

Title	Radial convergence of Poisson integrals on symmetric bounded domains of tube type			
Author(s)	Urakawa, Hajime			
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1973, 10(1), p. 93-113			
Version Type	VoR			
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/4261			
rights				
Note				

# The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

# RADIAL CONVERGENCE OF POISSON INTEGRALS ON SYMMETRIC BOUNDED DOMAINS OF TUBE TYPE

HAJIME URAKAWA

(Received March 10, 1972)

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{z \in C; |z| < 1\}$  be the unit disc in C and  $\mathcal{B} = \{e^{it}; -\pi \le t \le \pi\}$  the boundary of  $\mathcal{D}$ . For an integrable function f (In this note a function will always mean a complex valued function) on  $\mathcal{B}$  with respect to the normalized measure  $\frac{1}{2\pi}dt$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ , we define the Poisson integral of f by

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) P(z, e^{it}) dt \quad \text{for } z \in \mathcal{D}$$

where

$$P(re^{i\theta}, e^{it}) = \frac{1-r^2}{1-2r\cos(\theta-t)+r^2}$$
 for  $0 \le r < 1$ 

and it is called the Poisson kernel of the unit disc  $\mathcal{D}$ . F is a  $C^{\infty}$ -function on  $\mathcal{D}$  and it is harmonic on  $\mathcal{D}$ , that is  $\Delta F = 0$  for the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta$  on  $C^{\infty}$ -functions on  $\mathcal{D}$  with respect to the Poincaré metric on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Then the classical Fatou's theorem asserts that for an integrable function f on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} F(re^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta})$$

for almost every point  $e^{i\theta}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  with respect to the measure  $\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta$ .

Now let G be any non-compact connected semi-simple Lie group with finite center, and let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G. Then the homogeneous space G/K is a symmetric space of non-compact type. Let  $g=\mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{p}$  be the Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of G with respect to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  of K. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Fix an order on  $\mathfrak{a}$  and let  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  be the positive Weyl chamber of  $\mathfrak{a}$  with respect to this order. Let M be the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in K. Then the homogeneous space K/M is the maximal boundary of G/K in the sense of Furstenberg [2]. Let  $\mu$  be the normalized

K-invariant measure on K/M and  $L^p(K/M)$  denote the  $L^p$ -space on K/M with respect to the measure  $\mu$ . Let P(gK, kM) be the Poisson kernel on  $G/K \times K/M$  given by Korányi [11].

Knapp [7] has proved the following Fatou-type theorem which generalizes the classical Fatou's theorem: Suppose G/K is a symmetric space of non-compact type of rank one. Then for  $X \in \mathfrak{a}^+$  and  $f \in L^1(K/M)$ , it holds

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{K/M} f(kM)P(k_0 \exp tX\cdot K, kM)d\mu(kM) = f(k_0M)$$

for almost every point  $k_0M$  of K/M with respect to the measure  $\mu$ .

In the case of an arbitrary symmetric space G/K of non-compact type, for  $f \in L^{\infty}(K/M)$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ , Helgason-Korányi [5] has proved a theorem of the same type as above on the boundary behavior of the Poisson integral of f.

In the classical Fatou's theorem, the unit disc  $\mathcal{D}$  is a symmetric bounded domain of tube type and the boundary  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Bergman-Šilov boundary of  $\mathcal{D}$ . The purpose of the present paper is to prove for a symmetric bounded domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of tube type and the Bergman-Šilov boundary  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ , the Poisson integral of a function  $f \in L^1(\mathcal{B})$  converges to f almost everywhere  $\mathcal{B}$ .

In general, Korányi [11] has defined the notion of the admissibly and unrestrictedly convergence. Knapp and Williamson [8] showed that the Poisson integral of a function f in  $L^{\infty}(K/M)$  converges to f admissibly and unrestrictedly almost everywhere. Moreover, in the case of a Siegel domain in the sense of Pyatetskii-Šapiro [14] which is analytically isomorphic to a symmetric bounded domain D, Stein and Weiss [16], [17], [19], have defined the notion of the restricted and admissible convergence. Let B denote the Šilov boundary in the sense of Pyatetskii-Šapiro [14] of the Siegel domain. Then they showed that the Poisson integral of an integrable function f on B converges to f admissibly and restrictedly almost everywhere on B. The generalized Cayley transform of Korányi-Wolf [12] carries the bounded symmetric domain  $\mathcal{D}$  onto the Siegel domain and its inverse image of the Silov boundary B of the Siegel domain is open and dense in the Bergman-Silov boundary  $\mathcal{B}$  of the bounded domain. The inverse Cayley transform carries the  $L^p$ -space  $L^p(B)$  of B into the  $L^p$ -space  $L^p(\mathcal{D})$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ , but not onto, unless  $p=\infty$ . Therefore Fatou's theorem for symmetric bounded domains and that for Siegel domains are not equivalent.

In §2, for a symmetic bounded domain  $\mathcal{D}$  we define the notion of the radial convergence of Poisson integrals of functions on the Bergman-Šilov boundary of  $\mathcal{D}$  and formulate a Fatou-type theorem. In §3, we give an explicit formula and an estimate of the Poisson kernel of  $\mathcal{D}$ . In §4, for a symmetric bounded domain of tube type, we define a maximal function and establish an estimate of Poisson integrals by means of this maximal function. In §5, we prove a covering theorem of Vitali-type and a maximal theorem of Knapp-type and give the proof of Fatou's

theorem for a symmetric domain of tube type. In §6, we prove inequalities of Hardy-Littlewood, making use of the maximal theorem.

### 2. Statement of Fatou's theorem

Let G be a connected semi-simple Lie group with finite center, K a maximal compact subgroup of G. We assume that the quotient space G/K is an irreducible hermitian symmetric space. Let q and t be the Lie algebras of G and K, respectively, and let g=t+p be the Cartan decomposition of g with respect to f. Then K has the same rank as G. Let t be a Cartan subalgebra Then t is also a Cartan subalgebra of g. Let  $g^c$ ,  $t^c$ ,  $p^c$  and  $t^c$  be the complexifications of g, t, p and t, respectively. Then the set R of roots of  $g^c$ with respect to  $t^c$  can be decomposed into two disjoint sets  $C = \{\alpha \in R; E_{\alpha} \in t^c\}$ and  $P = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}; E_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{p}^{C}\}$ , where  $\{E_{\alpha}\}$  is a set of root vectors. A root of C or P is called compact or non-compact. Let  $\mathfrak{p}^{\pm}$  be the subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  corresponding to  $(\pm i)$ -eigenspace of the complex structure tensor on the tangent space of G/Kat the origin eK. We choose and fix an order  $\mathcal{E}$  on roots in R such that  $\mathfrak{p}^+$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}^$ are spanned by the  $E_{\alpha}$ 's,  $E_{-\alpha}$ 's, respectively, where  $\alpha$  runs through positive noncompact roots. Let  $\Delta$  be the maximal set of strongly orthogonal non-compact positive roots of Harish-Chandra [4]. We choose root vectors  $\{E_{\alpha}\}$  in such a way that  $\tau E_{\alpha} = -E_{-\alpha}$  for the conjugation  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with respect to the compact real from  $g_{\mu} = t + i p$  of  $g^c$ . For  $\alpha \in R$ , let  $H_{\alpha}$  be the unique element of it satisfying  $\alpha(H) = \langle H_{\alpha}', H \rangle$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ , where  $\langle , \rangle$  denotes the Killing form of  $g^c$ . For  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , we put  $X_{\alpha}^0 = E_{\alpha} + E_{-\alpha}$ ,  $Y_{\alpha}^0 = (-i)(E_{\alpha} - E_{-\alpha})$  and  $H_{\omega} = \frac{2}{\langle H_{\omega}', H_{\omega}' \rangle} H_{\omega}'$ . Let  $g_{\omega}$  denote the subalgebra of g spanned by  $\{iH_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}^{0}, Y_{\alpha}^{0}\}$ . Strong orthogonality of  $\Delta$  implies  $[g_{\alpha}, g_{\beta}] = \{0\}$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Let  $t^-$  be the subalgebra of  $t_{\alpha}$  spanned by  $\{iH_{\alpha}; \alpha \in \Delta\}$  and let  $t^+$  be the orthogonal complement of  $t^-$  in t with respect to the Killing form  $\langle , \rangle$ . The vectors  $X^0_{\mathfrak{a}}, \alpha \in \Delta$ , span a maximal abelian subalgbra  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{t}^++\mathfrak{a}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of g. Let  $\mathfrak{h}^c$  be the complexification of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . A and  $H^-$  denote analytic subgroups of G generated by  $\mathfrak a$  and  $\mathfrak t^-$ , respectively.

