

Title	Factor rings of a hereditary and QF-3 ring
Author(s)	Harada, Manabu
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1980, 17(1), p. 1-8
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/3808
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

FACTOR RINGS OF A HEREDITARY AND QF-3 RING

Dedicated to Professor Goro Azumaya on his 60th birthday

MANABU HARADA

(Received April 19, 1979)

We have been studying many interesting properties of small submodules. W.W. Leonard [8] and M. Rayar [12] defined small modules and gave elementary properties of them. Recently, the author has studied non-small modules and given a class of rings which are concerned with non-small modules and located between QF-rings and QF-3 rings [4] and [5].

In this note we shall consider two conditions (*) and (*)^{*} in [4] and [5] (see §1) and study a semi-primary ring whose every factor ring satisfies either (*) or (*)^{*}. We shall show such a ring with condition (QS) (see §1) coincides with a generalized uni-serial ring of the first category in the sense of Murase [9].

1. The main theorem

Let R be a ring with identity. We always assume that R is a semi-primary ring, namely the Jacobson radical J of R is nilpotent and R/J is artinian, and every R -module is an unitary right R -module unless otherwise stated. Let M be an R -module. By $E(M)$ and $J(M)$ we denote an injective hull and the Jacobson radical of M , respectively. If M is a small submodule in $E(M)$, we say M is a *small module* [8], [12] and if M is not a small module, we say M is a *non-small module* [5]. As the dual concept to the above, we define a *non-cosmall module* N as follows: there exist a projective module P and an epimorphism $f: P \rightarrow N$ such that $\ker f$ is not essential in P .

In [4] and [5] we have introduced two conditions:

(*) *Every non-small module contains a non-zero injective module.*

(*)^{*} *Every non-cosmall module contains a non-zero projective direct summand.*

We have shown that if R satisfies either (*) or (*)^{*}, then R is a right QF-3 ring [13] ($E(R)$ is projective by [7]) and every QF-ring satisfies both (*) and (*)^{*}. Thus, a class of rings satisfying either (*) or (*)^{*} is located between a class of QF-rings and one of QF-3 rings when R is a left and right artinian ring. If R is left and right artinian and eR, Re have unique composition series for every

primitive idempotent e , we call R a *generalized uni-serial ring* [10]. It is easily seen that every generalized uni-serial ring satisfies both $(*)$ and $(*)^*$ (Corollary 1 to Lemma 1 below).

Following Murase [9] we say a two-sided indecomposable generalized uni-serial ring is in *the first category*, if there exists a primitive idempotent e such that eR is simple. In order to show that some rings in the new class coincide with the above rings, we introduce the conditions:

(F*) (resp. (F*)) *Every factor ring of R satisfies $(*)$ (resp. $(*)^*$).*

(FQF-3) *Every factor ring of R is right QF-3. And*

(QS) *If a factor ring of R is a QF-ring, then it is semi-simple.*

Now, we can state our theorem.

Theorem. *Let R be a semi-primary ring. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- 1) R satisfies (F*) and (QS).
- 2) R satisfies (F*) and (QS).
- 3) R satisfies (FQF-3) and (QS).
- 4) R is isomorphic to a factor ring of QF-3 and hereditary ring. And
- 5) R is a direct sum of generalized uni-serial rings of the first category.

We know from [2], Theorem 2 and [9], Theorems 17 and 18 that the ring R in the theorem is a direct sum of factor rings of rings of triangular matrices over division rings when R is basic. Hence, it has a perspective form.

We shall give remarks on the above conditions.

REMARKS 1. If R is a generalized uni-serial ring of the second category [9], R satisfies (F*), (F*) and (FQF-3) but not (QS) (see §2).

2. If R is a left and right artinian, then R is a generalized uni-serial ring if and only if R satisfies (FQF-3) [6].

3. Let $K \cong L$ be fields with $[L:K] < \infty$ and

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} K & L \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then R satisfies (QS) but not any of (F*), (F*) and (FQF-3).

4. If R is a commutative artinian ring and satisfies (QS), then R is a direct sum of fields.

Because, we may assume R is a local ring with maximal ideal M . If $M \neq 0$, we could find a maximal one M' among ideals contained in M . Then R/M' is a QF-ring and so $M/M' = 0$.

