



Title	Small submodules in a projective module and semi-T-nilpotent sets
Author(s)	Harada, Manabu
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1977, 14(2), p. 355-364
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/3535">https://doi.org/10.18910/3535</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## SMALL SUBMODULES IN A PROJECTIVE MODULE AND SEMI-T-NILPOTENT SETS

MANABU HARADA

(Received September 10, 1975)

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity element and  $(R)_I$  the ring of column-finite matrices over  $R$  with infinite degree  $I$ . N. Jacobson proposed to determine the Jacobson radical  $J((R)_I)$  of  $(R)_I$  in his book [5]. Many algebraists have been working on this problem. P.M. Patterson [8], N.E. Sexauer and J.E. Warnock [9] showed  $J((R)_I) = (J(R))_I$  if and only if  $J(R)$  is right  $T$ -nilpotent (cf. [4], Corollary 1 to Proposition 1). On the other hand, W. Liebert [6] gave an exact form of  $J((R)_I)$  if  $R$  is domain and R. Slover [10,11] and R. Ware and J. Zelmanowitz [12] obtained an exact form of elements in  $J((R)_I)$ , which involved all results above.

In this note, we shall first give all types of small submodules in a free  $R$ -module  $M = \sum_I \oplus u_\alpha R$ . Since  $J((R)_I)$  is determined by small submodules in  $M$ , we can obtain their results similarly to [12] and give another forms by means of locally, right semi- $T$ -nilpotent sets of small submodules.

Finally, we shall give a characterization of right perfect module  $P$  by means of a structure of  $(S)_I/J((S)_I)$ , where  $S = \text{End}_R(P)$ .

### 1 Jacobson radicals

Throughout we shall assume that  $R$  is a ring with identity element and every module is a unitary right  $R$ -module. Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -module and  $B$  a submodule of  $A$ .  $B$  is called *small in*  $A$  if a fact:  $A = B + T$  for a submodule  $T$  of  $A$  implies  $A = T$ . Let  $\{M_\alpha\}_I$  be a set of  $R$ -modules and  $M = \sum_I \oplus M_\alpha$ . We put  $S_M = \text{End}_R(M)$ . We assume the elements in  $S_M$  operate on  $M$  from the left side. Furthermore, we can express them as the column-summable matrices with entries in  $\text{Hom}_R(M_\sigma, M_\tau)$ . If  $M_\sigma = R$  for all  $\sigma$ ,  $S_M$  is the ring  $(R)_I$  of column-finite matrices over  $R$ .

Let  $M = \sum_I \oplus u_\alpha R$  and  $S$  a small submodule in  $M$ . Then  $S \subseteq \sum_I \oplus u_\alpha J(R)$ .

In order to determine a type of  $S$ , we shall define a set of right semi- $T$ -nilpotent, right ideals. Let  $\{A_\alpha\}_K$  be a set of right ideals in  $R$  and  $K$  an infinite set. If  $\{A_\alpha\}_K$  satisfies the following condition, we call  $\{A_\alpha\}_K$  a *right*

*semi- $T$ -nilpotent set*, (see a vanishing set of ideals in [12]).

For any countable subset  $\{A_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_K$  and  $\{a_i| \in A_{\alpha_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , there exists  $n$ , depending on  $\{a_i\}$ , such that  $a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 = 0$ .

Let  $\{b_{\sigma}\}_K$  be a set of elements in  $R$ . If  $\{b_{\sigma}R\}_K$  is a right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set, we call  $\{b_{\sigma}\}_K$  a *right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set*. If we allow  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , we call  $\{A_{\alpha}\}$  or  $\{b_{\alpha}\}$  a *right  $T$ -nilpotent set*. If  $A_{\alpha} = A$  for all  $\alpha$ , then the above concept coincides with one of the usual  $T$ -nilpotency.

If  $K$  is a finite set, we understand  $A_{\alpha} = 0$  for almost all  $\alpha$ , then  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_K$  is always a semi- $T$ -nilpotent set, but not a  $T$ -nilpotent set. Now, we shall state the theorem which is substantially due to [12].

**Theorem 1** ([12], Theorem 1). Let  $M = \sum_I \oplus u_{\alpha}R$  be a free  $R$ -module with infinite basis  $u_{\alpha}$  and  $S$  a submodule of  $M$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- 1)  $S$  is small in  $M$ .
- 2) Let  $p_{\alpha}: M \rightarrow u_{\alpha}R$  be the projection of  $M$  onto  $u_{\alpha}R$  and  $A_{\alpha} = p_{\alpha}(S)$ . Then  $A_{\alpha} \subseteq J(R)$  and  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_I$  is a right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set.
- 3) There exists a right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_I$  of right ideals in  $J(R)$  such that  $S \subseteq \sum \oplus u_{\alpha}A_{\alpha}$ .

