b(x, y)\hat{y}(T_i) = \hat{x}(T_i)L^b(x, y)$  for every  $i$ . This means that, for  $x, y \in S - N_1$  with  $x \underset{b}{\sim} y$ ,  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  are unitary equivalent.

Since  $S$  is a standard Borel space, we may assume that  $S$  has a compact metric topology which is compatible with the Borel structure of  $S$ . Let  $C(S)$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of all continuous functions on  $S$ . Let  $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a uniformly dense subset of  $C(S)$ . For every bounded Borel function  $g$  on  $S$ , define an operator  $\hat{g}_x$  on  $H_x$  by

$$(\hat{g}_x f)(\gamma) = g(s(\gamma))f(\gamma) \quad \text{for } f \in H_x \text{ and } \gamma \in G^x.$$

Then  $\hat{g} = (\hat{g}_x)_{x \in S}$  belongs to  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  and we have  $\Phi_b(\hat{g}) = (bg)^\wedge$ , where  $bg$  is a Borel function on  $S$  defined by  $(bg)(x) = g(xb)$  for every  $x \in S$ .

By [36, Theorem 1.1], we may suppose that  $\{v((bg_i)^\wedge)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is contained in  $\mathcal{C}$ . There exists a  $\Lambda$ -null set  $N_2$  such that, for  $x \in S - N_2$ ,  $\hat{x}(v((bg_i)^\wedge)) = (bg_i)^\wedge_x$  for every  $i$ . Suppose that, for  $x, y \in S - N_2$ ,  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{y}$  are unitary equivalent by means of an isometry  $V$  of  $H_x$  onto  $H_y$ . Then we have, for every  $i$  and  $f \in H_x$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int g_i(xcb) |f(x, c)|^2 dv^x(x, c) \\ &= \int g_i(ycb) |(Vf)(y, c)|^2 dv^y(y, c). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{g_i\}$  is uniformly dense in  $\mathcal{C}(S)$ , this implies that, for a Borel set  $E$  of  $S$ ,  $Eb^{-1}$  is  $s_*(v^x)$ -null if and only if it is  $s_*(v^y)$ -null, where  $s_*(v^x)$  is a measure on  $S$  defined by  $s_*(v^x)(E) = v^x(s^{-1}(E))$ . As  $[x]_b = [x]bb^{-1}$  and  $s_*(v^x)([x]_b) > 0$ , we have  $s_*(v^y)([x]_b) > 0$ . Since  $s_*(v^y)$  is supported by  $[y]$ , it follows that  $[x]_b = [y]_b$ . If we put  $N = N_1 \cup N_2$ , then the proposition follows. Q.E.D.

Theorem 2.10. Let  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  be elements of  $B$ . If there exists an inner automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  such that

$$\alpha(\Phi_{b_1}(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))) = \Phi_{b_2}(\text{End}_\Lambda(H)),$$

then  $[x]_{b_1} = [x]_{b_2}$  for  $\Lambda$ -a.e.  $x \in S$ .

Proof. Let  $U = (U_x)$  be a unitary element of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  such that  $\alpha = \text{Ad } U$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}_i = v(\Phi_{b_i}(\text{End}_\Lambda(H)))$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $\mathcal{C}_1$  be a uniformly separable  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{N}_1$  which is weakly dense in  $\mathcal{N}_1$ . We set  $\mathcal{C}_2 = v(U)\mathcal{C}_1v(U)^*$ . For  $x \in S$ ,  $\hat{x}_i$  denotes the representation of  $\mathcal{C}_i$  on  $H_x$  defined as above ( $i = 1, 2$ ). The isomorphism of  $\mathcal{C}_1$  onto  $\mathcal{C}_2$

associated with  $\alpha$  is also denoted by  $\alpha$ . Then we have  $U_x \hat{x}_1 U_x^* = \hat{x}_2 \circ \alpha$  for  $\Lambda$ -a.a.  $x$ . It follows that, for  $\Lambda$ -a.a.  $x, y$ ,  $\hat{x}_1$  and  $\hat{y}_1$  are unitary equivalent by means of an isometry  $V$  of  $H_x$  onto  $H_y$  if and only if  $(U_y V U_x^*)(\hat{x}_2 \circ \alpha)(U_y V U_x^*)^* = \hat{y}_2 \circ \alpha$ . The last equation means that  $\hat{x}_2$  and  $\hat{y}_2$  are unitary equivalent. Therefore, by Proposition 2.9, there exists a  $\Lambda$ -null set  $N_1$  such that, for  $x, y \in S - N_1$ ,  $x \underset{b_1}{\sim} y$  if and only if  $x \underset{b_2}{\sim} y$ . Note that  $[N_1]_{b_1}$  is  $\Lambda$ -null by the condition of Definition 2.3. We set  $N = [[N_1]_{b_1}]_{b_2}$ , which is a  $\Lambda$ -null set. For  $x \in S - N$ ,  $[x]_{b_1}$  is contained in  $S - N_1$  and  $[x]_{b_2}$  is contained in  $S - N$ . From the above argument, we have  $[x]_{b_1} = [x]_{b_2}$ . Q.E.D.

Remark 2.11. Suppose that  $(G, \Lambda)$  is ergodic [see §1.4], then for every  $b \in B$ , the relative commutant of  $\Phi_b(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$  in  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  is the algebra of scalars.

## § 2.2. Conjugacy of subalgebras.

In this section, we give a sufficient condition for two subalgebras  $\Phi_{b_1}(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$  and  $\Phi_{b_2}(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$  ( $b_1, b_2 \in B$ ) not to be conjugate by any \*-automorphism of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$ .

Let  $(A, B, G, \Lambda)$  be an action of  $B$  on  $S$ . Throughout this section, we assume that  $\Lambda$  is unimodular and that  $\mu = \Lambda_\nu$  is a probability measure on  $S$  for the transverse function  $\nu$  of counting measures. If this is the case,  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  is finite.

Definition 2.12. An element  $b$  of  $B$  is said to be homogeneous of degree  $k$  if it satisfies the following conditions;

- (i) there exists a Borel partition  $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^k$  of  $S$  such that, for each  $i$ , the restriction  $b_i$  of  $b$  to  $S_i$  is a Borel isomorphism of  $S_i$  onto  $S$ ,
- (ii) if  $\mu_i$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $S_i$  and  $\mu_i \cdot (b_i b_j^{-1})$  is a measure on  $S_j$  defined by  $\mu_i \cdot (b_i b_j^{-1})(E) = \mu(\{x \in S_i; x b_i b_j^{-1} \in E\})$  for every Borel set  $E$  of  $S_j$ , then  $\mu_i \cdot (b_i b_j^{-1}) = \mu_j$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ ).

Lemma 2.13. Let  $b$  be homogeneous of degree  $k$ .

