

Title	Proper Dupin hypersurfaces generated by symmetric submanifolds
Author(s)	Takeuchi, Masaru
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1991, 28(1), p. 153-161
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/3649">https://doi.org/10.18910/3649</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## PROPER DUPIN HYPERSURFACES GENERATED BY SYMMETRIC SUBMANIFOLDS

Dedicated to Professor Tadashi Nagano on his sixtieth birthday

MASARU TAKEUCHI

(Received March 6, 1990)

### Introduction

A connected oriented hypersurface  $M$  of the space form  $\bar{M}=E^n$ ,  $S^n$  or  $H^n$  is called a *Dupin hypersurface*, if for any curvature submanifold  $S$  of  $M$  the corresponding principal curvature  $\lambda$  is constant along  $S$ . Here by a *curvature submanifold* we mean a connected submanifold  $S$  with a smooth function  $\lambda$  on  $S$  such that for each point  $x \in S$ ,  $\lambda(x)$  is a principal curvature of  $M$  at  $x$  and  $T_x S$  is equal to the principal subspace in  $T_x M$  corresponding to  $\lambda(x)$ . A Dupin hypersurface is said to be *proper*, if all principal curvatures have locally constant multiplicities. A connected oriented hypersurface of  $\bar{M}$  is called an *isoparametric hypersurface*, if all principal curvatures are locally constant. Obviously an isoparametric hypersurface is a proper Dupin hypersurface. Another example of a Dupin hypersurface (Pinkall [6]) is an  $\varepsilon$ -tube  $M^\varepsilon$  around a symmetric submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$  of codimension greater than 1, which is said to be *generated by  $M$* . Recall that a connected submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$  is a *symmetric submanifold*, if for each point  $x \in M$  there is an involutive isometry  $\sigma$  of  $\bar{M}$  leaving  $M$  and  $x$  invariant such that  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of  $(\sigma_*)_x$  is equal to  $T_x M$ . The most simple example is the tube  $M^\varepsilon$  around a complete totally geodesic submanifold  $M$ . This is a complete isoparametric hypersurface with two principal curvatures, which is further *homogeneous* in the sense that the group

$$\text{Aut}(M^\varepsilon) = \{\phi \in I(\bar{M}); \phi(M^\varepsilon) = M^\varepsilon\}$$

acts transitively on  $M^\varepsilon$ . Here  $I(\bar{M})$  denotes the group of isometries of  $\bar{M}$ . In this note we will determine all the symmetric submanifolds whose tube is a proper Dupin hypersurface, in the following theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let  $M$  be a non-totally geodesic symmetric submanifold of a space form  $\bar{M}$  of codimension greater than 1. Then the tube  $M^\varepsilon$  around  $M$  is a proper Dupin hypersurface if and only if either*

- (i)  *$M$  is a complete extrinsic sphere of  $\bar{M}$  (see Section 2 for definition) of codimen-*

sion greater than 1; or

(ii)  $M$  is one of the following symmetric submanifolds of  $S^n$ :

(a) the projective plane  $P_2(\mathbf{F}) \subset S^{3d+1}$ ,  $d = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{F}$ , over  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}$ , quaternions  $\mathbf{H}$  or octonions  $\mathbf{O}$ ;

(b) the complex quadric  $Q_3(\mathbf{C}) \subset S^9$ ;

(c) the Lie quadric  $Q^{m+1} \subset S^{2m+1}$ ,  $m \geq 2$ ;

(d) the unitary symplectic group  $Sp(2) \subset S^{15}$ .

(Explicit embeddings of these spaces will be given in Section 2.) In case (i),  $M^e$  is a Dupin cyclide, i.e., a proper Dupin hypersurface with two principal curvatures, but it is not an isoparametric hypersurface. In case (ii),  $M^e$  is a homogeneous isoparametric hypersurface with three or four principal curvatures, and it is an irreducible Dupin hypersurface in the sense of Pinkall [6].