We shall prove Theorem 1 in more general forms. First, we shall generalize the concept of right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set of right ideals. Let  $\{Q_{\alpha}\}_I$  be an infinite set of  $R$ -modules and  $\{S_{\beta} | \subseteq Q_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in K \subseteq I}$  an infinite set of  $R$ -submodules. We take a countable subset  $\{Q_{\alpha_i}\}$  of  $\{Q_{\alpha}\}_K$  and a set of homomorphisms  $f_i: Q_{\alpha_i} \rightarrow Q_{\alpha_{i+1}}$  such that  $f_i(Q_{\alpha_i}) \subseteq S_{\alpha_{i+1}}$ . If for any element  $t$  in  $Q_{\alpha_1}$  there exists  $n$ , depending on  $t$  and  $\{f_i\}$ , such that  $f_n f_{n-1} \cdots f_1(t) = 0$ , then we call  $\{f_i\}$  a locally (semi)- $T$ -nilpotent set of homomorphisms. If for any countable subset  $\{Q_{\alpha_i}\}$  and any set of homomorphisms  $f_i$  as above,  $\{f_i\}$  is always locally (semi)- $T$ -nilpotent, then we call  $\{S_{\alpha}\}_K$  a *locally (right) semi- $T$ -nilpotent set of submodules*.

The following lemma is obtained by [12].

**Lemma 1.** Let  $P$  be projective. Then  $J(S_P) = \{f \in S_P | f(P) \text{ is small in } P\}$ .

**Lemma 2** ([4], Proposition 1). Let  $P$  be  $R$ -projective and  $S$  an  $R$ -submodule of  $P$ . If  $\text{Hom}_R(P, S) \subseteq J(S_P)$ ,  $S$  is small in  $P$ , where  $S_P = \text{End}_R(P)$ .

**Proof.** We assume  $P = S + T$  for some submodule  $T$ . Then we have a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & S \cap T & \rightarrow & S & \xrightarrow{\nu} & S/S \cap T \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & \nwarrow h & & \parallel f & \\
 & & & & & P/T & \\
 & & & & & \uparrow \nu' & \\
 & & & & & P & 
 \end{array}$$

Since  $P$  is projective, we have  $h: P \rightarrow S$  such that  $vh = fv'$ . Hence,  $S = h(P) + S \cap T$  and so  $P = h(P) + T$ . On the other hand,  $h(P)$  is small in  $P$  from the assumption and Lemma 1. Therefore,  $P = T$ .

The following proposition implies  $1) \rightarrow 2) \rightarrow 3)$  in Theorem 1.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\{M_\alpha\}_I$  be a set of finitely generated  $R$ -modules and  $S$  a small submodule of  $M = \sum_I \oplus M_\alpha$ . Then  $\{S_\alpha = p_\alpha(S)\}_I$  is a right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set of submodules, where  $p_\alpha: M \rightarrow M_\alpha$  is the projection.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $I$  is a well ordered, infinite set. Let  $\{S_{\alpha_i}\}_1^\infty$  be any subset of  $\{S_\alpha\}_I$  and  $\{f_i: M_{\alpha_i} \rightarrow S_{\alpha_{i+1}}\}_1^\infty$  a given set. Let  $\{m_\alpha^{(1)}, m_\alpha^{(2)}, \dots, m_\alpha^{(n)}\}$  be a generator of  $M_\alpha$ . Then for  $m_{\alpha_i}^{(k)}$  there exists  $s_i^{(k)}$  in  $S$  such that

$$s_i^{(k)} = s_{(\beta(i,k),1)} + s_{(\beta(i,k),2)} \cdots + f_i(m_{\alpha_i}^{(k)}) + \cdots + s_{(\beta(i,k),n_k)} \quad (*),$$

where  $s_{(\beta(i,k),j)} \in S_{(\beta(i,k),j)}$ .

