



Title	Equivariant ko-rings and J-groups of spheres which have linear pseudofree $S^1$ -actions
Author(s)	Kakutani, Shin-ichiro
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1981, 18(3), p. 533-554
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/3759">https://doi.org/10.18910/3759</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## EQUIVARIANT KO-RINGS AND $J$ -GROUPS OF SPHERES WHICH HAVE LINEAR PSEUDOFREE $S^1$ -ACTIONS

SHIN-ICHIRO KAKUTANI

(Received January 30, 1980)

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the equivariant  $KO$ -rings and  $J$ -groups of spheres which have linear pseudofree circle actions.

Let  $S^1$  be the circle group consisting of complex numbers of absolute value one. For a sequence  $p=(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$  of positive integers, we define the  $S^1$ -action  $\varphi_p$  on the complex  $m$ -dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{C}^m$  by

$$\varphi_p(s, (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)) = (s^{p_1}z_1, s^{p_2}z_2, \dots, s^{p_m}z_m)$$

and denote by

$$S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$$

the unit sphere  $S^{2m-1}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  with this action  $\varphi_p$ . Then the  $S^1$ -action on  $S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$  is said to be *pseudofree* (resp. *free*) if  $(p_i, p_j)=1$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $p_i > 1$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq m$  (resp.  $p_1=p_2=\dots=p_m=1$ ) (see Montgomery-Yang [19], [20]).

The main results of our paper are as follows:

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be positive odd integers such that  $(p_i, p_j)=1$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then there is a monomorphism of rings:*

$$\Phi: KO_{S^1}(S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)) \rightarrow KO(CP^{m-1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m RO(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}).$$

(For details see §4.)

Let  $G_i$  ( $i \geq 1$ ) denote the stable homotopy group  $\pi_{n+i}(S^n)$  ( $n \geq i+2$ ). We define  $s(k) = \prod_{i=1}^k |G_i|$  for  $k > 0$ , where  $|G_i|$  denotes the order of the group  $G_i$  and put  $s(-1)=1$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be positive odd integers such that  $(p_i, p_j)=1$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $(p_i, s(2m-3))=1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then there is a monomorphism of groups:*

$$\tilde{\Phi}: J_{S^1}(S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)) \rightarrow J(CP^{m-1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m J_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}}(*).$$

(For details see §5.)

The paper is organized as follows:

In §§2 and 3, we consider a generalization of the results due to Folkman [9] and Rubinsztein [23] and prove some preliminary results. In §§4 and 5, we study an isomorphism and an  $S^1$ -fiber homotopy equivalence of real  $S^1$ -vector bundles over the pseudofree  $S^1$ -manifold  $S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$  respectively. In §6, we consider the problem on quasi-equivalence posed by Meyerhoff and Petrie ([18], [21]).

## 2. Equivariant homotopy

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  of order  $n$ . If  $V$  is a real representation space of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , we denote by  $S(V)$  its unit sphere with respect to some  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -invariant inner product. Denote by  $[X, Y]$  the set of homotopy classes of maps from  $X$  to  $Y$ . In this section, we shall prove the following theorem (cf. Folkman [9; Proposition 2.3] and Rubinsztein [23; Corollary 5.3]).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $V$  be a complex  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -representation space such that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  acts freely on  $S(V)$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2m$ . Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -space which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  *$X$  is path-connected and  $q$ -simple for  $1 \leq q \leq 2m-1$ ,*
- (ii) *the map of  $X$  into itself given by the action of a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is homotopic to the identity,*
- (iii) 
$$\begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \pi_{2i-1}(X)) = 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \pi_{2i}(X)) = 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m-1. \end{cases}$$

*If there exist  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -maps  $f_0, f_1: S(V) \rightarrow X$  such that  $[f_0] = [f_1] \in [S^{2m-1}, X]$ , then  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -homotopic.*

Before beginning the proof of Theorem 2.1, we require some notations and lemmas.

Let  $M$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -space  $S(V) \times [0, 1]$ , where  $[0, 1]$  is the unit interval with the trivial  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -action. Then  $M$  is a compact smooth  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -manifold with a free  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -action. Let  $x_0$  be a point of  $S(V)$ . We put  $N = S(V) \times \{0, 1\} \cup \{x_0\} \times [0, 1]$  and  $M' = M/\mathbb{Z}_n$ . Let  $\pi: M \rightarrow M'$  be the natural projection. We put  $N' = \pi(N)$ .

Let  $R$  be an arbitrary abelian group. By the universal-coefficient theorem, we have the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** *There are isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} H^q(M, N; R) &= 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq q \leq 2m-1, \\ H^{2m}(M, N; R) &\cong R. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *There are isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(M', N'; R) &= H^1(M', N'; R) = 0, \\ H^{2q-1}(M', N'; R) &\cong \text{Ext}(\mathbf{Z}_n, R) \quad \text{for } 2 \leq q \leq m, \\ H^{2q}(M', N'; R) &\cong \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_n, R) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq q \leq m-1, \\ H^{2m}(M', N'; R) &\cong R. \end{aligned}$$

Since the  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -action on  $M$  is free and orientation-preserving, we have

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assume that  $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_n, R) = 0$ . Then the homomorphism*

$$\pi^*: H^{2m}(M', N'; R) \rightarrow H^{2m}(M, N; R)$$

*is injective.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1. In order to prove Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show that there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -map  $F: M \rightarrow X$  such that  $F|S(V) \times \{0\} = f_0$  and  $F|S(V) \times \{1\} = f_1$ .

Since  $[f_0] = [f_1] \in [S^{2m-1}, X]$ , there exists a continuous map  $F': M \rightarrow X$  such that  $F'|S(V) \times \{0\} = f_0$  and  $F'|S(V) \times \{1\} = f_1$ . Since  $M$  is a compact smooth  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -manifold and  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  acts freely on  $M$ , we can consider the fiber bundle  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$X \rightarrow \underset{\mathbf{Z}_n}{M \times X} \rightarrow M'.$$

A cross-section  $s_0$  of the part of  $\mathcal{B}$  over  $N'$  ( $=\pi(N)$ ) is defined by

$$s_0(\pi(z)) = [z, F'(z)] \in \underset{\mathbf{Z}_n}{M \times X} \quad \text{for } z \in N.$$

To prove Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show that the cross-section  $s_0$  defined on  $N'$  is extendable to a full cross-section of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Because there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -maps from  $M$  to  $X$  and cross-sections of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex. Denote by  $K^q$  the  $q$ -skelton. Denote by  $|K|$  the geometric realization of  $K$  in the weak topology. It is easy to see that there exist finite simplicial complexes  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  which satisfy the following:

$$(2.5) \quad |K_1| = M \text{ and } |K_2| = M',$$

$$(2.6) \quad \text{there exist subcomplexes } L_1 \subset K_1 \text{ and } L_2 \subset K_2 \text{ such that } |L_1| = N \text{ and } |L_2| = N',$$

$$(2.7) \quad \text{there exists a simplicial map } \tau: (K_1, L_1) \rightarrow (K_2, L_2) \text{ such that } |\tau| = \pi: (|K_1|, |L_1|) \rightarrow (|K_2|, |L_2|).$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}(\pi_{q-1})$  ( $1 \leq q \leq 2m$ ) be the bundles of coefficients associated with  $\pi_{q-1}(X)$  (see Steenrod [27; §30]). By the assumption (ii),  $\mathcal{B}(\pi_{q-1})$  ( $1 \leq q \leq 2m$ ) are product bundles. Therefore the cohomology groups  $H^q(M', N'; \mathcal{B}(\pi_{q-1}))$  are isomorphic to the ordinary cohomology groups  $H^q(M', N'; \pi_{q-1}(X))$  for  $1 \leq q \leq 2m$ . By the assumption (iii) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$H^q(M', N'; \pi_{q-1}(X)) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq q \leq 2m-1.$$