### 1. Principal curvatures of tubes

Let  $M$  be a connected submanifold of a space form  $\bar{M}$  of codimension  $q > 1$ ,  $NM$  and  $U(NM)$  the normal bundle and the unit normal bundle of  $M$ , respectively. Denote by  $A_\xi$  the shape operator of  $M$ . Suppose that the map  $f^\varepsilon: U(NM) \rightarrow \bar{M}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , defined by

$$f^\varepsilon(u) = \text{Exp}(\varepsilon u) \quad \text{for } u \in U(NM)$$

is an embedding, and set  $M^e = f^\varepsilon(U(NM)) \subset \bar{M}$ . Then (cf. Cecil-Ryan [1]) we have the following

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$  be the eigenvalues of  $A_u$ ,  $u \in U(NM)$ , with multiplicities  $m_1, \dots, m_p$ , respectively. Then the principal curvatures of  $M^e$  at  $f^\varepsilon(u)$  with respect to the outward unit normal are given as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i \varepsilon}, 1 \leq i \leq p, \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } \bar{M} = E^n, \\ & \frac{\sin \varepsilon + \lambda_i \cos \varepsilon}{\cos \varepsilon - \lambda_i \sin \varepsilon}, 1 \leq i \leq p, \quad \text{and} \quad -\cot \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \bar{M} = S^n, \\ & \frac{-\sinh \varepsilon + \lambda_i \cosh \varepsilon}{\cosh \varepsilon - \lambda_i \sinh \varepsilon}, 1 \leq i \leq p, \quad \text{and} \quad -\coth \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \bar{M} = H^n, \end{aligned}$$

with multiplicities  $m_1, \dots, m_p, q-1$ , respectively.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Suppose that  $M^e$  is a proper Dupin hypersurface. Then, for each point  $x \in M$ , the number of eigenvalues of  $A_\xi$ ,  $\xi \in N_x M - \{0\}$ , is a constant independent of  $\xi$ .*

In what follows in this section, let  $T$  and  $N$  be finite dimensional real vector spaces with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , and  $A: N \ni \xi \mapsto A_\xi \in \text{Sym}(T)$  a linear map

from  $N$  to the space  $\text{Sym}(T)$  of symmetric endomorphisms of  $T$  satisfying

- (1.1) the number  $\nu(\xi)$  of eigenvalues of  $A_\xi$ ,  $\xi \in N - \{0\}$ , is a constant  $p$  independent of  $\xi$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *Assume that  $N$  is an orthogonal sum:*

$$N = N_1 \oplus N_2 \quad \text{with} \quad N_1 \neq \{0\}, \dim N_2 = 1,$$

and there are a linear map  $A^{(1)}: N_1 \rightarrow \text{Sym}(T)$  and a vector  $\eta_2 \in N_2$  such that

$$A_{\xi_1 + \xi_2} = A_{\xi_1}^{(1)} + \langle \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle I \quad \text{for any} \quad \xi_1 \in N_1, \xi_2 \in N_2.$$

Then there exists a vector  $\eta_1 \in N_1$  such that

$$A_{\xi_1}^{(1)} = \langle \xi_1, \eta_1 \rangle I \quad \text{for any} \quad \xi_1 \in N_1.$$

Proof. For any  $\xi_2 \in N_2$ ,  $\xi_2 \neq 0$ , we have  $A_{\xi_2} = \langle \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle I$ . Thus one has  $p=1$ . Hence, for any  $\xi_1 \in N_1$ ,  $\xi_1 \neq 0$ ,  $A_{\xi_1}^{(1)} = A_{\xi_1}$  is a scalar operator on  $T$ . Now the linearity of  $A^{(1)}$  implies the existence of  $\eta_1$  above. q.e.d.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Assume that  $N$  is an orthogonal sum as in Lemma 1.3, and also  $T$  is an orthogonal sum:*

$$T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \quad \text{with} \quad T_1 \neq \{0\}, T_2 \neq \{0\}.$$

Furthermore assume that there are a linear map  $A^{(1)}: N_1 \rightarrow \text{Sym}(T_1)$  and different vectors  $\eta_2, \eta'_2 \in N_2$  such that

$$A_{\xi_1 + \xi_2} = (A_{\xi_1}^{(1)} + \langle \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle I_{T_1}) \oplus \langle \xi_2, \eta'_2 \rangle I_{T_2} \quad \text{for any} \quad \xi_1 \in N_1, \xi_2 \in N_2.$$

Then  $A^{(1)} = 0$ .