Following Moore [13], we consider the Cayley transform  $\tilde{c}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  defined by  $\tilde{c} = Ad \left( \exp \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} (-i) Y_{\alpha}^0 \right) \right)$ . Then  $\tilde{c}$  transforms

$$X^0_{\alpha} \mapsto -H_{\alpha}, \ H_{\alpha} \mapsto X^0_{\alpha} \ \text{and} \ Y^0_{\alpha} \mapsto Y^0_{\alpha} \quad (\alpha \in \Delta)$$

and  $\tilde{c}$  leaves  $t^+$  pointwise fixed. Hence  $\tilde{c}$  maps  $it^-$  onto  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $t^c$  onto  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ , so that it maps R onto the set  $\Sigma$  of roots of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}$ .  $\sigma$  permutes roots of  $\Sigma$  by

$$\sigma(\alpha)(H) = \alpha \overline{(\sigma(H))}$$
 for  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ,  $H \in \mathfrak{h}^c$ .

We choose a following linear order < on  $\Sigma$  and fix it once and for all: (i) If  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\alpha$  does not vanish on  $\alpha$ , then  $\sigma(\alpha) > 0$ . (ii) If  $\gamma \in \Delta$ , then  $\tilde{c}(\gamma) > 0$ . Then  $\Sigma$  can be decomposed into three disjoint sets;  $\Sigma^+ = \{\alpha \in \Sigma; \alpha > 0, \sigma(\alpha) > 0\}$ ,  $\Sigma^- = -\Sigma^+$  and  $\Sigma_0 = \{\alpha \in \Sigma; \alpha = -\sigma(\alpha)\}$ ,  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} C \tilde{E}_{\alpha}$  and  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^-} C \tilde{E}_{\alpha}$  are both invariant under  $\sigma$ , where  $\{\tilde{E}_{\alpha}\}$  is a set of root vectors of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ . We put  $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} C \tilde{E}_{\alpha} \cap \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^-} C \tilde{E}_{\alpha} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ , which are real forms of  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} C \tilde{E}_{\alpha}$  and  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^-} C \tilde{E}_{\alpha}$ , respectively. Then  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$  are nilpotent subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We obtain the Iwasawa decompositions  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \alpha + \mathfrak{n}$  and G = KAN, where A and A are analytic subgroups of A generated by A, A. So any A can uniquely decomposed as A and A are analytic subgroups of A generated by A, A, where A and A are analytic subgroups of A generated by A, A. So any A can uniquely decomposed as A and A are analytic subgroups of A generated by A, A and A are analytic subgroups of A generated by A, A and A are analytic subgroups of A generated by A.

The restriction to  $\alpha$  of a root of  $\Sigma - \Sigma_0$  is called a restricted root and the order > on  $\Sigma$  induces a linear order > on the set of restricted roots. Let F be the fundamental system of restricted roots with respect to the order >. Let  $X^0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} X^0_\alpha$ , and we put  $E = \{\alpha \in F; \alpha(X^0) = 0\}$  and  $\alpha(E) = \{H \in \alpha; \alpha(H) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in E\}$ . Then  $\alpha(E)$  is spanned by  $X^0$ , and g is the direct sum of eigen-spaces for ad  $X^0$  on g. The sum of the positive (negative) eigen-spaces of g is denoted by  $\pi(E)(\overline{\pi}(E))$ . Let  $\mathfrak{b}(E)$  be the sum of non-negative eigen-spaces,  $\mathfrak{l}$  the centralizer of  $X^0$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$ , let  $2\rho_E$  be the sum of restricted roots  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha(X^0) > 0$ , with multiplicties counted.

The analytic subgroups of G generated by  $\mathfrak{n}(E)$ ,  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$  will be denoted by N(E),  $\overline{N}(E)$ . Let L be the centralizer of  $X^0$  in K and B(E) the normalizer of  $\mathfrak{n}(E)$  in G. Then I,  $\mathfrak{b}(E)$  are Lie algebras of L, B(E) and we have the decompositions B(E)=LAN and  $\mathfrak{b}(E)=I+\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{n}$ . From the Iwasawa decomposition G=KAN, K/L is naturally identified with G/B(E) as K-spaces. Let  $\Phi$  be the holomorphic imbedding of Harish-Chandra [4] of G/K into  $\mathfrak{p}^-$  as a bounded domain in the complex vector space  $\mathfrak{p}^-$  and let  $\mathscr{D}=\Phi(G/K)$ . Then the imbedding  $\Phi$  is equivariant with respect to the natural action of K on G/K and the adjoint action of K on  $\mathfrak{p}^-$ . Let  $\mathscr{B}$  be the Bergman-Šilov boundary of the bounded domain  $\mathscr{D}$  in  $\mathfrak{p}^-$ . Then it is known (Korányi-Wolf [12]) that  $\sum_{\alpha\in A} E_{-\alpha} \in \mathscr{B}$ , K acts transitively on  $\mathscr{B}$  by the adjoint action and L becomes the isotropy subgroup of K at  $\sum_{\alpha\in A} E_{-\alpha}$ . Thus the Bergman-Šilov boundary  $\mathscr{B}$  is isomorphic to K/L.

Let  $\mu_E$  be the normalized K-invariant measure on K/L and  $L^p(K/L)$  denote the  $L^p$ -space on K/L with respect to the measure  $\mu_E$ . Then the Poisson kernel on  $G/K \times K/L$  is defined by

$$P_E(gK, kL) = e^{-2\rho_{E}(H(g^{-1}k))}$$
 for  $g \in G, k \in K$ 

where exp  $H(g^{-1}k)$  is the A-component of  $g^{-1}k$  in the Iwasawa decomposition. We define the *Poisson integral* of a function  $f \in L^1(K/L)$  by

$$\int_{KL} f(kL) P_E(gK, kL) d\mu_E(kL) \quad \text{for } g \in G.$$

The hermitian symmetric space G/K of non-compact type is called of tube type if (t, l) is a symmetric pair, then  $t^-$  is a Cartan subalgebra of (t, l) and eigenvalues of  $ad(\frac{1}{2}X_0)$  are  $0, \pm 1$  (Korányi-Wolf [12]).

Now we can state our main theorem:

**Theorem 1.** Let G/K be an irreducible hermitian symmetric space of tube type. Let  $a_t = \exp tX^0$  for a real number t. If  $f \in L^1(K/L)$ , then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{K/L}f(kL)P_E(k_0a_tK,\,kL)d\mu_E(kL)=f(k_0L)$$

for almost every point  $k_0L$  of K/L with respect to  $\mu_E$ .

We assumed the irreducibility of G/K for the simplicity, but the generalization of Theorem 1 of general spaces of tube type is immediate.

#### 3. Estimate of Poisson kernel

In this section we assume G/K is an irreducible hermitian symmetric space, not necessarily of tube type.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $a = \exp \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} t_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}^{0} \in A$ ,  $h = \exp \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \theta_{\alpha} \frac{iH_{\alpha}}{2} \in H^{-}$ . Then we have

$$P_{\it E}(aK,\,hL) = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta} P(\tanh\,t_{\alpha},\,e^{i\,\theta_{\alpha}})^{
ho_{\it B}(X_{lpha}^0)}$$

where P(t, u) is a function on the product of the open interval (-1, 1) and the circle  $\mathcal{B}=\{u\in C; |u|=1\}$  defined by  $P(r,u)=(1-r^2)|1-r\overline{u}|^{-2}$ . (We note that P(r,u) coincides on (-1, 1) with the Poisson kernel of the unit disc in C.)

Proof. To calculate  $e^{-2\rho_{\overline{B}}(H(a^{-1}h))}$ , we consider the Iwasawa decomposition of the element  $a^{-1}h$  of G. We have  $Y_{\alpha}^{0}+iH_{\alpha}\in\mathfrak{n}$  for  $\alpha\in\Delta$  because we have  $Y_{\alpha}^{0}+iH_{\alpha}=\tilde{c}(Y_{\alpha}^{0}-iX_{\alpha}^{0})=\tilde{c}\{(-i)(E_{\alpha}-E_{-\alpha})-i(E_{\alpha}+E_{-\alpha})\}=\tilde{c}(-2iE_{\alpha})\in C\widetilde{E}_{\tilde{c}_{\alpha}}$  and from the condition (ii) of the ordering > on  $\Sigma$ , we obtain  $Y_{\alpha}^{0}+iH_{\alpha}\in\mathfrak{g}\cap\sum_{\alpha\in\Sigma}C\widetilde{E}_{\alpha}$ 

=n. Since  $[g_{\alpha}, g_{\beta}] = \{0\}$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ , it follows that

$$a^{-1}h = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \exp(-t X_{\alpha}) \exp\left(\theta_{\alpha} \frac{iH_{\alpha}}{2}\right).$$

