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Abstract

The bacterial flagellum, which is responsible for motility, is a reversible rotary motor powered by the electrochemical potential difference of protons or sodium ions across the cytoplasmic membrane. Five proteins, MotA, MotB, FliG, FliM, and FliN, are responsible for torque generation in the proton-driven flagellar motor. MotA and MotB form the stator complex of the motor in the cytoplasmic membrane, which also functions as a proton channel to couple proton flow with torque generation. FliG, FliM and FliN forms the C ring on the cytoplasmic side of the MS ring, which is composed of FliF, and acts as the rotor. Torque is generated by an electrostatic interaction between MotA and FliG. The proton-conductivity of the MotA/B complex is thought to be suppressed by a plug segment of MotB when the MotA/B complex is not assembled into a motor. However, it remains unknown how the stator complex is installed into the motor, how its proton-conductivity is activated, and how the proton flow through the proton channel is coupled with torque generation.

To clarify the regulatory mechanism of the proton-conductivity of the MotA/B complex, I measured the proton-conductivity of the plugged and unplugged MotA/B complex of Salmonella using a pH-sensitive green fluorescent protein, pHluorin, and showed that the proton-conductivity of the MotA/B complex not incorporated into the motor was two orders of magnitude lower than that of the complex that was incorporated and activated one. This proton leakage was, however, large enough to change the cytoplasmic pH to a level at which the chemotactic signal transduction system responds.

To investigate the stator assembly mechanism, I constructed Salmonella
strains expressing GFP-MotB and MotA-mCherry and studied their subcellular localization by fluorescence microscopy. I showed that the process of proton translocation through the channel is not required for stator assembly. I also showed that over-expression of MotA significantly reduced the number of stators in a rotating motor and hence considerably inhibited wild-type motility. These results suggest that MotA alone can be installed into the flagellar motor. And I showed that the electrostatic interaction of the cytoplasmic loop of MotA with FliG is required for the efficient assembly of the stators around the rotor.

The proton influx coupled with flagellar motor rotation must be measured with high spatial and temporal resolution to understand the energy coupling mechanism. I introduced the M153R mutation to pH sensitive fluorescent protein pHluorin to improve the stability and brightness. And I constructed *Salmonella* cells with its flagellar motor components (MotB or FliG) fused to pHluorin(M153R) for measuring pH around the motor. Next I have developed an intracellular pH imaging system that can measure local pH using the pHluorin probe. This system can measure the intracellular pH distribution with a pH resolution of 0.02 and a time resolution of 3 msec. Future experiments will use this technique to investigate the energy conversion mechanism of the flagellar motor by simultaneously measuring proton influx and motor rotation at the single-motor level.
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Introduction

Bacterial flagellum

Many bacteria can swim towards favorable conditions in liquid environments by rotating long helical filaments called flagella (Fig. 1A) [Berg, 2003; Blair, 2003]. At the base of each flagellum, there is a rotary motor powered by an electrochemical potential gradient of specific ions across the cytoplasmic membrane (Fig. 1B) [Berg and Anderson, 1973; Silverman and Simon, 1974; Larsen et al., 1974; Manson et al., 1977; Matsuura et al., 1977; Ravid et al., 1984].

When bacteria swim straight, the motors rotate CCW and the filaments form a bundle that produces thrust. By quick reversal rotation of the motor to CW, the bundle is disrupted so that bacteria tumble and change their swimming direction to move toward more favorable environments from less favorable ones (Fig. 1C) [Macnab and Ornston, 1974; Turner et al., 2000]. *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* response to their chemical environments via receptors such as Tar and Tsr. These receptors have a remarkable ability to sense chemoattractants, chemorepellents, temperature and pH. Tar and Tsr are transmembrane proteins having periplasmic aspartate or serine binding domains, respectively. The cytoplasmic domains of receptors bind a histidine kinase CheA, and an adaptor protein CheW. The autophosphorylation activity of CheA is regulated by binding of chemoeffector to receptors. Then this phospho group is transferred to the response regulator CheY. Phosphorylated CheY (CheY-P) binds to the cytoplasmic face of flagellar motor, resulting in changing the direction of flagellar motor to CW rotation [Perkinson et al., 1993; Armitage, 1999; Sourjik, 2004].
Energy source of the flagellar motor

The source of energy for rotation of the bacterial flagellar motor is not ATP hydrolysis but proton motive force, the electrochemical gradient that allows inward-directed flow of protons across the cytoplasmic membrane [Larsen et al., 1974; Manson et al., 1977; Matsuura et al., 1977; Ravid et al., 1984]. It was shown that motility of Bacillus subtilis, a gram-positive bacterium, was inhibited by a combination of valinomycin and a high concentration of potassium ions in the medium at neutral pH [Matsuura et al., 1977]. The inhibition of motility was due to a decrease in the electric potential difference of the proton motive force because valinomycin is a passive carrier for potassium across the cytoplasmic membrane. This confirmed earlier indications that the motor was ion-driven [Larsen et al., 1974]. The existence of Na\(^+\)-driven motors in alkalophilic Bacillus and Vibrio species was first demonstrated by the dependence of flagellar motility upon sodium concentration and its insensitivity to proton ionophores that collapse the PMF [Chernyak et al., 1983; Hirota and Imae, 1983; Hirota et al., 1981]. There is significant similarity between the mechanisms of proton and sodium motors.

Structure of the bacterial flagellum

The flagellum is a large macromolecular complex composed of about 30 different proteins with copy numbers ranging from a few to a few tens of thousands (Fig. 2A) [DeRosier, 1998; Berg, 2003; Macnab, 2003]. The flagellum consists of at least three parts: the basal body, the hook and the filament (Fig. 1B) [DePamphilis and Adler, 1971a, b]. The basal body is embedded within the cell membranes and acts as a reversible rotary motor [Macnab and Ornston, 1974; Nakamura et al., 2010]. The hook and filament extend outwards in the cell exterior. The filament acts as a helical
propeller. The hook exists between the basal body and filament and functions as a universal joint to smoothly transmit torque produced by the motor to the helical filament [Wagenknecht et al., 1981; Smatey et al., 2004].

The basal body includes the C-ring, the MS-ring, the protein export apparatus, the rod and the LP-ring. The C-ring and MS-ring form the rotor, which is surrounded by the stator units. The flagellar protein export apparatus is postulated to be located in a putative central pore of the MS-ring to unfold and translocate flagellar protein subunits into the central channel of the growing flagellum to construct the flagellum beyond the cell membranes [Minamino and Macnab, 1999, 2000]. The rod is the drive shaft that connects the MS-ring with the hook [Okino et al., 1989; Minamino et al., 2000]. The LP-ring is embedded in the peptidoglycan layer and the outer membrane and acts as a molecular bushing (Fig. 2) [Jones et al., 1989]. In E. coli and Salmonella, five flagellar proteins, MotA, MotB, FliG, FliM and FliN, are responsible for torque generation [Ueno et al., 1992; Khan et al., 1992; Francis et al., 1994; Kojima and Blair, 2004]. MotA and MotB are transmembrane proteins that form the stator as well as the proton channel (Fig. 3) [Block and Berg, 1984; Blair and Berg, 1988, 1990; Wilson and Macnab, 1990; Stolz and Berg, 1991, Tang et al., 1997; Morimoto et al., 2010a]. FliG, FliM and FliN form the C-ring on the cytoplasmic face of the MS-ring [Francis et al., 1994] and are responsible not only for torque generation but also for switching the direction of motor rotation [Yamaguchi et al., 1986]. Torque is generated by the electrostatic interactions of the cytoplasmic loop of MotA with FliG [Zhou and Blair, 1997; Zhou et al., 1998a].

Function of MotA and MotB

The MotA/B complex consists of four copies of MotA and two copies of MotB (Fig. 2-4).
A highly conserved aspartic acid residue of *Salmonella* MotB, Asp-33, plays an important role in the proton-relay mechanism [Sharp *et al.*, 1995; Togashi *et al.*, 1997; Zhou *et al.*, 1998b; Che *et al.*, 2008; Morimoto *et al.*, 2010a]. MotA consists of four transmembrane spans (TM1-TM4), two short periplasmic loops and two extensive cytoplasmic regions (Fig. 3) [Kojima and Blair, 2001, 2004]. Two conserved charged residues, Arg-90 and Glu-98, in the cytoplasmic loop between TM2 and TM3 interact electrostatically with charged residues in the C-terminal domain of FliG to produce torque [Zhou and Blair, 1997; Zhou *et al.*, 1998a]. A high-resolution observation of flagellar motor rotation has revealed a fine stepping motion with 26 steps per revolution, and the number corresponds to that of FliG subunits in the C ring [Suzuki *et al.*, 2004; Sowa *et al.*, 2005]. Two highly conserved proline residues of MotA, Pro-173 and Pro-222, are postulated to be involved in cyclic conformational changes of the cytoplasmic loop of MotA complex that is coupled with proton translocation [Braun *et al.*, 1999; Kojima and Blair, 2001; Nakamura *et al.*, 2009b] The TM3 and TM4 helices form a proton pathway along with MotB-TM [Braun *et al.*, 2004]. Stator complexes function with surrounding the basal body (Fig. 5). About 11 copies of MotA/B are installed into a motor to act as stators [Reid *et al.*, 2006]. Stator resurrection experiments have shown that abrupt drops in the rotation rate occur frequently [Block and Berg, 1984; Blair and Berg, 1988; Sowa *et al.*, 2005]. Consistently, total reflection fluorescence microscopy has revealed a turnover of GFP-fused MotB between the membrane pool and the basal body [Leake *et al.*, 2006]. These results suggest that the association between MotA/B and its target site on the basal body are highly dynamic although MotB has a highly conserved peptidoglycan (PG)-binding motif in its C-terminal periplasmic domain (MotBc) [De Mot and Vanderleyden, 1994; Kojima *et al.*, 2009].
Interestingly, it has been shown that polar localization of the PomA/B complex of *Vibrio alginolyticus*, which are homologs of the MotA/B complex and acts as the stator of the motor fueled by the sodium motive force across the cytoplasmic membrane (SMF), is greatly affected by changes in the external concentration of sodium ions [Fukuoka et al., 2009]. This suggests that sodium ions are required not only for torque generation but also for the efficient assembly process of PomA/B [Fukuoka et al., 2009]. Furthermore, *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 has two distinct MotA/B and PomA/B stators within a single flagellar motor and selects for which stators to use at the level of protein localization in response to sodium concentration [Paulick et al., 2009] (Fig. 6).

It has been proposed that the plug segment of MotB, which consists of residues 53-66, just after its single transmembrane segment, inserts into a proton channel within the cytoplasmic membrane to prevent from premature proton translocation through the channel before association with a motor and that upon stator assembly in the motor, the plug leaves the channel, allowing the stator to conduct protons [Hosking et al., 2006; Morimoto et al., 2010a]. Dimerization of MotB_C is proposed to be responsible for the proper targeting and stable anchoring of the MotA/B complex to the putative stator binding sites of the basal body [Kojima et al., 2008, 2009]. A deletion variant of *Salmonella* MotB, MotB(Δ51-100), missing residues 51-100, can form a functional stator along with MotA [Muramoto and Macnab, 1998; Kojima et al., 2009]. Since the crystal structure of *Salmonella* MotB_C indicates that MotB_C is so small that MotB(Δ51-100) cannot reach the PG layer, it is proposed that an interaction between MotA and FliG may trigger conformational changes in MotB_C that open the proton channel and allow the stator to be anchored to the PG layer when the stator encounters a rotor of the motor [Kojima et al., 2009]. Although a
low-resolution structure of the entire basal body containing the stator complexes has been visualized by electron cryotomography (Fig. 6B) [Murphy et al., 2006, Liu et al., 2009, Kudryashev et al., 2010], the stators are missing in highly purified flagellar basal bodies [Thomas et al., 2001, 2006]. Therefore, it is still unclear how the MotA/B complex is localized to the basal body.

The first chimera reported was made by replacing PomA in the Na⁺-driven *Vibrio alginolyticus* motor with the highly homologous MotA from the H⁺-driven *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* motor [Asai et al., 1999]. The resulting chimera was driven by Na⁺, which ruled out the possibility that ion selectivity was determined by the A stator protein. Following functional chimeras have been replaced both A and B stator proteins, the C-terminal domain of FliG and the C- and N-terminal domains of MotB or PomB, into species with a motor that works by a different type of ion [Asai et al., 2000, 2003; Gosink and Hase, 2000; Yorimitsu et al., 2003]. These results have demonstrated that there is no single determining component for ion selectivity. *Vibrio* specific motor protein, MotX and MotY are not required to specify ion selectivity, but they are required for anchoring sodium stators [McCarter, 1994a, b]. MotX and MotY form the T ring in the periplasmic space, which is not found in *E. coli* and *Salmonella* [Terashima et al., 2006; Thomas et al., 2006]. Furthermore, in *Bacillus subtilis*, two separate stator systems, designated MotA/B and MotP/S, are functionally linked to a single peritrichous flagella system. Interestingly, MotA/B is proton-driven and MotP/S is driven by sodium [Ito et al., 2004]. And in *Bacillus clausii* MotA/B, single stator-rotor uses both protons and sodium ions for ion coupling, depending upon the external pH [Terahara et al., 2008].
Proton motive force

A molecular motor is a machine that converts chemical or electrical energy to mechanical work. It works close to the level of thermal energy. In the bacterial flagellar motor of such as *E. coli* and *Salmonella*, proton through the cytoplasmic membrane is defined as PMF. The PMF consists of an electrical voltage and a chemical component of concentration difference across the membrane and is defined as

\[
PMF = \Delta \Psi - \frac{kT}{e} \ln \frac{[H^+]_{\text{out}}}{[H^+]_{\text{in}}}
\]

where \( \Delta \Psi \) is the membrane potential (inside minus outside) and \( k \), \( T \) and \( e \) are Boltzmann’s constant, absolute temperature and the charge, respectively.

In the study of flagellar motor, the only measurement of ion flux was based on shifts in the rate of pH change of a weakly buffered dense suspension of swimming *Streptococcus*, when motors were stopped by cross-linking their filaments with anti-filament antibody [Meister *et al.*, 1987]. The estimated flux was around 1200 H\(^+\) per revolution per motor. Kami-ike *et al.* directly controlled the membrane voltage at the flagellar motor by voltage clamp using custom-made micropipettes, and monitored motor rotation simultaneously [Kami-ike *et al.*, 1991]. With this method, it was shown that speed was proportional to the applied voltage up to -150 mV [Fung and Berg, 1995], consistent with earlier measurements of the speed of tethered gram-positive bacteria, *Streptococcus* and *Bacillus*, energized by a K\(^+\) diffusion potential [Manson *et al.*, 1980; Khan *et al.*, 1985; Meister and Berg, 1987].

Torque versus speed

In order to understand the mechanism of flagellar motor rotation, it is essential to
know in detail the relationship between input energy and output work. The input energy for flagellar motor rotation is derived from proton flux through the MotA/B complex by the proton-motive force across the cytoplasmic membrane, and the work of the motor is characterized by its torque and rotation rate.

The most common method for investigating flagellar rotation has been the tethered cell method (Fig. 7A) [Silverman and Simon, 1974]. In this method, a bacterial cell tethered by its flagellum on the glass slide rotates its cell body at around 10 Hz. This method does not require any specialized equipment to measure the rotation rate. Conventional microscopic video recording of rotating cells is sufficient. However, the motors rotate at around 10 Hz due to high loads of own cell body rotation imposed on the motor. The flagella rotate at approximately 300 Hz with near zero load [Yuan and Berg, 2008]. In an extension of the tethered cell method, the method of electro-rotation has been introduced to measure the motor rotation at high speed. This method controls the rotation rate of cells by applying external torque and clarifies the torque-speed relationship of the motor [Berg and Turner, 1993; Washizu et al., 1993]. However, this method is not sufficient due to artifacts arising from angular variation in the torque generated by the electro-rotation apparatus.

Recently, the bead assay method has been developed to measure the motor rotation rate by tracking beads attached to the filament (Fig. 7B). This technique has several advantages to the previous methods such as less damage on cells and an easy set-up protocol [Chen and Berg, 2000b; Ryu et al., 2000]. In this method, the motor rotation rate can be measured within different ranges of speeds by changing the size of bead.

In bead assay method, the torque-speed relationship of the flagellar motor was determined [Chen and Berg, 2000a, b], and novel information about the motor
properties was obtained. Motor torque remained approximately constant from zero speed (stall) to the knee point and sharply decreased to zero beyond the knee point. In the low-speed regime, up to the knee point, torque was independent of temperature [Chen and Berg, 2000b], and solvent isotope effects by D$_2$O were relatively small [Chen and Berg, 2000a]. Therefore, at low speeds, the motor operates in thermodynamic equilibrium where proton translocation rates are not limiting. However, in the high-speed regime, motor torque dropped linearly with increased speed. In this high-speed regime, torque was strongly temperature dependent [Chen and Berg, 2000b], and solvent isotope effects were large [Chen and Berg, 2000a]. Thus, at high speed, the motor operates far from thermodynamic equilibrium and the proton translocation rate became a rate-limiting factor for the torque generation cycle.

The torque-speed relationship is consistent with a simulation result predicted by the power stroke model [Iwazawa et al., 1993; Berry and Berg 1999; Xing et al., 2006], in which chemical energy is used directly to drive rotation, and not consistent with the thermal ratchet model in which chemical energy is used to rectify thermally driven movements of motor components. Therefore, the mechanical motion of the motor component during torque generation cycle is driven by the dissipation of proton free energy.