- (i) If  $\mu \cdot b$  is a measure on  $S$  defined by  $\mu \cdot b(E) = \mu(Eb^{-1})$  for every Borel set  $E$  of  $S$ , then  $\mu \cdot b$  is equivalent to  $\mu$ .
- (ii) If  $\mu \cdot b_i^{-1}$  is a measure on  $S_i$  defined by  $\mu \cdot b_i^{-1}(E) = \mu(Eb_i)$  for every Borel set  $E$  of  $S_i$ , then  $\mu \cdot b_i^{-1}$  is equivalent to  $\mu_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ).
- (iii) The equation  $d((\mu \cdot b) \cdot b_i^{-1})/d\mu_i = k$  holds ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ).

Proof. (i) Note that, as  $C$  is a countable discrete group, for a Borel set  $E$  of  $S$ ,  $E$  is  $\mu$ -null if and only if  $[E]$  is  $\Lambda$ -null. It follows that  $\mu \cdot b \prec \mu$ . Suppose that  $E$  is  $\mu \cdot b$ -null, that is,  $Eb^{-1}$  is  $\mu$ -null. Since  $[Eb^{-1}]$  is  $\Lambda$ -null,  $[Eb^{-1}]b$  is also  $\Lambda$ -null. Since  $E$  is contained in  $[Eb^{-1}]b$ ,  $E$  is a  $\mu$ -null set. Thus we have  $\mu \prec \mu \cdot b$ .

(ii) This can be proved by the same method as that of the proof of (i).

(iii) This follows from a straightforward calculation. Q.E.D.

Remark 2.14. If  $b$  is homogeneous, then the representation  $(H, L^b)$

of  $G \cdot b$  defined in Section 2.1 is a square integrable representation (see §1.3).

The measure  $\int v^x d\mu(x)$  on  $G$  is denoted by  $\lambda$ . We set  $\mathcal{M} = v(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_b = v(\Phi_b(\text{End}_\Lambda(H)))$  and  $\mathcal{H} = v(H)$ . The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  can be identified with  $L^2(G, \lambda)$ . Define a partial isometry  $U = U_b$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$U(f)(x, c) = \left( \frac{d(\mu \cdot b)}{d\mu} \right)^{-1/2} (xb) f(xb, b^{-1}cb)$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $(x, c) \in G$ .

Lemma 2.15. Let  $b$  be homogeneous of degree  $k$  and  $e$  be the final projection of  $U_b$ .

(i) The space  $e\mathcal{H}$  consists of all elements  $f = (f_x)$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfy the following; there exists a saturated null set  $N = N_f$  of  $S$  such that  $f_x = L^b(x, y)f_y$  if  $xb = yb$  and  $x, y \in S - N$ .

(ii) The projection  $e$  belongs to the commutant  $\mathcal{N}_b'$  of  $\mathcal{N}_b$ .

Proof. (i) Let  $\mathcal{H}_0$  be the space consisting of all elements which satisfy the condition of (i). We write  $U$  for  $U_b$ . If  $xb = yb$ , then we have, for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (L^b(x, y)(Uf)_y)(x, c) &= (Uf)_y(y, c) \\ &= (Uf)_x(x, c). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $e\mathcal{H}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Conversely, let  $f$  be an element in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . For  $x, y \in S - N_f$  with  $xb = yb$ , we have  $f(x, c) = f(y, c)$  for all  $c \in C$ . Fix an integer  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and define an element  $g$

of  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$g(x, c) = k^{1/2} \left( \frac{d(\mu \cdot b_i^{-1})}{d\mu_i} \right)^{-1/2} (xb_i^{-1}) f(xb_i^{-1}, bcb^{-1})$$

$((x, c) \in G)$ . Then we have, for  $(x, c) \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} U(g)(x, c) &= k^{1/2} \left( \frac{d(\mu \cdot b)}{d\mu} \right)^{-1/2} (xb) \left( \frac{d(\mu \cdot b_i^{-1})}{d\mu_i} \right)^{-1/2} (xbb_i^{-1}) f(xbb_i^{-1}, c). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have, for  $\mu$ -a.a.  $x \in S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d(\mu \cdot b)}{d\mu} (xb) \frac{d(\mu \cdot b_i^{-1})}{d\mu_i} (xbb_i^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{d((\mu \cdot b) \cdot b_i^{-1})}{d\mu_i} (xbb_i^{-1}) = k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $U(g)(x, c) = f(xbb_i^{-1}, c)$  for  $\mu$ -a.a.  $x \in S$  and all  $c \in C$ . Since we have  $f(xbb_i^{-1}, c) = f(x, c)$  for  $x \notin N_f \cup N_f b_i b^{-1}$ , we have  $U(g) = f$ . Hence  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is contained in  $e\mathcal{H}$ .

(ii) Let  $T$  be an element of  $\mathcal{N}_b$  and  $f$  be an element of  $e\mathcal{H}$ . For  $x, y \in S - N_f$  with  $xb = yb$ , we have

$$L^b(x, y) T_y f_y = T_x L^b(x, y) f_y = T_x f_x.$$

Therefore  $Tf$  belongs to  $e\mathcal{H}$  and  $e$  is an element of  $\mathcal{N}_b'$ . Q.E.D.

Lemma 2. 16. Let  $e$  be as in Lemma 2.15 and  $\mathcal{H}_k$  be a  $k$ -dimensional Hilbert space whose complete orthonormal system is  $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^k$ . For  $f \otimes \delta_i \in e\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_k$ , define an element  $\psi(f \otimes \delta_i)$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  by the following;  $\psi(f \otimes \delta_i)_x$  is  $k^{1/2} f_x$  if  $x \in S_i$  and is 0 if  $x \notin S_i$ . Then  $\psi$  can be extended to an isometry of  $e\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_k$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$ , which is denoted again by  $\psi$ . Moreover the von Neumann algebras  $(\mathcal{N}_b)_e \otimes \mathbb{C}_k$  and  $\mathcal{N}_b$  are spatially isomorphic by means of  $\psi$ , where  $\mathbb{C}_k$  is the algebra of scalar



operators on  $\mathcal{H}_k$ .

Proof. For  $f \in e\mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\psi(f \otimes \delta_i)\|^2 \\
 &= k \int_{S_i} \|f_x\|^2 d\mu_i(x) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{S_i} \|L^b(xb_i b_j^{-1}, x)f_x\|^2 d\mu_i(x) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{S_j} \|f_x\|^2 d(\mu_i \cdot (b_i b_j^{-1}))(x) \quad (\text{Lemma 2.15}) \\
 &= \|f\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\psi$  can be extended to an isometry of  $e\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_k$  into  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $f_0$  be an element of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\langle f_0, f \rangle = 0$  for all  $f \in \psi(e\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_k)$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , define an element  $f^i$  of  $e\mathcal{H}$  by  $f^i(x, c) = f(xb_j b_i^{-1}, c)$  for  $x \in S_j$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 k^{1/2} \int_{S_i} \langle f_0, f_x \rangle d\mu(x) &= \langle f_0, \psi(f^i \otimes \delta_i) \rangle \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  and  $i$  are arbitrary, we have  $f_0 = 0$ . Hence  $\psi$  is onto.

