



Title	On categories of projective modules
Author(s)	Harada, Manabu; Kanbara, Hikoji
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1971, 8(3), p. 471-483
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/4242">https://doi.org/10.18910/4242</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

Sugano, K.  
 Osaka J. Math.  
 8 (1971), 465-469

## ON CENTRALIZERS IN SEPARABLE EXTENSIONS II

Kozo SUGANO

(Received February 9, 1971)

**0.** The aim of this paper is to improve and generalize some results of the author's previous paper [8]. Therefore, all notations and terminologies are same as those in [7] and [8]. In [8] the author studied some commutor theory of H-separable extension  $\Lambda|\Gamma$  in the case where  $\Lambda \cong \Gamma \otimes_C \Delta$  with  $\Delta (= V_\Lambda(\Gamma))$  central separable over  $C$  and  $C =$  the center of  $\Lambda =$  the center of  $\Gamma$ , and in the case where  $\Lambda$  is left or right  $\Gamma$ -f. g. (finitely generated) projective and  $\Lambda|\Gamma$  satisfies the following condition (\*)

- (\*) 1)  $\Lambda$  is an H-separable extension of  $\Gamma$  such that  ${}_r\Gamma_r \triangleleft \bigoplus_r \Lambda_r$ .
- 2)  $V_\Lambda(\Gamma) = C'$ , where  $C'$  is the center of  $\Gamma$ .

(See Theorem 1.2, Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 1.3 [8]). In case  $\Lambda|\Gamma$  satisfies the condition (\*) 1),  $\Lambda$  is left  $\Gamma$ -f. g. projective if and only if  $\Lambda$  is right  $\Gamma$ -f. g. projective by Corollary 2 [9], hence we shall simply say that  $\Lambda$  is  $\Gamma$ -f. g. projective in this case. We note also that the condition (\*) implies that  $V_\Lambda(C') = \Gamma$  by Proposition 1.2 [7]. In this paper, we shall consider the case where  $\Lambda$  is left or right  $\Gamma$ -f. g. projective and  $\Lambda$  is an H-separable extension of  $\Gamma$ , and shall prove that there exists a one to one correspondence between the class of subrings  $B$  of  $\Lambda$  which is separable extensions of  $\Gamma$  and  ${}_B B_B \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_B$  and the class of separable  $C$ -subalgebras of  $\Delta$  (Theorem 1). From this theorem, Corollary 1.4 and a more beautiful result than Thoerem 1.3 [8] follows.

**1.** To obtain our main results we need the next lemma which appears in [6].

**Lemma 1** (Corollary 1.2 [6]). *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $M$  a left  $A$ -module,  $\Omega = \text{End}({}_A M)$  and  $E = \text{End}(M_\Omega)$ . Then if  $M$  is  $A$ -f. g. projective,  $E \otimes_A M \cong M$  as  $E$ - $\Omega$ -module by the map:  $e \otimes m \mapsto em$  for  $e \in E$  and  $m \in M$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $M$  is  $A$ -f. g. projective, we have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} E \otimes_A M &= \text{Hom}(M_\Omega, M_\Omega) \otimes_A M \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}({}_A M, {}_A M)_\Omega, M_\Omega) \\ &= \text{Hom}(\Omega_\Omega, M_\Omega) \cong M \end{aligned}$$

as  $E$ - $\Omega$ -module. The composition of the above isomorphisms is the required one.

For rings  $\Gamma \subset B \subset \Lambda$ , we shall say that  $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  splits if the map of  $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$  to  $\Lambda$  such that  $b \otimes x \rightarrow bx$  for  $b \in B$  and  $x \in \Lambda$  splits as  $B$ - $\Lambda$ -map. We also need Proposition 2.3 [8]. This proposition can be improved as follows