**Intracellular pH measurement**

To understand the energy coupling mechanism exactly, pH changes near the proton-driven motor should be measured. The measurement of cytoplasmic pH has been done using $^{31}$P nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) [Slonczewski et al., 1982; Minamino et al., 2003] or the transmembrane equilibration of radiolabeled permeant acids [Zilberatein et al., 1984]. However, these methods have limitations. $^{31}$P NMR, for
example, requires a high density of cell suspensions with OD$_{600}$ of 20 to 200 while radiolabeled permeant acids measure only the transmembrane pH difference. Furthermore, both methods measure cell suspensions, not single cells. To overcome these obstacles, it is used the pH-sensitive fluorescent probe such as fluorescent proteins or dye. pH-sensitive fluorescent proteins have shown to be capable of measuring intracellular pH [Kneen et al., 1998; Llopis et al., 1998; Miesenböck et al., 1998; Olsen et al., 2002; Wilks and Slonczewski, 2007]. In general, fluorescent proteins offer highly sensitivity and have no toxicity to living cells [Chalfie et al., 1994; Olsen et al., 2002] while GFP derivatives are ideal pH indicators during specific kinetic studies [Chattoraj et al., 1996; Hess et al., 2004]. This is especially true for ratiometric pHluorin, which has two excitation peaks that are changeable with pH in less than 0.5 ms allowing for rapid pH change detection (Fig. 8) [Miesenböck et al., 1998; Hess et al., 2004]. Another advantage is that the ratiometric probe is independent of other variables like fluorescent protein concentration. In previous reports, pH-dependent changes in fluorescent proteins have been observed using a spectrofluorophotometer [Wilks and Slonczewski, 2007]. Spectrophotometers, however, only measure the average of cell cultures in liquid medium, not single cells. And this method suffers from poor temporal resolution. On the other hand, fluorescence microscopy gets around these problems [Kneen et al., 1998; Llopis et al., 1998; Orij et al., 2009]. A general-purpose fluorescence microscopy detect fluorescence signal at low resolution under the requirement for calculation of subcellular local pH in a bacteria, hence we need an original high-resolution fluorescence microscopy for pH imaging.

**Focuses of my Ph. D. work**

In proton-driven flagellar motor, MotA and MotB form the stator complex and functions
as a proton channel to couple proton flow to torque generation. Torque is generated by an electrostatic interaction between MotA and FliG. However, it remains unknown how the stator complex is installed into the motor, how its proton-conductivity is activated, and how the proton flow through the proton channel is coupled to torque generation.

First, to clarify the regulatory mechanism of the proton-conductivity of the MotA/B complex, I measured the proton-conductivity of the plugged and unplugged MotA/B complex of *Salmonella* using a pH-sensitive green fluorescent protein, pHluorin. Next, to investigate the stator assembly mechanism, I constructed *Salmonella* strains expressing GFP-MotB and MotA-mCherry and studied their subcellular localization by fluorescence microscopy. Finally, I have developed a bright and stable pH sensitive fluorescent protein pHluorin(M153R) and an intracellular pH imaging system with high spatial and temporal resolution to measure the local pH around the flagellar motor.
Fig. 1. Bacterial flagella and bacterial behavior

(A) Electron micrograph of *Salmonella*. Bacteria swim by rotating flagella. The flagellum consists of a rotary motor and a helical filamentous propeller. The motor is at the base of the each filament. (B) Electron micrograph of the flagellum isolated from *Salmonella* wild-type strain (SJW1103). (C) A typical swimming pattern of *Salmonella* cells.
Fig. 2. Structure of bacterial flagellum

(A) Schematic diagram of the bacterial flagellum. (B) The structure of the flagellar basal body from *Salmonella typhimurium* obtained by electron cryomicroscopy (Modified from Thomas *et al.*, 2006).
Salmonella MotA and MotB consist of 295 and 309 amino acid residues, respectively. MotA has four transmembrane segments (TM1-TM4), two short periplasmic loops and two large cytoplasmic regions, while MotB has an N-terminal cytoplasmic region, one transmembrane segment (TM) and a large periplasmic domain containing a putative peptidoglycan-binding motif (PG). Charged residues, Arg-90, and Glu-98, which exist in the cytoplasmic loop of MotA, are responsible for the electrostatic interactions with charged residues of FliG to generate torque. Asp-33 of Salmonella MotB, which corresponds to Asp-32 of E. coli MotB, is located near the cytoplasmic end of its transmembrane segment and is postulated to be responsible for proton translocation through the proton conducting pathway formed by the MotA/B complex. N and C indicate the N and C termini of the proteins [Braun et al., 1999, 2004; Kojima et al., 2004; Che et al., 2008; Nakamura et al., 2009b]
Fig. 4. Arrangement of MotA and MotB transmembrane segments within the MotA/B complex

The complex consists of 4 copies of MotA and 2 copies of MotB. The view is from the periplasmic side of the membrane. The complex is postulated to have two proton conducting pathway shown by blue ellipsoids. Cross-linking experiments have shown that four MotA subunits are positioned with their TM3 (A3) and TM4 (A4) segments adjacent to the MotB dimer, and their TM1 (A1) and TM2 (A2) segments on the outside, although exact positions of TM1 and TM2 remains unknown (indicated by dashed lines) [Braun et al., 2004; Che et al., 2008].
Fig. 5. Stator complexes around the motor.

(A) Freeze fraction EM image of a ring of stators surrounding a hole left by the MS-ring in a *Streptococcus* motor. Fifteen stators can be counted in this motor. Depending on species, the number of stators found by this method. [Khan et al., 1988]. (B) The 3-D structure of the flagellar motor, obtained by electron cryotomography of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. The segmented structure was color coded as follows: rotor, green; C-ring and stator, blue; export apparatus, gray [Liu et al., 2009].
Fig. 6. Dependence on sodium concentration of the localization and type of stator units

Localization of the *Vibrio alginolyticus* PomA/B stator units is lost in the absence of Na\(^+\) but restored with increasing Na\(^+\) concentrations. In *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1, both types of stator units are expressed at all Na\(^+\) concentrations but motor function mainly depends on PomA/B stator units. However, when Na\(^+\) concentration is decreasing, localization of the MotA/B stator units increases while the localization of the PomA/B stator units decreases. [Fukuoka *et al.*, 2009; Paulick *et al.*, 2009; Delalez and Armitage, 2009]
Fig. 7. Measurement systems of flagellar motor rotation

(A) Schematic diagram of the tethered cell method. A cell is tethered to a microscope coverslip through a single flagellum and the cell body rotation is observed. (B) Schematic diagram of beads assay. A cell is fixed on a coverslip and a bead is attached to its flagellar filament. The position of the bead is detected.
Fig. 8. pH measurement using ratiometric pHLuorin

(A) Fluorescence-excitation spectra of ratiometric pHLuorin. (B) Standard curve. The fluorescence-excitation spectra of purified pHLuorin were recorded on a fluorescence spectrophotometer. Emission intensities of the pHLuorin probe were monitored at 508 nm. The 410/470-nm excitation ratios were calculated and converted to pH values. [Miesenböck et al., 1998; Morimoto et al., 2010a]
Chapter 1. Proton-conductivity assay of plugged and unplugged MotA/B proton channel by cytoplasmic pHluorin expressed in *Salmonella*

**Introduction**

Cell growth is not impaired by over-expression of either *E. coli* MotA/B or MotA alone [Stolz and Macnab, 1991; Wilson and Macnab, 1988]. In contrast, an in-frame deletion of residues 51-70 within the periplasmic domain of *E. coli* MotB, a region which is highly conserved among the MotB family, causes considerable proton leakage, thereby arresting cell growth [Hosking *et al.*, 2006]. This result suggests that the deleted region acts as a plug that interferes with proton channel formation, resulting in suppression of undesirable proton flow through the channel when the MotA/B complex is not assembled into the motor. Interestingly, however, neither motility nor cell growth is significantly impired by in-frame deletion of residues 51-100 in *Salmonella* MotB, which contains the putative plug segment [Muramoto and Macnab, 1998; Kojima *et al.*, 2009]. Therefore, it is not clear how the proton-conductivity of the *Salmonella* MotA/B complex is regulated.

In this study, I expressed pHluorin in *Salmonella* cells to study the effect of varying copy numbers of MotA/B and its unplugged variant on intracellular pH change to assay the proton-conductivity of the plugged and unplugged MotA/B complex. I show that the plug segment of *Salmonella* MotB suppresses proton leakage. However, the suppression is not very tight. Therefore, over-expression of the intact MotA/B complex results in a small decrease in the cytoplasmic pH that is sufficient to bias the motor towards CW rotation.
Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Unless mentioned otherwise, chemicals used in this study were produced by Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.

Media

Composition of Luria-Bertani broth medium (LB) : H₂O 1 l, Bacto™ Tryptone (Becton, Dickinson and Company) 10 g, Bacto™ Yeast Extract (Becton, Dickinson and Company) 5 g, NaCl 5 g; LA : LB 1 l, Bacto™ Agar (Becton, Dickinson and Company) 15 g; T-broth (TB) : H₂O 1 l, Bacto™ Tryptone 10 g, NaCl 5 g; Soft tryptone motility plates : TB 1 l, Bacto™ Agar 3.5 g; Tetracycline sensitive plates : H₂O 1 l, Bacto™ Tryptone 5 g, Bacto™ Yeast Extract 5 g, Bacto™ Agar 15 g, 12.5 mg/ml chlortetracycline hydrochloride 4 ml, NaCl 10 g, NaH₂PO₄·2H₂O 11.31 g, Fusaric acid 12 mg, 2 mg/ml Fuzaric acid 6 ml, 20 mM ZnCl₂ 5 ml; motility medium : 10 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.0, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10 mM sodium lactate.

Ampicillin and tetracycline were added as needed to the medium at a final concentration of 50 µg/ml, 15 µg/ml, respectively.

Bacterial strains and plasmids

All strains and plasmids used in this study chapter are listed in Table 1-1 and 1-2, respectively. NovaBlue (Novagen) was used as the recipient for cloning experiments. JR501 (r⁻ m⁺) was used for conversion of E. coli based plasmids to Salmonella compatibility. E. coli BL21(DE3)pLysS (Novagen) was used for overproduction of protein. All the Salmonella mutant strains were derived from SJW1103 (wild type for motility and chemotaxis). MMPH001 (ΔaraBAD::pHluorin) and YVM021
ΔfliC::pHluorin) were constructed using the λ-Red-mediated recombination [Datsenko and Wanner, 2000]. In YVM021 strain, TcR-element (tetRA) from transposon Tn10 were inserted at the 5' or 3' end of the fliC gene by PCR amplification of pHluorin gene with primers that had 5'- and 3'-flanking sequences with homology to either the 5'-40 bases of the upstream of amino acid codon or the 3'-40 bases of those downstream of amino acid codon followed by to replace the tetRA cassette with pHluorin gene to create the fusions expressed from the chromosomal fliC promoter. MMPH001, which is wild-type for motility and chemotaxis, expresses the pHluorin gene from the araBAD promoter on the chromosome. PCR primers used in construction of mutant strain were listed in Table 1-3.

DNA sequencing

DNA sequencing was performed with an Applied Biosystems 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Sources of DNA sequences were from Entrez Gene at NCBI.

Immunoblotting

Salmonella cells were grown overnight at 30ºC in LB with shaking. Cell pellets were suspended in a SDS-loading buffer and normalized by cell density to give a constant amount of cells. After the proteins in each fraction had been separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel (12.5% acrylamide) electrophoresis (PAGE), they were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with a transblotting apparatus (GE Healthcare). The membrane was then blocked for 1 hour with TBS-T (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20) containing 5% skim milk. After washing with TBS-T, the membrane was probed with polyclonal anti-MotA, anti-MotB antibody (MBL Co., Ltd) for 1 hour, respectively. After washing
three times with TBS-T, the membrane was incubated with Immun-Star Goat Anti-Rabbit (GAR)-HRP Conjugate (Bio-Rad Laboratories) for 1 hour and then washed three times with TBS-T. Detection was performed with the enhanced chemiluminescence immunoblotting detection kit (GE Healthcare) and Luminescent Image Analyzer LAS-3000 (FujiFilm).

**Swarming motility assay in semi-solid agars**

Fresh colonies were inoculated onto soft tryptone agar plates and incubated at 30°C.

**Swimming speed measurement**

*Salmonella* cells were grown at 30°C in LB until the cell density reached an OD$_{600}$ of ca. 1.0. Motility of the cells was observed at ca. 23°C under a phase contrast microscope (CH40, Olympus) and recorded on videotape or hard disk drive. The positions of individual cells were identified using Move-tr/2D software (Library Co., Ltd.) and swimming speed was determined.

**Beads assay**

*Salmonella* cells having sticky flagellar filaments were grown exponentially in LB at 30°C with shaking. The cells were passed through a 25G needle to partially shear their flagellar filaments. Bead assays with polystyrene beads with diameters of 1.5 and 0.8 µm (Invitrogen) were carried out. The rotation speed was measured by projecting the phase contrast image of each bead onto a quadrant photodiode through a 60×oil immersion objective lens as described previously. [Sowa et al., 2003, Che et al., 2008]. The data were recorded at 1.0 msec intervals by a 16-bit A-D board (Microscience) using the LaBDAQ software (Matsuyama Advance). The data
analyzed by a program developed based on the Igor Pro 6 software. All the experiments were done at ca. 23°C.

**Spectroscopy of pHluorin for intracellular pH measurement.**

Intracellular pH measurements were carried out at an external pH value of 5.5 using ratiometric pHluorin, a pH-sensitive green fluorescent protein [Miesenböck et al., 1998]. Glutathione S-transferase (GST)-pHluorin was purified from the soluble fractions of BL21(DE3)pLysS carrying pGST-pHluorin, by affinity chromatography with a GSTrap™HP column (GE Healthcare). After removal of the GST tag by thrombin, pHluorin was purified by affinity chromatography with the GST column to remove the GST tag and noncleaved GST-pHluorin, followed by gel-filtration chromatography. Excitation spectra were recorded using the spectrofluorophotometer (RF-5300PC, Shimadzu) over a range of wavelength from 350 to 500 nm (slit width, 5 nm) and by using an emission wavelength of 508 nm (slit width, 5 nm). Spectra were recorded twice under each condition. To generate the calibration curve, the 410/470-nm excitation ratios of purified pHluorin were determined at different pH values. The calibration curve was determined for each fluorophore and purified protein. A standard curve was fitted to the sigmoid curve and used to convert the 410/470 intensity ratio (R_{410/470}) to pH units.
Results

Effect of the plug segment of MotB on the proton-conductivity of the MotA/B complex

In-frame deletion of residues 51-100 in Salmonella MotB does not affect cell growth although the conserved plug segment is missing [Muramoto and Macnab, 1998; Kojima et al., 2009]. To confirm this, I measured the growth rate of Salmonella cells over-expressing the MotA/B(Δ51-100) complex and observed no growth impairment (Fig. 1-1A), indicating that a proton channel is not formed. Then, to test whether the plug segment suppresses proton leakage through Salmonella MotA/B complex in the similar way to that observed in E. coli MotB, an in-frame deletion variant of MotB, MotB(Δ52-71) missing residues 52-71, was co-expressed with MotA from the pBAD24-based plasmid. Cell growth was totally inhibited by induction of MotA/B(Δ52-71) but not by MotA/B (Fig. 1-1B), even though the expression level of MotA/B(Δ52-71) was about 5-fold lower than MotA/B expressed from the same vector and almost the same as that of MotA/B expressed from the chromosome (Fig. 1-1C).

To test whether the growth arrest is a consequence of proton leakage, I measured intracellular pH at an external pH value of 5.5 (Fig. 1-1D). While the intracellular pH of the cells expressing MotA/B from the plasmid did not change significantly after induction with arabinose, the intracellular pH decreased by approximately 0.35 units in 15 min, and 0.6 units in 60 min, after induction of MotA/B(Δ52-71). This finding is consistent with previous data [Hosking et al., 2006]. Thus, residues 52-71 of Salmonella MotB are critical for preventing proton leakage before association of the MotA/B complex with a motor.

Effect of the L119P and L119E mutations of MotB on the proton-conductivity of
the MotA/B(Δ51-100) complex

It has been shown that cell growth is severely impaired when MotB(Δ51-100) with the L119P or L119E mutation is co-expressed with MotA [Kojima et al., 2009]. To test whether the growth impairment results from proton leakage, I measured the intracellular pH. In agreement with a previous report [Kojima et al., 2009], over-expression of MotA/B(Δ51-100/L119P) and MotA/B(Δ51-100/L119E) resulted in significant growth impairment (Fig. 1-2A), although the expression levels of the double-mutant proteins were slightly lower than that of MotB(Δ51-100) (Fig. 1-2B). The intracellular pH of the cells over-expressing MotA/B(Δ51-100) with either of these point mutations dropped by ca. 0.32 units in 15 min after their induction, whereas no significant change in the intracellular pH of the MotA/B(Δ51-100)-expressing cells was observed (Fig. 1-2C). Interestingly, the drop in intracellular pH caused by MotA/B(Δ51-100) with the L119P or L119E mutation was smaller than that by MotA/B(Δ52-71). These results suggest that the L119P and L119E mutations alter a conformation of the MotA/B(Δ51-100), allowing protons to flow through the proton channel of the MotA/B(Δ51-100) to a considerable degree, as suggested before [Kojima et al., 2009].