Proof. For any  $\xi_2 \in N_2$ ,  $\xi_2 \neq 0$ , we have

$$A_{\xi_2} = \langle \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle I_{T_1} \oplus \langle \xi_2, \eta'_2 \rangle I_{T_2},$$

with  $\langle \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle \neq \langle \xi_2, \eta'_2 \rangle$ , and hence  $p=2$ . We fix an arbitrary  $\xi_1 \in N_1$ ,  $\xi_1 \neq 0$ .

First we assume that the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , of  $A_{\xi_1}^{(1)}$  are all nonzero. Then, for  $\xi = \alpha \xi_1 + \xi_2$  with  $\xi_2 \in N_2$ ,  $\xi_2 \neq 0$ , and sufficiently small nonzero  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ , the numbers  $\alpha \lambda_1 + \langle \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle, \dots, \alpha \lambda_k + \langle \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle, \langle \xi_2, \eta'_2 \rangle$  are different each other, and hence  $\nu(\xi) = k + 1$ . Thus, by (1.1) we get  $k=1$ , i.e.,  $A_{\xi_1}^{(1)} = \lambda_1 I_{T_1}$ ,  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ . Take  $\xi_2 \in N_2$ ,  $\xi_2 \neq 0$ , and  $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$  with

$$\beta \lambda_1 + \langle \xi_2, \eta_2 \rangle = \langle \xi_2, \eta'_2 \rangle.$$

Then, for  $\xi = \beta \xi_1 + \xi_2 \neq 0$ , we have  $A_\xi = \langle \xi_2, \eta'_2 \rangle I$ , and hence  $\nu(\xi) = 1$ . This is a contradiction to  $p=2$ .

We next assume that  $A_{\xi_1}^{(1)}$  has eigenvalue 0, together with possible nonzero

eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, k \geq 0$ . Then, for  $\xi = \alpha\xi_1 + \xi_2$  with  $\xi_2 \in N_2, \xi_2 \neq 0$ , and sufficiently small  $\alpha \neq 0$ , one has  $\nu(\xi) = k + 2$ . Thus, by (1.1) we get  $k = 0$ , i.e.,  $A_{\xi_1}^{(1)} = 0$ .

Since  $\xi_1 \in N_1, \xi_1 \neq 0$ , is arbitrary, we obtain  $A^{(1)} = 0$ . q.e.d.

**Lemma 1.5.** *Assume that both  $N$  and  $T$  have orthogonal decompositions:*

$$\begin{aligned} N &= N_1 \oplus N_2 && \text{with } N_1 \neq \{0\}, N_2 \neq \{0\}, \\ T &= T_1 \oplus T_2 && \text{with } T_1 \neq \{0\}, T_2 \neq \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and there are linear maps  $A^{(1)}: N_1 \rightarrow \text{Sym}(T_1)$  and  $A^{(2)}: N_2 \rightarrow \text{Sym}(T_2)$  such that

$$A_{\xi_1 + \xi_2} = A_{\xi_1}^{(1)} \oplus A_{\xi_2}^{(2)} \quad \text{for any } \xi_1 \in N_1, \xi_2 \in N_2.$$

Then  $A = 0$ .