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $H$ -separable extension of  $\Gamma$ . Then for any intermediate ring  $B$  between  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$  such that  ${}_B B_{\Gamma} \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_{\Gamma}$  and  $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  splits,  ${}_D D \triangleleft \bigoplus_D \Delta$  and  $D \otimes_C \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  splits, where  $D = V_{\Lambda}(B)$ . Conversely for any  $C$ -subalgebra  $D$  of  $\Delta$  such that  ${}_D D \triangleleft \bigoplus_D \Delta$  and  $D \otimes_C \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  splits,  ${}_B B_{\Gamma} \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_{\Gamma}$  and  $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  splits, where  $B = V_{\Lambda}(D)$ .*

Proof. The first part of this proposition have been proved in Proposition 2.3 [8]. Hence we need to prove only the second part without assuming that  $B$  is right  $\Gamma$ -f. g. projective. Suppose that  $D$  is a  $C$ -subalgebra of  $\Delta$  such that  $D \otimes_C \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  splits. Then  $B = V_{\Lambda}(D) \cong \text{Hom}({}_D \Delta_{\Delta}, {}_D \Delta_{\Delta}) \triangleleft \bigoplus \text{Hom}({}_D D \otimes_C \Delta_{\Delta}, {}_D \Delta_{\Delta}) \cong V_{\Lambda}(C)$  as  $B$ - $V_{\Lambda}(\Delta)$ -module. Hence  ${}_B B_{\Gamma} \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ . Then, since  $\Lambda$  is  $H$ -separable over  $\Gamma$  and  ${}_B B_{\Gamma} \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ , we have a  $B$ - $\Lambda$ -isomorphism  $\eta$  of  $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$  to  $\text{Hom}({}_D \Delta, {}_D \Delta)$  such that  $\eta(b \otimes x)(d) = bdx$  for  $b \in B$ ,  $d \in D$  and  $x \in \Lambda$  by Proposition 1.3 [7]. Hence, we have a commutative diagram of  $B$ - $\Lambda$ -maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \text{Hom}({}_D \Delta, {}_D \Delta) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i_* \\ \Lambda & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{Hom}({}_D D, {}_D \Delta) \end{array}$$

where  $j$  is the natural isomorphism and  $i_*$  is the one induced by the inclusion map  $i: D \subset \Delta$ . Then if  ${}_D D \triangleleft \bigoplus_D \Delta$ ,  $i_*$  is  $B$ - $\Lambda$ -splits and  $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  splits.

Let  $\Lambda$  be a semisimple  $R$ -algebra in the sense of A. Hattori [2], that is,  $\Lambda$  is a weakly semisimple extension of  $R \cdot 1$  in the sense of [3]. Then every finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module which is  $R$ -projective is  $\Lambda$ -projective, and by Proposition 4.1 [1] if  $\Sigma$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -algebra which contains  $\Lambda$ ,  ${}_{\Lambda} \Lambda \triangleleft \bigoplus_{\Lambda} \Sigma$  and  $\Lambda_{\Lambda} \triangleleft \bigoplus \Sigma_{\Lambda}$ . It is also well known that a separable algebra is a semisimple algebra.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $H$ -separable extension of  $\Gamma$ . If (1)  $D$  is a separable  $C$ -subalgebra of  $\Delta$ , or if (2)  $\Delta$  is a separable  $C$ -algebra (e.g., if  ${}_{\Gamma} \Gamma_{\Gamma} \triangleleft \bigoplus_{\Gamma} \Lambda_{\Gamma}$ ) and  $D$  is a semisimple  $C$ -subalgebra of  $\Delta$ , then  $V_{\Lambda}(V_{\Lambda}(D)) = D$ ,  ${}_B B_{\Gamma} \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_{\Gamma}$  and  $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  splits, where  $B = V_{\Lambda}(D)$ .*