Hence, we may assume

$$s_i^{(k)} \in \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \oplus S_{\beta(i,j)} \quad \text{for all } k=1, 2, \dots, n \quad (**).$$

1 Special case. First, we assume that  $\{\beta(i,j)\}_{i=1}^\infty \equiv \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$  in  $(**)$  and  $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 \leq m_1 < \alpha_3 \leq m_2 < \alpha_4 \leq \dots$ . We put  $M'_{\alpha_i} = \{m_{\alpha_i} + f_i(m_{\alpha_i}) \mid m_{\alpha_i} \in M_{\alpha_i}\} \subseteq M_{\alpha_i} \oplus M_{\alpha_{i+1}}$  and  $M' = \sum_{\alpha \notin \{\alpha_i\}} M_\alpha + \sum_1^\infty M'_{\alpha_i} + S$ . We shall show  $M = M'$ . For  $m_{\alpha_1}^{(k)}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} M' \supset M'_{\alpha_1} + S &\ni m_{\alpha_1}^{(k)} + f_1(m_{\alpha_1}^{(k)}) - s_1^{(k)} \\ &= -s_1^{(1,k)} - s_2^{(1,k)} - \cdots + (m_{\alpha_1}^{(k)} - s_{\alpha_1}^{(1,k)}) - \cdots - \overset{\alpha_2}{0} - \cdots - s_{m_1}^{(1,k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $m_{\alpha_1}^{(k)} \equiv s_{\alpha_1}^{(1,k)} \pmod{M'}$  and  $s_{\alpha_1}^{(1,k)} \in S_{\alpha_1}$ . Therefore,  $(M_{\alpha_1} + M')/M' = (S_{\alpha_1} + M')/M'$ . Since  $S_{\alpha_1}$  is small in  $M_{\alpha_1}$ ,  $(S_{\alpha_1} + M')/M'$  is small in  $(M_{\alpha_1} + M')/M'$ . Accordingly,  $M_{\alpha_1} \subseteq M'$ . Repeating those arguments we have  $M = M'$ . Since  $S$  is small in  $M$ ,  $M = \sum_{\alpha \notin \{\alpha_i\}} \oplus M_\alpha \oplus \sum_1^\infty M'_{\alpha_i}$ . Hence, there exists  $n$  such that  $f_n f_{n-1} \cdots f_1(m_{\alpha_1}) = 0$  for  $m_{\alpha_1} \in M_{\alpha_1}$  (see [1], Lemma 9).

2 General case. Since  $\beta(i,j)$ 's in  $(**)$  are countable, we may assume  $\{\alpha, \beta(i,j)\} = \{1 = \alpha_1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$  after rearranging the order of indices. We shall denote the new index of  $\alpha_i$  by  $\sigma(\alpha_i)$ , namely  $\sigma(\alpha_1) = 1$ . Then

$$s_1^{(k)} (= s_{\alpha_{i_2}}^{(k)}) = s_1^{(\alpha_{i_2}, k)} + s_2^{(\alpha_{i_2}, k)} + \cdots + f_1(m_{\alpha_1}^{(k)}) + \cdots + s_{n(\alpha_{i_2}, k)}^{(\alpha_{i_2}, k)}.$$

Since  $\{f_i\}$  is infinite, there exists  $i_3 > i_2$  such that  $n(\alpha_{i_3}, k') \geq \sigma(\alpha_{i_3}) > \text{Max}_k(n(\alpha_{i_2}, k))$ . Repeating those arguments, we obtain  $\sigma(\alpha_1) = 1 < \sigma(\alpha_{i_2}) \leq \text{Max}_k(n(\alpha_{i_2}, k)) < \sigma(\alpha_{i_3}) \leq \text{Max}_{k'}(n(\alpha_{i_3}, k')) < \sigma(\alpha_{i_4}) < \dots$  and  $1 = i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \dots$ . Put  $g_{j-1} = f_{i_{j-1}} \cdots f_{i_{j-1}}: M_{\alpha_{i_{j-1}}} \rightarrow S_{\alpha_{i_j}}$ , ( $g_1 = f_1$ ) and consider a countable subset  $\{S_{\sigma(\alpha_{i_j})}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . Since  $g_{j-1}(M_{\alpha_{i_{j-1}}}) \subseteq f_{i_{j-1}}(M_{\alpha_{i_{j-1}}})$ ,  $g_{j-1}(m_{\alpha_{i_{j-1}}}^{(k)}) \in p_{\sigma(\alpha_{i_j})}((\sum_{t=1}^{n(\alpha_{i_j}, k')} \oplus M_t) \cap S)$ . Hence,  $\{S_{\sigma(\alpha_{i_j})}\}$  and  $\{g_{j-1}\}$  satisfy the conditions of Special case 1. Accordingly,  $0 = g_n g_{n-1} \cdots g_1(m_{\alpha_1}) = f_{i_{n+1}-1} \cdots f_1(m_{\alpha_1})$  for some  $n$ .