It follows from Steenrod [27; 34.2] that there exists a cross-section of  $\mathcal{B}$  defined on  $|K_2^{2m-1}| (\supset |L_2|)$ :

$$s_1: |K_2^{2m-1}| \rightarrow M \times_{\mathbb{Z}_n} X$$

such that  $s_1|_{|L_2|} = s_0$ . There exists an obstruction cohomology class

$$\bar{c}(s_1) \in H^{2m}(M', N'; \pi_{2m-1}(X))$$

such that its vanishing is a necessary and sufficient condition for  $s_1|_{|K_2^{2m-2} \cup L_2|}$  to be extendable over  $M'$ . Thus we shall show that  $\bar{c}(s_1) = 0$ . Consider the product bundle  $\mathcal{B}'$ :

$$X \rightarrow M \times X \rightarrow M.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}'(\pi_{q-1})$  ( $1 \leq q \leq 2m$ ) be the bundles of coefficients associated with  $\pi_{q-1}(X)$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}'$  is a product bundle,  $\mathcal{B}'(\pi_{q-1})$  ( $1 \leq q \leq 2m$ ) are also product bundles. The natural projection  $M \times X \rightarrow M \times_{\mathbb{Z}_n} X$  induces the bundle maps  $\bar{\pi}: \mathcal{B}' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $\bar{\pi}_{q-1}: \mathcal{B}'(\pi_{q-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\pi_{q-1})$  ( $1 \leq q \leq 2m$ ) covering  $\pi: (M, N) \rightarrow (M', N')$ . Let  $s_2: |K_1^{2m-1}| \rightarrow M \times X$  be the cross-section of  $\mathcal{B}'$  induced by  $s_1$  and  $\bar{\pi}$ . It follows from (2.7) that we have

$$\pi^*(\bar{c}(s_1)) = \bar{c}(s_2) \in H^{2m}(M, N; \pi_{2m-1}(X)).$$

By the assumption (iii) and Lemma 2.4,  $\pi^*$  is a monomorphism. Hence  $\bar{c}(s_1) = 0$  if and only if  $\bar{c}(s_2) = 0$ . Let  $s_3: M = |K_1| \rightarrow M \times X$  be a cross-section of  $\mathcal{B}'$  defined by

$$s_3(z) = (z, F'(z)) \in M \times X \quad \text{for } z \in M.$$

We put

$$s_4 = s_3|_{|K_1^{2m-1}|}: |K_1^{2m-1}| \rightarrow M \times X.$$

Then  $s_2$  and  $s_4$  are cross-sections of  $\mathcal{B}'$  defined on  $|K_1^{2m-1}| (\supset |L_1|)$  such that  $s_2|_{|L_1|} = s_4|_{|L_1|}$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$H^q(M, N; \pi_q(X)) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq q \leq 2m-2.$$

It follows from Steenrod [27; 35.9] that

$$\bar{c}(s_2) = \bar{c}(s_4) \in H^{2m}(M, N; \pi_{2m-1}(X)).$$

It is obvious that  $\bar{c}(s_2) = \bar{c}(s_4) = 0$ . Hence we have  $\bar{c}(s_1) = 0$ . q.e.d.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $X$  and  $V$  be as in Theorem 2.1. Suppose that*

- (i)  $X^{\mathbf{Z}_n} \neq \emptyset$ ,  
 (ii) *there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -map  $f: S(V) \rightarrow X$  such that  $[f] = 0 \in [S^{2m-1}, X]$  ( $\cong \pi_{2m-1}(X)$ ).*

*Let  $y_0$  be an arbitrary point of  $X^{\mathbf{Z}_n}$ . Then there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -map*

$$F: D(V) \rightarrow X$$

*such that  $F|_{S(V)} = f$  and  $F(0) = y_0$ . Here  $D(V)$  denotes the unit disk.*

### 3. Equivariant maps which are equivariantly homotopic to zero

Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be real  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -representation spaces with  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} V = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} W = k > 0$ . Let

$$\rho_V, \rho_W: \mathbf{Z}_n \rightarrow GL(k, \mathbf{R})$$

be the  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -representations afforded by  $V, W$  respectively. Then a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -action on  $GL(k, \mathbf{R})$  is given by

$$s \circ A = \rho_W(s) A \rho_V(s)^{-1} \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbf{Z}_n, A \in GL(k, \mathbf{R}),$$

and denote by  $GL(V, W)$  this  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -space. Remark that  $GL(k, \mathbf{R})$  has two connected components  $GL^+(k, \mathbf{R})$  and  $GL^-(k, \mathbf{R})$ . If  $n$  is an odd integer, then we have

$$\rho_V(\mathbf{Z}_n), \rho_W(\mathbf{Z}_n) \subset GL^+(k, \mathbf{R}).$$

Hence  $GL^+(k, \mathbf{R})$  and  $GL^-(k, \mathbf{R})$  are  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -subspaces of  $GL(V, W)$  and are denoted by  $GL^+(V, W)$  and  $GL^-(V, W)$  respectively.

Let  $F(S(V), S(W))$  denote the space of homotopy equivalent maps from  $S(V)$  to  $S(W)$  with the compact-open topology. A  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -action on  $F(S(V), S(W))$  is given by

$$(s \circ f)(v) = sf(s^{-1}v) \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbf{Z}_n, f \in F(S(V), S(W)), v \in S(V).$$

It is well-known that  $F(S(V), S(W))$  has two connected components  $F^+(S(V), S(W))$  and  $F^-(S(V), S(W))$  representing maps of degree  $+1$  and  $-1$  respectively. If  $n$  is an odd integer, then  $F^+(S(V), S(W))$  and  $F^-(S(V), S(W))$  are  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -subspaces of  $F(S(V), S(W))$ .

It is well-known that

(3.1)  $GL^\varepsilon(V, W)$  and  $F^\varepsilon(S(V), S(W))$  ( $\varepsilon = \pm$ ) are path-connected and  $q$ -simple for  $q > 0$ .

Moreover it is easy to see that

(3.2) *If  $n$  is an odd integer, then the maps of  $GL^\varepsilon(V, W)$  and  $F^\varepsilon(S(V), S(W))$  ( $\varepsilon = \pm$ ) into themselves given by the action of a generator of  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  are homotopic to the identity.*

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $n$  be a positive odd integer. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be real  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -representation spaces with  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} V = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} W = k$ . Let  $U$  be a complex  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -representation space such that  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  acts freely on  $S(U)$  and  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} U = 2m$ . Assume that*

- (i)  $k \geq 2m + 1$ ,
- (ii) *there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -map  $f: S(U) \rightarrow GL^{\varepsilon}(V, W)$  such that  $[f] = 0 \in [S^{2m-1}, GL^{\varepsilon}(V, W)]$ ,*
- (iii)  $GL^{\varepsilon}(V, W)^{\mathbf{Z}_n} \neq \phi$ ,

where  $\varepsilon = +$  or  $-$ . Then there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -map  $F: D(U) \rightarrow GL^{\varepsilon}(V, W)$  such that  $F|S(U) = f$ .