2. Proof of Theorem

We always assume that R is a semi-primary ring with identity and every

R -module M is an unitary right R -module. We shall denote the Jacobson radical and the injective hull by $J(M)$ and $E(M)$, respectively. Let R be as above and $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{p(i)} g_{ij}$, where $\{g_{ij}\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents such that $g_{ij}R \approx g_{i1}R$ for any j and $g_{ij}R \not\approx g_{i'j'}R$ for $i \neq i'$. We put $g = \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i1}$ and $R_0 = gRg$ i.e. gRg is the basic ring of R [11] and [2]. It is well known that the category of right R -modules is Morita equivalent to one of right R_0 -modules. We have a one to one mapping between the set of two-sided ideals A in R and one of those A_0 in R_0 such that $A_0 = gAg$ and $A = RA_0R$.

Lemma 1. *Let A be a two-sided ideal. We put $\bar{R} = R/A$ and $A_0 = gAg$. Then $\bar{R}_0 = R_0/A_0$ is the basic ring of \bar{R} .*

Proof. It is clear that $\bar{1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{p(i)} \bar{g}_{ij}$ and $\bar{g}_{ij}\bar{R} \approx \bar{g}_{i1}\bar{R}$. If $\bar{g}_{ij} \neq \bar{0}$, \bar{g}_{ij} is also a primitive idempotent and $\bar{g}_{ij}\bar{g}_{i'j'} = \delta_{ii'}\delta_{jj'}\bar{g}_{ij}$. We assume $\bar{g}_{i1}\bar{R} \approx \bar{g}_{j1}\bar{R}$ for $i \neq j$. Then there exists x in $g_{i1}Rg_{i1}$ such that $xg_{i1}R + g_{j1}A = g_{j1}R$. Since $g_{i1}R \not\approx g_{j1}R$, $xg_{i1}R \subseteq g_{j1}J(R)$. Hence, $g_{j1}A = g_{j1}R$ by Nakayama's Lemma and so $g_{ik} \in A$ for any k . Thus, \bar{R}_0 is the basic ring of \bar{R} .

Corollary. *R satisfies one of (F*), (F**), (FQF-3) and (QS) if and only if so does the basic ring of R .*

Lemma 2. *Let R be a generalized uni-serial ring. Then every indecomposable non-small (resp. non-cosmall) module is injective (resp. projective).*

Proof. Every indecomposable module is uni-serial by [10]. Hence, the lemma is trivial from the definitions.

Corollary 1. *Every generalized uni-serial ring satisfies (F*), (F**) and (FQF-3).*

Corollary 2. *Let R be left and right artinian. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- 1) R satisfies (FQF-3).
- 2) R satisfies (F*).
- 3) R satisfies (F**). And
- 4) R is a generalized uni-serial ring.

Proof. 1) \leftrightarrow 4) is proved in [6]. Corollary 1 gives 4) \rightarrow 2) and 3). We know 2) \rightarrow 1) and 3) \rightarrow 1) from [5], Propositions 2.5 and 3.4.

In order to prove the theorem, we may always assume from Lemma 1 that R is basic and $g_{i1}Rg_{i1}/g_{i1}Jg_{i1} = \Delta_i$ is a division ring. Let M_{ij} be a $\Delta_i - \Delta_j$ bimodule ($i < j$). We defined the ring of generalized upper tri-angular ma-

trices $T_n(\Delta_i; M_{ij})$ [3]. When $\Delta_i = \Delta$ for all i and $M_{ij} = \Delta$, we shall denote the usual upper triangular matrix ring by $T_n(\Delta)$ and the set of matrix units by $\{e_{ij}\}_{i < j}$.

Lemma 3. *Let Δ_i be division rings and $R = T_n(\Delta_i; M_{ij})$. 1) We assume $e_{ii}R$ is injective and $M_{ik} \neq 0$ and $M_{it} = 0$ for all $t > k$. Then $\text{Hom}_{\Delta_k}(Re_{kk}/(M_{i-1k} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{1k}), \Delta_k)$ is isomorphic to $e_{ii}R$ by multiplications of elements in $e_{ii}R$ from the left side. Hence, $M_{ip} \neq 0$ if and only if $M_{pk} \neq 0$.*