Let  $T$  be an element of  $(\mathcal{N}_b)_e$ . There exists an element  $T'$  of  $\mathcal{N}_b$  such that  $T'_e = T$ . For  $f \otimes \delta_i \in e\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_k$ , we have  $\psi((T \otimes I)(f \otimes \delta_i))_x = (T'\psi(f \otimes \delta_i))_x$  for a.a.  $x \in S$ . It follows that  $\psi(T \otimes I) = T'\psi$ . Therefore the map  $T \otimes I \mapsto \psi(T \otimes I)\psi^{-1}$  is an isomorphism of  $(\mathcal{N}_b)_e \otimes \mathbb{C}_k$  onto  $\mathcal{N}_b$ . Q.E.D.

Lemma 2.17. Let  $U$  and  $e$  be as in Lemma 2.15. The von Neumann algebras  $(\mathcal{N}_b)_e$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are spatially isomorphic by means of  $U$ .

Proof. For  $T \in (\mathcal{N}_b)_e$ , there exists a unique element  $T'$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\phi_b(T')_e = T$ . As we have, for  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$U(f)_x = \left( \frac{d(\mu \cdot b)}{d\mu} \right)^{-1/2} (xb)\phi_{xb,x}^{-1}(f_{xb}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T'Uf)_x &= \phi_b(T')_x U(f)_x \\ &= \left( \frac{d(\mu \cdot b)}{d\mu} \right)^{-1/2} (xb)\phi_{xb,x}^{-1}(T'_{xb}f_{xb}) \\ &= U(T'f)_x. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $T' = U^*TU$ . Q.E.D.

From the above lemmas, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.18. If  $b$  is homogeneous of degree  $k$ , then  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C}_k$  and  $\mathcal{N}_b$  are spatially isomorphic.

Corollary 2.19. Suppose that  $(G, \Lambda)$  is ergodic. Let  $b_i$  be homogeneous of degree  $k_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). If  $k_1 \neq k_2$ , then there are no automorphisms of  $\text{End}_\Lambda(H)$  which send  $\phi_{b_1}(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$  onto  $\phi_{b_2}(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$ .

Proof. By the ergodicity of  $(G, \Lambda)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{b_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are factors. Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is standard, the coupling constant of  $\mathcal{N}_{b_i}$  is  $k_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) [35, Corollary 7.22]. It follows that  $\mathcal{N}_{b_1}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{b_2}$  cannot be spatially isomorphic if  $k_1 \neq k_2$  [35, Theorem 8.3]. On the other hand, any automorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$  is spatial [8, p.268, Corollary].

Therefore there are no automorphisms of  $\mathcal{M}$  which send  $\mathcal{N}_{b_1}$  onto  $\mathcal{N}_{b_2}$ . Q.E.D.

## §2.3. An example.

In this section, we apply the results of the previous sections to the action of the special linear group  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  of degree  $n$  on the  $n$ -dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Let  $A$  be the normalizer of  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  in the general linear group  $GL(n, \mathbb{Q})$ , and  $B$  be the semigroup consisting of all elements of  $A$  whose coefficients are integers. Note that  $B$  contains the elements of the form  $kI$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ ), where  $I$  is the unit matrix. We set  $C = SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $S = \mathbb{T}^n$ . The action of  $b = (b_{ij}) \in B$  on  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$  is defined by

$$xb = (\sum_{j=1}^n b_{j1}x_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n b_{jn}x_j) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^n}.$$

Let  $G_n$  be the groupoid  $S \times C$  associated with the above action and  $\Lambda$  be the transverse measure on  $G_n$  such that  $\Lambda_\nu$  is the Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  on  $S$  for the transverse function  $\nu$  of counting measures. Note that  $\Lambda$  is unimodular and ergodic. Since the action of  $C$  on  $S$  is essentially free,  $(A, B, G, \Lambda)$  can be considered as an action of  $B$  on  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_n$  be the von Neumann algebra  $\nu(\text{End}_\Lambda(H))$  associated with the left regular representation  $(H^\nu, L^\nu)$  of  $G_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a full factor of type  $II_1$ , which coincides with the factor obtained by the group-measure space construction. For  $k \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ , the element  $kI$  of  $B$  is homogeneous of degree  $|k|^n$ . We set  $\mathcal{N}_k^n = \nu(\Phi_{kI}(\text{End}_\Lambda(H)))$ . The following theorem summarizes properties of  $\mathcal{N}_k^n$  which are obtained from the results of the previous sections.

Theorem 2.20. (i) The subfactor  $\mathcal{N}_k^n$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is spatially isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}_{|k|^n}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ ).

(ii) Elements of the family  $\{N_k^n\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are not conjugate with each other by any automorphism of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ .

## Chapter III. Connes' invariants of periodic automorphisms.

In this chapter we calculate Connes' invariants for certain automorphisms of full factors of type  $III_1$ . In what follows, automorphisms of von Neumann algebras always mean  $*$ -preserving ones. Let  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  be the group of  $2 \times 2$  matrices with integral entries and determinant 1, and  $F_2(n)$  be the subgroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $n$  is a natural number. Then, for every  $n$ ,  $F_2(n)$  is isomorphic to the free group on two generators. Let  $S$  be the 2-torus  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $\mu$  be the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $S$ . The natural action of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  on  $(S, \mu)$  is defined as follows;

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (x, y) = (ax + by, cx + dy) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2}$$

for  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $(x, y) \in S$ . An action of  $F_2(n)$  on  $(S, \mu)$  is obtained by restricting the above action to  $F_2(n)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_n$  the factor obtained by the crossed product from the above action of  $F_2(n)$  on  $(S, \mu)$ . Using [33, Proposition 3.5], we can show that the action of  $F_2(n)$  on  $(S, \mu)$  is strongly ergodic. It follows from [2] that  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a full factor of type  $II_1$ . For every divisor  $p$  of  $2n$  and  $i = 0, \dots, p - 1$ , we shall construct a minimal periodic automorphism  $\rho_{n,p,i}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  with Connes' outer invariant  $(p, \gamma^i)$ , where  $\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p)$  (see §1.5, 2). All results in this chapter are taken from [28, 29].

### §3.1. Construction of periodic automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}_n$ .

Let  $n$  be a natural number and  $p$  be a divisor of  $2n$ . In this section, we construct a periodic automorphism  $\sigma_{n,p}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  with period  $p$ .

Let  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $F_2(n)$  and  $(S, \mu)$  be as above. We denote by  $F_2(n, p)$  the subgroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n/p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2pn & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and by  $C(n, p)$  the subgroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  generated by  $F_2(n)$  and  $F_2(n, p)$ . Define an automorphism  $\psi = \psi_{n,p}$  of  $C(n, p)$  by

$$\psi(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/p \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } c \in C(n, p).$$

Then we have  $\psi^2 = \text{id}$ . and  $\psi(F_2(n)) = F_2(n, p)$ . Actions of  $F_2(n)$  and  $F_2(n, p)$  on  $(S, \mu)$  are defined as the restrictions of the natural action of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  on  $(S, \mu)$  to  $F_2(n)$  and  $F_2(n, p)$  respectively. Note that these actions of  $F_2(n)$  and  $F_2(n, p)$  are ergodic, measure-preserving and essentially free. Let  $\phi = \phi_p$  be a Borel map of  $S$  onto itself defined by  $\phi_p(x, y) = (y, px)$  for  $(x, y) \in S$ . The following property is important;

$$\phi_p(cs) = \psi_{n,p}(c)\phi_p(s)$$

for every  $c \in C(n, p)$  and  $s \in S$ .