Proof. It is well-known that

$$\pi_i(GL^{\varepsilon}(V, W)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}_2 & \text{if } i \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \equiv 2, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{8}, \\ \mathbf{Z} & \text{if } i \equiv 3, 7 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$ . Since  $n$  is odd, we have

$$\begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_n, \pi_{2i-1}(GL^{\varepsilon}(V, W))) = 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ \text{Ext}(\mathbf{Z}_n, \pi_{2i}(GL^{\varepsilon}(V, W))) = 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m - 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the result follows from Corollary 2.8.

q.e.d.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $n$  be a positive odd integer. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be real  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -representation spaces with  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} V = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} W = k$ . Let  $U$  be a complex  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -representation space such that  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  acts freely on  $S(U)$  and  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} U = 2m$ . Assume that*

- (i)  $(n, s(2m-1)) = 1$ ,
- (ii)  $k \geq 2m + 2$ ,
- (iii) *there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -map  $f: S(U) \rightarrow F^{\varepsilon}(S(V), S(W))$  such that  $[f] = 0 \in [S^{2m-1}, F^{\varepsilon}(S(V), S(W))]$ ,*
- (iv)  $F^{\varepsilon}(S(V), S(W))^{\mathbf{Z}_n} \neq \phi$ ,

where  $\varepsilon = +$  or  $-$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an arbitrary element of  $F^{\varepsilon}(S(V), S(W))^{\mathbf{Z}_n}$ . Then there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -map  $F: D(U) \rightarrow F^{\varepsilon}(S(V), S(W))$  such that  $F|S(U) = f$  and  $F(0) = \varphi$ .

Proof. It follows from Atiyah [4; p. 294] that there exist isomorphisms

$$\pi_i(F^{\varepsilon}(S(V), S(W))) \cong G_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k - 3.$$

By the assumptions (i) and (ii), we have

$$\begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}_n, \pi_{2i-1}(F^{\varepsilon}(S(V), S(W)))) = 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ \text{Ext}(\mathbf{Z}_n, \pi_{2i}(F^{\varepsilon}(S(V), S(W)))) = 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m - 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the result follows from Corollary 2.8.

q.e.d.

#### 4. Equivariant KO-rings

In this section, we consider an isomorphism of  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$  when the  $S^1$ -action is free or pseudofree.

Let  $V$  be a real  $S^1$ -representation space. Let  $X$  be a compact  $S^1$ -space. Denote by  $\underline{V}$  the trivial  $S^1$ -vector bundle

$$V \rightarrow X \times V \rightarrow X.$$

Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be real  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $X$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \xi = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \eta$ . Let

$$p: \text{Hom}(\xi, \eta) \rightarrow X$$

be the  $S^1$ -vector bundle defined by Atiyah [3; §1.2] and Segal [25; §1]. Let  $\text{Iso}(\xi, \eta) \subset \text{Hom}(\xi, \eta)$  be the subspace of all isomorphisms from  $\xi_x$  to  $\eta_x$  for  $x \in X$ , where  $\xi_x$  (resp.  $\eta_x$ ) denotes the fiber of  $\xi$  (resp.  $\eta$ ) over  $x$ . Clearly,  $\text{Iso}(\xi, \eta)$  is an  $S^1$ -subspace of  $\text{Hom}(\xi, \eta)$  and

$$(4.1) \quad q = p|_{\text{Iso}(\xi, \eta)}: \text{Iso}(\xi, \eta) \rightarrow X$$

is a surjective  $S^1$ -map. We remark that  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are equivalent as  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $X$  if and only if there exists an  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $q$  defined on  $X$ .

Let  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$  be a sequence of positive integers. Denote by  $D^{2m}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$  the unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  with the  $S^1$ -action  $\varphi_p$  (see §1).

Let  $m > 1$  be an integer. We put

$$\begin{aligned} M_k &= S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k, 1, \dots, 1) & \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m, \\ S_k &= S^{2m-3}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k-1}, 1, \dots, 1) & \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq m, \\ D_k &= D^{2m-2}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k-1}, 1, \dots, 1) & \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq m, \\ M_0 &= S^{2m-1}(1, 1, \dots, 1), \\ S_1 &= S^{2m-3}(1, 1, \dots, 1), \\ D_1 &= D^{2m-2}(1, 1, \dots, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we remark that  $\partial D_k = S_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ .

In the following, for every positive integer  $n$ , we always regard the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  as the subgroup of  $S^1$  and regard an  $S^1$ -space as a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -space in respective context.

We define a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$ -map  $j_k: D_k \rightarrow M_k$  by

$$j_k(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, z_k, \dots, z_{m-1}) = (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2 - \dots - |z_{m-1}|^2}, z_k, \dots, z_{m-1}).$$

It is easy to see that  $j_k$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$ -embedding and  $j_k|_{S_k}: S_k \rightarrow M_k$  is an  $S^1$ -embedding. In the following,  $D_k$  and  $S_k$  are regarded as a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$ -invariant subspace of  $M_k$  and an  $S^1$ -invariant subspace of  $M_k$  by  $j_k$  respectively. Let  $e_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ) be



the  $j$ -th unit vector of  $\mathbf{C}^m$ . Then we see that  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{k-1} \in S_k$  and  $e_k \in D_k$  as the center of the disk.

We define a continuous map  $\alpha: S^1 \times D_k \rightarrow M_k$  by

$$\alpha(s, z) = sz \quad \text{for } s \in S^1, z \in D_k.$$

Then we have

**Lemma 4.2.**  $\alpha$  is an identification map.

The proof is easy.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $X$  be an  $S^1$ -space and let  $p: X \rightarrow M_k$  be a surjective  $S^1$ -map. If there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}$ -cross-section  $t_1: D_k \rightarrow X$  of  $p|_{p^{-1}(D_k)}$  such that  $t_1|_{S_k}: S_k \rightarrow X$  is an  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $p|_{p^{-1}(S_k)}$ , then there exists an  $S^1$ -cross-section  $t: M_k \rightarrow X$  of  $p$  such that  $t|_{D_k} = t_1$ .

Proof. By Lemma 4.2,  $\alpha: S^1 \times D \rightarrow M_k$  is surjective. Thus, given  $z \in M_k$ , there exists  $s \in S^1$  such that  $s^{-1}z \in D_k$ . Define  $t: M_k \rightarrow X$  by

$$t(z) = st(s^{-1}z),$$

where  $s \in S^1$  is chosen as  $s^{-1}z \in D_k$ . Then it is easy to see that  $t$  is a well-defined  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $p$  such that  $t|_{D_k} = t_1$ . q.e.d.

Define  $S^1$ -maps

$$h_k: M_k \rightarrow M_{k+1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq m-1$$

by

$$h_k(z_1, \dots, z_k, z_{k+1}, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_m) = \frac{(z_1, \dots, z_k, z_{k+1}^{p_{k+1}}, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_m)}{\|(z_1, \dots, z_k, z_{k+1}^{p_{k+1}}, z_{k+2}, \dots, z_m)\|}$$

and we put  $h_m = \text{id}: M_m \rightarrow M_m$ . Moreover we define

$$\tilde{h}_k = h_m \circ h_{m-1} \circ \dots \circ h_k: M_k \rightarrow M_m \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

Then it follows that

$$\tilde{h}_k(e_j) = e_j \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $M_m$  with  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \xi = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \eta = n$ . We put

$$V_k = (\tilde{h}_k^* \xi)_{e_k} = \xi_{e_k}, \quad W_k = (\tilde{h}_k^* \eta)_{e_k} = \eta_{e_k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Here  $V_k, W_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m$ ) are regarded as  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}$ -representation spaces. Let  $q_k: \text{Iso}(\tilde{h}_k^* \xi, \tilde{h}_k^* \eta) \rightarrow M_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq m$ ) be  $S^1$ -maps defined by (4.1). Then we have

**Lemma 4.4.** *There are  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$ -homeomorphisms*

$$\varphi_k: q_k^{-1}(D_k) \rightarrow D_k \times GL(V_k, W_k) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m$$

*such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} q_k^{-1}(D_k) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} & D_k \times GL(V_k, W_k) \\ q_k|q_k^{-1}(D_k) \searrow & & \swarrow \pi_1 \\ & D_k & \end{array}$$

where  $\pi_1$  denotes the projection on the first factor.

