



Title	On the spaces of generalized curvature tensor fields and second fundamental forms
Author(s)	Nomizu, Katsumi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1971, 8(1), p. 21-28
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/3544
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

ON THE SPACES OF GENERALIZED CURVATURE TENSOR FIELDS AND SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORMS

KATSUMI NOMIZU

(Received October 12, 1970)

For a Riemannian manifold M let $\mathfrak{A}(M)$ be the vector space of all tensor fields A of type (1,1) that satisfy the following three conditions: (1) A is symmetric as an endomorphism of each tangent space $T_x(M)$, $x \in M$; (2) Codazzi's equation holds, that is, $(\nabla_X A)Y = (\nabla_Y A)(X)$ for all vector fields X and Y ; (3) trace A is constant on M . It is hardly necessary to note that an isometric immersion of M into a space of constant sectional curvature as a hypersurface with constant mean curvature gives rise to such a tensor field A (namely, the second fundamental form), which furthermore satisfies the equation of Gauss. Now Y. Matsushima has shown (unpublished) that if M is a compact Riemannian manifold, then $\mathfrak{A}(M)$ is finite-dimensional. This is obtained as an application of the theory of vector bundle-valued harmonic forms (see [2] for other applications to the study of isometric immersions).

The purpose of the present paper is to prove two results (Theorems 1 and 2) of a similar nature. Theorem 1 generalizes the above result of Matsushima to the space of generalized second fundamental forms, which, geometrically, arise from isometric immersions of higher codimension. Theorem 2 shows finite-dimensionality of the space of generalized curvature tensor fields, which, as a matter of fact, implies the above result of Matsushima as we show in [3].

1. Forms with values in a Riemannian vector bundle

By a Riemannian vector bundle we shall mean a (real) vector bundle E over a Riemannian manifold M which has a fiber metric and a metric connection ([1], Vol. I, pp. 116-7). The Riemannian metric on M and the fiber metric in E are denoted by \langle , \rangle , whereas the Riemannian connection on M is denoted by ∇ and the metric connection in E by ∇' . If φ and ψ are sections of E and X is a vector field on M , then

$$X\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle \nabla'_X \varphi, \psi \rangle + \langle \varphi, \nabla'_X \psi \rangle.$$

We denote by $C^p(E)$ the real vector space of all E -valued p -forms on M . If $\omega \in C^p(M)$, then, for each $x \in M$, ω_x is a skew-symmetric p -linear mapping of $T_x(M) \times \cdots \times T_x(M)$ (p times) into the fiber F_x over x . For $X \in T_x(M)$, the covariant derivative $\tilde{\nabla}_X \omega$ is defined as follows: if $X_1, \dots, X_p \in T_x(M)$, then extending them to vector fields $\tilde{X}_1 \cdots \tilde{X}_p$ on M we set

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \omega)(X_1, \dots, X_p) &= \nabla'_X \cdot \omega(\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_p) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^p \omega(X_1, \dots, \nabla_X \tilde{X}_i, \dots, X_p), \end{aligned}$$

the right hand side being independent of the extensions of X_1, \dots, X_p . We define the covariant differential $\tilde{\nabla} \omega$ of ω at x as a $(p+1)$ -linear mapping

$$(X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}) \in T_x(M) \times \cdots \times T_x(M) \rightarrow (\tilde{\nabla}_{X_1} \omega)(X_2, \dots, X_{p+1}) \in F_x.$$

A differential operator $\partial: C^p(E) \rightarrow C^{p+1}(E)$ is defined essentially as an alternation of $\tilde{\nabla} \omega$. More precisely, we define

$$\partial \omega = (p+1) A(\tilde{\nabla} \omega), \quad \omega \in C^p(E),$$

where A is the alternation operator (see [1], Vol. I, p. 28; the present definition of $\tilde{\nabla} \omega$ differs from that in [1], Vol. I, p. 124, only in the order of X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}). For our applications we note the special cases:

If $\omega \in C^1(E)$, then

$$(\partial \omega)(X, Y) = (\tilde{\nabla}_X \omega) Y - (\tilde{\nabla}_Y \omega) X.$$