We define a measurable groupoids  $G_n$  and  $H_{n,p}$  as follows;

$$G_n = \{(s, cs) \in S \times S; c \in F_2(n)\},$$

$$H_{n,p} = \{(s, cs) \in S \times S; c \in F_2(n, p)\}.$$

(see Example 1.2). Let  $\nu$  (resp.  $\nu'$ ) be the transverse function of counting measures of  $G_n$  (resp.  $H_{n,p}$ ) and  $\Lambda$  (resp.  $\Lambda'$ ) be the unimodular transverse measure of  $G_n$  (resp.  $H_{n,p}$ ) such that  $\Lambda_\nu = \mu$  (resp.  $\Lambda'_{\nu'} = \mu$ ). For left regular representations  $(H^\nu, L^\nu)$  and  $(H^{\nu'}, L^{\nu'})$  of  $G_n$  and  $H_{n,p}$  respectively, we set  $\mathcal{M}_n = \text{End}_\Lambda(H^\nu)$  and

$\mathcal{N}_{n,p} = \text{End}_{\Lambda'}(H^{\nu'})$ . We define a measure  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\lambda'$ ) on  $G_n$  (resp.  $H_{n,p}$ ) by  $\lambda = \int \nu^s d\mu(s)$  (resp.  $\lambda' = \int \nu'^s d\mu(s)$ ). Let  $\mathcal{H}_n$  denote the Hilbert space  $L^2(G_n, \lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}$  denote the Hilbert space  $L^2(H_{n,p}, \lambda')$ . We may consider  $\mathcal{M}_n$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{n,p}$  as algebras of operators on  $\mathcal{H}_n$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}$  respectively, as in Section 1.4. Let  $U$  (resp.  $U'$ ) be the homomorphism of  $[G_n]$  (resp.  $[H_{n,p}]$ ) into the unitary group of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_{n,p}$ ) and let  $\pi$  (resp.  $\pi'$ ) be the  $*$ -homomorphism of  $L^\infty(S, \mu)$  into  $\mathcal{M}_n$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_{n,p}$ ), which are defined as in Section 1.4. We set  $\mathcal{A} = \pi(L^\infty(S, \mu))$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \pi'(L^\infty(S, \mu))$ .

An action of the cyclic group  $W_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  on  $(S, \mu)$  is defined by  $is = w_p^i s$  for  $i \in W_p$  and  $s \in S$ , where  $w_p$  is an automorphism of  $S$  such that  $w_p(x, y) = (x + 1/p, y)$  for  $(x, y) \in S$ . Since  $w_p$  commutes with elements of  $C(n, p)$ , we can define an action of  $D_{n,p} = F_2(n, p) \times W_p$  on  $(S, \mu)$  by

$$(c, i)s = cis \quad \text{for } (c, i) \in D_{n,p} \text{ and } s \in S.$$

The action of  $D_{n,p}$  is ergodic, measure-preserving and essentially free. For  $i \in W_p$ , define a unitary operator  $V_i'$  on  $\mathcal{K}_{n,p}$  by

$$(V_i' f)(s, t) = f((-i)s, (-i)t)$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{n,p}$ ,  $(s, t) \in H_{n,p}$ . Then, we can define an action  $\beta$  of  $W_p$  on  $\mathcal{N}_{n,p}$  by  $\beta_i = \text{Ad } V_i'$  ( $i \in W_p$ ). Let  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_{n,p}, \beta)$  be the crossed product of  $\mathcal{N}_{n,p}$  by  $\beta$  (see §1.5, 1). It is isomorphic to the factor  $\mathcal{R}(L^\infty(S, \mu), D_{n,p})$  obtained by the crossed product from the action of  $D_{n,p}$  on  $(S, \mu)$ .

Throughout this section we fix  $n$  and  $p$ , and we omit indices  $n$  and  $p$  if there is no confusion.

Let  $H_0$  denote the measurable groupoid  $\{(s, ds) \in S \times S; d \in D_{n,p}\}$  and  $\nu_0$  be the transverse function of counting measures on  $H_0$ . We define a measure  $\lambda_0$  on  $H_0$  by  $\lambda_0 = \int \nu_0^S d\mu(s)$ . Let  $I_p$  be the transitive groupoid  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  (see §1.1) and  $\lambda_1$  denote the counting measure on  $I_p$ . Define a Borel partition  $\{S_i\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$  of  $S$  by

$$S_i = [ip^{-1}, (i+1)p^{-1}) \times \mathbb{T},$$

where  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is a 1-torus. For  $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ , let  $\phi_i$  be the inverse of the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $S_i$ . It is clear that  $\phi_i$  is a Borel isomorphism of  $S$  onto  $S_i$ . Then there exists a Borel isomorphism of the groupoid  $H_0$  onto the groupoid  $G_n \times I_p$  such that

$$u(s, t) = ((\phi(s), \phi(t)), (i, j))$$

for  $(s, t) \in H_0$ ,  $s \in S_i$ ,  $t \in S_j$ . The inverse of  $u$  is given by

$$u^{-1}((s, t), (i, j)) = (\phi_i(s), \phi_j(t))$$

for  $((s, t), (i, j)) \in G_n \times I_p$ . As the measure class of  $\lambda_0$  is sent to that of  $\lambda \times \lambda_1$  by  $u$ , the factors associated with  $(H_0, [\lambda_0])$  and  $(G_n \times I_p, [\lambda \times \lambda_1])$  are isomorphic. The factors associated with  $(H_0, [\lambda_0])$  and  $(G_n \times I_p, [\lambda \times \lambda_1])$  are  $\mathcal{R}(L^\infty(S, \mu), D_{n,p})$  and  $\mathcal{M}_n \otimes M_p$  respectively, where  $M_p$  is the algebra of all  $p \times p$  complex matrices. Therefore factors  $\mathcal{M}_n \otimes M_p$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_{n,p}, \beta)$  are isomorphic.

For  $c \in F_2(n)$ , let  $\{E_i(c) = E_i(c; n, p)\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$  be a Borel partition of  $S$  such that  $E_i(c) = \phi(\psi(c)S_i \cap S_0)$ . Define a projection  $e_i(c) = e_i(c; n, p)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $e_i(c) = \pi(\chi_{E_i(c)})$  for  $i = 0, \dots, p-1$  and  $c \in F_2(n)$ , where  $\chi_{E_i(c)}$  is a characteristic function of  $E_i(c)$ . The family  $\{e_i(c)\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$  is a partition of the identity in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let



$\{e_{ij}; i, j = 0, \dots, p-1\}$  be a system of matrix units in  $M_p$ . For  $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ , we define a unitary operator  $u_i$  in  $M_p$  by  $u_i = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} e_{i+j, j}$ , where  $i+j$  means  $i+j$  modulo  $p$ . Let  $\pi^\beta$  be the canonical isomorphism of  $\mathcal{N}_{n,p}$  into  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_{n,p}, \beta)$  and  $U^\beta$  be the canonical representation of  $W_p$  into the group of unitary operators in  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_{n,p}, \beta)$  (see §1.5, 1). By straightforward calculations, we can prove the following.