Proof. Since  $D_k$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$ -contractible, there exist isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$ -vector bundles:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha: (\tilde{h}_k^* \xi)|D_k \rightarrow D_k \times V_k, \\ \beta: (\tilde{h}_k^* \eta)|D_k \rightarrow D_k \times W_k. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\tilde{q}_k: \text{Iso}(D_k \times V_k, D_k \times W_k) \rightarrow D_k$  be an  $S^1$ -map defined by (4.1). Then we can define  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$ -homeomorphisms

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1: \text{Iso}((\tilde{h}_k^* \xi)|D_k, (\tilde{h}_k^* \eta)|D_k) \rightarrow \text{Iso}(D_k \times V_k, D_k \times W_k), \\ \psi_2: \text{Iso}(D_k \times V_k, D_k \times W_k) \rightarrow D_k \times GL(V_k, W_k), \end{cases}$$

by

$$\begin{cases} \psi_1(f_x) = \beta_x \circ f_x \circ \alpha_x^{-1} & \text{for } x \in D_k, f_x \in q_k^{-1}(x), \\ \psi_2(g_x) = (x, g_x) & \text{for } x \in D_k, g_x \in \tilde{q}_k^{-1}(x), \end{cases}$$

respectively. It is obvious that a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$ -homeomorphism

$$\varphi_k = \psi_2 \circ \psi_1: q_k^{-1}(D_k) = \text{Iso}((\tilde{h}_k^* \xi)|D_k, (\tilde{h}_k^* \eta)|D_k) \rightarrow D_k \times GL(V_k, W_k)$$

satisfies our condition.

q.e.d.

Define an  $S^1$ -map  $h: M_0 \rightarrow M_m$  by

$$h(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) = \frac{(z_1^{p_1}, z_2^{p_2}, \dots, z_m^{p_m})}{\|(z_1^{p_1}, z_2^{p_2}, \dots, z_m^{p_m})\|}.$$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $m > 1$  be an integer and let  $p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be positive odd integers with  $(p_i, p_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ . Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be real  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $M_m$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \xi = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \eta = n \geq 2m - 1$  and  $\xi \supset \underline{\mathbb{R}}^1$  as an  $S^1$ -vector subbundle. Assume that*

- (i)  $h^* \xi$  and  $h^* \eta$  are equivalent as  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $M_0$ ,
- (ii)  $\xi_{e_k}$  and  $\eta_{e_k}$  are equivalent as  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$ -representation spaces for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ .

*Then  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are equivalent as  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $M_m$ .*

Proof. Let  $q_k: \text{Iso}(\tilde{h}_k^* \xi, \tilde{h}_k^* \eta) \rightarrow M_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq m$ ) be  $S^1$ -maps defined by (4.1). We shall show that there exist  $S^1$ -cross-sections of  $q_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq m$ ):

$$t_k: M_k \rightarrow \text{Iso}(\tilde{h}_k^* \xi, \tilde{h}_k^* \eta),$$

by induction. Then the existence of the last  $S^1$ -cross-section  $t_m$  shows the result.

It follows from Ikerleid [11; Theorem 3.4] that the  $S^1$ -maps  $\tilde{h}_0, h: M_0 \rightarrow M_m$  are  $S^1$ -homotopic. Hence, by the assumption (i), we have

$$\tilde{h}_0^* \xi \cong h^* \xi \cong h^* \eta \cong \tilde{h}_0^* \eta,$$

where  $\cong$  stands for *is equivalent to*. Therefore there exists an  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $q_0$ :

$$t_0: M_0 \rightarrow \text{Iso}(\tilde{h}_0^* \xi, \tilde{h}_0^* \eta).$$

Let  $k$  be an integer greater than zero. We now assume that there exists an  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $q_{k-1}$ :

$$t_{k-1}: M_{k-1} \rightarrow \text{Iso}(\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \xi, \tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \eta).$$

Remark that

$$\tilde{h}_{k-1} = \tilde{h}_k \circ h_{k-1}: M_{k-1} \rightarrow M_m.$$

It follows that there exist  $S^1$ -vector bundle maps

$$\begin{cases} \bar{h}_{k-1}: \tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \xi \rightarrow \tilde{h}_k^* \xi, \\ \bar{h}'_{k-1}: \tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \eta \rightarrow \tilde{h}_k^* \eta, \end{cases}$$

covering  $h_{k-1}: M_{k-1} \rightarrow M_k$ . We define an embedding  $j'_k: D_k \rightarrow M_{k-1}$  by

$$j'_k(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, z_k, \dots, z_{m-1}) = (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, \sqrt{1 - |z_1|^2 - \dots - |z_{m-1}|^2}, z_k, \dots, z_{m-1}).$$

Then the restriction  $j'_k|S_k: S_k \rightarrow M_{k-1}$  is an  $S^1$ -embedding. Thus  $D_k$  and  $S_k$  are also regarded as a subspace of  $M_{k-1}$  and an  $S^1$ -invariant subspace of  $M_{k-1}$  by  $j'_k$  respectively. We put  $D'_k = j'_k(D_k)$  and  $S'_k = j'_k(S_k)$ . It is easy to see that

$$\begin{cases} h_{k-1}|D'_k: D'_k \rightarrow D_k \subset M_k, \\ h_{k-1}|S'_k: S'_k \rightarrow S_k \subset M_k, \end{cases}$$

are a homeomorphism and an  $S^1$ -homeomorphism respectively. It follows that the restrictions

$$\begin{cases} \bar{h}_{k-1}| \{(\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \xi)|D'_k\}: (\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \xi)|D'_k \rightarrow (\tilde{h}_k^* \xi)|D_k, \\ \bar{h}'_{k-1}| \{(\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \eta)|D'_k\}: (\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \eta)|D'_k \rightarrow (\tilde{h}_k^* \eta)|D_k, \end{cases}$$

are isomorphisms of vector bundles. Moreover the restrictions

$$\begin{cases} \bar{h}_{k-1} | \{(\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \xi) | S'_k\} : (\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \xi) | S'_k \rightarrow (\tilde{h}_k^* \xi) | S_k, \\ \bar{h}'_{k-1} | \{(\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \eta) | S'_k\} : (\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \eta) | S'_k \rightarrow (\tilde{h}_k^* \eta) | S_k, \end{cases}$$

are isomorphisms of  $S^1$ -vector bundles. Using the  $S^1$ -cross-section  $t_{k-1}: M_{k-1} \rightarrow \text{Iso}(\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \xi, \tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \eta)$ , we can define a continuous cross-section of  $q_k | q_k^{-1}(D_k)$ :

$$u_k: D_k \rightarrow q_k^{-1}(D_k) \subset \text{Iso}(\tilde{h}_k^* \xi, \tilde{h}_k^* \eta)$$

by putting  $u_k(x) = \{\bar{h}'_{k-1} | (\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \xi)_x\} \circ t_{k-1}((h_{k-1} | D_k)^{-1}(x)) \circ \{h_{k-1} | (\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \xi)_x\}$  for  $x \in D_k \subset M$ . Then the restriction

$$v_k = u_k | S_k: S_k \rightarrow q_k^{-1}(S_k) \subset \text{Iso}(\tilde{h}_k^* \xi, \tilde{h}_k^* \eta)$$

is an  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $q_k | q_k^{-1}(S_k)$ . Let  $\pi_2: D_k \times GL^\varepsilon(V_k, W_k) \rightarrow GL^\varepsilon(V_k, W_k)$  be the projection on the second factor. It follows from Lemma 4.4 that  $v_k$  yields a  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}$ -map

$$\vartheta_k: S_k \rightarrow GL^\varepsilon(V_k, W_k)$$

by  $\vartheta_k(x) = \pi_2(\varphi_k(v_k(x)))$  for  $x \in S_k$ , where  $\varepsilon = +$  or  $-$ . Since  $v_k = u_k | S_k$ , we have

$$[\vartheta_k] = 0 \in [S^{2m-3}, GL^\varepsilon(V_k, W_k)].$$

By the assumption (ii),  $V_k (= (\tilde{h}_k^* \xi)_{e_k} = \xi_{e_k})$  and  $W_k (= (\tilde{h}_k^* \eta)_{e_k} = \eta_{e_k})$  are equivalent as  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}$ -representation spaces and  $V_k \supset \mathbf{R}^1$ . This shows that

$$GL^\varepsilon(V_k, W_k)^{\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}} \neq \phi.$$