If $\omega \in C^2(E)$, then

$$(\partial \omega)(X, Y, Z) = (\tilde{\nabla}_X \omega)(Y, Z) + (\tilde{\nabla}_Y \omega)(Z, X) + (\tilde{\nabla}_Z \omega)(X, Y).$$

On the other hand, we define a differential operator $\partial^*: C^p(E) \rightarrow C^{p-1}(E)$ as follows. If $\omega \in C^0(E)$, then $\partial^* \omega = 0$. If $\omega \in C^p(E)$, $p \geq 1$, and $x \in M$, let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis in $T_x(M)$ and set

$$(\partial^* \omega)_x(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \omega)(e_i, X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}),$$

the right hand side being independent of the choice of $\{e_i\}$.

The Laplacian \square of E -valued forms is defined by

$$\square = \partial \partial^* + \partial^* \partial.$$

The following two basic facts are classical in the case where E is a trivial line bundle.

Proposition 1. *If M is compact, then $\square \omega = 0$ if and only if $\partial \omega = 0$ and $\partial^* \omega = 0$.*

Proposition 2. *If M is compact, then \square is elliptic so that the vector space $\{\omega \in C^p(E); \square\omega=0\}$ is finite-dimensional.*

For the proof of Proposition 1, we introduce (assuming that M is orientable) an inner product in $C^p(E)$ by

$$(\theta, \omega) = \int_M \langle \theta, \omega \rangle dv,$$

where dv is the volume element of M and $\langle \theta, \omega \rangle_x$ is the natural inner product in the space of p -forms at x , that is,

$$\langle \theta, \omega \rangle_x = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \langle \theta(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_p}), \omega(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_p}) \rangle,$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $T_x(M)$. Using this inner product we can show that ∂ and ∂^* are adjoint to each other:

$$(\partial\theta, \omega) = (\theta, \partial^*\omega) \quad \text{for } \theta \in C^{p-1}(E), \omega \in C^p(E).$$

This fact readily implies Proposition 1.

In order to prove Proposition 2 it is sufficient to check the principal part of $\square\omega$. We shall here give the detail in the case of $\omega \in C^1(E)$; the general case is essential by similar.

At $x \in M$, let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis in $T_x(M)$ and extend to them to vector fields E_1, \dots, E_n such that $\nabla_{e_i} E_j = 0$ for all i, j . Also let $X \in T_x(M)$ be extended to a vector field \tilde{X} such that $\nabla_{e_i} \tilde{X} = 0$ for all i . At x we find

$$(\partial^*\partial\omega)(X) = - \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} \omega) X + \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}} \omega) e_i$$

and

$$(\partial\partial^*\omega)(X) = - \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} \omega) e_i$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (\square\omega)(X) &= - \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} \omega)(X) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}} \omega) e_i \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} \omega) e_i. \end{aligned}$$

We have

Lemma. *For $\omega \in C^1(E)$ and for any vector fields X, Y and Z on M , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &(([\tilde{\nabla}_X, \tilde{\nabla}_Y] - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]})\omega) Z \\ &= R'(X, Y) \cdot \omega(Z) - \omega(R(X, Y)Z), \end{aligned}$$

where $R'(X, Y)$ is the curvature transformation for the connection ∇' in E defined by

$$R'(X, Y)\varphi = [\nabla'_X, \nabla'_Y]\varphi - \nabla'_{[X, Y]}\varphi, \quad \varphi \in C^0(E).$$

Using this lemma, whose proof is straightforward, and noting that $[E_i, \tilde{X}] = 0$ at x , we obtain at x

$$\begin{aligned} (\square\omega)X &= - \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} \omega) X + \sum_{i=1}^n R'(e_i, X) \cdot \omega(e_i) \\ &\quad - \omega\left(\sum_{i=1}^n R(e_i, X) e_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

(We note that $\sum_{i=1}^n R(e_i, X)e_i$ is equal to $-S(X)$, where S is the Ricci tensor of type (1,1) of M).