From a special type of the above proof, we have

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\{N_\alpha\}_I$  be a set of  $R$ -modules and  $\{T_\alpha | \subseteq N_\alpha\}_I$  a set of submodules. If  $\sum_I \oplus T_\alpha$  is a small submodule of  $\sum_I \oplus N_\alpha$ , then  $\{T_\alpha\}_I$  is a locally right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set of submodules.*

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\{N_\alpha\}_I$  be a set of  $R$ -modules and  $\{T_\alpha | \subseteq N_\alpha\}_I$ . We put  $N = \sum_I \oplus N_\alpha$ ,  $T = \sum_I \oplus T_\alpha$  and  $S_N = \text{End}_R(N)$ . Then  $J(S_N) \supseteq \text{Hom}_R(N, T)$  if and only if  $\{T_\alpha\}_I$  is a locally right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set of submodules and  $\text{Hom}_R(N_\alpha, T_\alpha) \subseteq J(S_{N_\alpha})$ .*

Proof. We assume  $J(S_N) \supseteq \text{Hom}_R(N, T)$ . Let  $\{N_{\alpha_i}\}$  and  $\{f_i: N_{\alpha_i} \rightarrow T_{\alpha_{i+1}}\}$  be given sets. We may assume  $\alpha_j = j$  for all  $j$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 0 \\ f_1 & 0 & & & \\ & f_2 & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & f_n & 0 \\ 0 & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

is in  $J(S_N)$  from the assumption. Hence,  $\{f_i\}$  is locally right  $T$ -nilpotent. It is clear that  $J(S_{N_\alpha}) = e_\alpha J(S_N) e_\alpha \supseteq e_\alpha \text{Hom}_R(N, T) e_\alpha = \text{Hom}_R(N_\alpha, T_\alpha)$ , where  $e_\alpha$  is the projection of  $N$  onto  $N_\alpha$ . Conversely, we shall show that  $C_{\sigma_\tau} = \text{Hom}_R(N_\tau, T_\sigma)$ 's satisfy the conditions 1)~3) in [4], Lemma 5. 1) and 3) are clear from the assumptions and 2) is clear. We note that in the proof of [4], Lemma 5 we only used a fact that  $C_{\sigma_\tau} \text{Hom}_R(N_\tau, N_\sigma) \subseteq C_{\sigma_\tau}$ . Hence,  $J(S_N) \supseteq \text{Hom}_R(N, T)$  from [4], Lemma 5.

The following corollary implies 3)  $\rightarrow$  1) in Theorem 1 and is the converse of Corollary 1 above in a restricted case.

**Corollary 1** ([4], Theorem 3). *Let  $\{P\}_I$  be a set of  $R$ -projectives and  $\{S_\alpha | \subseteq P_\alpha\}$  a set of  $R$ -submodules. Then  $\sum_I \oplus S_\alpha$  is small in  $\sum_I \oplus P$  if and only if  $\{S_\alpha\}_I$  is a locally, right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set of small submodules  $S_\alpha$  in  $P_\alpha$  (see Remark 2 below).*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\{P_\alpha\}_I$  and  $\{S_\alpha\}_I$  be as above. Then for any set  $\{Q_\beta\}_K$  such that  $Q_\beta \overset{\mathcal{P}_\beta}{\approx} P_{\alpha(\beta)} \in \{P_\alpha\}_I \sum_K \oplus \varphi_\beta^{-1}(S_{\alpha(\beta)})$  is always small in  $\sum_K \oplus Q_\beta$  if and only if  $\{S_\alpha\}_I$  is a locally right  $T$ -nilpotent set of submodules.*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $S_\alpha$  is small in  $P_\alpha$  if  $\{S_\alpha\}_I$  is locally right  $T$ -nilpotent.  $A_\alpha = \text{Hom}_R(P_\alpha, S_\alpha)$  is a right ideal in  $S_{P_\alpha}$ . Let  $f \in A_\alpha$ , then  $f' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n \in S_{P_\alpha}$  from the assumption. Hence,  $(1-f)f' = 1$  and so  $A_\alpha \subseteq J(S_{P_\alpha})$ . Therefore,  $S_\alpha$  is small in  $P_\alpha$  from Lemma 2.