Moreover we remark that  $p_k$  is an odd integer and  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}$  acts freely on  $S_k$ . Therefore it follows from Proposition 3.3 that there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}$ -map

$$\bar{w}_k: D_k \rightarrow GL^\varepsilon(V_k, W_k)$$

such that  $\bar{w}_k | S_k = \vartheta_k$ . By Lemma 4.4, we can define a  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}$ -cross-section of  $q_k | q_k^{-1}(D_k)$ :

$$w_k: D_k \rightarrow q_k^{-1}(D_k) \subset \text{Iso}(\tilde{h}_k^* \xi, \tilde{h}_k^* \eta)$$

by  $w_k(x) = \varphi_k^{-1}(x, \bar{w}_k(x))$  for  $x \in D_k$ . Since  $w_k | S_k = \vartheta_k$ , it follows from Lemma 4.3 that there exists an  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $q_k$ :

$$t_k: M_k \rightarrow \text{Iso}(\tilde{h}_k^* \xi, \tilde{h}_k^* \eta).$$

In this way, we obtain  $S^1$ -cross-sections  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_m$ .

q.e.d.

The following lemma is due to Segal (see [25; Proposition 2.1]).

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff  $G$ -space such that  $G$  acts freely on  $X$ . Then the projection  $pr: X \rightarrow X/G$  induces*

an isomorphism of rings

$$pr^*: KO(X/G) \rightarrow KO_G(X).$$

We put

$$\mu = (pr^*)^{-1}: KO_{S^1}(M_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} KO(CP^{m-1}).$$

Denote by  $RO(G)$  the real representation ring of  $G$ . We define a homomorphism of rings

$$\Phi: KO_{S^1}(S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)) \rightarrow KO(CP^{m-1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m RO(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i})$$

by putting

$$\Phi(\xi - \eta) = \mu(h^*\xi - h^*\eta) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m (\xi_{e_i} - \eta_{e_i}).$$

Then we have

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be positive odd integers such that  $(p_i, p_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then the homomorphism  $\Phi$  is injective.*

Proof. If  $m=1$ , then  $KO_{S^1}(S^1(p_1)) = KO_{S^1}(S^1/\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}) \cong RO(\mathbb{Z}_{p_1})$ . Therefore we assume that  $m > 1$ . If  $\Phi(\xi - \eta) = 0$ , then  $h^*\xi - h^*\eta = 0$  in  $KO_{S^1}(M_0)$  and  $\xi_{e_i} - \eta_{e_i} = 0$  in  $RO(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Thus there exists an  $S^1$ -representation space  $U$  such that  $h^*(\xi \oplus \underline{U})$  is equivalent to  $h^*(\eta \oplus \underline{U})$ . Then we put

$$\xi' = \xi \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} \oplus \underline{U} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta' = \eta \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} \oplus \underline{U}.$$

Since  $\xi'$  and  $\eta'$  satisfy the assumption of Lemma 4.5,  $\xi'$  is equivalent to  $\eta'$ . It follows that

$$\xi - \eta = \xi' - \eta' = 0 \quad \text{in } KO_{S^1}(M_m).$$

Hence  $\Phi$  is injective.

q.e.d.

Next we consider the condition (i) of Lemma 4.5. Let  $ES^1$  (resp.  $BS^1$ ) be a universal  $S^1$ -space (resp. a classifying space for  $S^1$ ). Let  $\pi_k: ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_k \rightarrow BS^1$  ( $0 \leq k \leq m$ ) be the natural projection.

**Lemma 4.8.** *The homomorphism*

$$\pi_k^*: H^q(BS^1; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^q(ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_k; \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism for  $0 \leq q \leq 2m-2$ . Moreover the integral cohomology ring of  $ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_k$  is

$$H^*(ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_k; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[c]/(qc^m),$$

where  $\deg c = 2$  and  $q = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i$ .

Proof. The map  $\pi_k$  is a projection of a sphere bundle associated with the complex  $m$ -plane bundle  $\eta^{p_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta^{p_k} \oplus \eta \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta$ , where  $\eta$  is the canonical complex line bundle over  $BS^1$ . Then the result follows from the Thom-Gysin exact sequence. q.e.d.

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $\tau: ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_0 \rightarrow M_0/S^1 = CP^{m-1}$  be the natural projection. Then*

$$\tau^*: H^*(CP^{m-1}; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_0; \mathbf{Z})$$

*is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The result follows from the Vietoris-Begle Mapping Theorem (see Bredon [6; p. 371], Spanier [26; p. 344]).

**Lemma 4.10.** *The homomorphism*

$$(1 \times h)^*: H^q(ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_m; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^q(ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_0; \mathbf{Z})$$

*is an isomorphism for  $0 \leq q \leq 2m-2$ .*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(BS^1) & \xrightarrow{id} & H^q(BS^1) \\ \downarrow \pi_m^* & (1 \times h)^*_{S^1} & \downarrow \pi_0^* \\ H^q(ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_m) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H^q(ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_0) \end{array}$$

Since  $\pi_m^*$  and  $\pi_0^*$  are isomorphisms for  $0 \leq q \leq 2m-2$ ,  $(1 \times h)^*_{S^1}$  is an isomorphism for  $0 \leq q \leq 2m-2$ . q.e.d.

**Lemma 4.11.** *Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be real  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $M_m$  with  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \xi = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \eta = k$ . Assume that  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i)  $\mu(h^*\xi) = \mu(h^*\eta)$  in  $KO(CP^{m-1})$ ,

(ii)  $p_i(ES^1 \times_{S^1} \xi) = p_i(ES^1 \times_{S^1} \eta)$  in  $H^{4i}(ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_m; \mathbf{Z})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \min([k/2], [(m-1)/2])$ .

Here  $p_i(ES^1 \times_{S^1} \xi)$  (resp.  $p_i(ES^1 \times_{S^1} \eta)$ ) denotes the  $i$ -th Pontrjagin class of the bundle  $ES^1 \times_{S^1} \xi \rightarrow ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_m$  (resp.  $ES^1 \times_{S^1} \eta \rightarrow ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_m$ ).

Proof. Remark that  $\tau^*(\mu(h^*\xi)) = ES^1 \times_{S^1} h^*\xi$ , where  $\tau: ES^1 \times_{S^1} M_0 \rightarrow M_0/S^1 = CP^{m-1}$  is the natural projection. Then we have

$$\tau^*(p_i(\mu(h^*\xi))) = p_i(ES^1 \times_{S^1} h^*\xi)$$

and

$$(1 \times h)^*(p_i(ES^1 \times_{S^1} \xi)) = p_i(ES^1 \times_{S^1} h^* \xi).$$

Hence it follows from Lemmas 4.9 and 4.10 that the condition (ii) is equivalent to the following:

$$p_i(\mu(h^* \xi)) = p_i(\mu(h^* \eta)) \text{ in } H^{4i}(CP^{m-1}; \mathbf{Z})$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq \min([k/2], [(m-1)/2])$ . Since  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $KO(CP^{m-1})$  is a free abelian group (see Sanderson [24; Theorem 3.9]). It follows from Hsiang [10; §3] that

$$p_i(\mu(h^* \xi)) = p_i(\mu(h^* \eta)) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \min([k/2], [(m-1)/2])$$

if and only if

$$\mu(h^* \xi) = \mu(h^* \eta) \quad \text{in } KO(CP^{m-1}). \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

By Theorem 4.7 and Lemma 4.11, we have

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be positive odd integers with  $(p_i, p_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ . Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be real  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$  with  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \xi = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \eta = k$ . Then  $\xi = \eta$  in  $KO_{S^1}(S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m))$  if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\xi_{e_i} = \eta_{e_i}$  in  $RO(\mathbf{Z}_{p_i})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,
- (ii)  $p_i(ES^1 \times_{S^1} \xi) = p_i(ES^1 \times_{S^1} \eta)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \min([k/2], [(m-1)/2])$ .