Now if $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_p$ are linearly independent sections of E such that $\nabla'_X \varphi_m = 0$ $X \in T_x(M)$, for all then writing $\omega = \sum_{m=1}^p \omega^m \varphi_m$ we get

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} \omega = \sum_{m=1}^p (\nabla_{E_i} \omega^m) \varphi_m + \sum_{m=1}^p \omega^m (\nabla'_E \varphi_m)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{E_i} \omega = \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^n \{(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{E_i} \omega^m) \varphi_m + \omega^m (\nabla'_{e_i} \nabla'_{E_i} \varphi_m)\}.$$

Thus the φ_m -component of the principal part of $\square\omega$ is given by $\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{E_i} \omega^m$.

This proves that \square is an elliptic operator.

2. Generalized second fundamental forms

Let N be a Riemannian vector bundle (whose connection is denoted by ∇') over a Riemannian manifold M . For the tangent bundle $T(M)$ and its dual bundle T^* , the vector bundle $\text{Hom}(N, T^* \otimes T)$ is a Riemannian vector bundle over M in the natural way. For a section A of $\text{Hom}(N, T^* \otimes T)$, which is expressed by $\xi \in N_x \rightarrow A_\xi \in T_x^* \otimes T_x$ at each $x \in M$, and for any vector field X on M , the covariant derivative $\tilde{\nabla}_X A$ is a section such that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X A)_\xi = \nabla_X(A_\xi) - A_{\nabla'_X \xi},$$

where ξ is any section of N . We shall call A a *generalized second fundamental form* if A_ξ is a symmetric endomorphism of $T_x(M)$ for every $\xi \in N_x$, $x \in M$, and if A satisfies Codazzi's equation, that is,

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X A)_\xi Y = (\tilde{\nabla}_Y A)_\xi X$$

for every section ξ of N and for all vector fields X and Y on M . Actually, each side of the equation makes sense for $\xi \in N_x$ and $X, Y \in T_x(M)$. Geometrically, an isometric immersion of M into a space of constant sectional curvature gives

rise to the normal bundle N and the second fundamental form A which satisfies the equations of Gauss and Codazzi (for example, see [1], Vol. II, p. 14, p. 23–25).

For a section A of $\text{Hom}(N, T^* \otimes T)$ we define the *mean curvature section* η of A as follows. If $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_p\}$ is an orthonormal basis of N_x , $x \in M$, then

$$\eta_x = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^p (\text{trace } A_{\xi_i}) \xi_i, \quad n = \dim M,$$

We say that A has *constant mean curvature* if the mean curvature section η of A is parallel with respect to the connection ∇' in N .

For a Riemannian vector bundle N over M , let $\mathfrak{A}(M, N)$ be the set of generalized second fundamental forms A with constant mean curvature. It is a real vector space in the natural fashion. We have

Theorem 1. *If M is compact, then $\mathfrak{A}(M, N)$ is finite-dimensional.*

Proof. We consider one more vector bundle $E = \text{Hom}(N, T)$, which is a Riemannian vector bundle over M in the natural way. For a section φ of E and a vector field X on M , the covariant derivative $\nabla_X^* \varphi$ is defined by

$$(\nabla_X^* \varphi)\xi = \nabla_X(\varphi(\xi)) - \varphi(\nabla_X' \xi),$$

where ξ is any section of N .

We consider $A \in \mathfrak{A}(M, N)$, which is a section of $\text{Hom}(N, T^* \otimes T)$, as an E -valued 1-form ω as follows: for any $X \in T_x(M)$, $x \in M$, $\omega(X)$ is the element of $\text{Hom}(N_x, T_x)$ such that

$$\omega(X) \cdot \xi = A_{\xi}(X).$$

The covariant derivative $\tilde{\nabla}_X \omega$ of ω is the E -valued 1-form such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \omega)(Y) \cdot \xi &= (\nabla_X^*(\omega(Y)))\xi - \omega(\nabla_X Y)\xi \\ &= \nabla_X(\omega(Y) \cdot \xi) - \omega(Y)(\nabla_X' \xi) - \omega(\nabla_X Y)\xi \\ &= \nabla_X(A_{\xi} Y) - A_{\nabla_X' \xi} Y - A_{\xi}(\nabla_X Y) \\ &= (\nabla_X A_{\xi}) Y - A_{\nabla_X' \xi} Y \\ &= (\tilde{\nabla}_X A)_{\xi} Y, \end{aligned}$$

where Y is a vector field on M and ξ is a section of N . Thus Codazzi's equation for A is equivalent to