**Corollary 3** ([4, 8, 9, 12, 13]). *Let  $M = \sum_I \oplus u_\alpha R$ . Then  $J(M)$  is small in  $M$  if and only if  $J(R)$  is right  $T$ -nilpotent.*

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $\{A_m\}_{m \in M_0}$  is a right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set of right ideals in  $J(R)$ , then  $\sum_{M_0} mA_m$  is a small submodule in  $M$ . Conversely, if  $M$  is projective and  $S$  is a small submodule in  $M$ , then there exists a semi- $T$ -nilpotent set  $\{A_m\}_{M_0}$  of right ideals in  $J(R)$  such that  $S \subseteq \sum_{M_0} mA_m$ , where  $M_0$  is any set of generators of  $M$  (see Remark 3).*

*Proof.* Consider an epimorphism  $\varphi: P = \sum_{M_0} \oplus u_m R \rightarrow M$ ;  $\varphi(u_m) = m$ . Since  $\sum \oplus u_m A_m$  is small in  $P$  from Corollary 2,  $\varphi(\sum \oplus u_m A_m) = \sum mA_m$  is small in  $M$  (see [4]). Conversely, we assume  $M$  is projective.  $M$  is a direct summand of  $P$  and so  $\varphi i = 1_M$  for monomorphism  $i$ .  $i(S)$  is also small in  $P$  and hence, there exists a right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set  $\{A_m\}_{M_0}$  of right ideals in  $J(R)$  such that  $i(S) \subseteq \sum \oplus u_m A_m$  from Theorem 1. Therefore,  $S = \varphi i(S) \subseteq \sum_{M_0} mA_m$ .

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $P$  be a projective  $R$ -module. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- 1)  $\{J(P)\}$  is itself a locally, right  $T$ -nilpotent set of submodules.
- 2)  $J(S_P)$  is locally right  $T$ -nilpotent.
- 3) Any set of small submodules in  $P$  is a locally, right  $T$ -nilpotent set.

*Proof.* 1)  $\rightarrow$  2).  $\text{Hom}_R(P, J(P)) \subseteq J(S_P)$  from the proof of Corollary 2. Hence,  $\text{Hom}_R(P, J(P)) = J(S_P)$  is locally right  $T$ -nilpotent.  
 2)  $\rightarrow$  3). Let  $\{S_i\}_I$  be a set of small submodules in  $P$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_R(P, S_i) \subseteq J(S_P)$  from Lemma 1. Hence,  $\{S_i\}$  is a locally, right- $T$ -nilpotent set.  
 3)  $\rightarrow$  1). First, we shall show that the union of small submodules  $\{S_\alpha\}_I$  is also small in  $P$ . Consider the natural epimorphism:  $\sum_I \oplus P_\alpha \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ ,  $P_\alpha = P$ . Then  $\sum_I \oplus S_\alpha$  is small in  $\sum_I \oplus P_\alpha$  from 3) and Corollary 1. Hence,  $\bigcup_I S_\alpha$  is small in  $P$ . It is easily seen that  $pR$  is small in  $P$ , where  $p \in J(P)$ . Therefore,  $J(P)$  is

small in  $P$  from the above.

The proof above shows that if  $J(P)$  is not small in  $P$ , then there exists a locally, right non- $T$ -nilpotent set of small submodules  $\{S\}_I$ .

Now, we shall give a general form of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 1'.** *Let  $\{P_\alpha\}_I$  be a set of  $R$ -projectives. Let  $M = \sum_I \oplus P_\alpha$  and  $S$  a submodule of  $M$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- 1)  $S$  is small in  $M$ .
- 2) Let  $p_\alpha: M \rightarrow P_\alpha$  be the projection of  $M$  onto  $P_\alpha$  and  $S_\alpha = p_\alpha(S)$ . Then  $\{S_\alpha\}_I$  is a locally, right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set of small submodules.
- 3) There exists a locally, right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set  $\{S_\alpha\}_I$  of small submodules  $S_\alpha$  in  $P_\alpha$  such that  $S \subseteq \sum_I \oplus S_\alpha$ .