2) *If R is a right QF-3, $e_{11}R$ is injective.*

Proof. 1) Since M_{ik} is the socle of $e_{ii}R$, $[M_{ik}: \Delta_k] = 1$. We have the natural homomorphism $\varphi: e_{ii}R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Delta_k}(Re_{kk}/(M_{i-1k} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{1k}), \Delta_k)$. Since $\varphi(M_{ik}) \neq 0$, φ is monomorphic. Let f be in $\text{Hom}_{\Delta_k}(Re_{kk}/(M_{i-1k} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{1k}), \Delta_k) = \sum_{p=i}^k \oplus \text{Hom}_{\Delta_k}(M_{pk}, M_{ik})$ and $f = \sum f_p; f_p \in \text{Hom}_{\Delta_k}(M_{pk}, M_{ik})$. Put $F_p | M_{pk} = f_p$. $F_p(M_{pt}) = 0$ for $t > k$. Then $F_p \in \text{Hom}_R(M_{pk}R, e_{ii}R)$, since $M_{it} = 0$ for $t > k$. Hence, there exists an element x_p in $e_{ii}R$ such that $F_p(m_{pk}) = x_p m_{pk}$ ($x_p \in M_{ip}$) for every m_{pk} , since $e_{ii}R$ is injective. Therefore, $f = \varphi(\sum x_p)$. Hence, φ is isomorphic.

2) If R is right QF-3, $E(R) \approx \sum_{i \in K} \oplus (e_{ii}R)^{n_i}$ since R is semi-primary. Being $e_{ii}Re_{11} = 0$ for $i > 1$, the index set K must contain 1. Hence, $e_{11}R$ is injective.

Let $R = T_n(\Delta)$ and A a two-sided ideal. It is clear [9]

$$R/A = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta & & & & & & \\ & \Delta & & & & & \\ & & \Delta & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & \Delta & \\ & & & & & & \Delta \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1).$$

We call such a form the *standard form* of R/A . It is easily seen that R/A is a generalized uni-serial ring of the first category. Hence, from Lemmas 1 and 2 and [2], Theorem 2 (consider $e_{nn}R$) we have

Lemma 4. *Let R be a factor ring of a QF-3 and hereditary ring. Then R satisfies (F*), (F**), (FQF-3) and (QS).*

Now, we shall consider the converse case.

Lemma 5. *If R satisfies one of (F*), (F**), (FQF-3) and (QS), then so does every factor ring of R .*

It is clear.

Lemma 6. *Let $R = T_2(\Delta_1, R_2; M_{12})$. If R is two-sided indecomposable and $e_{11}R$ is injective, then R_2 is indecomposable, where Δ_1 is a division ring and R_2 is a*

semi-primary ring.

Proof. From the assumptions $e_{11}R$ contains a unique minimal submodule. Hence, R_2 is indecomposable if so is R .

Lemma 7. *Let R be a semi-primary, two-sided indecomposable and basic ring. We assume $J^2=0$. If R satisfies (FQF-3) and (QS), then R is isomorphic to $T_n(\Delta)/J(T_n(\Delta))^2$, where Δ is a division ring.*

Proof. Let $R = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus e_i R \oplus \sum_{j=1}^m \oplus f_j R$ be a decomposition of R with indecomposable modules $e_i R$ and $f_j R$, where the $e_i R$ is injective and the $f_j R$ is small (see [5], Theorem 1.3). We quote here the argument in [6], Lemma in pp. 404–405. We know $\sum \oplus e_i R$ is faithful. Let $x \neq 0$ be in $f_j R$. Then $(\sum \oplus e_i R)x \neq 0$ and so there exists $e_i r$ such that $0 \neq e_i r x = e_i r f_j x \in Jx$. Hence, $x \notin f_j J$ since $J^2=0$. Therefore, $f_j R$ is simple if $f_j R \neq 0$. Since $e_i R$ is injective and $J^2=0$, $e_i R$ is uni-serial. Accordingly, R is right artinian. First, we assume $m=0$. Then R is self-injective and so a QF-ring (see [1], Theorem 1). Therefore, R is a division ring by (QS). Thus, we may assume $m \neq 0$. We know from the above that $f_1 R$ is simple. Hence, $f_1 R g = 0$ for any primitive idempotent g ($\not\approx f_1$) and $f_1 R f_1 = \Delta$ is a division ring. Thus, we have