Lemma 3.1. There exists a unique isomorphism  $\Psi$  of  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}_{m,p}, \beta)$

onto  $\mathcal{M}_n \otimes M_p$  with the following properties;

$$(i) \quad \Psi(\pi^\beta(\pi'(h))) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \pi(h \circ \phi_i) \otimes e_{ii} \quad \text{for } h \in L^\infty(S, \mu),$$

$$(ii) \quad \Psi(\pi^\beta(U_c)) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (e_i(\psi(c))U_{\psi(c)}) \otimes u_i^*$$

for  $c \in F_2(n, p)$ ,

$$(iii) \quad \Psi(U_i^\beta) = 1 \otimes u_i \quad \text{for } i \in W_p.$$

Let  $\hat{W}_p$  be the dual group of  $W_p$ . We consider  $\hat{W}_p$  as the group  $\{\gamma \in \mathbb{C} : \gamma^p = 1\}$ . Then we have  $\langle i, \gamma \rangle = \gamma^i$ . Let  $\hat{\beta}$  be the dual action of  $\beta$  (§1.5, 1). For  $\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p)$ , by restricting the automorphism  $\Psi \circ \hat{\beta}_\gamma \circ \Psi^{-1}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n \otimes M_p$  to  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. Let  $n$  be a natural number and  $p$  be a divisor of  $2n$ . If  $\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p)$ , then there is a unique automorphism  $\sigma_{n,p}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  such that

$$(i) \quad \sigma_{n,p}(X) = X \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{A},$$

$$(ii) \quad \sigma_{n,p}(e_i(c; n, p)U_c) = \gamma^{-i} e_i(c; n, p)U_c$$

for  $c \in F_2(n)$  and  $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ .

### §3.2. Connes' invariants of certain automorphisms.

In this section we construct a minimal periodic automorphism  $\rho_{n,p,i}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  with Connes' outer invariant  $(p, \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}i/p))$  for every divisor  $p$  of  $2n$  and  $i = 0, \dots, p - 1$ .

Let  $\Gamma_p$  be the Borel automorphism of  $S$  such that  $\Gamma_p(x, y) = (x, y + 1/p)$  for  $(x, y) \in S$ . Then,  $\Gamma_p$  commutes with every element of  $F_2(n)$ . We define a unitary operator  $V_p$  on  $\mathcal{H}_n$  by

$$(V_p f)(s, t) = f(\Gamma_p^{-1}(s), \Gamma_p^{-1}(t))$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{H}_n$ ,  $(s, t) \in G_n$ . We write  $\tau_p$  for the automorphism  $\text{Ad } V_p$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . For  $s \in S$ , let  $[s]$  be the orbit of  $s$  with respect to  $F_2(n)$ , that is,  $[s] = \{cs; c \in F_2(n)\}$ . Since we have  $[\Gamma_p(s)] \neq [s]$  for  $\mu$ -a.a.  $s \in S$ , it follows from [15, §8] that  $\tau_p^i$  is an outer automorphism for  $i = 1, \dots, p - 1$ .

Now, we can state the main theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let  $n$  be a natural number and  $p$  be a divisor of  $2n$ . For  $i = 0, \dots, p - 1$ , define an automorphism  $\rho_{n,p,i}$  of  $\mathcal{M}_n$  by

$$\rho_{n,p,i} = \sigma_{n,p} \tau_p^{-i}.$$

Then, the Connes' outer invariant of  $\rho_{n,p,i}$  is  $(p, \gamma^i)$ , where  $\gamma = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p)$ , and its Connes' inner invariant is  $\varepsilon_1$ , where  $\varepsilon_1$  is the Dirac measure on  $C^1$  supported by  $\{1\}$ . In particular,  $\rho_{n,p,i}$  is minimal periodic.

To prove the theorem, we will need two lemmas. In what follows,

we write  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  for  $\rho_{n,p,i}$ ,  $\sigma_{n,p}$  and  $\tau_p$  respectively.

Lemma 3.4. Let  $q$  be the order of  $\tau^{-1}$ . For  $\ell = 0, \dots, q-1$ , define a Borel set  $E_\ell$  of  $S$  by

$$E_\ell = \prod \times [\ell q^{-1}, (\ell+1)q^{-1}).$$

If  $h$  is an element of  $L^\infty(S, \mu)$  such that

$$h = \sum_{\ell=0}^{q-1} \gamma^{r\ell} \chi_{E_\ell},$$

where  $r = pq^{-1}$ , then  $\rho^p = \text{Ad } \pi(h)$ .

Proof. For a while, let  $c$  be the fixed element  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  of  $F_2(n)$ . Then, the Borel set  $E_0(c)$  defined in Section 3.1 is the union of the following Borel sets;

$$\{(x, y) \in S; 0 \leq x \leq (2n)^{-1}y, 0 \leq y < 1\},$$

$$\{(x, y) \in S; (2n)^{-1}(y+pk-1) < x \leq (2n)^{-1}(y+pk), 0 \leq y < 1\}$$

( $k = 1, \dots, (2n/p) - 1$ ),

$$\{(x, y) \in S; (2n)^{-1}(y+2n-1) < x < 1, 0 \leq y < 1\},$$

and, for  $j = 1, \dots, p-1$ ,  $E_j(c)$  is the union of Borel sets

$$\{(x, y) \in S; (2n)^{-1}(y+pk-(j+1)) < x \leq (2n)^{-1}(y+pk-j), 0 \leq y < 1\}$$

( $k = 1, \dots, 2n/p$ ). We define a Borel partition  $\{A(k); k = 0, \dots, 2n-1\}$  of  $S$  by the following;  $A(0)$  is the union of the following two sets

$$\{(x, y) \in S; 0 \leq x \leq (2n)^{-1}y, 0 \leq y < 1\},$$

$$\{(x, y) \in S; (2n)^{-1}(y+2n-1) < x < 1, 0 \leq y < 1\},$$

and, for  $k = 1, \dots, 2n-1$ ,  $A(k)$  is the set

$$\{(x, y) \in S; (2n)^{-1}(y+k-1) < x \leq (2n)^{-1}(y+k), 0 \leq y < 1\}.$$

For  $k = 0, \dots, 2n - 1$ , let  $m(k)$  be an element of  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  such that  $m(k) = k \pmod{p}$ . Then,  $E_j(c)$  is the union of sets  $A(k)$  with  $m(k) = p - j$ . Therefore we have

$$\sigma(U_c) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \gamma^k \pi(\chi_{A(k)}) U_c.$$

As we have  $\tau(U_c) = U_c$  and  $\sigma(X) = X$  for  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\rho^p(U_c) = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \gamma^k \tau^{-ij}(\pi(\chi_{A(k)}))) U_c.$$

As the number of  $\{j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}; j = j_0 \pmod{q}\}$  is  $r$  for  $j_0 = 0, \dots, q-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^p(U_c) &= \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \gamma^k \tau^{-ij}(\pi(\chi_{A(k)})))^r U_c \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \gamma^{kr} \pi(\chi_{\Gamma_p^{-ij} A(k)})) U_c. \end{aligned}$$

Define an element  $h'$  in  $L^\infty(S, \mu)$  by

$$h' = \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \gamma^{kr} \chi_{\Gamma_p^{-ij} A(k)}).$$

It is clear that  $\rho^p(U_c) = \pi(h') U_c$ .