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \omega) Y = (\tilde{\nabla}_Y \omega) X,$$

that is,

$$\partial \omega = 0.$$

On the other hand, $\partial^* \omega$ is an E -valued 0-form (i.e. a section of E) defined by

$$(\partial^*\omega)_x = -\sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}\omega)(e_i), \quad x \in M,$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $T_x(M)$. For any $\xi \in N_x$, we extend it to a section of N such that $\nabla'_X \xi = 0$ for every $X \in T_x(M)$. Then $(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} A)_\xi = \nabla_{e_i}(A_\xi)$ at x . Also, Codazzi's equation in this case gives $(\nabla_{e_i} A_\xi)Y = (\nabla_Y A_\xi)e_i$ for any $Y \in T_x(M)$. Also noting that $\nabla_{e_i} A_\xi$ is symmetric together with A_ξ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle (\partial^*\omega) \cdot \xi, Y \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}\omega)(e_i) \cdot \xi, Y \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} A)_\xi e_i, Y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle (\nabla_{e_i} A_\xi) e_i, Y \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, (\nabla_{e_i} A_\xi) Y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, (\nabla_Y A_\xi) e_i \rangle \\ &= \text{trace } (\nabla_Y A_\xi) = Y \cdot \text{trace } A_\xi. \end{aligned}$$

As in the following lemma, this is 0 if and only if A has constant mean curvature.

Lemma. *If A has constant mean curvature, then, for a section ξ of N of unit length such that $\nabla'_X \xi = 0$ for every $X \in T_x(M)$, we have $X \cdot \text{trace } A_\xi = 0$ for every $X \in T_x(M)$. The converse also holds.*

To prove the lemma, let $\xi_1 = \xi$ and choose sections ξ_2, \dots, ξ_p such that they are orthonormal at each point and $\nabla'_X \xi_i = 0$ for every $X \in T_x(M)$. Then

$$\nabla'_X \eta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X \cdot (\text{trace } A_{\xi_i}) \xi_i \quad \text{at } x.$$

Thus $\nabla'_X \eta = 0$ at x if and only if $X \cdot \text{trace } A_{\xi_i} = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq p$.

We have thus shown that, for the E -valued 1-form ω corresponding to a generalized second fundamental form A , $\partial^*\omega = 0$ if and only if A has constant mean curvature.

The mapping $\omega \rightarrow A$ is clearly a linear isomorphism of $\mathfrak{A}(M, N)$ into the vector space $\{\omega \in C^1(E); \square\omega = 0\}$. By Proposition 2 we see that $\mathfrak{A}(M, N)$ is finite-dimensional. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Generalized curvature tensor fields

Let M be a Riemannian manifold. A tensor field L of type $(1, 3)$ defines at each $x \in M$ a bilinear mapping

$$(X, Y) \in T_x(M) \times T_x(M) \rightarrow L(X, Y) \in \text{Hom}(T_x(M), T_x(M)).$$

We say that L is a *generalized curvature tensor field* if it has the following properties for all vector fields X, Y and Z :

- (1) $L(Y, X) = -L(X, Y)$;
- (2) $L(X, Y)$ is a skew-symmetric endomorphism of $T_x(M)$;
- (3) $\mathfrak{S}L(X, Y)Z = 0$, where \mathfrak{S} denotes the cyclic sum over X, Y , and Z (first Bianchi identity).

We shall say that L is proper if it satisfies the second Bianchi identity: $\mathfrak{S}(\nabla_X L)(Y, Z) = 0$.

For a generalized curvature tensor field L , we define its Ricci tensor field $K = K_L$ by

$$K(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n L(X, e_i)e_i \quad \text{for } X \in T_x(M),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $T_x(M)$. It follows from the first Bianchi identity that K is then a symmetric endomorphism of $T_x(M)$.