If we have the Iwasawa decompostion

$$\exp\left(-t_{\alpha}X_{\alpha}^{0}\right)\exp\left(\theta_{\alpha}\frac{iH_{\alpha}}{2}\right)=\exp\left(a_{\alpha}\frac{iH_{\alpha}}{2}\exp\left(b_{\alpha}X_{\alpha}^{0}\exp\left(c_{\alpha}(Y_{\alpha}^{0}+iH_{\alpha})\right)\right)\right)$$

of each factor, we have

$$a^{-1}h = \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} a_{\alpha} \frac{iH_{\alpha}}{2}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} b_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}^{0}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_{\alpha} (Y_{\alpha}^{0} + iH_{\alpha})\right)$$

and thus  $H(a^{-1}h) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} b_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}^{0}$ . Now let

$$SU(1, 1) = \left\{ x \in M_2(\mathbf{C}); \ ^t \overline{x} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$X^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{Su}(1, 1)$  of SU(1, 1) is spanned by  $X^0$ , iH and  $Y^0+iH$  and the homomorphism  $\phi_{\alpha} \colon \mathfrak{Su}(1, 1) \to \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  defined by

$$X^0 \mapsto X^0_{\alpha}$$
,  $iH \mapsto iH^0_{\alpha}$ ,  $Y^0 + iH \mapsto Y^0_{\alpha} + iH_{\alpha}$ 

can be extended to the homomorphism  $\phi_{\alpha}$ :  $SU(1, 1) \rightarrow G$ . In SU(1, 1) we have the decomposition

$$\exp(-tX^{0})\exp\left(\theta\frac{iH}{2}\right) = \exp\left(a\frac{iH}{2}\right)\exp bX^{0}\exp c(Y^{0}+iH)$$

with  $b = \frac{1}{2} \log(\cosh^2 t - 2 \cosh t \sinh t \cos \theta + \sinh^2 t) = -\frac{1}{2} \log P(\tanh t, e^{i\theta})$ . Applying the homorphism  $\phi_{\omega}$  on the both sides, we have

$$b_{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} \log P(\tanh t_{\alpha}, e^{i\theta_{\alpha}})$$
.

This implies the Proposition.

Q.E.D.

Now we define for  $0 < \rho \le 1$ ,

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\rho} = \left\{ \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \theta_{\alpha} \frac{iH_{\alpha}}{2}\right) \in H^{-}; |\theta_{\alpha}| < \pi \rho, \text{ for any } \alpha \in \Delta \right\},$$

$$\mathfrak{P}_{\rho} = \left\{ lhL \in K/L; l \in L, h \in \mathfrak{P}_{\rho} \right\},$$

and for  $\rho > 1$ ,

$$\mathfrak{B}_{0} = \{lhL \in K/L; l \in L, h \in H^{-}\}.$$

In §4, we shall calculate the measure of  $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}$  with respect to  $\mu_E$  for a space of tube type. We give an estimate of Poisson kernel on  $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}$  in the following.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $a = \exp \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} t_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}^{0} \in A$ . Then we obtain an estimate of Poisson kernel as follows:

(i) If 
$$0 < \rho < 1$$
 and  $\frac{1}{2} < \tanh t_{\alpha} < 1$  for any  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , then

$$\sup_{h\in H^{-1}-\mathfrak{H}_{0}}P_{E}(aK,\,hL)\leqslant C_{1}\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta}\Bigl(\frac{1-\tanh\,t_{\alpha}}{\rho^{2}}\Bigr)^{\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(X_{\mathbf{G}}^{0})}$$

(ii) 
$$\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}^-} P_E(aK, hL) \leqslant C_3 \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \tanh t_{\alpha}} \right)^{\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(X_{\alpha}^0)}$$

where  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  are constants independent on a and  $\rho$ . In particular, if  $a_t = \exp tX^0$ , then

(i) If 
$$0 < \rho < 1$$
 and  $\frac{1}{2} < \tanh t < 1$ , then
$$\sup_{kL \in \mathfrak{A}_1 - \mathfrak{B}_0} P_E(a_t K, kL) \leqslant C_1 \left(\frac{1 - \tanh t}{\rho^2}\right)^{\rho_{B}(X^0)}$$
(1)

(ii) 
$$\sup_{kL \in \mathfrak{Y}_1} P_E(a_t K, kL) \leqslant C_3 \left(\frac{1}{1 - \tanh t}\right)^{\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(X^0)} \tag{2}$$

(We note that  $\mathfrak{B}_1$  is equal to K/L if G/K is of tube type).

Proof. We have (Korányi [10]) an estimate of the Poisson kernel for the unit disc in C as follows:

(i) 
$$\sup_{\pi\rho\leqslant |\theta|\leqslant \pi} (1-r^2) |1-re^{-i\theta}|^{-2} \leqslant C_1' \frac{1-r}{\rho^2}$$
 if  $\frac{1}{2} < r < 1$ .

(ii) 
$$\sup_{0 \le |\theta| \le \pi} (1-r^2) |1-re^{-i\theta}|^{-2} \le C_2' \frac{1}{1-r}$$
 if  $0 < r < 1$ .

where  $C'_1$ ,  $C'_2$ , are constants. This together with Proposition 1 implies the first statement. If  $a_t = \exp tX^0$ , then we have  $P_E(a_tK, lhL) = P_E(a_tK, hL)$  for  $h \in H^-$  and  $l \in L$  since L centralizes  $X^0$  in K. This together with the first statement implies the second statement. Q.E.D.

#### 4. Maximal function

Henceforth we shall assume that G/K is an irreducible hermitian symmetric space of tube type. We consider the Poisson integral

$$\int_{K/L} f(kL) P_E(a_t K, kL) d\mu_E(kL) \tag{3}$$

for  $a_t = \exp tX^0$  and an integrable function f on K/L with respect to  $\mu_E$ .

Since K/L is a symmetric space, we may use the following integral formula for K/L (Harish-Chandra [4]): For each continuous function f on K/L, we have

$$\int_{E/L} f(kL) d\mu_E(kL) = c \int_{H^-} \left( \int_{L/Z_L(\underline{t}^-)} f(lhL) d\overline{l} \right) |D(h)| dh$$

where c is a constant independent on f,  $Z_L(t^-)$  is the centralizer of  $t^-$  in L, dh is a Haar measure on  $H^-$  and  $d\bar{l}$  is a quotient measure on  $L/Z_L(t^-)$  induced from the normalized Haar measure dl on L. Moreover

$$D(h) = \prod_{\beta \in P_{+}^{k}} \sin \beta(iH)$$
 for  $h = \exp H, H \in \mathfrak{t}^{-1}$ 

where  $P_{+}^{k} = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}; \text{ positive and } \alpha \mid_{\mathfrak{t}^{-}} \neq 0 \}.$ 

Making use of this integral formula, we have the measure  $||\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}||$  of  $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}$  with respect to  $\mu_E$  as follows:

$$||\mathfrak{B}_{
ho}|| = \int_{\mathbb{R}/L} \chi_{\mathfrak{B}_{
ho}}(kL) d\mu_{E}(kL) = c \int_{\mathbb{H}^{-}} (\int_{\mathbb{L}/Z_{L}(\mathfrak{t}^{-})} \chi_{\mathfrak{B}_{
ho}}(lhL) d\overline{l}) |D(h)| dh$$

$$= c \int_{\mathfrak{B}_{
ho}} |D(h)| dh$$

where  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{P}_{\rho}}$  is the characteristic function of  $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}$ . The density D(h) of the integral is given as follows: Let  $\Delta = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ ,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \dots = \gamma_m$ , where m = rank of G/K. For  $\alpha \in R$ , let  $\pi(\alpha)$  be the restriction of  $\alpha$  to the complexification  $(t^-)^C$  of  $t^-$ , but  $\pi(\gamma_i)$  will be denoted by  $\gamma_i$  for the brevity, since any root  $\beta \neq \gamma_i$  does not coincide with  $\pi(\gamma_i)$  on  $(t^-)^C$ . Since G/K is of tube type, we have (Harish-Chandra [4], Korányi-Wolf [12]) for a positive compact root  $\beta$ ,

$$\pi(eta) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 0 & ext{or} \ rac{1}{2}(\gamma_j - \gamma_i) & (i < j) \end{array} 
ight.$$

and for a positive non-compact root  $\beta$ ,

$$\pi(eta) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} egin{array} egin{array}{ll} egin{array}{ll} egin{array}{ll} egin{array}$$

Moreover the number  $r_{ij}$  (i < j) of elements of  $\left\{ \beta \in P_+^k; \pi(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_j - \gamma_i) \right\}$  is the same as the number of positive non-compact roots  $\beta$  such that  $\pi(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_j + \gamma_i)$ . It follows that

$$D\!\!\left(\exp\sum\theta_{\alpha}\frac{iH_{\alpha}}{2}\right) = \prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant m} \left\{\sin\frac{1}{2}(\theta_i\!-\!\theta_j)\right\}^{r_{ij}}.$$

Now we obtain the following

**Lemma 1.** For  $0 < \rho < 1$ , we have an estimate of the measure of  $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}$ :

$$||\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}|| \leqslant C \rho^{\rho_{\overline{B}}(X^0)} \tag{4}$$

where C is a constant independent on  $\rho$ . For  $\rho \geqslant 1$ , we have  $||\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}||=1$  (from the definition of  $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}$ ).