Since the order of  $\Gamma_p^i$  is  $q$ , there exists a natural number  $i_0$  relatively prime with  $q$  such that  $i/p = i_0/q$ . For  $j = 0, \dots, q-1$ , let  $\theta(j)$  be an element of  $\{0, \dots, q-1\}$  such that  $\theta(j) = i_0 j \pmod{q}$ . If we define a Borel isomorphism  $\omega$  of  $S$  onto itself by  $\omega(x, y) = (x + (2nq)^{-1}, y)$ , then, by a straightforward calculation, we know that

$$\Gamma_p^{-ij} A(k) \cap E_0 = \omega^{\theta(j)} A(k) \cap E_0$$

for  $j = 0, \dots, q-1$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, 2n-1$ . Notice that the set  $\{\omega^{\theta(j)}; j = 0, \dots, q-1\}$  coincides with  $\{\omega^j; j = 0, \dots, q-1\}$ .

It follows that, for  $s \in E_0$ ,

$$h'(s) = \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \gamma^{kr} \chi_{\omega^{\theta(j)} A(k)})$$

$$= \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \gamma^{kr} \chi_{\omega^j A(k)} \right). \quad (1)$$

We define a partition  $\{B(k, \ell); k = 0, \dots, 2nq - 1, \ell = 0, \dots, q - 1\}$  of  $S$  by the following; for each  $\ell$ ,  $B(0, \ell)$  is the union of the following two sets

$$\{(x, y) \in S; 0 \leq x \leq (2n)^{-1}(y - \ell q^{-1}), \ell q^{-1} \leq y < (\ell + 1)q^{-1}\},$$

$$\{(x, y) \in S; (2n)^{-1}(y + (2nq - \ell - 1)q^{-1}) < x < 1, \ell q^{-1} \leq y < (\ell + 1)q^{-1}\},$$

and, for  $k = 1, \dots, 2nq - 1$ ,  $B(k, \ell)$  is the set

$$\{(x, y) \in S; (2n)^{-1}(y + (k - \ell - 1)q^{-1}) < x \leq (2n)^{-1}(y + (k - \ell)q^{-1}),$$

$$\ell q^{-1} \leq y < (\ell + 1)q^{-1}\}.$$

It is clear that  $\omega(B(k, \ell)) = B(k + 1, \ell)$ , where  $k + 1$  means  $k + 1$  modulo  $2nq$ . For  $k = 0, \dots, 2nq - 1$ , if  $k$  satisfies that

$(m - 1)q \leq k \leq mq - 1$  ( $m = 1, \dots, n$ ), we have

$$B(k, 0) \subset \omega^j A(m) \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, k - ((m - 1)q + 1),$$

$$B(k, 0) \subset \omega^j A(m - 1) \quad \text{for } j = k - (m - 1)q, \dots, q - 1.$$

Therefore, for  $s \in B(k, 0)$  with  $(m - 1)q \leq k \leq mq - 1$ , we have

$$h'(s) = \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k - ((m-1)q + 1)} \gamma^{mj r} \chi_{\omega^j A(m)}(s) \right) \times$$

$$\left( \prod_{j=k - (m-1)q}^{q-1} \gamma^{(m-1)r} \chi_{\omega^j A(m-1)}(s) \right)$$

$$= \gamma^{kr}.$$

Since  $\{B(k, 0); k = 0, \dots, 2nq - 1\}$  is a partition of  $E_0$ , we have

$$h'|_{E_0} = \sum_{k=0}^{2nq-1} \gamma^{kr} \chi_{B(k,0)}.$$

It is clear that the following equations hold;

$$h'(\Gamma_p^i s) = h'(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in S,$$

$$\Gamma_p^{ij} B(k, 0) = B(k, \theta(j))$$

for  $j = 0, \dots, q - 1, k = 0, \dots, 2nq - 1$ . For each  $\ell = 0, \dots, q - 1$ ,

there exists an element  $j(\ell)$  in  $\{0, \dots, q-1\}$  such that  $\theta(j(\ell)) = \ell$ .

For every  $s \in E_\ell$ , as  $\Gamma_p^{-ij(\ell)} s$  is in  $E_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h'(s) &= h'(\Gamma_p^{-ij(\ell)} s) \\ &= \sum_k \gamma^{kk} \chi_{\Gamma_p^{-ij(\ell)} B(k,0)}(s) \\ &= \sum_k \gamma^{kr} \chi_{B(k,\ell)}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$h' = \sum_{\ell=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2nq-1} \gamma^{kr} \chi_{B(k,\ell)}.$$

On the other hand, as the set  $cE_\ell$  is

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^{2n} \{(x,y) \in S; (2n)^{-1}(y+k-(\ell+1)q^{-1}) < x \leq (2n)^{-1}(y+k-\ell q^{-1}), \\ 0 \leq x < 1, 0 \leq y < 1\},$$

the set  $E_\ell \cap cE_{\ell'}$  is  $\bigcup_{k=0}^{2n-1} B(qk - \ell' + \ell, \ell)$  if  $\ell \geq \ell'$ , and is

$\bigcup_{k=1}^{2n} B(qk - \ell' + \ell, \ell)$  if  $\ell < \ell'$ . For  $s \in E_\ell \cap cE_{\ell'}$ , we have

$$h(s) \overline{h(c^{-1}s)} = \gamma^{(\ell-\ell')r},$$

and for  $s \in B(qk - \ell' + \ell, \ell)$ , we have

$$h'(s) = \gamma^{(\ell-\ell')r}.$$

This implies that

$$h'(s) = \overline{h(s)h(c^{-1}s)} \quad \text{for all } s \in S.$$

Therefore we have, for  $f \in \mathcal{H}_n$  and  $(s, t) \in G_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Ad } \pi(h)(U_c)f)(s, t) &= h(t) \overline{h(c^{-1}t)} f(s, c^{-1}t) \\ &= (\pi(h')U_c f)(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\text{Ad } \pi(h)(U_c) = \rho^p(U_c)$ , where  $c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Next, let  $c$  be the element  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  of  $F_2(n)$ . As  $e_0(c) = 1$  and  $e_i(c) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p-1$ , we have  $\sigma(U_c) = U_c$ . Furthermore we have  $\tau(U_c) = U_c$ . It follows that  $\rho(U_c) = U_c$ . On the other hand, as  $cE_\ell = E_\ell$  for  $\ell = 0, \dots, q-1$ , we have  $h(c^{-1}s) = h(s)$  for all  $s \in S$ .