**Proof.** We fix arbitrary  $\xi_1 \in N_1, \xi_1 \neq 0$ , and  $\xi_2 \in N_2, \xi_2 \neq 0$ .

Case (a): Both  $A_{\xi_1}^{(1)}$  and  $A_{\xi_2}^{(2)}$  have only nonzero eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, k \geq 1$ , and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l, l \geq 1$ , respectively. Then, for  $\xi = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$  with sufficiently small  $\alpha \neq 0$ , one has  $\nu(\xi) = k + l$ . On the other hand, one has  $\nu(\xi_1) = k + 1$ . Thus, by (1.1) we get  $l = 1$ . In the same way we get  $k = 1$ . It follows that  $p = 2$  and  $A_{\xi_1}^{(1)} = \lambda_1 I_{T_1}, A_{\xi_2}^{(2)} = \mu_1 I_{T_2}$  with  $\lambda_1, \mu_1 \neq 0$ . Now, for  $\xi = \mu_1 \xi_1 + \lambda_1 \xi_2$ , we get  $A_{\xi} = (\lambda_1 \mu_1) I$ . This is a contradiction to  $p = 2$ .

Case (b): One of the  $A_{\xi_i}^{(i)}$ , say  $A_{\xi_1}^{(1)}$ , has only nonzero eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, k \geq 1$ , and the other  $A_{\xi_2}^{(2)}$  has eigenvalue 0 together with possible nonzero eigenvalues  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l, l \geq 0$ . Then, for  $\xi = \alpha\xi_1 + \xi_2$  with sufficiently small  $\alpha \neq 0$ , one has  $\nu(\xi) = k + l + 1$ . Together with  $\nu(\xi_2) = l + 1$ , we get  $k = 0$ . This is a contradiction to  $k \geq 1$ .

Case (c): Both  $A_{\xi_1}^{(1)}$  and  $A_{\xi_2}^{(2)}$  have eigenvalue 0, together with possible nonzero eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, k \geq 0$ , and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l, l \geq 0$ , respectively. Then, for  $\xi = \xi_1 + \alpha\xi_2$  with sufficiently small  $\alpha \neq 0$ , one has  $\nu(\xi) = k + l + 1$ . Together with  $\nu(\xi_1) = k + 1, \nu(\xi_2) = l + 1$ , we get  $k = l = 0$ , i.e.,  $A_{\xi_1}^{(1)} = 0$  and  $A_{\xi_2}^{(2)} = 0$ .

Thus we conclude that  $A = 0$ . q.e.d.

## 2. Proof of Theorem

We first explain some terminologies. The Riemannian metric of  $\bar{M}$  will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . A connected submanifold  $M$  of  $\bar{M}$  is called an *extrinsic sphere*, if the mean curvature normal  $\eta$  of  $M$  is nonzero and parallel (with respect to the normal connection in  $NM$ ), and moreover each shape operator  $A_{\xi}$  is the scalar operator  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle I$ . A submanifold of a space form  $\bar{M}$  is said to be *strongly full*, if it is full in  $\bar{M}$ , and further it is not contained in any extrinsic sphere of  $\bar{M}$  of codimension 1.

Let now  $M$  be a symmetric submanifold as in Theorem, and suppose that  $M^*$  is a proper Dupin hypersurface.

First we assume that  $M$  is not full in  $\bar{M}$ . Then there exists a complete totally geodesic hypersurface  $\bar{M}^{n-1}$  of  $\bar{M}$  with  $M \subset \bar{M}^{n-1}$ . Applying Lemma 1.3 to the shape operator  $A^{(1)}$  of  $M \subset \bar{M}^{n-1}$  and  $\eta_2=0$ , we see that  $A_{\xi_1}^{(1)} = \langle \xi_1, \eta_1 \rangle I$  for any normal vector  $\xi_1$  to  $M \subset \bar{M}^{n-1}$ . Here  $\eta_1$  is the mean curvature normal of  $M \subset \bar{M}^{n-1}$ , which is parallel since the second fundamental form of  $M \subset \bar{M}$  is parallel (cf. Naitoh-Takeuchi [4]). Thus  $M$  is a complete totally geodesic submanifold or a complete extrinsic sphere of  $\bar{M}$ . Since the first case is excluded from the assumption, we obtain the case (i) in Theorem. In this case, the principal curvatures of  $M^e$  at  $f^e(u)$ ,  $u \in U(NM)$ , are calculated by Lemma 1.1 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\langle u, \eta \rangle}{1 - \langle u, \eta \rangle \varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } \bar{M} = E^n, \\ & \frac{\sin \varepsilon + \langle u, \eta \rangle \cos \varepsilon}{\cos \varepsilon - \langle u, \eta \rangle \sin \varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad -\cot \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \bar{M} = S^n, \\ & \frac{-\sinh \varepsilon + \langle u, \eta \rangle \cosh \varepsilon}{\cosh \varepsilon - \langle u, \eta \rangle \sinh \varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad -\coth \varepsilon \quad \text{for } \bar{M} = H^n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta$  is the nonzero mean curvature normal of  $M \subset \bar{M}$ . Thus  $M^e$  is a non-isoparametric Dupin cyclide in  $\bar{M}$ .