Proof. From the above argument,

$$\begin{split} ||\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}|| &= c \int_{\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}} |D(h)| \, dh = c \int_{-\pi\rho}^{\pi\rho} \cdots \int_{-\pi\rho}^{\pi\rho} \prod_{i < j} |\sin \frac{1}{2} (\theta_i - \theta_j)|^{r_{ij}} \, d\theta_1 \cdots \, d\theta_m \\ &\leqslant c (\pi\rho)^{\sum\limits_{i < j} r_{ij}} \int_{-\pi\rho}^{\pi\rho} \cdots \int_{-\pi\rho}^{\pi\rho} d\theta_1 \cdots \, d\theta_m \leqslant C \rho^{m + \sum\limits_{i < j} c_{ij}} (C = c \pi^{m + \sum\limits_{i < j} r_{ij}} 2^m) \end{split}$$

because  $|\sin \frac{1}{2}(\theta_i - \theta_j)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\theta_i - \theta_j| \leq \pi \rho$ .

On the other hand,  $X^0 = \sum_{k=1}^m X_{\gamma_k}^0$  and

$$\begin{split} \rho_E(X^0_{\gamma_k}) &= (\tilde{c}^{-1}\rho_E)(\tilde{c}^{-1}X^0_{\gamma_k}) = \frac{1}{2} \Big( \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i + \sum_{i < j} \frac{r_{ij}}{2} (\gamma_j + \gamma_i) \Big) (H_{\gamma_k}) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i < k} r_{ik} \,. \end{split}$$

Hence  $\rho_E(X^0) = m + \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le m} r_{ij}$ , then the result follows. Q.E.D.

DEFINITION. For an integrable function f on K/L, we define a maximal function  $f^*$  on K/L by

$$f^*(k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}L) = \sup_{\scriptscriptstyle 0 < \rho < 1} \frac{1}{||\mathfrak{B}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}||} \int_{\mathfrak{B}_{\scriptscriptstyle \rho}} |f(k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}kL)| \, d\mu_E(kL) \qquad \text{for} \quad k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}L \in K/L \; .$$

The function  $f^*$  on K/L is measurable because the supremum over rational  $\rho$  (0< $\rho$ <1) gives the same answer.

**Proposition 3.** For an integrable function f on K/L, we have an estimate of Poisson integral by means of the above maximal function:

$$\sup_{\frac{1}{2} < \tanh t < 1} \int_{E/L} |f(kL)| P_E(k_0 a_t K, kL) d\mu_E(kL) \le C' f^*(k_0 L)$$

for all  $k_0 \in K$ , where  $a_t = \exp tX^0$  and C' is a constant not depending on f and  $k_0L$ .

Proof. We fix first an arbitrary constant  $\alpha>0$  put  $\delta=(1-\tanh t)\alpha$  for  $\frac{1}{2}<\tanh t<1$ . We may suppose  $k_0=e$  in view of the K-invariance of the measure  $\mu_E$ , replacing f by the function  $f^{k_0}$  defined by  $f^{k_0}(kL)=f(k_0kL)$ . Then for  $\frac{1}{2}<\tanh t<1$ , we have

$$\int_{K/L} |f(kL)| P_{E}(a_{t}K, kL) d\mu_{E}(kL) = \int_{\mathfrak{B}_{1}} |f(kL)| P_{E}(a_{t}K, kL) d\mu_{E}(kL) 
\leq \int_{\mathfrak{B}_{\delta}} |f(kL)| P_{E}(a_{t}K, kL) d\mu_{E}(kL) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathfrak{B}_{2}^{j+1} \delta^{-\mathfrak{B}_{2}^{j} \delta}} |f(kL)| P_{E}(a_{t}K, kL) d\mu_{E}(kL).$$
(5)

Here we note that the summation of the second term in (5) is in fact finite sum because  $\mathfrak{B}_{2^{j}\delta}=K/L$  for  $2^{j}\delta \geq 1$ .

The right hand side of (5) can be estimated as follows:

the first term 
$$\leq C_2 \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\tanh t} \right\}^{\rho_B(\mathbb{X}^0)} \int_{\mathfrak{B}_{\delta}} |f(kL)| d\mu_E(kL) \text{ (by (2))}$$

$$\leq C_2 \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\tanh t} \right\}^{\rho_B(\mathbb{X}^0)} ||\mathfrak{B}_{\delta}|| f^*(eL) \text{ (by the definition of } f^*)$$

$$\leq C_2 C \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\tanh t} \right\}^{\rho_B(\mathbb{X}^0)} \delta^{\rho_B(\mathbb{X}^0)} f^*(eL) \text{ (by (4))}$$

$$= C_2 C \alpha^{\rho_B(\mathbb{X}^0)} f^*(eL) . \tag{6}$$
the second term  $\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_1 \left\{ \frac{1-\tanh t}{(2^j \delta)^2} \right\}^{\rho_B(\mathbb{X}^0)} \int_{\mathfrak{B}_2^{j+1} \delta^{-\mathfrak{B}_2^{j} \delta}} |f(kL)| d\mu_E(kL) \text{ (by (1))}$ 

$$\leq C_1 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1-\tanh t}{(2^j \delta)^2} \right\}^{\rho_B(\mathbb{X}^0)} ||\mathfrak{B}_{2^{j+1} \delta}|| f^*(eL) \text{ (by the definition of } f^*)$$

$$\leq C_1 C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1-\tanh t}{(2^j \delta)^2} \right\}^{\rho_B(\mathbb{X}^0)} (2^{j+1} \delta)^{\rho_B(\mathbb{X}^0)} f^*(eL) \text{ (by (4))}$$

$$= C_1 C \left( \frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^{\rho_B(\mathbb{X}^0)} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{\rho_B(\mathbb{X}^0)}} \right\}^j \right) f^*(eL) \tag{7}$$

where the sum  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{\rho_{\overline{B}}(\perp,0)}} \right\}^{j}$  converges to  $\frac{1}{1-(1/2)^{\rho_{\overline{B}}(\perp,0)}}$ .

Hence putting together (6) and (7) into (5), we obtain the inequality:

$$\sup_{1/2 < \tanh t < 1} \int_{E/L} |f(kL)| P_E(a_t K, kL) d\mu_E(kL)$$

$$\leq \left\{ C_2 C \alpha^{\rho_{\overline{B}}(X^0)} + C_1 C \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^{\rho_{\overline{B}}(X^0)} \frac{1}{1 - (1/2)^{\rho_{\overline{B}}(Z^0)}} \right\} f^*(eL) \qquad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

## 5. Covering theorem and proof of Fatou's theorem

In this section we shall prove a covering theorem of Vitali type with respect to the family of sets of the form  $k\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}$ ,  $0<\rho<1$ ,  $k\in K$  and prove a maximal theorem related to the maximal function  $f^*$  on K/L.

Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{l}$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$  with respect to  $\langle , \rangle$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}=Ad(L)\mathfrak{t}^-$  since K/L is a symmetric space. We define a map  $\psi:\mathfrak{q}\to\mathfrak{p}$  by

 $\psi(X) = \frac{1}{2}[X^0, X]$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{q}$  and putting  $\mathfrak{p}^* = \psi(\mathfrak{q})$ , define a map  $j : \mathfrak{p}^* \to \overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$  by  $j(X) = X - \frac{1}{2}[X^0, X]$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{p}^*$ . Then both  $\psi$  and j are L-equivariant isomorphisms (Takeuchi [18]). We have  $\psi(iH_{\alpha}) = Y^0_{\alpha}$  and  $j(Y^0_{\alpha}) = Y^0_{\alpha} - iH_{\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \in \Delta$  so that  $j\psi(\mathfrak{t}^-)$  is the subspace of  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$  spanned by  $\{Y^0_{\alpha} - iH_{\alpha}; \alpha \in \Delta\}$ . Thus we have the following

Lemma 2. 
$$Ad(L)\{Y^0_{\alpha}-iH_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\}_{R}=\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$$

where  $\{Y^0_{\alpha}-iH_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\}_R$  is the subspace of  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$  spanned by  $\{Y^0_{\alpha}-iH_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ .

Now we define an L-invariant norm || || on  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$  as follows. We define a K-invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by

$$(X, Y) = -\langle X, \tau Y \rangle$$
 for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

For  $Z \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$ , let |Z| denote the operator norm of  $ad(j^{-1}Z)$  with respect of (,) and let  $||Z|| = \frac{1}{2}|Z|$ . Then (Takeuchi [18])  $|| \ ||$  is a L-invariant norm on  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$  satisfying

$$||Z|| = \max_{\alpha \in \Delta} |a_{\alpha}| \qquad ext{for} \quad Z = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} a_{\alpha} (Y_{\alpha}^{0} - iH_{\alpha}).$$

For each  $\delta > 0$ , let

$$B_{\delta} = \{Z \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E); ||Z|| < \delta\}$$
  
$$\bar{B}_{\delta} = \{k(\bar{n})L \in K/L; \bar{n} = \exp Z, Z \in B_{\delta}\}$$

where  $k(\bar{n})$  is the K-component of  $\bar{n}$  in the Iwasawa decomposition.

**Lemma 3.** For  $0 < \rho < 1$ , we have

 $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho} = \left\{ k(\bar{n})L \in K/L; \bar{n} = \exp Ad(l) (\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} a_{\alpha} (Y_{\alpha}^{0} - iH_{\alpha})), l \in L, \max_{\alpha \in \Delta} |a_{\alpha}| < \frac{1}{2} \tan((\pi/2)\rho) \right\}$ and therefore

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\rho} = \bar{B}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2\,{
m tan}\,((\pi/2)\,
ho)}$$
.