Multicopy effect of the MotA/B complex on proton leakage

Accumulation of MotA/B in the cytoplasmic membrane up to a level of 5-fold greater than normal did not cause any proton leakage (Fig. 1-1). Therefore, I investigated the effect of further increases in the copy number of MotA/B on intracellular pH change. Quantitative immunoblotting revealed that the expression level of MotA/B from a plasmid, pKSS13, was about 50-fold higher than the chromosomal level (Fig. 1-3). At this level of expression, no significant growth impairment was observed (Fig. 1-7). The
intracellular pH was then measured in the MMPH001 cells transformed with pKSS13. Since it has been shown that the D33N mutation in MotB abolishes proton translocation through the MotA/B complex [Zhou et al., 1998], I used a plasmid, pKSS13(D33N), which encodes MotA/B(D33N), as a negative control. The amounts of MotA and MotB(D33N) expressed from pKSS13(D33N) were essentially the same as those from pKSS13 (Fig. 1-3A). There was a drop in the intracellular pH of 0.16 units for the MotA/B-expressing cells, but no change was observed with the MotA/B(D33N)-expressing cells (Fig. 1-3B), indicating that the small decrease in the intracellular pH by overproduced MotA/B is a consequence of the remaining proton conductivity of the plugged channel.

**Multicopy effect of the MotA/B complex on the motility of wild-type cells**

It has been shown that proton leakage caused by deletion of the plug segment in MotB results in a strong CW bias in motor rotation [Hosking et al., 2006]. Therefore, I investigated whether overproduction of MotA/B influences motility of wild-type cells in semi-solid agar plates. When MotA/B was overproduced, the colony size decreased significantly compared to the vector control (Fig. 1-4A). Since cell growth was not impaired by over-expression of the MotA/B (data not shown), I conclude that the reduced colony size is not a consequence of the growth impairment.

MotA interacts with the switch protein FliG, which is not only responsible for torque generation and switching of rotational direction of the motor, but is also needed for export of flagellar proteins [Yamaguchi et al., 1986]. Excess MotA/B might titrate FliG away from the motor, resulting in inhibition of the protein export process. To test this possibility, I analyzed flagellar protein export by immunoblotting and found that the levels of secreted flagellar proteins were not affected by overproduction of MotA/B.
(data not shown). This result indicates that the poor swarming is not due to reduction in the export of flagellar proteins. Furthermore, high-intensity dark-field light microscopy revealed that flagellation and the swimming speed were normal in cells over-expressing MotA/B (data not shown).

I next investigated whether the decrease in chemotactic ring expansion results from either a reduced torque or a CW bias in motor rotation. I carried out beads assays for quantitative characterization of motor rotation. The rotation speeds were 80 to 90 Hz with 0.8 μm beads and 20 to 25 Hz with 1.5 μm beads, with or without overproduction of MotA/B (data not shown), indicating that torque generation is not affected. The motors of the cells carrying the vector rotated exclusively CCW under my experimental condition (Fig. 1-4B left panel). In contrast, the cells overproducing MotA/B switched the direction of motor rotation frequently and stayed in CW rotation for much longer periods of time (Fig. 1-4B right panel). Tumbling cells were consistently more often observed in liquid media when MotA/B was over-expressed.

Chemotaxis occurs through changes in the CCW-CW bias of the motor rotation [Berg, 2003]. I therefore introduced a ΔcheA-cheZ deletion, which causes motors to rotate only in the CCW direction, and found that the motor rotation of the cells overproducing MotA/B remained exclusively CCW (data not shown). These results suggest that the decrease in colony expansion seen in semi-solid agar results from an increased probability of tumbling.

**Effect of small intracellular pH changes on *Salmonella* motility**

To further investigate whether *Salmonella* cells actually respond to decreases in the intracellular pH as small as 0.16 units, I analyzed motility and intracellular pH at an
external pH value of 7.0 in the presence of varying concentrations of potassium benzoate. Benzoate is able to cross the cytoplasmic membrane in the neutral form and then release a hydrogen ion, thereby lowering the intracellular pH [Kihara and Macnab, 1981]. The colony size in soft tryptone agar decreased as the concentration of benzoate was increased (Fig. 1-5A). In agreement with a previous report [Kihara and Macnab, 1981], such impaired motility results from an increase in the probability of tumbling (data not shown). Intracellular pH also decreased as the benzoate concentration increased (Fig. 1-5B). Benzoate did not affect the fluorescence excitation spectra of pHluorin (data not shown). These results indicate that a small change in intracellular pH affects chemotaxis.
Discussion

The MotA/B complex acts as a proton channel of the proton-driven flagellar motor. Since in-frame deletion of residues 51-70 in *E. coli* MotB, a region just after the MotB-TM, causes significant proton leakage and arrests cell growth, the deleted region has been proposed to act as a plug that prevents the MotA/B complex from leaking protons before it assembles into the motor [Hosking *et al.*, 2006]. However, in-frame deletion of residues 51-100 in *Salmonella* MotB, which contain the putative plug segment, does not affect cell growth, although MotA/B(Δ51-100) is still functional as the stator [Muramoto and Macnab, 1998; Kojima *et al.*, 2009]. Therefore, I analyzed the role of the plug segment in *Salmonella* MotB and found that in-frame deletion of residues 52-71 causes a considerable decrease in the intracellular pH and arrests cell growth (Fig. 1-1). This suggests that the deleted segment acts as the plug in a similar way to the corresponding region of *E. coli* MotB. Since the expression level of MotA/B(Δ52-71) from the plasmid pYC109 was the same as that of chromosomal expression of MotA/B, the proton-conductivity of unplugged MotA/B seems to show its full channel activity, thereby stopping growth.

Growth was not impaired by over-expression of MotA/B(Δ51-100) (Fig. 1-1A), in agreement with a previous report [Kojima *et al.*, 2009], indicating that a proton-channel of the MotA/B(Δ51-100) complex is not formed although the plug is missing. It has been shown that the introduction of the L119P or L119E substitution into MotB(Δ51-100) causes growth impairment [Yamaguchi *et al.*, 1984]. Consistently, these mutations at Leu-119 allowed MotA/B(Δ51-100) to leak protons to a significant degree (Fig. 1-2). Therefore, it is possible that some other region within the periplasmic domain of MotB regulates proper proton-channel formation at least in the MotB(Δ51-100) protein, as suggested before [Kojima *et al.*, 2009].
To compare the proton conductivities between plugged and unplugged MotA/B, I analyzed the effect of varying copy numbers of MotA/B on motility, motor function and intracellular pH. An increase of 50-fold in the expression level of MotA/B impaired chemotaxis in soft agar, and decreased the intracellular pH by 0.16 units. These cells also a strong CW-bias in motor rotation (Fig. 1-2 and 1-3). CW-biased rotation was not observed in a ΔcheA-cheZ non-chemotactic and smooth-swimming mutant (data not shown), providing additional evidence that over-expression affects motility by changing chemotaxis behavior. From the expression level of MotA/B and the decrease in the intracellular pH, the proton conductivity of MotA/B not incorporated into the motor is estimated to be two orders of magnitude lower than that of the unplugged, activated channel, but it is significant enough to change the cytoplasmic pH sufficiently to evoke a repellent response.

50-fold over-expression of the MotA/B resulted in a small proton leakage (Fig. 1-3), indicating that the conserved plug segment in MotB does not tightly suppress proton leakage. There are two possible reasons: 1) the plug may breathe in and out of the cytoplasmic membrane, as suggested previously [Hosking et al., 2006], or 2) even with the plug place in there is some leakage.

Finally, I show here that a change in the intracellular pH as small as ca. 0.2 units can be detected either by pHluorin expressed in the cell or by the chemotaxis signal transduction system of Salmonella. This observation suggests that the proton conductivity of potential, but unproven, transmembrane proton channels and conductors may be done easily and quickly by expressing the proteins to be characterized in Salmonella cells expressing cytoplasmic pHluorin. Therefore, the Salmonella MMPH001 strain can be used as a potentially powerful tool for determining of the proton-conductivity of membrane proteins.
Fig. 1-1. Effects of deletion of the plug segment of Salmonella MotB on cell growth and proton leakage. (A) Growth curve of SJW2241 (ΔmotAB) harboring pNSK9 (wild-type MotA/B, indicated as WT) (open circles) or pTSK30 (MotA/B(Δ51-100), indicated as Δ51-100) (closed circles). The arrow indicates the time when 1 mM IPTG was added. The optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) was measured. (B) Growth curve of SJW2241 expressing wild-type MotA/B (open circles) or MotA/B(Δ52-71) (indicated as Δ52-71) (closed circles). The arrow indicates the time when 1 mM arabinose was added. (C) Immunoblotting, using polyclonal anti-MotB antibody, of total proteins from Salmonella cells transformed with the pBAD24-based plasmids: lane 1, SJW1103 (wild-type) carrying pBAD24 (V); lane 2, SJW2241 transformed with pYC20 (WT); lane 3, SJW2241 harboring pYC109 (Δ52-71). (D) Measurement of the intracellular pH of YVM021 cells (ΔfliC::pHluorin) using the pHluorin probe after induction of MotA/B or MotB(Δ52-71) expression at an external pH of 5.5.
**Fig. 1-2.** Effects of the L119P and L119E mutations on cell growth and proton leakage. (A) Growth curve of SJW2241 transformed with pTSK30 (MotA/B(Δ51-100)) (open circles), pTSK30(L119P) (MotA/B(Δ51-100/L119P), indicated as Δ51-100/L119P) (closed squares) or pTSK30(L119E) (MotA/B(Δ51-100/L119E), indicated as Δ51-100/L119E) (closed triangles). The arrow indicates the time when 1 mM IPTG was added. (B) Immunoblotting, using polyclonal anti-MotB antibody, of total proteins from the same transformants. (C) Measurements of intracellular pH of YVM021 cells carrying pTrc99A (V), pTSK30 (Δ51-100), pTSK30(L119P) or pTSK30(L119E) at an external pH of 5.5.
Fig. 1-3. Effect of different levels of MotA/B expression on intracellular pH changes. (A) Immunoblotting, using polyclonal anti-MotA and anti-MotB antibodies, of whole-cell proteins of MMPH001 (ΔaraBAD::pHfluorin) harboring pKK223-3 (V), pKSS13 (MotA/B) or pKSS13(D33N) (MotA/B(D33N)). To estimate the level of MotA/B, samples of MMPH001 with pKSS13 were diluted at 1/10, 1/50, and 1/100 (lower panel). (B) Measurements of intracellular pH for the same transformants at external pH 5.5.
Fig. 1-4. Multicopy effect of MotA/B on motility of wild-type cells. (A) Motility of MMPH001 cells transformed with pKK223-3 (V) and pKSS13 (MotA/B) in tryptone semi-solid agar. Plates were incubated for 6 h at 30°C. (B) Measurement of CCW and CW rotation of a flagellar motor using the bead assays with 1.5 μm polystyrene beads.
Fig. 1-5. Effects of motility and intracellular pH on wild-type cells in the presence of various concentrations of potassium benzoate at external pH 7.0. (A) Colonies of MMPH001 cells in tryptone semi-solid agar at pH 7.0 with 0, 5, 10, and 20 mM potassium benzoate. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 6h. (B) Intracellular pH was measured with the pHluorin probe.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. coli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NovaBlue</td>
<td>Recipient for cloning experiments</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21(DE3) pLysS</td>
<td>T7 expression host</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salmonella</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR501</td>
<td>For converting plasmids to <em>Salmonella</em> compatibility</td>
<td>Ryu and Hartin (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW1103</td>
<td>Wild type for motility and chemotaxis</td>
<td>Yamaguchi <em>et al.</em> (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW46</td>
<td>$fliC(Δ^{204-292})$</td>
<td>Yoshioka <em>et al.</em> (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW2241</td>
<td>$Δ(motA-motB)$</td>
<td>Komoriya <em>et al.</em> (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW3076</td>
<td>$Δ(cheA-cheZ)$</td>
<td>Osawa and Imae (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMPH001</td>
<td>$ΔaraBAD::pHfluorin$</td>
<td>Morimoto <em>et al.</em> (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM021</td>
<td>$ΔfliC::pHfluorin$</td>
<td>Morimoto <em>et al.</em> (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmids</td>
<td>Relevant characteristics</td>
<td>Source or reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKK223-3</td>
<td>Cloning vector</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTrc99A</td>
<td>Cloning vector</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAD24</td>
<td>Cloning vector</td>
<td>Guzman et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKSS13</td>
<td>pKK223-3 / MotA+MotB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKSS13(D33N)</td>
<td>pKK223-3 / MotA+MotB(D33N)</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYC001</td>
<td>pKK223-3 / pHluorin</td>
<td>Nakamura et al. (2009a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNSK9</td>
<td>pTrc99A / MotA+MotB</td>
<td>Che et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTSK30</td>
<td>pTrc99A / MotA+MotB(Δ51-100)</td>
<td>Kojima et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTSK30(L119P)</td>
<td>pTrc99A / MotA+MotB(Δ51-100/L119P)</td>
<td>Kojima et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTSK30(L119E)</td>
<td>pTrc99A / MotA+MotB(Δ51-100/L119E)</td>
<td>Kojima et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYC20</td>
<td>pBAD24 / MotA+MotB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYC109</td>
<td>pBAD24 / MotA+MotB(Δ52-71)</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGST-pHluorin</td>
<td>pGEX2T / GST-pHluorin</td>
<td>Miesenböck et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1-3. Primers used in Chapter 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FliC-tetR</td>
<td>gcaacagcccaataacatcaagttgtaattgataaggaatagacccactttcacatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliC-tetA</td>
<td>cgctgccttgattgttgacccggtcgtgaatcaatcgctagcactttgcgtctcctg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliC-pH-Fw</td>
<td>cagcccaataacatcaagttgtaattgataaggaatagacccggtcgtgaatcaatcgctagcactttgcgtctcctg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliC-pH-Rv</td>
<td>gccttgatttgattcaccggtcgtgaatcaatcgccggtcgtgaatcaatcgctagcactttgcgtctcctg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Chapter 2. Charged residues in the cytoplasmic loop of MotA are required for stator assembly into the bacterial flagellar motor

Introduction

The proton channel of the MotA/B complex is also regulated to open only upon stator assembly by the plug segment consisting of residues 53-66 of MotB, which is just after its single transmembrane segment. The deletion of the plug segment results in proton leakage through free MotA/B complexes not assembled into the motor and thereby impairs cell growth [Hosking et al., 2006; Morimoto et al., 2010a]. Interestingly, however, the deletion of residues 51-100 of Salmonella MotB still allows a functional stator to be formed with MotA [Muramoto and Macnab, 1998; Kojima et al., 2009]. But the crystal structure of a MotB$_C$ fragment corresponding to residues 99-276 of MotB indicates that it is too small to reach the PG layer if connected directly to the transmembrane helix by the deletion of residues 51-100, suggesting that a relatively drastic conformational change in the N-terminal portion of MotB$_C$ should occur upon stator assembly to allow the stator to be anchored and open the proton channel [Kojima et al., 2009]. Therefore, it is quite possible that an interaction between MotA and FliG initiates the binding of the MotA/B complex to the motor and trigger conformational changes in MotB$_C$.

Although the structures of the entire basal body containing the stators have been visualized by electron cryotomography [Murphy et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2009; Kudryashev et al., 2010], the resolution is still limited. Since the stator is missing in highly purified flagellar basal bodies [Minamino et al., 2008], relatively high-resolution structures of the basal body by electron cryomicroscopy and single particle image analysis do not show the binding mode of the stators [Thomas et al., 2006]. Therefore,
it is still unclear how the MotA/B complex is localized to the basal body to be the stator.

To understand the localization mechanism of the MotA/B complex, I investigated the subcellular localization of GFP-MotB and MotA-mCherry by fluorescence microscopy. I show that over-expression of MotA inhibits wild-type motility due to the reduced number of functional stators in the flagellar motor. This suggests that MotA can be installed into the motor even in the absence of MotB. I also show that the R90E mutation in the cytoplasmic loop of MotA significantly affects the subcellular localization of GFP-MotB, suggesting that the electrostatic interaction between the cytoplasmic loop of MotA and FliG is required for the efficient assembly of the stators around the rotor.
Materials and Methods

Media

L-broth (LB), semi-solid agar plates and motility medium were used as described in Chapter 1. Ampicillin was added to LB at a final concentration of 50 µg/ml.

Bacterial strains

All strains used in this chapter are listed in Table 2-1. All the Salmonella mutant strains were derived from SJW1103 (wild type for motility and chemotaxis). P22-mediated transduction was carried out as described [Yamaguchi et al., 1986]. To construct Salmonella gfp-fliG, motA-mCherry or gfp-motB strains, the fliG, motA or motB gene on the chromosome was replaced by the gfp-fliG, motA-mCherry or gfp-motB allele, respectively, by using the λ Red homologous recombination system developed by Datsenko and Wanner (2000). The gfp-fliG, motA-mCherry and gfp-motB alleles are placed under the control of their native promoters. PCR primers used in construction of strains were listed in Table 2-3.

Plasmids construction

All plasmid vectors used in this chapter are listed in Table 2-2. Plasmid expression vectors, pKK223-3 were used for overproduction of proteins, and pTrc99A and pBAD24 for complementation analysis. To construct plasmids carrying point mutations of motA and motB, site-directed mutagenesis was carried out by the QuikChange Site-directed mutagenesis method as described in the manufacturer’s instruction (Stratagene). PCR primers used in site-directed mutagenesis were listed in Table 2-3. Mutations were confirmed by DNA sequencing.
Preparation of whole cell proteins and immunoblotting

*Salmonella* cells were grown overnight at 30°C in LB with shaking. Cell pellets were suspended in a SDS-loading buffer and normalized by cell density to give a constant amount of cells. After sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), immunoblotting with polyclonal anti-MotA, anti-MotB, anti-GFP (MBL) and anti-RFP (MBL) antibodies was carried out as described in Chapter 1.