Next we assume that  $M$  is full, but not strongly full. Then there exists a complete extrinsic sphere  $\bar{M}^{n-1}$  of  $\bar{M}$  of codimension 1 such that  $M$  is a strongly full submanifold in  $\bar{M}^{n-1}$ . Applying Lemma 1.3 to the shape operator  $A^{(1)}$  of  $M \subset \bar{M}^{n-1}$  and the mean curvature normal  $\eta_2$  of  $\bar{M}^{n-1} \subset \bar{M}$ , we see that  $M$  is a totally geodesic submanifold or an extrinsic sphere of  $\bar{M}^{n-1}$ . This is a contradiction to that  $M$  is strongly full in  $\bar{M}^{n-1}$ .

Thus it remains to determine  $M$  in the case where  $M$  is a strongly full symmetric submanifold of  $\bar{M}$ . We will use the classification of such submanifolds in Takeuchi [10] (see also Naitoh-Takeuchi [4]).

(I) Case  $\bar{M} = E^n$ : One has  $M = E^{n_1} \times M' \subset E^{n_1} \times S^{n_2}(r) \subset E^{n_1} \times E^{n_2+1} = E^n$ ,  $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$ ,  $n_1 + n_2 = n - 1$ , where  $M'$  is a symmetric submanifold of the hypersphere  $S^{n_2}(r)$  with radius  $r > 0$  in  $E^{n_2+1}$  such that  $M' \subset E^{n_2+1}$  is substantial. Applying Lemma 1.4 to the shape operator  $A^{(1)}$  of  $M' \subset S^{n_2}(r)$ , we see that  $M'$  is totally geodesic in  $S^{n_2}(r)$ . This is a contradiction to that  $M' \subset E^{n_2+1}$  is substantial.

(II) Case  $\bar{M} = H^n$ : We regard  $H^n$  as

$$H^n = \{(x_i) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}; -x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = -1, x_1 > 0\}.$$

Then  $M = H^{n_1}(r_1) \times M' \subset H^{n_1}(r_1) \times S^{n_2}(r_2) \subset H^n$ ,  $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$ ,  $n_1 + n_2 = n - 1$ ,  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ ,  $r_1^2 - r_2^2 = 1$ , where

$$H^{n_1}(r_1) = \{(x_i) \in \mathbf{R}^{n_1+1}; -x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n_1+1}^2 = -r_1^2, x_1 > 0\},$$

and  $M'$  is a symmetric submanifold of  $S^{n_2}(r_2) \subset \mathbf{R}^{n_2+1}$  such that  $M' \subset \mathbf{R}^{n_2+1}$  is

substantial. In the same way as in (I), we see that  $M'$  is totally geodesic in  $S^{n_2}(r_2)$ , which leads to a contradiction.