**REMARK 4.13.** Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and let  $X$  be a finite  $G$ -CW-complex in the sense of Matumoto [17]. Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be  $G$ -vector bundles over  $X$  such that they are stably equivalent. But, in general,  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are not equivalent even if  $\dim \xi = \dim \eta > \dim X$  (cf. Sanderson [24; Lemma 1.2]). For example, for an arbitrary integer  $n \geq 0$ , we put

$$\begin{cases} \xi = S^3(7, 11) \times t^2 \oplus t \oplus nt, \\ \eta = S^3(7, 11) \times t^9 \oplus t^{78} \oplus nt, \end{cases}$$

where  $t^d$  ( $d \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) denotes the complex one-dimensional  $S^1$ -representation space defined by  $t^d(s)z = s^d z$  for  $s \in S^1$ ,  $z \in \mathbf{C}^1$ . It follows from Lemma 4.5 that

$$\xi \oplus \underline{\mathbf{R}}^1 \cong \eta \oplus \underline{\mathbf{R}}^1.$$

Now we assume that there exists an isomorphism of  $S^1$ -vector bundles:

$$\omega: \xi \rightarrow \eta.$$

Since  $\xi$  (resp.  $\eta$ ) is a complex vector bundle,  $\xi$  (resp.  $\eta$ ) has a canonical orientation. Then the isomorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}_7$ -representation spaces  $\omega_{e_1}: \xi_{e_1} \rightarrow \eta_{e_1}$

is orientation-preserving, but the isomorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}_{11}$ -representation spaces  $\omega_{e_2}: \xi_{e_2} \rightarrow \eta_{e_2}$  is orientation-reversing. Since  $S^3(7, 11)$  is connected, this is a contradiction. Therefore  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are not equivalent.

### 5. Equivariant J-groups

In [12] and [14], Kawakubo has defined the notion of the equivariant  $J$ -group as follows:

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and let  $X$  be a compact  $G$ -space. Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be real  $G$ -vector bundles over  $X$ . Denote by  $S(\xi)$  (resp.  $S(\eta)$ ) the unit sphere bundle associated with  $\xi$  (resp.  $\eta$ ) with respect to some  $S^1$ -invariant metric.  $S(\xi)$  and  $S(\eta)$  are said to be  $G$ -fiber homotopy equivalent if  $S(\xi)$  and  $S(\eta)$  are homotopy equivalent by fiber-preserving  $G$ -maps and  $G$ -homotopies. Let  $T_G(X)$  be the additive subgroup of  $KO_G(X)$  generated by elements of the form  $\xi - \eta$ , where  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are  $G$ -vector bundles over  $X$  whose associated sphere bundles are  $G$ -fiber homotopy equivalent. We define the equivariant  $J$ -group  $J_G(X)$  by

$$J_G(X) = KO_G(X)/T_G(X)$$

and define the equivariant  $J$ -homomorphism  $J_G$  by the natural epimorphism

$$J_G: KO_G(X) \rightarrow J_G(X).$$

When  $X$  is a point,  $J_G(X)$  is denoted by  $J_G(*)$ .

In this section, we shall consider the equivariant  $J$ -group of  $S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$  when the  $S^1$ -action is free or pseudofree. We shall use freely the notations in §§3 and 4.

Let  $X$  be a compact  $S^1$ -space. Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be real  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $X$  with  $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \xi = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \eta$ . Let  $E(S(\xi), S(\eta))$  denote the disjoint union of the function spaces  $F(S(\xi_x), S(\eta_x))$  (see §3) and define

$$(5.1) \quad q': E(S(\xi), S(\eta)) \rightarrow X$$

by

$$q'(F(S(\xi_x), S(\eta_x))) = x.$$

Then there exists a canonical topology for  $E(S(\xi), S(\eta))$  so that  $E(S(\xi), S(\eta))$  is the total space of a fiber bundle with projection  $q'$  and with fibers  $F(S(\xi_x), S(\eta_x))$ . An  $S^1$ -action

$$\rho: S^1 \times E(S(\xi), S(\eta)) \rightarrow E(S(\xi), S(\eta)),$$

is given by  $\rho(s, f)(v) = sf(s^{-1}v)$  for  $s \in S^1$ ,  $f \in F(S(\xi_x), S(\eta_x))$ ,  $v \in S(\xi_{sx})$ . Then  $q': E(S(\xi), S(\eta)) \rightarrow X$  is an  $S^1$ -map.



Let  $p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be positive integers. Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be real  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $M_m (= S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m))$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \xi = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \eta$ . We choose and fix some  $S^1$ -invariant metrics on  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . Then the  $S^1$ -vector bundles  $h^*\xi$ ,  $h^*\eta$ ,  $\tilde{h}_k^*\xi$  and  $\tilde{h}_k^*\eta$  ( $0 \leq k \leq m$ ) have canonical  $S^1$ -invariant metrics induced by the  $S^1$ -invariant metrics on  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . We put

$$V_k = (\tilde{h}_k^*\xi)_{e_k} = \xi_{e_k}, \quad W_k = (\tilde{h}_k^*\eta)_{e_k} = \eta_{e_k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Here  $V_k$  and  $W_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq m$ ) are regarded as orthogonal  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$ -representation spaces. Let  $q'_k: E(S(\tilde{h}_k^*\xi), S(\tilde{h}_k^*\eta)) \rightarrow M_k$  ( $0 \leq k \leq m$ ) be  $S^1$ -maps defined by (5.1). Then we have

**Lemma 5.2.** *There are  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$ -homeomorphisms*

$$\varphi'_k: q_k'^{-1}(D_k) \rightarrow D_k \times F(S(V_k), S(W_k)) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} q_k'^{-1}(D_k) & \xrightarrow{\varphi'_k} & D_k \times F(S(V_k), S(W_k)) \\ q'_k|q_k'^{-1}(D_k) & \searrow & \swarrow \pi_1 \\ & D_k & \end{array}$$

where  $\pi_1$  denotes the projection on the first factor and the restriction

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'_k|q_k'^{-1}(e_k): q_k'^{-1}(e_k) = F(S(V_k), S(W_k)) \rightarrow \\ \{e_k\} \times F(S(V_k), S(W_k)) \subset D_k \times F(S(V_k), S(W_k)) \end{aligned}$$

is the identity.

The proof is parallel to that of Lemma 4.4, so we omit it.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $m > 1$  be an integer and let  $p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be positive odd integers such that  $(p_i, p_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $(p_i, s(2m-3)) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be real  $S^1$ -vector bundles over  $M_m$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \xi = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \eta = n \geq 2m$  and  $\xi \supset \underline{\mathbb{R}}^1$  as an  $S^1$ -vector subbundle. Assume that*

- (i)  $S(h^*\xi)$  and  $S(h^*\eta)$  are  $S^1$ -fiber homotopy equivalent,
- (ii)  $S(\xi_{e_i})$  and  $S(\eta_{e_i})$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ -homotopy equivalent for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

Then  $S(\xi)$  and  $S(\eta)$  are  $S^1$ -fiber homotopy equivalent.

Proof. We put

$$V_i = (\tilde{h}_i^*\xi)_{e_i} = \xi_{e_i} \quad \text{and} \quad W_i = (\tilde{h}_i^*\eta)_{e_i} = \eta_{e_i} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

By the assumption (ii), there exist  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ -homotopy equivalences

$$f_i: S(V_i) \rightarrow S(W_i) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Since  $\xi \supset \underline{\mathbf{R}}^1$ , there exist  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_i}$ -homeomorphisms

$$\tau_i: S(V_i) \rightarrow S(W_i) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m$$

such that  $\deg \tau_i = -1$ . Remark that  $f_i \circ \tau_i: S(V_i) \rightarrow S(W_i)$  is also a  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_i}$ -homotopy equivalence.