Proof. Recall the definition of  $\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}$  for  $0 < \rho < 1$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\scriptscriptstyle 
m p} = \left\{ lhL \!\in\! K/L; \, l \!\in\! L, \, h = \exp\!\left(\sum_{\scriptstyle lpha \in \Delta} heta_{\scriptscriptstyle \it a} rac{iH_{\scriptscriptstyle \it a}}{2} \right)\!, \, |\, heta_{\scriptscriptstyle \it a}| \!<\! \pi 
ho 
ight\}.$$

As in the proof of Proposition 1, we have

$$\exp\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta}\theta_{\alpha}\frac{i}{2}H_{\alpha}\right)=k\!\!\left(\exp\!\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta}\tan\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\alpha}\right)\!\!\left(Y_{\alpha}^{0}\!-\!iH_{\alpha}\right)\right)\right)\quad\text{ for }\quad |\theta_{\alpha}|\!<\!\pi\,.$$

Since  $l\bar{n}l^{-1}B(E)=lk(\bar{n})B(E)$  for  $l\in L$ ,  $\bar{n}\in \bar{N}(E)$  and  $G/B(E)\ni gB(E)\mapsto k(g)L\in K/L$  is a bijection, we have  $k(l\bar{n}l^{-1})L=lk(\bar{n})L$ . Then the statement follows. Q.E.D.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following covering theorem;

**Theorem 2.** There is some constant C''>0 with the following property. If U is any Borel set in K/L, and if to each point kL in U there is associated a set  $k\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}$  (with  $0<\rho<1$  depending on  $k\in K$ ), then there is a countable disjoint subfamily of  $\{k\mathfrak{B}_{\rho}\}$ , say  $k_{j}\mathfrak{B}_{j}$ , such that

$$C''\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\mu_E(k_j\mathfrak{B}_j) \geqslant \mu_E(U)$$
.

In view of Lemma 3, we may prove the following theorem in place of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 2'.** There is some constant C''>0 with the following property. If U is any Borel set in K/L, and if to each point kL in U there is associated a set  $k\bar{B}_{\delta}$  (with  $\delta>0$  depending on  $k\in K$ ), then there is a countable disjoint subfamily of  $\{k\bar{B}_{\delta}\}$ , say  $k_{j}\bar{B}_{j}$ , such that

$$C''\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\mu_E(k_j\bar{B}_j)\geqslant \mu_E(U)$$
.

The proof will proceed in the same way as Knapp's proof [7] of the covering theorem on Furstenberg's boundary K/M of a symmetric space of rank one.

Any  $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}(E)$  can be written uniquely in the form  $\bar{n} = \exp Z$ ,  $Z \in \bar{n}(E)$ . We write as  $Z = \log \bar{n}$ . Then we define

$$|\bar{n}| = ||\log \bar{n}||$$
.

We have  $|\bar{n}^{\exp t(X^0/2)}| = e^{-t \le 0} |\bar{n}|$  for  $\bar{n}^{\exp t(X^0/2)} = \left(\exp t \frac{X^0}{2}\right) \bar{n} \exp\left(-t \frac{X^0}{2}\right)$  since  $\bar{n}(E)$  is (-1)-eigenspace of  $ad \frac{1}{2} X^0$ .

**Lemma 4.** There exists a constant  $C_3$  such that

$$|\bar{n}|\bar{n}'| \leqslant C_3(|\bar{n}|+|\bar{n}'|)$$

for all  $\bar{n}$ ,  $\bar{n}' \in \bar{N}(E)$ .

Proof. The proof is quite same as that of Lemma 2.3 in Korányi [11]. Let  $V_t = \{\bar{n} \in \bar{N}(E); |\bar{n}| \leq e^t\}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The sets  $V_t$  are compact and converge to  $\bar{N}(E)$  as  $t \to \infty$ . Then there exists r > 0 such that  $V_0 \cdot V_0 \subset V_r$ . We put  $C_3 = e^r$ . By the above remark  $V_t = V_0^{\exp(-t(\Box^0/2))}$ . For  $\bar{n}, \bar{n}' \in \bar{N}(E)$  we write  $|\bar{n}| = e^t$ ,  $|\bar{n}'| = e^{t'}$ , and let  $\tau = \text{Max } \{t, t'\}$ . Then  $\bar{n}, \bar{n}' \in V_t$ ,  $V_t \subset VV = (V_0 \cdot V_0)^{\exp(-\Box^0/2)} \subset V_{\tau+r}$  and so  $|\bar{n}, \bar{n}'| \leq e^{\tau+r} \leq e^r(|\bar{n}| + |\bar{n}'|)$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 5.** By  $\bar{N}(E)$ -hull of  $\exp(B_{\delta})$ , we mean the union of all  $\bar{N}(E)$ -translates of  $\exp(B_{\delta})$  which have non-empty intersection with  $\exp(B_{\delta})$ . Then there is a constant  $C_4$  such that for each  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\bar{N}(E)$$
-hull of  $\exp(B_{\delta}) \subset \exp(B_{C_{\delta}\delta})$ .

Proof. Let  $\bar{n} \exp(B_{\delta}) \cap \exp(B_{\delta}) \neq \phi$  for  $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}(E)$  and  $\bar{n} \bar{n}_1 = \bar{n}_2$  for  $\bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2 \in \exp(B_{\delta})$ . Then  $|\bar{n}| = |\bar{n}_2\bar{n}_1^{-1}| \leq C_3(|\bar{n}_1| + |\bar{n}_2|) \leq 2C_3\delta$  by Lemma 4. Hence for each  $\bar{n}_3 \in \exp(B_{\delta})$ , we have

$$|\bar{n}\,\bar{n}_3| \leqslant C_3(|\bar{n}|+|\bar{n}_3|) \leqslant C_3(2C_3\delta+\delta) = (2C_3^2+C_3)\delta$$
.

Therefore  $C_4 = 2C_3^2 + C_3$  is a desired constant.

Q.E.D.

The mapping  $\gamma$  of G onto K/L which sends g into k(g)L is an injective real analytic mapping of  $\bar{N}(E)$  onto a dense open subset of K/L. By the continuity of the action of K on K/L, there exist open subsets  $U \subset K$ ,  $\tilde{V} \subset K/L$  with  $e \in U$ ,  $eL \in \tilde{V}$  such that  $U\tilde{V} \subset \gamma(\bar{N}(E)) \subset K/L$ . We put  $V = \gamma^{-1}(\tilde{V}) \subset \bar{N}(E)$ . The function  $\gamma^{-1}$  is defined at each point of  $\tilde{V}$  since  $\tilde{V} = e\tilde{V} \subset \gamma(\bar{N}(E))$ . For  $g \in G$  and  $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}(E)$ , we put

$$g \cdot \bar{n} = \gamma^{-1} (g \cdot \gamma(\bar{n}))$$

if the right hand side is defined. If  $k \in U$  and  $\bar{n} \in V$ , then  $k \cdot \gamma(\bar{n}) \in U\tilde{V}$  and  $k \cdot \bar{n} = \gamma^{-1}(k \cdot \gamma(\bar{n}))$  is defined. We put  $\bar{n}(k) = \gamma^{-1}(kL)$  for  $k \in U$ . We consider the mapping  $U \times V \to \bar{N}(E)$  defined by

$$(k, \bar{n}) \mapsto \bar{n}(k)^{-1}(k \cdot \bar{n}) \quad \text{for} \quad k \in U, \, \bar{n} \in V.$$
 (11)

Then we obtain the following Lemma, which, together with Lemma 5, is essential for proof of the covering theorem.

**Lemma 6.** There exist a neighborhood  $W_1$  of e in  $\overline{N}(E)$ , a neighborhood  $W_2$  of e in K and a constant  $C_5 > 0$  such that if  $k \in W_2$  and  $\exp(B_\delta) \subset W_1$ , then  $\overline{n}(k)^{-1}(k \cdot \exp(B_\delta)) \subset B_{C_5\delta}$ .