Proof. 2)  $\rightarrow$  3)  $\rightarrow$  1). It is clear from Corollary 1 to Proposition 2.  
1)  $\rightarrow$  2). We shall prove it in a general form:

**Lemma 3.** *In Proposition 1, we assume every  $M_\alpha$  is a summand of a direct sum  $Q_\alpha$  of finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $M_{\alpha\beta}$ . Then the statement in Proposition 1 is valid.*

Proof. Put  $Q_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in I_\alpha} \oplus M_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $M^* = \sum_I \oplus Q_\alpha$ . Then  $M$  is a summand of  $M^*$ . Let  $i$  be the injection of  $M$  into  $M^*$ . Then  $i(S)$  is small in  $M^*$  and  $\sum_I \sum_{I_\alpha} \oplus p_{\alpha\beta}(i(S)) \supseteq \sum_I \oplus i(S_\alpha)$  and  $i(S_\alpha) \subset \sum_{I_\alpha} \oplus p_{\alpha\beta}(i(S))$ . Now,  $\{p_{\alpha\beta}(i(S))\}_{I, I_\alpha}$  is a locally semi- $T$ -nilpotent set from Proposition 1. Let  $\{M_{\alpha_i}\}_1^\infty$  and  $f_i: M_{\alpha_i} \rightarrow S_{\alpha_{i+1}}\}_1^\infty$  be given sets. Then we can extend  $f_i$  to  $f'_i: Q_{\alpha_i} \rightarrow i(S_{\alpha_{i+1}})$  by sending a direct complement to zero. We shall denote  $f'_i$  by a column-finite matrix  $(a_{\sigma\tau}^{(i)})$ , where  $a_{\sigma\tau}^{(i)} \in \text{Hom}_R(M_{\alpha_i\tau}, p_{\alpha_{i+1}\sigma}(i(S)))$ . Let  $m$  be in  $M_{\alpha_1}$  and  $i(m) = \sum_{j=1}^t m_{\alpha_1\beta_j}$ ,  $m_{\alpha_1\beta_j} \in M_{\alpha_1\beta_j}$ . Then  $f_1(m) = f'_1(i(m)) = \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_k a_{\sigma_k\beta_j}^{(1)}(m_{\alpha_1\beta_j})$ , where  $a_{\sigma_k\beta_j}^{(1)} = 0$  for almost all  $k$ .

$$f_2 f_1(m) = f'_2 f'_1(i(m)) = \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{k'} \sum_k a_{k'\sigma_k}^{(2)} a_{\sigma_k\beta_j}^{(1)}(m_{\alpha_1\beta_j})$$

Since  $p_{\alpha\beta}(i(S))$  is locally semi- $T$ -nilpotent, we obtain  $n$  such that  $f_n f_{n-1} \cdots f_1(m) = 0$  from König Graph Theorem.

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. We can correspond (not necessarily unique) any element in  $S_M = \text{End}_R(M)$  to a column-finite matrix  $(a_{\sigma\tau})$  over  $R$  by making use of generators.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $P$  be  $R$ -projective. Then  $f \in J(S_P)$  if and only if  $f$  corresponds to a matrix above such that  $\{\sum_\tau a_{\sigma\tau} R\}_\sigma$  is a right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set of right ideals in  $J(R)$  (cf. [12]).*

**Theorem 2'** *Let  $\{P_\alpha\}_I$  be a set of  $R$ -projective modules,  $P = \sum_I \bigoplus P_\alpha$  and  $S_P = \text{End}_R(P)$ . Then*

[illegible]

**Proof.** It is clear  $J(S_P) \supseteq ([P_\sigma, S_\sigma])$  from Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 to Proposition 2. Let  $f \in J(S_P)$  and  $f = (f_\sigma, f_{\sigma\tau})$ ,  $f_{\sigma\tau} \in [P_\tau, P_\sigma]$ . Then  $p_\sigma(f(P)) = \sum_\tau f_{\sigma\tau}(P_\tau) (= S_\sigma)$ . Since  $f(P)$  is small in  $P$ ,  $\{S_\sigma\}_I$  is a right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set of submodules from Theorem 1'.

$$J((R)_I) = \bigcup_{\{A_\sigma\}} \begin{pmatrix} A_1, A_1, \dots \\ A_2, A_2, \dots \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ A_\sigma, A_\sigma, \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

**Corollary 2** ([10, 11, 12]). *Let  $(a_{\sigma\tau})$  be in  $(R)_I$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- Proof.** 3)  $\rightarrow$  2) We can prove it from Konig Graph Theorem. Other implications are clear from Corollary 1.

**Corollary 3.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- $$1) \quad J((R)_I) = J_f((R)_I)$$

- 2) Every small submodule in  $M$  is contained in some  $J(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)(M)$ .  
 3) There are no non-trivial, infinite right semi- $T$ -nilpotent sets of elements in  $J(R)$  (cf. [6], Theorem 1).