(III) Case  $\bar{M}=S^n$ : In this case,  $M$  is a symmetric  $R$ -space and the inclusion  $M \subset S^n$  is induced from the substantial standard embedding  $M \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  (Ferus [2]). If  $M$  is a reducible symmetric  $R$ -space, one has  $M=M_1 \times M_2 \subset S^{n_1}(r_1) \times S^{n_2}(r_2) \subset S^n$  with  $n_1, n_2 \geq 1, n_1+n_2=n-1, r_1, r_2 > 0, r_1^2+r_2^2=1$ . Let one of the  $M_i$ , say  $M_1$ , be equal to  $S^{n_i}(r_i)$ . Then, applying Lemma 1.4 to the shape operator  $A^{(1)}$  of  $M_2 \subset S^{n_2}(r_2)$ , we see that  $M_2$  is totally geodesic in  $S^{n_2}(r_2)$ . This is a contradiction to that  $M \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is substantial. Otherwise, one has  $\dim M_1 < n_1$  and  $\dim M_2 < n_2$ . Since the shape operator of  $M \subset S^{n_1}(r_1) \times S^{n_2}(r_2)$  also satisfies (1.1), we can apply Lemma 1.5 to the shape operators  $A^{(i)}$  of  $M_i \subset S^{n_i}(r_i)$  to see that both  $M_i$  are totally geodesic in  $S^{n_i}(r_i)$ . This is also a contradiction to that  $M \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  is substantial.

Thus it remains to consider an irreducible symmetric  $R$ -space  $M$ . For this we recall the construction of the standard embedding of  $M$  (cf. Ferus [2], Takeuchi [10], [11]). Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1, \quad [\mathfrak{g}_p, \mathfrak{g}_q] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{p+q}$$

be a simple symmetric graded Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{R}$ , with a Cartan involution  $\tau$  satisfying  $\tau \mathfrak{g}_p = \mathfrak{g}_{-p}, -1 \leq p \leq 1$ . The characteristic element  $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  is the unique element with

$$\mathfrak{g}_p = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}; [e, x] = px\}, \quad -1 \leq p \leq 1.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0 \quad \text{with } e \in \mathfrak{p}_0$$

be the Cartan decompositions associated to  $\tau$ . We denote by  $K$  the compact connected subgroup of  $GL(\mathfrak{p})$  generated by  $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{k}$ , and set

$$K_0 = \{k \in K; k \cdot e = e\}.$$

Then we have identifications:  $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie } K$  and  $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \text{Lie } K_0$ . Making use of the Killing form  $B$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we define a  $K$ -invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$  by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{2 \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-1}} B(x, y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathfrak{p},$$

to identify  $\mathfrak{p}$  with the euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}, n = \dim \mathfrak{p} - 1$ . Then  $e$  is in the unit sphere  $S^n$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ , and

$$M = K/K_0 = K \cdot e$$

gives the required embedding. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal abelian subalgebra in  $\mathfrak{p}$  including  $e$ , and set  $r = \dim \mathfrak{a}$ . Then one has  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}_0$ . Let  $W = N_K(\mathfrak{a})/Z_K(\mathfrak{a}) \subset O(\mathfrak{a})$

be the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where

$$N_K(\mathfrak{a}) = \{k \in K; k \cdot \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}\},$$

$$Z_K(\mathfrak{a}) = \{k \in K; k \cdot h = h \text{ for any } h \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

We define  $g$  to be a half of the cardinality  $\#\Sigma$  of  $\Sigma$ . Denote by  $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}$  the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$  relative to  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and set

$$\Sigma_1 = \{\gamma \in \Sigma; \langle \gamma, e \rangle = 1\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  be the orthogonal complement to  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then one has

$$T_e M = \mathfrak{p}_1 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_1} \mathfrak{p}^\gamma,$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}^\gamma$  is the subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{p}^\gamma = \{x \in \mathfrak{p}; [h, [h, x]] = \langle h, \gamma \rangle^2 x \text{ for any } h \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