First we shall show that, for each  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , there exists an  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $q'_k$ :

$$t'_k: M_k \rightarrow E(S(\tilde{h}_k^* \xi), S(\tilde{h}_k^* \eta))$$

such that  $t'_k(e_j) = f_j$  or  $f_j \circ \tau_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

Since  $\tilde{h}_0, h: M_0 \rightarrow M_m$  are  $S^1$ -homotopic, it follows from the assumption (i) that

$$S(\tilde{h}_0^* \xi) \sim S(h^* \xi) \sim S(h^* \eta) \sim S(\tilde{h}_0^* \eta),$$

where  $\sim$  stands for *is  $S^1$ -fiber homotopy equivalent to*. Thus there exists an  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $q'_0$ :

$$t'_0: M_0 \rightarrow E(S(\tilde{h}_0^* \xi), S(\tilde{h}_0^* \eta)).$$

Let  $k$  be an integer greater than zero. Suppose that we are given an  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $q'_{k-1}$ :

$$t'_{k-1}: M_{k-1} \rightarrow E(S(\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \xi), S(\tilde{h}_{k-1}^* \eta))$$

such that  $t'_{k-1}(e_j) = f_j$  or  $f_j \circ \tau_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ . Then there exist a continuous cross-section of  $q'_k|_{q'^{-1}(D_k)}$ :

$$u'_k: D_k \rightarrow q'^{-1}(D_k) \subset E(S(\tilde{h}_k^* \xi), S(\tilde{h}_k^* \eta))$$

and an  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $q'_k|_{q'^{-1}(S_k)}$ :

$$v'_k: S_k \rightarrow q'^{-1}(S_k) \subset E(S(\tilde{h}_k^* \xi), S(\tilde{h}_k^* \eta))$$

such that  $v'_k = u'_k|_{S_k}$  and  $u'_k(e_j) = f_j$  or  $f_j \circ \tau_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ . This is proved similarly as Lemma 4.6, but we need give care to the condition  $v'_k(e_j) = f_j$  or  $f_j \circ \tau_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ . Let  $\pi_2: D_k \times F^e(S(V_k), S(W_k)) \rightarrow F^e(S(V_k), S(W_k))$  denote the projection on the second factor. By Lemma 5.2,  $v'_k$  yields a  $\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}$ -map

$$\vartheta'_k: S_k \rightarrow F^e(S(V_k), S(W_k))$$

by putting  $\vartheta'_k(x) = \pi_2(\varphi'_k(v'_k(x)))$  for  $x \in S_k$ , where  $\varepsilon = +$  or  $-$ . Since  $v'_k = u'_k|_{S_k}$ , we have

$$[\vartheta'_k] = 0 \in [S^{2m-3}, F^e(S(V_k), S(W_k))].$$

Moreover  $f_k \in F^e(S(V_k), S(W_k))^{\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}}$  or  $f_k \circ \tau_k \in F^e(S(V_k), S(W_k))^{\mathbf{Z}_{p_k}}$ . It follows

from Proposition 3.4 that there exists a  $Z_{p_k}$ -map

$$\bar{w}'_k: D_k \rightarrow F^e(S(V_k), S(W_k))$$

such that  $\bar{w}'_k|_{S_k} = \vartheta'_k$  and  $\bar{w}'_k(e_k) = f_k$  or  $f_k \circ \tau_k$ . Using Lemma 5.2, we define a  $Z_{p_k}$ -cross-section of  $q'_k|q'^{-1}_k(D_k)$ :

$$w'_k: D_k \rightarrow q'^{-1}_k(D_k) \subset E(S(\tilde{h}^*_k \xi), S(\tilde{h}^*_k \eta))$$

by putting  $w'_k(x) = \varphi'^{-1}_k(x, \bar{w}'_k(x))$  for  $x \in D_k$ . Since  $w'_k|_{S_k} = \vartheta'_k$  and  $w'_k(e_k) = f_k$  or  $f_k \circ \tau_k$ , it follows from Lemma 4.3 that there exists an  $S^1$ -cross-section of  $q'_k$ :

$$t'_k: M_k \rightarrow E(S(\tilde{h}^*_k \xi), S(\tilde{h}^*_k \eta))$$

such that  $t'_k(e_j) = w'_k(e_j) = f_j$  or  $f_j \circ \tau_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

By induction, we obtain  $S^1$ -cross-sections  $t'_0, t'_1, \dots, t'_m$ . The last  $S^1$ -cross-section  $t'_m$  gives a fiber-preserving  $S^1$ -map

$$\omega: S(\xi) \rightarrow S(\eta)$$

such that  $\omega_{e_j} = f_j$  or  $f_j \circ \tau_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . It is easy to see that, for every  $x \in M_m$ ,  $\omega_x: S(\xi_x) \rightarrow S(\eta_x)$  is an  $S^1_x$ -homotopy equivalence, where  $S^1_x$  denotes the isotropy group at  $x \in M_m$ . Therefore it follows from the equivariant Dold theorem that  $\omega$  gives an  $S^1$ -fiber homotopy equivalence (cf. Kawakubo [12; Theorem 2.1] and [24; Theorem 2.1]). q.e.d.

By the same argument as in §2 of Segal [25], we obtain an isomorphism of groups:

$$pr^*: J(CP^{m-1}) \rightarrow J_{S^1}(M_0)$$

and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KO(CP^{m-1}) & \xrightarrow{pr^*} & KO_{S^1}(M_0) \\ J \downarrow & & J_{S^1} \downarrow \\ J(CP^{m-1}) & \xrightarrow{pr^*} & J_{S^1}(M_0) \end{array}$$

(cf. Lemma 4.6). We define

$$\tilde{\mu} = (pr^*)^{-1}: J_{S^1}(M_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} J(CP^{m-1}).$$

Now we define a homomorphism of groups

$$\Phi: J_{S^1}(S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)) \rightarrow J(CP^{m-1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m J_{Z_{p_i}}(*)$$

by putting

$$\Phi(J_{S^1}(\xi - \eta)) = \tilde{\mu}(J_{S^1}(h^* \xi - h^* \eta)) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^m J_{Z_{p_i}}(\xi_{e_i} - \eta_{e_i}).$$

Then we have

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be positive odd integers such that  $(p_i, p_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $(p_i, s(2m-3)) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then the homomorphism  $\Phi$  is injective.*

Proof. We see easily that  $J_{S^1}(S^1/\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}) \cong J_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_1}}(*)$ . Hence Theorem 5.4 will follow from Lemma 5.3 by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.7.

Let  $\psi^k$  denote the Adams operation on equivariant  $KO$ -theory.

**Corollary 5.5.** (cf. [18; Theorem 6.8].) *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers with  $(a, b) = (ab, p_i) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . For an arbitrary element  $\alpha$  of  $KO_{S^1}(S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m))$ , we have*

$$J_{S^1}((\psi^a - 1)(\psi^b - 1)(\alpha)) = 0 \text{ in } J_{S^1}(S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)).$$

Proof. By tom Dieck [7; Theorem 1] and tom Dieck-Petrie [8; Theorem 5], we have

$$J_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}}((\psi^a - 1)(\psi^b - 1)(\alpha)_{e_i}) = 0 \text{ in } J_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}}(*) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

On the other hand, by the solution of the Adams conjecture ([1], [22]), we see that

$$\tilde{\mu}(J_{S^1}(h^*(\psi^a - 1)(\psi^b - 1)(\alpha))) = J((\psi^a - 1)(\psi^b - 1)(\mu(h^*(\alpha)))) = 0 \text{ in } J(CP^{m-1}).$$

Therefore the result follows from Theorem 5.4. q.e.d.