Proof. 1)  $\rightarrow$  2). We assume 2) is not satisfied. Then there exists a small submodule  $S$  in  $M$  which is not contained in any  $J(\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_n)(M)$ . Hence, for a suitable sequence  $\{\alpha_i, \alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$ , there exist elements  $s_i^*$  in  $S$  such that

$$s_i^* = \dots + u_{\alpha_i} s_{\alpha_i i} + \dots, s_{\alpha_i i} \neq 0 \in J(R).$$

We define  $f$  in  $S_M$  by setting

$$f(u_i) = s_i^* \text{ and } f(u_\alpha) = 0 \text{ for } u_\alpha \notin \{u_i\}_1^\infty.$$

Since  $f(M) \subseteq S$ ,  $f \in J((R)_I) = J_f((R)_I)$  from lemma 1. Therefore,  $f(M) \subseteq J(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m)(M)$ , which is a contradiction. Other implications are clear.

REMARKS 1. If  $\{T_\alpha\}_I$  is locally, right  $T$ -nilpotent in Proposition 2,  $J(S_N) \supseteq \text{Hom}_R(N, T)$  (see the proof of Corollary 2 to Proposition 2).

2. Let  $Z$  be the ring of integers and  $p$  prime. Put  $N_\alpha = Z_{p^\infty}$  for all  $\alpha$  in Proposition 2. Then  $\text{End}_Z(Z_{p^\infty}) = \hat{Z}_p$ ; the ring of  $p$ -adic completions and  $S_N$  is the ring of column-summable matrices  $(a_{\sigma\tau})$  over  $\hat{Z}_p$ . Furthermore,  $J(S_N) = \{(a_{\sigma\tau}) \mid a_{\sigma\tau} \in p\hat{Z}_p\}$  from [1], Theorem 9 and Proposition 10. Let  $A_n = \{a \in Z_{p^\infty} \mid ap^n = 0\}$ . Then  $\{T_\alpha\}$  is a locally semi- $T$ -nilpotent if and only if  $T_\alpha = A_{n(\alpha)}$  for almost all  $\alpha$ . On the other hand,  $\text{Hom}_Z(Z_{p^\infty}, A_n) = 0$ . Hence,  $\text{Hom}_Z(N, T) = 0$  if  $T_\alpha = A_{n(\alpha)}$  for all  $\alpha$  and so  $J(S_N) \neq \bigcup_T \text{Hom}_Z(N, T)$  (cf. Theorem 2'). Furthermore, let  $A = \sum_1^\infty \oplus A_i$  and  $M = \sum_1^\infty \oplus Z_{p^\infty} \xrightarrow{\varphi} Z_{p^\infty}$  the natural epimorphism. Then  $\varphi(A) = Z_{p^\infty}$  and so  $A$  is not small in  $M$  (cf. Corollary 1 to Proposition 2). Hence, every small submodule in  $M$  is of a type  $A^{(n)} = \{m \in M \mid mp^n = 0\}$  (use the similar argument above and the proof of Proposition 1).

3. Let  $Q$  be the rationals. Then  $Q$  is an injective and flat  $Z$ -module. It is clear that  $Z$  is a small submodule in  $Q$ . Put  $A = \sum_1^\infty \oplus Q_i$ ;  $Q_i = Q$  and  $\varphi: A \rightarrow Q$  by setting  $\varphi(q_i) = (1/i)q_i$ ;  $q_i \in Q_i$ . Since  $\text{Hom}_Z(Q, Z) = 0$ ,  $\{Z\}$  is a locally  $T$ -nilpotent set of small submodules. However,  $\varphi(\sum \oplus Z) = Q$  and so  $\sum \oplus Z$  is not small in  $A$  (see Corollary 1 to Proposition 2). Furthermore,  $J(Z) = 0$  and so  $Z$  is not of a form in Corollary 4 to Proposition 2.

4. If  $R$  is a right perfect ring,  $MJ(R) = J(M)$  is a unique maximal one among small submodules in an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Hence, every set of small submodules is a locally, right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set and so almost results above are trivially valid without any assumptions: finitely generated and projective.

5. It is clear that

$$J((R)_I) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1, A_1 \cdots \\ A_2, A_2 \cdots \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ A_\sigma, A_\sigma \cdots \\ \dots\dots\dots \end{pmatrix}$$

for a right semi- $T$ -nilpotent set of right ideals  $A_\sigma$  if and only if  $A_\sigma = J(R)$  for all  $\sigma$  and  $J(R)$  is right  $T$ -nilpotent.