Thus the normal space  $N_e M$  to  $M \subset S^n$  at  $e$  is given by

$$N_e M = \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{q}_0,$$

where  $\mathfrak{q}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_0$  are the orthogonal complement to  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{p}_0$  and the one to  $\mathbf{R}e$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , respectively. The shape operator  $A$  of  $M \subset S^n$  at  $e$  can be calculated by the same way as in Takagi-Takahashi [8] to get

$$(2.1) \quad A_h x = -\langle h, \gamma \rangle x \quad \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{a}_0, x \in \mathfrak{p}^\gamma, \gamma \in \Sigma_1.$$

Now we come back to our problem. If  $r=1$ , one has  $M=S^n$ . This case is excluded because of  $\text{codim } M > 1$ . If  $r \geq 2$ , one has  $\#\Sigma_1 > 1$ , since  $\#\Sigma_1=1$  would imply  $r=1$ . Therefore, if we denote the orthogonal projection  $\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_0$  by  $\varpi$ , we have  $\#\varpi(\Sigma_1) > 1$ , noting that  $\varpi(\gamma) = \gamma - e$  for each  $\gamma \in \Sigma_1$ . It follows that if  $r \geq 3$  there exist  $h, h' \in \mathfrak{a}_0 - \{0\}$  such that

$$\#\{-\langle h, \gamma \rangle; \gamma \in \Sigma_1\} \neq \#\{-\langle h', \gamma \rangle; \gamma \in \Sigma_1\}.$$

This is a contradiction to Corollary 1.2 by virtue of (2.1). Thus we must have  $r=2$ . In this case, by the classification of irreducible symmetric  $R$ -spaces (Kobayashi-Nagano [3], Takeuchi [9]) we see that only the following four cases are possible.

(a) Case  $g=3$ :  $M=P_2(\mathbf{F})$ , the projective plane over  $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{H}$  or  $\mathbf{O}$ , and the standard embedding  $P_2(\mathbf{F}) \subset \mathbf{R}^{3d+2}$  is the generalized Veronese embedding (Tai [7]).

Case  $g=4$ :

(b)  $M$  is the complex quadric of complex dimension 3:

$$Q_3(\mathbf{C}) = \{[z] \in P_4(\mathbf{C}); {}^t z z = 0\},$$

and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is identified with the space  $A_5(\mathbf{R})$  of real alternating  $5 \times 5$  matrices with inner product:

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(XY) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in A_5(\mathbf{R}).$$

Any  $[z] \in Q_3(\mathbf{C})$  can be written as

$$z = x + \sqrt{-1}y \quad \text{with } x, y \in S^4 \subset \mathbf{R}^5, \langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

The map  $[z] \mapsto x^t y - y^t x$  is the standard embedding.

(c)  $M$  is the Lie quadric of dimension  $m+1$ ,  $m \geq 2$ :

$$Q^{m+1} = \{[z] \in P_{m+2}(\mathbf{R}); -z_1^2 - z_2^2 + z_3^2 + \cdots + z_{m+3}^2 = 0\},$$

and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is identified with the space  $M_{m+1,2}(\mathbf{R})$  of real  $(m+1) \times 2$  matrices with inner product:

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \operatorname{tr}({}^tXY) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in M_{m+1,2}(\mathbf{R}).$$

Any  $[z] \in Q^{m+1}$  can be written as

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } x \in S^1 \subset \mathbf{R}^2, y \in S^m \subset \mathbf{R}^{m+1}.$$

The map  $[z] \mapsto y^t x$  is the standard embedding.

(d)  $M$  is the unitary symplectic group of degree 2:

$$Sp(2) = \{z \in M_2(\mathbf{H}); {}^t\bar{z}z = 1_2\},$$

$M_2(\mathbf{H})$  being the space of quaternion  $2 \times 2$  matrices, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is identified with  $M_2(\mathbf{H})$  with inner product:

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{tr}({}^t\bar{X}Y) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in M_2(\mathbf{H}).$$

The inclusion  $Sp(2) \subset M_2(\mathbf{H})$  is the standard embedding.