This implies that  $\text{Ad } \pi(h)(U_c) = U_c$ . Hence we have  $\text{Ad } \pi(h)(U_c) = \rho^p(U_c)$ , where  $c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

As  $\tau(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$  and  $\sigma(X) = X$  for  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\rho^p(X) = \tau^{-ip}(X) = X$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . It follows that  $\text{Ad } \pi(h)(X) = \rho^p(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\{U_c; c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2n & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\}$ , the assertion of the lemma follows. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.5. For  $j = 1, \dots, p-1$ ,  $\rho^j$  is an outer automorphism.

Proof. Let  $q$  be the order of  $\tau^1$ . Notice that we have  $\rho^j(X) = \tau^{-ij}(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $j$  is not a multiple of  $q$ , then  $\rho^j$  is an outer automorphism because  $\tau^{-ij}$  is outer (c.f. [15, §8]).

Now, we assume that  $j = mq$  with  $m < r$ , where  $r = pq^{-1}$ .

Suppose that  $\rho^j$  is inner and we will show that this leads us to a contradiction. Then, since  $\rho^j(X) = X$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , there exists an element  $h$  in  $L^\infty(S, \mu)$  with  $|h| = 1$  such that  $\rho^j = \text{Ad } \pi(h)$ . Notice that we have, for  $c \in F_2(n)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{H}_n$  and  $(s, t) \in G_n$ ,

$$(\text{Ad } \pi(h)(U_c)f)(s, t) = h(t)h(c^{-1}t)f(s, c^{-1}t).$$

First, let  $c$  be the element  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  of  $F_2(n)$ . In this case, as we have  $\rho^j(U_c) = U_c$ , we have

$$h(t) = h(c^{-1}t) \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.a. } t \in S.$$

Let  $\tilde{\mu}$  be the normalized Lebesgue measure on the 1-torus  $\mathbb{T}$ . Since the map  $x \mapsto x + 2ny$  is an ergodic transformation on  $(\mathbb{T}, \tilde{\mu})$  for every irrational number  $y$ , we know that, for  $\tilde{\mu}$ -a.a.  $y \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $h_y$  is constant  $\tilde{\mu}$ -almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{T}$ , where  $h_y$  is the Borel function on  $\mathbb{T}$  such

that  $h_y(x) = h(x, y)$  for  $(x, y) \in S$ .

Next, let  $c$  be the element  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  of  $F_2(n)$ . We define an element  $h'$  of  $L^\infty(S, \mu)$  by  $h'(s) = h(s)h(c^{-1}s)$  for  $s \in S$ . It is clear that  $\rho^j(U_c) = \pi(h')U_c$ . As in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we can show that

$$h' = \sum_{\ell=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2nq-1} \gamma^{km} \chi_{B(k, \ell)} \quad \mu\text{-a.e.} \quad (2)$$

Thus, we have  $h(s) = h(c^{-1}s)$  for  $\mu$ -a.a.  $s \in B(0, \ell)$  ( $\ell = 0, \dots, q-1$ ).

Therefore there exist a  $\mu$ -conull Borel set  $S'$  of  $S$ , a  $\tilde{\mu}$ -conull Borel set  $A$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  and a Borel map  $\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  into  $C^1$  such that

- (i)  $S'_y$  is empty if  $y \notin A$ , where  $S'_y = \{x \in \mathbb{T}; (x, y) \in S'\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\tilde{\mu}(S'_y) = 1$  for all  $y \in A$ ,
- (iii)  $h(x, y) = \alpha(y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in S'$ ,
- (iv)  $h(s) = h(c^{-1}s)$  for all  $s \in B(0, \ell) \cap S'$  ( $\ell = 0, \dots, q-1$ ).

For every  $y_0 \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $\ell q^{-1} < y_0 < (\ell+1)q^{-1}$ , there exists a positive number  $\varepsilon$  such that, if we put  $D = \{(x, y) \in S, 0 \leq x < \varepsilon, ||y - y_0| < \varepsilon\}$ , then  $D$  is contained in  $B(0, \ell)$ . Define Borel subsets  $D^+$ ,  $D^-$  of  $S$  and  $D_0^+$ ,  $D_0^-$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  by the following;

$$D^+ = [0, \varepsilon) \times [y_0, y_0 + \varepsilon),$$

$$D^- = [0, \varepsilon) \times (y_0 - \varepsilon, y_0],$$

$$D_0^+ = [y_0, y_0 + \varepsilon) \cap A,$$

$$D_0^- = (y_0 - \varepsilon, y_0] \cap A.$$

We set  $F = c^{-1}(D^+ \cap S') \cap (D^- \cap S')$  and  $\bar{F} = c^{-1}D^+ \cap D^-$ . It is clear that  $\mu(\bar{F} - F) = 0$ . Then, there exists a Borel subset  $\tilde{D}_0^-$  of  $D_0^-$  with  $\tilde{\mu}(D_0^- - \tilde{D}_0^-) = 0$  such that

$$\tilde{\mu}(F_y) = \tilde{\mu}(\bar{F}_y) = \varepsilon/2n \quad \text{for all } y \in \tilde{D}_0^-.$$

For  $y \in \tilde{D}_0^-$ , we denote by  $R_y$  the set of  $y' \in D_0^+$  for which there exists



an element  $(x', y')$  of  $D^+ \cap S'$  such that  $c^{-1}(x', y') \in F \cap L_y$ , where  $L_y = \{(x, y) \in S'; 0 \leq x < \varepsilon\}$ . Let  $\beta$  be the Borel isomorphism of  $[y_0, y_0 + \varepsilon)$  onto  $\bar{F}_y = [(2n)^{-1}(-y + y_0), (2n)^{-1}(-y + y_0 + \varepsilon))$  such that  $\beta(y') = (2n)^{-1}(y' - y)$ . As we have  $\beta(R_y) = F_y$ , we have

$$\tilde{\mu}(R_y) = 2n\tilde{\mu}(F_y) = \varepsilon.$$

We fix an element  $y$  in  $\tilde{D}_0^-$ . For every  $y' \in R_y$  and  $(x', y') \in D^+ \cap S'$  with  $c^{-1}(x', y') \in F \cap L_y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(y') &= h(x', y') \\ &= h(c^{-1}(x', y')) = \alpha(y). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for every  $y' \in \tilde{D}_0^-$ , there exists an element  $y''$  in  $R_y \cap R_{y'}$ , because  $\tilde{\mu}(R_y) = \tilde{\mu}(R_{y'}) = \varepsilon$ , and we have