Swarming motility assay in semi-solid agars

Fresh colonies were inoculated onto soft tryptone agar plates and incubated at 30°C.

Swimming speed measurement

*Salmonella* cells were grown at 30°C in LB until the cell density reached an OD$_{600}$ of ca. 1.0. Motility of the cells was observed at ca. 23°C under a phase contrast microscope (CH40, Olympus) and recorded on hard disk drive. The positions of individual cells were identified using Move-tr/2D software (Library Co., Ltd.) and swimming speed was determined.

Fluorescence microscopy

To observe bacterial cell bodies and epi-fluorescence of GFP, mCherry and tetramethyl rhodamine methyl ester (TMRM), I used an inverted fluorescence microscope (IX-71, Olympus) with a 150× oil immersion objective lens (UApo150XOTIRFM, NA 1.45, Olympus) and an EMCCD camera (C9100-02, Hamamatsu Photonics). Epi-fluorescence was excited by a 100 W ultra-high-pressure mercury lamp (USHIO) with the following fluorescence mirror units: U-MGFPHQ (Olympus) for GFP; U-DM-CY3-2 (Olympus) for TMRM; and mCherry-A (Semrock) for
mCherry. Fluorescence images of GFP and mCherry were captured by the EMCCD camera with every 1-sec exposure. Sequential fluorescence images were also acquired every 100 ms. Fluorescence image processing was done with the ImageJ version 1.42 software (National Institutes of Health).

**Labeling of flagellar filaments with a fluorescent dye.**

*Salmonella* cells were attached to a cover slip (Matsunami glass, Japan), and unattached cells were washed away with motility medium. A 1 μl aliquot of polyclonal anti-FliC antibody and 1 μl of anti-rabbit IgG conjugated with Alexa Fluor® 594 (Invitrogen) were suspended in 100 μl of motility medium. A 50 μl aliquot of the buffer containing the fluorescent dye was applied to the cells attached to the cover slip. After washing with motility medium, cells were observed by a fluorescence microscope (Axio Observer, Carl Zeiss Microimaging) with a 100× oil immersion objective lens (αPlan-Apo 100x, NA 1.46, Carl Zeiss Microimaging) and a CCD camera (AxioCam MRm, Carl Zeiss Microimaging).

**Measurement of intensities of fluorescent spots of GFP-MotB.**

The fluorescence images of GFP-MotB before and after a CCCP treatment for 30 min were subjected to 10 iterations of 2D blind deconvolution using the AutoDeblur software (Media Cybernetics). Then, the intensity of each fluorescent spot was determined by fitting a two-dimensional Gaussian function with an image processing program developed based on the Igor Pro 6 software (WaveMetrics).

**Measurement of the membrane potential across the cytoplasmic membrane.**

The membrane potential was measured as described by Lo *et al* (2007). Cells were
suspended in motility medium plus 10 mM EDTA for 10 min. Cells were washed with motility medium and were incubated in motility medium containing 0.1 µM TMRM (Invitrogen) for 10 min at room temperature. Calculation of the membrane potential was carried out as described [Lo et al., 2007] with minor modifications.

**Measurement of intracellular pH.**

Intracellular pH measurement with a ratiometric fluorescent pH indicator protein, pHluorin [Miesenböck et al., 1998], was carried out at an external pH of 7.0 as described Chapter 1. The fluorescence-excitation spectra of MMPH001 (ΔaraBAD::pHluorin) expressing MotA were recorded by a fluorescence spectrophotometer (RF-5300PC, Shimadzu).

**Bead assays**

*Salmonella* MM3076iC cells harboring pKK223-3 or pKSS12 were grown in LB containing ampicillin for 4 hours at 30°C with shaking. Bead assays with polystyrene beads with diameters of 1.0 and 0.8 µm (Invitrogen) or with fluorescent beads with diameters of 0.5 µm (FluoSpheres® ‘yellow/green’, excitation 470 nm, emission 518 nm; Invitrogen) and 0.1 µm (FluoSpheres® ‘orange’, excitation 540 nm, emission 560 nm; Invitrogen) were carried out in Chapter 1. In the measurements using 1.0-µm and 0.8-µm beads, phase contrast images were projected onto a quadrant photodiode and the signals were recorded at 1 msec intervals. In the measurements using 0.5-µm and 0.1-µm fluorescent beads, fluorescence images were captured by an EMCCD camera (iXon, Andor) every 0.4 msec. The torque produced by the flagellar motor was determined as described previously [Sowa et al., 2003]. The frictional drag coefficients of a flagellar filament (f_l) and the bead attached to the filament (f_b) was
determined by the following equations.

\[ f_i = \frac{2\pi L r_i^2 (2p^2 + 4\pi^2 r_i^2) \eta}{(p^2 + 4\pi^2 r_i^2)(\ln(2p/d) - 0.5)} \]

\[ f_b = 8\pi \eta r_b^3 \]

\[ \eta = 9.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Ns/m}^2 \text{ (at } 25^\circ\text{C)} \], \( L = 4 \mu\text{m}, r_i = 200 \text{ nm}, p = 2.3 \mu\text{m}, d = 10 \text{ nm}, r_b \text{ and } r_i \) are the viscosity of the motility media, the filament length, the helical radius, the helical pitch, the radius of the filament, the radius of the bead and the radius of bead rotation, respectively. As the frictional drag coefficient is the sum of \( f_i \) and \( f_b \), the torque was calculated by \( T = \omega (f_i + f_b) \), where \( \omega \) is the angular velocity. To produce speed histograms, the rotation rate of each cell was sampled at 1 kHz for 10 sec and the average speed was determined from a power spectrum using 1-sec long data windows (1024 points) at 0.1 sec intervals (speed resolution was about 1 Hz) as described by Reid et al. (2006). The data analyzed by a program developed based on the Igor Pro 6 software. All the experiments were done at ca. 23ºC.
Results

Effect of the motB(D33N) mutation on the subcellular localization of the stator complex

A highly conserved aspartic acid residue of *Vibrio* PomB, Asp-24, is thought to be a Na⁺-binding site. The D24N mutation in PomB abolishes polar localization of the PomA/B complex, suggesting that the binding of Na⁺ to Asp-24 is critical for stator assembly into the motor [Fukuoka et al., 2009]. In contrast, the *E. coli* motB(D32N) and motB(D32A) alleles, which are non-functional, exert a strong negative-dominant effect on motility of wild-type cells, suggesting that the MotA/B(D32N) and MotA/B(D32A) complexes can be installed into the motor [Zhou et al., 1998b; Kojima and Blair, 2001]. Therefore, to investigate whether analogous D33N and D33A mutations of *Salmonella* MotB affect stator assembly, I constructed *Salmonella* strains that encode either GFP-MotB, GFP-MotB(D33N) or GFP-MotB(D33A) on the chromosome under the control of the promoter of the motAB operon and analyzed their subcellular localization by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 2-1). In agreement with a previous report [Leake et al., 2006], GFP-MotB was partially functional (Fig. 2-1A). Most of the GFP-MotB molecules were intact in the cells as judged by immunoblotting with polyclonal anti-MotB antibody although a very faint band of MotB was seen as a cleaved product (Fig. 2-1B). To examine the subcellular localization of GFP-MotB, cells expressing GFP-MotB were observed by epi-illumination fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 2-1C). I used a *Salmonella* strain encoding GFP-FliG as a positive control because FliG forms part of the C ring [Francis et al., 1994]. This strain was also partially functional (Fig. 2-1A) and GFP-FliG was mostly intact in the cell (Fig. 2-1B). Fluorescent spots of GFP-FliG and GFP-MotB were observed within the cell bodies of each strain (Fig. 2-1C). Some spots of GFP-FliG and GFP-MotB were
observed at the center of rotation of cells tethered to a cover slip by a single flagellar filament. In agreement with this, the fluorescent spots of GFP-FliG and GFP-MotB were observed at the base of the filament labeled with a fluorescent dye (Fig. 2-1D). In contrast, when GFP-MotB was expressed in the \textit{fliF flgM} double mutant, which allows GFP-MotB to be expressed from the \textit{motAB} promoter even in the presence of the \textit{fliF} mutation [Kutsukake and Iino, 1994], no spots were detected, and only diffuse fluorescent signals were observed throughout the cell body (Fig. 2-9A). These results demonstrate that the GFP-labelled MotA/B complex can be installed into the motor. The D33N and D33A mutations caused a loss-of-function of GFP-MotB (Fig. 2-1A), in agreement with a previous report [Zhou et al., 1998b]. However, these mutations did not affect the subcellular localization of GFP-MotB (Fig. 2-1C and D). These results suggest that proton binding to Asp-33 of MotB is not critical for stator assembly around the rotor.

\textit{Na}\textsuperscript{+} motive force across the cytoplasmic membrane is critical for polar localization of the PomA/B complex [Fukuoka \textit{et al.}, 2009]. Therefore, I investigated whether PMF is required for the subcellular localization of GFP-labeled stators. Since PMF can be collapsed by a protonophore, CCCP, I analyzed the effect of CCCP on stator assembly (Fig. 2-2). In the presence of 50 µM CCCP, the rotation of tethered cells expressing GFP-MotB was totally inhibited (Fig. 2-2B), indicating that PMF is totally collapsed. However, the number and the intensity of the fluorescent spots of GFP-MotB were both mostly unchanged by a CCCP treatment for 30 min (Fig. 2-2A, Table 2-4). Interestingly, new fluorescent spots occasionally appeared over the treatment period. When CCCP was removed by replacing the motility buffer, the tethered cells rotated again (Fig. 2-2B). These results suggest that PMF is not required for stator assembly around the rotor in the proton-driven flagellar motor.
Multicopy effect of MotA on motility of wild-type cells

To test if MotA alone can be installed into a motor, I first analyzed the multicopy effect of MotA on motility of wild-type cells in semi-solid agar plates. When MotA was overproduced (Fig. 2-3A, lane 2), the swarming size decreased significantly compared to the vector control (Fig. 2-3B). I also measured free-swimming motility in liquid media by phase contrast microscopy and found that the swimming speed of the cells over-expressing MotA was significantly reduced (Fig. 2-3C). In agreement with a previous report [Wilson and Macnab, 1988], cell growth was not impaired by the over-expression of MotA (Fig. 2-4A). Consistently, neither membrane potential nor intracellular pH was changed by the over-expression (Fig. 2-4B), indicating that PMF across the cytoplasmic membrane was not affected either. Therefore, I conclude that the poor motility of the cells over-expressing MotA is not a consequence of either growth impairment or reduced PMF.

MotA interacts with FliG, which is not only responsible for torque generation and the switching of rotational direction of the motor but is also needed for export of flagellar proteins [Yamaguchi et al., 1986; Minamino and Macnab, 1999]. To test whether excess MotA might titrate FliG away from the motor, resulting in the inhibition of flagellar protein export, I observed wild-type cells over-expressing MotA by high-intensity dark-field light microscopy and found that the number of flagellar filaments was not affected (data not shown). Chemotactic behavior was also not changed (data not shown). These results indicate that the reduced motility is neither due to reduction in the flagellar protein export activity nor increase in the probability of CW-biased rotation.

To investigate whether the poor motility is due to a reduced torque, I next carried out bead assays of motor rotation with polystyrene and fluorescent beads (Fig.
2-5 and Table 2-5). The torque-speed relationships of *Salmonella* MM3076iC cells over-expressing MotA are shown in Fig. 2-5A in comparison with a vector control. Rotation rates of the motors of the cells over-expressing MotA were reduced over the entire range of observation under various amounts of load. The zero-speed torque, as obtained by zero-speed extrapolation of the torque-speed curve, was ca. 60% of the vector control. The zero-torque speeds as deduced by extrapolation of the regression lines also decreased from 225 Hz of the vector control to 145 Hz when MotA was over-produced. The torque at high load is dependent on the number of stators while the motor speed near zero load is independent of the number of functional stators. [Ryu *et al.*, 2000; Reid *et al.*, 2006; Yuan and Berg, 2008]. These results suggest that free MotA can be installed into the motor to reduce torque even without forming the MotA/B complex and also inhibit high-speed rotation at near zero load. It is also possible that excess MotA may induce the formation of anomalous stator complexes, thereby disturbing motor function.

**Multicopy effect of MotA on the subcellular localization of GFP-labeled stators**

To test whether over-expression of MotA actually reduces the number of functional stators in a rotating flagellar motor, I analyzed the multicopy effect of MotA on the subcellular localization of GFP-MotB (Fig. 2-6A). When both MotA and MotB were expressed in the GFP-MotB encoding strain (Fig. 2-6B, lane 3), the fluorescent spots of GFP-MotB drastically decreased (Fig. 2-6A, top right) compared to the vector control (Fig. 2-6A, top left), indicating that non-labeled MotB molecules assembles into the motor along with MotA. Over-expression of MotA also reduced not only the number of fluorescent spots of GFP-MotB but also their fluorescence intensity although not to the levels seen in the cells over-expressing MotA/B (Fig. 2-6A, top
middle). In contrast, when MotA alone or MotA/B was over-expressed in the GFP-FliG encoding strain, the number of GFP-FliG spots was not changed (Fig. 2-6A, bottom row). These results suggest that a certain number of the MotA/GFP-MotB complexes are replaced with MotA in the motor.

To estimate the number of functional stators in the motor of *Salmonella* cells over-expressing MotA, the position of the bead was determined at a sampling rate of 1 kHz for 10 sec, and the rotation rates were determined from the power spectra calculated from 1-sec long data windows that were shifted every 0.1 sec over the entire 10 sec data (Fig. 2-5B). The vector control showed a relatively narrow distribution in the speed histogram with the speed ranging from 40 to 80 Hz (Fig. 2-5B, left). Since a single stator unit can drive the proton-driven flagellar motor labeled with 1.0-µm beads at ca. 7 Hz (Reid *et al.*, 2006; Nakamura *et al.*, 2010), the maximum number of stators in the fully functional motor is estimated to be 11, in agreement with a previous report [Ryu *et al.*, 2000]. In contrast, the motor speeds of MotA-expressing cells were distributed over a wide range from 15 to 70 Hz and also showed several distinct peaks separated by a roughly equal interval of around 7 Hz in the histogram (Fig. 2-5B, right). These results let us assume that the number of functional stators decreased to 5 ± 3 by the over-expression of MotA.

**Fluorescence microscopy observation of MotA-mCherry**

To obtain more direct evidence that MotA can assemble into the motor even in the absence of MotB, I constructed *Salmonella* YVM018 strain (*gfp-fliG, motA-mCherry, ΔmotB*) with or without MotB expressed from a pTrc99A-based plasmid, and analyzed the subcellular co-localization of MotA-mCherry and GFP-FliG by epi-fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 2-7). MotA-mCherry was not functional (data not shown). However,
fluorescent spots of MotA-mCherry were occasionally observed at the same position as those of GFP-FliG whether MotB is present or not (Fig. 2-7A and 7B), although the probability of fluorescent-spot observation of MotA-mCherry was much less than that of GFP-MotB, presumably due to its-loss-function phenotype. When MotA-mCherry was expressed in the fliF flgM double mutant background, only diffuse fluorescent signals were observed throughout the cell body (Fig. 2-9B). These results suggest that MotA can be incorporated into the flagellar motor to some extent even without MotB and that the localization of MotA is dependent on its interaction with other components of the flagellar motor.

**Effect of the MotA(R90E) mutation on the motility**

Two charged residues, Arg-90 and Glu-98, which are in the cytoplasmic loop of MotA, are thought to interact with charged residues of FliG for torque generation [Zhou and Blair, 1997; Zhou et al., 1998]. It has been reported that the motA(R90E) and motA(E98K) alleles are recessive, although the MotA(R90E) and MotA(E98K) proteins are as stable as wild-type MotA [Zhou and Blair, 1997]. These results suggest that these mutant proteins are not efficiently incorporated into the motor. Since it has been shown that there is fundamental difference between *E. coli* and *Salmonella* flagellar motors [Nakamura et al., 2009b], I analyzed the effects of the *Salmonella* MotA(R90E) and MotA(E98K) mutations on the motility in liquid media (Fig. 2-8A and B). When the expression levels of MotA(R90E) and MotB was almost the same as those expressed from the chromosome of wild-type cells, almost all of the cells expressing the MotA(R90E)/B complex was nonmotile. However, an increment of the expression level of MotA(R90E)/B allowed about 70% of the cells to become motile, and their swimming speed reached about 60% of the wild-type levels. To test whether
no motility of the motA(R90E) mutant under low induction condition is due to the poor assembly of the MotA(R90E)/B complex around the rotor, I constructed the Salmonella motA(R90E), gfp-motB strain and analyzed the subcellular localization of GFP-MotB. The MotA(R90E) substitution drastically decreased both the number and intensity of the fluorescent spots of GFP-MotB (Fig. 2-8C), although the expression level of GFP-MotB was not changed (Fig. 2-8D). These suggest that the R90E mutation just reduces the binding affinity of the stator to the rotor. In contrast, the motA(E98K) allele was non-functional even under high-induction condition and did not exert any dominant negative effect on wild-type motility (data not shown), in agreement with a previous report [Zhou and Blair, 1997]. This indicates that Glu-98 is critical for the assembly of the MotA/B complex into the motor.
Discussion

MotB consists of the N-terminal cytoplasmic region, one transmembrane helix, and the C-terminal periplasmic region named MotBC, which contains the PG-binding motif [Berg, 2003; Kojima and Blair, 2004; Sowa and Berry, 2008]. Since the MotBC fragment inhibits motility of wild-type cells when exported into the periplasm, MotBC is proposed to be required for proper targeting and stable anchoring of the MotA/B complex to the motor [Kojima et al., 2008]. The stators can be replaced even during motor rotation, indicating that the stator does not permanently bind to the motor and the peptidoglycan layer [Leake et al., 2006]. The proton-conductivity of free MotA/B complexes is suppressed by the plug segment in the MotBC region when they are not associated with the motor as described in Chapter 1[Hosking et al., 2006; Morimoto et al., 2010a]. However, since the MotA/B complex is not co-purified with the hook-basal body [Minamino et al., 2008], it remains unclear how the MotA/B complex finds and assembles into the motor and activates its proton-conductivity to become a functional stator. In the present study, I analyzed the multicopy effect of MotA on the torque-speed relationships of the flagellar motor and the subcellular localization of GFP-labeled stators and obtained evidence suggesting that MotA can be installed into the flagellar motor even in the absence of MotB.