In these cases, any tube around  $M$  is obtained as  $M^\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/g$ , and each  $M^\varepsilon$  is a homogeneous isoparametric hypersurface of  $S^n$  with  $g$  principal curvatures. In order to show this, first note that  $K$  acts on  $U(NM)$  transitively. In fact, since the semisimple part of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  has rank 1,  $K_0$  acts on the unit sphere in  $\mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{q}_0 = N_e M$  transitively. We choose a unit vector  $f \in \mathfrak{a}_0$ , and thus  $f \in U_e(NM)$ . Then the stabilizer  $Z_0$  of  $f$  in  $K$  is given by

$$Z_0 = Z_{K_0}(f) = Z_K(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Now for each  $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$  the map  $f^\varepsilon: U(NM) \rightarrow S^n$  is  $K$ -equivariant, and hence  $M^\varepsilon = f^\varepsilon(U(NM))$  is the  $K$ -orbit in  $S^n$  through

$$h^\varepsilon = (\cos \varepsilon)e + (\sin \varepsilon)f.$$

Note that  $h^e$  is  $W$ -regular if and only if  $\varepsilon \notin (\pi/g)\mathcal{Z}$ . It follows that  $M^e = M^{e'}$  if and only if  $h^e$  and  $h^{e'}$  are  $W$ -conjugate, and that  $f^e$  is an embedding if and only if  $M^e$  is a regular  $K$ -orbit in  $S^n$ , which is the same as that  $h^e$  is  $W$ -regular. Moreover, any regular  $K$ -orbit is a homogeneous isoparametric hypersurface in  $S^n$  with  $g$  principal curvatures (Takagi-Takahashi [8], Ozeki-Takeuchi [5]). These imply our claim.

It is known (Pinkall [6]) that an isoparametric hypersurface  $\tilde{M} \subset S^n$  is an irreducible Dupin hypersurface, if  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{M}) \subset O(n+1)$  acts irreducibly on  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . But,  $\text{Aut}(M^e)$  for our tube  $M^e$  acts irreducibly on  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ , because the subgroup  $K$  of  $\text{Aut}(M^e)$  acts on  $\mathfrak{p}$  irreducibly by virtue of simplicity of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus we get the last assertion in Theorem.

We finally note that a Dupin cyclide as in case (i) is always a reducible Dupin hypersurface.

---

**References**

- [1] T.E. Cecil-P.J. Ryan: *Tight and taut immersions of manifolds*, Res. Notes Math. 107, Pitman, London, 1985.
- [2] D. Ferus: *Immersions with parallel second fundamental form*, Math. Z. **140** (1974), 87–93.
- [3] S. Kobayashi-T. Nagano: *On filtered Lie algebras and geometric structures I*, J. Math. Mech. **13** (1964), 875–907.
- [4] H. Naitoh-M. Takeuchi: *Symmetric submanifolds of symmetric spaces*, Sugaku Exp. **2** (1989), 157–188.
- [5] H. Ozeki-M. Takeuchi: *On some types of isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres II*, Tohoku Math. J. **28** (1975), 7–55.
- [6] U. Pinkall: *Dupin hypersurfaces*, Math. Ann. **270** (1985), 429–440.
- [7] S.S. Tai: *On minimum imbeddings of compact symmetric spaces of rank one*, J. Diff. Geom. **2** (1968), 55–66.
- [8] R. Takagi-T. Takahashi: *On the principal curvatures of homogeneous hypersurfaces in a sphere*, Differential Geometry, in honor of K. Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972, 469–481.
- [9] M. Takeuchi: *Cell decompositions and Morse equalities on certain symmetric spaces*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo. Ser. I, **12** (1965), 81–192.
- [10] M. Takeuchi: *Parallel submanifolds of space forms*, Manifolds and Lie Groups, in honor of Y. Matsushima, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1981, 429–447.
- [11] M. Takeuchi: *Basic transformations of symmetric R-spaces*, Osaka J. Math. **25** (1988), 259–297.

Department of Mathematics  
 College of General Education  
 Osaka University  
 Toyonaka, Osaka 560  
 Japan