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & FRf_1 \\ 0 & \Delta \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2),$$

where $F=1-f_1$ and $R_1=FRF$ satisfies (QS) and (FQF-3). We first assume $s=n+m=2$. Then $n=m=1$. Hence, R_1 is a division ring from the case $m=0$. Therefore, $R \approx T_2(\Delta)$ by [2], Theorem 2 and [3], Theorem 1. Now, we shall prove the lemma by induction on $s=s(R)$ (we assume $m \neq 0$). We have done it when $s \leq 2$. Since $s(R) > s(R_1)$, $R_1 \approx \sum \oplus T_{n_i}(\Delta_i)/J(T_{n_i}(\Delta_i))^2$ by the induction, where the Δ_i is a division ring. Hence, we obtain $R = T_s(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_{s-1}, \Delta; M_{ij})$. Lemma 3,2) shows that $e_{11}R$ is injective. It is clear $e_{kk}R e_{11} = 0$ for $k \neq 1$. We put $F' = 1 - e_{11}$ and $R_1' = F'RF'$. Then we have

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1 & e_{11}RF' \\ 0 & R_1' \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.3).$$

Here R_1' is two-sided indecomposable by Lemma 6. Hence, $R_1' \approx T_{s-1}(\Delta')/J(T_{s-1}(\Delta'))^2$ by the hypothesis of induction. Now R is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1 & A_2 & \dots & A_s \\ & \Delta' & \Delta' & \\ & & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & \Delta' \\ & 0 & & & \Delta' \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

Since $e_{11}R$ contains a unique (minimal) submodule, only one A_i is not zero. If $i \neq 2$, $A_2=0$ implies $M_{i-1i}=\Delta'=0$ by Lemma 3. Hence, $A_i=0$ for $i > 2$. Since $s \geq 3$, we have $\Delta' \approx \Delta_1$ and $A_2=\Delta_1$ by the induction (cf. [3], Lemma 13).

Lemma 8. *If R satisfies (FQF-3) and (QS), then R is isomorphic to a factor ring of a semi-primary hereditary ring R' such that $R/J(R) \approx R'/J(R')$.*

Proof. We know $R/J^2 \approx \sum \oplus T_{n_i}(\Delta_i)/J(T_{n_i}(\Delta_i))^2$ by Lemmas 5 and 7. Hence, $\text{gl. dim } R/J^2 < \infty$ by [3], Theorem 3. Therefore, we obtain the lemma by [3], Theorem 5 and its proof.

Since $R/J(R) \approx R'/J(R')$, R' is basic and $R' \approx T_n(\Delta_i; M_{ij})$ by [3], Theorem 4'. Let $\{f_{ij}\}$ be the usual matrix units in R' . Then $gR'f_{11}=0$ for any primitive idempotent g with $gR' \not\approx f_{11}R'$. Let $\varphi: R' \rightarrow R$ be the ring epimorphism. Then $J(R')=\varphi^{-1}(J(R))$ and $\{e_{ii}=\varphi(f_{ii})\}$ is a complete set of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents in R . If $0 \neq e_{jj}Re_{11}=\varphi(f_{jj}R'f_{11})$ implies $j=1$. Furthermore, $e_{11}J(R)e_{11}=\varphi(f_{11}J(R')f_{11})=0$. From now on, we shall denote e_{ii} by e_i . Then $\Delta_1=e_1Re_1$ is a division ring from the above.

Lemma 9. *If R satisfies (FQF-3) and (QS), then R is isomorphic to $\sum \oplus T_{n_i}(\Delta_i)/C_i$, where C_i is a two-sided ideal in $T_{n_i}(\Delta_i)$.*

Proof. We may assume R is a two-sided indecomposable. We shall use the notations above. Put $F=1-e_1$. Then

$$R \approx \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1 & A \\ 0 & R_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.5).$$

We shall prove the lemma by induction on n , where $1=\sum_{i=1}^n e_i$. If $n \leq 2$, the lemma is true by Lemma 7. We assume $n \geq 3$. Then since $e_{11}R$ is injective by Lemma 3, 2), $R_1 \approx T_{n-1}(\Delta)/C$ by Lemma 6 and the induction. Thus, we obtain

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_n \\ & \Delta & \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \\ 0 & & & \Delta \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.6).$$