MotA/B(D33N) and MotA/B(D33A) can assemble into the motor

Stator assembly in a Na⁺-driven flagellar motor responds to Na⁺ concentration [Fukuoka et al., 2009; Paulick et al., 2009]. Mutations in the amino acid residues of PomB involved in the Na⁺-relay mechanism significantly interfere with the polar localization of the PomA/B complex [Fukuoka et al., 2009]. In this study, I found that the motB(D33N) and motB(D33A) mutations, which abolish proton translocation
through the proton channel [Zhou et al., 1998b; Morimoto et al., 2010a], does not affect the localization of GFP-MotB at the base of the flagellar filament (Fig. 2-1). This is in agreement with previous data showing that *E. coli* MotB(D32N) and MotB(D32A) exert a negative dominant effect on wild-type motility [Zhou et al., 1998b; Kojima and Blair, 2001]. Therefore, stator assembly in the proton-driven motor is not dependent on the proton conductive activity of the MotA/B complex.

It has been reported that the stators of the Na⁺-driven motor detach from the rotor when motor is de-energized and stopped [Sowa et al., 2005; Fukuoka et al., 2009]. In this study, the treatment of the proton-driven motor by 50 µM CCCP, which totally inhibited the rotation of tethered cells, did not affect the subcellular localization of GFP-MotB (Fig. 2-2), suggesting that the GFP-labeled stators remain to exist around the rotor even in the absence of PMF. In agreement with this, it has been shown that the stator actually switches its functional state between the active and inactive ones without detaching from the rotor completely when PMF is largely reduced [Nakamura et al., 2010]. Therefore, I conclude that the assembly of the MotA/B complex into the motor is not obligatorily linked to the process of the proton translocation through the proton channel of the MotA/B complex.

**Over-expression of MotA inhibits motility of wild-type cells**

It has been shown that the in-frame deletion of residues 51-100 in *Salmonella* MotB does not markedly impair motility [Muramoto and Macnab, 1998; Kojima et al., 2009]. In agreement with this, the flagellar motors produced torque at wild-type level upon full induction of the MotA/B(Δ51-100) complex from an IPTG-inducible promoter [S. Nakamura, unpublished data], suggesting that MotA/B(Δ51-100) can be properly incorporated into the motor. However, the crystal structure of a MotBc fragment
corresponding to residue 99-276 of MotB is so small that MotB(Δ51-100) cannot reach the PG layer if the fragment is directly connected to the transmembrane helix by the deletion of residues 51-100 [Kojima et al., 2009], raising the question of how the MotA/B complex finds the stator-binding site on the motor. Here, I showed that over-expression of MotA greatly reduces wild-type motility both in semi-solid agar plates and in liquid media (Fig. 2-3). Neither the growth rate (Fig. 2-4A), flagellation (data not shown), nor chemotactic behavior (data not shown) was changed by over-expression of MotA. However, the torque-speed relationship of the flagellar motor was significantly affected (Fig. 2-5). The rotation rate of the proton-driven motor at a given load is proportional to PMF [Gabel and Berg, 2003]. Since neither the membrane potential nor the transmembrane proton gradient was changed by over-expression of MotA (Fig. 2-4B), the approximately 40% reduction in the zero-speed torque by over-production of MotA is not the consequence of reduced PMF. Since the zero-speed torque is dependent on the number of functional stators in the motor [Ryu et al., 2000; Reid et al., 2006], this suggests that MotA occupies the stator-binding sites of the motor and reduces the number of functional stators. This is further supported by my data showing that over-expression of MotA reduces not only the number of the fluorescent spots of GFP-MotB but also their fluorescence intensity compared to the vector control (Fig. 2-6) and that MotA-mCherry is localized at the base of the flagellum to some extent even in the absence of MotB (Fig. 2-7). I therefore conclude that MotA alone can be installed into the motor. Interestingly, high-speed rotation at near zero load was also decreased by over-expression of MotA (Fig. 2-5). Since one stator unit can spin the motor at the same speed as many stators do at near zero load [Ryu et al., 2000; Yuan and Berg, 2008], I suggest that MotA incorporated into the motor may attach to the rotor and somehow interfere with
high-speed rotation.

Two charged residues of *E. coli* MotA, Arg-90 and Glu-98, are involved in electrostatic interactions with charged residues of FliG for torque generation [Zhou and Blair, 1997; Zhou et al., 1998]. It has been reported that the *motA*(R90E) and *motA*(E98K) alleles are recessive [Zhou and Blair, 1997], suggesting that these mutant proteins are not efficiently incorporated into the motor. Consistently, I also found that *Salmonella* *motA*(R90E) and *motA*(E98K) mutants displayed essentially the same phenotype as those of *E. coli* when their expression levels were the same as those of wild-type cells (Fig. 2-8). Interestingly, however, an increase in the expression level of the MotA(R90E)/B complex by more than 10-fold allowed 70% of the cells to swim in liquid media. It was confirmed by markedly decreased number and intensity of fluorescent spots of GFP-MotB (Fig. 2-8C and D) that no motility of the *motA*(R90E) mutant results from poor stator assembly around the rotor when the expression level of MotA(R90E)/MotB was the same as that of MotA/B expressed from the chromosome. Since the loss-of-function phenotype of the *motA*(R90E) and *motA*(E98K) alleles are considerably suppressed by the *fliG*(D289K) and *fliG*(R281V) mutations, respectively [Zhou et al., 1998], my present results suggest that the interactions between MotA Arg-90 and FliG Asp-289 and between MotA Glu-98 and FliG Arg-281 are critical not only for torque generation but also for the assembly of the stators into the motor.
Fig. 2-1. Effect of the motB(D33N) mutation on the subcellular localization of GFP-MotB. (A) Swarming motility of SJW1103 (wild-type, indicated as WT), YVM003 (GFP-MotB), YVM004 (GFP-FliG), YVM007 (GFP-MotB(D33N)) and YVM030 (GFP-MotB(D33A)) in semi-solid agar plates. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 7 h. (B) Immunoblotting, using polyclonal anti-MotB (upper panel) and anti-FliG (lower panel) antibodies, of whole cellular proteins prepared from the same cells. The positions of molecular mass markers (kDa) are shown on the left. Arrowheads indicate the positions of MotB, GFP-MotB, FliG and GFP-FliG. (C) Fluorescence (epi) and bright field images (BF) of YVM003 (GFP-MotB), YVM004 (GFP-FliG), YVM007 (GFP-MotB(D33N)) and YVM030 (GFP-MotB(D33A)). The cells were grown overnight in LB at 30°C and observed by fluorescence microscopy. (D) Co-localization of GFP-MotB, GFP-MotB(D33N) and GFP-FliG with the flagellar filaments labeled with the Alexa fluorescent dye. The cells were treated with polyclonal anti-FliC antibody and Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody. The fluorescence images of GFP-MotB, GFP-MotB(D33N) and GFP-FliG (green) and the filament labeled with Alexa (red) were merged in the right panel.
Fig. 2-2. Effect of CCCP on the subcellular localization of GFP-MotB. (A) Fluorescence images of YVM003 (GFP-MotB) before and after the treatment with 50 µM CCCP for 30 min at room temperature. (B) Bright field (BF) and fluorescence (epi) images of tethered rotational GFP-MotB cell. When the tethered cell was treated with 50 µM CCCP, and the rotation immediately stopped. After washing by the motility medium without CCCP, the rotation restarted. White straight arrows indicate the fluorescent spot at the center of rotation. Arc-shaped arrows indicate the rotation of the cell by which the image of the cell body is blurred.
Fig. 2-3. Multicopy effect of MotA on motility of wild-type cells. (A) Immunoblotting, using polyclonal anti-MotA and anti-MotB antibody, of whole cell proteins prepared from the same transformants. The positions of molecular mass markers (kDa) are shown on the left. Arrowheads indicate the positions of MotA and MotB. Since our polyclonal anti-MotA antibody cannot detect the chromosomal expression level of MotA, no band of MotA was seen in lane 1. (B) Swarming motility assay of SJW1103 (wild-type) transformed with pKK223-3 (Vector, V) and pKSS12 (MotA) on soft agar plates. The plates were incubated at 30ºC for 6 h. (C) Free-swimming speed measured by phase contrast microscopy. Measurements were done at ca. 23ºC. Vertical bars are standard errors.
Fig. 2-4. Effect of MotA-overproduction on growth rate and the proton motive force across the cytoplasmic membrane. (A) Growth curve of the wild-type strain SJW1103 transformed with pKK223-3 (V) (open circles) or pKSS12 (MotA) (closed circles). The cells were grown in T-broth at 30°C with shaking. The optical density at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) was measured. (B) Relative membrane potential ($\Delta\psi$) and transmembrane proton gradient ($\Delta$pH). The membrane potential was measured using TMRM. Intracellular pH was measured with pHluorin at an external pH of 7.0. Both $\Delta\psi$ and $\Delta$pH are normalized to those of the vector control. The $\Delta\psi$ of the cells harboring pKK223-3 or pKSS12 is the average of 135 cells and 111 cells, respectively. The data of $\Delta$pH is the average of 6 independent experiments. Vertical bars indicate standard errors.
Fig. 2-5. Effect of MotA-overproduction on the torque-speed relationship of the flagellar motor. (A) Torque-speed curve of MM3076iC [Δ(cheA-cheZ), fliC(Δ204-292)] carrying pKK223-3 (left panel) or pKSS12 (MotA) (right panel). Rotation measurements of individual flagellar motors were carried out by tracking the position of 1.0-µm (closed circle), 0.8-µm (open circle), 0.5-µm (closed triangle) and 0.1-µm beads (open triangle) attached to the sticky flagellar filament. All the measurements were done at ca. 25ºC. (B) Speed histogram of MM3076iC carrying pKK223-3 (left) or pKSS12 (right). Rotation rates of single flagellar motors labeled with 1.0-µm beads were determined from the power spectrum using 1 sec data windows (1024 points) at an interval of 0.1 sec (Table 2-5). Arrows indicate peaks of rotation rates that are likely to correspond to different numbers of functional stators in the motor (Reid et al., 2006).
Fig. 2-6. Multicopy effect of MotA on the subcellular localization of GFP-MotB and GFP-FliG. (A) Fluorescence (epi) and bright field images (BF) of the *Salmonella* YVM003 (*gfp-motB*) and YVM004 (*gfp-fliG*) strains transformed with pKK223-3 (V), pKSS12 (MotA) and pKSS13 (MotA/B). The cells were grown overnight at 30ºC and observed by fluorescence microscopy. (B) Immunoblotting, using polyclonal anti-MotA (upper panel) and anti-MotB (lower panel) antibodies, of whole cell proteins prepared from the same transformants. Lane 1, YVM003 carrying pKK223-3; lane 2, YVM003 harboring pKSS12; lane 3, YVM003 carrying pKSS13; lane 4, YVM004 carrying pKK223-3; lane 5, YVM004 harboring pKSS12; lane 6, YVM004 carrying pKSS13. Arrowheads indicate the positions of MotA, MotB and GFP-MotB.
Fig. 2-7. Subcellular localization of MotA-mCherry. (A) Fluorescence images of YVM018 (gfp-fliG, motA-mCherry, ΔmotB) transformed with pTrc99A (V) or pHMK1602 (MotB). The cells were grown in LB at 30ºC for 5 hours and observed by fluorescence microscopy. The fluorescence images of GFP-FliG (green) and MotA-mCherry (red) were merged in the right panel. (B) Immunoblotting, using polyclonal anti-RFP (upper panel) and anti-MotB (lower panel) antibodies, of whole cell proteins prepared from the same transformants. Arrowheads indicate the positions of MotA-mCherry and MotB.
Fig. 2-8. Motility of cells producing MotA(R90E) and MotB in liquid media. (A) Immunoblotting, using polyclonal anti-MotB antibody, of whole cell proteins prepared from SJW1103 (wild-type) harboring pBAD24 (V), and SJW2241(ΔmotAB) carrying pBAD24 (V), pYC20 (WT) or pYC20(R90E) (R90E) were incubated at 30°C for 5 hours in LB with 0, 0.002, 0.02, 0.2% arabinose. Arrowhead indicates the positions of MotB. (B) Free-swimming fraction and speed of SJW2241(ΔmotAB) carrying pYC20 (WT) (open bar) and pYC20(R90E) (R90E) (shaded bar) measured by phase contrast microscopy. Swimming fraction is the number fraction of swimming cells. Swimming speed is the average of more than 20 cells, and vertical lines are standard errors. If the fraction of motile cells was less than 5% of total cells, the swimming speed is presented as zero. Measurements were done at ca. 23°C. (C) Bright field (BF) and fluorescence (epi) images of YVM003 (MotA/GFP-MotB) and YVM031 (MotA(R90E)/GFP-MotB). The cells were grown overnight in LB at 30°C and observed by fluorescence microscopy. (D) Immunoblotting, using polyclonal anti-MotB antibodies, of whole cell proteins prepared from the same strains. Arrowheads indicate the positions of GFP-MotB.
Fig. 2-9. Effect of the defect of basal body on subcellular localization of stator complex. (A) Fluorescence (epi) and bright field images (BF) of YMM002 (GFP-MotB, ΔflgM) and YMM010 (GFP-MotB, ΔflgM, fliF::Tn10). The cells were grown overnight in LB at 30°C and observed by fluorescence microscopy. (B) Fluorescence (epi) and bright field images (BF) of YMM019 (MotA-mCherry, ΔflgM) and YMM020 (MotA-mCherry, ΔflgM, fliF::Tn10). The cells were grown in LB at 30°C for 5 hours and observed by fluorescence microscopy.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salmonella</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW1103</td>
<td>Wild type for motility and chemotaxis</td>
<td>Yamaguchi et al. (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW2241</td>
<td>Δ(motA-motB)</td>
<td>Komoriya et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW3076iC</td>
<td>Δ(cheA-cheZ), fliC(Δ204-292)</td>
<td>Nakamura et al. (2009b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMPH001</td>
<td>ΔaraBAD::pHfluorin</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM003</td>
<td>gfp-motB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM004</td>
<td>gfp-fliG</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM007</td>
<td>gfp-motB(D33N)</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM018</td>
<td>gfp-fliG, motA-mCherry, ΔmotB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM030</td>
<td>gfp-motB(D33A)</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM031</td>
<td>motA(R90E), gfp-motB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMM002</td>
<td>gfp-motB, ΔflgM::kan</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMM010</td>
<td>gfp-motB, ΔflgM::kan, fliF::Tn10</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMM019</td>
<td>gfp-fliG, motA-mCherry, ΔmotB, ΔflgM::kan</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMM020</td>
<td>gfp-fliG, motA-mCherry, ΔmotB, ΔflgM::kan, fliF::Tn10</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2-2. Plasmids used in Chapter 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmids</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pKK223-3</td>
<td>Cloning vector</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTrc99A</td>
<td>Cloning vector</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAD24</td>
<td>Cloning vector</td>
<td>Guzman et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKSS12</td>
<td>pKK223-3 / MotA</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKSS13</td>
<td>pKK223-3 / MotA+MotB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNSK9</td>
<td>pTrc99A / MotA+MotB</td>
<td>Che et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pHMK1602</td>
<td>pTrc99A / MotB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYC20</td>
<td>pBAD24 / MotA+MotB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYC20(R90E)</td>
<td>pBAD24 / MotA(R90E)+MotB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYC20(E98K)</td>
<td>pBAD24 / MotA(E98K)+MotB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-tetR</td>
<td>cagtgagaaacccaaacccagacgcacgagactgaggaagcttaagagacccactttc acatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-tetA</td>
<td>ctgcccccttttacgcagcaaaatgggtagaccccgttatttttaagcacttgatctcttg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-GFP-Fw</td>
<td>gcagtgagaaacccaaaccagacgcacgagactgaggaacagcagtaagaggaagagacccactttc atg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-GFP-Rv</td>
<td>ctgcccccttttacgcagcaaaatgggtagaccccgttatttttaagcacttgatctcttg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliG&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-tetR</td>
<td>gtggctggcgctgctgacctgcagtggtgagcaaggagcaacggttttttctggtggtgtgctttc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliG&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-tetA</td>
<td>gtggctggcgctgctgacctgcagtggtgagcaaggagcaacggttttttctggtggtgtgctttc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliG&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-GFP-Fw</td>
<td>gcgcgggcgcgccagtgagaaacccaaaccagacgcacgagactgaggaagcttaagagacccactttc acatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliG&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-GFP-Rv</td>
<td>gcgcgggcgcgccagtgagaaacccaaaccagacgcacgagactgaggaagcttaagagacccactttc acatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB-ΔD33-tetR</td>
<td>cggcggccgggccgcgcgccagtgagaaacccaaaccagacgcacgagactgaggaagcttaagagacccactttc acatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB-ΔD33-tetA</td>
<td>cggcggccgggccgcgcgccagtgagaaacccaaaccagacgcacgagactgaggaagcttaagagacccactttc acatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB(D33N)-short</td>
<td>gcacatcaacaaatatgggtaccgtgtaagactaagcactttggctctctctctgtctctctctctcttg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB(D33N)-long</td>
<td>cggcggccgggccgcgcgccagtgagaaacccaaaccagacgcacgagactgaggaagcttaagagacccactttc acatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB(D33A)-long</td>
<td>cggcggccgggccgcgcgccagtgagaaacccaaaccagacgcacgagactgaggaagcttaagagacccactttc acatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotA&lt;sub&gt;C&lt;/sub&gt;-tetR</td>
<td>cgccgagttgagaaacccaaaccagacgcacgagactgaggaagcttaagagacccactttc acatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotA&lt;sub&gt;C&lt;/sub&gt;-tetA</td>
<td>cgccgagttgagaaacccaaaccagacgcacgagactgaggaagcttaagagacccactttc acatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotA&lt;sub&gt;C&lt;/sub&gt;-mCherry-Fw</td>
<td>agtgagaaacccaaaccagacgcacgagactgaggaagcttaagagacccacttttggctctctctcttg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotA&lt;sub&gt;C&lt;/sub&gt;-mCherry-Rv</td>
<td>agtgagaaacccaaaccagacgcacgagactgaggaagcttaagagacccacttttggctctctctcttg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flgM::kan-Fw</td>
<td>atggtagctggccgctacagtaacccgctccaggtgtgaggtgagaggtttaaaataaatgagccatattc aacgagggaa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flgM::kan-Rv</td>
<td>atggtagctggccgctacagtaacccgctccaggtgtgaggtgagaggtttaaaataaatgagccatattc aacgagggaa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotA-R90E-Fw</td>
<td>atgcctgtgatggccaaatcgcacagggagaatgggagaggtttaaaataaatgagccatattc aacgagggaa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotA-R90E-Rv</td>
<td>atgcctgtgatggccaaatcgcacagggagaatgggagaggtttaaaataaatgagccatattc aacgagggaa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotA-E98K-Fw</td>
<td>agggagaaatccttcctgtgcttgctttggtggcctactagccag</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotA-E98K-Rv</td>
<td>agggagaaatccttcctgtgcttgctttggtggcctactagccag</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotA-E98K-Rv</td>
<td>agggagaaatccttcctgtgcttgctttggtggcctactagccag</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2-4. Effect of CCCP on the localization of GFP-MotB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>50 µM CCCP</th>
<th>Number of spots / cell</th>
<th>Fluorescence intensity (A.U.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.9 (n=176)</td>
<td>1486 ± 257 (n=40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.8 (n=149)</td>
<td>1439 ± 301 (n=40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2-5. Effect of MotA-overproduction on flagellar motor rotation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bead (µm)</th>
<th>Vector Rotation rate (Hz)</th>
<th>Torque (pN nm)</th>
<th>MotA Rotation rate (Hz)</th>
<th>Torque (pN nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>65 ± 7</td>
<td>1705 ± 190</td>
<td>40 ± 18</td>
<td>1122 ± 440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 17</td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>101 ± 15</td>
<td>1519 ± 172</td>
<td>61 ± 24</td>
<td>960 ± 355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 32</td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>169 ± 32</td>
<td>854 ± 152</td>
<td>81 ± 38</td>
<td>424 ± 198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 38</td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>218 ± 57</td>
<td>449 ± 115</td>
<td>130 ± 62</td>
<td>270 ± 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 13</td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 3. M153R mutation in a pH-sensitive green fluorescent protein stabilizes its fusion proteins