REMARK 5.7. i) The ring structure of  $KO(CP^{m-1})$  and the group structure of  $J(CP^{m-1})$  have been determined by Sanderson [24; Theorem 3.9] and Adams-Walker [2] (see also Suter [28]). ii) The group structure of  $J_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(*)$  has been determined by Kawakubo [13] and [15].

## 6. Quasi-equivalence

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and let  $X$  be a compact  $G$ -space. Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be real  $G$ -vector bundles of the same dimension over  $X$ . In [18] and [21], a  $G$ -map  $\omega: \xi \rightarrow \eta$  which is proper, fiber-preserving and degree one on fibers is called a *quasi-equivalence*. Let  $\alpha = \eta - \xi \in KO_G(X)$  and define  $\alpha \geq 0$  to mean there exist a  $G$ -vector bundle  $\theta$  over  $X$  and a quasi-equivalence  $\omega: \xi \oplus \theta \rightarrow \eta \oplus \theta$ .

Problem 6.1. ([18], [21].) Given  $\alpha \in KO_G(X)$ , given necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

In this section, we consider the above problem when  $G = S^1$  and  $X = S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$  with a free or pseudofree  $S^1$ -action.

We have

**Theorem 6.2.** Let  $p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) be positive odd integers such that  $(p_i, p_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $(p_i, s(2m-3)) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be real  $S^1$ -vector bundles of the same dimension over  $S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$ . Then  $\alpha = \eta - \xi \geq 0$  if and only if  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  satisfy the following two conditions:

$$(i) \quad J(\mu(h^*\xi)) = J(\mu(h^*\eta)) \text{ in } J(CP^{m-1}).$$

$$(ii) \quad \alpha_{e_i} = \eta_{e_i} - \xi_{e_i} \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

where we regard  $\alpha_{e_i}$  as an element of  $KO_{\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}}(*) \cong RO(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\alpha \geq 0$  if and only if there exist an  $S^1$ -vector bundle  $\theta$  over  $S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$  and a fiber-preserving  $S^1$ -map  $\zeta: S(\xi \oplus \theta) \rightarrow S(\eta \oplus \theta)$  such that  $\deg \zeta_x = 1$  for  $x \in S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$ . Then the proof is parallel to that of Lemma 5.3. q.e.d.

**Corollary 6.3.** (cf. [21; Corollary 1.13].) Let  $\alpha$  be an arbitrary element of  $KO_{S^1}(S^{2m-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m))$  such that  $\alpha_{e_i} \geq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then there exists a non-negative integer  $n$  so that

$$n\alpha \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Remark that  $\mu(h^*\alpha) \in \widetilde{KO}(CP^{m-1})$ . It is well-known that  $\widetilde{J}(CP^{m-1})$  is a finite abelian group. Hence there exists an integer  $n$  such that

$$J(\mu(h^*(n\alpha))) = nJ(\mu(h^*\alpha)) = 0 \quad \text{in } J(CP^{m-1}).$$

Thus the result follows from Theorem 6.2. q.e.d.

**Corollary 6.4.** Let  $k$  be an integer with  $(k, p_i) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an arbitrary element of  $KO_{S^1}(S^{2m-1}(p_1, \dots, p_m))$ . Then there exists a non-negative integer  $e = e(k, \alpha)$  such that

$$k^e(\psi^k - 1)(\alpha) \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* By the solution of the Adams conjecture (see [1], [22]), there exists a non-negative integer  $e$  such that

$$J(\mu(h^*(k^e(\psi^k - 1)(\alpha)))) = J(k^e(\psi^k - 1)(\mu(h^*\alpha))) = 0 \quad \text{in } J(CP^{m-1}).$$

On the other hand, by Lee-Wasserman [16; Corollaries 3.3 and 4.8] and Atiyah-Tall [5; V. Theorem 2.8], we have

$$k^e(\psi^k - 1)(\alpha_{e_i}) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Therefore the result follows from Theorem 6.2. q.e.d.

**REMARK 6.5.** When  $X$  is a point and  $\alpha \in K_c(X) \cong R(G)$ , Problem 6.1 is solved by the main theorem of [18; Theorem 5.1] (see also Atiyah-Tall [5] and Lee-Wasserman [16]).

## References

- [1] J.F. Adams: *On the groups  $J(X)$ —I*, Topology **2** (1963), 181–195.
- [2] J.F. Adams and G. Walker: *On complex Stiefel manifolds*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **61** (1965), 81–103.
- [3] M.F. Atiyah: *K-theory*, Benjamin, 1967.
- [4] M.F. Atiyah: *Thom complexes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **11** (1961), 291–310.
- [5] M.F. Atiyah and D.O. Tall: *Group representations,  $\lambda$ -rings and the  $J$ -homomorphism*, Topology **8** (1969), 253–297.
- [6] G.E. Bredon: *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, 1972.
- [7] T. tom Dieck: *Homotopy-equivalent group representations*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **298** (1978), 182–195.
- [8] T. tom Dieck and T. Petrie: *Geometric modules over the Burnside ring*, Invent. Math. **47** (1978), 273–287.
- [9] J. Folkman: *Equivariant maps of spheres into the classical groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **95**, 1971.
- [10] W.C. Hsiang: *A note on free differentiable actions of  $S^1$  and  $S^3$  on homotopy spheres*, Ann. of Math. **83** (1966), 266–272.
- [11] W. Iberkleid: *Pseudo-linear spheres*, Michigan Math. J. **25** (1978), 359–370.
- [12] K. Kawakubo: *Compact Lie group actions and fiber homotopy type*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **33** (1981), 295–321.
- [13] K. Kawakubo: *Equivariant homotopy equivalence of group representations*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **32** (1980), 105–118.
- [14] K. Kawakubo: *G-homotopy equivalent manifolds and  $J_G$ -homomorphism*, Proc. Japan Acad. **54** (1978), 104–106.
- [15] K. Kawakubo: *The groups  $J_G(*)$  for compact abelian topological groups  $G$* , Proc. Japan Acad. **54** (1978), 76–78.
- [16] C.N. Lee and A.G. Wasserman: *On the groups  $JO(G)$* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **159**, 1976.
- [17] T. Matumoto: *On G-CW complexes and a theorem of J. H. C. Whitehead*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **18** (1971), 363–374.
- [18] A. Meyerhoff and T. Petrie: *Quasi-equivalence of  $G$  modules*, Topology **15** (1976), 69–75.
- [19] D. Montgomery and C.T. Yang: *Differentiable pseudo-free circle actions on homotopy seven spheres*, Proc. of the Second Conf. on Compact Transformation Groups, Amherst, Springer Lecture Note 298 (1971), 41–101.
- [20] D. Montgomery and C.T. Yang: *Differentiable pseudo-free circle actions*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **68** (1971), 894–896.
- [21] T. Petrie: *Pseudoequivalences of  $G$ -manifolds*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **32** (1978), 169–210.
- [22] D. Quillen: *The Adams conjecture*, Topology **10** (1971), 67–80.
- [23] R.L. Rubinsztein: *On the equivariant homotopy of spheres*, Dissertations Math., Warszawa (1976).
- [24] B.J. Sanderson: *Immersion and embeddings of projective spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **14** (1964), 137–153.

- [25] G. Segal: *Equivariant K-theory*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. Paris **34** (1968), 129–151.
- [26] E.H. Spanier: *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1966.
- [27] N. Steenrod: *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton University Press, 1951.
- [28] U. Suter: *On the groups  $J(CP^m)$  and  $J(HP^n)$* , Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. **5** (1974), 221–231.

Department of Mathematics  
Osaka University  
Toyonaka, Osaka 560  
Japan