Proof. Let  $\nu$  be the dimension of K and d the dimension of  $\overline{N}(E)$ . We fix any basis  $\{X_i\}$  of  $\overline{n}(E)$  and define coordinates of  $\overline{N}(E)$  by

$$\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^d x_i X_i\right) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_d).$$

Restrict the coordinates to the open set  $V \subset \overline{N}(E)$  and choose an open coordinate neighborhood  $U_1 \subset U$  of e in K with local coordinates  $(k_1, \dots, k_{\nu})$ ,  $(k_1(e), \dots, k_{\nu}(e)) = (0, \dots, 0)$ . We will describe the mapping (11) by these coordinates  $x_i, k_j$ . We choose neighborhoods  $W_1, W_2$  such that  $W_1 \subset V \cap \exp(B_1)$ ,  $W_2 \subset U_1, W_2$  has compact closure and these power series of coordinates of

 $\bar{n}(k)^{-1}(k \cdot \bar{n})$  converge in an open neighborhood of the closure of  $W_1 \times W_2$ . We can rearrange the terms of these power series to write the *l*-th coordinate of  $\bar{n}(k)^{-1}(k \cdot \bar{n})$  as

$$a_l(k) + \sum_{i=1}^d a_{li}(k)x_i + \sum_{i,j}^d a_{lij}(\bar{n}, k)x_ix_j, \quad l=1, \dots, d$$

where  $a_l(k)$ ,  $a_{li}(k)$  and  $a_{lij}(\bar{n}, k)$  are real analytic functions of  $\bar{n} \in W_1$  and  $k \in W_2$ . The terms  $a_l(k)$  vanish on  $W_2 \subset K$  since  $\bar{n}(k)^{-1}(e \cdot k) = e$ . There exist  $C_6$ ,  $C_7 > 0$  such that for each l, i, j,  $|(a_{lij}(\bar{n}, k)| \leq C_6$  on the compact closure of  $W_1 \times W_2$  and  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |x_i| \leq C_7 ||X||$  for  $X = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i X_i \in \bar{n}(E)$ . Then  $|\sum_{i,j} a_{lij}(\bar{n}, k) x_i x_j| \leq C_6 C_7^2 ||\log \bar{n}||$  on the closure of  $W_1 \times W_2$ . Hence we obtain

$$\bar{n}(k)^{-1}(k \cdot \bar{n}) = \exp\left(\sum a_{li}(k)x_i + Z\right)$$

where  $||Z|| \leq C_6 C_7^2 ||\log \bar{n}||^2$  for  $\bar{n} \in W_1$  and  $k \in W_2$ .

For fixed  $k \in W_2$ , the matrix  $(a_{li}(k))$  is the Jacobian matrix of the transformation

$$\bar{n} \mapsto \bar{n}(k)^{-1}(k \cdot \bar{n}) \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{n} \in \bar{N}(E) .$$
 (12)

Since  $k \in W_2 \subset U_1 \subset U$  and  $(U)(eL) \subset \gamma(\bar{N}(E))$ , we can write  $\bar{n}(k) = \gamma^{-1}(kL) = kb$  by uniquely determined  $b \in \bar{B}(E)$  because the restriction of  $\gamma$  to  $\bar{N}(E)$  is an injection.

Then the mapping (12) is the same as the mapping

$$\bar{n} \mapsto b^{-1} \cdot \bar{n} \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{n} \in \bar{N}(E)$$
 (13)

In fact,  $\gamma^{-1}$  is defined on  $k\bar{n}B(E)$  for  $k \in W_2$  and  $\bar{n} \in W_1$  and we have  $b^{-1}\bar{n}B(E) = b^{-1}k^{-1}\gamma^{-1}(k\bar{n}B(E))B(E) = \bar{n}(k)^{-1}\gamma^{-1}(k\bar{n}B(E))B(E) = \gamma(\bar{n}(k)^{-1}\gamma^{-1}(k\bar{n}B(E))) = \gamma(\bar{n}(k)^{-1}\gamma^{-1}(k\cdot\gamma(\bar{n}))) = \gamma(\bar{n}(k))^{-1}(k\cdot\bar{n})$ .

The differential of the mapping (13) at  $e \in \overline{N}(E)$  is given by

$$X \mapsto P_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)}Ad(b^{-1})X$$
 for  $x \in \overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$ 

where  $P_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)}$  is the projection of  $\mathfrak{g}$  onto  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$  along the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g}=\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)+\mathfrak{b}(E)$ , since the mapping (13) is the composite of the conjugation of  $b^{-1}$ , the quotient map  $G \to G/B(E)$  and the map  $\gamma^{-1}$ .

Now we consider the operator  $P_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)}Ad(b^{-1})$ . The restriction of  $P_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)}Ad(b^{-1})$  to  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$  is a bounded operator on  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$  with respect to the norm  $|| \ ||$ . Let  $||P_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)}Ad(b^{-1})|_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)}||$  be the operator norm of  $P_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)}Ad(b^{-1})$  on  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)$ . Then since the closure  $\overline{W}_2$  of  $W_2$  is compact,  $C_8 = \sup_{\substack{k \in \overline{W}_2 \\ \overline{\mathfrak{n}}(k) = kb}} ||P_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)}Ad(b^{-1})|_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}(E)}||$  is finite

and we have  $||P_{\overline{n}(E)}Ad(b^{-1})X|| \leq C_8||X||$  for all  $X \in \overline{n}(E)$  and  $k \in W_2$ . Consequently we have for  $\overline{n} \in W_1$  and  $k \in W_2$ ,

$$||\log (\bar{n}(k)^{-1}(k \cdot \bar{n}))|| = ||a_{li}(k)x_i + Z|| \leq (C_6 C_7^2 + C_8)||\log \bar{n}||.$$

Therefore we conclude

$$\overline{n}(k)^{-1}(k \cdot \exp(B_{\delta})) \subset B_{C_5\delta}, \quad C_5 = C_6 C_7^2 + C_8$$

for exp  $(B_{\delta}) \subset W_1$  and  $k \in W_2$ .

Q.E.D.

By K-hull of  $\bar{B}_{\delta}$ , we mean the union of all K-translates of  $\bar{B}_{\delta}$  which have non-empty intersection with  $\bar{B}_{\delta}$ .

**Proposition 4.** 
$$\sup_{0<\delta<\infty} \frac{\mu_E(K\text{-hull of } \bar{B}_{\delta})}{\mu_E(\bar{B}_{\delta})} < \infty$$

Proof. Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be neighborhoods as in Lemma 6. Let  $k \in W_2$ ,  $k \cdot \exp(B_\delta) \cap \exp(B_\delta) \neq \phi$  and  $\exp(B_\delta) \subset W_1$ . Then  $\overline{n}(k) \exp(B_{C_5\delta}) \cap \exp(B_{C_5\delta}) \subset \overline{n}(k)[\overline{n}(k)^{-1}(k \cdot \exp(B_\delta))] \cap \exp(B_\delta) = k \cdot \exp(B_\delta) \cap \exp(B_\delta) \neq \phi$ . Lemma 5 shows that  $k \cdot \exp(B_\delta) = \overline{n}(k)[\overline{n}(k)^{-1}(k \cdot \exp(B_\delta))] \subset \overline{n}(k) \exp(B_{C_5\delta}) \subset \exp(B_{C_4C_5\delta})$ . Hence we have  $k\overline{B}_\delta \subset \overline{B}_{C_9\delta}$  with  $C_9 = C_4C_5$ .

There exists a number  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $\exp(B_{\delta})$  is included in  $W_1$  for any  $\delta < \delta_0$ . We may prove that

$$\sup_{\delta \leqslant \delta_0} \frac{\mu_E(K\text{-hull of } \bar{B}_{\delta})}{\mu_E(\bar{B}_{\delta})} < \infty \tag{14}$$

since  $\mu_E(K/L)=1$ .

Now we assume that (14) is false. Then there exist a sequence  $0 < \delta_n \le \delta_0$  and  $k_n \in K$  such that  $k_n \bar{B}_{\delta_n} \cap \bar{B}_{\delta_n} \pm \phi$  and  $k_n \bar{B}_{\delta_n} \oplus \bar{B}_{C_9 \delta_n}$  since there exists a constant  $C_{10}$  such that  $\frac{\mu_E(\bar{B}_{C_9})}{\mu_E(\bar{B}_{\delta})} \le C_{10}$  for each  $\delta \le \delta_0$ . Moreover we may assume  $\delta_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  since  $\mu_E(K/L) = 1$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the quotient mapping of K onto K/L. Since  $k \bar{B}_{\delta} = k l \bar{B}_{\delta}$  for  $l \in L$  and  $k \in K$ , it follows from the first argument that if  $k \in \sigma^{-1}(\sigma(W_2))$ ,  $\delta \le \delta_0$  and  $k \bar{B}_{\delta} \cap \bar{B}_{\delta} \neq \phi$ , then  $k \bar{B}_{\delta} \subset \bar{B}_{C_9 \delta}$ . Therefore  $\sigma(k_n)$  is not in the neighborhood  $\sigma(W_2)$  of eL. We may suppose  $k_n$  converges to some point  $k_0 \in K$  with  $\sigma(k_0) \neq eL$  since K is compact. If  $p_n \in k_n \bar{B}_{\delta_n} \cap \bar{B}_{\delta_n}$ ,  $p_n$  converges to eL since  $\bar{B}_{\delta_n}$  shrinks to eL as  $n \to \infty$ . But  $p_n = k_n q_n$  with  $q_n \in \bar{B}_{\delta_n}$ ,  $q_n \to eL$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore we obtain  $eL = k_0 eL$  or  $\sigma(k_0) = eL$ , a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 2'. We put

$$C'' = \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} \frac{\mu_E(K\text{-hull of } \bar{B}_{e^t})}{\mu_E(\bar{B}_{e^{t-1}})} \,.$$