Introduction

The flagellar motor can spin stably at 300 Hz [Yuan and Berg, 2008]. The rotation-dependent proton influx has been estimated to be about 1,200 protons per revolution [Meister et al., 1987]. Since a decrease in intracellular pH significantly reduces flagellar motor rotation [Minamino et al., 2003; Nakamura et al., 2009], the proton release from the stator channel to the cytoplasm appears to play an important role in the torque generation process, and local pH near the motor must be tightly controlled. Interestingly, an in-frame deletion of the plug segment of MotB (MotB_{plug}) causes considerable proton leakage, thereby arresting cell growth, even when the expression level of MotA/B_{plug} is the same as that of MotA/B expressed from the chromosome as shown in Chapter 1 [Hosking et al., 2006; Morimoto et al., 2010b]. This raises the interesting question of how a change in local pH near the flagellar motor is minimized.

GFP and related fluorescent proteins have been utilized to monitor and analyze a wide range of biological processes such as gene expression, protein localization and cell motility. These fluorescent proteins can also be used as the indicator of Ca^{2+} or ATP concentration or pH because they provide a high sensitivity in detection and are not toxic to living cells [Nagai et al., 2001; Imamura et al., 2009; Miesenböck et al., 1998]. The relative emission intensity of ratiometric pHluorin at the two excitation wavelengths show a remarkable pH dependence, thereby pH can be measured by the 410/470 nm excitation ratio, R_{410/470} [Miesenböck et al., 1998]. The
R$_{410/470}$ ratio changes in less than 0.5 ms when the pH is changed, indicating that the ratiometric measurement by pHluorin can detect a rapid pH change [Hess et al., 2004]. Since ratiometric methods, in which dual-wavelength measurements detect changes in the fluorescence absorption or emission spectra upon ion-binding, are independent of the concentration of the indicator, a precise and quantitative pH measurement of living cells can be easily carried out using pHluorin.

In this chapter, I constructed *Salmonella* cells expressing pHluorin fusion proteins such as pHluorin-FliG and pHluorin-MotB. I show that the M153R mutation in pHluorin improves the stability of the fusion proteins. I also show that pHluorin(M153R)-FliG can be used as a pH sensor.
Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids, DNA manipulations and media

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this chapter are listed in Table 3-1 and 3-2. DNA manipulations were carried out as described in Chapter 1. PCR primers were listed in Table 3-3. Salmonella strains expressing pHluorin-fusion proteins were constructed using the λ Red homologous recombination system [Datsenko et al., 2000]. Mutations were confirmed by DNA sequencing. L broth, T broth, soft agar plates and motility medium were prepared as described in Chapter 1. Ampicillin was added to the medium at a final concentration of 100 µg/ml.

Preparation of whole cell proteins and immunoblotting

Salmonella cells were grown overnight at 30°C in LB with shaking. Cell pellets were suspended in a SDS-loading buffer and normalized by cell density to give a constant amount of cells. After SDS-PAGE, immunoblotting with polyclonal anti-FliG, anti-MotB and anti-GFP (MBL) antibodies was carried out as described in Chapter 1.

Purification of proteolytic products of pHluorin-MotB-His8

Membranes containing overproduced pHluorin-MotB-His8 fusion proteins were solubilized by 0.2% (w/v) of dodecylphosphocholine (Anatrace) and their proteolytic cleavage products were purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography as described [Minamino and Macnab, 2000]. Molecular mass of the cleavage products was analyzed by a mass spectrometer (Voyager DE/PRO, Applied Biosystems) as described [Saijo-Hamano et al., 2004].

Fluorescence microscopy
Epi-fluorescence of pHluorin fusion proteins was observed by an inverted fluorescence microscope (IX-71, Olympus) with a 150× oil immersion objective lens (UApo150XOTIRFM, NA 1.45, Olympus) and an Electron-Multiplying Charged-Coupled Device (EMCCD) camera (C9100-02, Hamamatsu Photonics) as described in Chapter 2.

Purification and Spectroscopy of pHluorin, pHluorin(M153R) and pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His$_6$.

pHluorin and pHluorin(M153R) were purified as described in Chapter 1. pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His$_6$ was purified from the soluble fractions of BL21(DE3)pLysS carrying pYVM013, by affinity chromatography with a HisTrap™HF column (GE Healthcare). pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His$_6$ was purified by gel-filtration chromatography with a Hiload 26/60 Suprerdex 75 pg column (GE Healthcare). Fluorescence excitation spectra of purified pHluorin, pHluorin(M153R), and pHluorin-FliG-His$_6$ in buffers of defined pH were recorded on a fluorescence spectrophotometer (RF-5300PC, Shimadzu), and the 410/470-nm excitation ratios of pHluorin fluorescence intensities were determined at different pH values to generate a calibration curve.

For measurements of intracellular pH, wild-type cells carrying pYC001 or pYVM001 were grown with shaking in T-broth at 30 ºC until the cell density had reached an OD$_{600}$ of 1.0. The cells were washed twice with motility buffer and resuspended in motility buffer. The cells were diluted 1:100 into motility buffer, and the fluorescence excitation spectra of the cells were recorded on a fluorescence spectrophotometer. The 410/470-nm excitation ratios were calculated and converted to pH values based on the calibration curve previously generated.
Results

Effect of the M153R mutation on the stability of pHluorin-fusion proteins

To investigate local pH near the bacterial flagellar motor, the ratiometric pHluorin probe must be localized to the flagellar motor. Since it has been reported that the GFP-FliG and GFP-MotB fusion proteins are partially functional described in Chapter 2 [Morimoto et al., 2010b; Leake et al., 2006], I fused pHluorin to the N-termini of FliG and MotB to produce Salmonella pHluorin-fliG and pHluorin-motB strains, respectively. However, these fusion proteins were unstable, and about a half of them were cleaved into a 47 kDa fragment as shown on the immunoblots (Fig. 3-1A, lane 2 in both panels). Neither intact FliG nor MotB was observed, suggesting that the cleavage must occur within pHluorin. To identify the cleavage sites, a His8 tag was attached to pHluorin-MotB at its C terminus to facilitate protein purification. The cleavage product of pHluorin-MotB-His8 was purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography and its molecular mass was measured by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. The molecular mass was around 43.2 – 44.8 kDa. In search of possible proteolytic fragments having these masses, we identified possible cleavage sites to be at Met-153, Ala-154, Lys-162 or Ala-163 of pHluorin. Therefore, I carried out site-directed mutagenesis of these residues to see if any of such mutations have a stabilizing effect on the fusion proteins. The M153R mutation markedly stabilized both pHluorin-FliG and pHluorin-MotB fusion protein (Fig. 3-1A, right panel, lane 3 in both panels) while the other mutations showed no improvement.

We next investigated whether the M153R mutation increases the signal to noise (S/N) ratio. Since the turnover of GFP-FliG between the cytoplasmic pool and functional motors does not occur [Fukuoka et al., 2010], I analyzed the subcellular localization of pHluorin-FliG and pHluorin(M153R)-FliG by epi-illumination
fluorescence microscopy. The M153R mutation substantially increased the number of fluorescent spots of pHluorin-FliG (Fig. 3-1B). The fluorescence intensities of the pHluorin-FliG and pHluorin(M153R)-FliG spots were 1,065 ± 278 A.U. (n = 109) and 3,560 ± 1322 A.U. (n = 126), respectively, indicating that the M153R mutation resulted in a remarkable improvement in the S/N ratio of the fluorescent images.

**Effect of the M153R mutation on brightness and 410/470-nm ratio**

To test whether the M153R mutation affects the brightness of pHluorin alone in vivo, I transformed a *Salmonella* wild-type strain, SJW1103, with a plasmid encoding pHluorin(M153R) on pKK223-3 and analyzed the fluorescent intensity of pHluorin(M153R) with a spectrophotometer (Fig. 3-2A). I used SJW1103 expressing wild-type pHluorin as a control. Immunoblotting with polyclonal GFP antibody revealed that the expression level of pHluorin(M153R) was the same as that of pHluorin (Fig. 3-2A, inset). Interestingly, the fluorescent intensity of pHluorin(M153R) was approximately 2.5-fold brighter than that of pHluorin. When the fluorescence intensities of purified pHluorin and pHluorin(M153R) were measured at the same protein concentration, there was no difference in the fluorescence intensity (Fig. 3-2B). This result indicates that the M153R mutation does not increase the intrinsic brightness of properly matured pHluorin molecules. Therefore, I conclude that the M153R mutation improves the folding efficiency of pHluorin in vivo.

It has been reported that the M153A mutation shifts the excitation wavelength of GFP(S65T) to a longer wavelength [Heim and Tsien, 1996]. We therefore measured the excitation spectra of purified pHluorin and pHluorin(M153R) (Fig. 3-3). The M153R mutation changed neither the excitation wavelengths nor the relative emission intensity ratios at the excitation wavelengths of 410 and 470 nm over
a pH range from 5.5 to 8.5.

We next examined whether pHluorin(M153R)-FliG can be used as a ratiometric pH sensor. The \( R_{410/470} \) ratio of purified pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His\(_6\) showed a pH-dependence from 0.3 at pH 5.5 to 1.2 at pH 8.5, which is as large as that of pHluorin(M153R) (Fig. 3-4), indicating that pHluorin(M153R)-FliG can be a useful tool to measure local pH near the flagellar motor.
Discussion

GFP has been used to determine subcellular protein localization. A peptide linker between GFP and the target protein is required for the stability and function of fusion proteins. However, GFP is often removed from fusion proteins by proteolytic cleavage. Here, I directly fused the ratiometric pHluorin probe to the N-termini of *Salmonella* FliG and MotB and found that these fusion proteins are also unstable in vivo (Fig. 3-1A). As pHluorin itself is stable (Fig. 3-2, inset), the fusion to target proteins presumably induces a conformational change in pHluorin, resulting in proteolytic cleavage of the fusion proteins. Site-directed mutagenesis revealed that the M153R mutation in pHluorin considerably improved the protein stability of its fusion proteins (Fig. 3-1A). The M153R mutation also increased not only the number of fluorescent spots of pHluorin-FliG in *Salmonella* cells but also their fluorescence intensity, improving the S/N ratio of the images (Fig. 3-1B).

It has been reported that the L99Q, M153R and V163A triple substitutions increases the brightness of TagGFP [Subach et al., 2008]. In this study, I found that the M153R mutation alone increases the brightness of pHluorin by about 2.5-fold in vivo (Fig. 3-2A). In contrast, the fluorescence intensity of purified pHluorin(M153R) was the same as that of pHluorin in solution (Fig. 3-2B). Met-153 is located on the surface of the crystal structure of the GFP molecule (Fig. 3-5) and is known to be important for the proper folding of GFP [Tsien, 1998]. The M153T mutation is called a folding mutation that improves folding at 37°C, reduces aggregation at high protein concentrations, and increases protein diffusion inside the cells [Tsien, 1998]. Therefore, I conclude that the M153R mutation increases the efficiency of protein folding of pHluorin *in vivo*, thereby stabilizing its fusion proteins significantly.

The M153R mutation did not change the 410/470-nm excitation ratios of
pHluorin (Fig. 3-3), indicating that pHluorin(M153R) can be used as a pH sensor. The 410/470-nm excitation ratios of pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His$_6$ also showed a similar pH-dependence (Fig. 3-4). Therefore, I believe that the pHluorin(M153R) probe can be used as a potentially powerful tool for local pH measurement in living cells. I am currently developing a high-resolution pH imaging system using pHluorin(M153R) as a probe.
Fig. 3-1. Effects of the M153R mutation in pHluorin on the protein stability of its fusion products. (a) Immunoblotting, using polyclonal anti-FliG (left panel) or anti-MotB antibody (right panel), of whole cell proteins prepared from SJW1103 (WT), YVM1002 (pHluorin-FliG, indicated as pH-FliG), YVM1004 (pHluorin(M153R)-FliG, indicated as pH(M153R)-FliG), YVM1001 (pHluorin-MotB, indicated as pH-MotB) and YVM1003 (pHluorin(M153R)-MotB, indicated as pH(M153R)-MotB). The positions of molecular mass markers (kDa) are shown on the left. (b) Fluorescence images (EPI) and bright field images (BF) of YVM1002 and YVM1004. The cells were grown overnight in LB at 30°C and observed by fluorescence microscopy.
Fig. 3-2. Effect of the M153R mutation on R_{410/470}. (A) Fluorescent intensities of SJW1103/pYC001 (pHluorin) and SJW1103/pYVM001 (pHluorin(M153R)) cells grown in T-broth at 30 °C. Emission spectra with 395 nm excitation were measured by a fluorescence spectrophotometer. The measurements were done at 23°C. Inset: Immunoblotting, using polyclonal GFP antibody, of whole cell proteins. (B) Fluorescent intensities of purified pHluorin and pHluorin(M153R).
**Fig. 3-3.** pH dependence of fluorescence excitation spectra and $\text{Ratio}_{410/470}$ of pHluorin and pHluorin(M153R). (A) Fluorescence excitation spectra of pHluorin and pHluorin(M153R). (B) $\text{Ratio}_{410/470}$. The fluorescence excitation spectra of purified proteins were recorded on a fluorescence spectrophotometer. The measurements were done at 23°C.
Fig. 3-4. pH dependence of fluorescence excitation spectra and Ratio_{410/470} of pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His_6. (A) Fluorescence excitation spectra. (B) Ratio_{410/470}. The fluorescence excitation spectra of purified proteins were recorded on a fluorescence spectrophotometer. The measurements were done at 23°C.
**Fig. 3-5.** Atomic model of GFP (PDB ID: 1EMA) and the mutations that generate the ratiometric pH probe pHluorin. The mutation sites are shown by balls: orange, for M153R; light blue, for E132D, S147E, N149L, N164I, K166Q, I167V, R168H and S202H.
### Table 3-1. Strains used in Chapter 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. coli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21(DE3) pLysS</td>
<td>T7 expression host</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salmonella</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW1103</td>
<td>Wild type for motility and chemotaxis</td>
<td>Yamaguchi <em>et al.</em> (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM1001</td>
<td><em>pHfluorin-motB</em></td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM1002</td>
<td><em>pHfluorin-fliG</em></td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM1003</td>
<td><em>pHfluorin(M153R)-motB</em></td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM1004</td>
<td><em>pHfluorin(M153R)-fliG</em></td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3-2. Plasmids used in Chapter 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmids</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pGST-pHluorin</td>
<td>pGEX2T / GST-pHluorin</td>
<td>Miesenböck et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYC001</td>
<td>pKK223-3 / pHluorin</td>
<td>Nakamura et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNSK22pH</td>
<td>pTrc99A / MotA + pHluorin-MotB-His₈*</td>
<td>S. Kojima, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNSK22pH(M153R)</td>
<td>pTrc99A / MotA + pHluorin(M153R)-MotB-His₈*</td>
<td>S. Kojima, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYVM001</td>
<td>pKK223-3 / pHluorin(M153R)</td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYVM007</td>
<td>pGEX2T / GST-pHluorin(M153R)</td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYVM013</td>
<td>pTrc99A / pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His₈</td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In this pHluorin-MotB-His₈ fusion construct, N-terminal 28 residues of MotB (Met1-Lys28) are attached to the N-terminus of pHluorin as described by Leake et al., 2006.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MotB&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-tetR</td>
<td>cactgagaaacccaaaccagcagcagcagactgaggaagcttaagccactttcacatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-tetA</td>
<td>ctgcggcgcttttacgacgacaatgggatgagccctgattttctaatgactttgcttcctg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-pH-Fw</td>
<td>gcagtgaaacccaaaccagcagcagcagactgaggaagcatgagtaagccactttcacatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MotB&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-pH-Rv</td>
<td>ctgcggcgcttttacgacgacaatgggatgacgctctatatttttatgctatccatgcagctg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliG&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-tetR</td>
<td>gtggtgccgctgtccctgagtccagtggatgagtaaggttaagccactttcacatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliG&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-tetA</td>
<td>ggtcatcaaaaaatgcgcaacgctttatatccgtaccgcatagactttgtgcttcctg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliG&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-pH-Fw</td>
<td>ggcgctttggtgcgtgtgctggtcattccgagtgctctgactgtaaggttaagccactttcacatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliG&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;-pH-Rv</td>
<td>ggtcatcaaaaaatgcgcaacgctttatatccgtaccgcatagactttgtgctatccatgctg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XbaI-fliG-SD</td>
<td>aatctagatgtggcgtgtctccatgcagcgtgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliG-HindIII</td>
<td>aactcggagcataggtatctctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FliG-Xhol</td>
<td>aactcggagcataggtatctctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His-HindIII</td>
<td>aactcggagcataggtatctctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctgctg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 4. High-resolution pH measurement of live *Salmonella* cells by a pH-sensitive GFP and a new pH imaging system