We shall denote by $\mathfrak{L}(M)$ the vector space of all proper generalized curvature tensor fields L whose Ricci tensor fields K satisfy Codazzi's equation: $(\nabla_X K)Y = (\nabla_Y K)X$ for all vector fields X and Y .

We shall prove

Theorem 2. *If M is a compact Riemannian manifold, then $\mathfrak{L}(M)$ is finite-dimensional.*

Proof. Let $O(M)$ be the bundle of orthonormal frames of M . The structure group $O(n)$ acts on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ of all skew-symmetric matrices of degree n through its adjoint representation. Let E be the vector bundle associated to $O(M)$ with the standard fiber $\mathfrak{o}(n)$. The Riemannian connection in $O(M)$ and the $ad(O(n))$ -invariant inner product in $\mathfrak{o}(n)$ make E a Riemannian vector bundle over M . For each $x \in M$, the fiber over x can be considered as the vector space of all skew-symmetric endomorphisms of $T_x(M)$.

This being said, we consider a generalized curvature tensor field L as an E -valued 2-form: for $X, Y \in T_x(M)$, $L(X, Y)$ is an element of the fiber of E over x . In order to prove Theorem 2 we shall show that $\partial L = 0$ and $\partial^* L = 0$ for $L \in \mathfrak{L}(M)$. We note that for the natural connection in E the covariant derivative of a section φ of E is nothing but the covariant derivative with respect to the Riemannian connection ∇ on M of the corresponding tensor field of type $(1, 1)$. With this remark, we have

$$(\partial L)(X, Y, Z) = \mathfrak{S}(\nabla_X L)(Y, Z).$$

Hence $\partial L = 0$ if and only if L is proper.

As for $\partial^* L$, we have at $x \in M$

$$(\partial^* L)(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} L)(e_i, X), \quad X \in T_x(M),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $T_x(M)$. For the Ricci tensor field K of L we have

$$(\nabla_X K)Y = \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_X L)(Y, e_i)e_i.$$

If L is proper, this is equal to

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_Y L)(e_i, X)e_i - \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} L)(X, Y)e_i.$$

The first term is equal to $(\nabla_Y K)X$. Since $\nabla_{e_i} L$ satisfies the first Bianchi identity, the second term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} L)(Y, e_i)X + \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i} L)(e_i, X)Y \\ &= (\partial^* L)(Y)X - (\partial^* L)(X)Y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$(\partial^* L)(X)Y - (\partial^* L)(Y)X = (\nabla_X K)Y - (\nabla_Y K)X.$$

If K satisfies Codazzi's equation, the E -valued 1-form $l = \partial^* L$ satisfies

$$(*) \quad l(X)Y = l(Y)X.$$

We shall show that $l=0$. (Conversely, if $l=0$, then K obviously satisfies Codazzi's equation.) Using skew-symmetry of $l(X)$ and $l(Y)$ and the property (*), we get

$$\langle l(Y)Y, X \rangle = -\langle Y, l(Y)X \rangle = -\langle Y, l(X)Y \rangle = 0.$$

Thus $l(Y)Y=0$ for all $Y \in T_x(M)$. By polarization we get $l(X)Y + l(Y)X=0$. This together with (*) implies $l(X)Y=0$ for all X and Y , that is, $l=0$. Hence $\partial^* L=0$ for $L \in \mathfrak{L}(M)$. We have thus proved Theorem 2.

The significance of Codazzi's equation for the Ricci tensor field K as well as the relationship of Theorem 2 to the result of Matsushima (Theorem 1 for the case where N is a trivial line bundle) are discussed in [3].

BROWN UNIVERSITY

Bibliography

- [1] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu: *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Volumes I and II, Wiley-Interscience, 1963, 1969.
- [2] Y. Matsushima: *Vector bundle valued harmonic forms and immersions of Riemannian manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. **8** (1971), 1-13.
- [3] K. Nomizu: *On the decomposition of generalized curvature tensor fields*, to appear.