Proof. Suppose (1). Then since  $D \otimes_C D \rightarrow D$  splits as  $D$ - $D$ -map,  $D \otimes_C \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  splits as  $D$ - $\Delta$ -map. Suppose (2). Then  $D \otimes_C \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  splits as  $D$ - $C$ -map, since  $D$  is  $C$ -semisimple. Then  $D \otimes_C \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  splits as  $D$ - $\Delta$ -map, since  $\Delta$  is  $C$ -separable. Thus in both cases,  $D \otimes_C \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  splits and  ${}_D D \triangleleft \bigoplus_D \Delta$ . The latter

follows from Proposition 4.1 [1], since  $D$  is  $C$ -semisimple and  $\Delta$  is  $C$ -f. g. projective. Then  $B \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  splits and  ${}_B B_{\Gamma} \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_{\Gamma}$  by Proposition 1. Hence  $\Lambda$  is  $H$ -separable over  $B$  by Proposition 2.2 [8]. Let  $D' = V_{\Lambda}(B)$ . Then there exists a ring isomorphism  $\eta: D' \otimes_C \Lambda^0 \rightarrow \text{End}({}_B \Lambda)$  such that  $\eta(d \otimes x^0)(y) = dyx$  for  $x, y \in \Lambda$ ,  $d \in D'$  (see Proposition 3.3 [5]). Then  $D' \otimes_C \Lambda^0$  is the double centralizer of a left  $D \otimes_C \Lambda^0$ -module  $\Lambda$ , since  $B = \text{End}({}_D \Lambda_{\Lambda})$ . While  $D \otimes_C \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$  splits, since  $D \otimes_C \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  splits. This implies that  $\Lambda$  is left  $D \otimes_C \Lambda^0$ -f. g. projective. Then by lemma 1,  $(D' \otimes_C \Lambda^0) \otimes_{D \otimes_C \Lambda^0} \Lambda \cong \Lambda$ , hence  $D' \otimes_D \Lambda^0 \cong \Lambda$ . This isomorphism is given by  $d \otimes x \mapsto dx$  for  $d \in D'$ ,  $x \in \Lambda$ . Then for every  $d \in D'$ ,  $d \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes d$  in  $D' \otimes_D \Lambda$ , since both are mapped to  $d$  by this isomorphism. On the other hand, since  $\Lambda$  is  $H$ -separable over  $B$ ,  $D'$  is  $C$ -f.g. projective, and  $D'$  is right  $D$ -f.g. projective, since  $D$  is  $C$ -semisimple. Hence  $D' \otimes_D D' \subset D' \otimes_D \Lambda$ , and  $d \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes d$  in  $D' \otimes_D D'$  for every  $d \in D'$ . Since  ${}_D D \triangleleft \bigoplus_D D'$ ,  $D' = D \oplus A$  for some left  $D$ -submodule  $A$  of  $D'$  and  $D' \otimes_D D' = D' \otimes_D D \oplus D' \otimes_D A$ . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of  $D'$  and  $x = d + a$  for  $d \in D$ , and  $a \in A$ . Then  $D' \otimes_D D' \ni x \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes x = 1 \otimes d + 1 \otimes a$ , and  $1 \otimes a = 0$ ,  $x \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes d$ . Thus  $x = d \in D$ . Thus  $D' = D$ . Thus  $D = V_{\Lambda}(V_{\Lambda}(D))$ .

The next proposition is a generalization of Proposition 1.5 [8].

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an arbitrary  $R$ -algebra which is  $R$ -f. g. projective. Then for any separable  $R$ -subalgebra  $\Gamma$  of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Gamma$  is a  $\Gamma$ - $\Gamma$ -direct summand of  $\Lambda$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $\Gamma$  is  $R$ -separable, there exists  $\Sigma r_i \otimes s_i \in (\Gamma \otimes_C \Gamma)^{\Gamma}$  such that  $\Sigma r_i s_i = 1$ . While, since  $\Gamma$  is  $R$ -semisimple,  ${}_r \Gamma \triangleleft \bigoplus_r \Lambda$ . Let  $p$  be the left  $\Gamma$ -projection of  $\Lambda$  to  $\Gamma$ . Then the map  $p^*$  of  $\Lambda$  to  $\Gamma$  such that  $p^*(x) = \Sigma p(xr_i)s_i$  for  $x \in \Lambda$  is a  $\Gamma$ - $\Gamma$ -map, and  $p^*(r) = \Sigma p(rr_i)s_i = \Sigma rr_i s_i = r$  for every  $r \in \Gamma$ . Thus  ${}_r \Gamma \triangleleft \bigoplus_r \Lambda$ .