**Introduction**

So far, I have clarified the regulatory mechanism of the proton-conductivity of the MotA/B complex, and the characteristics of stator protein MotA/B, but it remains unknown how the stator complex couples the proton flow to torque generation. To understand the energy coupling mechanism of the proton-driven flagellar motor, pH changes near the motor should be measured, desirably near the exit of the proton pathway. In Chapter 3, I introduced the M153R mutation to pHluorin to improve the stability of the fusion proteins. I also showed that pHluorin(M153R)-FliG can be used as a retiometric pH probe. I used this pHluorin(M153R) mutant to develop an intracellular pH imaging system with high spatial and temporal resolution. This system can measure the intracellular pH distribution at a pH resolution of 0.02. Here, I describe the results using this mutation and discuss a new intracellular pH imaging system that has the ability to measure pH in single cells at unprecedented temporal and spatial resolution, offering new insight into local pH around the motor.
Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids, DNA manipulations and media

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this chapter are listed in Table 4-1 and 4-2. DNA manipulations were carried out as described in Chapter 1. PCR primers used were listed in Table 4-3. Mutations were confirmed by DNA sequencing. L broth, T broth, soft agar plates and motility medium were prepared as described in Chapter 1. Ampicillin was added to the medium at a final concentration of 50 µg/ml. Cells were grown in T-broth at 30°C until the optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) reached 1.0. The bacteria were washed two times by centrifugation (15000 rpm, 1 min.) and resuspended in motility medium.

Purification of pHluorin and pHluorin fusion proteins

pHluorin and pHluorin(M153R) were purified as described in Chapter 1. pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His₆ were purified as described in Chapter 3. GST-TarC-pHluorin(M153R) was purified from the soluble fractions of BL21(DE3)pLysS carrying pYVM003, by affinity chromatography with a GSTrap™HP column (GE Healthcare). GST-TarC-pHluorin(M153R) was purified by gel-filtration chromatography with a Hiload 26/60 Suprerdex 200 pg column (GE Healthcare).

Fluorescence spectroscopy

Excitation spectra of pHluorin were measured using the fluorescent spectrophotometer RF-5300PC (Shimadzu) as described in Chapter 1. The standard curve was determined for each fluorophore with purified protein (pHluorin, pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His₆ and GST-TarC-pHluorin(M153R)) by fluorescence measurements of samples resuspended at pH over a range of 5.5 – 8.5. A standard
curve was used to convert the 410/470 intensity ratio ($R_{410/470}$) to pH units.

**Fluorescence microscopy**

Cells expressing pHluorin were observed under a custom-built microscope (Fig. 4-1A). An optical system was built on an inverted fluorescence microscope (IX-71, Olympus). The microscope was equipped with the UApo 150×/1.45 oil TIRFM objective lens (Olympus) with ×1.6 variable inserts. I employed two methods of excitation.

(i) When a xenon lamp was used as an excitation light source, I used a high speed wavelength switcher (LAMBDA DG-4, Sutter) capable of switching the two excitation filters, 410 nm (400AF30, Omega Optical) and 470 nm (BP470-490, Olympus), in 1.2 ms. Fluorescence emission was passed through the dichroic mirror (FF510-Di01-25x36, Semrock) and an emission filter (520DF40, Omega Optical). Images were acquired with an EMCCD camera (C9100-02, Hamamatsu Photonics) and controlled by the MetaMorph 3.6 software (Molecular Devices).

(ii) Diode pumping solid state lasers of 405 nm (Compass 405-25, COHERENT) and 473 nm (Cobolt blues 473 nm, Cobolt AB) excitation wavelengths were used as the excitation light sources. The 405 nm laser aperture was narrowed by using two collimator lenses (f = 48 mm and f = 8 mm, CVI MellesGriot). Two lasers were combined with a dichroic mirror (DM450, Olympus). Images were acquired with a frame-transfer mode of ~1000 Hz by using a 128x128-pixel, cooled, back-illuminated EMCCD camera (iXon DU860-BV, Andor Technology). The on/off switching of the excitation laser and camera were controlled by an electrical stimulator (SEN-8203, Nihon Kohden) and the Andor Solis software (Andor Technology). The 405 nm laser can be controlled directly, while the 473 nm laser was gated by a high-speed mechanical shutter (Uniblitz UHS1T2, Vincent Associates).
Image processing

Calculation of cytoplasmic pH

Intracellular pH distribution within a single cell was determined from the ratio of fluorescence intensity distribution in the two excitation wavelength images (Fig. 4-2). I analyzed fluorescent images with an image processing program I developed based on the Igor Pro 6 (WaveMetrics). The fluorescence intensity of pHluorin was determined as

\[ I_{PH} = I_{all} - I_{bg} - I_{af} \]

where \( I_{PH} \) is the intensity for calculation of pH, \( I_{all} \) is the total intensity, \( I_{bg} \) is the background intensity, and \( I_{af} \) is the autofluorescence intensity. The raw data includes the background noise of the detector and autofluorescence values of the cells. First I defined the intensity of the cell regions \( (I_{all}) \), which was the average value of the threshold determined by the image profile. I then subtracted background noise \( (I_{bg}) \) and autofluorescence values \( (I_{af}) \). The background value \( (I_{bg}) \) was the average of an arbitrary 100 x 100 pixel region absent of any cells. Autofluorescence values \( (I_{af}) \) were the mean pixel intensities of 50 wild-type cells containing no GFP.

Due to the optical resolution limited by the wavelength and numerical aperture, the spatial resolution of the pH imaging system is 214 nm. Taking this into consideration, I introduced a smoothing procedure by processing over 3×3 pixels for images acquired by iXon DU860-BV, and over 7×7 pixels for images acquired by C9100-02. The pH was determined by using the standard curve for each fluorophores (Fig. 4-1B). I performed these steps separately for each image.
Determination of local pH around the motor

The fluorescence intensity of a single fluorescent spot of pHluorin-FliG was determined by fitting the intensity distribution with a 2D-Gaussian function by using the Igor Pro 6 software (WaveMetrics) (Fig. 4-3). Local pH was determined from $R_{410/470}$ of the fluorescent spot by the standard curve (Fig. 4-1B).
Results

**pH resolution of the pH imaging system.**

The intracellular pH imaging system is based on an inverted fluorescence microscope (Fig. 4-1A). High spatial and temporal resolution was achieved by using an EMCCD camera. The fluorescence intensity of purified pHluorin was measured at different protein concentrations at pH 7.0 (Fig. 4-4) to estimate the pH resolution of the system. The pH value was determined with $R_{410/470}$, the fluorescent intensity ratio by excitation at 410 and 470 nm (Fig. 4-1B). The standard deviation of the pH was defined as the pH resolution of the system. The fluorescence intensity depended on the concentration of purified pHluorin in the buffer. By considering the 508 nm emission peak of pHluorin and using a NA1.45 objective lens, a spatial resolution of 214 nm was achieved, as given by resolution $= 0.61 \times$ wavelength / NA. pHluorin was imaged either with a xenon lamp or with laser excitation used for high spatial resolution and high-speed imaging. Image data by the xenon lamp excitation were acquired by an EMCCD, Hamamatsu C9100-02 (33 nm / pixel in my system), with smoothing over 7 $\times$ 7 pixels. Image data by laser excitation were acquired by another EMCCD, Andor iXon (100 nm / pixel in my system), with smoothing over 3 $\times$ 3 pixels. Spatial smoothing of images over multiple pixels improved the pH resolution. The result shows that the pH imaging system can measure intracellular pH at a pH resolution of 0.02 when the fluorescence intensity is adequate (Fig. 4-4).

**Time-lapse measurement of intracellular pH of a single cell**

It is important that time-lapse observations with high temporal resolution be made to observe the dynamics of the cell. Because weak acids such as acetate and benzoate in the extracellular solution are able to cross the cytoplasmic membrane in their
neutral forms and then dissociate in the cytoplasm, intracellular pH can be measured by lowering the external pH without significantly changing the total PMF. Previous data showed that 20 mM benzoate greatly reduced intracellular pH to nearly the external pH value [Kihara et al., 1981; Minamino et al., 2003; Nakamura et al., 2009a; Morimoto et al., 2010a]. I measured intracellular pH over an external pH range of 6.0 – 7.5 with or without benzoate using either a fluorescence spectrophotometer or the pH imaging system (Fig. 4-5). In the absence of benzoate, intracellular pH changed only by 0.3 units (Fig. 4-5). In contrast, in the presence of benzoate, intracellular pH changed more or less linearly and approached to the external pH (Fig. 4-5), in agreement with previous observations in Chapter 1 [Kihara et al., 1981; Minamino et al., 2003; Morimoto et al., 2010a]. This suggests that the pH values obtained by the pH imaging system are comparable to those obtained by a fluorescence spectrophotometer when a large number of cells were measured.

I measured the intracellular pH of Salmonella cell upon lowering the external pH from 7.0 to 6.0 with or without benzoate at every 30 ms (Fig. 4-6). At pH 6.0 in weak acid, the intracellular pH shifted also to 6.0. Without the weak acid, intracellular pH changed from 7.5 only to 7.3, demonstrating the high pH resolution of the system. Intracellular pH shifted to 6.0 within about 2 seconds after the external pH was shifted from 7.0 to 6.0 in a medium with 20 mM benzoate. When the external pH was brought back to 7.0 by using the original medium without benzoate, intracellular pH recovered to the original pH within about 2 seconds. The gradual changes in intracellular pH were largely due to the speed of medium change.

Effects of the overproduction of the unplugged MotA/B complex on intracellular pH and cell growth

95
As described in Chapter 1, cell growth is impaired due to proton leakage by the induction of the unplugged MotA/B complex from the plasmid (Fig. 1-1) [Hosking et al., 2006; Morimoto et al., 2010a]. By using the pH imaging system I measured the cytoplasmic pH of single *Salmonella* cells transformed with pYC20 before and after the induction with arabinose (Fig. 4-7). Cytoplasmic pH decreased by 0.6 units within 20 min after the induction and stayed constant at that level, in agreement with the data obtained by a spectrophotometer [Morimoto et al., 2010a].

**Rapid and sensitive response of the directional switching of motor rotation to a decrease in intracellular pH**

It has been shown that a decrease in intracellular pH results in a strong CW bias in motor rotation as described in Chapter 1 and previous reports [Kihara and Macnab, 1981; Morimoto et al., 2010a]. I carried out single-cell pH imaging upon shifting external pH from 7.0 to 6.0 in the presence of benzoate and recorded intracellular pH and flagellar motor rotation simultaneously using a split-imaging system (Fig. 4-8A). Motor rotation changed from CCW to CW bias within 10 ms of a 0.1 decrease in internal pH (Fig. 4-8B). The results show that *Salmonella* cells have the potential to respond to quite small pH changes in the environment.

**Local pH measurement**

There are reports on the fluorescence imaging of flagellar motor components by fusing them to fluorescent proteins [Leake et al., 2006; Fukuoka et al., 2007, 2010]. Although these studies revealed the localization and dynamics of fusion proteins, the temporal resolution was unsatisfactory. To watch the dynamics of internal pH, high-speed imaging is needed. The pHluorin(M153R)-FlG fusion protein made it
possible to improve the S/N ratio of fluorescence images significantly, enabling local pH measurements in single cells (Fig. 3-4).

The N-terminal region of FliG, which forms part of the C-ring close to the cytoplasmic membrane, is responsible for binding to the MS-ring, which is comosed of FliF and spans the membrane [Kihara et al., 2000]. FliG interacts with the MotA/B complex for torque generation, and the MotA/B complex forms a proton channel upon its attachment to the flagellar basal body to become a functional stator. Therefore, pHluorin was fused to the N-terminus of FliG (YVM1004 strain) to monitor the local pH around FliG and the MotA/B complex during the process of torque generation. The pH probe is located about 3 nm away from the cytoplasmic membrane in this case. In the Salmonella SJW1368 flhDC mutant cells lacking flagellar master regulator, pHluorin-FliG was distributed homogeneously over the cytoplasm and showed no localization (lower left panel of Fig. 4-9A). In the YVM1004 strain, however, many distinct fluorescent spots are observed clearly, with the number of spots per cell close to that of the flagellum. Thus, local pH around the torque generating unit can be monitored with the cells of this strain. Cytoplasmic and local pHs were measured at external pH of 5.5 and 7.0. Cytoplasmic pH was around 7.4 at external pH 7.0, while it was about 7.3 at external pH 5.5 (Fig. 4-9B). There were no significant differences between local pH near the motor and bulk pH of the cytoplasm at both external pH 5.5 and 7.0 (Fig. 4-9B), indicating that intracellular pH is more or less uniform throughout the cell body, including the membrane peripheral.

In Tar-pHluorin strain, pHluorin was fused to the C-terminus of Tar to monitor local pH around the cytoplasmic domain of the Tar receptor. The C-terminus of Tsr, which is Tar homologue, is known to be located near the cytoplasmic membrane [Kim et al., 1999]. The C-terminal regions of Tar and Tsr are thought to be flexible from
cryoEM images [Francis et al., 2002, 2004]. Therefore, Tar-pHluorin is considered to measure cytoplasmic pH within about 5 nm from the membrane. The fluorescence image of the YVM009 strain cells with Tar-pHluorin showed fluorescent intensities localized to the cell poles, indicating the localization of the Tar receptors. Local pHs measured by Tar-pHluorin at external pH 5.5 and 7.0 were almost the same as those in the cytoplasm and those measured by pHluorin-FliG, respectively, indicating again that intracellular pH is uniform in the cytoplasmic space and the membrane peripheral.
Discussion

Calibration of ratiometric pH measurements

Many experimental techniques have been developed to measure intracellular pH [Slonczewski et al., 1982; Zilberstein et al., 1984; Kneen et al., 1998]. However, only fluorescence techniques currently offer the sensitivity required for accurate measurements at the single cell level of bacteria. Currently, there exist two methods for determining ion concentrations by using fluorescent indicators [Kneen et al., 1998; Llopis et al., 1998; Miesenböck et al., 1998]. Monochromatic indicators have spectra that change little upon ion binding and therefore rely instead on differences in fluorescence intensity [Kneen et al., 1998; Wilks and Slonczewski, 2007]. This type of measurement depends strongly on the experimental conditions such as the indicator concentration. In contrast, ratiometric methods such as pHluorin probes avoid such problems [Miesenböck et al., 1998]. Using this method, I was able to acquire calibration curves for different types of purified proteins, which allowed accurate pH measurement as well as high temporal and spatial resolution.

Photobleaching

The number of successive measurements that can be made on a single cell is limited due to photobleaching. Wild-type GFP has multiple states [Chattoraj et al., 1996; Tsien, 1998]. The specificity of pHluorin is due to two states of its chromophore, both of which are susceptible to photobleaching compromising pH measurements [Hess et al., 2004]. To avoid photobleaching, pH was measured at low excitation intensity by ND filters. The pH imaging system I developed proved that intracellular pH could be measured stably for more than 1 min (data not shown). However, further modifications to the technique are necessary for stable local pH measurement.
Comparison to other pH measurement methods

The pH imaging system has a temporal resolution of 3 ms. While similar systems have been developed in previous studies, they operated only on the second time scale at maximum and were not able to examine rapid pH homeostasis [Sankaranarayanan et al., 2000]. Because of the high temporal resolution of the present system, it has the potential to investigate the molecular mechanism that regulates proton conduction employed by various protein complexes.