Then Proposition 4 implies that  $1 < C'' < \infty$ . Let  $T_1 = \sup\{t_k; k\bar{B}_{e'k} \text{ is associated to } kL \in U\}$ . If  $T_1 = +\infty$ , then we can find a set  $k \cdot \bar{B}_{e'k}$  with measure as close to 1 as we like, and the conclusion of the theorem follows since  $1 < C'' < \infty$ . We

assume from now on that  $T_1 < \infty$ . We construct  $R_n$ ,  $T_n$  and  $k_n \bar{B}_e{}^{t_n}$  in the following process: Let  $R_1$  be the family  $\{k\bar{B}_e{}^{t_k}\}$  of all associated sets. Taking a set  $k_1\bar{B}_e{}^{t_1} \in R_1$  with  $T_1 - 1 \le t_1 \le T_1$ , we put  $R_2 = \{k\bar{B}_e{}^{t_k} \in R_1; k\bar{B}_e{}^{t_k} \cap k_1\bar{B}_e{}^{t_1} = \phi\}$ . If  $R_2 = \phi$ , then our process is over. If  $R_2 \neq \phi$ , then we put  $T_2 = \sup\{t_k; k\bar{B}_e{}^{t_k} \in R_2\}$ . Taking a set  $k_2\bar{B}_e{}^{t_2} \in R_2$  with  $T_2 - 1 \le t_2 \le T_2$ , we put  $R_3 = \{k\bar{B}_e{}^{t_k} \in R_2; k\bar{B}_e{}^{t_k} \cap k_2\bar{B}_e{}^{t_2} = \phi\}$  and our process is continued inductively.

If  $V_n$  is the union of the members of  $R_n-R_{n+1}$  and  $V_0$  is the union of the members of  $R_1$ , then  $V_0=\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^\infty V_n$ . Since  $U\subset V_0$ , we obtain  $\mu_E(U)\leqslant \sum\limits_{n=1}^\infty \mu_E(V_n)$ . The proof will be complete if we show that  $\mu_E(V_n)\leqslant C''\mu_E(k_n\bar{B}_{e^{t_n}})$ . Let  $k\bar{B}_{e^{t_k}}\in R_n-R_{n+1}$ . Then  $T_n\geqslant t_k$  and  $k\bar{B}_{e^{t_n}}\cap k_n\bar{B}_{e^{t_n}}=\varphi$ . Thus  $k\bar{B}_{e^{T_n}}\cap k_n\bar{B}_{e^{T_n}}=\varphi$ ,  $k_n^{-1}k\bar{B}_{e^{T_n}}\cap k_n^{-1}k\bar{B}_{e^{T_n}}\subset K$ -hull of  $\bar{B}_{e^{T_n}}$  and  $k\bar{B}_{e^{t_k}}\subset k_n$  (K-hull of  $\bar{B}_{e^{T_n}}$ ). Hence  $V_n\subset k_n$  (K-hull of  $B_{e^{T_n}}$ ). From the definition of C'' and the inequality  $T_n-1\leqslant t_n$ , we obtain  $\mu_E(V_n)\leqslant \mu_E(k_n(K-\text{hull of }\bar{B}_{e^{T_n}}))\leqslant C''\mu_E(\bar{B}_{e^{T_n-1}})\leqslant C''\mu_E(k_n\bar{B}_{e^{t_n}})$ . Q.E.D.

REMARK. From the definition of the maximal function  $f^*$  for an integrable function f on K/L and Lemma 3, we have

$$f^*(k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}L) = \sup_{\scriptscriptstyle 0 < \delta < \infty} rac{1}{\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle E}(ar{B}_{\delta})} \int_{ar{B}_{ar{\delta}}} |f(k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}kL)| \, d\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle E}(kL) \qquad ext{for} \quad k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}L \in K/L \ .$$

**Theorem 3.** (Maximal theorem)

For an integrable function f on K/L and any real number  $\xi>0$ , we obtain the following inequalities:

(i) 
$$\mu_E\{kL \in K/L; f^*(kL) > \xi\} \leqslant \frac{C''}{\xi} \int_{K/L} |f(kL)| d\mu_E(kL)$$
 (15)

(ii) 
$$\mu_E\{kL \in K/L; f^*(kL) > \xi\} \leq \frac{2C''}{\xi} \int_{|f(kL)| > \frac{1}{2}\xi} |f(kL)| d\mu_E(kL)$$
 (16)

where C'' is the same constant as in Theorem 2'.

Proof. Let  $U = \{kL \in K/L; f^*(kL) > \xi\}$ . From the above Remark, for each  $k_0 L \in U$  there exists  $\bar{B}_{\delta_0}$  such that

$$\int_{k_0ar{B}_{\delta_0}}\!|f(kL)|\,d\mu_E(kL)\!\geqslant\!\xi\mu_E(ar{B}_{\delta_0})=\xi\mu_E(k_0ar{B}_{\delta_0})\,.$$

Theorem 2' says that there exists a disjoint subfamily  $\{k_j \bar{B}_{\delta j}\}$  of  $\{k_0 \bar{B}_{\delta_0}; k_0 L \in U\}$  such that  $C'' \sum_{j=1} \mu_E(k_j \bar{B}_{\delta j}) \geqslant \mu_E(U)$ . Therefore

$$\int_{\scriptscriptstyle{K/L}} |f(kL)| \, d\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle{E}}(kL) \geqslant \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle{j=1}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\infty}} \int_{\scriptscriptstyle{k_{\scriptscriptstyle{j}\overline{B}_{\delta_{j}}}}} |f(kL)| \, d\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle{E}}(kL) \geqslant \xi \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle{j=1}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\infty}} \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle{E}}(k_{\scriptscriptstyle{j}}\bar{B}_{\delta_{j}}) \geqslant \frac{\xi}{C^{\prime\prime}} \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle{E}}(U)$$

and the inequality (i) follows. For the proof of (ii), we define an integrable function h on K/L by

$$h(kL) = \begin{cases} f(kL) & \text{if } |f(kL)| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\xi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $h^*(kL) + \frac{1}{2}\xi \geqslant f^*(kL)$ . Hence by (i)

$$\begin{split} \mu_E(U) \leqslant & \mu_E \Big\{ kL; \ h^*(kL) > \frac{1}{2} \xi \Big\} \leqslant \frac{2C''}{\xi} \int_{K/L} |h(kL)| \ d\mu_E(kL) \\ = & \frac{2C''}{\xi} \int_{|f(KL)| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \xi} \int |f(kL)| \ d\mu_E(kL) \ . \end{split} \qquad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. For any  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ , we can write as  $f = f_1 + f_2$  where  $f_1$  is continuous and  $f_2 \in L^1(K/L)$  with  $L^1$ -norm  $||f_2||_1 < \varepsilon^2$ . Let  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  and h be the Poisson integrals of  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and f, respectively. Since  $f_1$  is continuous, we can choose (Korányi-Helgason [5]) T > 0 large enough such that t > T implies

$$|h_1(ka_tK)-f_1(kL)| < \varepsilon$$
 for all  $k \in K$ 

where  $a_t = \exp tX^o$ . If  $U_1 = \{kL \in K/L; |f_1(kL) - f(kL)| \ge \varepsilon\} = \{kL \in K/L; |f_2(kL)| \ge \varepsilon\}$ , then  $\mu_E(U_1) < \varepsilon$  since  $\varepsilon \mu_E(U_1) \le ||f_2||_1 < \varepsilon^2$ . Therefore except in the set  $U_1$  of measure  $< \varepsilon$ ,

$$|h_1(ka_tK)-f(kL)|<2\varepsilon$$
 for  $t>T$ .

Let  $U_2 = \left\{ kL \in K/L; f_2^*(kL) > \frac{\varepsilon}{C'} \right\}$  where C' is a constant in Proposition 3. Then we have by Theorem 3 (i)

$$\mu_E(U_2) \leqslant \frac{C'C''}{\varepsilon} \int_{\kappa_{IL}} |f_2(kL)| d\mu_E(kL) \leqslant \frac{C'C''}{\varepsilon} \cdot \varepsilon^2 = C'C''\varepsilon.$$

Hence we have by Proposition 3

$$|h_2(ka_tK)| \leq \varepsilon$$
 for all  $t > \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ 

except in the set  $U_2$  of measure  $\leq C'C''\varepsilon$ . Therefore, except in the set  $U_1 \cup U_2$  of measure  $(C'C''+1)\varepsilon$ ,

$$|h(ka_tK)-f(kL)| < 3\varepsilon$$
 for  $t > \max\left(T, \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$ .

Replacing  $\varepsilon$  by  $2^{-n}\varepsilon$  and taking  $U_1^{(n)}$  and  $U_2^{(n)}$  in place of  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ , let U be the union of all  $U_1^{(n)} \cup U_2^{(n)}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \cdots$ . Then we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}h(k\,a_t\,K)=f(kL)$$

except in the set U of measure  $\leq 2(C'C''+1)\varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}h(k\,a_tK)=f(kL)$$

almost everywhere on K/L with respect to  $\mu_E$ .

Q.E.D.