If we take a two-sided ideal Re_n and use the induction hypothesis, we know $\Delta_1=\Delta$ and $A_i (i < n)$ is equal to either zero or Δ (cf. [3], Lemma 13). We assume $A_n \neq 0$. Since e_1R is injective and has a simple socle, $[A_n: \Delta]=1$ as a right Δ -module. Put $A_n=u\Delta$. We know by Lemma 3 that every Δ -endomorphism of $u\Delta$ is given by a unique element of $\Delta=e_1Re_1$. Let x be in e_1Re_1 ,

then $xu = u\delta(x)$, where δ is a ring homomorphism of Δ . Therefore, $\delta(\Delta) = \Delta$ from the above and so $A_n = \Delta$ as a two-sided Δ -module, if $A_n \neq 0$. Now we may assume $A_k = \Delta$ and $A_{k+1} = \dots = A_n = 0$. We shall show $A_2 \neq 0$. Assume $A_2 = A_3 = \dots = A_{s-1} = 0$ and $A_s = \Delta$ for some $s \leq k$. We put $D = \sum_{p>s+1}^n \oplus Re_p$. Then $\bar{R} = R/D$

$$\approx \begin{pmatrix} \Delta & 0 & 0 & \dots & \Delta \\ & \Delta & \begin{matrix} \square \\ \vdots \\ \square \end{matrix} & 0 & E_2 \\ & & \Delta & \begin{matrix} \square \\ \vdots \\ \square \end{matrix} & E_3 \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & E_{s-1} \\ & & & & \Delta \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.7).$$

Since $e_1\bar{R}$ is \bar{R} -injective, $E_2 = \dots = E_{s-1} = 0$ by Lemma 3. However, R_1 is indecomposable and is of the standard form. Hence, $E_{s-1} \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Accordingly, $A_2 \neq 0$ and $e_2Re_k \neq 0$ by Lemma 3. Again, since R_1 is of standard form, $e_jRe_k \neq 0$ for $j \leq k$. Therefore, $R \approx T_n(\Delta)/C$.

Lemma 10 ([9], Theorems 17 and 18). *Let R be a two-sided indecomposable basic and generalized uni-serial ring. If there exists a primitive idempotent e such that eR is simple, then R is isomorphic to $T_n(\Delta)/C$.*

Proof. R satisfies (F*) by Corollary 1 to Lemma 2. First we assume $J^2 = 0$. We use the same notations in the proof of Lemma 7. We assume $m = 0$ and e_nR is simple. Since R is a QF-ring, e_nR is a two-sided ideal. Hence, R is a division ring. If $m \neq 0$, we obtain the form (2.2) and so (2.3). Hence, we can use the same argument. In general case, noting that e_1R is not simple in (2.3), we can use the induction. Therefore, Lemma 8 is true for the ring in the lemma. Again we can use the same argument in the proof of Lemma 9.

References

[1] C. Faith: *Rings with ascending condition on annihilators*, Nagoya Math. J. **27** (1966), 178-191.
 [2] M. Harada: *QF-3 and semi-primary PP-rings I*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 357-368.
 [3] ———: *Hereditary semi-primary rings and tri-angular matrix rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **27** (1966), 463-484.
 [4] ———: *Note on hollow modules*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **28** (1978), 186-194.
 [5] ———: *Non-small modules and non-cosmall modules*, to appear in Report of Conference of ring theory at Antwerp, 1978.
 [6] Y. Kawada: *A generalization of Morita's theorem concerning generalized uniserial algebras*, Proc. Japan Acad. **34** (1958), 404-406.
 [7] J.P. Jans: *Projective, injective modules*, Pacific J. Math. **9** (1959), 1103-1108.

- [8] W.W. Leonard: *Small modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 527–531.
- [9] I. Murase: *On the structure of generalized uni-serial rings I*, Sci. Papers College Gen. Ed. Univ. Tokyo **13** (1963), 1–22.
- [10] T. Nakayama: *On Frobenius algebras II*, Ann of Math. **42** (1941), 1–21.
- [11] M. Osima: *Notes on basic rings*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **2** (1952–53), 103–110.
- [12] M. Rayar: *Small and cosmall modules*, Ph. D. Dissertation, Indiana Univ. 1971.
- [13] R.M. Thrall: *Some generalizations of quasi-Frobenius algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1948), 173–183.

Department of Mathematics
Osaka City University
Sugimoto-cho, Sumiyoshi-ku
Osaka 558, Japan