Now we are ready to get our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $H$ -separable extension of  $\Gamma$ . Then if  $\Lambda$  is left or right  $\Gamma$ -f. g. projective, there exists a one to one correspondence  $V: A \rightsquigarrow V_{\Lambda}(A)$  such that  $V^2 = \text{identity}$  between the class of separable extensions  $B$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  ${}_B B \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_B$  and the class of  $C$ -separable subalgebras of  $\Delta$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $D$  be an arbitrary separable  $C$ -subalgebra of  $\Delta$  and  $B = V_{\Lambda}(D)$ . Then  ${}_B B_{\Gamma} \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_{\Gamma}$  and  $V_{\Lambda}(B) = D$ . This and Corollary 1.3 [8] imply that  $B$  is separable over  $\Gamma$ , since  $B$  is left or right  $\Gamma$ -f. g. projective and  ${}_D D_D \triangleleft \bigoplus_D \Delta_D$ .  ${}_B B_B \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_B$  follows from  ${}_B B_{\Gamma} \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_{\Gamma}$  and the separability of  $B$  over  $\Gamma$ . On the other hand, if  $B$  is a separable extension of  $\Gamma$  such that  ${}_B B_B \triangleleft \bigoplus_B \Lambda_B$ , then  $D = V_{\Lambda}(B)$  is a separable  $C$ -algebra and  $V_{\Lambda}(V_{\Lambda}(B)) = B$  by Proposition 1.4 [8].

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $H$ -separable extension of  $\Gamma$  with the condition  $(*)$  of §0. Then if  $\Lambda$  is  $\Gamma$ -f. g. projective, there exists a one to one correspondence*

$V: A \rightsquigarrow V_\Lambda(A)$  such that  $V^2 = \text{identity}$  between the class of subrings of  $\Lambda$  which are  $H$ -separable extensions of  $\Gamma$  and the class of separable  $C$ -subalgebras of  $C'$ . In this case  $V$  corresponds each  $H$ -separable extension of  $\Gamma$  to its center.

Proof. Let  $B$  be any ring with  $\Gamma \subset B \subset \Lambda$ . Then  $V_\Lambda(B) \subset V_\Lambda(\Gamma) = C' \subset B$ , hence the center of  $B = V_B(B) = B \cap V_\Lambda(B) = V_\Lambda(B)$ . On the other hand, by Propositions 1.8 and 1.9  $B$  is  $H$ -separable over  $\Gamma$ , if and only if  ${}_B B_B \subset \bigoplus_B \Lambda_B$ . Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 1.

REMARK. Ring extension  $\Lambda \mid \Gamma$  which satisfy the condition  $(*)$  and such that  $\Lambda$  is  $\Gamma$ -f. g. projective really exists. Let  $\Lambda$  be a central separable  $C$ -algebra and  $\Gamma$  a  $C$ -separable subalgebra with its center  $C' \neq C$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is  $H$ -separable over  $\Gamma$ ,  ${}_r \Gamma \subset \bigoplus_r \Lambda_\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$  is  $\Gamma$ -f. g. projective. Let  $\Lambda' = V_\Lambda(C')$ . Then  $\Lambda \mid \Lambda'$  satisfy the condition  $(*)$  by Proposition 1.3 [8].

In [10] we considered ring extension  $\Lambda \mid \Gamma$  which satisfy the following condition  $(\#)$ .

- ( $\#$ ) (1)  $\Lambda$  is a separable extension of  $\Gamma$  such that  $V_\Lambda(\Gamma) = C$ .
- (2)  $\Lambda$  is  $\Gamma$ -centrally projective (i.e.,  ${}_r \Lambda_\Gamma \subset \bigoplus_r (\Gamma \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma)_r$ ).