# 6. Inequalities of Hardy-Littlewood

In this section, we shall prove inequalities of Hardy-Littlewood in the same way as Rauch's proof [15] of the inequalities for hermitian hyperbolic spaces. We assume again that G/K is an irreducible hermitian symmetric space of tube type. For a function f on K/L, we define a real valued non-negative function  $\log^+|f|$  on K/L by

$$(\log^+|f|)(kL) = \begin{cases} \log|f(kL)| & \text{if } |f(kL)| \geqslant 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a measurable function  $\varphi$  on K/L, we define a decreasing function  $\mu_{\varphi}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+=[0,\infty)$  by

$$\mu_{\varphi}(\xi) = \mu_{E}\{kL \in K/L; \ |\varphi(kL)| > \xi\} \qquad \text{for} \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{+}.$$

Then for any non-negative increasing function s on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  we obtain

$$\int_{K/L} s(|\varphi(kL)|) d\mu_E(kL) = -\int_0^\infty s(\xi) d\mu_{\varphi}(\xi)$$
(17)

where the right hand side means the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral with respect to  $\mu_{\varphi}$ .

**Proposition 5.** There exist positive constants  $C_{11}$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that (i) if p>1,  $\int_{K/L} |f^*(kL)|^p d\mu_E(kL) \leqslant C_{11}||f||_p^p$  for all  $f \in L^p(K/L)$  (ii) if p=1,  $\int_{K/L} |f^*(kL)| d\mu_E(kL) \leqslant \alpha \int_{K/L} |f(kL)| \log^+(|f(kL)|) d\mu_E + \beta$  for all functions f such that  $f \log^+ f \in L^1(K/L)$ .

Proof. Since we have from Theorem 3 (ii) and (17)

$$\mu_{f^*}(\xi) \leqslant \frac{2C''}{\xi} \int_{|f(KL)| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\xi} |d\mu_E|(kL) = -\frac{2C''}{\xi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}\xi}^{\infty} x d\mu_f(x) \qquad \text{for} \quad \xi > 0,$$

we have

$$\int_0^\infty \mu_{f^*}(\xi) \xi^{\rho-1} d\xi \leqslant -2C'' \int_0^\infty \xi^{\rho-2} d\xi \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^\infty x d\mu_f(x) = -2C'' \int_0^\infty x d\mu_f(x) \int_0^{2x} \xi^{\rho-2} d\xi.$$

Let p>1. Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{f^{*}}(\xi) \xi^{p-1} d\xi &= -\frac{2^{p-1}}{p-1} (2C'') \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{p} d\mu_{f}(x) \\ &= \frac{2^{p-1}}{p-1} (2C'') \int_{E/L} |f(kL)|^{p} d\mu_{E}(kL) < \infty \; . \end{split}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\lim_{\xi \to 0} \int_{\xi}^{2\xi} \mu_{f^{*}}(x) x^{p-1} dx = 0.$$

Since  $\mu_{f^*}$  is a decreasing function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , we have

$$\mu_{f^*}(2\xi)\xi^{p}\frac{2^{p}-1}{p}=\mu_{f^*}(2\xi)\int_{\xi}^{2\xi}x^{p-1}dx\leqslant \int_{\xi}^{2\xi}\mu_{f^*}(x)x^{p-1}dx\;.$$

Therefore  $\lim_{\xi \to \infty} \mu_{f^*}(2\xi)\xi^p = 0$ , and making use of integration by parts of Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral, we obtain

$$\int_{K/L} f^*(kL)^p d\mu_E(kL) = -\int_0^\infty x^p d\mu_{f^*}(x) = \int_0^\infty \mu_{f^*}(x) p x^{p-1} dx$$

$$\leq \frac{p}{p-1} 2^{p-1} (2C'') \int_{K/L} |f(kL)|^p d\mu_E(kL).$$

If p=1, then we have

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \mu_{f^{*}}(x) dx \leq -2C'' \int_{1}^{\infty} y d\mu_{f}(y) \int_{1}^{2y} \frac{dx}{x} = -2C'' \int_{1}^{\infty} y \log(2y) d\mu_{f}(y)$$

$$\leq 2C'' \int_{K/L} |f(kL)| \log^{+}(|f(kL)|) d\mu_{E}(kL)$$

$$+2C'' \log 2 \int_{K/L} |f(kL)| d\mu_{E}(kL).$$

Since  $|f| \le 1 + |f| \log^+ |f|$ , we have

$$\int_{K/L} |f(kL)| d\mu_E(kL) \leq \mu_E(K/L) + \int_{K/L} |f(kL)| \log^+(|f(kL)|) d\mu_E(kL)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \mu_{f^*}(x) dx \leqslant \mu_E(K/L) .$$

Since

$$\begin{split} \int_{K/L} |f^*(kL)| \, d\mu_E(kL) &= -\int_0^\infty x \, d\mu_{f^*}(x) = \int_0^\infty \mu_{f^*}(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \mu_{f^*}(x) dx + \int_1^\infty \mu_{f^*}(x) \, dx \,, \end{split}$$

the second inequality follows.

Q.E.D.

DEFINITION. For an integrable function f on K/L, we define a function  $f_*$  on K/L by

$$f_*(k_0L) = \sup_{\mathbf{i} < \tanh \iota < 1} \int_{K/L} |f(kL)| P_E(k_0a_tK, kL) d\mu_E(kL) \qquad \text{for} \quad k_0L \in K/L$$

where  $a_t = \exp tX^0$ . Since L centralizes  $X^0$ ,  $f_*$  is a well defined function on K/L. Since the supremum over rational t gives the same answer,  $f_*$  is a measurable function on K/L.

**Theorem 4.** (Inequalities of Hardy-Littlewood) There exist constants  $C_{13}$ ,  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  such that

(i) if 
$$p>1$$
,  $\int_{K/L} |f_*(kL)|^p d\mu_E(kL) \leqslant C_{13} ||f||_p^p$  for all  $f \in L^p(K/L)$ 

(ii) if 
$$p=1$$
,  $\int_{K/L} |f_*(kL)| d\mu_E(kL) \leq \alpha' \int_{K/L} |f(kL)| \log^+(|f(kL)|) d\mu_E(kL) + \beta'$  for all  $f$  such that  $f \log^+ |f| \in L^1(K/L)$ .

Proof. These are immediate consequences of Propositions 3 and 5.

Q.E.D.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

#### References

- [1] S. Bochner: Classes of holomorphic functions of several variables in circular domains, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 46 (1960), 721-723.
- [2] H. Furstenberg: A poisson formula for semi-simple Lie groups, Ann. of Math. (2) 77 (1963), 335-386.
- [3] G.H. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood: A maxiaml theorem with function-theoretic applications, Acta Math. 54 (1930), 81-116.
- [4] Harish-Chandra: Representations of semi-simple Lie groups: VI, Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956), 564-628.
- [5] S. Helgason and A. Korányi: A Fatou-type theorem for harmonic functions for symmetric spaces, Bull, Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 258-263
- [6] S. Helgason: A duality for symmetric space with applications to group representations, Advance in Math. 5 (1970), 1-154.
- [7] A.W. Knapp: Fatou's theorem for symmetric spaces: I, Ann. of Math. (2) 88 (1968), 106-127.
- [8] A.W Knapp and R.E. Williamson: Poisson integrals and semisiple Lie groups, J. Analyse Math. 24 (1971) 53-76.
- [9] A. Korányi: The Poisson integral for generalized halfplanes and bounded symmetric domains, Ann. of Math. (2) 82 (1965), 332-250.

- [10] —: Harmonic functions on hermitian hyperbolic space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (1969), 50-7516.
- [11] A. Korányi: Boundary behavior of Poisson integrals on symmetric spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (1969), 393-409.
- [12] ——and J.A. Wolf: Realization of hermitian symmetric spaces as generalized half-planes, Ann. of Math. (2) 81 (1965), 265-288.
- [13] C.C. Moore: Compactifications of symmetric spaces, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 201-218, 358-378.
- [14] I.I. Pjateckii-Šapiro: Geometry of Classical Domains and Theory of Automorphic Functions, Moscow, 1961.
- [15] H.E. Rauch: Harmonic and ayalytic functions of several variables and the maximal theorem of Hardy and Littlewood, Canad. J. Math. 8 (1956), 171-183.
- [16] E.M. Stein and N.J. Weiss: On the convergence of Poisson integrals, Trans. Amer Math. Soc. 140 (1969), 35-54.
- [17] E.M. Stein, G. Weiss and M. Weiss: H<sup>p</sup> class of holomorphic functions in tube domains, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 52 (1964), 1035-1039.
- [18] M. Takeuchi: Cell decompositions and Morse equalities on certain symmetric spaces, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I. XII (1965), 81-192.
- [19] N.J. Weiss: Almost everywhere convergence of Poisson integral on generalized half-planes, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 533-537.
- [20] N.J. Weiss: Almost everywhere convergence of Poisson integrals on tube domain, over cones, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (1967), 283-307.
- [21] A. Zygmund: Trigonometric Series, 2nd ed. Cambrige Univ. Press, New York, 1959.