Previous kinetic studies of intracellular pH regulation used $^{31}$P NMR, a method that requires highly concentrated cell suspensions [Olsen et al., 2002; Wilks and Slonczewski, 2007]. In these experiments, cells were harvested in the late log phase and resuspended at high density, leading to experimental errors. One important advantage of single cell measurements is that they provide explicit information on individual cell variation, avoiding the type of errors caused by ensemble average. I previously found that there are considerable cell-to-cell variations. For example, the number of flagellar motors per cell varies from 4 to 8 [Turner et al., 2000]. Such variation would in turn affect proton influx through the stators of the motors, which could result in misinterpretations of the data when the average of multiple cells is monitored.

Local pH measurement

In this study, local pH in single Salmonella cell was measured with Tar-pHluorin and pHluorin-FliG fusion proteins (Fig. 4-9). Tar-pHluorin is considered to measure cytoplasmic pH within about 5 nm from the cytoplasmic membrane [Kim et al., 1999]. Although Tar was localized at the cell poles, as shown by previous data [Shiomi et al., 2005], there were no marked differences between local pH measured by Tar-pHluorin
and bulk pH of the cytoplasm (Fig. 4-9). This result indicates that Tar senses local polar pH that has quite similar value to that throughout the cytoplasm.

**Proton influx through the flagellar motor**

The local pH measured by pHluorin-FliG is thought to indicate the pH around the torque generation unit formed by FliG and the MotA/B complex, which is 2–3 nm away from the cytoplasmic membrane based on cryo-EM images of the flagellar basal body [Thomas et al., 2001]. The number of protons that flows into the cytoplasm through the motor per revolution was estimated to be about 1200 [Meister et al., 1987]. The proton-driven flagellar motor rotates at around 300 Hz [Berg, 2003]. Therefore, the number of protons that enters into the cytoplasm through a single flagellar motor is about 360,000 per second. Despite this relatively large number, there were no significant differences between local pH near the motor and bulk pH of the cytoplasm (Fig. 4-9), suggesting that the pH homeostasis of the bacterial cell has a strong pH buffering capacity, probably by strong proton pumping by complex machinery, such as H⁺-ATPase, ATP synthase, H⁺ antiporters and respiratory complexes.

**High-resolution pH imaging in the future**

Flagellar rotation, which corresponds to the output of the flagellar motor, has been studied in many bacteria using various methods. On the contrary, a far less number of studies have been made on the proton influx, which is the input. Meister et al. estimated that the number of influx protons per motor per revolution was ca. 1200 [Meister et al., 1987]. However this value is questionable since it was determined by using cell suspensions. I constructed a functional fusion protein of pHluorin(M153R) with FliG to measure local pH around the torque generation unit of the flagellar motor
The pH imaging system, combining with this construct, will allow the motor rotation and local pH change to be monitored at high temporal resolution (Fig. 4-8). I will fully utilize the capability of this system to investigate the energy conversion mechanism of the flagellar motor by simultaneously measuring proton influx and motor rotation at the single-motor level. By combining with different fusion proteins that localize distinct cellular locations to exert distinct functions, the pH imaging system will also be sensitive enough to allow measurements of activities of various energy transduction systems at single molecule levels in vivo at high spatial and temporal resolution.
Fig. 4-1. Intracellular pH imaging system (A) Schematic illustration of the intracellular pH imaging system built on an inverted fluorescence microscope. ‘DM’: dichroic mirror; ‘SH’: mechanical shutter. (B) Calibration curve between pH and Ratio\textsubscript{410/470}. Calibration curves were presented as a sigmoid plot of the fluorescence excitation ratio versus pH. Fluorescent intensities of purified protein; pHluorin, GST-Tar\textsubscript{c}-pHluorin and pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His\textsubscript{6} were acquired by pH imaging system.
Fig. 4-2. Typical pH imaging of a single cell. (A) Upper images: fluorescence images of MMPH001, excited with 410 nm and 470 nm by a xenon lamp, respectively. Lower graphs: fluorescence intensity profile along the line indicated in the upper images. The green area under the peak is used to determine the intracellular pH. (B) Ratio$_{410/470}$ of MMPH001 was calculated by 3 x 3 gaussian smoothing. (C) Intracellular pH was determined from Ratio$_{410/470}$ for each pixels.
Fig. 4-3. Typical example of local pH measurement by a fluorescent spot of pHluorin-FliG. Upper images: fluorescence images of YVM1004, excited with 410 nm and 470 nm by a Xenon lamp, respectively. Lower images: magnified images of the fluorescent spots within the orange box in the upper images. The intensity of single fluorescent spot of pHluorin-FliG was identified and extracted by fitting with a 2D-Gaussian, which is presented as concentric circles. Local pH was determined from the ratio of integrated intensities of fluorescent spots.
Fig 4-4. pH resolution of the pH imaging system. pH was measured with purified pHluorin at pH 7.0 by either xenon lamp or laser excitation. The standard deviations of pH are plotted as a function of the fluorescence intensity. The data obtained by the xenon lamp were acquired by the EMCCD Hamamatsu C9100-02 with $7 \times 7$ pixel smoothing (xenon). The data obtained by lasers was acquired by the EMCCD Andor iXon with $3 \times 3$ pixel smoothing (laser). A best-fit curvilinear power regression curve was selected with Kaleida Graph (Synergy Software).
Fig. 4-5. Effect of weak acid on intracellular pH. Intracellular pH of MMPH001 was measured by a spectrophotometer (Spectrometer) or the pH imaging system (Microscope) at external pH range 6.0 – 7.5 with or without 20 mM benzoate. Each set of data is the average of more than 100 cells. The measurements were done at ca. 23°C.
Fig. 4-6. Time-lapse measurement of intracellular pH change. The intracellular pH of SJW1103/pYVM001 was measured with changing intracellular pH by changing the external medium pH from 7.0 to 6.0 with or without benzoate. The external pH was brought back to 7.0 with the original buffer without benzoate. The change in intracellular pH was measured at 30 ms intervals by DPSS laser excitation. The measurements were done at ca. 23°C.
Fig. 4-7. Effects of deletion of the plug segment of *Salmonella* MotB on proton leakage. Measurement of the intracellular pH of YVM021 cells ($ΔfliC::pHluorin$) transformed with the pBAD24-based plasmids pYC20 (WT) or pYC109 ($Δ52-71$) using the pHluorin probe after induction of MotA/B or MotB($Δ52-71$) expression at an external pH of 5.5.
Fig. 4-8. Rapid responses to a decrease in intracellular pH. Intracellular pH and the rotation rate of a 1.5 μm diameter bead attached to the sticky flagellar filaments were measured in a single cell. (A) Simultaneous measurement carried out with a split-image microscope unit. Left half is the bright field image for detecting the rotation of polystyrene beads attached to a filament. Right half region is the fluorescent image used to calculate pH with pHluorin. (B) Intracellular pH and the rotation rate were measured at 30 ms and at 10 ms intervals, respectively. External pH was shifted from 7.0 to 6.0 by a 20 mM benzoate medium.
Fig. 4-9. Local pH measurements of a single cell. (A) MMPH001 (pHluorin), SJW1368/pYVM008 (ΔflhDC/pH-FliG), YVM009 (Tar-pH) and YVM1004 (pH-FliG) were observed under a fluorescence microscope. Cells were incubated at 30°C for 6 hours. (B) pH was measured using the pH imaging system with a pH 5.5 or 7.0 motility medium. pH was determined by the standard curves obtained from purified pHluorin (for MMPH001), GST-TarC-pHluorin(M153R) (for YVM009) and pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His6 (for SJW1368/pYVM008 and YVM1004). The measurements were done at ca. 23°C.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. coli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21(DE3) pLysS</td>
<td>T7 expression host</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salmonella</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW1103</td>
<td>Wild type for motility and chemotaxis</td>
<td>Yamaguchi <em>et al.</em> (1984)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW46</td>
<td><em>fliC</em>(Δ204-292)</td>
<td>Yoshioka <em>et al.</em> (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW1368</td>
<td>Δ(<em>cheW-flhD</em>)</td>
<td>Ohnishi <em>et al.</em> (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJW2241</td>
<td>Δ(<em>motA-motB</em>)</td>
<td>Komoriya <em>et al.</em> (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMPH001</td>
<td>Δ(<em>araBAD::pHfluorin</em>)</td>
<td>Morimoto <em>et al.</em> (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM009</td>
<td><em>tar-pHfluorin</em>(M153R)</td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YVM1004</td>
<td><em>pHfluorin</em>(M153R)-<em>fliG</em></td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmids</td>
<td>Relevant characteristics</td>
<td>Source or reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKK223-3</td>
<td>Cloning vector</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTrc99A</td>
<td>Cloning vector</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAD24</td>
<td>Cloning vector</td>
<td>Guzman et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKSS13</td>
<td>pKK223-3 / MotA+MotB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYC001</td>
<td>pKK223-3 / pHluorin</td>
<td>Nakamura et al. (2009a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYC20</td>
<td>pBAD24 / MotA+MotB</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYC109</td>
<td>pBAD24 / MotA+MotB(Δ52-71)</td>
<td>Morimoto et al. (2010a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGST-pHluorin</td>
<td>pGEX2T / GST-pHluorin</td>
<td>Miesenböck et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYVM001</td>
<td>pKK223-3 / pHluorin(M153R)</td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYVM003</td>
<td>pGEX6p-1 / GST-Tar286-553-pHluorin(M153R)</td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYVM007</td>
<td>pGEX2T / GST-pHluorin(M153R)</td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYVM008</td>
<td>pTrc99A / pHluorin(M153R)-FliG</td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYVM013</td>
<td>pTrc99A / pHluorin(M153R)-FliG-His$_6$</td>
<td>Y. Morimoto, unpublished</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 4-3. Primers used in Chapter 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TarC-tetR</td>
<td>cgcgagtcattagccgacagggatgatgcaactgggaattagacccacattcttcacatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TarC-tetA</td>
<td>cgggttcgcaataattgcatgcaacctgggaatgagacgcacgtcagactaagctaattcttccttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TarC-pH_Fw</td>
<td>gcagtcattagccgacagggatgatgcaactgggaattacgcgataacgtagaaggagaagaacctttt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TarC-pH_Rv</td>
<td>cggggttcgcaataattgcatgcaacctgggaatgagacgcacgtcagactaagctaattcttccttg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BamHI-Tar286</td>
<td>aaggatatcttaattttagttcattcatccatgcc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH_EcoRI</td>
<td>aagaattcttattttgattgattccattcatccatgcc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XbaI-fliG_SD</td>
<td>aatctagatggtgccgtgtcattcgcagctt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fliG-HindIII</td>
<td>aaaaagcttttagacataggtatcctgc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fliG_ds-XhoI</td>
<td>aactcgaggacataggtatcctgacgcc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His-HindIII</td>
<td>aaaaagctttcagttggtggtggttggtggtgtgctc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

This study has been carried out towards the goal of understanding the molecular mechanisms of the activation and localization of the MotA/B proton channel complex of the proton-driven bacterial flagellar motor. Summary of my new finding throughout the work is described below.

1. *Salmonella* MotB has the plug region, which acts in a similar way to the corresponding region of *E. coli* MotB.

2. The proton-conductivity of the MotA/B complex not incorporated into the motor is two orders of magnitude lower than that of a complex that was incorporated and activated.

3. The process of proton translocation through the channel is not required for the MotA/B assembly.

4. The electrostatic interaction of the cytoplasmic loop of MotA with FliG is required for the efficient assembly of the stators around the rotor but not for torque generation.

5. The M153R mutation in pHluorin improves the stability of its fusion proteins.

6. A pH imaging system has been developed to measure local pH near the motor using pHluorin with a pH resolution of 0.02 and a temporal resolution of 3 msec.

The proton-conductivity of the MotA/B complex

The MotA/B stator complex acts as a proton channel of the proton-driven flagellar motor. Since an in-frame deletion of residues 51-70 in *E. coli* MotB, which corresponds to residues 52-71 in *Salmonella* MotB, causes significant proton leakage and arrests cell growth, the deleted region has been proposed to act as a plug that prevents the MotA/B complex from leaking protons before it assembles into the motor [Hosking et al., 2006]. In Chapter 1, I measured the proton-conductivity of the
unplugged and plugged MotA/B complex of *Salmonella* by a ratiometric pH indicator protein, pHluorin, and found that the proton-conductivity of the MotA/B complex not incorporated into the motor is small but large enough to change the cytoplasmic pH to a level at which the chemotactic signal transduction system responds. Approximately 50-fold over-expression of MotA/B compared to the chromosome expression level was necessary to cause such small proton leakage, indicating that the conserved plug segment in MotB does not tightly suppress proton leakage. There are two possible reasons: 1) the plug may breathe in and out of the cytoplasmic membrane, as suggested previously [Hosking *et al.*, 2006], or 2) even with the plug place in there is some leakage.

Because the change in the intracellular pH as small as ca. 0.2 units can be detected either by pHluorin expressed in the cell or by the chemotaxis signal transduction system of *Salmonella* using motility assay, I suggest that the proton conductivity of potential, but unproven, transmembrane proton channels and conductors may be examined easily and quickly by expressing the proteins in *Salmonella* cells expressing cytoplasmic pHluorin and by swarming motility assay. This will be a convenient and high-throughput method for examining proton conductivity of membrane protein complexes.

**Subcellular localization of the MotA/B complex**

MotB consists of the N-terminal cytoplasmic region, one transmembrane helix, and the C-terminal periplasmic region named MotBC, which contains the PG-binding motif [Kojima and Blair, 2004; Kojima *et al.*, 2009]. MotBC is thought to be required for proper targeting and stable anchoring of the MotA/B complex to the motor [Kojima *et al.*, 2008]. The stators can be exchanged with the membrane pool even during motor
rotation, indicating that the stator does not stably bind to the motor and the peptidoglycan layer [Leake et al., 2006]. The plug segment in the MotB$_C$ region suppresses the proton-conductivity of free MotA/B complexes diffusing in the cytoplasmic membrane when they are not installed into the motor [Hosking et al., 2006; Morimoto et al., 2010a]. In Chapter 2, I showed that the process of proton translocation through the channel is not required for stator assembly and that MotA alone can be installed into the flagellar motor in the absence of MotB. The results suggested that two charged residues of MotA, Arg-90 and Glu-98, which are important for electrostatic interactions with FliG, were critical not only for torque generation but also for the assembly of the stators into the motor. However, the roles of these two residues were slightly different. I have to work out this mechanism. Further single molecule imaging analysis of MotA and MotB by fluorescent microscopy and carrying out high-resolution structural analyses by electron cryomicroscopy and single particle analysis of the basal body with stators are required to clarify the localization mechanism of the MotA/B complex. Dynamic behavior of the MotA/B complex must be investigated by single molecule detection technique combined with molecular genetics.

**High-resolution pH imaging**

I analyzed the proton-conductivity and the subcellular localization mechanism of the MotA/B complex to understand the energy coupling mechanism of the bacterial flagellar motor. However, I was not able to clarify the energy conversion mechanism. To do this, I must establish the system to measure the proton flow coupled with motor rotation. Many methods for the rotation analyses of the rotary motor with high spatial and temporal resolutions have been developed [Yuan and Berg, 2008; Ueno et al.,
In contrast to $F_0F_1$-ATP synthase [Noji et al., 1997], in vitro reconstruction of the flagellar motor has not been succeeded. Furthermore, in the proton-driven motor, manipulations of the energy input is quite difficult compared with the sodium-driven motor. For these reasons, a far less number of studies have been made on the proton influx through the flagellar motor, although Meister et al. roughly estimated that the number of influx protons per revolution is ca. 1200 [Meister et al., 1987]. But this value is questionable since it was determined by using cell suspensions.

pH-sensitive red fluorescent protein has been developed, and used for monitoring the nucleoside transport-coupled proton flow in the investigation of a human concentrative transporter [Johnson et al., 2009]. The number of proton co-transported with nucleoside by the transporter has been estimated. This report supports the view that the pH imaging technique is capable of monitoring proton flux through the proton channel.

I have developed a pH imaging system that can measure local pH near the motor using pHluorin. This system can measure the intracellular pH distribution with a pH resolution of 0.02 and a temporal resolution of 3 msec. I also measured local pH around the motor with the cell having its flagellar motor components fused to the pHluorin(M153R) mutant. The local pH around the torque generation unit of the motor, which is 2–3 nm away from the cytoplasmic membrane, were almost same with bulk cytoplasmic pH, probably because of a strong pH homeostasis throughout the cell. This technique for measuring local pH near the membrane is expected to give us critical insights into the energy conversion mechanism of the flagellar motor by simultaneously measuring local pH and motor rotation at the single-motor level.

pH imaging is becoming an efficient and useful method in various fields, such as a medicine, because local pH is one of the most important parameters of live cells.
cells. A pH probe has been developed for *in vivo* cancer imaging by taking advantage of the lysosome that holds low pH (pH 5.0–6.0) relative to the cytoplasmic pH (pH ~7.4) [Urano et al., 2008]. This technique can be helpful in detecting early cancer. Additionally, it is suggested that for oxidative phosphorylation, alkalophilic *Bacillus* uses the proton movement laterally along membrane surface, which is rapid enough to support the ATP synthesis before protons are lost to the bulk [Heberle et al., 1994; Guffanti and Krulwich, 1994]. Because of the high resolution, the pH imaging system developed here will be usefully applied to diverse areas of biological sciences.
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