$$\alpha(y') = \alpha(y'') = \alpha(y).$$

Since  $R_y \cup \tilde{D}_0^-$  is a subset of  $(y_0 - \varepsilon, y_0 + \varepsilon)$  such that  $\tilde{\mu}(R_y \cup \tilde{D}_0^-) = 2\varepsilon$ ,  $\alpha$  is constant  $\tilde{\mu}$ -almost everywhere on  $(y_0 - \varepsilon, y_0 + \varepsilon)$ . It follows that  $\alpha$  is constant  $\tilde{\mu}$ -almost everywhere on  $(\ell q^{-1}, (\ell + 1)q^{-1})$ . This implies that  $h$  is constant  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on  $E_\ell$  ( $\ell = 0, \dots, q - 1$ ). Therefore there exist complex numbers  $\alpha_\ell$  ( $\ell = 0, \dots, q - 1$ ) such that

$$h = \sum_{\ell=0}^{q-1} \alpha_\ell \chi_{E_\ell} \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Thus, for a.a.  $s \in E_0$ , the value  $h'(s)$  is in  $\{\alpha_0 \bar{\alpha}_0, \alpha_0 \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \alpha_0 \bar{\alpha}_{q-1}\}$ . On the other hand, by (2), for every  $k = 0, \dots, \text{Order}(\gamma^m) - 1$ ,  $h'$  takes the value  $\gamma^{mk}$  on a subset of  $E_0$  with a positive measure. Since the order of  $\gamma^m$  is strictly greater than  $q$ , we get a contradiction.

Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. It follows from Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 that the outer period of  $\rho$  is  $p$ . Let  $i'$  be a non-negative integer such that  $i'$  and  $q$  are relatively prime and such that  $i/p = i'/q$ . Then we have  $\Gamma_p^i E_\ell = E_{\ell+i'}$ , where  $\ell + i'$  means  $\ell + i'$  modulo  $q$ . As we have  $\rho(\pi(h)) = \tau^{-i}(\pi(h))$ , we have, for  $f \in \mathcal{H}_n$  and a.a.  $(s, t) \in G_n$ ,

$$(\rho(\pi(h))f)(s, t) = h(\Gamma_p^i t)f(s, t).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\Gamma_p^i t) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{q-1} \gamma^{r\ell} \chi_{\Gamma_p^{-i} E_\ell}(t) \\ &= \gamma^{ri'} \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{q-1} \gamma^{r(\ell-i')} \chi_{E_{\ell-i'}}(t) \right) \\ &= \gamma^i h(t). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\rho(\pi(h)) = \gamma^i \pi(h)$ . Therefore the Connes' outer invariant of  $\rho$  is  $(p, \gamma^i)$ . The remainder of the assertion of the theorem is clear. Q.E.D.

## References

1. L. Auslander and C. C. Moore, Unitary representations of solvable Lie groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 62(1966).
2. M. Choda, Inner amenability and fullness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 86(1982), 663-666.
3. A. Connes, Classification of injective factors, Ann. Math., 104(1976), 73-115.
4. A. Connes, Periodic automorphisms of the hyperfinite factor of type  $II_1$ , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 39(1977), 39-66.
5. A. Connes, Sur la théorie non commutative de l'intégration, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., 725(1979), 19-143.
6. A. Connes, J. Feldman and B. Weiss, Amenable equivalence relations are generated by a single transformation, Ergodic Theory and Dyn. Syst., 1(1981), 431-450.
7. A. Connes and B. Weiss, Property T and asymptotically invariant sequences, Israel J. Math., 37(1980), 209-210.
8. J. Dixmier, Les algèbres d'opérateur dans l'espace Hilbertien, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
9. J. Dixmier,  $C^*$ -algebras, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
10. H. A. Dye, On groups of measure preserving transformations. I, Amer. J. Math., 81(1959), 119-170.
11. H. A. Dye, On groups of measure preserving transformations. II, Amer. J. Math., 85(1963), 551-576.

12. J. Feldman, P. Hahn and C. C. Moore, Orbit structure and countable sections for actions of continuous groups, *Advances in Math.*, 28(1978), 186-230.
13. J. Feldman and C. C. Moore, Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. I, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 234(1977), 289-324.
14. J. Feldman and C. C. Moore, Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. II, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 234(1977), 325-359.
15. A. Guichardet, Automorphismes et types de certaines algèbres de von Neumann, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 35(1977), 555-576.
16. P. Hahn, Haar measure for measure groupoids, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 242(1978), 1-33.
17. P. Hahn, The regular representations of measure groupoids, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 242(1978), 35-72.
18. P. Hahn, Reconstruction of a factor from measures on Takesaki's unitary equivalence relation, *J. Functional Analysis*, 31(1979), 263-271.
19. V. F. R. Jones, Actions of finite groups on the hyperfinite  $II_1$ -factor, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 28, No. 237, (1980).
20. V. F. R. Jones, Index for subfactors, *Invent. Math.*, 72(1983), 1-25.
21. W. Krieger, On constructing non  $*$ -isomorphic hyperfinite factors of type III, *J. Functional Analysis*, 6(1970), 97-109.

22. G. W. Mackey, Borel structure in groups and their duals, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 85(1957), 134-165.
23. G. W. Mackey, Ergodic theory and virtual groups, *Math. Ann.*, 166(1966), 187-207.
24. F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, On rings of operators, *Ann. Math.*, 37(1936), 116-229.
25. F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann, On rings of operators IV, *Ann. Math.*, 44(1943), 716-808.
26. A. Ocneanu, Actions of discrete amenable groups on factors, preprint.
27. M. O'uchi, Actions of semigroups on Borel spaces and von Neumann algebras of groupoids of type  $II_1$ , *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 18(1982), 661-683.
28. M. O'uchi, On a certain automorphism of a factor associated with an action of the free group on two generators, *Math. Japonica*, 28(1983), 83-95.
29. M. O'uchi, On Connes' outer invariants of certain periodic automorphisms of factors of type  $II_1$ , *Math. Japonica*, 29(1984), 153-169.
30. J. Phillips, Automorphisms of full  $II_1$  factors, with applications to factors of type III, *Duke Math. J.*, 43(1976), 375-385.
31. A. Ramsay, Virtual groups and group actions, *Advances in Math.*, 6(1971), 253-322.
32. J. Renault, A groupoid approach to  $C^*$ -algebras, *Springer Lecture Notes in Math.*, 793(1980).

33. J. Rosenblatt, Uniqueness of invariant means for measure-preserving transformations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 265(1981), 623-636.
34. K. Schmidt, Asymptotically invariant sequences and an action of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  on the 2-sphere, *Israel J. Math.*, 37(1980), 193-208.
35. Ş. Strătilă and L. Zsidó, Lectures on von Neumann algebras, Editura Academiei and Abacus Press, Romania and England, 1979.
36. M. Takesaki, On the unitary equivalence among the components of decompositions of representations of involutive Banach algebras and the associated diagonal algebras, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 15(1963), 365-393.
37. M. Takesaki, Duality for crossed products and the structure of von Neumann algebras of type III, *Acta Math.*, 131(1973), 249-310.
38. R. J. Zimmer, Strong rigidity for ergodic actions of semisimple Lie groups, *Ann. Math.*, 112(1980), 511-529.