And we proved that if  $\Lambda \mid \Gamma$  satisfy the condition  $(\#)$ , there exist one to one correspondences  $U$  and  $V$  between the class  $\mathfrak{A}$  of separable extensions  $B$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  ${}_B B_B \subset \bigoplus_B \Lambda_B$  and the class  $\mathfrak{B}$  of separable  $C'$ -subalgebras of  $C$ , defined by  $V: B \rightsquigarrow B \cap C$  and  $U: R \rightsquigarrow R\Gamma$  for  $B \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $R \in \mathfrak{B}$ , with  $UV = 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$  and  $VU = 1_{\mathfrak{B}}$  (Theorem 8 [10]).

Let a ring extension  $\Lambda \mid \Gamma$  satisfy the condition  $(*)$  of §0. Then  $\Omega = [\text{End}({}_r \Lambda)]^0 = C' \otimes_C \Lambda$  and  $C'$  is a commutative  $C$ -separable algebra and  $C$ -f. g. projective. Then clearly, the center of  $\Omega = C' = V_\Omega(\Lambda)$ , and  $\Omega \mid \Lambda$  satisfies the condition  $(\#)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the class of separable extensions  $\Sigma$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  ${}_z \Sigma_z \subset \bigoplus_z \Omega_z$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  the class of separable  $C$ -subalgebras of  $C'$ , and let  $U$  and  $V$  be such that  $U(R) = R\Lambda$  for  $R \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $V(\Sigma) = \Sigma \cap C'$  for  $\Sigma \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then by Theorem 8 [10],  $U$  and  $V$  provide one to one correspondences between  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  with  $UV = 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$  and  $VU = 1_{\mathfrak{B}}$ . Furthermore, let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be the class of subrings of  $\Lambda$  which are  $H$ -separable extensions of  $\Gamma$ . Then by Corollary 1 we have.

**Proposition 4.** *Let a ring extension  $\Lambda \mid \Gamma$  satisfy the condition  $(*)$  and  $\Lambda$  be  $\Gamma$ -f. g. projective. Then if we define  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$  as above, the correspondences  $W: \mathfrak{A} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{C}$  such that  $W(\Sigma) = V_\Lambda(\Sigma \cap (C' \otimes 1))$  for  $\Sigma \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $T: \mathfrak{C} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $T(B) = \text{End}({}_B \Lambda)$  for  $B \in \mathfrak{C}$  are one to one with  $WT = 1_{\mathfrak{C}}$  and  $TW = 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .*

Proof. For  $B \in \mathfrak{C}$ ,  $V_\Lambda(B) \otimes_C \Lambda = \text{End}({}_B \Lambda)$ . Then by Corollary 1 and Theorem 8 [10],  $TW = 1_{\mathfrak{C}}$  and  $WT = 1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

## References

- [1] S. Endo and Y. Watanabe: *The centers of semisimple algebras over a commutative ring*, Nagoya Math. J. **30** (1967), 285–293.
- [2] A. Hattori: *Semisimple algebras over a commutative ring*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **15** (1963), 404–419.
- [3] K. Hirata and K. Sugano: *On semisimple extensions and separable extensions over non commutative rings*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **18** (1966), 360–373.
- [4] K. Hirata: *Some types of separable extensions of rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **33** (1968), 107–116.
- [5] K. Hirata: *Separable extensions and centralizers of rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **35** (1969), 31–45.
- [6] K. Morita: *Localizations in categories of modulues*, Math. Z. **114** (1970), 121–144.
- [7] K. Sugano: *Note on semisimple extensions and separable extensions*, Osaka J. Math. **4** (1967), 265–270.
- [8] K. Sugano: *On centralizers in separable extensions*, Osaka J. Math. **7** (1970), 29–40.
- [9] K. Sugano: *Separable extensions and Frobenius extensions*, Osaka J. Math. **7** (1970), 291–299.
- [10] K. Sugano: *Note on separability of endomorphism rings*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. **21** (1971), 196–208.
- [11] H. Tominaga and T. Nagahara: *Galois Theory of Simple Rings*, Okayama Math. Lectures, Okayama, 1970.