## 2 Perfect modules

We shall add here a characterization for a finitely generated projective module to be perfect.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $P$  be a finitely generated projective module and  $M = \sum_1^\infty \oplus P$ . Then  $P$  is perfect if and only if  $S_M/J(S_M)$  is a regular ring in the sense of Von Neumann and every idempotent in  $S_M/J(S_M)$  is lifted to  $S_M$  (cf. [3], Theorem 1).*

*Proof.* If  $P$  is perfect, the statements are obtained by [7]. Conversely, Let  $S_P = \text{End}_R(P)$ . Then  $S_M = (S_P)_I$ . Let  $\bar{e}$  be an idempotent in  $(J(S_P))_I/J(S_M)$ . We may assume  $e$  is idempotent in  $(J(S_P))_I$  from the assumption. Since  $J(S_P) = \text{Hom}_R(P, J(P))$  from Lemma 1,  $e(M) \subseteq \sum \oplus J(P) = \sum \oplus PJ(R) = MJ(R)$ . Hence,  $e(M) = e(M)J(R)$ . Therefore,  $e = 0$ . On the other hand,  $S_M/J(S_M)$  is regular and so  $J(S_M) = (J(S_P))_I$ . Accordingly,  $J(S_P)$  is right  $T$ -nilpotent and  $S_P/J(S_P)$  is semi-simple artinian from [4], Corollary to Lemma 2. Thus,  $P = \sum_1^n \oplus P_i$  and  $\text{End}_R(P_i)$  is a local ring, which implies  $P$  is perfect from [2], Theorem 6.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $R$  be a semi-simple artinian ring if and only if  $J(R)$  contains no non-trivial right semi- $T$ -nilpotent sets and  $S_M/J(S_M)$  is a regular ring, where  $M = \sum \oplus u_i R$ .*

*Proof.* If  $J(R)$  contains no right semi- $T$ -nilpotent sets, then  $J(S_M) = J_f(S_M)$ . For any elements  $(a_{\sigma\tau}), (b_{\sigma\tau})$  in  $S_M$ ,  $(a_{\sigma\tau}) \equiv (b_{\sigma\tau}) \pmod{J(S_M)}$  implies  $a_{\sigma\tau} = b_{\sigma\tau}$  for almost all  $\sigma$ . Let  $aE$  be in  $S_M$  and  $a \in R$ , where  $E$  is the identity matrix in  $S_M$ . Then there exists  $(b_{\sigma\tau})$  in  $S_M$  such that  $aE(b_{\sigma\tau})aE \equiv aE \pmod{J(S_M)}$ . Hence, there exists  $\sigma$  such that  $ab_{\sigma\sigma}a = a$  from the above. Therefore,  $R$  is regular and  $J(R) = 0$ . Since  $(R)_I = (R)_I/(J(R))_I$  is regular,  $R$  is artinian from [4], Corollary to Lemma 2.

## References

- [1] M. Harada and Y. Sai: *On categories of indecomposable modules I*, Osaka J. Math. **7** (1970), 323–344.
- [2] M. Harada: *Perfect categories I*, *ibid.*, **10** (1973), 329–341.
- [3] M. Harada and T. Ishii: *Perfect rings and the exchange property*, Osaka J. Math. **12** (1975), 483–491.
- [4] M. Harada and H. Kanbara: *On categories of projective modules*, Osaka J. Math. **8** (1971), 471–483.
- [5] N. Jacobson: *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. XXXVII, 1956.
- [6] W. Liebert: *Radical of some endomorphism rings*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **261/263** (1973), 166–170.
- [7] E. Mares: *Semi-perfect modules*, Math. Z. **83** (1963), 347–360.
- [8] E.M. Patterson: *On radicals of rings of row-finite matrices*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Set A. **66** (1962), 24–46.
- [9] N.E. Sexauer and J.E. Warnock: *The radical of the row-finite matrices over an arbitrary ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (1965), 286–295.
- [10] R. Solver: *The Jacobson radical of row-finite matrices*, J. Algebra **12** (1969), 345–359.
- [11] ———: *A note on the radical of row-finite matrices*, Glasgow Math. J. **23** (1972), 80–81.
- [12] R. Ware and J. Zelmanowitz: *The radical of the endomorphism ring of a projective module*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 15–20.
- [13] K. Motose: *On Sexauer-Warnock theorem*, J. Fac. Sci. Shinshu Univ. **5** (1970), 33–34.